

Taiwan, ROC

Chi Huang, Election Study Center, National Chengchi University

**Taiwan's Election and Democratization Study,
2016-2020(I): A Quasi-Experiment on Two Sampling
Frames for Face-to-Face Survey (TEDS2017)**

Study Documentation

March 17, 2021

Metadata Production

Metadata Producer(s)	Survey Research Data Archive (SRDA) , Center for Survey Research, Research Center for Humanities Social Sciences, Academia Sinica
Identification	D00167en

Table of Contents

Overview	4
Scope & Coverage	4
Producers & Sponsors	5
Data Collection	5
Data Processing & Appraisal	5
Accessibility	5
Files Description	6
TEDS2017AE indC	6
TEDS2017AE indQ	6
TEDS2017HE indC	6
TEDS2017HE indQ	6
Variables Group(s)	7
Address	7
Household	7
Visit Record	7
In-house Sampling	8
Interview Record	9
Interviewer information	12
Sample Information	12
Part 1: Politics and Media	12
Part 2: Political Mobilization and Political Involvement	13
Part 3: Governing Performance	13
Part 4: Political Efficacy and Political Trust	14
Part 5: Voting Behavior	14
Part 6: Issue Position	14
Part 7: Political Cleavages, Ethnic Identity, Independence Stance	15
Part 8: Party Identification	16
Part 9: Personal Data	17
New variables and Weight	20
Visit Record	20
Interview Record	21
Interviewer information	24
Sample Information	24
Part 1: Politics and Media	25
Part 2: Political Mobilization and Political Involvement	25
Part 3: Governing Performance	25
Part 4: Political Efficacy and Political Trust	26
Part 5: Voting Behavior	26
Part 6: Issue Position	27
Part 7: Political Cleavages, Ethnic Identity, Independence Stance	28
Part 8: Party Identification	29
Part 9: Personal Data	29
New variables and Weight	32
Variables Description	33
TEDS2017AE indC	34
TEDS2017AE indQ	70
TEDS2017HE indC	135
TEDS2017HE indQ	170

Taiwan's Election and Democratization Study, 2016-2020(I): A Quasi-Experiment on Two Sampling Frames for Face-to-Face Survey (TEDS2017) (TEDS - Taiwan's Election and Democratization Study)

Overview	
Type	Election Studies
Identification	D00167en
Version	Production Date: 2021-03-17 1

Abstract

The TEDS project is dedicated to faithfully recording Taiwan's democratic development with quality surveys. Until 2016 TEDS' face-to-face (FTF) surveys relied solely on the household registration (HR) archive maintained by the Households Division of the Ministry of Interior as its sampling frame. Since the Personal Information Protection Law became effective in 2010, however, the public has been more sensitive to the release of private information and the government agencies have become increasingly reluctant to allow researchers to access the HR archive to draw samples. To circumvent this obstacle and continue to conduct FTF surveys in the future, TEDS has no alternative but turning to address-based sampling (ABS) where the sampling frame contains no personal information. Before making such a dramatic change in the planned TEDS2018 and TEDS2020, however, we desperately need to understand the similarities and differences between the traditional HR and new ABS survey properties.

Taking advantage of the non-election year of 2017, the PI designed a quasi-field experiment to evaluate the FTF survey results based on these two sampling frames so as to detect potential weaknesses of ABS and develop measures to overcome them. That is, TEDS2017 consists of two groups of respondents: one based on HR (called Household), the other based on ABS (called Address).

To make the two groups as comparable as possible so as to isolate the effects of sampling frame and in-household sampling procedure of ABS, both samples are drawn from the same selected villages based on the multi-stage cluster PPS sampling design. HR sample, as before, is drawn from the household registration archive of eligible voters with their names on the list. ABS sample, on the other hand, is drawn from the address list provided by the Department of Statistics, Ministry of Interior. Although these addresses are known to have household-registered residents, the list contains neither their names nor their ages. To ensure equal probability of each address being selected we deleted repeated addresses in the list before drawing.

Obviously, HR sample list already consists of eligible voters and thus can be contacted and interviewed directly. ABS sample list, on the other hand, consists of only selected addresses and therefore interviewers are required to go through the procedures of first inquiring about the total number of eligible voters in that dwelling and then selecting one of them as interviewee based on the pre-designed selection table.

Kind of Data	Sampling
Unit of Analysis	Individuals

Scope & Coverage

<u>Time Period(s)</u>	2017
<u>Countries</u>	Taiwan, ROC
<u>Geographic Coverage</u>	Taiwan

Producers & Sponsors	
Primary Investigator(s)	Chi Huang, Election Study Center, National Chengchi University
Other Producer(s)	Election Study Center, National Chengchi University
Funding Agency/ies	Ministry of Science and Technology

Data Collection	
Data Collection Dates	start 2017-06-26 end 2017-09-26
Time Period(s)	start 2016-08-01 end 2020-07-31
Data Collection Mode	Face-to-Face Survey

Data Processing & Appraisal	
Data Editing	CSR has checked wild codes and out-of-range values, to validate and clean data. There is no doubt about data accuracy after the validation.

Accessibility	
Contact(s)	Survey Research Data Archive (SRDA) , https://srda.sinica.edu.tw , srda@gate.sinica.edu.tw
Distributor(s)	Survey Research Data Archive
Depositor(s)	Election Study Center, National Chengchi University
Access Conditions	Standard Access Data (Downloads for Regular Member, Academia Sinica Researcher)

Files Description

Dataset contains 4 file(s)

TEDS2017AE_indC	
# Cases	6570
# Variable(s)	98

TEDS2017AE_indQ	
# Cases	1166
# Variable(s)	131

TEDS2017HE_indC	
# Cases	5184
# Variable(s)	82

TEDS2017HE_indQ	
# Cases	1186
# Variable(s)	131

Variables Group(s)

Dataset contains 31 group(s)

Group Address	
Subgroup(s)	Visit Record , In-house Sampling , Interview Record , Interviewer information , Sample Information , Part 1: Politics and Media , Part 2: Political Mobilization and Political Involvement , Part 3: Governing Performance , Part 4: Political Efficacy and Political Trust , Part 5: Voting Behavior , Part 6: Issue Position , Part 7: Political Cleavages, Ethnic Identity, Independence Stance , Part 8: Party Identification , Part 9: Personal Data , New variables and Weight

Group Household	
Subgroup(s)	Visit Record , Interview Record , Interviewer information , Sample Information , Part 1: Politics and Media , Part 2: Political Mobilization and Political Involvement , Part 3: Governing Performance , Part 4: Political Efficacy and Political Trust , Part 5: Voting Behavior , Part 6: Issue Position , Part 7: Political Cleavages, Ethnic Identity, Independence Stance , Part 8: Party Identification , Part 9: Personal Data , New variables and Weight

Group Visit Record							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	id	ID	discrete	character-16	6570	0	-
2	tau	Set	discrete	character-6	6570	0	-
3	city	City, County	discrete	numeric-2.0	6570	0	-
4	town	Township, City, District	discrete	numeric-4.0	6570	0	-
5	village	Village	discrete	numeric-7.0	6570	0	-
6	t01	First contact-day	continuous	numeric-8.0	6570	0	-
7	t01a	First contact-time	discrete	numeric-1.0	6570	0	-
8	t02	First contact-result	discrete	numeric-3.0	6570	0	-
9	t03	Contact1	discrete	numeric-2.0	6570	0	-
10	t04	Second contact-day	continuous	numeric-8.0	6570	0	-
11	t04a	Second contact-time	discrete	numeric-2.0	6570	0	-
12	t05	Second contact-result	discrete	numeric-3.0	6570	0	-
13	t06	Contact2	discrete	numeric-2.0	6570	0	-
14	t07	Third contact-day	continuous	numeric-8.0	6570	0	-
15	t07a	Third contact-time	discrete	numeric-2.0	6570	0	-
16	t08	Third contact-result	discrete	numeric-3.0	6570	0	-
17	t09	Contact3	discrete	numeric-2.0	6570	0	-
18	t10	Community of the housing unit	discrete	numeric-1.0	6570	0	-
19	t11	Appearance of the housing unit	discrete	numeric-2.0	6570	0	-
20	t12	I's ID	discrete	numeric-7.0	6570	0	-
21	t13	Gender of I	discrete	numeric-1.0	6570	0	-
22	final_result	Last contact result	discrete	numeric-3.0	6570	0	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
23	t14	Number of in-house qualified person	discrete	numeric-2.0	6570	0	-
24	t15	Selected R	discrete	character-30	6570	0	-
25	w1	W1.Visit outcome	discrete	numeric-2.0	6570	0	-
26	w2g1	W2.Gender of the facilitator for in-house sampling	discrete	numeric-2.0	6570	0	-
27	w2g2	W2.Age of the facilitator for in-house sampling	discrete	numeric-3.0	6570	0	-
28	w3	W3.Where did you conduct the in-house sampling/ interview?	discrete	numeric-2.0	6570	0	-
29	w4	W4.Did anyone accompany you to pay the visit?	discrete	numeric-2.0	6570	0	-
30	w4_01	W4.Did anyone accompany you? (01) yes, the other I, please show the ID of the I:	discrete	numeric-7.0	6570	0	-
31	w4_02	W4.Did anyone accompany you? (02) yes, please explain the identity and gender of the I who accompany you.	discrete	numeric-2.0	6570	0	-
32	w4_04	W4.Did anyone accompany you? (04) yes, the supervisor. The ID of the supervisor:	continuous	numeric-7.0	6570	0	-
33	w5	W5.Does this address have any other function?	discrete	numeric-2.0	6570	0	-
34	w6m01	W6.Does this address have? (01) Doorbell	discrete	numeric-2.0	6570	0	-
35	w6m02	W6.Does this address have? (02) Doorphone	discrete	numeric-2.0	6570	0	-
36	w6m03	W6.Does this address have? (03) Guards or conservator	discrete	numeric-2.0	6570	0	-
37	w7	W7.How many registered households at this address	discrete	numeric-2.0	6570	0	-
38	w7s	W7.Which one of them did you choose.	discrete	numeric-2.0	6570	0	-

Group In-house Sampling

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	x1	X1.Result of in-house sampling	discrete	numeric-2.0	6570	0	-
2	x2	X2.After entering the household, to what extent does the in-house sampling facilitator understanding the in-house sampling procedural?	discrete	numeric-2.0	6570	0	-
3	x3	X3.After contacting the facilitator, how much effort did you put to persuade him/ her to agree to start the in-house sampling?	discrete	numeric-2.0	6570	0	-
4	x4m01	X4.Does the facilitator try to refuse the in-house	discrete	numeric-2.0	6570	0	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		sampling? If so, at what stage? (01) Yes, in the very beginning of the procedural					
5	x4m02	X4.Does the facilitator try to refuse the in-house sampling? (02) Yes, in the middle of the procedural	discrete	numeric-2.0	6570	0	-
6	x4m03	X4.Does the facilitator try to refuse the in-house sampling? (03) Yes, after the completion of the procedural	discrete	numeric-2.0	6570	0	-
7	x5	X5.After the in-house sampling procedural, do you give the gift to the facilitator?	discrete	numeric-2.0	6570	0	-
8	x6	X6.After giving the gift, what's his/her reaction?	discrete	numeric-2.0	6570	0	-
9	x7	X7.To what extent do you think the household information provided by the facilitator?	discrete	numeric-2.0	6570	0	-
10	x8	X8.To what extent do you think the facilitator trust you?	discrete	numeric-2.0	6570	0	-
11	x9	X9.During the in-house sampling procedural, how many times do you need to explain?	discrete	numeric-2.0	6570	0	-

Group Interview Record

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	y1	Y1.Record Type	discrete	numeric-2.0	6570	0	-
2	y2	Y2.Did you make an appointment for the interview?	discrete	numeric-2.0	6570	0	-
3	y3	Y3.Do you read all the questions?	discrete	numeric-2.0	6570	0	-
4	y4m01	Y4.Before the interview, did the R do any of the following? (01) Claim s/he was too busy to be interviewed	discrete	numeric-2.0	6570	0	-
5	y4m02	Y4.Before the interview, did the R do any of the following? (02) Claim he/she has something to do for his/her family thus cannot be interviewed	discrete	numeric-2.0	6570	0	-
6	y4m03	Y4.Before the interview, did the R do any of the following? (03) Claim not interested in taking an interview	discrete	numeric-2.0	6570	0	-
7	y4m04	Y4.Before the interview, did the R do any of the following? (04) Claim not trust opinion surveys	discrete	numeric-2.0	6570	0	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
8	y4m05	Y4.Before the interview, did the R do any of the following? (05) Express doubt about how the survey data would be used	discrete	numeric-2.0	6570	0	-
9	y4m06	Y4.Before the interview, did the R do any of the following? (06) Express doubt about whether R's opinions or personal information would be kept private	discrete	numeric-2.0	6570	0	-
10	y4m07	Y4.Before the interview, did the R do any of the following? (07) Express doubt about the hosted organization of the survey or principal investigator of the survey	discrete	numeric-2.0	6570	0	-
11	y4m08	Y4.Before the interview, did the R do any of the following? (08) Express doubt about the identity of the interviewer	discrete	numeric-2.0	6570	0	-
12	y4m09	Y4.Before the interview, did the R do any of the following? (09) A family member opposed the R being interviewed	discrete	numeric-2.0	6570	0	-
13	y5	Y5.From your interview with the R, how much effort did you spent on persuading R until he/she agreed to take the interview?	discrete	numeric-2.0	6570	0	-
14	y6m01	Y6.During the interview, did the R encounter any of the following situation that affect the interview? (01) Oral expression Impairment	discrete	numeric-2.0	6570	0	-
15	y6m02	Y6.During the interview, did the R encounter any of the following situation that affect the interview? (02) Hearing Impairment	discrete	numeric-2.0	6570	0	-
16	y6m03	Y6.During the interview, did the R encounter any of the following situation that affect the interview? (03) Intellectual Disability	discrete	numeric-2.0	6570	0	-
17	y6m04	Y6.During the interview, did the R encounter any of the following situation that affect the interview? (04) Need to translate because of language barrier	discrete	numeric-2.0	6570	0	-
18	y6o04	Y6.During the interview, did the R encounter any of the following situation that affect the interview? (04) explain the identity of the translator	discrete	numeric-2.0	6570	0	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
19	y7m01	Y7.During the interview, did the R do any of the following? (01) Ask to fill out the questionnaire him or herself	discrete	numeric-2.0	6570	0	-
20	y7m02	Y7.During the interview, did the R do any of the following? (02) Ask to see the questionnaire	discrete	numeric-2.0	6570	0	-
21	y7m03	Y7.During the interview, did the R do any of the following? (03) Tend to quit the interview	discrete	numeric-2.0	6570	0	-
22	y7m04	Y7.During the interview, did the R do any of the following? (04) Express too many questionnaires	discrete	numeric-2.0	6570	0	-
23	y8	Y8.After the interview, did you present the voucher to the R?	discrete	numeric-2.0	6570	0	-
24	y9	Y9.How cooperative was the R?	discrete	numeric-2.0	6570	0	-
25	y10	Y10.How well do you think the R understood the questions?	discrete	numeric-2.0	6570	0	-
26	y11	Y11.How sensitive do you think the R thought the questions were?	discrete	numeric-2.0	6570	0	-
27	y12	Y12.How interested do you think the R was in the contents of the survey?	discrete	numeric-2.0	6570	0	-
28	y13	Y13.How trustworthy do you think the R's answers are?	discrete	numeric-2.0	6570	0	-
29	y14	Y14.What do you think is the R's level of knowledge about general political matters?	discrete	numeric-2.0	6570	0	-
30	y15m01	Y15.The R answered questions: (01) By him or herself [skip to Z1]	discrete	numeric-2.0	6570	0	-
31	y15m02	Y15.The R answered questions: (02) With his or her spouse	discrete	numeric-2.0	6570	0	-
32	y15m03	Y15.The R answered questions: (03) A child also participated	discrete	numeric-2.0	6570	0	-
33	y15o03	Y15.The R answered questions: (03) Child's age is about:	discrete	numeric-2.0	6570	0	-
34	y15m04	Y15.The R answered questions: (04) With his or her entire family	discrete	numeric-2.0	6570	0	-
35	y15m06	Y15.The R answered questions: (06) Colleagues	discrete	numeric-2.0	6570	0	-
36	y15m07	Y15.The R answered questions: (07) Relatives	discrete	numeric-2.0	6570	0	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
37	y15m08	Y15.The R answered questions: (08) Servant (housekeeper)	discrete	numeric-2.0	6570	0	-
38	y15m09	Y15.The R answered questions: (09) Grandchildren	discrete	numeric-2.0	6570	0	-
39	y15m10	Y15.The R answered questions: (10) Customer	discrete	numeric-2.0	6570	0	-
40	y15m11	Y15.The R answered questions: (11) Brothers or sisters	discrete	numeric-2.0	6570	0	-
41	y15m12	Y15.The R answered questions: (12) Friends	discrete	numeric-2.0	6570	0	-
42	y15m13	Y15.The R answered questions: (13) Neighbors	discrete	numeric-2.0	6570	0	-
43	y15m14	Y15.The R answered questions: (14) Administrator	discrete	numeric-2.0	6570	0	-
44	y15m15	Y15.The R answered questions: (15) Students	discrete	numeric-2.0	6570	0	-
45	y15m16	Y15.The R answered questions: (16) Employees	discrete	numeric-2.0	6570	0	-
46	y15m17	Y15.The R answered questions: (17) Chiefs of village	discrete	numeric-2.0	6570	0	-
47	y16	Y16.Did a third person present at the interview do any of the following?	discrete	numeric-2.0	6570	0	-

Group Interviewer information

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	z1	Z1.Interviewer ID	continuous	numeric-7.0	6570	0	-
2	z2	Z2.Interviewer's gender	discrete	numeric-2.0	6570	0	-

Group Sample Information

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	id	ID	discrete	character-16	1166	0	-
2	tau	Tau	discrete	character-6	1166	0	-
3	city	City	discrete	numeric-2.0	1166	0	-
4	town	Town	discrete	numeric-4.0	1166	0	-
5	village	Village	discrete	numeric-7.0	1166	0	-

Group Part 1: Politics and Media

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	a1	A1.How closely do you follow politics on TV, radio, newspapers, or the Internet?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1166	0	-
2	a2	A2.Which is the main source for you to receive political	discrete	numeric-2.0	1166	0	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		news, television, radio, newspaper, or internet?					
3	a3	A3.Which newspaper did you read most often?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1166	0	-
4	a4	A4.Which TV news did you watch most often?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1166	0	-

Group Part 2: Political Mobilization and Political Involvement

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	b1	B1.How interested would you say you are in politics?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1166	0	-
2	b2	B2.Do you usually talk about politics or elections with other people?	discrete	numeric-1.0	1166	0	-

Group Part 3: Governing Performance

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	c1	C1.Now thinking about the performance of the president Tsai in general, how good or bad a job do you think the president did during her presidency?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1166	0	-
2	c2	C2.Regarding following problems that our country is facing, what do you think is the most priority that President Tsai, Ing-wen should handle?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1166	0	-
3	c3	C3.Regarding following problems that our country is facing, what do you think is the next priority that President Tsai, Ing-wen should handle?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1166	0	-
4	c4	C4.How satisfied are you with her performance in handling the Cross-strait relations?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1166	0	-
5	c5	C5.How satisfied are you with her performance in promoting economic development?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1166	0	-
6	c6	C6.How satisfied are you with her performance in handling the livelihood issues?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1166	0	-
7	c7	C7.Are you satisfied with the overall performance of the Executive Yuan?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1166	0	-
8	c8	C8.On a scale from 0 to 10, how would you rate the Legislative Yuan's overall performance from February 2016?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1166	0	-

Group Part 4: Political Efficacy and Political Trust							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	d1	D1.Some people say: 'People like me don't have any say about what the government does.'	discrete	numeric-2.0	1166	0	-
2	d2	D2.Some people say: 'Public officials do not care much about what people like me think.'	discrete	numeric-2.0	1166	0	-
3	d3	D3.Some people say: 'Sometimes politics seems so complicated that a person like me cannot really understand what is going on.'	discrete	numeric-2.0	1166	0	-
4	d4	D4.Some people say: 'Most decisions made by the government are correct.'	discrete	numeric-2.0	1166	0	-
5	d5	D5.Some people say: 'Government officials often waste a lot of money we pay in taxes.'	discrete	numeric-2.0	1166	0	-
6	d6	D6.When the government decides important policies, do you think 'public welfare' is its first priority?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1166	0	-

Group Part 5: Voting Behavior							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	e1	E1.Which presidential candidate did you vote for in the presidential election last year (2016)?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1166	0	-
2	e2	E2.In the last (2016) Legislative Election, which party's candidate did you vote for?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1166	0	-
3	e3	E3.Here is a list of all the parties that contested last legislative election. Which party did you cast your at-large vote for?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1166	0	-

Group Part 6: Issue Position							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	f1	F1.Regarding the issue of Cross-Strait negotiation, some people say that we should continue using the 1992 Consensus as the basis of Cross-Strait negotiation while other people say we should reject the 1992 Consensus. Which do you agree with?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1166	0	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
2	f2	F2.How satisfied are you with government in handling the annuity reform?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1166	0	-
3	f3	F3.Some people say, 'Abolishing 18-percent preferential retirement savings rate is not fair to the retired public servants.' Do you agree or disagree with this statement?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1166	0	-
4	f4	F4.Regarding to the government's annuity reformation, some people say: 'It's mainly political purpose'; someone say: 'It's mainly financial purpose.' What is your opinion?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1166	0	-
5	f5	F5.The Legislative Yuan has passed the Ill-gotten Party Assets Settlement Bill in July, 2016. Are you satisfied with overall performance of government in handling this issue?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1166	0	-
6	f6	F6.Some people say, 'The Civil Law should be amended so that homosexuals can get married and form a family.' Do you agree or disagree with this statement?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1166	0	-
7	f7	F7.Some people say: 'The public should be involved in the judicial trials'; Some people say: 'The public should not be involved in the judicial trial'.Which statement do you agree?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1166	0	-
8	f8	F8.How serious do you think the gap between rich and poor?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1166	0	-
9	f9	F9.How serious do you think low income of salaryman?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1166	0	-
10	f10	F10.How serious do you think food safety?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1166	0	-
11	f11	F11.How serious do you think the rise in prices?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1166	0	-

Group Part 7: Political Cleavages, Ethnic Identity, Independence Stance

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	g1	G1.Sometimes people will talk about the question of Taiwan independence or the unification with China. This card lists eleven positions from independence (0) to unification (10). Which position do you occupy?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1166	0	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
2	g1a	G1a.Sometimes people will talk about the question of Taiwan independence or the unification with China. What position do you think KMT occupies?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1166	0	-
3	g1b	G1b.Sometimes people will talk about the question of Taiwan independence or the unification with China. What position do you think DPP occupies?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1166	0	-
4	g1c	G1c.Sometimes people will talk about the question of Taiwan independence or the unification with China. What position do you think PFP occupies?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1166	0	-
5	g1d	G1d.Sometimes people will talk about the question of Taiwan independence or the unification with China. What position do you think NPP occupies?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1166	0	-
6	g2	G2.Do you consider yourself as Taiwanese, Chinese or both?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1166	0	-
7	g2a	G2a.Do you feel very strongly or somewhat strongly that you are Taiwanese/Chinese?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1166	0	-
8	g2b	G2b.Do you feel yourself leaning a little more to considering yourself Taiwanese or Chinese?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1166	0	-
9	g3	G3.Concerning the relationship between Taiwan and mainland China, which of the following six positions do you agree with?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1166	0	-

Group Part 8: Party Identification

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	h1	H1.Do you usually think of yourself as close to any particular party?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1166	0	-
2	h1a	H1a.Do you feel yourself a little closer to one of the political parties than the others?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1166	0	-
3	h1b	H1b.Which party do you feel closest to?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1166	0	-
4	h1c	H1c.Do you feel very close to this party, somewhat close, or not very close?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1166	0	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
5	h2	H2.Please rate it on a scale from 0 to 10, where would you place, KMT?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1166	0	-
6	h2a	H2a.Please rate it on a scale from 0 to 10, where would you place, DPP?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1166	0	-
7	h2b	H2b.Please rate it on a scale from 0 to 10, where would you place, PFP?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1166	0	-
8	h2c	H2c.Please rate it on a scale from 0 to 10, where would you place, NPP?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1166	0	-

Group Part 9: Personal Data

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	j1y	J1.Year of birth (Minguo calendar)	discrete	numeric-2.0	1166	0	-
2	j1m	J1.Month of birth	discrete	numeric-2.0	1166	0	-
3	j2	J2.Father's ethnic background	discrete	numeric-2.0	1166	0	-
4	j3	J3.Mother's ethnic background	discrete	numeric-2.0	1166	0	-
5	j4	J4.Educational level	discrete	numeric-2.0	1166	0	-
6	j5	J5.Religious belief	discrete	numeric-2.0	1166	0	-
7	j5a	J5a.Do you burn incense for the Earth God, Matsu, or some other spirits?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1166	0	-
8	j6	J6.Current employment status of R	discrete	numeric-2.0	1166	0	-
9	j6a	J6a.Main occupation of R	discrete	numeric-4.0	1166	0	-
10	j6b	J6b.Main occupation of R before retirement or unemployment	discrete	numeric-4.0	1166	0	-
11	j7	J7.Marital status	discrete	numeric-2.0	1166	0	-
12	j8	J8.Spouse's ethnic background	discrete	numeric-2.0	1166	0	-
13	j9	J9.Current employment status of R's spouse	discrete	numeric-2.0	1166	0	-
14	j9a	J9a.Main occupation of R's spouse	discrete	numeric-4.0	1166	0	-
15	j9b	J9b.Main occupation of R's spouse before his/her retirement or unemployment	discrete	numeric-4.0	1166	0	-
16	j10	J10.Language usually spoken at home	discrete	numeric-2.0	1166	0	-
17	j11	J11.How many people are there living in this address? Including yourself and someone studying away from home	discrete	numeric-2.0	1166	0	-
18	j11a	J11a.Then how many of these studying in the	discrete	numeric-2.0	1166	0	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		elementary, junior high, or senior high school?					
19	j12	J12.For these living with you, how many people are there over the age of 20 and not registered at this address? (Must have the Republic of China nationality)	discrete	numeric-2.0	1166	0	-
20	j13	J13.How many people are there over the age of 20, registered but not lived of this address?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1166	0	-
21	j14m01	J14.Have you or any member of your family made investments in Mainland China?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1166	0	-
22	j14m02	J14.Have you or any member of your family conducted business in Mainland China?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1166	0	-
23	j14m03	J14.Have you or any member of your family held employment in Mainland China?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1166	0	-
24	j14m04	J14.Have you or any member of your family settled down in Mainland China?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1166	0	-
25	j14m05	J14.Have you or any member of your family studied in Mainland China?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1166	0	-
26	j15	J15.If we divide social class to upper, upper middle, middle, lower middle and lower, which do you think you are?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1166	0	-
27	j16	J16.Monthly household income	discrete	numeric-2.0	1166	0	-
28	j17	J17.Who owns this house that you live in?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1166	0	-
29	j18	J18.Do you have driver's license?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1166	0	-
30	j19	J19.Are you a resident of where your household is registered?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1166	0	-
31	j19a	J19a.In which county/city and which township do you live?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1166	0	-
32	j19a02	J19a02.Which township or city in Yi Lan County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1166	0	-
33	j19a04	J19a04.Which township or city in Hsinchu County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1166	0	-
34	j19a05	J19a05.Which township or city in Miaoli County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1166	0	-
35	j19a07	J19a07.Which township or city in Changhua County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1166	0	-
36	j19a08	J19a08.Which township or city in Nantou County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1166	0	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
37	j19a09	J19a09.Which township or city in Yunlin County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1166	0	-
38	j19a10	J19a10.Which township or city in Chiayi County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1166	0	-
39	j19a13	J19a13.Which township or city in Pingtung County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1166	0	-
40	j19a14	J19a14.Which township or city in Taitung County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1166	0	-
41	j19a15	J19a15.Which township or city in Hualien County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1166	0	-
42	j19a16	J19a16.Which township or city in Penghu County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1166	0	-
43	j19a17	J19a17.Which district in Keelung City?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1166	0	-
44	j19a18	J19a18.Which district in Hsinchu City?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1166	0	-
45	j19a20	J19a20.Which district in Chiayi City?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1166	0	-
46	j19a63	J19a63.Which district in Taipei City?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1166	0	-
47	j19a64	J19a64.Which district in Kaohsiung City?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1166	0	-
48	j19a65	J19a65.Which district in New Taipei City?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1166	0	-
49	j19a66	J19a66.Which district in Taichung City?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1166	0	-
50	j19a67	J19a67.Which district in Tainan City?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1166	0	-
51	j19a68	J19a68.Which district in Taoyuan City?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1166	0	-
52	j20y	J20.How long do you live here? Years	discrete	numeric-2.0	1166	0	-
53	j20m	J20.How long do you live here? Months	discrete	numeric-2.0	1166	0	-
54	j21g1	J21.Does the R leave his/her telephone number and mobile phone number to I? Main	discrete	numeric-2.0	1166	0	-
55	j21g2	J21.Does the R leave his/her telephone number and mobile phone number to I? Other	discrete	numeric-2.0	1166	0	-
56	j22	J22.How many telephone lines does your household have? (only those used for voice communications)	discrete	numeric-2.0	1166	0	-
57	j23	J23.We would like to know what is your habit of telephone use?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1166	0	-
58	j23a	J23a.How many cell-phone numbers do you usually use?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1166	0	-
59	j23b	J23b.Which telecom company does your mobile number belong to?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1166	0	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
60	j23c	J23c.Are there any mobile phone numbers transferred from home phone numbers?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1166	0	-
61	j24	J24.Finally, our teacher is interested in the Internet usage in Taiwan, may you provide us your most frequently used e-mail?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1166	0	-
62	j25	J25.R's gender	discrete	numeric-1.0	1166	0	-
63	j26	J26.Language used to conduct interview	discrete	numeric-1.0	1166	0	-

Group New variables and Weight

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	sex	Sex	discrete	numeric-1.0	1166	0	-
2	age	Age	discrete	numeric-1.0	1166	0	-
3	edu	Education	discrete	numeric-1.0	1166	0	-
4	arear	Area	discrete	numeric-1.0	1166	0	-
5	career	Occupations5	discrete	numeric-1.0	1166	0	-
6	career8	Occupation8	discrete	numeric-1.0	1166	0	-
7	ethnic	Ethnic	discrete	numeric-1.0	1166	0	-
8	party	Party Preference	discrete	numeric-2.0	1166	0	-
9	partyid	Party Identification	discrete	numeric-1.0	1166	0	-
10	tondu	Position on unification and independence	discrete	numeric-1.0	1166	0	-
11	tondu3	3 categories of TONDU	discrete	numeric-1.0	1166	0	-
12	w	Weight	continuous	numeric-11.9	1166	0	-

Group Visit Record

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	id	ID	discrete	numeric-14.0	5184	0	-
2	tau	Set	discrete	numeric-2.0	5184	0	-
3	city	City, County	discrete	numeric-2.0	5184	0	-
4	town	Township, City, District	discrete	numeric-4.0	5184	0	-
5	village	Village	discrete	numeric-7.0	5184	0	-
6	t01	First contact-day	continuous	numeric-8.0	5184	0	-
7	t01a	First contact-time	discrete	numeric-1.0	5184	0	-
8	t02	First contact-result	discrete	numeric-3.0	5184	0	-
9	t03	Contact1	discrete	numeric-2.0	5184	0	-
10	t04	Second contact-day	continuous	numeric-8.0	5184	0	-
11	t04a	Second contact-time	discrete	numeric-2.0	5184	0	-
12	t05	Second contact-result	discrete	numeric-3.0	5184	0	-
13	t06	Contact2	discrete	numeric-2.0	5184	0	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
14	t07	Third contact-day	continuous	numeric-8.0	5184	0	-
15	t07a	Third contact-time	discrete	numeric-2.0	5184	0	-
16	t08	Third contact-result	discrete	numeric-3.0	5184	0	-
17	t09	Contact3	discrete	numeric-2.0	5184	0	-
18	t10	Community of the housing unit	discrete	numeric-1.0	5184	0	-
19	t11	Appearance of the housing unit	discrete	numeric-2.0	5184	0	-
20	t12	I's ID	discrete	numeric-7.0	5184	0	-
21	t13	Gender of I	discrete	numeric-1.0	5184	0	-
22	final_result	Last contact result	discrete	numeric-3.0	5184	0	-
23	w2g1	W2.Gender of the facilitator for in-house sampling	discrete	numeric-2.0	5184	0	-
24	w2g2	W2.Age of the facilitator for in-house sampling	discrete	numeric-3.0	5184	0	-
25	w3	W3.Where did you conduct the in-house sampling/ interview?	discrete	numeric-2.0	5184	0	-
26	w4	W4.Did anyone accompany you to pay the visit?	discrete	numeric-2.0	5184	0	-
27	w4_01	W4.Did anyone accompany you? (01) yes, the other I, please show the ID of the I:	discrete	numeric-7.0	5184	0	-
28	w4_02	W4.Did anyone accompany you? (02) yes, please explain the identity and gender of the I who accompany you.	discrete	numeric-2.0	5184	0	-
29	w4_04	W4.Did anyone accompany you? (04) yes, the supervisor. The ID of the supervisor:	discrete	numeric-7.0	5184	0	-
30	w5	W5.Does this address have any other function?	discrete	numeric-2.0	5184	0	-
31	w6m01	W6.Does this address have? (01) Doorbell	discrete	numeric-2.0	5184	0	-
32	w6m02	W6.Does this address have? (02) Doorphone	discrete	numeric-2.0	5184	0	-
33	w6m03	W6.Does this address have? (03) Guards or conservator	discrete	numeric-2.0	5184	0	-

Group Interview Record

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	y1	Y1.Record Type	discrete	numeric-2.0	5184	0	-
2	y2	Y2.Did you make an appointment for the interview?	discrete	numeric-2.0	5184	0	-
3	y3	Y3.Do you read all the questions?	discrete	numeric-2.0	5184	0	-
4	y4m01	Y4.Before the interview, did the R do any of the following? (01) Claim	discrete	numeric-2.0	5184	0	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		s/he was too busy to be interviewed					
5	y4m02	Y4.Before the interview, did the R do any of the following? (02) Claim he/she has something to do for his/her family thus cannot be interviewed	discrete	numeric-2.0	5184	0	-
6	y4m03	Y4.Before the interview, did the R do any of the following? (03) Claim not interested in taking an interview	discrete	numeric-2.0	5184	0	-
7	y4m04	Y4.Before the interview, did the R do any of the following? (04) Claim not trust opinion surveys	discrete	numeric-2.0	5184	0	-
8	y4m05	Y4.Before the interview, did the R do any of the following? (05) Express doubt about how the survey data would be used	discrete	numeric-2.0	5184	0	-
9	y4m06	Y4.Before the interview, did the R do any of the following? (06) Express doubt about whether R's opinions or personal information would be kept private	discrete	numeric-2.0	5184	0	-
10	y4m07	Y4.Before the interview, did the R do any of the following? (07) Express doubt about the hosted organization of the survey or principal investigator of the survey	discrete	numeric-2.0	5184	0	-
11	y4m08	Y4.Before the interview, did the R do any of the following? (08) Express doubt about the identity of the interviewer	discrete	numeric-2.0	5184	0	-
12	y4m09	Y4.Before the interview, did the R do any of the following? (09) A family member opposed the R being interviewed	discrete	numeric-2.0	5184	0	-
13	y5	Y5.From your interview with the R, how much effort did you spent on persuading R until he/she agreed to take the interview?	discrete	numeric-2.0	5184	0	-
14	y6m01	Y6.During the interview, did the R encounter any of the following situation that affect the interview? (01) Oral expression Impairment	discrete	numeric-2.0	5184	0	-
15	y6m02	Y6.During the interview, did the R encounter any of the following situation that affect the interview? (02) Hearing Impairment	discrete	numeric-2.0	5184	0	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
16	y6m03	Y6.During the interview, did the R encounter any of the following situation that affect the interview? (03) Intellectual Disability	discrete	numeric-2.0	5184	0	-
17	y6m04	Y6.During the interview, did the R encounter any of the following situation that affect the interview? (04) Need to translate because of language barrier	discrete	numeric-2.0	5184	0	-
18	y6o04	Y6.During the interview, did the R encounter any of the following situation that affect the interview? (04) explain the identity of the translator	discrete	numeric-2.0	5184	0	-
19	y7m01	Y7.During the interview, did the R do any of the following? (01) Ask to fill out the questionnaire him or herself	discrete	numeric-2.0	5184	0	-
20	y7m02	Y7.During the interview, did the R do any of the following? (02) Ask to see the questionnaire	discrete	numeric-2.0	5184	0	-
21	y7m03	Y7.During the interview, did the R do any of the following? (03) Tend to quit the interview	discrete	numeric-2.0	5184	0	-
22	y7m04	Y7.During the interview, did the R do any of the following? (04) Express too many questionnaires	discrete	numeric-2.0	5184	0	-
23	y8	Y8.After the interview, did you present the voucher to the R?	discrete	numeric-2.0	5184	0	-
24	y9	Y9.How cooperative was the R?	discrete	numeric-2.0	5184	0	-
25	y10	Y10.How well do you think the R understood the questions?	discrete	numeric-2.0	5184	0	-
26	y11	Y11.How sensitive do you think the R thought the questions were?	discrete	numeric-2.0	5184	0	-
27	y12	Y12.How interested do you think the R was in the contents of the survey?	discrete	numeric-2.0	5184	0	-
28	y13	Y13.How trustworthy do you think the R's answers are?	discrete	numeric-2.0	5184	0	-
29	y14	Y14.What do you think is the R's level of knowledge about general political matters?	discrete	numeric-2.0	5184	0	-
30	y15m01	Y15.The R answered questions: (01) By him or herself [skip to Z1]	discrete	numeric-2.0	5184	0	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
31	y15m02	Y15.The R answered questions: (02) With his or her spouse	discrete	numeric-2.0	5184	0	-
32	y15m03	Y15.The R answered questions: (03) A child also participated	discrete	numeric-2.0	5184	0	-
33	y15o03	Y15.The R answered questions: (03) Child's age is about:	discrete	numeric-2.0	5184	0	-
34	y15m04	Y15.The R answered questions: (04) With his or her entire family	discrete	numeric-2.0	5184	0	-
35	y15m06	Y15.The R answered questions: (06) Colleagues	discrete	numeric-2.0	5184	0	-
36	y15m07	Y15.The R answered questions: (07) Relatives	discrete	numeric-2.0	5184	0	-
37	y15m08	Y15.The R answered questions: (08) Servant (housekeeper)	discrete	numeric-2.0	5184	0	-
38	y15m09	Y15.The R answered questions: (09) Grandchildren	discrete	numeric-2.0	5184	0	-
39	y15m10	Y15.The R answered questions: (10) Customer	discrete	numeric-2.0	5184	0	-
40	y15m11	Y15.The R answered questions: (11) Brothers or sisters	discrete	numeric-2.0	5184	0	-
41	y15m12	Y15.The R answered questions: (12) Friends	discrete	numeric-2.0	5184	0	-
42	y15m13	Y15.The R answered questions: (13) Neighbors	discrete	numeric-2.0	5184	0	-
43	y15m14	Y15.The R answered questions: (14) Administrator	discrete	numeric-2.0	5184	0	-
44	y15m15	Y15.The R answered questions: (15) Students	discrete	numeric-2.0	5184	0	-
45	y15m16	Y15.The R answered questions: (16) Employees	discrete	numeric-2.0	5184	0	-
46	y15m17	Y15.The R answered questions: (17) Chiefs of village	discrete	numeric-2.0	5184	0	-
47	y16	Y16.Did a third person present at the interview do any of the following?	discrete	numeric-2.0	5184	0	-

Group Interviewer information

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	z1	Z1.Interviewer ID	discrete	numeric-7.0	5184	0	-
2	z2	Z2.Interviewer's gender	discrete	numeric-2.0	5184	0	-

Group Sample Information

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
---	------	-------	------	--------	-------	---------	----------

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	id	ID	discrete	numeric-14.0	1186	0	-
2	tau	Tau	discrete	numeric-2.0	1186	0	-
3	city	City	discrete	numeric-2.0	1186	0	-
4	town	Town	discrete	numeric-4.0	1186	0	-
5	village	Village	discrete	numeric-7.0	1186	0	-

Group Part 1: Politics and Media

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	a1	A1.How closely do you follow politics on TV, radio, newspapers, or the Internet?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1186	0	-
2	a2	A2.Which is the main source for you to receive political news, television, radio, newspaper, or internet?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1186	0	-
3	a3	A3.Which newspaper did you read most often?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1186	0	-
4	a4	A4.Which TV news did you watch most often?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1186	0	-

Group Part 2: Political Mobilization and Political Involvement

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	b1	B1.How interested would you say you are in politics?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1186	0	-
2	b2	B2.Do you usually talk about politics or elections with other people?	discrete	numeric-1.0	1186	0	-

Group Part 3: Governing Performance

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	c1	C1.Now thinking about the performance of the president Tsai in general, how good or bad a job do you think the president did during her presidency?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1186	0	-
2	c2	C2.Regarding following problems that our country is facing, what do you think is the most priority that President Tsai, Ing-wen should handle?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1186	0	-
3	c3	C3.Regarding following problems that our country is facing, what do you think is the next priority that President Tsai, Ing-wen should handle?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1186	0	-
4	c4	C4.How satisfied are you with her performance in	discrete	numeric-2.0	1186	0	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		handling the Cross-strait relations?					
5	c5	C5.How satisfied are you with her performance in promoting economic development?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1186	0	-
6	c6	C6.How satisfied are you with her performance in handling the livelihood issues?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1186	0	-
7	c7	C7.Are you satisfied with the overall performance of the Executive Yuan?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1186	0	-
8	c8	C8.On a scale from 0 to 10, how would you rate the Legislative Yuan's overall performance from February 2016?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1186	0	-

Group Part 4: Political Efficacy and Political Trust

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	d1	D1.Some people say: 'People like me don't have any say about what the government does.'	discrete	numeric-2.0	1186	0	-
2	d2	D2.Some people say: 'Public officials do not care much about what people like me think.'	discrete	numeric-2.0	1186	0	-
3	d3	D3.Some people say: 'Sometimes politics seems so complicated that a person like me cannot really understand what is going on.'	discrete	numeric-2.0	1186	0	-
4	d4	D4.Some people say: 'Most decisions made by the government are correct.'	discrete	numeric-2.0	1186	0	-
5	d5	D5.Some people say: 'Government officials often waste a lot of money we pay in taxes.'	discrete	numeric-2.0	1186	0	-
6	d6	D6.When the government decides important policies, do you think 'public welfare' is its first priority?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1186	0	-

Group Part 5: Voting Behavior

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	e1	E1.Which presidential candidate did you vote for in the presidential election last year (2016)?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1186	0	-
2	e2	E2.In the last (2016) Legislative Election, which	discrete	numeric-2.0	1186	0	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		party's candidate did you vote for?					
3	e3	E3.Here is a list of all the parties that contested last legislative election. Which party did you cast your at-large vote for?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1186	0	-

Group Part 6: Issue Position

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	f1	F1.Regarding the issue of Cross-Strait negotiation, some people say that we should continue using the 1992 Consensus as the basis of Cross-Strait negotiation while other people say we should reject the 1992 Consensus. Which do you agree with?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1186	0	-
2	f2	F2.How satisfied are you with government in handling the annuity reform?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1186	0	-
3	f3	F3.Some people say, 'Abolishing 18-percent preferential retirement savings rate is not fair to the retired public servants.' Do you agree or disagree with this statement?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1186	0	-
4	f4	F4.Regarding to the government's annuity reformation, some people say: 'It's mainly political purpose'; someone say: 'It's mainly financial purpose.' What is your opinion?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1186	0	-
5	f5	F5.The Legislative Yuan has passed the Ill-gotten Party Assets Settlement Bill in July, 2016. Are you satisfied with overall performance of government in handling this issue?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1186	0	-
6	f6	F6.Some people say, 'The Civil Law should be amended so that homosexuals can get married and form a family.' Do you agree or disagree with this statement?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1186	0	-
7	f7	F7.Some people say: 'The public should be involved in the judicial trials'; Some people say: 'The public should not be involved in the judicial trial'.Which statement do you agree?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1186	0	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
8	f8	F8.How serious do you think the gap between rich and poor?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1186	0	-
9	f9	F9.How serious do you think low income of salaryman?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1186	0	-
10	f10	F10.How serious do you think food safety?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1186	0	-
11	f11	F11.How serious do you think the rise in prices?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1186	0	-

Group Part 7: Political Cleavages, Ethnic Identity, Independence Stance

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	g1	G1.Sometimes people will talk about the question of Taiwan independence or the unification with China. This card lists eleven positions from independence (0) to unification (10). Which position do you occupy?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1186	0	-
2	g1a	G1a.Sometimes people will talk about the question of Taiwan independence or the unification with China. What position do you think KMT occupies?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1186	0	-
3	g1b	G1b.Sometimes people will talk about the question of Taiwan independence or the unification with China. What position do you think DPP occupies?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1186	0	-
4	g1c	G1c.Sometimes people will talk about the question of Taiwan independence or the unification with China. What position do you think PFP occupies?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1186	0	-
5	g1d	G1d.Sometimes people will talk about the question of Taiwan independence or the unification with China. What position do you think NPP occupies?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1186	0	-
6	g2	G2.Do you consider yourself as Taiwanese, Chinese or both?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1186	0	-
7	g2a	G2a.Do you feel very strongly or somewhat strongly that you are Taiwanese/Chinese?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1186	0	-
8	g2b	G2b.Do you feel yourself leaning a little more to considering yourself Taiwanese or Chinese?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1186	0	-
9	g3	G3.Concerning the relationship between Taiwan	discrete	numeric-2.0	1186	0	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		and mainland China, which of the following six positions do you agree with?					

Group Part 8: Party Identification

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	h1	H1.Do you usually think of yourself as close to any particular party?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1186	0	-
2	h1a	H1a.Do you feel yourself a little closer to one of the political parties than the others?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1186	0	-
3	h1b	H1b.Which party do you feel closest to?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1186	0	-
4	h1c	H1c.Do you feel very close to this party, somewhat close, or not very close?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1186	0	-
5	h2	H2.Please rate it on a scale from 0 to 10, where would you place, KMT?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1186	0	-
6	h2a	H2a.Please rate it on a scale from 0 to 10, where would you place, DPP?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1186	0	-
7	h2b	H2b.Please rate it on a scale from 0 to 10, where would you place, PFP?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1186	0	-
8	h2c	H2c.Please rate it on a scale from 0 to 10, where would you place, NPP?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1186	0	-

Group Part 9: Personal Data

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	j1y	J1.Year of birth (Minguo calendar)	continuous	numeric-2.0	1186	0	-
2	j1m	J1.Month of birth	discrete	numeric-2.0	1186	0	-
3	j2	J2.Father's ethnic background	discrete	numeric-2.0	1186	0	-
4	j3	J3.Mother's ethnic background	discrete	numeric-2.0	1186	0	-
5	j4	J4.Educational level	discrete	numeric-2.0	1186	0	-
6	j5	J5.Religious belief	discrete	numeric-2.0	1186	0	-
7	j5a	J5a.Do you burn incense for the Earth God, Matsu, or some other spirits?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1186	0	-
8	j6	J6.Current employment status of R	discrete	numeric-2.0	1186	0	-
9	j6a	J6a.Main occupation of R	discrete	numeric-4.0	1186	0	-
10	j6b	J6b.Main occupation of R before retirement or unemployment	discrete	numeric-4.0	1186	0	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
11	j7	J7.Marital status	discrete	numeric-2.0	1186	0	-
12	j8	J8.Spouse's ethnic background	discrete	numeric-2.0	1186	0	-
13	j9	J9.Current employment status of R's spouse	discrete	numeric-2.0	1186	0	-
14	j9a	J9a.Main occupation of R's spouse	discrete	numeric-4.0	1186	0	-
15	j9b	J9b.Main occupation of R's spouse before his/her retirement or unemployment	discrete	numeric-4.0	1186	0	-
16	j10	J10.Language usually spoken at home	discrete	numeric-2.0	1186	0	-
17	j11	J11.How many people are there living in this address? Including yourself and someone studying away from home	discrete	numeric-2.0	1186	0	-
18	j11a	J11a.Then how many of these studying in the elementary, junior high, or senior high school?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1186	0	-
19	j12	J12.For these living with you, how many people are there over the age of 20 and not registered at this address? (Must have the Republic of China nationality)	discrete	numeric-2.0	1186	0	-
20	j13	J13.How many people are there over the age of 20, registered but not lived of this address?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1186	0	-
21	j14m01	J14.Have you or any member of your family made investments in Mainland China?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1186	0	-
22	j14m02	J14.Have you or any member of your family conducted business in Mainland China?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1186	0	-
23	j14m03	J14.Have you or any member of your family held employment in Mainland China?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1186	0	-
24	j14m04	J14.Have you or any member of your family settled down in Mainland China?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1186	0	-
25	j14m05	J14.Have you or any member of your family studied in Mainland China?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1186	0	-
26	j15	J15.If we divide social class to upper, upper middle, middle, lower middle and lower, which do you think you are?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1186	0	-
27	j16	J16.Monthly household income	discrete	numeric-2.0	1186	0	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
28	j17	J17.Who owns this house that you live in?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1186	0	-
29	j18	J18.Do you have driver's license?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1186	0	-
30	j19	J19.Are you a resident of where your household is registered?	discrete	numeric-1.0	1186	0	-
31	j19a	J19a.In which county/city and which township do you live?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1186	0	-
32	j19a02	J19a02.Which township or city in Yi Lan County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1186	0	-
33	j19a04	J19a04.Which township or city in Hsinchu County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1186	0	-
34	j19a05	J19a05.Which township or city in Miaoli County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1186	0	-
35	j19a07	J19a07.Which township or city in Changhua County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1186	0	-
36	j19a08	J19a08.Which township or city in Nantou County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1186	0	-
37	j19a09	J19a09.Which township or city in Yunlin County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1186	0	-
38	j19a10	J19a10.Which township or city in Chiayi County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1186	0	-
39	j19a13	J19a13.Which township or city in Pingtung County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1186	0	-
40	j19a14	J19a14.Which township or city in Taitung County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1186	0	-
41	j19a15	J19a15.Which township or city in Hualien County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1186	0	-
42	j19a16	J19a16.Which township or city in Penghu County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1186	0	-
43	j19a17	J19a17.Which district in Keelung City?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1186	0	-
44	j19a18	J19a18.Which district in Hsinchu City?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1186	0	-
45	j19a20	J19a20.Which district in Chiayi City?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1186	0	-
46	j19a63	J19a63.Which district in Taipei City?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1186	0	-
47	j19a64	J19a64.Which district in Kaohsiung City?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1186	0	-
48	j19a65	J19a65.Which district in New Taipei City?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1186	0	-
49	j19a66	J19a66.Which district in Taichung City?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1186	0	-
50	j19a67	J19a67.Which district in Tainan City?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1186	0	-
51	j19a68	J19a68.Which district in Taoyuan City?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1186	0	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
52	j20y	J20.How long do you live here? Years	continuous	numeric-2.0	1186	0	-
53	j20m	J20.How long do you live here? Months	discrete	numeric-2.0	1186	0	-
54	j21g1	J21.Does the R leave his/her telephone number and mobile phone number to I? Main	discrete	numeric-2.0	1186	0	-
55	j21g2	J21.Does the R leave his/her telephone number and mobile phone number to I? Other	discrete	numeric-2.0	1186	0	-
56	j22	J22.How many telephone lines does your household have? (only those used for voice communications)	discrete	numeric-2.0	1186	0	-
57	j23	J23.We would like to know what is your habit of telephone use?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1186	0	-
58	j23a	J23a.How many cell-phone numbers do you usually use?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1186	0	-
59	j23b	J23b.Which telecom company does your mobile number belong to?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1186	0	-
60	j23c	J23c.Are there any mobile phone numbers transferred from home phone numbers?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1186	0	-
61	j24	J24.Finally, our teacher is interested in the Internet usage in Taiwan, may you provide us your most frequently used e-mail?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1186	0	-
62	j25	J25.R's gender	discrete	numeric-1.0	1186	0	-
63	j26	J26.Language used to conduct interview	discrete	numeric-1.0	1186	0	-

Group New variables and Weight

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	sex	Sex	discrete	numeric-1.0	1186	0	-
2	age	Age	discrete	numeric-1.0	1186	0	-
3	edu	Education	discrete	numeric-1.0	1186	0	-
4	arear	Area	discrete	numeric-1.0	1186	0	-
5	career	Occupations5	discrete	numeric-1.0	1186	0	-
6	career8	Occupation8	discrete	numeric-1.0	1186	0	-
7	ethnic	Ethnic	discrete	numeric-1.0	1186	0	-
8	party	Party Preference	discrete	numeric-2.0	1186	0	-
9	partyid	Party Identification	discrete	numeric-1.0	1186	0	-
10	tondu	Position on unification and independence	discrete	numeric-1.0	1186	0	-
11	tondu3	3 categories of TONDU	discrete	numeric-1.0	1186	0	-
12	w	Weight	continuous	numeric-11.9	1186	0	-

Variables Description

Dataset contains 442 variable(s)

File : TEDS2017AE_indC

id: ID

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=6570 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

tau: Set

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=6570 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
M		6570	100.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

city: City, County

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 2-68] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=6570 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Yi Lan County	193	2.9%
4	Hsinchu County	280	4.3%
5	Miaoli County	212	3.2%
7	Changhua County	347	5.3%
9	Yunlin County	135	2.1%
15	Hualien County	134	2.0%
20	Chiayi City	123	1.9%
63	Taipei City	1593	24.2%
64	Kaohsiung City	1013	15.4%
65	New Taipei City	1068	16.3%
66	Taichung City	554	8.4%
67	Tainan City	421	6.4%
68	Taoyuan County	497	7.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

town: Township, City, District

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 201-6810] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=6570 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
201	Yilan City, Yi Lan County	63	1.0%
209	Wujie Township, Yi Lan County	67	1.0%
210	Sanxing Township, Yi Lan County	63	1.0%
401	Zhubei City, Hsinchu County	137	2.1%
402	Zhudong Township, Hsinchu County	73	1.1%
405	Hukou Township, Hsinchu County	70	1.1%
501	Miaoli City, Miaoli County	146	2.2%
505	Toufen City, Miaoli County	66	1.0%
701	Changhua City, Changhua County	78	1.2%
702	Lukang Township, Changhua County	126	1.9%
709	Fenyuan Township, Changhua County	38	0.6%
710	Yuanlin City, Changhua County	105	1.6%

File : TEDS2017AE_indC

town: Township, City, District

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
901	Douliu City, Yunlin County	45	0.7%
907	Gukeng Township, Yunlin County	45	0.7%
912	Lunbei Township, Yunlin County	45	0.7%
1501	Hualien City, Hualien County	92	1.4%
1505	Jian Township, Hualien County	42	0.6%
2002	West District, Chiayi City	123	1.9%
6301	Songshan District, Taipei City	202	3.1%
6302	Xinyi District, Taipei City	303	4.6%
6303	Daan District, Taipei City	312	4.7%
6304	Zhongshan District, Taipei City	104	1.6%
6309	Nangang District, Taipei City	202	3.1%
6310	Neihu District, Taipei City	101	1.5%
6312	Beitou District, Taipei City	369	5.6%
6403	Zuoying District, Kaohsiung City	49	0.7%
6404	Nanzi District, Kaohsiung City	106	1.6%
6405	Sanmin District, Kaohsiung City	210	3.2%
6408	Lingya District, Kaohsiung City	177	2.7%
6409	Qianzhen District, Kaohsiung City	106	1.6%
6411	Xiaogang District, Kaohsiung City	53	0.8%
6412	Fengshan District, Kaohsiung City	165	2.5%
6416	Dashe District, Kaohsiung City	49	0.7%
6430	Qishan District, Kaohsiung City	98	1.5%
6501	Banqiao District, New Taipei City	234	3.6%
6502	Sanchong District, New Taipei City	294	4.5%
6503	Zhonghe District, New Taipei City	285	4.3%
6509	Sanxia District, New Taipei City	85	1.3%
6513	Tucheng District, New Taipei City	170	2.6%
6606	Xitun District, Taichung City	41	0.6%
6607	Nantun District, Taichung City	96	1.5%
6608	Beitun District, Taichung City	159	2.4%
6609	Fengyuan District, Taichung City	129	2.0%
6627	Taiping District, Taichung City	43	0.7%
6628	Dali District, Taichung City	86	1.3%
6703	Baihe District, Tainan City	56	0.9%
6706	Dongshan District, Tainan City	56	0.9%
6709	Liujia District, Tainan City	56	0.9%
6727	Rende District, Tainan City	43	0.7%
6728	Guiren District, Tainan City	43	0.7%
6731	Yongkang District, Tainan City	43	0.7%
6732	East District, Tainan City	46	0.7%
6736	Anping District, Tainan City	78	1.2%
6801	Taoyuan District, Taoyuan County	220	3.3%
6805	Luzhu District, Taoyuan County	112	1.7%

File : TEDS2017AE_indC

town: Township, City, District

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6810	Pingzhen District, Taoyuan County	165	2.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

village: Village

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 201015-6810033] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=6570 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
201015	Yanping Village, Yilan City, Yi Lan County	63	1.0%
209007	Fuxing Village, Wujie Township, Yi Lan County	67	1.0%
210008	Yuemei Village, Sanxing Township, Yi Lan County	63	1.0%
401026	Fude Village, Zhubei City, Hsinchu County	137	2.1%
402022	Nanhua Village, Zhudong Township, Hsinchu County	73	1.1%
405016	Zhongxing Village, Hukou Township, Hsinchu County	70	1.1%
501001	Zhongmiao Village, Miaoli City, Miaoli County	73	1.1%
501023	Shuiyuan Village, Miaoli City, Miaoli County	73	1.1%
505018	Tianliao Village, Toufen City, Miaoli County	66	1.0%
701031	Pinghe Village, Changhua City, Changhua County	39	0.6%
701043	Xian Village, Changhua City, Changhua County	39	0.6%
702003	Luojin Village, Lukang Township, Changhua County	42	0.6%
702023	Caozhong Village, Lukang Township, Changhua County	42	0.6%
702024	Tounan Village, Lukang Township, Changhua County	42	0.6%
709009	Zunqian Village, Fenyuan Township, Changhua County	38	0.6%
710007	Guangming Village, Yuanlin City, Changhua County	35	0.5%
710015	Yuantan Village, Yuanlin City, Changhua County	35	0.5%
710022	Darao Village, Yuanlin City, Changhua County	35	0.5%
901026	Liuzhong Village, Douliu City, Yunlin County	45	0.7%
907010	Yungguang Village, Gukeng Township, Yunlin County	45	0.7%
912001	Dongming Village, Lunbei Township, Yunlin County	45	0.7%
1501001	Minli Village, Hualien City, Hualien County	46	0.7%
1501003	Minzu Village, Hualien City, Hualien County	46	0.7%
1505003	Taichang Village, Jian Township, Hualien County	42	0.6%
2002022	Xinxi Village, West District, Chiayi City	41	0.6%
2002047	Chedian Village, West District, Chiayi City	41	0.6%
2002068	Baofu Village, West District, Chiayi City	41	0.6%
6301004	Sanmin Village, Songshan District, Taipei City	101	1.5%
6301012	Longtian Village, Songshan District, Taipei City	101	1.5%
6302005	Xinren Village, Xinyi District, Taipei City	101	1.5%
6302007	Dunhou Village, Xinyi District, Taipei City	101	1.5%
6302020	Guoye Village, Xinyi District, Taipei City	101	1.5%
6303014	Guzhuang Village, Daan District, Taipei City	104	1.6%
6303016	Jinan Village, Daan District, Taipei City	104	1.6%
6303026	Longzheng Village, Daan District, Taipei City	104	1.6%
6304022	Xinsheng Village, Zhongshan District, Taipei City	104	1.6%
6309010	Hecheng Village, Nangang District, Taipei City	101	1.5%

File : TEDS2017AE_indC

village: Village

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6309015	Liencheng Village, Nangang District, Taipei City	101	1.5%
6310008	Ganghua Village, Neihu District, Taipei City	101	1.5%
6312003	Shipai Village, Beitou District, Taipei City	123	1.9%
6312005	Rongguang Village, Beitou District, Taipei City	123	1.9%
6312021	Qingjiang Village, Beitou District, Taipei City	123	1.9%
6403044	Fushan Village, Zuoying District, Kaohsiung City	49	0.7%
6404001	Qingfeng Village, Nanzi District, Kaohsiung City	53	0.8%
6404013	Ruiping Village, Nanzi District, Kaohsiung City	53	0.8%
6405007	Dingtai Village, Sanmin District, Kaohsiung City	70	1.1%
6405009	Benhe Village, Sanmin District, Kaohsiung City	70	1.1%
6405028	BaoLong Village, Sanmin District, Kaohsiung City	70	1.1%
6408014	Renzheng Village, Lingya District, Kaohsiung City	59	0.9%
6408058	Yungkang Village, Lingya District, Kaohsiung City	59	0.9%
6408067	Wenchang Village, Lingya District, Kaohsiung City	59	0.9%
6409012	Mingdao Village, Qianzhen District, Kaohsiung City	53	0.8%
6409032	Lianghe Village, Qianzhen District, Kaohsiung City	53	0.8%
6411018	Shandong Village, Xiaogang District, Kaohsiung City	53	0.8%
6412016	Zhennan Village, Fengshan District, Kaohsiung City	55	0.8%
6412027	Zhongyi Village, Fengshan District, Kaohsiung City	55	0.8%
6412067	Xinle Village, Fengshan District, Kaohsiung City	55	0.8%
6416006	Guanyin Village, Dashe District, Kaohsiung City	49	0.7%
6430002	Dade Village, Qishan District, Kaohsiung City	49	0.7%
6430005	Zhongzheng Village, Qishan District, Kaohsiung City	49	0.7%
6501054	Puqian Village, Banqiao District, New Taipei City	78	1.2%
6501067	Xian Village, Banqiao District, New Taipei City	78	1.2%
6501084	Huaxing Village, Banqiao District, New Taipei City	78	1.2%
6502046	Chungxin Village, Sanchong District, New Taipei City	98	1.5%
6502085	Shangde Village, Sanchong District, New Taipei City	98	1.5%
6502110	Boai Village, Sanchong District, New Taipei City	98	1.5%
6503013	Fuzhen Village, Zhonghe District, New Taipei City	95	1.4%
6503016	Fusxiang Village, Zhonghe District, New Taipei City	95	1.4%
6503079	Jingben Village, Zhonghe District, New Taipei City	95	1.4%
6509017	Xibei Village, Sanxia District, New Taipei City	85	1.3%
6513016	Qingxi Village, Tucheng District, New Taipei City	85	1.3%
6513031	Anhe Village, Tucheng District, New Taipei City	85	1.3%
6606011	Dahe Village, Xitun District, Taichung City	41	0.6%
6607007	Wenxin Village, Nantun District, Taichung City	48	0.7%
6607017	Tongxin Village, Nantun District, Taichung City	48	0.7%
6608014	Dakeng Village, Beitun District, Taichung City	53	0.8%
6608035	Pingxin Village, Beitun District, Taichung City	53	0.8%
6608039	Pingxing Village, Beitun District, Taichung City	53	0.8%
6609005	Xiajie Village, Fengyuan District, Taichung City	43	0.7%
6609030	Nancun Village, Fengyuan District, Taichung City	43	0.7%

File : TEDS2017AE_indC

village: Village

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6609033	Wengming Village, Fengyuan District, Taichung City	43	0.7%
6627022	Chenggong Village, Taiping District, Taichung City	43	0.7%
6628014	Renhua Village, Dali District, Taichung City	43	0.7%
6628021	Guoguang Village, Dali District, Taichung City	43	0.7%
6703015	Zhaoan Village, Baihe District, Tainan City	56	0.9%
6706003	Dongzheng Village, Dongshan District, Tainan City	56	0.9%
6709006	Erjia Village, Liujia District, Tainan City	56	0.9%
6727012	Chenggong Village, Rende District, Tainan City	43	0.7%
6728007	Kanxi Village, Guiren District, Tainan City	43	0.7%
6731017	Beiwan Village, Yongkang District, Tainan City	43	0.7%
6732025	Huwei Village, East District, Tainan City	46	0.7%
6736002	Jincheng Village, Anping District, Tainan City	39	0.6%
6736015	Pingan Village, Anping District, Tainan City	39	0.6%
6801022	Xinpu Village, Taoyuan District, Taoyuan County	55	0.8%
6801029	Zhongcheng Village, Taoyuan District, Taoyuan County	55	0.8%
6801036	Longgang Village, Taoyuan District, Taoyuan County	55	0.8%
6801038	Qingxi Village, Taoyuan District, Taoyuan County	55	0.8%
6805001	Xinxing Village, Luzhu District, Taoyuan County	56	0.9%
6805003	Xinzhuang Village, Luzhu District, Taoyuan County	56	0.9%
6810030	Yungan Village, Pingzhen District, Taoyuan County	55	0.8%
6810032	Fulin Village, Pingzhen District, Taoyuan County	55	0.8%
6810033	Jinxing Village, Pingzhen District, Taoyuan County	55	0.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

t01: First contact-day

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 20170626-99999996] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6570 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
99999996	Skipped		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

t01a: First contact-time

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-96] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6570 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Morning	900	13.7%
2	Afternoon	3594	54.7%
3	Night	2076	31.6%
96	Skipped	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

t02: First contact-result

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 100-996] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6570 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

File : TEDS2017AE_indC

t02: First contact-result

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
100	Completion	505	7.7%
201	No one can be reached in the household. (In-house sampling c	61	0.9%
202	No one can be reached in the household without in-house samp	2954	45.0%
203	In-house sampling completed but R is temporarily not at home	235	3.6%
204	In-house sampling completed but R cannot take the interview	75	1.1%
205	In-house sampling completed but R leave, after interview sta	12	0.2%
206	Temporarily inconvenient	364	5.5%
207	Language problems	8	0.1%
208	Break off, after interview started	4	0.1%
301	In-house sampling completed but no qualified R	25	0.4%
302	Refusal before in-house sampling	714	10.9%
303	Refusal at in-house sampling	269	4.1%
304	Unable to gain the access to the housing unit	482	7.3%
305	Language problems	5	0.1%
306	In-house sampling completed but refusal by the family	103	1.6%
307	Refusal by the R	106	1.6%
308	Break off, after interview started	11	0.2%
309	R works, studies, or travels elsewhere	42	0.6%
310	R works, studies, or travels overseas	14	0.2%
311	Unable to locate the address	39	0.6%
312	Empty housing unit	78	1.2%
313	Renovation of the housing unit	19	0.3%
314	R is inconvenient during interview period (mourning period)	2	0.0%
315	R is inconvenient during interview period (sick)	10	0.2%
316	R is physically or mentally unable to be interviewed	24	0.4%
317	R missing	2	0.0%
318	Emigration	1	0.0%
319	Serve in the military	0	
320	Get married and move out	3	0.0%
321	In jail	1	0.0%
322	Become a monk or nun	0	
323	Death	2	0.0%
324	Unfriendly circumstances	5	0.1%
325	R refuse the interview on the phone	17	0.3%
326	R refuse to sign interview agreement	11	0.2%
327	R ask to delete data	4	0.1%
328	Fail due to standardized interview principle	33	0.5%
329	Government agency, Company, School, Military agency, Hospita	163	2.5%
330	R is registered in the household, but does not lives here	116	1.8%
331	R's household registration moves out	2	0.0%
332	R unavailable at the address	0	
333	R moves out	33	0.5%
334	R have already interviewed	16	0.2%

File : TEDS2017AE_indC

t02: First contact-result

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
996	Skipped	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

t03: Contact1

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-96] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6570 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Doorman	1003	15.3%
2	Door phone	1510	23.0%
3	Ring door bell or knock the door	2054	31.3%
4	Go into the house after ask the household	1191	18.1%
6	Contact on the telephone	19	0.3%
7	Ask neighbors, relatives or friends	335	5.1%
8	Ask the head of a neighborhood	37	0.6%
9	Communicate on the internet	2	0.0%
10	Communicate by letter or email	46	0.7%
11	Shout out at the door	355	5.4%
89	R refuse the interview on the phone	18	0.3%
96	Skipped	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

t04: Second contact-day

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 20170626-99999996] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6570 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
99999996	Skipped	2815	100.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

t04a: Second contact-time

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-96] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6570 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Morning	658	10.0%
2	Afternoon	1681	25.6%
3	Night	1416	21.6%
96	Skipped	2815	42.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

t05: Second contact-result

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 100-996] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6570 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
100	Completion	378	5.8%
201	No one can be reached in the household. (In-house sampling c	75	1.1%
202	No one can be reached in the household without in-house samp	2000	30.4%

File : TEDS2017AE_indC

t05: Second contact-result

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
203	In-house sampling completed but R is temporarily not at home	133	2.0%
204	In-house sampling completed but R cannot take the interview	35	0.5%
205	In-house sampling completed but R leave, after interview sta	8	0.1%
206	Temporarily inconvenient	179	2.7%
207	Language problems	7	0.1%
208	Break off, after interview started	8	0.1%
301	In-house sampling completed but no qualified R	22	0.3%
302	Refusal before in-house sampling	335	5.1%
303	Refusal at in-house sampling	129	2.0%
304	Unable to gain the access to the housing unit	117	1.8%
305	Language problems	1	0.0%
306	In-house sampling completed but refusal by the family	53	0.8%
307	Refusal by the R	82	1.2%
308	Break off, after interview started	3	0.0%
309	R works, studies, or travels elsewhere	22	0.3%
310	R works, studies, or travels overseas	9	0.1%
311	Unable to locate the address	5	0.1%
312	Empty housing unit	19	0.3%
313	Renovation of the housing unit	1	0.0%
314	R is inconvenient during interview period (mourning period)	1	0.0%
315	R is inconvenient during interview period (sick)	11	0.2%
316	R is physically or mentally unable to be interviewed	6	0.1%
317	R missing	0	
318	Emigration	0	
319	Serve in the military	0	
320	Get married and move out	1	0.0%
321	In jail	0	
322	Become a monk or nun	0	
323	Death	0	
324	Unfriendly circumstances	0	
325	R refuse the interview on the phone	8	0.1%
326	R refuse to sign interview agreement	5	0.1%
327	R ask to delete data	0	
328	Fail due to standardized interview principle	17	0.3%
329	Government agency, Company, School, Military agency, Hospita	16	0.2%
330	R is registered in the household, but does not lives here	47	0.7%
331	R's household registration moves out	1	0.0%
332	R unavailable at the address	0	
333	R moves out	18	0.3%
334	R have already interviewed	3	0.0%
996	Skipped	2815	42.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

File : TEDS2017AE_indC

t06: Contact2

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-96] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=6570 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Doorman	470	7.2%
2	Door phone	987	15.0%
3	Ring door bell or knock the door	1313	20.0%
4	Go into the house after ask the household	560	8.5%
6	Contact on the telephone	48	0.7%
7	Ask neighbors, relatives or friends	141	2.1%
8	Ask the head of a neighborhood	4	0.1%
9	Communicate on the internet	0	
10	Communicate by letter or email	16	0.2%
11	Shout out at the door	212	3.2%
89	R refuse the interview on the phone	4	0.1%
96	Skipped	2815	42.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

t07: Third contact-day

Information [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 20170627-99999996] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=6570 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
99999996	Skipped	4110	100.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

t07a: Third contact-time

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-96] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=6570 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Morning	501	7.6%
2	Afternoon	1007	15.3%
3	Night	952	14.5%
96	Skipped	4110	62.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

t08: Third contact-result

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 100-996] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=6570 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
100	Completion	283	4.3%
201	No one can be reached in the household. (In-house sampling c	86	1.3%
202	No one can be reached in the household without in-house samp	1343	20.4%
203	In-house sampling completed but R is temporarily not at home	45	0.7%
204	In-house sampling completed but R cannot take the interview	6	0.1%
205	In-house sampling completed but R leave, after interview sta	0	
206	Temporarily inconvenient	60	0.9%

File : TEDS2017AE_indC

t08: Third contact-result

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
207	Language problems	1	0.0%
208	Break off, after interview started	1	0.0%
301	In-house sampling completed but no qualified R	5	0.1%
302	Refusal before in-house sampling	195	3.0%
303	Refusal at in-house sampling	97	1.5%
304	Unable to gain the access to the housing unit	61	0.9%
305	Language problems	1	0.0%
306	In-house sampling completed but refusal by the family	44	0.7%
307	Refusal by the R	66	1.0%
308	Break off, after interview started	8	0.1%
309	R works, studies, or travels elsewhere	27	0.4%
310	R works, studies, or travels overseas	7	0.1%
311	Unable to locate the address	0	
312	Empty housing unit	15	0.2%
313	Renovation of the housing unit	1	0.0%
314	R is inconvenient during interview period (mourning period)	4	0.1%
315	R is inconvenient during interview period (sick)	2	0.0%
316	R is physically or mentally unable to be interviewed	3	0.0%
317	R missing	4	0.1%
318	Emigration	0	
319	Serve in the military	1	0.0%
320	Get married and move out	1	0.0%
321	In jail	0	
322	Become a monk or nun	0	
323	Death	0	
324	Unfriendly circumstances	0	
325	R refuse the interview on the phone	8	0.1%
326	R refuse to sign interview agreement	2	0.0%
327	R ask to delete data	0	
328	Fail due to standardized interview principle	6	0.1%
329	Government agency, Company, School, Military agency, Hospita	20	0.3%
330	R is registered in the household, but does not lives here	39	0.6%
331	R's household registration moves out	2	0.0%
332	R unavailable at the address	0	
333	R moves out	14	0.2%
334	R have already interviewed	2	0.0%
996	Skipped	4110	62.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

t09: Contact3

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-96] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6570 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Doorman	243	3.7%

File : TEDS2017AE_indC

t09: Contact3

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Door phone	714	10.9%
3	Ring door bell or knock the door	883	13.4%
4	Go into the house after ask the household	315	4.8%
6	Contact on the telephone	62	0.9%
7	Ask neighbors, relatives or friends	73	1.1%
8	Ask the head of a neighborhood	6	0.1%
9	Communicate on the internet	0	
10	Communicate by letter or email	10	0.2%
11	Shout out at the door	152	2.3%
89	R refuse the interview on the phone	2	0.0%
96	Skipped	4110	62.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

t10: Community of the housing unit

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-11] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6570 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Rural area	250	3.8%
2	Veteran community	16	0.2%
3	Citizen building	68	1.0%
4	Civil servant community	10	0.2%
5	Residence area	4567	69.5%
6	Mixed residence and business area	1577	24.0%
7	Industry area	69	1.1%
9	Village in mountainous area	13	0.2%
11	Fishing village	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

t11: Appearance of the housing unit

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-19] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6570 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Apartment	2066	31.4%
2	Building with elevator	1642	25.0%
3	Townhouse	2414	36.7%
5	Metal-sheet house	65	1.0%
6	Single-story house	203	3.1%
7	Villa	61	0.9%
8	Factory	20	0.3%
9	Three-section compound	46	0.7%
10	R without permanent address	0	
11	Unable to locate the address	9	0.1%
12	Housing unit being torn down	11	0.2%
13	Ruins	14	0.2%

File : TEDS2017AE_indC

t11: Appearance of the housing unit

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
14	Temple, church or other religions buildings	11	0.2%
15	Jail	0	
16	Building land	0	
17	Unrecognized	4	0.1%
18	Hospital	3	0.0%
19	School	1	0.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

t12: I's ID

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1701001-1705020] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=6570 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1701001		101	1.5%
1701002		126	1.9%
1701003		112	1.7%
1701004		67	1.0%
1701005		104	1.6%
1701006		1	0.0%
1701007		101	1.5%
1701008		1	0.0%
1701010		98	1.5%
1701011		103	1.6%
1701012		88	1.3%
1701013		369	5.6%
1701015		66	1.0%
1701016		91	1.4%
1701017		72	1.1%
1701018		195	3.0%
1701021		146	2.2%
1701022		1	0.0%
1701024		104	1.6%
1701028		202	3.1%
1701029		101	1.5%
1701030		263	4.0%
1701031		104	1.6%
1701032		78	1.2%
1701035		78	1.2%
1701036		55	0.8%
1701040		101	1.5%
1701041		157	2.4%
1701901		61	0.9%
1701902		51	0.8%
1701903		101	1.5%
1701904		166	2.5%

File : TEDS2017AE_indC

t12: I's ID

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1701906		158	2.4%
1701907		46	0.7%
1701909		103	1.6%
1702001		84	1.3%
1702002		73	1.1%
1702003		39	0.6%
1702004		38	0.6%
1702005		10	0.2%
1702006		35	0.5%
1702007		3	0.0%
1702008		42	0.6%
1702009		48	0.7%
1702010		43	0.7%
1702011		41	0.6%
1702012		8	0.1%
1702013		39	0.6%
1702014		7	0.1%
1702015		43	0.7%
1702016		43	0.7%
1702017		2	0.0%
1702018		43	0.7%
1702019		53	0.8%
1702020		42	0.6%
1702021		6	0.1%
1702904		34	0.5%
1702905		34	0.5%
1702907		91	1.4%
1703002		16	0.2%
1703003		45	0.7%
1703004		45	0.7%
1703005		41	0.6%
1703006		45	0.7%
1703007		57	0.9%
1703008		136	2.1%
1703010		9	0.1%
1703012		70	1.1%
1704002		86	1.3%
1704003		56	0.9%
1704004		39	0.6%
1704005		39	0.6%
1704007		56	0.9%
1704008		56	0.9%
1704009		46	0.7%

File : TEDS2017AE_indC

t12: I's ID

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1704010		43	0.7%
1705005		113	1.7%
1705006		55	0.8%
1705007		102	1.6%
1705008		106	1.6%
1705010		124	1.9%
1705011		49	0.7%
1705012		112	1.7%
1705013		70	1.1%
1705014		53	0.8%
1705015		55	0.8%
1705017		49	0.7%
1705018		70	1.1%
1705020		55	0.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

t13: Gender of I

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6570 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Male	3052	46.5%
2	Female	3518	53.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

final_result: Last contact result

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 100-334] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6570 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
100	Completion	1166	17.7%
201	No one can be reached in the household. (In-house sampling c	86	1.3%
202	No one can be reached in the household without in-house samp	1343	20.4%
203	In-house sampling completed but R is temporarily not at home	45	0.7%
204	In-house sampling completed but R cannot take the interview	6	0.1%
205	In-house sampling completed but R leave, after interview sta	0	
206	Temporarily inconvenient	60	0.9%
207	Language problems	1	0.0%
208	Break off, after interview started	1	0.0%
301	In-house sampling completed but no qualified R	51	0.8%
302	Refusal before in-house sampling	1236	18.8%
303	Refusal at in-house sampling	493	7.5%
304	Unable to gain the access to the housing unit	651	9.9%
305	Language problems	7	0.1%
306	In-house sampling completed but refusal by the family	196	3.0%
307	Refusal by the R	249	3.8%

File : TEDS2017AE_indC

final_result: Last contact result

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
308	Break off, after interview started	21	0.3%
309	R works, studies, or travels elsewhere	90	1.4%
310	R works, studies, or travels overseas	30	0.5%
311	Unable to locate the address	42	0.6%
312	Empty housing unit	112	1.7%
313	Renovation of the housing unit	20	0.3%
314	R is inconvenient during interview period (mourning period)	7	0.1%
315	R is inconvenient during interview period (sick)	23	0.4%
316	R is physically or mentally unable to be interviewed	33	0.5%
317	R missing	6	0.1%
318	Emigration	1	0.0%
319	Serve in the military	1	0.0%
320	Get married and move out	5	0.1%
321	In jail	1	0.0%
322	Become a monk or nun	0	
323	Death	2	0.0%
324	Unfriendly circumstances	5	0.1%
325	R refuse the interview on the phone	33	0.5%
326	R refuse to sign interview agreement	17	0.3%
327	R ask to delete data	4	0.1%
328	Fail due to standardized interview principle	37	0.6%
329	Government agency, Company, School, Military agency, Hospita	197	3.0%
330	R is registered in the household, but does not lives here	201	3.1%
331	R's household registration moves out	5	0.1%
332	R unavailable at the address	0	
333	R moves out	65	1.0%
334	R have already interviewed	21	0.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

t14: Number of in-house qualified person

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		51	0.8%
1		390	5.9%
2		573	8.7%
3		404	6.1%
4		323	4.9%
5		190	2.9%
6		86	1.3%
7		15	0.2%
8		14	0.2%
9		4	0.1%
11		1	0.0%

File : TEDS2017AE_indC

t14: Number of in-house qualified person

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
13		1	0.0%
92	It was not doing in-house sampling	4518	68.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

t15: Selected R

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6570 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Didn't start the in-house samp		4518	68.8%
No eligible R		51	0.8%
The elder		254	3.9%
The eldest		330	5.0%
The only one		390	5.9%
The second older		368	5.6%
The second younger		42	0.6%
The third elder		84	1.3%
The younger		319	4.9%
The youngest		214	3.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w1: W1.Visit outcome

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6570 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	In-house sampling	463	7.0%
2	Conducted interview	1538	23.4%
99	Missing	4569	69.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w2g1: W2.Gender of the facilitator for in-house sampling

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6570 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Male	966	14.7%
2	Female	1035	15.8%
99	Missing	4569	69.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w2g2: W2.Age of the facilitator for in-house sampling

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 12-999] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6570 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
12		3	0.0%

File : TEDS2017AE_indC

w2g2: W2.Age of the facilitator for in-house sampling

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
13		1	0.0%
15		13	0.2%
16		2	0.0%
17		5	0.1%
18		15	0.2%
19		5	0.1%
20		35	0.5%
21		2	0.0%
22		9	0.1%
23		11	0.2%
24		2	0.0%
25		55	0.8%
26		5	0.1%
27		3	0.0%
28		7	0.1%
29		1	0.0%
30		110	1.7%
31		1	0.0%
32		5	0.1%
33		11	0.2%
34		3	0.0%
35		102	1.6%
36		8	0.1%
37		7	0.1%
38		11	0.2%
39		5	0.1%
40		182	2.8%
41		2	0.0%
42		11	0.2%
43		7	0.1%
44		2	0.0%
45		114	1.7%
46		3	0.0%
47		10	0.2%
48		11	0.2%
49		2	0.0%
50		313	4.8%
51		8	0.1%
52		11	0.2%
53		12	0.2%
54		4	0.1%
55		113	1.7%
56		13	0.2%

File : TEDS2017AE_indC

w2g2: W2.Age of the facilitator for in-house sampling

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
57		7	0.1%
58		12	0.2%
59		1	0.0%
60		249	3.8%
61		5	0.1%
62		7	0.1%
63		4	0.1%
64		4	0.1%
65		101	1.5%
66		7	0.1%
67		2	0.0%
68		18	0.3%
69		4	0.1%
70		115	1.8%
71		2	0.0%
72		3	0.0%
73		2	0.0%
74		2	0.0%
75		27	0.4%
76		5	0.1%
77		3	0.0%
78		2	0.0%
80		75	1.1%
82		2	0.0%
83		4	0.1%
84		1	0.0%
85		3	0.0%
86		2	0.0%
87		2	0.0%
88		2	0.0%
90		6	0.1%
93		1	0.0%
94		1	0.0%
95		1	0.0%
100		1	0.0%
992	Unrecognized	63	1.0%
996	Skipped	0	
999	Missing	4569	69.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w3: W3.Where did you conduct the in-house sampling/interview?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 2-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6570 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

File : TEDS2017AE_indC

w3: W3.Where did you conduct the in-house sampling/interview?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Doorway or balcony	977	14.9%
3	Inside the house	835	12.7%
4	Public area of building/community	144	2.2%
5	Store (convenience store or cafe)	26	0.4%
6	R's office	14	0.2%
7	Public place (including park, temple, etc.)	5	0.1%
92	Never did sampling/interview	0	
99	Missing	4569	69.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w4: W4.Did anyone accompany you to pay the visit?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6570 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes, the supervisor or other I	61	0.9%
2	Yes, other one	88	1.3%
3	No	1716	26.1%
4	Supervisor	136	2.1%
99	Missing	4569	69.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w4_01: W4.Did anyone accompany you? (01) yes, the other I, please show the ID of the I:

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1701009-9999999] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6570 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1701009		1	0.0%
1701014		5	0.1%
1701023		2	0.0%
1701039		14	0.2%
1702007		1	0.0%
1703003		1	0.0%
1703011		19	0.3%
1703012		3	0.0%
1703901		1	0.0%
1704003		1	0.0%
1705001		13	0.2%
1705005		1	0.0%
1705011		47	0.7%
9999996	Skipped	1892	28.8%
9999999	Missing	4569	69.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w4_02: W4.Did anyone accompany you? (02) yes, please explain the identity and gender of the I who accompany you.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
--------------------	---

File : TEDS2017AE_indC

w4_02: W4.Did anyone accompany you? (02) yes, please explain the identity and gender of the I who accompany you.

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=6570 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Male relative or friend	9	0.1%
2	Female relative or friend	24	0.4%
3	Chief of village	5	0.1%
4	Police	2	0.0%
96	Skipped	1961	29.8%
99	Missing	4569	69.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w4_04: W4.Did anyone accompany you? (04) yes, the supervisor. The ID of the supervisor:

Information [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1701906-9999999] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=6570 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
9999996	Skipped	1865	29.0%
9999999	Missing	4569	71.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w5: W5.Does this address have any other function?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=6570 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Restaurant	18	0.3%
2	Factory	28	0.4%
3	Office	21	0.3%
4	Store	83	1.3%
5	Entertainment place	0	
6	Other business place	71	1.1%
7	Temple	10	0.2%
92	None	1770	26.9%
96	Skipped	0	
99	Missing	4569	69.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w6m01: W6.Does this address have? (01) Doorbell

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=6570 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	1062	16.2%
1	Yes	939	14.3%
99	Missing	4569	69.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w6m02: W6.Does this address have? (02) Doorphone

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*]

File : TEDS2017AE_indC

w6m02: W6.Does this address have? (02) Doorphone

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=6570 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	1446	22.0%
1	Yes	555	8.4%
99	Missing	4569	69.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w6m03: W6.Does this address have? (03) Guards or conservator

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=6570 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	1799	27.4%
1	Yes	202	3.1%
99	Missing	4569	69.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w7: W7.How many registered households at this address

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=6570 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	One	1991	30.3%
2	Two	4	0.1%
3	Three	3	0.0%
4	Four	2	0.0%
5	Five	1	0.0%
99	Missing	4569	69.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w7s: W7.Which one of them did you choose.

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=6570 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	First	5	0.1%
2	Second	3	0.0%
3	Third	1	0.0%
4	Fourth	1	0.0%
5	Fifth	0	
96	Skipped	1991	30.3%
99	Missing	4569	69.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

x1: X1.Result of in-house sampling

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=6570 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

File : TEDS2017AE_indC

x1: X1.Result of in-house sampling

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Success	2001	30.5%
2	Failure	0	
92	It was not doing in-house sampling. (No one can be reached i	0	
96	not adapted	0	
99	Missing	4569	69.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

x2: X2.After entering the household, to what extent does the in-house sampling facilitator understanding the in-house sampling procedural?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6570 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Understand the procedural and easily run it	795	12.1%
2	Cannot understand the procedural until interviewer explained	1090	16.6%
3	Cannot understand the procedural even though interviewer exp	116	1.8%
99	Missing	4569	69.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

x3: X3.After contacting the facilitator, how much effort did you put to persuade him/her to agree to start the in-house sampling?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6570 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	After the opening statement	1076	16.4%
2	Need little effort excepting opening	575	8.8%
3	Need lots of effort	350	5.3%
4	S/he refuse to start at all	0	
99	Missing	4569	69.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

x4m01: X4.Does the facilitator try to refuse the in-house sampling? If so, at what stage? (01) Yes, in the very beginning of the procedural

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6570 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	1543	23.5%
1	Yes	458	7.0%
99	Missing	4569	69.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

x4m02: X4.Does the facilitator try to refuse the in-house sampling? (02) Yes, in the middle of the procedural

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6570 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	1835	27.9%

File : TEDS2017AE_indC

x4m02: X4.Does the facilitator try to refuse the in-house sampling? (02) Yes, in the middle of the procedural

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	166	2.5%
99	Missing	4569	69.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

x4m03: X4.Does the facilitator try to refuse the in-house sampling? (03) Yes, after the completion of the procedural

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6570 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	1819	27.7%
1	Yes	182	2.8%
99	Missing	4569	69.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

x5: X5.After the in-house sampling procedural, do you give the gift to the facilitator?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6570 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes, and s/he accepted it	1366	20.8%
2	Yes, but s/he didn't accept it	236	3.6%
3	Yes, but s/he give it to me	15	0.2%
4	No, because out of the gift (but ask the team member to deli	384	5.8%
5	No, due to the failure of the in-house sampling	0	
99	Missing	4569	69.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

x6: X6.After giving the gift, what's his/her reaction?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6570 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not satisfied	32	0.5%
2	Satisfied	238	3.6%
3	No comment	1347	20.5%
96	Skipped	384	5.8%
99	Missing	4569	69.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

x7: X7.To what extent do you think the household information provided by the facilitator?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6570 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Most are untrustworthy	3	0.0%
2	Some are untrustworthy	144	2.2%
3	Most are trustworthy	1281	19.5%
4	All are trustworthy	573	8.7%
99	Missing	4569	69.5%

File : TEDS2017AE_indC

x7: X7.To what extent do you think the household information provided by the facilitator?

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

x8: X8.To what extent do you think the facilitator trust you?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=6570 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Doesn't trust at all	65	1.0%
2	Doesn't trust	275	4.2%
3	Trusts	1240	18.9%
4	Trusts completely	421	6.4%
99	Missing	4569	69.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

x9: X9.During the in-house sampling procedural, how many times do you need to explain?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=6570 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Don't need to	1012	15.4%
2	Once or twice	819	12.5%
3	Three to five times	149	2.3%
4	Above six times	21	0.3%
99	Missing	4569	69.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

y1: Y1.Record Type

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=6570 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	All by CAPI	1171	17.8%
2	Parts by paper and pencil interviewing	0	
3	All by paper and pencil interviewing	0	
92	Failed interview [skip to Z1]	830	12.6%
99	Missing	4569	69.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# y2: Y2.Did you make an appointment for the interview?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6570 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	224	3.4%
2	No	947	14.4%
96	Skipped	830	12.6%
99	Missing	4569	69.5%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# y3: Y3.Do you read all the questions?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6570 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes, and do not show any question to R	1107	16.8%
2	Yes, but show some questions to R (Not the selection card)	64	1.0%
3	Do not recite some questions	0	
4	Do not recite all questions	0	
96	Skipped	830	12.6%
99	Missing	4569	69.5%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# y4m01: Y4.Before the interview, did the R do any of the following? (01) Claim s/he was too busy to be interviewed			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6570 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	1055	16.1%
1	Yes	116	1.8%
96	Skipped	830	12.6%
99	Missing	4569	69.5%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# y4m02: Y4.Before the interview, did the R do any of the following? (02) Claim he/she has something to do for his/her family thus cannot be interviewed			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6570 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	1099	16.7%
1	Yes	72	1.1%
96	Skipped	830	12.6%
99	Missing	4569	69.5%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# y4m03: Y4.Before the interview, did the R do any of the following? (03) Claim not interested in taking an interview			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6570 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	1008	15.3%

y4m03: Y4.Before the interview, did the R do any of the following? (03) Claim not interested in taking an interview

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	163	2.5%
96	Skipped	830	12.6%
99	Missing	4569	69.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

y4m04: Y4.Before the interview, did the R do any of the following? (04) Claim not trust opinion surveys

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6570 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	1091	16.6%
1	Yes	80	1.2%
96	Skipped	830	12.6%
99	Missing	4569	69.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

y4m05: Y4.Before the interview, did the R do any of the following? (05) Express doubt about how the survey data would be used

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6570 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	1057	16.1%
1	Yes	114	1.7%
96	Skipped	830	12.6%
99	Missing	4569	69.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

y4m06: Y4.Before the interview, did the R do any of the following? (06) Express doubt about whether R's opinions or personal information would be kept private

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6570 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	1050	16.0%
1	Yes	121	1.8%
96	Skipped	830	12.6%
99	Missing	4569	69.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

y4m07: Y4.Before the interview, did the R do any of the following? (07) Express doubt about the hosted organization of the survey or principal investigator of the survey

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6570 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	1123	17.1%
1	Yes	48	0.7%
96	Skipped	830	12.6%
99	Missing	4569	69.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

y4m08: Y4.Before the interview, did the R do any of the following? (08) Express doubt about the identity of the interviewer

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=6570 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	1109	16.9%
1	Yes	62	0.9%
96	Skipped	830	12.6%
99	Missing	4569	69.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

y4m09: Y4.Before the interview, did the R do any of the following? (09) A family member opposed the R being interviewed

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=6570 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	1134	17.3%
1	Yes	37	0.6%
96	Skipped	830	12.6%
99	Missing	4569	69.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

y5: Y5.From your interview with the R, how much effort did you spent on persuading R until he/she agreed to take the interview?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=6570 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Explain the opening statement (including the executive, the	739	11.2%
2	Need to clearly explain the content and purpose of this inte	284	4.3%
3	In addition to the above description, but also need to ask h	148	2.3%
96	Skipped	830	12.6%
99	Missing	4569	69.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

y6m01: Y6.During the interview, did the R encounter any of the following situation that affect the interview? (01) Oral expression Impairment

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=6570 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	1158	17.6%
1	Yes	13	0.2%
96	Skipped	830	12.6%
99	Missing	4569	69.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

y6m02: Y6.During the interview, did the R encounter any of the following situation that affect the interview? (02) Hearing Impairment

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=6570 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

**# y6m02: Y6.During the interview, did the R encounter any of the following situation that affect the interview? (02)
Hearing Impairment**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	1162	17.7%
1	Yes	9	0.1%
96	Skipped	830	12.6%
99	Missing	4569	69.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**# y6m03: Y6.During the interview, did the R encounter any of the following situation that affect the interview? (03)
Intellectual Disability**

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6570 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	1168	17.8%
1	Yes	3	0.0%
96	Skipped	830	12.6%
99	Missing	4569	69.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**# y6m04: Y6.During the interview, did the R encounter any of the following situation that affect the interview? (04)
Need to translate because of language barrier**

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6570 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	1169	17.8%
1	Yes	2	0.0%
96	Skipped	830	12.6%
99	Missing	4569	69.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**# y6o04: Y6.During the interview, did the R encounter any of the following situation that affect the interview? (04)
explain the identity of the translator**

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6570 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Child	1	0.0%
2	Mate	1	0.0%
96	Skipped	1999	30.4%
99	Missing	4569	69.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

y7m01: Y7.During the interview, did the R do any of the following? (01) Ask to fill out the questionnaire him or herself

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6570 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	1158	17.6%
1	Yes	13	0.2%

y7m01: Y7.During the interview, did the R do any of the following? (01) Ask to fill out the questionnaire him or herself

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
96	Skipped	830	12.6%
99	Missing	4569	69.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

y7m02: Y7.During the interview, did the R do any of the following? (02) Ask to see the questionnaire

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6570 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	1150	17.5%
1	Yes	21	0.3%
96	Skipped	830	12.6%
99	Missing	4569	69.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

y7m03: Y7.During the interview, did the R do any of the following? (03) Tend to quit the interview

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6570 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	1145	17.4%
1	Yes	26	0.4%
96	Skipped	830	12.6%
99	Missing	4569	69.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

y7m04: Y7.During the interview, did the R do any of the following? (04) Express too many questionnaires

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6570 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	1096	16.7%
1	Yes	75	1.1%
96	Skipped	830	12.6%
99	Missing	4569	69.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

y8: Y8.After the interview, did you present the voucher to the R?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6570 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes, and the R accepted the voucher	1139	17.3%
2	Yes, but the R didn't accept the voucher	5	0.1%
3	Yes, but the R presented the voucher to me	11	0.2%
4	No, because I have no voucher but have informed the project	16	0.2%
96	Skipped	830	12.6%
99	Missing	4569	69.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# y9: Y9.How cooperative was the R?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6570 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very cooperative	471	7.2%
2	Fairly cooperative	467	7.1%
3	A little cooperative	181	2.8%
4	A little uncooperative	40	0.6%
5	Fairly uncooperative	10	0.2%
6	Very uncooperative	2	0.0%
96	Skipped	830	12.6%
99	Missing	4569	69.5%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# y10: Y10.How well do you think the R understood the questions?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6570 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Understood very well	219	3.3%
2	Understood fairly well	387	5.9%
3	Understood a little	370	5.6%
4	Didn't understand a little	128	1.9%
5	Didn't understand a fair amount	45	0.7%
6	Didn't understand much at all	22	0.3%
96	Skipped	830	12.6%
99	Missing	4569	69.5%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# y11: Y11.How sensitive do you think the R thought the questions were?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6570 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very sensitive	55	0.8%
2	Fairly sensitive	102	1.6%
3	A little sensitive	354	5.4%
4	A little insensitive	370	5.6%
5	Fairly insensitive	178	2.7%
6	Very insensitive	112	1.7%
96	Skipped	830	12.6%
99	Missing	4569	69.5%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# y12: Y12.How interested do you think the R was in the contents of the survey?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6570 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very interested	49	0.7%

# y12: Y12.How interested do you think the R was in the contents of the survey?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Fairly interested	175	2.7%
3	A little interested	481	7.3%
4	A little uninterested	359	5.5%
5	Fairly uninterested	76	1.2%
6	Very uninterested	31	0.5%
96	Skipped	830	12.6%
99	Missing	4569	69.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# y13: Y13.How trustworthy do you think the R's answers are?	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6570 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Most are untrustworthy	9	0.1%
2	Some are untrustworthy	47	0.7%
3	Most are trustworthy	780	11.9%
4	All are trustworthy	335	5.1%
96	Skipped	830	12.6%
99	Missing	4569	69.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# y14: Y14.What do you think is the R's level of knowledge about general political matters?	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6570 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very low	75	1.1%
2	Low	341	5.2%
3	High	622	9.5%
4	Very high	133	2.0%
96	Skipped	830	12.6%
99	Missing	4569	69.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# y15m01: Y15.The R answered questions: (01) By him or herself [skip to Z1]	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6570 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	497	7.6%
1	Yes [skip to Z1]	674	10.3%
96	Skipped	830	12.6%
99	Missing	4569	69.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# y15m02: Y15.The R answered questions: (02) With his or her spouse	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6570 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

# y15m02: Y15.The R answered questions: (02) With his or her spouse			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	252	3.8%
1	Yes	245	3.7%
96	Skipped	1504	22.9%
99	Missing	4569	69.5%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# y15m03: Y15.The R answered questions: (03) A child also participated			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6570 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	335	5.1%
1	Yes	162	2.5%
96	Skipped	1504	22.9%
99	Missing	4569	69.5%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# y15o03: Y15.The R answered questions: (03) Child's age is about:			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6570 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		5	0.1%
2		5	0.1%
3		9	0.1%
4		2	0.0%
5		10	0.2%
6		5	0.1%
7		7	0.1%
8		7	0.1%
9		2	0.0%
10		14	0.2%
12		2	0.0%
13		1	0.0%
15		10	0.2%
17		1	0.0%
18		6	0.1%
19		2	0.0%
20		8	0.1%
22		3	0.0%
23		2	0.0%
24		1	0.0%
25		3	0.0%
26		1	0.0%
27		2	0.0%
30		11	0.2%
35		7	0.1%

# y15o03: Y15.The R answered questions: (03) Child's age is about:			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
36		1	0.0%
40		13	0.2%
45		9	0.1%
50		6	0.1%
51		1	0.0%
58		1	0.0%
60		4	0.1%
71		1	0.0%
96	Skipped	1839	28.0%
97	Unknown	0	
99	Missing	4569	69.5%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# y15m04: Y15.The R answered questions: (04) With his or her entire family			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6570 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	395	6.0%
1	Yes	102	1.6%
96	Skipped	1504	22.9%
99	Missing	4569	69.5%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# y15m06: Y15.The R answered questions: (06) Colleagues			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6570 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	491	7.5%
1	Yes	6	0.1%
96	Skipped	1504	22.9%
99	Missing	4569	69.5%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# y15m07: Y15.The R answered questions: (07) Relatives			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6570 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	472	7.2%
1	Yes	25	0.4%
96	Skipped	1504	22.9%
99	Missing	4569	69.5%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# y15m08: Y15.The R answered questions: (08) Servant (housekeeper)			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6570 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		

# y15m08: Y15.The R answered questions: (08) Servant (housekeeper)			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	496	7.5%
1	Yes	1	0.0%
96	Skipped	1504	22.9%
99	Missing	4569	69.5%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# y15m09: Y15.The R answered questions: (09) Grandchildren			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=6570 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	464	7.1%
1	Yes	33	0.5%
96	Skipped	1504	22.9%
99	Missing	4569	69.5%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# y15m10: Y15.The R answered questions: (10) Customer			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=6570 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	479	7.3%
1	Yes	18	0.3%
96	Skipped	1504	22.9%
99	Missing	4569	69.5%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# y15m11: Y15.The R answered questions: (11) Brothers or sisters			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=6570 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	477	7.3%
1	Yes	20	0.3%
96	Skipped	1504	22.9%
99	Missing	4569	69.5%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# y15m12: Y15.The R answered questions: (12) Friends			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=6570 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	473	7.2%
1	Yes	24	0.4%
96	Skipped	1504	22.9%
99	Missing	4569	69.5%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# y15m13: Y15.The R answered questions: (13) Neighbors			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6570 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	476	7.2%
1	Yes	21	0.3%
96	Skipped	1504	22.9%
99	Missing	4569	69.5%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# y15m14: Y15.The R answered questions: (14) Administrator			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6570 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	489	7.4%
1	Yes	8	0.1%
96	Skipped	1504	22.9%
99	Missing	4569	69.5%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# y15m15: Y15.The R answered questions: (15) Students			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6570 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	495	7.5%
1	Yes	2	0.0%
96	Skipped	1504	22.9%
99	Missing	4569	69.5%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# y15m16: Y15.The R answered questions: (16) Employees			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6570 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	490	7.5%
1	Yes	7	0.1%
96	Skipped	1504	22.9%
99	Missing	4569	69.5%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# y15m17: Y15.The R answered questions: (17) Chiefs of village			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6570 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	496	7.5%
1	Yes	1	0.0%
96	Skipped	1504	22.9%
99	Missing	4569	69.5%

y15m17: Y15.The R answered questions: (17) Chiefs of village

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

y16: Y16.Did a third person present at the interview do any of the following?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=6570 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Keep busy, but listen to the conversation between I and R	187	2.8%
2	Be present part of the time, but not express opinion or othe	50	0.8%
3	Be present all of the time, but not express opinion or other	90	1.4%
4	During interview, express opinion or otherwise interfere wit	124	1.9%
5	None of the above	46	0.7%
96	Skipped	1504	22.9%
99	Missing	4569	69.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

z1: Z1.Interviewer ID

Information [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1701001-9999999] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=6570 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
9999999	Missing	4569	100.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

z2: Z2.Interviewer's gender

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=6570 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Male	937	14.3%
2	Female	1064	16.2%
99	Missing	4569	69.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

File : TEDS2017AE_indQ

id: ID

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1166 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

tau: Tau

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1166 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
M		1166	100.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

city: City

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 2-68] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1166 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Yi Lan County	52	4.5%
4	Hsinchu County	41	3.5%
5	Miaoli County	42	3.6%
7	Changhua County	98	8.4%
9	Yunlin County	36	3.1%
15	Hualien County	34	2.9%
20	Chiayi City	42	3.6%
63	Taipei City	164	14.1%
64	Kaohsiung City	192	16.5%
65	New Taipei City	101	8.7%
66	Taichung City	124	10.6%
67	Tainan City	142	12.2%
68	Taoyuan County	98	8.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

town: Town

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 201-6810] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1166 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
201	Yilan City, Yi Lan County	19	1.6%
209	Wujie Township, Yi Lan County	17	1.5%
210	Sanxing Township, Yi Lan County	16	1.4%
401	Zhubei City, Hsinchu County	12	1.0%
402	Zhudong Township, Hsinchu County	11	0.9%
405	Hukou Township, Hsinchu County	18	1.5%
501	Miaoli City, Miaoli County	27	2.3%
505	Toufen City, Miaoli County	15	1.3%
701	Changhua City, Changhua County	19	1.6%
702	Lukang Township, Changhua County	34	2.9%
709	Fenyuan Township, Changhua County	12	1.0%
710	Yuanlin City, Changhua County	33	2.8%

File : TEDS2017AE_indQ

town: Town

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
901	Douliu City, Yunlin County	11	0.9%
907	Gukeng Township, Yunlin County	8	0.7%
912	Lunbei Township, Yunlin County	17	1.5%
1501	Hualien City, Hualien County	22	1.9%
1505	Jian Township, Hualien County	12	1.0%
2002	West District, Chiayi City	42	3.6%
6301	Songshan District, Taipei City	15	1.3%
6302	Xinyi District, Taipei City	34	2.9%
6303	Daan District, Taipei City	37	3.2%
6304	Zhongshan District, Taipei City	9	0.8%
6309	Nangang District, Taipei City	18	1.5%
6310	Neihu District, Taipei City	7	0.6%
6312	Beitou District, Taipei City	44	3.8%
6403	Zuoying District, Kaohsiung City	10	0.9%
6404	Nanzi District, Kaohsiung City	14	1.2%
6405	Sanmin District, Kaohsiung City	34	2.9%
6408	Lingya District, Kaohsiung City	35	3.0%
6409	Qianzhen District, Kaohsiung City	25	2.1%
6411	Xiaogang District, Kaohsiung City	13	1.1%
6412	Fengshan District, Kaohsiung City	22	1.9%
6416	Dashe District, Kaohsiung City	14	1.2%
6430	Qishan District, Kaohsiung City	25	2.1%
6501	Banqiao District, New Taipei City	16	1.4%
6502	Sanchong District, New Taipei City	27	2.3%
6503	Zhonghe District, New Taipei City	25	2.1%
6509	Sanxia District, New Taipei City	9	0.8%
6513	Tucheng District, New Taipei City	24	2.1%
6606	Xitun District, Taichung City	12	1.0%
6607	Nantun District, Taichung City	20	1.7%
6608	Beitun District, Taichung City	26	2.2%
6609	Fengyuan District, Taichung City	30	2.6%
6627	Taiping District, Taichung City	10	0.9%
6628	Dali District, Taichung City	26	2.2%
6703	Baihe District, Tainan City	18	1.5%
6706	Dongshan District, Tainan City	28	2.4%
6709	Liujia District, Tainan City	11	0.9%
6727	Rende District, Tainan City	11	0.9%
6728	Guiren District, Tainan City	21	1.8%
6731	Yongkang District, Tainan City	17	1.5%
6732	East District, Tainan City	12	1.0%
6736	Anping District, Tainan City	24	2.1%
6801	Taoyuan District, Taoyuan County	41	3.5%
6805	Luzhu District, Taoyuan County	29	2.5%

File : TEDS2017AE_indQ

town: Town

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6810	Pingzhen District, Taoyuan County	28	2.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

village: Village

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 201015-6810033] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1166 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
201015	Yanping Village, Yilan City, Yi Lan County	19	1.6%
209007	Fuxing Village, Wujie Township, Yi Lan County	17	1.5%
210008	Yuemei Village, Sanxing Township, Yi Lan County	16	1.4%
401026	Fude Village, Zhubei City, Hsinchu County	12	1.0%
402022	Nanhua Village, Zhudong Township, Hsinchu County	11	0.9%
405016	Zhongxing Village, Hukou Township, Hsinchu County	18	1.5%
501001	Zhongmiao Village, Miaoli City, Miaoli County	16	1.4%
501023	Shuiyuan Village, Miaoli City, Miaoli County	11	0.9%
505018	Tianliao Village, Toufen City, Miaoli County	15	1.3%
701031	Pinghe Village, Changhua City, Changhua County	9	0.8%
701043	Xian Village, Changhua City, Changhua County	10	0.9%
702003	Luojin Village, Lukang Township, Changhua County	13	1.1%
702023	Caozhong Village, Lukang Township, Changhua County	10	0.9%
702024	Tounan Village, Lukang Township, Changhua County	11	0.9%
709009	Zunqian Village, Fenyuan Township, Changhua County	12	1.0%
710007	Guangming Village, Yuanlin City, Changhua County	6	0.5%
710015	Yuantan Village, Yuanlin City, Changhua County	11	0.9%
710022	Darao Village, Yuanlin City, Changhua County	16	1.4%
901026	Liuzhong Village, Douliu City, Yunlin County	11	0.9%
907010	Yungguang Village, Gukeng Township, Yunlin County	8	0.7%
912001	Dongming Village, Lunbei Township, Yunlin County	17	1.5%
1501001	Minli Village, Hualien City, Hualien County	12	1.0%
1501003	Minzu Village, Hualien City, Hualien County	10	0.9%
1505003	Taichang Village, Jian Township, Hualien County	12	1.0%
2002022	Xinxi Village, West District, Chiayi City	18	1.5%
2002047	Chedian Village, West District, Chiayi City	14	1.2%
2002068	Baofu Village, West District, Chiayi City	10	0.9%
6301004	Sanmin Village, Songshan District, Taipei City	6	0.5%
6301012	Longtian Village, Songshan District, Taipei City	9	0.8%
6302005	Xinren Village, Xinyi District, Taipei City	14	1.2%
6302007	Dunhou Village, Xinyi District, Taipei City	7	0.6%
6302020	Guoye Village, Xinyi District, Taipei City	13	1.1%
6303014	Guzhuang Village, Daan District, Taipei City	12	1.0%
6303016	Jinan Village, Daan District, Taipei City	12	1.0%
6303026	Longzheng Village, Daan District, Taipei City	13	1.1%
6304022	Xinsheng Village, Zhongshan District, Taipei City	9	0.8%
6309010	Hecheng Village, Nangang District, Taipei City	5	0.4%

File : TEDS2017AE_indQ

village: Village

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6309015	Liencheng Village, Nangang District, Taipei City	13	1.1%
6310008	Ganghua Village, Neihu District, Taipei City	7	0.6%
6312003	Shipai Village, Beitou District, Taipei City	18	1.5%
6312005	Rongguang Village, Beitou District, Taipei City	14	1.2%
6312021	Qingjiang Village, Beitou District, Taipei City	12	1.0%
6403044	Fushan Village, Zuoying District, Kaohsiung City	10	0.9%
6404001	Qingfeng Village, Nanzi District, Kaohsiung City	7	0.6%
6404013	Ruiping Village, Nanzi District, Kaohsiung City	7	0.6%
6405007	Dingtai Village, Sanmin District, Kaohsiung City	10	0.9%
6405009	Benhe Village, Sanmin District, Kaohsiung City	14	1.2%
6405028	BaoLong Village, Sanmin District, Kaohsiung City	10	0.9%
6408014	Renzheng Village, Lingya District, Kaohsiung City	10	0.9%
6408058	Yungkang Village, Lingya District, Kaohsiung City	15	1.3%
6408067	Wenchang Village, Lingya District, Kaohsiung City	10	0.9%
6409012	Mingdao Village, Qianzhen District, Kaohsiung City	15	1.3%
6409032	Lianghe Village, Qianzhen District, Kaohsiung City	10	0.9%
6411018	Shandong Village, Xiaogang District, Kaohsiung City	13	1.1%
6412016	Zhennan Village, Fengshan District, Kaohsiung City	7	0.6%
6412027	Zhongyi Village, Fengshan District, Kaohsiung City	5	0.4%
6412067	Xinle Village, Fengshan District, Kaohsiung City	10	0.9%
6416006	Guanyin Village, Dashe District, Kaohsiung City	14	1.2%
6430002	Dade Village, Qishan District, Kaohsiung City	13	1.1%
6430005	Zhongzheng Village, Qishan District, Kaohsiung City	12	1.0%
6501054	Puqian Village, Banqiao District, New Taipei City	2	0.2%
6501067	Xian Village, Banqiao District, New Taipei City	10	0.9%
6501084	Huaxing Village, Banqiao District, New Taipei City	4	0.3%
6502046	Chungxin Village, Sanchong District, New Taipei City	13	1.1%
6502085	Shangde Village, Sanchong District, New Taipei City	9	0.8%
6502110	Boai Village, Sanchong District, New Taipei City	5	0.4%
6503013	Fuzhen Village, Zhonghe District, New Taipei City	10	0.9%
6503016	Fusxiang Village, Zhonghe District, New Taipei City	6	0.5%
6503079	Jingben Village, Zhonghe District, New Taipei City	9	0.8%
6509017	Xibei Village, Sanxia District, New Taipei City	9	0.8%
6513016	Qingxi Village, Tucheng District, New Taipei City	14	1.2%
6513031	Anhe Village, Tucheng District, New Taipei City	10	0.9%
6606011	Dahe Village, Xitun District, Taichung City	12	1.0%
6607007	Wenxin Village, Nantun District, Taichung City	10	0.9%
6607017	Tongxin Village, Nantun District, Taichung City	10	0.9%
6608014	Dakeng Village, Beitun District, Taichung City	11	0.9%
6608035	Pingxin Village, Beitun District, Taichung City	8	0.7%
6608039	Pingxing Village, Beitun District, Taichung City	7	0.6%
6609005	Xiajie Village, Fengyuan District, Taichung City	9	0.8%
6609030	Nancun Village, Fengyuan District, Taichung City	10	0.9%

File : TEDS2017AE_indQ

village: Village

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6609033	Wengming Village, Fengyuan District, Taichung City	11	0.9%
6627022	Chenggong Village, Taiping District, Taichung City	10	0.9%
6628014	Renhua Village, Dali District, Taichung City	14	1.2%
6628021	Guoguang Village, Dali District, Taichung City	12	1.0%
6703015	Zhaoan Village, Baihe District, Tainan City	18	1.5%
6706003	Dongzheng Village, Dongshan District, Tainan City	28	2.4%
6709006	Erjia Village, Liujia District, Tainan City	11	0.9%
6727012	Chenggong Village, Rende District, Tainan City	11	0.9%
6728007	Kanxi Village, Guiren District, Tainan City	21	1.8%
6731017	Beiwan Village, Yongkang District, Tainan City	17	1.5%
6732025	Huwei Village, East District, Tainan City	12	1.0%
6736002	Jincheng Village, Anping District, Tainan City	13	1.1%
6736015	Pingan Village, Anping District, Tainan City	11	0.9%
6801022	Xinpu Village, Taoyuan District, Taoyuan County	6	0.5%
6801029	Zhongcheng Village, Taoyuan District, Taoyuan County	11	0.9%
6801036	Longgang Village, Taoyuan District, Taoyuan County	11	0.9%
6801038	Qingxi Village, Taoyuan District, Taoyuan County	13	1.1%
6805001	Xinxing Village, Luzhu District, Taoyuan County	16	1.4%
6805003	Xinzhuang Village, Luzhu District, Taoyuan County	13	1.1%
6810030	Yungan Village, Pingzhen District, Taoyuan County	11	0.9%
6810032	Fulin Village, Pingzhen District, Taoyuan County	11	0.9%
6810033	Jinxing Village, Pingzhen District, Taoyuan County	6	0.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

a1: A1.How closely do you follow politics on TV, radio, newspapers, or the Internet?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very closely	206	17.7%
2	Fairly closely	610	52.3%
3	Not very closely	227	19.5%
4	Not at all	119	10.2%
94	It depends	3	0.3%
95	No opinion	1	0.1%
97	Don't know	0	
98	Refuse to answer	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

a2: A2.Which is the main source for you to receive political news, television, radio, newspaper, or internet?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Television	776	66.6%
2	Radio	22	1.9%

File : TEDS2017AE_indQ

a2: A2.Which is the main source for you to receive political news, television, radio, newspaper, or internet?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Newspaper	61	5.2%
4	Internet	246	21.1%
92	None	54	4.6%
94	It depends	4	0.3%
95	No opinion	0	
97	Don't know	3	0.3%
98	Refuse to answer	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

a3: A3.Which newspaper did you read most often?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1166 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	China Times	55	4.7%
2	United Daily News	127	10.9%
4	Commercial Times	8	0.7%
5	The Commons Daily	0	
7	Economic Daily News	10	0.9%
8	Youth Daily News	0	
9	Taiwan Times	6	0.5%
10	Liberty Times	246	21.1%
11	United Evening News	5	0.4%
13	Merit Times	9	0.8%
14	China Daily	13	1.1%
16	Taiwan News	0	
17	Apple Daily	173	14.8%
18	Want Daily	3	0.3%
20	Local newspaper	0	
21	Keng Sheng Daily News	3	0.3%
22	Oriental Press	1	0.1%
23	Tai Chung Pou	0	
24	The China Post	0	
25	U-paper	2	0.2%
27	World Journal	0	
29	Dharma Drum Mountain Post	0	
30	The Japan News	0	
33	China Morning Post	0	
34	Awakening News	0	
35	Sharp Daily	0	
36	Mandarin Daily	0	
37	Veterans Newspaper	0	
38	The Epoch Times	1	0.1%
39	The real daily	0	
40	Soka News	2	0.2%

File : TEDS2017AE_indQ

a3: A3.Which newspaper did you read most often?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
41	Fair Media	1	0.1%
42	Kingdom Revival Times	1	0.1%
92	Don't read any newspaper	493	42.3%
97	Don't know	7	0.6%
98	Refuse to answer	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

a4: A4.Which TV news did you watch most often?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1166 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Taiwan Television	43	3.7%
2	China Television	67	5.7%
3	Chinese Television	22	1.9%
4	Formosa Television	218	18.7%
5	TVBS	180	15.4%
6	Sanlih E-Television	137	11.7%
7	Eastern Television	116	9.9%
8	CTi Television	103	8.8%
9	Era Television	43	3.7%
10	Gala Television	2	0.2%
11	Unique Satellite Television	12	1.0%
12	Da-Ai Television	10	0.9%
13	Taiwan Public Television	29	2.5%
15	Hakka Television	0	
16	Taiwan Indigenous Television	0	
17	Local News Television Channel	1	0.1%
18	Next TV	49	4.2%
19	NHK	0	
20	UDN	0	
22	EBC Financial News	0	
23	VL Sports	1	0.1%
24	CNN	0	
25	Global News (MOD)	2	0.2%
26	Beautiful Life Television	0	
27	Global TV	0	
92	Never	93	8.0%
94	It depends	13	1.1%
97	Don't know	21	1.8%
98	Refuse to answer	4	0.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

b1: B1.How interested would you say you are in politics?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]

File : TEDS2017AE_indQ

b1: B1.How interested would you say you are in politics?

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1166 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very interested	69	5.9%
2	Somewhat interested	352	30.2%
3	Not very interested	501	43.0%
4	Not at all interested	241	20.7%
94	It depends	2	0.2%
95	No opinion	0	
97	Don't know	0	
98	Refuse to answer	1	0.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

b2: B2.Do you usually talk about politics or elections with other people?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1166 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Often	40	3.4%
2	Sometimes	311	26.7%
3	Seldom	492	42.2%
4	Never	323	27.7%
98	Refuse to answer	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

c1: C1.Now thinking about the performance of the president Tsai in general, how good or bad a job do you think the president did during her presidency?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1166 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good job	28	2.4%
2	Good job	378	32.4%
3	Bad job	413	35.4%
4	Very bad job	226	19.4%
94	It depends	9	0.8%
95	No opinion	58	5.0%
97	Don't know	40	3.4%
98	Refuse to answer	14	1.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

c2: C2.Regarding following problems that our country is facing, what do you think is the most priority that President Tsai, Ing-wen should handle?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1166 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Cross-strait relations	194	16.6%
2	Education policy	123	10.5%

File : TEDS2017AE_indQ

c2: C2.Regarding following problems that our country is facing, what do you think is the most priority that President Tsai, Ing-wen should handle?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Annuity reform	156	13.4%
4	Economic development	520	44.6%
8	Judicial reform problem	65	5.6%
9	Livelihood issues	3	0.3%
10	Employment problem	0	
11	Competition between Pan-blue and the Pan-green	0	
12	Traffic problem	0	
13	Crime problem	0	
14	Transitional justice	31	2.7%
15	House prices	0	
16	Low wages	0	
17	Social welfare problem	1	0.1%
18	Diplomacy problem	0	
19	Gender equality	0	
20	Ill-gotten Party Assets	0	
21	Corruption Problem	0	
22	Quality of Nation	0	
23	United of Nation	0	
24	Financial problem	1	0.1%
25	Food safety	0	
26	Chen, Shui-bian amnesty issue	0	
27	Marriage equality	0	
28	Intraparty issues	0	
29	Public servant problem	0	
30	National defense problem	0	
31	Drug problem	0	
32	Fertility policy	0	
33	Medical system problem	0	
34	Environment policy	0	
35	Parties relations	0	
36	Aged society	0	
37	Agriculture policy	1	0.1%
38	Labor rights issue	2	0.2%
39	Energy policy	0	
92	None [skip to C4]	3	0.3%
94	It's hard to say [skip to C4]	6	0.5%
95	No opinion [skip to C4]	19	1.6%
97	Don't know [skip to C4]	39	3.3%
98	Refuse to answer [skip to C4]	2	0.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

File : TEDS2017AE_indQ

c3: C3.Regarding following problems that our country is facing, what do you think is the next priority that President Tsai, Ing-wen should handle?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1166 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Cross-strait relations	188	16.1%
2	Education policy	255	21.9%
3	Annuity reform	140	12.0%
4	Economic development	298	25.6%
8	Judicial reform problem	139	11.9%
9	Livelihood issues	0	
10	Employment problem	0	
11	Competition between Pan-blue and the Pan-green	1	0.1%
12	Traffic problem	0	
13	Crime problem	3	0.3%
14	Transitional justice	37	3.2%
15	House prices	0	
16	Low wages	0	
17	Social welfare problem	2	0.2%
18	Diplomacy problem	0	
19	Gender equality	0	
20	Ill-gotten Party Assets	1	0.1%
21	Corruption Problem	0	
22	Quality of Nation	0	
23	United of Nation	0	
24	Financial problem	0	
25	Food safety	0	
26	Chen, Shui-bian amnesty issue	0	
27	Marriage equality	0	
28	Intraparty issues	0	
29	Public servant problem	0	
30	National defense problem	0	
31	Drug problem	0	
32	Fertility policy	0	
33	Medical system problem	0	
34	Environment policy	0	
35	Parties relations	0	
36	Aged society	0	
37	Agriculture policy	0	
38	Labor rights issue	0	
39	Energy policy	1	0.1%
92	None	11	0.9%
94	It's hard to say	4	0.3%
95	No opinion	3	0.3%
96	Skipped	69	5.9%

File : TEDS2017AE_indQ

c3: C3.Regarding following problems that our country is facing, what do you think is the next priority that President Tsai, Ing-wen should handle?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	Don't know	14	1.2%
98	Refuse to answer	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

c4: C4.How satisfied are you with her performance in handling the Cross-strait relations?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1166 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	29	2.5%
2	Satisfied	261	22.4%
3	Not very satisfied	435	37.3%
4	Not satisfied at all	239	20.5%
94	It's hard to say	14	1.2%
95	No opinion	69	5.9%
97	Don't know	104	8.9%
98	Refuse to answer	15	1.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

c5: C5.How satisfied are you with her performance in promoting economic development?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1166 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	17	1.5%
2	Satisfied	247	21.2%
3	Not very satisfied	514	44.1%
4	Not satisfied at all	264	22.6%
94	It's hard to say	13	1.1%
95	No opinion	36	3.1%
97	Don't know	71	6.1%
98	Refuse to answer	4	0.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

c6: C6.How satisfied are you with her performance in handling the livelihood issues?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1166 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	21	1.8%
2	Satisfied	299	25.6%
3	Not very satisfied	534	45.8%
4	Not satisfied at all	185	15.9%
94	It's hard to say	13	1.1%
95	No opinion	45	3.9%
97	Don't know	64	5.5%

File : TEDS2017AE_indQ

c6: C6.How satisfied are you with her performance in handling the livelihood issues?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Refuse to answer	5	0.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

c7: C7.Are you satisfied with the overall performance of the Executive Yuan?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1166 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	19	1.6%
2	Satisfied	233	20.0%
3	Not very satisfied	563	48.3%
4	Not satisfied at all	208	17.8%
94	It's hard to say	11	0.9%
95	No opinion	43	3.7%
97	Don't know	84	7.2%
98	Refuse to answer	5	0.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

c8: C8.On a scale from 0 to 10, how would you rate the Legislative Yuan's overall performance from February 2016?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1166 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Very bad	154	13.2%
1		35	3.0%
2		95	8.1%
3		160	13.7%
4		108	9.3%
5		287	24.6%
6		113	9.7%
7		53	4.5%
8		28	2.4%
9		7	0.6%
10	Very good	19	1.6%
97	Don't know	100	8.6%
98	Refuse to answer	7	0.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

d1: D1.Some people say: 'People like me don't have any say about what the government does.'

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1166 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	81	6.9%
2	Agree	315	27.0%
3	Disagree	596	51.1%
4	Strongly disagree	88	7.5%

File : TEDS2017AE_indQ

d1: D1.Some people say: 'People like me don't have any say about what the government does.'

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
94	It depends	10	0.9%
95	No opinion	16	1.4%
97	Don't know	56	4.8%
98	Refuse to answer	4	0.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

d2: D2.Some people say: 'Public officials do not care much about what people like me think.'

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1166 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	137	11.7%
2	Agree	470	40.3%
3	Disagree	429	36.8%
4	Strongly disagree	40	3.4%
94	It depends	26	2.2%
95	No opinion	8	0.7%
97	Don't know	51	4.4%
98	Refuse to answer	5	0.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

d3: D3.Some people say: 'Sometimes politics seems so complicated that a person like me cannot really understand what is going on.'

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1166 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	143	12.3%
2	Agree	676	58.0%
3	Disagree	256	22.0%
4	Strongly disagree	40	3.4%
94	It depends	12	1.0%
95	No opinion	7	0.6%
97	Don't know	31	2.7%
98	Refuse to answer	1	0.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

d4: D4.Some people say: 'Most decisions made by the government are correct.'

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1166 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	11	0.9%
2	Agree	177	15.2%
3	Disagree	706	60.5%
4	Strongly disagree	172	14.8%
94	It depends	30	2.6%

File : TEDS2017AE_indQ

d4: D4.Some people say: 'Most decisions made by the government are correct.'

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
95	No opinion	15	1.3%
97	Don't know	52	4.5%
98	Refuse to answer	3	0.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

d5: D5.Some people say: 'Government officials often waste a lot of money we pay in taxes.'

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1166 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	302	25.9%
2	Agree	601	51.5%
3	Disagree	149	12.8%
4	Strongly disagree	18	1.5%
94	It depends	17	1.5%
95	No opinion	12	1.0%
97	Don't know	64	5.5%
98	Refuse to answer	3	0.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

d6: D6.When the government decides important policies, do you think 'public welfare' is its first priority?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1166 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Often	70	6.0%
2	Sometimes	396	34.0%
3	Seldom	478	41.0%
4	Never	133	11.4%
94	It depends	12	1.0%
95	No opinion	12	1.0%
97	Don't know	64	5.5%
98	Refuse to answer	1	0.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

e1: E1.Which presidential candidate did you vote for in the presidential election last year (2016)?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1166 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	CHU Lil-luan and WANG Ju-hsuan	214	18.4%
2	TSAI Ing-wen and CHEN Chien-jen	516	44.3%
3	James SOONG and HSU Hsin-ying	89	7.6%
89	Didn't pick up the ballot	5	0.4%
91	Don't remember	44	3.8%
92	Not eligible to vote [skip to F1]	19	1.6%
93	Didn't vote [skip to F1]	202	17.3%

File : TEDS2017AE_indQ

e1: E1.Which presidential candidate did you vote for in the presidential election last year (2016)?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
94	Cast an invalid vote	15	1.3%
97	Don't know	4	0.3%
98	Refuse to answer	58	5.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

e2: E2.In the last (2016) Legislative Election, which party's candidate did you vote for?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1166 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Kuomintang(KMT)	273	23.4%
2	Democratic Progressive Party(DPP)	406	34.8%
3	New Party(NP)	5	0.4%
4	People First Party(PFP)	10	0.9%
6	Taiwan Solidarity Union(TSU)	2	0.2%
7	Non-Partisan Solidarity Union(NPSU)	0	
8	Independent	4	0.3%
9	Green Party-Social Democratic Party Alliance	7	0.6%
10	NHS Union Party	0	
16	Righteous Party	0	
18	Trees Party	4	0.3%
19	New Power Party(NPP)	22	1.9%
21	Minkuotang(MKT, Republic Party)	3	0.3%
22	Taiwan Independent Party	0	
23	Military, Civil, and Faculty Alliance Party(MCFAP)	0	
24	Faith and Hope League	0	
25	Unionist Party	0	
26	Free Taiwan Party	0	
27	Constitutional Conventions of Taiwan	0	
28	Peace Pigeon Union	1	0.1%
29	The Motorists Party of ROC	0	
30	Taiwan Labor Party	0	
31	Taiwan Win Party	0	
32	Pan-Pacific Environmental Protect Union Party	0	
33	Social Welfare Party	0	
34	Labour Party	1	0.1%
35	Taiwan First Nations Party	0	
36	China Production Party	0	
89	Didn't pick up the ballot	14	1.2%
91	Don't remember	125	10.7%
94	Cast an invalid vote	23	2.0%
96	Skipped	221	19.0%
97	Don't know	6	0.5%
98	Refuse to answer	39	3.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

File : TEDS2017AE_indQ

e3: E3.Here is a list of all the parties that contested last legislative election. Which party did you cast your at-large vote for?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1166 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Kuomintang(KMT)	232	19.9%
2	Democratic Progressive Party(DPP)	348	29.8%
3	New Party(NP)	8	0.7%
4	People First Party(PFP)	34	2.9%
6	Taiwan Solidarity Union(TSU)	9	0.8%
7	Non-Partisan Solidarity Union(NPSU)	5	0.4%
9	Green Party-Social Democratic Party Alliance	10	0.9%
10	NHS Union Party	0	
18	Trees Party	5	0.4%
19	New Power Party(NPP)	54	4.6%
21	Minkuotang(MKT, Republic Party)	5	0.4%
22	Taiwan Independent Party	0	
23	Military, Civil, and Faculty Alliance Party(MCFAP)	0	
24	Faith and Hope League	5	0.4%
25	Unionist Party	0	
26	Free Taiwan Party	0	
27	Constitutional Conventions of Taiwan	0	
28	Peace Pigeon Union	2	0.2%
89	Didn't pick up the ballot	26	2.2%
91	Don't remember	130	11.1%
94	Cast an invalid vote	22	1.9%
96	Skipped	221	19.0%
97	Don't know	14	1.2%
98	Refuse to answer	36	3.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

f1: F1.Regarding the issue of Cross-Strait negotiation, some people say that we should continue using the 1992 Consensus as the basis of Cross-Strait negotiation while other people say we should reject the 1992 Consensus. Which do you agree with?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1166 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Continue using the 1992 Consensus	430	36.9%
2	Reject the 1992 Consensus	209	17.9%
3	There is no 1992 Consensus	99	8.5%
4	Both support	27	2.3%
5	Both don't support	82	7.0%
94	It depends	9	0.8%
95	No opinion	50	4.3%
97	Don't know	247	21.2%
98	Refuse to answer	13	1.1%

File : TEDS2017AE_indQ

f1: F1.Regarding the issue of Cross-Strait negotiation, some people say that we should continue using the 1992 Consensus as the basis of Cross-Strait negotiation while other people say we should reject the 1992 Consensus. Which do you agree with?

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

f2: F2.How satisfied are you with government in handling the annuity reform?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1166 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	119	10.2%
2	Satisfied	350	30.0%
3	Not very satisfied	340	29.2%
4	Not satisfied at all	193	16.6%
94	It's hard to say	17	1.5%
95	No opinion	52	4.5%
97	Don't know	88	7.5%
98	Refuse to answer	7	0.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

f3: F3.Some people say, 'Abolishing 18-percent preferential retirement savings rate is not fair to the retired public servants.' Do you agree or disagree with this statement?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1166 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	74	6.3%
2	Agree	280	24.0%
3	Disagree	516	44.3%
4	Strongly disagree	159	13.6%
94	It depends	19	1.6%
95	No opinion	36	3.1%
97	Don't know	74	6.3%
98	Refuse to answer	8	0.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

f4: F4.Regarding to the government's annuity reformation, some people say: 'It's mainly political purpose'; someone say: 'It's mainly financial purpose.' What is your opinion?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1166 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Political	213	18.3%
2	Financial	368	31.6%
3	Both	451	38.7%
94	It depends	3	0.3%
95	No opinion	14	1.2%
97	Don't know	113	9.7%
98	Refuse to answer	4	0.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

File : TEDS2017AE_indQ

f5: F5.The Legislative Yuan has passed the Ill-gotten Party Assets Settlement Bill in July, 2016. Are you satisfied with overall performance of government in handling this issue?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1166 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	97	8.3%
2	Satisfied	323	27.7%
3	Not very satisfied	366	31.4%
4	Not satisfied at all	128	11.0%
94	It's hard to say	7	0.6%
95	No opinion	47	4.0%
97	Don't know	186	16.0%
98	Refuse to answer	12	1.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

f6: F6.Some people say, 'The Civil Law should be amended so that homosexuals can get married and form a family.' Do you agree or disagree with this statement?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1166 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	75	6.4%
2	Agree	265	22.7%
3	Disagree	348	29.8%
4	Strongly disagree	362	31.0%
94	It depends	6	0.5%
95	No opinion	73	6.3%
97	Don't know	31	2.7%
98	Refuse to answer	6	0.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

f7: F7.Some people say: 'The public should be involved in the judicial trials'; Some people say: 'The public should not be involved in the judicial trial'.Which statement do you agree?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1166 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	The public should be involved in the judicial trials	725	62.2%
2	The public should not be involved in the judicial trials	282	24.2%
94	It depends	36	3.1%
95	No opinion	27	2.3%
97	Don't know	92	7.9%
98	Refuse to answer	4	0.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

f8: F8.How serious do you think the gap between rich and poor?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1166 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

File : TEDS2017AE_indQ

f8: F8.How serious do you think the gap between rich and poor?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very serious	708	60.7%
2	Serious	368	31.6%
3	Not very serious	60	5.1%
4	Not serious at all	2	0.2%
94	It depends	3	0.3%
95	No opinion	5	0.4%
97	Don't know	20	1.7%
98	Refuse to answer	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

f9: F9.How serious do you think low income of salaryman?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1166 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very serious	640	54.9%
2	Serious	401	34.4%
3	Not very serious	62	5.3%
4	Not serious at all	5	0.4%
94	It depends	10	0.9%
95	No opinion	5	0.4%
97	Don't know	43	3.7%
98	Refuse to answer	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

f10: F10.How serious do you think food safety?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1166 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very serious	669	57.4%
2	Serious	365	31.3%
3	Not very serious	95	8.1%
4	Not serious at all	1	0.1%
94	It depends	3	0.3%
95	No opinion	3	0.3%
97	Don't know	30	2.6%
98	Refuse to answer	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

f11: F11.How serious do you think the rise in prices?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1166 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very serious	551	47.3%
2	Serious	447	38.3%

File : TEDS2017AE_indQ

f11: F11.How serious do you think the rise in prices?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Not very serious	136	11.7%
4	Not serious at all	3	0.3%
94	It depends	4	0.3%
95	No opinion	4	0.3%
97	Don't know	21	1.8%
98	Refuse to answer	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

g1: G1.Sometimes people will talk about the question of Taiwan independence or the unification with China. This card lists eleven positions from independence (0) to unification (10). Which position do you occupy?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1166 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Declare independence immediately	104	8.9%
1		14	1.2%
2		36	3.1%
3		71	6.1%
4		52	4.5%
5		526	45.1%
6		55	4.7%
7		47	4.0%
8		42	3.6%
9		6	0.5%
10	Unify immediately	49	4.2%
94	It's hard to say	32	2.7%
97	Don't know	107	9.2%
98	Refuse to answer	25	2.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

g1a: G1a.Sometimes people will talk about the question of Taiwan independence or the unification with China. What position do you think KMT occupies?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1166 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Declare independence immediately	5	0.4%
1		2	0.2%
2		4	0.3%
3		12	1.0%
4		10	0.9%
5		212	18.2%
6		94	8.1%
7		134	11.5%
8		145	12.4%
9		63	5.4%

File : TEDS2017AE_indQ

g1a: G1a.Sometimes people will talk about the question of Taiwan independence or the unification with China. What position do you think KMT occupies?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	Unify immediately	245	21.0%
94	It's hard to say	34	2.9%
97	Don't know	191	16.4%
98	Refuse to answer	15	1.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

g1b: G1b.Sometimes people will talk about the question of Taiwan independence or the unification with China. What position do you think DPP occupies?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1166 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Declare independence immediately	220	18.9%
1		93	8.0%
2		133	11.4%
3		174	14.9%
4		102	8.7%
5		171	14.7%
6		16	1.4%
7		10	0.9%
8		9	0.8%
9		2	0.2%
10	Unify immediately	6	0.5%
94	It's hard to say	21	1.8%
97	Don't know	195	16.7%
98	Refuse to answer	14	1.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

g1c: G1c.Sometimes people will talk about the question of Taiwan independence or the unification with China. What position do you think PFP occupies?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1166 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Declare independence immediately	6	0.5%
1		7	0.6%
2		16	1.4%
3		33	2.8%
4		57	4.9%
5		256	22.0%
6		85	7.3%
7		99	8.5%
8		66	5.7%
9		23	2.0%
10	Unify immediately	68	5.8%

File : TEDS2017AE_indQ

g1c: G1c.Sometimes people will talk about the question of Taiwan independence or the unification with China. What position do you think PFP occupies?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
94	It's hard to say	41	3.5%
97	Don't know	389	33.4%
98	Refuse to answer	20	1.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

g1d: G1d.Sometimes people will talk about the question of Taiwan independence or the unification with China. What position do you think NPP occupies?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1166 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Declare independence immediately	104	8.9%
1		90	7.7%
2		98	8.4%
3		123	10.5%
4		89	7.6%
5		172	14.8%
6		19	1.6%
7		7	0.6%
8		9	0.8%
9		2	0.2%
10	Unify immediately	2	0.2%
94	It's hard to say	33	2.8%
97	Don't know	401	34.4%
98	Refuse to answer	17	1.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

g2: G2.Do you consider yourself as Taiwanese, Chinese or both?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1166 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Taiwanese [continue to G2a]	660	56.6%
2	Both [skip to G2b]	434	37.2%
3	Chinese [continue to G2a]	49	4.2%
92	None of them [skip to G2b]	6	0.5%
97	Don't know [skip to G2b]	11	0.9%
98	Refuse to answer [skip to G2b]	6	0.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

g2a: G2a.Do you feel very strongly or somewhat strongly that you are Taiwanese/Chinese?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1166 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very strongly	446	38.3%
2	Somewhat	242	20.8%

File : TEDS2017AE_indQ

g2a: G2a.Do you feel very strongly or somewhat strongly that you are Taiwanese/Chinese?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
96	Skipped	457	39.2%
98	Refuse to answer	21	1.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

g2b: G2b.Do you feel yourself leaning a little more to considering yourself Taiwanese or Chinese?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1166 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Lean to Taiwanese	186	16.0%
2	Lean to Chinese	45	3.9%
3	No	212	18.2%
96	Skipped	709	60.8%
98	Refuse to answer	14	1.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

g3: G3.Concerning the relationship between Taiwan and mainland China, which of the following six positions do you agree with?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1166 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Immediate unification	31	2.7%
2	Immediate independence	90	7.7%
3	Maintain the status quo, move toward unification in the futu	140	12.0%
4	Maintain the status quo, move toward independence in the fut	186	16.0%
5	Maintain the status quo, decide either unification or indepe	415	35.6%
6	Maintain the status quo forever	218	18.7%
94	It depends	6	0.5%
95	No opinion	12	1.0%
97	Don't know	59	5.1%
98	Refuse to answer	9	0.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

h1: H1.Do you usually think of yourself as close to any particular party?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1166 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes [skip to H1b]	401	34.4%
2	No [continue to H1a]	751	64.4%
97	Don't know [continue to H1a]	8	0.7%
98	Refuse to answer [continue to H1a]	6	0.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

h1a: H1a.Do you feel yourself a little closer to one of the political parties than the others?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1166 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

File : TEDS2017AE_indQ**# h1a: H1a.Do you feel yourself a little closer to one of the political parties than the others?**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes [continue to H1b]	197	16.9%
2	No [skip to H2]	558	47.9%
96	Skipped	401	34.4%
97	Don't know [skip to H2]	7	0.6%
98	Refuse to answer [skip to H2]	3	0.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# h1b: H1b.Which party do you feel closest to?			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1166 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Kuomintang(KMT)	262	22.5%
2	Democratic Progressive Party(DPP)	284	24.4%
3	New Party(NP)	4	0.3%
4	People First Party(PFP)	12	1.0%
6	Taiwan Solidarity Union(TSU)	1	0.1%
7	Non-Partisan Solidarity Union(NPSU)	0	
9	Green Party	2	0.2%
15	People Boss Party	0	
18	Trees Party	0	
19	New Power Party(NPP)	26	2.2%
20	Social Democratic Party(SDP)	0	
21	Minkuotang(MKT, Republic Party)	2	0.2%
23	Military, Civil, and Faculty Alliance Party(MCFAP)	0	
24	Faith and Hope League	0	
25	Unionist Party	1	0.1%
96	Skipped	568	48.7%
97	Don't know [skip to H2]	1	0.1%
98	Refuse to answer [skip to H2]	3	0.3%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# h1c: H1c.Do you feel very close to this party, somewhat close, or not very close?			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1166 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very close	113	9.7%
2	Somewhat close	313	26.8%
3	Not very close	161	13.8%
94	It depends	5	0.4%
95	No opinion	1	0.1%
96	Skipped	572	49.1%
97	Don't know	1	0.1%
98	Refuse to answer	0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# h2: H2.Please rate it on a scale from 0 to 10, where would you place, KMT?			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1166 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Strongly dislike	120	10.3%
1		42	3.6%
2		77	6.6%
3		115	9.9%
4		99	8.5%

h2: H2.Please rate it on a scale from 0 to 10, where would you place, KMT?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5		352	30.2%
6		124	10.6%
7		50	4.3%
8		49	4.2%
9		9	0.8%
10	Strongly like	21	1.8%
97	Don't know	84	7.2%
98	Refuse to answer	24	2.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

h2a: H2a.Please rate it on a scale from 0 to 10, where would you place, DPP?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1166 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Strongly dislike	106	9.1%
1		38	3.3%
2		79	6.8%
3		129	11.1%
4		91	7.8%
5		328	28.1%
6		101	8.7%
7		66	5.7%
8		65	5.6%
9		21	1.8%
10	Strongly like	33	2.8%
97	Don't know	85	7.3%
98	Refuse to answer	24	2.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

h2b: H2b.Please rate it on a scale from 0 to 10, where would you place, PFP?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1166 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Strongly dislike	110	9.4%
1		62	5.3%
2		113	9.7%
3		146	12.5%
4		124	10.6%
5		292	25.0%
6		42	3.6%
7		18	1.5%
8		7	0.6%
9		2	0.2%
10	Strongly like	3	0.3%
97	Don't know	219	18.8%
98	Refuse to answer	28	2.4%

h2b: H2b.Please rate it on a scale from 0 to 10, where would you place, PFP?

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

h2c: H2c.Please rate it on a scale from 0 to 10, where would you place, NPP?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1166 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Strongly dislike	153	13.1%
1		84	7.2%
2		92	7.9%
3		90	7.7%
4		100	8.6%
5		215	18.4%
6		65	5.6%
7		39	3.3%
8		21	1.8%
9		14	1.2%
10	Strongly like	7	0.6%
97	Don't know	260	22.3%
98	Refuse to answer	26	2.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

j1y: J1.Year of birth (Minguo calendar)

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 12-98] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1166 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
12		1	0.1%
13		2	0.2%
15		2	0.2%
16		2	0.2%
17		1	0.1%
18		2	0.2%
19		3	0.3%
20		3	0.3%
21		4	0.3%
22		7	0.6%
23		5	0.4%
24		9	0.8%
25		6	0.5%
26		9	0.8%
27		12	1.0%
28		7	0.6%
29		11	0.9%
30		17	1.5%
31		10	0.9%
32		6	0.5%
33		15	1.3%
34		9	0.8%

j1y: J1.Year of birth (Minguo calendar)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
35		16	1.4%
36		17	1.5%
37		14	1.2%
38		27	2.3%
39		25	2.1%
40		28	2.4%
41		27	2.3%
42		24	2.1%
43		20	1.7%
44		30	2.6%
45		21	1.8%
46		29	2.5%
47		18	1.5%
48		28	2.4%
49		16	1.4%
50		26	2.2%
51		30	2.6%
52		28	2.4%
53		23	2.0%
54		20	1.7%
55		27	2.3%
56		16	1.4%
57		20	1.7%
58		30	2.6%
59		24	2.1%
60		23	2.0%
61		24	2.1%
62		10	0.9%
63		17	1.5%
64		20	1.7%
65		22	1.9%
66		23	2.0%
67		20	1.7%
68		22	1.9%
69		33	2.8%
70		23	2.0%
71		29	2.5%
72		16	1.4%
73		7	0.6%
74		14	1.2%
75		7	0.6%
76		16	1.4%
77		8	0.7%
78		4	0.3%
79		13	1.1%

j1y: J1.Year of birth (Minguo calendar)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
80		7	0.6%
81		8	0.7%
82		7	0.6%
83		11	0.9%
84		18	1.5%
85		8	0.7%
86		9	0.8%
98	Refuse to answer	20	1.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

j1m: J1.Month of birth

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1166 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		104	8.9%
2		95	8.1%
3		90	7.7%
4		88	7.5%
5		83	7.1%
6		80	6.9%
7		84	7.2%
8		86	7.4%
9		96	8.2%
10		102	8.7%
11		96	8.2%
12		93	8.0%
98	Refuse to answer	69	5.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

j2: J2.Father's ethnic background

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1166 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Taiwanese Hakka	168	14.4%
2	Taiwanese Min-Nan	854	73.2%
3	Mainlander	116	9.9%
4	Aboriginal	12	1.0%
5	Recent mainland immigrant	1	0.1%
6	Recent foreign immigrant	1	0.1%
7	Foreigner	5	0.4%
97	Don't know	4	0.3%
98	Refuse to answer	5	0.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

j3: J3.Mother's ethnic background

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
--------------------	---

j3: J3.Mother's ethnic background

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1166 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Taiwanese Hakka	168	14.4%
2	Taiwanese Min-Nan	907	77.8%
3	Mainlander	56	4.8%
4	Aboriginal	16	1.4%
5	Recent mainland immigrant	1	0.1%
6	Recent foreign immigrant	3	0.3%
7	Foreigner	5	0.4%
97	Don't know	3	0.3%
98	Refuse to answer	7	0.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

j4: J4.Educational level

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1166 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Illiterate	32	2.7%
2	Literate but no formal schooling	7	0.6%
3	Some primary school	31	2.7%
4	Primary school graduate	174	14.9%
5	Some junior high school	8	0.7%
6	Junior high school graduate	113	9.7%
7	Some high school or vocational school	16	1.4%
8	High school or vocational school graduate	258	22.1%
9	Some technical college	6	0.5%
10	Technical college graduate	157	13.5%
11	Some university	25	2.1%
12	University graduate	239	20.5%
13	Post-graduate education	96	8.2%
98	Refuse to answer	4	0.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

j5: J5.Religious belief

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1166 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	None [continue to J5a]	318	27.3%
2	Buddhist [continue to J5a]	341	29.2%
3	Taoist [continue to J5a]	250	21.4%
4	Catholic [skip to J6]	7	0.6%
5	Protestant [skip to J6]	60	5.1%
6	Muslim [skip to J6]	0	
7	I-Kuan-Tao [skip to J6]	13	1.1%
8	Traditional folk belief [continue to J5a]	165	14.2%
9	Buddhist and Taoist [continue to J5a]	4	0.3%

# j5: J5.Religious belief			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	Tantric Buddhism [continue to J5a]	0	
11	Confucianism [continue to J5a]	0	
13	Japanese Religion [continue to J5a]	1	0.1%
15	Bailian religion [continue to J5a]	1	0.1%
17	Soka Gakkai [continue to J5a]	2	0.2%
97	Don't know [continue to J5a]	2	0.2%
98	Refuse to answer [continue to J5a]	2	0.2%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# j5a: J5a.Do you burn incense for the Earth God, Matsu, or some other spirits?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1166 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	901	77.3%
2	No	185	15.9%
96	Skipped	80	6.9%
98	Refuse to answer	0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# j6: J6.Current employment status of R			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1166 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Employed full time (32 hours or more a week)	561	48.1%
2	Employed part time (15 to 32 hours a week)	62	5.3%
3	Employed less than part time (less than 15 hours a week)	32	2.7%
4	Help out at home	30	2.6%
5	Currently looking for employment [skip to J6b]	30	2.6%
6	Student or currently in job training [skip to J7]	24	2.1%
7	Retired [skip to J6b]	237	20.3%
8	Home duties	176	15.1%
9	Disabled or unable to work [skip to J7]	11	0.9%
10	Unemployee [skip to J7]	1	0.1%
96	Skipped	0	
98	Refuse to answer [skip to J7]	2	0.2%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# j6a: J6a.Main occupation of R			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-9998] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1166 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	Servicemen	5	0.4%
20	Students	0	
31	housekeeping, without domestic crafting	157	13.5%
32	housekeeping, with domestic crafting	11	0.9%
33	housekeeping, helping familial business without pay	10	0.9%

j6a: J6a.Main occupation of R

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
34	housekeeping, helping familial business with pay	5	0.4%
40	never work before	1	0.1%
50	Unemployment [continue to J6b]	0	
60	Retired [continue to J6b]	0	
1010	Legislators and Government Administrators	4	0.3%
1021	Directors and Chief Executives (Pub.)	3	0.3%
1022	Directors and Chief Executives (Priv.)	94	8.1%
1031	Production and Operations Managers (Pub.)	1	0.1%
1032	Production and Operations Managers (Priv.)	22	1.9%
1091	Other Managers (Pub.)	0	
1092	Other Managers (Priv.)	18	1.5%
2011	Phys., Math. and Eng. Science Professionals (Pub.)	1	0.1%
2012	Phys., Math. and Eng. Science Professionals (Priv.)	15	1.3%
2021	Biol. Science and Health Professionals (Pub.)	4	0.3%
2022	Biol. Science and Health Professionals (Priv.)	6	0.5%
2031	Teachers (Pub.)	26	2.2%
2032	Teachers (Priv.)	10	0.9%
2041	Accountants and Business Professionals (Pub.)	0	
2042	Accountants and Business Professionals (Priv.)	3	0.3%
2051	Lawyers and Legal Professionals (Pub.)	1	0.1%
2052	Lawyers and Legal Professionals (Priv.)	0	
2061	Social Science and Related Professionals (Pub.)	0	
2062	Social Science and Related Professionals (Priv.)	0	
2091	Other Professionals (Pub.)	3	0.3%
2092	Other Professionals (Priv.)	16	1.4%
3011	Phys. and Eng. Science Associate Professionals (Pub.)	0	
3012	Phys. and Eng. Science Associate Professionals (Priv.)	9	0.8%
3021	Biol. Science and Health Associate Professionals (Pub.)	2	0.2%
3022	Biol. Science and Health Associate Professionals (Priv.)	9	0.8%
3031	Teaching and Related Associate Professionals (Pub.)	2	0.2%
3032	Teaching and Related Associate Professionals (Priv.)	1	0.1%
3041	Fin. and Business Services Associate Professionals (Pub.)	0	
3042	Fin. and Business Services Associate Professionals (Priv.)	15	1.3%
3051	Gov. Admin. Officials and Bus. Clerical Supervisors (Pub.)	0	
3052	Gov. Admin. Officials and Bus. Clerical Supervisors (Priv.)	3	0.3%
3061	Administrative Associate Professionals (Pub.)	3	0.3%
3062	Administrative Associate Professionals (Priv.)	6	0.5%
3071	Customs, Tax and Related Gov. Associate Professionals (Pub.)	0	
3072	Customs, Tax and Related Gov. Associate Professionals (Priv.)	0	
3091	Other Associate Professionals (Pub.)	0	
3092	Other Associate Professionals (Priv.)	3	0.3%
4011	Office Clerks (Pub.)	8	0.7%
4012	Office Clerks (Priv.)	46	3.9%
4021	Customer Services Clerks (Pub.)	0	
4022	Customer Services Clerks (Priv.)	3	0.3%

j6a: J6a.Main occupation of R

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5011	Personal Services Workers (Pub.)	0	
5012	Personal Services Workers (Priv.)	43	3.7%
5021	Protective Services Workers (Pub.)	2	0.2%
5022	Protective Services Workers (Priv.)	3	0.3%
5031	Models, Salespersons and Demonstrators (Pub.)	0	
5032	Models, Salespersons and Demonstrators (Priv.)	41	3.5%
5042	Religious worker	3	0.3%
6011	Agr., Animal Husbandry, Forestry and Fishing Workers (Pub.)	3	0.3%
6012	Agr., Animal Husbandry, Forestry and Fishing Workers (Priv.)	20	1.7%
7011	Extraction and Building Trades Workers (Pub.)	0	
7012	Extraction and Building Trades Workers (Priv.)	10	0.9%
7021	Metal, Machinery and Rel. Trades Workers (Pub.)	0	
7022	Metal, Machinery and Rel. Trades Workers (Priv.)	18	1.5%
7031	Precision, Handicraft, Print. and Rel. Trades Workers (Pub.)	0	
7032	Precision, Handicraft, Print. and Rel. Trades Workers (Priv.)	22	1.9%
7091	Other Craft and Rel. Trades Workers (Pub.)	1	0.1%
7092	Other Craft and Rel. Trades Workers (Priv.)	31	2.7%
8011	Stationary-plant and Rel. Operators (Pub.)	0	
8012	Stationary-plant and Rel. Operators (Priv.)	5	0.4%
8021	Machine Operators (Pub.)	0	
8022	Machine Operators (Priv.)	4	0.3%
8031	Assemblers (Pub.)	0	
8032	Assemblers (Priv.)	19	1.6%
8041	Drivers and Mobile-plant Operators (Pub.)	0	
8042	Drivers and Mobile-plant Operators (Priv.)	18	1.5%
9011	Sales and Services Elementary Occupations (Pub.)	1	0.1%
9012	Sales and Services Elementary Occupations (Priv.)	47	4.0%
9021	Production Laborers and Rel. Workers (Pub.)	0	
9022	Production Laborers and Rel. Workers (Priv.)	12	1.0%
9091	Other Elementary Occupations (Pub.)	4	0.3%
9092	Other Elementary Occupations (Priv.)	23	2.0%
9996	Skipped	305	26.2%
9998	Refuse to answer	5	0.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

j6b: J6b.Main occupation of R before retirement or unemployment

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-9998] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1166 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	Servicemen	5	0.4%
20	Students	0	
31	housekeeping, without domestic crafting	0	
32	housekeeping, with domestic crafting	0	
33	housekeeping, helping familial business without pay	0	
34	housekeeping, helping familial business with pay	0	

j6b: J6b.Main occupation of R before retirement or unemployment

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
40	never work before	8	0.7%
50	Unemployment	0	
60	Retired	0	
1010	Legislators and Government Administrators	2	0.2%
1021	Directors and Chief Executives (Pub.)	6	0.5%
1022	Directors and Chief Executives (Priv.)	33	2.8%
1031	Production and Operations Managers (Pub.)	1	0.1%
1032	Production and Operations Managers (Priv.)	10	0.9%
1091	Other Managers (Pub.)	2	0.2%
1092	Other Managers (Priv.)	8	0.7%
2011	Phys., Math. and Eng. Science Professionals (Pub.)	1	0.1%
2012	Phys., Math. and Eng. Science Professionals (Priv.)	3	0.3%
2021	Biol. Science and Health Professionals (Pub.)	1	0.1%
2022	Biol. Science and Health Professionals (Priv.)	3	0.3%
2031	Teachers (Pub.)	11	0.9%
2032	Teachers (Priv.)	3	0.3%
2041	Accountants and Business Professionals (Pub.)	0	
2042	Accountants and Business Professionals (Priv.)	1	0.1%
2051	Lawyers and Legal Professionals (Pub.)	0	
2052	Lawyers and Legal Professionals (Priv.)	0	
2061	Social Science and Related Professionals (Pub.)	0	
2062	Social Science and Related Professionals (Priv.)	0	
2091	Other Professionals (Pub.)	5	0.4%
2092	Other Professionals (Priv.)	5	0.4%
3011	Phys. and Eng. Science Associate Professionals (Pub.)	2	0.2%
3012	Phys. and Eng. Science Associate Professionals (Priv.)	0	
3021	Biol. Science and Health Associate Professionals (Pub.)	1	0.1%
3022	Biol. Science and Health Associate Professionals (Priv.)	0	
3031	Teaching and Related Associate Professionals (Pub.)	2	0.2%
3032	Teaching and Related Associate Professionals (Priv.)	0	
3041	Fin. and Business Services Associate Professionals (Pub.)	1	0.1%
3042	Fin. and Business Services Associate Professionals (Priv.)	1	0.1%
3051	Gov. Admin. Officials and Bus. Clerical Supervisors (Pub.)	0	
3052	Gov. Admin. Officials and Bus. Clerical Supervisors (Priv.)	0	
3061	Administrative Associate Professionals (Pub.)	0	
3062	Administrative Associate Professionals (Priv.)	2	0.2%
3071	Customs, Tax and Related Gov. Associate Professionals (Pub.)	0	
3072	Customs, Tax and Related Gov. Associate Professionals (Priv.)	0	
3091	Other Associate Professionals (Pub.)	1	0.1%
3092	Other Associate Professionals (Priv.)	1	0.1%
4011	Office Clerks (Pub.)	9	0.8%
4012	Office Clerks (Priv.)	6	0.5%
4021	Customer Services Clerks (Pub.)	0	
4022	Customer Services Clerks (Priv.)	0	
5011	Personal Services Workers (Pub.)	0	

j6b: J6b.Main occupation of R before retirement or unemployment

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5012	Personal Services Workers (Priv.)	6	0.5%
5021	Protective Services Workers (Pub.)	1	0.1%
5022	Protective Services Workers (Priv.)	1	0.1%
5031	Models, Salespersons and Demonstrators (Pub.)	0	
5032	Models, Salespersons and Demonstrators (Priv.)	9	0.8%
5042	Religious worker	0	
6011	Agr., Animal Husbandry, Forestry and Fishing Workers (Pub.)	8	0.7%
6012	Agr., Animal Husbandry, Forestry and Fishing Workers (Priv.)	13	1.1%
7011	Extraction and Building Trades Workers (Pub.)	0	
7012	Extraction and Building Trades Workers (Priv.)	5	0.4%
7021	Metal, Machinery and Rel. Trades Workers (Pub.)	1	0.1%
7022	Metal, Machinery and Rel. Trades Workers (Priv.)	4	0.3%
7031	Precision, Handicraft, Print. and Rel. Trades Workers (Pub.)	0	
7032	Precision, Handicraft, Print. and Rel. Trades Workers (Priv.)	4	0.3%
7091	Other Craft and Rel. Trades Workers (Pub.)	0	
7092	Other Craft and Rel. Trades Workers (Priv.)	12	1.0%
8011	Stationary-plant and Rel. Operators (Pub.)	0	
8012	Stationary-plant and Rel. Operators (Priv.)	2	0.2%
8021	Machine Operators (Pub.)	0	
8022	Machine Operators (Priv.)	1	0.1%
8031	Assemblers (Pub.)	1	0.1%
8032	Assemblers (Priv.)	14	1.2%
8041	Drivers and Mobile-plant Operators (Pub.)	1	0.1%
8042	Drivers and Mobile-plant Operators (Priv.)	6	0.5%
9011	Sales and Services Elementary Occupations (Pub.)	1	0.1%
9012	Sales and Services Elementary Occupations (Priv.)	9	0.8%
9021	Production Laborers and Rel. Workers (Pub.)	0	
9022	Production Laborers and Rel. Workers (Priv.)	5	0.4%
9091	Other Elementary Occupations (Pub.)	0	
9092	Other Elementary Occupations (Priv.)	6	0.5%
9996	Skipped	899	77.1%
9998	Refuse to answer	23	2.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

j7: J7.Marital status

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1166 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Married or live as married	796	68.3%
2	Separated	5	0.4%
3	Widowed	120	10.3%
4	Cohabit without legally marrying	5	0.4%
5	Divorced [skip to J10]	41	3.5%
6	Single [skip to J10]	194	16.6%
98	Refuse to answer [skip to J10]	5	0.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

j8: J8.Spouse's ethnic background

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1166 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Taiwanese Hakka	115	9.9%
2	Taiwanese Min-Nan	695	59.6%
3	Mainlander	78	6.7%
4	Aboriginal	13	1.1%
5	Recent mainland immigrant	6	0.5%
6	Recent foreign immigrant	9	0.8%
96	Skipped	240	20.6%
97	Don't know	5	0.4%
98	Refuse to answer	5	0.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

j9: J9.Current employment status of R's spouse

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1166 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Employed full time (32 hours or more a week)	442	37.9%
2	Employed part time (15 to 32 hours a week)	33	2.8%
3	Employed less than part time (less than 15 hours a week)	13	1.1%
4	Help out at home	9	0.8%
5	Currently looking for employment [skip to J9b]	5	0.4%
6	Student or currently in job training [skip to J10]	1	0.1%
7	Retired [skip to J9b]	157	13.5%
8	Home duties	134	11.5%
9	Disabled or unable to work [skip to J10]	8	0.7%
10	Dead [skip to J9b]	120	10.3%
11	Unemployee [skip to J10]	0	
96	Skipped	240	20.6%
98	Refuse to answer [skip to J10]	4	0.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

j9a: J9a.Main occupation of R's spouse

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-9998] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1166 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	Servicemen	3	0.3%
20	Students	0	
31	housekeeping, without domestic crafting	108	9.3%
32	housekeeping, with domestic crafting	4	0.3%
33	housekeeping, helping familial business without pay	19	1.6%
34	housekeeping, helping familial business with pay	3	0.3%
40	never work before	0	
50	Unemployment [continue to J9b]	0	
60	Retired [continue to J9b]	0	

j9a: J9a.Main occupation of R's spouse

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1010	Legislators and Government Administrators	2	0.2%
1021	Directors and Chief Executives (Pub.)	1	0.1%
1022	Directors and Chief Executives (Priv.)	73	6.3%
1031	Production and Operations Managers (Pub.)	0	
1032	Production and Operations Managers (Priv.)	13	1.1%
1091	Other Managers (Pub.)	2	0.2%
1092	Other Managers (Priv.)	15	1.3%
2011	Phys., Math. and Eng. Science Professionals (Pub.)	2	0.2%
2012	Phys., Math. and Eng. Science Professionals (Priv.)	12	1.0%
2021	Biol. Science and Health Professionals (Pub.)	3	0.3%
2022	Biol. Science and Health Professionals (Priv.)	7	0.6%
2031	Teachers (Pub.)	22	1.9%
2032	Teachers (Priv.)	12	1.0%
2041	Accountants and Business Professionals (Pub.)	0	
2042	Accountants and Business Professionals (Priv.)	5	0.4%
2051	Lawyers and Legal Professionals (Pub.)	3	0.3%
2052	Lawyers and Legal Professionals (Priv.)	1	0.1%
2061	Social Science and Related Professionals (Pub.)	0	
2062	Social Science and Related Professionals (Priv.)	0	
2091	Other Professionals (Pub.)	5	0.4%
2092	Other Professionals (Priv.)	11	0.9%
3011	Phys. and Eng. Science Associate Professionals (Pub.)	0	
3012	Phys. and Eng. Science Associate Professionals (Priv.)	5	0.4%
3021	Biol. Science and Health Associate Professionals (Pub.)	0	
3022	Biol. Science and Health Associate Professionals (Priv.)	6	0.5%
3031	Teaching and Related Associate Professionals (Pub.)	2	0.2%
3032	Teaching and Related Associate Professionals (Priv.)	2	0.2%
3041	Fin. and Business Services Associate Professionals (Pub.)	0	
3042	Fin. and Business Services Associate Professionals (Priv.)	9	0.8%
3051	Gov. Admin. Officials and Bus. Clerical Supervisors (Pub.)	0	
3052	Gov. Admin. Officials and Bus. Clerical Supervisors (Priv.)	3	0.3%
3061	Administrative Associate Professionals (Pub.)	1	0.1%
3062	Administrative Associate Professionals (Priv.)	6	0.5%
3071	Customs, Tax and Related Gov. Associate Professionals (Pub.)	0	
3072	Customs, Tax and Related Gov. Associate Professionals (Priv.)	0	
3091	Other Associate Professionals (Pub.)	2	0.2%
3092	Other Associate Professionals (Priv.)	4	0.3%
4011	Office Clerks (Pub.)	12	1.0%
4012	Office Clerks (Priv.)	24	2.1%
4021	Customer Services Clerks (Pub.)	1	0.1%
4022	Customer Services Clerks (Priv.)	7	0.6%
5011	Personal Services Workers (Pub.)	1	0.1%
5012	Personal Services Workers (Priv.)	19	1.6%
5021	Protective Services Workers (Pub.)	3	0.3%
5022	Protective Services Workers (Priv.)	2	0.2%

j9a: J9a.Main occupation of R's spouse

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5031	Models, Salespersons and Demonstrators (Pub.)	0	
5032	Models, Salespersons and Demonstrators (Priv.)	31	2.7%
5042	Religious worker	2	0.2%
6011	Agr., Animal Husbandry, Forestry and Fishing Workers (Pub.)	0	
6012	Agr., Animal Husbandry, Forestry and Fishing Workers (Priv.)	20	1.7%
7011	Extraction and Building Trades Workers (Pub.)	0	
7012	Extraction and Building Trades Workers (Priv.)	9	0.8%
7021	Metal, Machinery and Rel. Trades Workers (Pub.)	0	
7022	Metal, Machinery and Rel. Trades Workers (Priv.)	9	0.8%
7031	Precision, Handicraft, Print. and Rel. Trades Workers (Pub.)	0	
7032	Precision, Handicraft, Print. and Rel. Trades Workers (Priv.)	10	0.9%
7091	Other Craft and Rel. Trades Workers (Pub.)	1	0.1%
7092	Other Craft and Rel. Trades Workers (Priv.)	18	1.5%
8011	Stationary-plant and Rel. Operators (Pub.)	0	
8012	Stationary-plant and Rel. Operators (Priv.)	7	0.6%
8021	Machine Operators (Pub.)	0	
8022	Machine Operators (Priv.)	2	0.2%
8031	Assemblers (Pub.)	0	
8032	Assemblers (Priv.)	14	1.2%
8041	Drivers and Mobile-plant Operators (Pub.)	2	0.2%
8042	Drivers and Mobile-plant Operators (Priv.)	13	1.1%
9011	Sales and Services Elementary Occupations (Pub.)	2	0.2%
9012	Sales and Services Elementary Occupations (Priv.)	29	2.5%
9021	Production Laborers and Rel. Workers (Pub.)	1	0.1%
9022	Production Laborers and Rel. Workers (Priv.)	9	0.8%
9091	Other Elementary Occupations (Pub.)	1	0.1%
9092	Other Elementary Occupations (Priv.)	12	1.0%
9996	Skipped	535	45.9%
9998	Refuse to answer	4	0.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

j9b: J9b.Main occupation of R's spouse before his/her retirement or unemployment

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-9998] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1166 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	Servicemen	14	1.2%
20	Students	0	
31	housekeeping, without domestic crafting	3	0.3%
32	housekeeping, with domestic crafting	0	
33	housekeeping, helping familial business without pay	0	
34	housekeeping, helping familial business with pay	0	
40	never work before	6	0.5%
50	Unemployment	0	
60	Retired	0	
1010	Legislators and Government Administrators	1	0.1%

j9b: J9b.Main occupation of R's spouse before his/her retirement or unemployment

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1021	Directors and Chief Executives (Pub.)	4	0.3%
1022	Directors and Chief Executives (Priv.)	26	2.2%
1031	Production and Operations Managers (Pub.)	1	0.1%
1032	Production and Operations Managers (Priv.)	5	0.4%
1091	Other Managers (Pub.)	0	
1092	Other Managers (Priv.)	3	0.3%
2011	Phys., Math. and Eng. Science Professionals (Pub.)	1	0.1%
2012	Phys., Math. and Eng. Science Professionals (Priv.)	0	
2021	Biol. Science and Health Professionals (Pub.)	1	0.1%
2022	Biol. Science and Health Professionals (Priv.)	1	0.1%
2031	Teachers (Pub.)	14	1.2%
2032	Teachers (Priv.)	4	0.3%
2041	Accountants and Business Professionals (Pub.)	0	
2042	Accountants and Business Professionals (Priv.)	1	0.1%
2051	Lawyers and Legal Professionals (Pub.)	0	
2052	Lawyers and Legal Professionals (Priv.)	0	
2061	Social Science and Related Professionals (Pub.)	0	
2062	Social Science and Related Professionals (Priv.)	0	
2091	Other Professionals (Pub.)	1	0.1%
2092	Other Professionals (Priv.)	3	0.3%
3011	Phys. and Eng. Science Associate Professionals (Pub.)	0	
3012	Phys. and Eng. Science Associate Professionals (Priv.)	0	
3021	Biol. Science and Health Associate Professionals (Pub.)	1	0.1%
3022	Biol. Science and Health Associate Professionals (Priv.)	0	
3031	Teaching and Related Associate Professionals (Pub.)	0	
3032	Teaching and Related Associate Professionals (Priv.)	0	
3041	Fin. and Business Services Associate Professionals (Pub.)	1	0.1%
3042	Fin. and Business Services Associate Professionals (Priv.)	2	0.2%
3051	Gov. Admin. Officials and Bus. Clerical Supervisors (Pub.)	1	0.1%
3052	Gov. Admin. Officials and Bus. Clerical Supervisors (Priv.)	0	
3061	Administrative Associate Professionals (Pub.)	0	
3062	Administrative Associate Professionals (Priv.)	3	0.3%
3071	Customs, Tax and Related Gov. Associate Professionals (Pub.)	0	
3072	Customs, Tax and Related Gov. Associate Professionals (Priv.)	0	
3091	Other Associate Professionals (Pub.)	0	
3092	Other Associate Professionals (Priv.)	0	
4011	Office Clerks (Pub.)	13	1.1%
4012	Office Clerks (Priv.)	8	0.7%
4021	Customer Services Clerks (Pub.)	0	
4022	Customer Services Clerks (Priv.)	1	0.1%
5011	Personal Services Workers (Pub.)	1	0.1%
5012	Personal Services Workers (Priv.)	6	0.5%
5021	Protective Services Workers (Pub.)	3	0.3%
5022	Protective Services Workers (Priv.)	0	
5031	Models, Salespersons and Demonstrators (Pub.)	0	

j9b: J9b.Main occupation of R's spouse before his/her retirement or unemployment

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5032	Models, Salespersons and Demonstrators (Priv.)	5	0.4%
5042	Religious worker	1	0.1%
6011	Agr., Animal Husbandry, Forestry and Fishing Workers (Pub.)	5	0.4%
6012	Agr., Animal Husbandry, Forestry and Fishing Workers (Priv.)	21	1.8%
7011	Extraction and Building Trades Workers (Pub.)	0	
7012	Extraction and Building Trades Workers (Priv.)	10	0.9%
7021	Metal, Machinery and Rel. Trades Workers (Pub.)	1	0.1%
7022	Metal, Machinery and Rel. Trades Workers (Priv.)	9	0.8%
7031	Precision, Handicraft, Print. and Rel. Trades Workers (Pub.)	0	
7032	Precision, Handicraft, Print. and Rel. Trades Workers (Priv.)	4	0.3%
7091	Other Craft and Rel. Trades Workers (Pub.)	0	
7092	Other Craft and Rel. Trades Workers (Priv.)	12	1.0%
8011	Stationary-plant and Rel. Operators (Pub.)	0	
8012	Stationary-plant and Rel. Operators (Priv.)	2	0.2%
8021	Machine Operators (Pub.)	0	
8022	Machine Operators (Priv.)	0	
8031	Assemblers (Pub.)	1	0.1%
8032	Assemblers (Priv.)	10	0.9%
8041	Drivers and Mobile-plant Operators (Pub.)	1	0.1%
8042	Drivers and Mobile-plant Operators (Priv.)	10	0.9%
9011	Sales and Services Elementary Occupations (Pub.)	2	0.2%
9012	Sales and Services Elementary Occupations (Priv.)	11	0.9%
9021	Production Laborers and Rel. Workers (Pub.)	0	
9022	Production Laborers and Rel. Workers (Priv.)	7	0.6%
9091	Other Elementary Occupations (Pub.)	1	0.1%
9092	Other Elementary Occupations (Priv.)	12	1.0%
9996	Skipped	884	75.8%
9998	Refuse to answer	28	2.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

j10: J10.Language usually spoken at home

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Mandarin	336	28.8%
2	Taiwanese	405	34.7%
3	Hakka	28	2.4%
4	Aboriginal language	1	0.1%
5	Other Chinese dialect	1	0.1%
6	Both Mandarin and Taiwanese	349	29.9%
7	Both Mandarin and Hakka	26	2.2%
8	Both Taiwanese and Hakka	8	0.7%
9	Both Mandarin and other Chinese dialect	2	0.2%
10	Both Mandarin and Japanese	0	
11	Mandarin, Taiwanese and Japanese	1	0.1%

j10: J10.Language usually spoken at home

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
12	Both Taiwanese and Japanese	0	
13	Both Mandarin and Aboriginal language	0	
14	Mandarin, Taiwanese and Hakka	5	0.4%
15	English	2	0.2%
16	Burmese	0	
17	Mandarin, Taiwanese and English	0	
18	Both Mandarin and English	2	0.2%
19	Both Mandarin and Vietnamese	0	
20	Mandarin, Taiwanese and Aboriginal language	0	
98	Refuse to answer	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

j11: J11.How many people are there living in this address? Including yourself and someone studying away from home

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1166 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		128	11.0%
2		194	16.6%
3		217	18.6%
4		287	24.6%
5		153	13.1%
6		75	6.4%
7		52	4.5%
8		20	1.7%
9		10	0.9%
10		6	0.5%
11		5	0.4%
12		2	0.2%
13		2	0.2%
14		2	0.2%
15		1	0.1%
16		2	0.2%
18		1	0.1%
20		1	0.1%
98	Refuse to answer [skip to J12]	8	0.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

j11a: J11a.Then how many of these studying in the elementary, junior high, or senior high school?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1166 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		814	69.8%
1		170	14.6%
2		126	10.8%
3		36	3.1%

j11a: J11a.Then how many of these studying in the elementary, junior high, or senior high school?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4		9	0.8%
5		3	0.3%
96	Skipped	8	0.7%
98	Refuse to answer	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

j12: J12.For these living with you, how many people are there over the age of 20 and not registered at this address? (Must have the Republic of China nationality)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1166 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		877	75.2%
1		181	15.5%
2		69	5.9%
3		25	2.1%
4		9	0.8%
5		2	0.2%
98	Refuse to answer	3	0.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

j13: J13.How many people are there over the age of 20, registered but not lived of this address?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1166 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		898	77.0%
1		155	13.3%
2		78	6.7%
3		17	1.5%
4		4	0.3%
5		4	0.3%
6		2	0.2%
7		1	0.1%
98	Refuse to answer	7	0.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

j14m01: J14.Have you or any member of your family made investments in Mainland China?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1166 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	1140	97.8%
1	Yes	23	2.0%
97	Don't know	2	0.2%
98	Refuse to answer	1	0.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

j14m02: J14.Have you or any member of your family conducted business in Mainland China?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]
--------------------	---

j14m02: J14.Have you or any member of your family conducted business in Mainland China?

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1166 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	1125	96.5%
1	Yes	38	3.3%
97	Don't know	2	0.2%
98	Refuse to answer	1	0.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

j14m03: J14.Have you or any member of your family held employment in Mainland China?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1166 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	1122	96.2%
1	Yes	41	3.5%
97	Don't know	2	0.2%
98	Refuse to answer	1	0.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

j14m04: J14.Have you or any member of your family settled down in Mainland China?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1166 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	1152	98.8%
1	Yes	11	0.9%
97	Don't know	2	0.2%
98	Refuse to answer	1	0.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

j14m05: J14.Have you or any member of your family studied in Mainland China?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1166 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	1157	99.2%
1	Yes	6	0.5%
97	Don't know	2	0.2%
98	Refuse to answer	1	0.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

j15: J15.If we divide social class to upper, upper middle, middle, lower middle and lower, which do you think you are?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1166 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Upper	3	0.3%
2	Upper middle	75	6.4%
3	Middle	565	48.5%
4	Lower middle	311	26.7%

j15: J15.If we divide social class to upper, upper middle, middle, lower middle and lower, which do you think you are?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	Lower	151	13.0%
94	It depends	0	
95	No opinion	6	0.5%
97	Don't know	47	4.0%
98	Refuse to answer	8	0.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

j16: J16.Monthly household income

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1166 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Under 28,000	235	20.2%
2	28,001-39,000	92	7.9%
3	39,001-49,000	86	7.4%
4	49,001-59,000	88	7.5%
5	59,001-70,000	119	10.2%
6	70,001-80,000	77	6.6%
7	80,001-94,000	50	4.3%
8	94,001-111,000	73	6.3%
9	111,001-143,000	64	5.5%
10	Over 143,001	82	7.0%
94	It depends	44	3.8%
97	Don't know	93	8.0%
98	Refuse to answer	63	5.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

j17: J17.Who owns this house that you live in?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1166 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	R or R's spouse	815	69.9%
2	Renting	81	6.9%
3	Borrowing	9	0.8%
4	Student dormitory	0	
5	Staff dormitory	7	0.6%
6	Other relative	251	21.5%
7	Shared with relatives	0	
97	Don't know	1	0.1%
98	Refuse to answer	2	0.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

j18: J18.Do you have driver's license?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1166 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

# j18: J18.Do you have driver's license?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	806	69.1%
2	No	359	30.8%
98	Refuse to answer	1	0.1%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# j19: J19.Are you a resident of where your household is registered?			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1166 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes [skip to J20]	0	
2	No [continue to J19a]	0	
89	Not applicable (Address Sampling Interview) [skip to J20]	1166	100.0%
98	Refuse to answer [skip to J20]	0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# j19a: J19a.In which county/city and which township do you live?			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 2-98] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1166 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Yi Lan County	0	
4	Hsinchu County	0	
5	Miaoli County	0	
7	Changhua County	0	
8	Nantou County	0	
9	Yunlin County	0	
10	Chiayi County	0	
13	Pingtung City	0	
14	Taitung County	0	
15	Hualien County	0	
16	Penghu County	0	
17	Keelung City	0	
18	Hsinchu City	0	
20	Chiayi City	0	
28	Kinmen County	0	
30	Lienchiang County	0	
63	Taipei City	0	
64	Kaohsiung City	0	
65	New Taipei City	0	
66	Taichung City	0	
67	Tainan City	0	
68	Taoyuan County	0	
96	Skipped	1166	100.0%
98	Refuse to answer	0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# j19a02: J19a02.Which township or city in Yi Lan County?			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1166 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yilan City	0	
2	Luodong Township	0	
3	Suao Township	0	
4	Toucheng Township	0	
5	Jiaoxi Township	0	
6	Zhuangwei Township	0	
7	Yuanshan Township	0	
8	Dongshan Township	0	
9	Wujie Township	0	
10	Sanxing Township	0	
11	Datong Township	0	
12	Nanao Township	0	
96	Skipped	1166	100.0%
97	Don't know	0	
98	Refuse to answer	0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# j19a04: J19a04.Which township or city in Hsinchu County?			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1166 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Zhubei City	0	
2	Zhudong Township	0	
3	Xinpu Township	0	
4	Guanxi Township	0	
5	Hukou Township	0	
6	Xinfeng Township	0	
7	Qionglin Township	0	
8	Hengshan Township	0	
9	Beipu Township	0	
10	Baoshan Township	0	
11	Emei Township	0	
12	Jianshi Township	0	
13	Wufeng Township	0	
96	Skipped	1166	100.0%
97	Don't know	0	
98	Refuse to answer	0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# j19a05: J19a05.Which township or city in Miaoli County?			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1166 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	

j19a05: J19a05.Which township or city in Miaoli County?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Miaoli City	0	
2	Yuanli Township	0	
3	TongxiaoTownship	0	
4	Zhunan Township	0	
5	Toufen City	0	
6	Houlong Township	0	
7	ZhuolanTownship	0	
8	Dahu Township	0	
9	Gongguan Township	0	
10	Tongluo Township	0	
11	Nanzhuang Township	0	
12	Touwu Township	0	
13	Sanyi Township	0	
14	Xihu Township	0	
15	Zaoqiao Township	0	
16	Sanwan Township	0	
17	Shitan Township	0	
18	Taian Township	0	
96	Skipped	1166	100.0%
97	Don't know	0	
98	Refuse to answer	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

j19a07: J19a07.Which township or city in Changhua County?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Changhua City	0	
2	Lukang Township	0	
3	Hemei Township	0	
4	Xianxi Township	0	
5	Shengang Township	0	
6	Fuxing Township	0	
7	Xiushui Township	0	
8	Huatan Township	0	
9	Fenyuan Township	0	
10	Yuanlin City	0	
11	Xihu Township	0	
12	Tianzhong Township	0	
13	Dacun Township	0	
14	Puyan Township	0	
15	Puxin Township	0	
16	Yongjing Township	0	
17	Shetou Township	0	
18	Ershui Township	0	

j19a07: J19a07.Which township or city in Changhua County?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
19	Beidou Township	0	
20	Erlin Township	0	
21	Tianwei Township	0	
22	Pitou Township	0	
23	Fangyuan Township	0	
24	Dacheng Township	0	
25	Zhutang Township	0	
26	Xizhou Township	0	
96	Skipped	1166	100.0%
97	Don't know	0	
98	Refuse to answer	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

j19a08: J19a08.Which township or city in Nantou County?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Nantou City	0	
2	Puli Township	0	
3	Caotun Township	0	
4	Zhushan Township	0	
5	Jiji Township	0	
6	Mingjian Township	0	
7	Lugu Township	0	
8	Zhongliao Township	0	
9	Yuchi Township	0	
10	Guoxing Township	0	
11	Shuili Township	0	
12	Xinyi Township	0	
13	Renai Township	0	
96	Skipped	1166	100.0%
97	Don't know	0	
98	Refuse to answer	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

j19a09: J19a09.Which township or city in Yunlin County?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Douliu City	0	
2	Douan Township	0	
3	Huwei Township	0	
4	Xiluo Township	0	
5	Tuku Township	0	
6	Beigang Township	0	
7	Gukeng Township	0	

j19a09: J19a09.Which township or city in Yunlin County?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8	Dapi Township	0	
9	Citong Township	0	
10	Linnei Township	0	
11	Erlun Township	0	
12	Lunbei Township	0	
13	Mailiao Township	0	
14	Dongshi Township	0	
15	Baozhong Township	0	
16	Taixi Township	0	
17	Yuanchang Township	0	
18	Sihu Township	0	
19	Kouhu Township	0	
96	Skipped	1166	100.0%
97	Don't know	0	
98	Refuse to answer	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

j19a10: J19a10.Which township or city in Chiayi County?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1166 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Taibao City	0	
2	Puzi City	0	
3	Budai Township	0	
4	Dalin Township	0	
5	Minxiong Township	0	
6	Xikou Township	0	
7	Xingang Township	0	
8	Liujiiao Township	0	
9	Dongshi Township	0	
10	Yizhu Township	0	
11	Lucao Township	0	
12	Shuishang Township	0	
13	Zhongpu Township	0	
14	Zhuqi Township	0	
15	Meishan Township	0	
16	Fanlu Township	0	
17	Dapu Township	0	
18	Alishan Township	0	
96	Skipped	1166	100.0%
97	Don't know	0	
98	Refuse to answer	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

j19a13: J19a13.Which township or city in Pingtung County?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
--------------------	---

j19a13: J19a13.Which township or city in Pingtung County?

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1166 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Pingtung City	0	
2	Chaozhou Township	0	
3	Donggang Township	0	
4	Hengchun Township	0	
5	Wandan Township	0	
6	Changzhi Township	0	
7	Linluo Township	0	
8	Jiuru Township	0	
9	Ligang Township	0	
10	Yanpu Township	0	
11	Gaoshu Township	0	
12	Wanluan Township	0	
13	Neipu Township	0	
14	Zhutian Township	0	
15	Xinpi Township	0	
16	Fangliao Township	0	
17	Xinyuan Township	0	
18	Kanding Township	0	
19	Linbian Township	0	
20	NanzhouTownship	0	
21	Jiadong Township	0	
22	Liuqiu Township	0	
23	Checheng Township	0	
24	Manzhou Township	0	
25	FangshanTownship	0	
26	Sandimen Township	0	
27	Wutai Township	0	
28	Majia Township	0	
29	Taiwu Township	0	
30	Laiyi Township	0	
31	Chunri Township	0	
32	Shizi Township	0	
33	Mudan Township	0	
96	Skipped	1166	100.0%
97	Don't know	0	
98	Refuse to answer	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

j19a14: J19a14.Which township or city in Taitung County?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1166 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Taitung City	0	
2	Chenggong Township	0	

j19a14: J19a14.Which township or city in Taitung County?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Guanshan Township	0	
4	Beinan Township	0	
5	Luye Township	0	
6	Chishang Township	0	
7	Donghe Township	0	
8	Changbin Township	0	
9	Taimali Township	0	
10	Dawu Township	0	
11	Ludao Township	0	
12	Haiduan Township	0	
13	Yanping Township	0	
14	Jinfeng Township	0	
15	Daren Township	0	
16	Lanyu Township	0	
96	Skipped	1166	100.0%
97	Don't know	0	
98	Refuse to answer	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

j19a15: J19a15.Which township or city in Hualien County?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1166 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Hualien City	0	
2	Fenglin Township	0	
3	Yuli Township	0	
4	Xincheng Township	0	
5	Jian Township	0	
6	Shoufeng Township	0	
7	Guangfu Township	0	
8	Fengbin Township	0	
9	Ruisui Township	0	
10	Fuli Township	0	
11	XiulinTownship	0	
12	Wanrong Township	0	
13	Zhuoxi Township	0	
96	Skipped	1166	100.0%
97	Don't know	0	
98	Refuse to answer	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

j19a16: J19a16.Which township or city in Penghu County?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1166 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Magong City	0	

j19a16: J19a16.Which township or city in Penghu County?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Huxi Township	0	
3	Baisha Township	0	
4	Xiyu Township	0	
5	Wangan Township	0	
6	Qimei Township	0	
96	Skipped	1166	100.0%
97	Don't know	0	
98	Refuse to answer	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

j19a17: J19a17.Which district in Keelung City?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Zhongzheng District	0	
2	Qidu District	0	
3	Nuannuan District	0	
4	Renai District	0	
5	Zhongshan District	0	
6	Anle District	0	
7	Xinyi District	0	
96	Skipped	1166	100.0%
97	Don't know	0	
98	Refuse to answer	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

j19a18: J19a18.Which district in Hsinchu City?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	East District	0	
2	North District	0	
3	XiangshanDistrict	0	
96	Skipped	1166	100.0%
97	Don't know	0	
98	Refuse to answer	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

j19a20: J19a20.Which district in Chiayi City?**Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=1166 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	East District	0	
2	West District	0	
96	Skipped	1166	100.0%
97	Don't know	0	
98	Refuse to answer	0	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.***# j19a63: J19a63.Which district in Taipei City?****Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=1166 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Songshan District	0	
2	Xinyi District	0	
3	Daan District	0	
4	Zhongshan District	0	
5	Zhongzheng District	0	
6	Datong District	0	
7	Wanhua District	0	
8	Wenshan District	0	
9	Nangang District	0	
10	Neihu District	0	
11	Shilin District	0	
12	Beitou District	0	
96	Skipped	1166	100.0%
97	Don't know	0	
98	Refuse to answer	0	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.***# j19a64: J19a64.Which district in Kaohsiung City?****Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=1166 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yancheng District	0	
2	Gushan District	0	
3	Zuoying District	0	
4	Nanzi District	0	
5	Sanmin District	0	
6	Xinxing District	0	
7	Qianjin District	0	
8	Lingya District	0	
9	Qianzhen District	0	
10	Qijin District	0	
11	Xiaogang District	0	

j19a64: J19a64.Which district in Kaohsiung City?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
12	Fengshan District	0	
13	Linyuan District	0	
14	Daliao District	0	
15	Dashu District	0	
16	Dashe District	0	
17	Renwu District	0	
18	Niaosong District	0	
19	Gangshan District	0	
20	Qiaotou District	0	
21	Yanchao District	0	
22	Tianliao District	0	
23	Alian District	0	
24	Luzhu District	0	
25	Hunei District	0	
26	Qieding District	0	
27	Yongan District	0	
28	Mituo District	0	
29	Ziguan District	0	
30	Qishan District	0	
31	Meinong District	0	
32	Liugui District	0	
33	Jiaxian District	0	
34	Shanlin District	0	
35	Neimen District	0	
36	Maolin District	0	
37	Taoyuan District	0	
38	Namaxia District	0	
96	Skipped	1166	100.0%
97	Don't know	0	
98	Refuse to answer	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

j19a65: J19a65.Which district in New Taipei City?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1166 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Banqiao District	0	
2	Sanchong District	0	
3	Zhonghe District	0	
4	Yonghe District	0	
5	Xinzhuang District	0	
6	Xindian District	0	
7	Shulin District	0	
8	Yingge District	0	
9	Sanxia District	0	

j19a65: J19a65.Which district in New Taipei City?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	Tamsui District	0	
11	Xizhi District	0	
12	Ruifang District	0	
13	Tucheng District	0	
14	Luzhou District	0	
15	Wugu District	0	
16	Taishan District	0	
17	Linkou District	0	
18	Shenkeng District	0	
19	Shiding District	0	
20	Pinglin District	0	
21	Sanzhi District	0	
22	Shimen District	0	
23	Bali District	0	
24	Pingxi District	0	
25	Shuangxi District	0	
26	Gongliao District	0	
27	Jinshan District	0	
28	Wanli District	0	
29	Wulai District	0	
96	Skipped	1166	100.0%
97	Don't know	0	
98	Refuse to answer	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

j19a66: J19a66.Which district in Taichung City?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1166 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Central District	0	
2	East District	0	
3	South District	0	
4	West District	0	
5	North District	0	
6	Xitun District	0	
7	Nantun District	0	
8	Beitun District	0	
9	Fengyuan District	0	
10	Dongshi District	0	
11	Dajia District	0	
12	Qingshui District	0	
13	Shalu District	0	
14	Wuqi District	0	
15	Houli District	0	
16	Shengang District	0	

j19a66: J19a66.Which district in Taichung City?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
17	Tanzi District	0	
18	Daya District	0	
19	Xinshe District	0	
20	Shigang District	0	
21	Waipu District	0	
22	Daan District	0	
23	Wuri District	0	
24	Dadu District	0	
25	Longjing District	0	
26	Wufeng District	0	
27	Taiping District	0	
28	Dali District	0	
29	Heping District	0	
96	Skipped	1166	100.0%
97	Don't know	0	
98	Refuse to answer	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

j19a67: J19a67.Which district in Tainan City?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1166 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Xinying District	0	
2	Yanshui District	0	
3	Baihe District	0	
4	Liuying District	0	
5	Houbi District	0	
6	Dongshan District	0	
7	Madou District	0	
8	Xiaying District	0	
9	Liujia District	0	
10	Guantian District	0	
11	Danei District	0	
12	Jiali District	0	
13	Xuejia District	0	
14	Xigang District	0	
15	Qigu District	0	
16	Jiangjun District	0	
17	Beimen District	0	
18	Xinhua District	0	
19	Shanhua District	0	
20	Xinshi District	0	
21	Anding District	0	
22	Shanshang District	0	
23	Yujing District	0	

j19a67: J19a67.Which district in Tainan City?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
24	Nanxi District	0	
25	Nanhua District	0	
26	Zuozhen District	0	
27	Rende District	0	
28	Guiren District	0	
29	Guanmiao District	0	
30	Longqi District	0	
31	Yongkang District	0	
32	East District	0	
33	South District	0	
34	North District	0	
35	Annan District	0	
36	Anping District	0	
37	West Central District	0	
96	Skipped	1166	100.0%
97	Don't know	0	
98	Refuse to answer	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

j19a68: J19a68.Which district in Taoyuan City?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1166 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Taoyuan District	0	
2	Zhongli District	0	
3	Daxi District	0	
4	Yangmei District	0	
5	Luzhu District	0	
6	Dayuan District	0	
7	Guishan District	0	
8	Bade District	0	
9	Longtan District	0	
10	Pingzhen District	0	
11	Xinwu District	0	
12	Guanyin District	0	
13	Fuxing District	0	
96	Skipped	1166	100.0%
97	Don't know	0	
98	Refuse to answer	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

j20y: J20.How long do you live here? Years

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1166 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		14	1.2%

j20y: J20.How long do you live here? Years

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		13	1.1%
2		26	2.2%
3		33	2.8%
4		21	1.8%
5		36	3.1%
6		24	2.1%
7		27	2.3%
8		24	2.1%
9		14	1.2%
10		58	5.0%
11		18	1.5%
12		12	1.0%
13		11	0.9%
14		13	1.1%
15		41	3.5%
16		8	0.7%
17		7	0.6%
18		21	1.8%
19		8	0.7%
20		79	6.8%
21		14	1.2%
22		21	1.8%
23		26	2.2%
24		6	0.5%
25		46	3.9%
26		14	1.2%
27		12	1.0%
28		9	0.8%
29		11	0.9%
30		100	8.6%
31		13	1.1%
32		12	1.0%
33		8	0.7%
34		8	0.7%
35		43	3.7%
36		11	0.9%
37		12	1.0%
38		13	1.1%
39		9	0.8%
40		64	5.5%
41		4	0.3%
42		7	0.6%
43		5	0.4%
44		6	0.5%
45		8	0.7%

j20y: J20.How long do you live here? Years

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
46		5	0.4%
47		3	0.3%
48		7	0.6%
50		33	2.8%
51		2	0.2%
52		6	0.5%
53		6	0.5%
54		1	0.1%
55		11	0.9%
56		5	0.4%
57		2	0.2%
58		6	0.5%
59		2	0.2%
60		19	1.6%
62		5	0.4%
63		1	0.1%
64		2	0.2%
65		4	0.3%
66		6	0.5%
67		3	0.3%
68		3	0.3%
69		4	0.3%
70		11	0.9%
71		3	0.3%
72		3	0.3%
73		2	0.2%
75		3	0.3%
78		1	0.1%
79		1	0.1%
80		7	0.6%
81		2	0.2%
82		1	0.1%
86		1	0.1%
89		1	0.1%
98	Refuse to answer	4	0.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

j20m: J20.How long do you live here? Months

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1166 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		889	76.2%
1		37	3.2%
2		17	1.5%
3		19	1.6%

# j20m: J20.How long do you live here? Months			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4		12	1.0%
5		24	2.1%
6		58	5.0%
7		6	0.5%
8		13	1.1%
9		6	0.5%
10		15	1.3%
11		18	1.5%
96	Skipped	0	
98	Refuse to answer	52	4.5%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# j21g1: J21.Does the R leave his/her telephone number and mobile phone number to I? Main			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1166 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Telephone Number	1026	88.0%
98	Refuse to answer	140	12.0%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# j21g2: J21.Does the R leave his/her telephone number and mobile phone number to I? Other			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-96] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1166 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Telephone Number	91	7.8%
96	Skipped	1075	92.2%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# j22: J22.How many telephone lines does your household have? (only those used for voice communications)			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1166 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		118	10.1%
1		945	81.0%
2		81	6.9%
3		14	1.2%
4		2	0.2%
5		1	0.1%
98	Refuse to answer	5	0.4%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# j23: J23.We would like to know what is your habit of telephone use?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1166 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Only home phone [skip to J24]	168	14.4%

j23: J23.We would like to know what is your habit of telephone use?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Mostly are home phones; A few are mobile phones	116	9.9%
3	Half half	148	12.7%
4	Mostly are mobile phones; A few are home phones	475	40.7%
5	Only mobile phone	237	20.3%
6	Do not use home phone and mobile phone [skip to J24]	19	1.6%
94	It depends	0	
95	No opinion	0	
97	Don't know	2	0.2%
98	Refuse to answer	1	0.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

j23a: J23a.How many cell-phone numbers do you usually use?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1166 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1	0.1%
1		899	77.1%
2		56	4.8%
3		9	0.8%
4		2	0.2%
5		1	0.1%
13		1	0.1%
96	Skipped	187	16.0%
97	Don't know	3	0.3%
98	Refuse to answer	7	0.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

j23b: J23b.Which telecom company does your mobile number belong to?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1166 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Chunghwa Telecom	485	41.6%
2	Taiwan Mobile	242	20.8%
3	FarEasTone (FET)	159	13.6%
4	T-star Telecom	34	2.9%
5	Asia Pacific Telecom	35	3.0%
96	Skipped	187	16.0%
97	Don't know	14	1.2%
98	Refuse to answer	10	0.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

j23c: J23c.Are there any mobile phone numbers transferred from home phone numbers?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1166 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A few	41	3.5%

j23c: J23c.Are there any mobile phone numbers transferred from home phone numbers?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	None	699	59.9%
96	Skipped	424	36.4%
98	Refuse to answer	2	0.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

j24: J24.Finally, our teacher is interested in the Internet usage in Taiwan, may you provide us your most frequently used e-mail?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1166 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Email	274	23.5%
2	No	839	72.0%
98	Refuse to answer	53	4.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

j25: J25.R's gender

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1166 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Male	559	47.9%
2	Female	607	52.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

j26: J26.Language used to conduct interview

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1166 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Mandarin	776	66.6%
2	Taiwanese	131	11.2%
3	Hakka	5	0.4%
4	Mandarin and Taiwanese	239	20.5%
5	Mandarin and Hakka	15	1.3%
6	Taiwanese and Hakka	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

sex: Sex

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1166 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Male	559	47.9%
2	Female	607	52.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

age: Age

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1166 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

# age: Age			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	20-29	93	8.0%
2	30-39	187	16.0%
3	40-49	213	18.3%
4	50-59	232	19.9%
5	Above 60	421	36.1%
9	Nonresponse	20	1.7%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# edu: Education			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1166 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Below elementary school	244	20.9%
2	Junior high school	121	10.4%
3	Senior high school	274	23.5%
4	College	163	14.0%
5	Above university	360	30.9%
9	Nonresponse	4	0.3%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# arear: Area			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1166 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Taipei, New Taipei, Keelung and Yi Lan	317	27.2%
2	Taoyuan, Hsinchu and Miaoli	181	15.5%
3	Taichung, Changhua and Nantou	222	19.0%
4	Yunlin, Chiayi and Tainan	220	18.9%
5	Kaohsiung, Pingtung and Penghu	192	16.5%
6	Hualien, Taitung and Offshore Islands	34	2.9%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# career: Occupations5			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1166 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Hight-class WHITE COLLAR	383	32.8%
2	Low-class WHITE COLLAR	251	21.5%
3	FARMER	49	4.2%
4	WORKER	317	27.2%
5	Others	166	14.2%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# career8: Occupation8			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1166 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	

career8: Occupation8

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Civil servants	150	12.9%
2	Managers and Professionals (priv.)	235	20.2%
3	CLERKS (priv.)	208	17.8%
4	Labor (priv.)	277	23.8%
5	FARMER	33	2.8%
6	Student or currently in job training	24	2.1%
7	Housekeeping	183	15.7%
8	Others	56	4.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ethnic: Ethnic

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1166 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Taiwanese	660	56.6%
2	Both	434	37.2%
3	Chinese	49	4.2%
9	Noresponse	23	2.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

party: Party Preference

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-26] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1166 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly support KMT	44	3.8%
2	Somewhat support KMT	96	8.2%
3	Lean to KMT	28	2.4%
4	Somewhat lean to KMT	94	8.1%
5	Strongly support DPP	50	4.3%
6	Somewhat support DPP	114	9.8%
7	Lean to the DPP	40	3.4%
8	Somewhat lean to DPP	80	6.9%
9	Strongly support NP	1	0.1%
10	Somewhat support NP	0	
11	Lean to the NP	0	
12	Somewhat lean to the NP	3	0.3%
13	Strongly support PFP	0	
14	Somewhat support PFP	5	0.4%
15	Lean to the PFP	3	0.3%
16	Somewhat lean to the PFP	4	0.3%
17	Strongly support TSU	0	
18	Somewhat support TSU	0	
19	Lean to the TSU	0	
20	Somewhat lean to the TSU	1	0.1%
21	Strongly support NPP	4	0.3%

# party: Party Preference			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
22	Somewhat support NPP	8	0.7%
23	Lean to NPP	3	0.3%
24	Somewhat lean to NPP	11	0.9%
25	Neutral and noresponse	572	49.1%
26	Other parties	5	0.4%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# partyid: Party Identification			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1166 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	KMT	262	22.5%
2	DPP	284	24.4%
3	NP	4	0.3%
4	PFP	12	1.0%
5	TSU	1	0.1%
6	NPP	26	2.2%
9	Others, neutral and nonresponse	577	49.5%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# tondu: Position on unification and independence			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1166 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Immediate unification	31	2.7%
2	Maintain the status quo, move toward unification	140	12.0%
3	Maintain the status quo, decide either unification or indepe	415	35.6%
4	Maintain the status quo forever	218	18.7%
5	Maintain the status quo, move toward independence	186	16.0%
6	Immediate independence	90	7.7%
9	Nonresponse	86	7.4%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# tondu3: 3 categories of TONDU			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1166 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Unification	171	14.7%
2	Maintain the status quo	633	54.3%
3	Independence	276	23.7%
9	Nonresponse	86	7.4%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w: Weight			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0.397550972-3.878264457] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1166 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0.456 /-]		

File : TEDS2017HE_indC

id: ID

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1020101500206-27630301401801] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=5184 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

tau: Set

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-27] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=5184 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		1110	21.4%
2		1110	21.4%
3		1006	19.4%
4		375	7.2%
5		287	5.5%
6		222	4.3%
7		183	3.5%
8		151	2.9%
9		123	2.4%
10		82	1.6%
11		82	1.6%
12		70	1.4%
13		65	1.3%
14		47	0.9%
15		47	0.9%
16		29	0.6%
17		29	0.6%
18		29	0.6%
19		29	0.6%
20		18	0.3%
21		18	0.3%
22		12	0.2%
23		12	0.2%
24		12	0.2%
25		12	0.2%
26		12	0.2%
27		12	0.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

city: City, County

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 2-68] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=5184 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Yi Lan County	120	2.3%
4	Hsinchu County	121	2.3%
5	Miaoli County	139	2.7%
7	Changhua County	265	5.1%
9	Yunlin County	122	2.4%

File : TEDS2017HE_indC

city: City, County

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
15	Hualien County	100	1.9%
20	Chiayi City	116	2.2%
63	Taipei City	1476	28.5%
64	Kaohsiung City	790	15.2%
65	New Taipei City	888	17.1%
66	Taichung City	385	7.4%
67	Tainan City	292	5.6%
68	Taoyuan County	370	7.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

town: Township, City, District

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 201-6810] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=5184 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
201	Yilan City, Yi Lan County	42	0.8%
209	Wujie Township, Yi Lan County	36	0.7%
210	Sanxing Township, Yi Lan County	42	0.8%
401	Zhubei City, Hsinchu County	44	0.8%
402	Zhudong Township, Hsinchu County	44	0.8%
405	Hukou Township, Hsinchu County	33	0.6%
501	Miaoli City, Miaoli County	100	1.9%
505	Toufen City, Miaoli County	39	0.8%
701	Changhua City, Changhua County	60	1.2%
702	Lukang Township, Changhua County	90	1.7%
709	Fenyuan Township, Changhua County	35	0.7%
710	Yuanlin City, Changhua County	80	1.5%
901	Douliu City, Yunlin County	61	1.2%
907	Gukeng Township, Yunlin County	39	0.8%
912	Lunbei Township, Yunlin County	22	0.4%
1501	Hualien City, Hualien County	70	1.4%
1505	Jian Township, Hualien County	30	0.6%
2002	West District, Chiayi City	116	2.2%
6301	Songshan District, Taipei City	168	3.2%
6302	Xinyi District, Taipei City	384	7.4%
6303	Daan District, Taipei City	396	7.6%
6304	Zhongshan District, Taipei City	66	1.3%
6309	Nangang District, Taipei City	204	3.9%
6310	Neihu District, Taipei City	96	1.9%
6312	Beitou District, Taipei City	162	3.1%
6403	Zuoying District, Kaohsiung City	50	1.0%
6404	Nanzi District, Kaohsiung City	130	2.5%
6405	Sanmin District, Kaohsiung City	95	1.8%
6408	Lingya District, Kaohsiung City	130	2.5%
6409	Qianzhen District, Kaohsiung City	70	1.4%

File : TEDS2017HE_indC

town: Township, City, District

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6411	Xiaogang District, Kaohsiung City	30	0.6%
6412	Fengshan District, Kaohsiung City	180	3.5%
6416	Dashe District, Kaohsiung City	30	0.6%
6430	Qishan District, Kaohsiung City	75	1.4%
6501	Banqiao District, New Taipei City	216	4.2%
6502	Sanchong District, New Taipei City	192	3.7%
6503	Zhonghe District, New Taipei City	276	5.3%
6509	Sanxia District, New Taipei City	72	1.4%
6513	Tucheng District, New Taipei City	132	2.5%
6606	Xitun District, Taichung City	20	0.4%
6607	Nantun District, Taichung City	65	1.3%
6608	Beitun District, Taichung City	120	2.3%
6609	Fengyuan District, Taichung City	110	2.1%
6627	Taiping District, Taichung City	30	0.6%
6628	Dali District, Taichung City	40	0.8%
6703	Baihe District, Tainan City	33	0.6%
6706	Dongshan District, Tainan City	33	0.6%
6709	Liujia District, Tainan City	22	0.4%
6727	Rende District, Tainan City	33	0.6%
6728	Guiren District, Tainan City	33	0.6%
6731	Yongkang District, Tainan City	39	0.8%
6732	East District, Tainan City	44	0.8%
6736	Anping District, Tainan City	55	1.1%
6801	Taoyuan District, Taoyuan County	188	3.6%
6805	Luzhu District, Taoyuan County	66	1.3%
6810	Pingzhen District, Taoyuan County	116	2.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

village: Village

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 201015-6810033] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5184 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
201015	Yanping Village, Yilan City, Yi Lan County	42	0.8%
209007	Fuxing Village, Wujie Township, Yi Lan County	36	0.7%
210008	Yuemei Village, Sanxing Township, Yi Lan County	42	0.8%
401026	Fude Village, Zhubei City, Hsinchu County	44	0.8%
402022	Nanhua Village, Zhudong Township, Hsinchu County	44	0.8%
405016	Zhongxing Village, Hukou Township, Hsinchu County	33	0.6%
501001	Zhongmiao Village, Miaoli City, Miaoli County	50	1.0%
501023	Shuiyuan Village, Miaoli City, Miaoli County	50	1.0%
505018	Tianliao Village, Toufen City, Miaoli County	39	0.8%
701031	Pinghe Village, Changhua City, Changhua County	30	0.6%
701043	Xian Village, Changhua City, Changhua County	30	0.6%
702003	Luojin Village, Lukang Township, Changhua County	40	0.8%

File : TEDS2017HE_indC

village: Village

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
702023	Caozhong Village, Lukang Township, Changhua County	20	0.4%
702024	Tounan Village, Lukang Township, Changhua County	30	0.6%
709009	Zunqian Village, Fenyuan Township, Changhua County	35	0.7%
710007	Guangming Village, Yuanlin City, Changhua County	40	0.8%
710015	Yuantan Village, Yuanlin City, Changhua County	20	0.4%
710022	Darao Village, Yuanlin City, Changhua County	20	0.4%
901026	Liuzhong Village, Douliu City, Yunlin County	61	1.2%
907010	Yungguang Village, Gukeng Township, Yunlin County	39	0.8%
912001	Dongming Village, Lunbei Township, Yunlin County	22	0.4%
1501001	Minli Village, Hualien City, Hualien County	30	0.6%
1501003	Minzu Village, Hualien City, Hualien County	40	0.8%
1505003	Taichang Village, Jian Township, Hualien County	30	0.6%
2002022	Xinxi Village, West District, Chiayi City	22	0.4%
2002047	Chedian Village, West District, Chiayi City	61	1.2%
2002068	Baofu Village, West District, Chiayi City	33	0.6%
6301004	Sanmin Village, Songshan District, Taipei City	84	1.6%
6301012	Longtian Village, Songshan District, Taipei City	84	1.6%
6302005	Xinren Village, Xinyi District, Taipei City	72	1.4%
6302007	Dunhou Village, Xinyi District, Taipei City	180	3.5%
6302020	Guoye Village, Xinyi District, Taipei City	132	2.5%
6303014	Guzhuang Village, Daan District, Taipei City	180	3.5%
6303016	Jinan Village, Daan District, Taipei City	144	2.8%
6303026	Longzheng Village, Daan District, Taipei City	72	1.4%
6304022	Xinsheng Village, Zhongshan District, Taipei City	66	1.3%
6309010	Hecheng Village, Nangang District, Taipei City	108	2.1%
6309015	Liencheng Village, Nangang District, Taipei City	96	1.9%
6310008	Ganghua Village, Neihu District, Taipei City	96	1.9%
6312003	Shipai Village, Beitou District, Taipei City	54	1.0%
6312005	Rongguang Village, Beitou District, Taipei City	54	1.0%
6312021	Qingjiang Village, Beitou District, Taipei City	54	1.0%
6403044	Fushan Village, Zuoying District, Kaohsiung City	50	1.0%
6404001	Qingfeng Village, Nanzi District, Kaohsiung City	55	1.1%
6404013	Ruiping Village, Nanzi District, Kaohsiung City	75	1.4%
6405007	Dingtai Village, Sanmin District, Kaohsiung City	35	0.7%
6405009	Benhe Village, Sanmin District, Kaohsiung City	30	0.6%
6405028	BaoLong Village, Sanmin District, Kaohsiung City	30	0.6%
6408014	Renzheng Village, Lingya District, Kaohsiung City	35	0.7%
6408058	Yungkang Village, Lingya District, Kaohsiung City	55	1.1%
6408067	Wenchang Village, Lingya District, Kaohsiung City	40	0.8%
6409012	Mingdao Village, Qianzhen District, Kaohsiung City	35	0.7%
6409032	Lianghe Village, Qianzhen District, Kaohsiung City	35	0.7%
6411018	Shandong Village, Xiaogang District, Kaohsiung City	30	0.6%
6412016	Zhennan Village, Fengshan District, Kaohsiung City	40	0.8%

File : TEDS2017HE_indC

village: Village

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6412027	Zhongyi Village, Fengshan District, Kaohsiung City	30	0.6%
6412067	Xinle Village, Fengshan District, Kaohsiung City	110	2.1%
6416006	Guanyin Village, Dashe District, Kaohsiung City	30	0.6%
6430002	Dade Village, Qishan District, Kaohsiung City	35	0.7%
6430005	Zhongzheng Village, Qishan District, Kaohsiung City	40	0.8%
6501054	Puqian Village, Banqiao District, New Taipei City	108	2.1%
6501067	Xian Village, Banqiao District, New Taipei City	48	0.9%
6501084	Huaxing Village, Banqiao District, New Taipei City	60	1.2%
6502046	Chungxin Village, Sanchong District, New Taipei City	72	1.4%
6502085	Shangde Village, Sanchong District, New Taipei City	60	1.2%
6502110	Boai Village, Sanchong District, New Taipei City	60	1.2%
6503013	Fuzhen Village, Zhonghe District, New Taipei City	72	1.4%
6503016	Fusxiang Village, Zhonghe District, New Taipei City	96	1.9%
6503079	Jingben Village, Zhonghe District, New Taipei City	108	2.1%
6509017	Xibei Village, Sanxia District, New Taipei City	72	1.4%
6513016	Qingxi Village, Tucheng District, New Taipei City	72	1.4%
6513031	Anhe Village, Tucheng District, New Taipei City	60	1.2%
6606011	Dahe Village, Xitun District, Taichung City	20	0.4%
6607007	Wenxin Village, Nantun District, Taichung City	30	0.6%
6607017	Tongxin Village, Nantun District, Taichung City	35	0.7%
6608014	Dakeng Village, Beitun District, Taichung City	30	0.6%
6608035	Pingxin Village, Beitun District, Taichung City	60	1.2%
6608039	Pingxing Village, Beitun District, Taichung City	30	0.6%
6609005	Xiajie Village, Fengyuan District, Taichung City	40	0.8%
6609030	Nancun Village, Fengyuan District, Taichung City	40	0.8%
6609033	Wengming Village, Fengyuan District, Taichung City	30	0.6%
6627022	Chenggong Village, Taiping District, Taichung City	30	0.6%
6628014	Renhua Village, Dali District, Taichung City	20	0.4%
6628021	Guoguang Village, Dali District, Taichung City	20	0.4%
6703015	Zhaoan Village, Baihe District, Tainan City	33	0.6%
6706003	Dongzheng Village, Dongshan District, Tainan City	33	0.6%
6709006	Erjia Village, Liujia District, Tainan City	22	0.4%
6727012	Chenggong Village, Rende District, Tainan City	33	0.6%
6728007	Kanxi Village, Guiren District, Tainan City	33	0.6%
6731017	Beiwan Village, Yongkang District, Tainan City	39	0.8%
6732025	Huwei Village, East District, Tainan City	44	0.8%
6736002	Jincheng Village, Anping District, Tainan City	22	0.4%
6736015	Pingan Village, Anping District, Tainan City	33	0.6%
6801022	Xinpu Village, Taoyuan District, Taoyuan County	50	1.0%
6801029	Zhongcheng Village, Taoyuan District, Taoyuan County	39	0.8%
6801036	Longgang Village, Taoyuan District, Taoyuan County	44	0.8%
6801038	Qingxi Village, Taoyuan District, Taoyuan County	55	1.1%
6805001	Xinxing Village, Luzhu District, Taoyuan County	33	0.6%

File : TEDS2017HE_indC

village: Village

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6805003	Xinzhuang Village, Luzhu District, Taoyuan County	33	0.6%
6810030	Yungan Village, Pingzhen District, Taoyuan County	33	0.6%
6810032	Fulin Village, Pingzhen District, Taoyuan County	39	0.8%
6810033	Jinxing Village, Pingzhen District, Taoyuan County	44	0.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

t01: First contact-day

Information [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 20170625-99999996] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=5184 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
99999996	Skipped		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

t01a: First contact-time

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-96] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=5184 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Morning	652	12.6%
2	Afternoon	2517	48.6%
3	Night	2015	38.9%
96	Skipped	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

t02: First contact-result

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 100-996] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=5184 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
100	Completion	486	9.4%
201	No one can be reached in the household. (In-house sampling c	2101	40.5%
202	No one can be reached in the household without in-house samp	0	
203	In-house sampling completed but R is temporarily not at home	617	11.9%
204	In-house sampling completed but R cannot take the interview	156	3.0%
205	In-house sampling completed but R leave, after interview sta	8	0.2%
206	Temporarily inconvenient	72	1.4%
207	Language problems	1	0.0%
208	Break off, after interview started	3	0.1%
301	In-house sampling completed but no qualified R	5	0.1%
302	Refusal before in-house sampling	19	0.4%
303	Refusal at in-house sampling	0	
304	Unable to gain the access to the housing unit	203	3.9%
305	Language problems	1	0.0%
306	In-house sampling completed but refusal by the family	219	4.2%
307	Refusal by the R	324	6.2%
308	Break off, after interview started	20	0.4%

File : TEDS2017HE_indC

t02: First contact-result

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
309	R works, studies, or travels elsewhere	111	2.1%
310	R works, studies, or travels overseas	77	1.5%
311	Unable to locate the address	8	0.2%
312	Empty housing unit	27	0.5%
313	Renovation of the housing unit	12	0.2%
314	R is inconvenient during interview period (mourning period)	5	0.1%
315	R is inconvenient during interview period (sick)	26	0.5%
316	R is physically or mentally unable to be interviewed	37	0.7%
317	R missing	9	0.2%
318	Emigration	3	0.1%
319	Serve in the military	6	0.1%
320	Get married and move out	9	0.2%
321	In jail	1	0.0%
322	Become a monk or nun	0	
323	Death	3	0.1%
324	Unfriendly circumstances	3	0.1%
325	R refuse the interview on the phone	34	0.7%
326	R refuse to sign interview agreement	7	0.1%
327	R ask to delete data	1	0.0%
328	Fail due to standardized interview principle	1	0.0%
329	Government agency, Company, School, Military agency, Hospita	27	0.5%
330	R is registered in the household, but does not lives here	427	8.2%
331	R's household registration moves out	6	0.1%
332	R unavailable at the address	77	1.5%
333	R moves out	21	0.4%
334	R have already interviewed	11	0.2%
996	Skipped	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

t03: Contact1

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Doorman	715	13.8%
2	Door phone	1358	26.2%
3	Ring door bell or knock the door	1405	27.1%
4	Go into the house after ask the household	1032	19.9%
6	Contact on the telephone	33	0.6%
7	Ask neighbors, relatives or friends	377	7.3%
8	Ask the head of a neighborhood	18	0.3%
9	Communicate on the internet	0	
10	Communicate by letter or email	9	0.2%
11	Shout out at the door	205	4.0%
89	R refuse the interview on the phone	32	0.6%

File : TEDS2017HE_indC

t03: Contact1

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
96	Skipped	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

t04: Second contact-day

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 20170626-99999996] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5184 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
99999996	Skipped	2175	100.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

t04a: Second contact-time

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-96] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5184 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Morning	517	10.0%
2	Afternoon	1127	21.7%
3	Night	1365	26.3%
96	Skipped	2175	42.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

t05: Second contact-result

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 100-996] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5184 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
100	Completion	323	6.2%
201	No one can be reached in the household. (In-house sampling c	1521	29.3%
202	No one can be reached in the household without in-house samp	0	
203	In-house sampling completed but R is temporarily not at home	383	7.4%
204	In-house sampling completed but R cannot take the interview	82	1.6%
205	In-house sampling completed but R leave, after interview sta	6	0.1%
206	Temporarily inconvenient	34	0.7%
207	Language problems	2	0.0%
208	Break off, after interview started	4	0.1%
301	In-house sampling completed but no qualified R	3	0.1%
302	Refusal before in-house sampling	6	0.1%
303	Refusal at in-house sampling	0	
304	Unable to gain the access to the housing unit	36	0.7%
305	Language problems	1	0.0%
306	In-house sampling completed but refusal by the family	139	2.7%
307	Refusal by the R	184	3.5%
308	Break off, after interview started	6	0.1%
309	R works, studies, or travels elsewhere	53	1.0%
310	R works, studies, or travels overseas	26	0.5%
311	Unable to locate the address	3	0.1%

File : TEDS2017HE_indC

t05: Second contact-result

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
312	Empty housing unit	5	0.1%
313	Renovation of the housing unit	1	0.0%
314	R is inconvenient during interview period (mourning period)	0	
315	R is inconvenient during interview period (sick)	5	0.1%
316	R is physically or mentally unable to be interviewed	12	0.2%
317	R missing	1	0.0%
318	Emigration	1	0.0%
319	Serve in the military	2	0.0%
320	Get married and move out	1	0.0%
321	In jail	0	
322	Become a monk or nun	0	
323	Death	1	0.0%
324	Unfriendly circumstances	0	
325	R refuse the interview on the phone	16	0.3%
326	R refuse to sign interview agreement	3	0.1%
327	R ask to delete data	0	
328	Fail due to standardized interview principle	1	0.0%
329	Government agency, Company, School, Military agency, Hospita	3	0.1%
330	R is registered in the household, but does not lives here	123	2.4%
331	R's household registration moves out	0	
332	R unavailable at the address	14	0.3%
333	R moves out	7	0.1%
334	R have already interviewed	1	0.0%
996	Skipped	2175	42.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

t06: Contact2

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-96] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5184 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Doorman	336	6.5%
2	Door phone	816	15.7%
3	Ring door bell or knock the door	913	17.6%
4	Go into the house after ask the household	519	10.0%
6	Contact on the telephone	76	1.5%
7	Ask neighbors, relatives or friends	183	3.5%
8	Ask the head of a neighborhood	4	0.1%
9	Communicate on the internet	2	0.0%
10	Communicate by letter or email	5	0.1%
11	Shout out at the door	134	2.6%
89	R refuse the interview on the phone	21	0.4%
96	Skipped	2175	42.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

File : TEDS2017HE_indC

t07: Third contact-day

Information [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 20170627-99999996] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=5184 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
99999996	Skipped	3137	100.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

t07a: Third contact-time

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-96] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=5184 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Morning	358	6.9%
2	Afternoon	767	14.8%
3	Night	922	17.8%
96	Skipped	3137	60.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

t08: Third contact-result

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 100-996] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=5184 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
100	Completion	377	7.3%
201	No one can be reached in the household. (In-house sampling c	945	18.2%
202	No one can be reached in the household without in-house samp	0	
203	In-house sampling completed but R is temporarily not at home	151	2.9%
204	In-house sampling completed but R cannot take the interview	15	0.3%
205	In-house sampling completed but R leave, after interview sta	1	0.0%
206	Temporarily inconvenient	14	0.3%
207	Language problems	0	
208	Break off, after interview started	1	0.0%
301	In-house sampling completed but no qualified R	0	
302	Refusal before in-house sampling	2	0.0%
303	Refusal at in-house sampling	0	
304	Unable to gain the access to the housing unit	38	0.7%
305	Language problems	0	
306	In-house sampling completed but refusal by the family	100	1.9%
307	Refusal by the R	198	3.8%
308	Break off, after interview started	5	0.1%
309	R works, studies, or travels elsewhere	43	0.8%
310	R works, studies, or travels overseas	20	0.4%
311	Unable to locate the address	0	
312	Empty housing unit	3	0.1%
313	Renovation of the housing unit	0	
314	R is inconvenient during interview period (mourning period)	0	
315	R is inconvenient during interview period (sick)	1	0.0%

File : TEDS2017HE_indC

t08: Third contact-result

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
316	R is physically or mentally unable to be interviewed	6	0.1%
317	R missing	7	0.1%
318	Emigration	0	
319	Serve in the military	1	0.0%
320	Get married and move out	1	0.0%
321	In jail	1	0.0%
322	Become a monk or nun	0	
323	Death	0	
324	Unfriendly circumstances	0	
325	R refuse the interview on the phone	20	0.4%
326	R refuse to sign interview agreement	6	0.1%
327	R ask to delete data	1	0.0%
328	Fail due to standardized interview principle	0	
329	Government agency, Company, School, Military agency, Hospita	0	
330	R is registered in the household, but does not lives here	71	1.4%
331	R's household registration moves out	1	0.0%
332	R unavailable at the address	11	0.2%
333	R moves out	7	0.1%
334	R have already interviewed	0	
996	Skipped	3137	60.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

t09: Contact3

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-96] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5184 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Doorman	223	4.3%
2	Door phone	598	11.5%
3	Ring door bell or knock the door	590	11.4%
4	Go into the house after ask the household	341	6.6%
6	Contact on the telephone	91	1.8%
7	Ask neighbors, relatives or friends	86	1.7%
8	Ask the head of a neighborhood	4	0.1%
9	Communicate on the internet	1	0.0%
10	Communicate by letter or email	3	0.1%
11	Shout out at the door	86	1.7%
89	R refuse the interview on the phone	24	0.5%
96	Skipped	3137	60.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

t10: Community of the housing unit

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-11] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5184 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

File : TEDS2017HE_indC

t10: Community of the housing unit

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Rural area	230	4.4%
2	Veteran community	8	0.2%
3	Citizen building	57	1.1%
4	Civil servant community	8	0.2%
5	Residence area	3587	69.2%
6	Mixed residence and business area	1244	24.0%
7	Industry area	40	0.8%
9	Village in mountainous area	10	0.2%
11	Fishing village	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

t11: Appearance of the housing unit

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-19] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=5184 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Apartment	1675	32.3%
2	Building with elevator	1295	25.0%
3	Townhouse	1877	36.2%
5	Metal-sheet house	49	0.9%
6	Single-story house	175	3.4%
7	Villa	39	0.8%
8	Factory	12	0.2%
9	Three-section compound	34	0.7%
10	R without permanent address	0	
11	Unable to locate the address	3	0.1%
12	Housing unit being torn down	2	0.0%
13	Ruins	7	0.1%
14	Temple, church or other religions buildings	7	0.1%
15	Jail	2	0.0%
16	Building land	0	
17	Unrecognized	6	0.1%
18	Hospital	0	
19	School	1	0.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

t12: I's ID

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1701001-1705903] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=5184 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1701001		20	0.4%
1701002		84	1.6%
1701003		66	1.3%
1701004		36	0.7%
1701006		60	1.2%

File : TEDS2017HE_indC

t12: I's ID

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1701007		97	1.9%
1701009		120	2.3%
1701010		72	1.4%
1701012		59	1.1%
1701014		162	3.1%
1701015		39	0.8%
1701016		48	0.9%
1701017		50	1.0%
1701018		120	2.3%
1701021		276	5.3%
1701022		22	0.4%
1701023		180	3.5%
1701024		72	1.4%
1701027		36	0.7%
1701028		234	4.5%
1701029		148	2.9%
1701030		278	5.4%
1701031		59	1.1%
1701032		108	2.1%
1701033		31	0.6%
1701034		22	0.4%
1701036		55	1.1%
1701037		13	0.3%
1701038		6	0.1%
1701039		132	2.5%
1701041		54	1.0%
1701901		10	0.2%
1701902		44	0.8%
1701904		50	1.0%
1701906		44	0.8%
1701907		59	1.1%
1701909		216	4.2%
1702001		49	0.9%
1702002		48	0.9%
1702003		30	0.6%
1702004		35	0.7%
1702005		7	0.1%
1702006		35	0.7%
1702007		3	0.1%
1702008		25	0.5%
1702009		30	0.6%
1702010		40	0.8%
1702011		20	0.4%

File : TEDS2017HE_indC

t12: I's ID

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1702012		20	0.4%
1702013		30	0.6%
1702014		10	0.2%
1702015		40	0.8%
1702016		30	0.6%
1702017		1	0.0%
1702018		20	0.4%
1702019		30	0.6%
1702020		30	0.6%
1702021		40	0.8%
1702903		10	0.2%
1702904		2	0.0%
1702907		66	1.3%
1703001		61	1.2%
1703002		33	0.6%
1703008		21	0.4%
1703009		39	0.8%
1703011		61	1.2%
1703012		55	1.1%
1704002		72	1.4%
1704003		22	0.4%
1704004		21	0.4%
1704005		33	0.6%
1704006		32	0.6%
1704007		33	0.6%
1704009		44	0.8%
1704010		33	0.6%
1705005		96	1.9%
1705006		29	0.6%
1705007		169	3.3%
1705008		49	0.9%
1705009		5	0.1%
1705010		46	0.9%
1705011		50	1.0%
1705012		78	1.5%
1705013		24	0.5%
1705014		51	1.0%
1705015		40	0.8%
1705017		30	0.6%
1705018		30	0.6%
1705020		61	1.2%
1705903		33	0.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

File : TEDS2017HE_indC

t13: Gender of I

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=5184 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Male	2812	54.2%
2	Female	2372	45.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

final_result: Last contact result

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 100-334] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=5184 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
100	Completion	1186	22.9%
201	No one can be reached in the household. (In-house sampling c	945	18.2%
202	No one can be reached in the household without in-house samp	0	
203	In-house sampling completed but R is temporarily not at home	151	2.9%
204	In-house sampling completed but R cannot take the interview	15	0.3%
205	In-house sampling completed but R leave, after interview sta	1	0.0%
206	Temporarily inconvenient	14	0.3%
207	Language problems	0	
208	Break off, after interview started	1	0.0%
301	In-house sampling completed but no qualified R	8	0.2%
302	Refusal before in-house sampling	25	0.5%
303	Refusal at in-house sampling	0	
304	Unable to gain the access to the housing unit	271	5.2%
305	Language problems	2	0.0%
306	In-house sampling completed but refusal by the family	451	8.7%
307	Refusal by the R	697	13.4%
308	Break off, after interview started	31	0.6%
309	R works, studies, or travels elsewhere	205	4.0%
310	R works, studies, or travels overseas	121	2.3%
311	Unable to locate the address	11	0.2%
312	Empty housing unit	34	0.7%
313	Renovation of the housing unit	13	0.3%
314	R is inconvenient during interview period (mourning period)	4	0.1%
315	R is inconvenient during interview period (sick)	32	0.6%
316	R is physically or mentally unable to be interviewed	53	1.0%
317	R missing	17	0.3%
318	Emigration	4	0.1%
319	Serve in the military	8	0.2%
320	Get married and move out	11	0.2%
321	In jail	2	0.0%
322	Become a monk or nun	0	
323	Death	4	0.1%
324	Unfriendly circumstances	3	0.1%

File : TEDS2017HE_indC

final_result: Last contact result

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
325	R refuse the interview on the phone	70	1.4%
326	R refuse to sign interview agreement	14	0.3%
327	R ask to delete data	2	0.0%
328	Fail due to standardized interview principle	2	0.0%
329	Government agency, Company, School, Military agency, Hospita	28	0.5%
330	R is registered in the household, but does not lives here	594	11.5%
331	R's household registration moves out	7	0.1%
332	R unavailable at the address	102	2.0%
333	R moves out	34	0.7%
334	R have already interviewed	11	0.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w2g1: W2.Gender of the facilitator for in-house sampling

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5184 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Male	590	11.4%
2	Female	600	11.6%
99	Missing	3994	77.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w2g2: W2.Age of the facilitator for in-house sampling

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 4-999] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5184 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4		1	0.0%
6		1	0.0%
8		1	0.0%
10		5	0.1%
12		3	0.1%
13		2	0.0%
15		7	0.1%
16		1	0.0%
17		1	0.0%
18		7	0.1%
19		1	0.0%
20		42	0.8%
21		7	0.1%
22		6	0.1%
23		3	0.1%
24		2	0.0%
25		32	0.6%
26		6	0.1%
27		5	0.1%

File : TEDS2017HE_indC

w2g2: W2.Age of the facilitator for in-house sampling

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
28		5	0.1%
29		2	0.0%
30		76	1.5%
31		2	0.0%
32		11	0.2%
33		4	0.1%
34		2	0.0%
35		51	1.0%
36		4	0.1%
37		4	0.1%
38		8	0.2%
39		1	0.0%
40		92	1.8%
41		4	0.1%
42		6	0.1%
43		3	0.1%
44		3	0.1%
45		35	0.7%
46		10	0.2%
47		8	0.2%
48		5	0.1%
49		4	0.1%
50		181	3.5%
51		4	0.1%
52		10	0.2%
53		9	0.2%
54		4	0.1%
55		48	0.9%
56		6	0.1%
57		3	0.1%
58		8	0.2%
59		7	0.1%
60		152	2.9%
61		2	0.0%
62		6	0.1%
63		5	0.1%
64		8	0.2%
65		52	1.0%
66		7	0.1%
67		3	0.1%
68		7	0.1%
69		4	0.1%
70		91	1.8%

File : TEDS2017HE_indC

w2g2: W2.Age of the facilitator for in-house sampling

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
71		1	0.0%
72		2	0.0%
73		6	0.1%
74		3	0.1%
75		20	0.4%
76		3	0.1%
77		2	0.0%
78		2	0.0%
79		1	0.0%
80		33	0.6%
81		1	0.0%
84		2	0.0%
85		5	0.1%
86		1	0.0%
87		1	0.0%
88		2	0.0%
90		7	0.1%
91		2	0.0%
92		1	0.0%
93		2	0.0%
992	Unrecognized	3	0.1%
996	Skipped	0	
999	Missing	3994	77.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w3: W3.Where did you conduct the in-house sampling/interview?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Doorway or balcony	172	3.3%
3	Inside the house	779	15.0%
4	Public area of building/community	77	1.5%
5	Store (convenience store or cafe)	95	1.8%
6	R's office	30	0.6%
7	Public place (including park, temple, etc.)	37	0.7%
92	Never did sampling/interview	0	
99	Missing	3994	77.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w4: W4.Did anyone accompany you to pay the visit?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes, the supervisor or other I	56	1.1%

File : TEDS2017HE_indC

w4: W4.Did anyone accompany you to pay the visit?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Yes, other one	41	0.8%
3	No	997	19.2%
4	Supervisor	96	1.9%
92	Never run the in-house sampling/questionnaire	0	
99	Missing	3994	77.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w4_01: W4.Did anyone accompany you? (01) yes, the other I, please show the ID of the I:

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1701005-9999999] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5184 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1701005		1	0.0%
1701023		1	0.0%
1701031		2	0.0%
1701040		10	0.2%
1702003		1	0.0%
1702004		1	0.0%
1703003		10	0.2%
1703007		1	0.0%
1705001		5	0.1%
1705007		1	0.0%
1705011		23	0.4%
9999996	Skipped	1134	21.9%
9999999	Missing	3994	77.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w4_02: W4.Did anyone accompany you? (02) yes, please explain the identity and gender of the I who accompany you.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5184 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Male relative or friend	13	0.3%
2	Female relative or friend	26	0.5%
3	Chief of village	2	0.0%
4	Police	0	
96	Skipped	1149	22.2%
99	Missing	3994	77.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w4_04: W4.Did anyone accompany you? (04) yes, the supervisor. The ID of the supervisor:

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1702901-9999999] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5184 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1702901		4	0.1%

File : TEDS2017HE_indC

w4_04: W4.Did anyone accompany you? (04) yes, the supervisor. The ID of the supervisor:

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1702902		14	0.3%
1702903		10	0.2%
1702904		4	0.1%
1702905		16	0.3%
1702906		7	0.1%
1702907		12	0.2%
1703902		3	0.1%
1704903		19	0.4%
1704999		2	0.0%
1705099		1	0.0%
1705999		4	0.1%
9999996	Skipped	1094	21.1%
9999999	Missing	3994	77.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w5: W5.Does this address have any other function?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5184 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Restaurant	12	0.2%
2	Factory	16	0.3%
3	Office	25	0.5%
4	Store	38	0.7%
5	Entertainment place	0	
6	Other business place	76	1.5%
7	Temple	5	0.1%
92	None	1018	19.6%
96	Skipped	0	
99	Missing	3994	77.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w6m01: W6.Does this address have? (01) Doorbell

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5184 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	677	13.1%
1	Yes	513	9.9%
99	Missing	3994	77.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w6m02: W6.Does this address have? (02) Doorphone

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5184 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

File : TEDS2017HE_indC

w6m02: W6.Does this address have? (02) Doorphone

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	854	16.5%
1	Yes	336	6.5%
99	Missing	3994	77.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w6m03: W6.Does this address have? (03) Guards or conservator

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5184 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	1048	20.2%
1	Yes	142	2.7%
99	Missing	3994	77.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

y1: Y1.Record Type

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5184 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	All by CAPI	1190	23.0%
2	Parts by paper and pencil interviewing	0	
3	All by paper and pencil interviewing	0	
92	Failed interview [skip to Z1]	0	
99	Missing	3994	77.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

y2: Y2.Did you make an appointment for the interview?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5184 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	263	5.1%
2	No	927	17.9%
96	Skipped	0	
99	Missing	3994	77.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

y3: Y3.Do you read all the questions?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5184 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes, and do not show any question to R	1140	22.0%
2	Yes, but show some questions to R (Not the selection card)	50	1.0%
3	Do not recite some questions	0	
4	Do not recite all questions	0	
96	Skipped	0	

File : TEDS2017HE_indC

y3: Y3.Do you read all the questions?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
99	Missing	3994	77.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

y4m01: Y4.Before the interview, did the R do any of the following? (01) Claim s/he was too busy to be interviewed

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=5184 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	1101	21.2%
1	Yes	89	1.7%
96	Skipped	0	
99	Missing	3994	77.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

y4m02: Y4.Before the interview, did the R do any of the following? (02) Claim he/she has something to do for his/her family thus cannot be interviewed

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=5184 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	1129	21.8%
1	Yes	61	1.2%
96	Skipped	0	
99	Missing	3994	77.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

y4m03: Y4.Before the interview, did the R do any of the following? (03) Claim not interested in taking an interview

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=5184 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	994	19.2%
1	Yes	196	3.8%
96	Skipped	0	
99	Missing	3994	77.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

y4m04: Y4.Before the interview, did the R do any of the following? (04) Claim not trust opinion surveys

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=5184 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	1097	21.2%
1	Yes	93	1.8%
96	Skipped	0	
99	Missing	3994	77.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

File : TEDS2017HE_indC

y4m05: Y4.Before the interview, did the R do any of the following? (05) Express doubt about how the survey data would be used

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=5184 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	1053	20.3%
1	Yes	137	2.6%
96	Skipped	0	
99	Missing	3994	77.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

y4m06: Y4.Before the interview, did the R do any of the following? (06) Express doubt about whether R's opinions or personal information would be kept private

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=5184 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	1053	20.3%
1	Yes	137	2.6%
96	Skipped	0	
99	Missing	3994	77.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

y4m07: Y4.Before the interview, did the R do any of the following? (07) Express doubt about the hosted organization of the survey or principal investigator of the survey

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=5184 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	1137	21.9%
1	Yes	53	1.0%
96	Skipped	0	
99	Missing	3994	77.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

y4m08: Y4.Before the interview, did the R do any of the following? (08) Express doubt about the identity of the interviewer

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=5184 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	1137	21.9%
1	Yes	53	1.0%
96	Skipped	0	
99	Missing	3994	77.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

y4m09: Y4.Before the interview, did the R do any of the following? (09) A family member opposed the R being interviewed

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*]

File : TEDS2017HE_indC

y4m09: Y4.Before the interview, did the R do any of the following? (09) A family member opposed the R being interviewed

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=5184 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	1143	22.0%
1	Yes	47	0.9%
96	Skipped	0	
99	Missing	3994	77.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

y5: Y5.From your interview with the R, how much effort did you spent on persuading R until he/she agreed to take the interview?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=5184 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Explain the opening statement (including the executive, the	699	13.5%
2	Need to clearly explain the content and purpose of this inte	313	6.0%
3	In addition to the above description, but also need to ask h	178	3.4%
96	Skipped	0	
99	Missing	3994	77.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

y6m01: Y6.During the interview, did the R encounter any of the following situation that affect the interview? (01) Oral expression Impairment

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=5184 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	1181	22.8%
1	Yes	9	0.2%
96	Skipped	0	
99	Missing	3994	77.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

y6m02: Y6.During the interview, did the R encounter any of the following situation that affect the interview? (02) Hearing Impairment

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=5184 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	1178	22.7%
1	Yes	12	0.2%
96	Skipped	0	
99	Missing	3994	77.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

y6m03: Y6.During the interview, did the R encounter any of the following situation that affect the interview? (03) Intellectual Disability

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*]

File : TEDS2017HE_indC

y6m03: Y6.During the interview, did the R encounter any of the following situation that affect the interview? (03) Intellectual Disability

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=5184 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	1188	22.9%
1	Yes	2	0.0%
96	Skipped	0	
99	Missing	3994	77.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

y6m04: Y6.During the interview, did the R encounter any of the following situation that affect the interview? (04) Need to translate because of language barrier

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=5184 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	1180	22.8%
1	Yes	10	0.2%
96	Skipped	0	
99	Missing	3994	77.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

y6o04: Y6.During the interview, did the R encounter any of the following situation that affect the interview? (04) explain the identity of the translator

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5184 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Child	10	0.2%
2	Mate	0	
96	Skipped	1180	22.8%
99	Missing	3994	77.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

y7m01: Y7.During the interview, did the R do any of the following? (01) Ask to fill out the questionnaire him or herself

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5184 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	1182	22.8%
1	Yes	8	0.2%
96	Skipped	0	
99	Missing	3994	77.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

y7m02: Y7.During the interview, did the R do any of the following? (02) Ask to see the questionnaire

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5184 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	1179	22.7%
1	Yes	11	0.2%
96	Skipped	0	
99	Missing	3994	77.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

y7m03: Y7.During the interview, did the R do any of the following? (03) Tend to quit the interview

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5184 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	1167	22.5%
1	Yes	23	0.4%
96	Skipped	0	
99	Missing	3994	77.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

y7m04: Y7.During the interview, did the R do any of the following? (04) Express too many questionnaires

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5184 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	1118	21.6%
1	Yes	72	1.4%

y7m04: Y7.During the interview, did the R do any of the following? (04) Express too many questionnaires

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
96	Skipped	0	
99	Missing	3994	77.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

y8: Y8.After the interview, did you present the voucher to the R?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5184 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes, and the R accepted the voucher	1130	21.8%
2	Yes, but the R didn't accept the voucher	10	0.2%
3	Yes, but the R presented the voucher to me	36	0.7%
4	No, because I have no voucher but have informed the project	14	0.3%
96	Skipped	0	
99	Missing	3994	77.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

y9: Y9.How cooperative was the R?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5184 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very cooperative	515	9.9%
2	Fairly cooperative	437	8.4%
3	A little cooperative	191	3.7%
4	A little uncooperative	39	0.8%
5	Fairly uncooperative	6	0.1%
6	Very uncooperative	2	0.0%
96	Skipped	0	
99	Missing	3994	77.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

y10: Y10.How well do you think the R understood the questions?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5184 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Understood very well	226	4.4%
2	Understood fairly well	393	7.6%
3	Understood a little	363	7.0%
4	Didn't understand a little	129	2.5%
5	Didn't understand a fair amount	55	1.1%
6	Didn't understand much at all	24	0.5%
96	Skipped	0	
99	Missing	3994	77.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

y11: Y11.How sensitive do you think the R thought the questions were?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5184 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

# y11: Y11.How sensitive do you think the R thought the questions were?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very sensitive	50	1.0%
2	Fairly sensitive	116	2.2%
3	A little sensitive	362	7.0%
4	A little insensitive	356	6.9%
5	Fairly insensitive	177	3.4%
6	Very insensitive	129	2.5%
96	Skipped	0	
99	Missing	3994	77.0%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# y12: Y12.How interested do you think the R was in the contents of the survey?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5184 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very interested	62	1.2%
2	Fairly interested	166	3.2%
3	A little interested	515	9.9%
4	A little uninterested	336	6.5%
5	Fairly uninterested	71	1.4%
6	Very uninterested	40	0.8%
96	Skipped	0	
99	Missing	3994	77.0%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# y13: Y13.How trustworthy do you think the R's answers are?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5184 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Most are untrustworthy	5	0.1%
2	Some are untrustworthy	87	1.7%
3	Most are trustworthy	729	14.1%
4	All are trustworthy	369	7.1%
96	Skipped	0	
99	Missing	3994	77.0%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# y14: Y14.What do you think is the R's level of knowledge about general political matters?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5184 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very low	96	1.9%
2	Low	384	7.4%
3	High	582	11.2%
4	Very high	128	2.5%
96	Skipped	0	
99	Missing	3994	77.0%

y14: Y14.What do you think is the R's level of knowledge about general political matters?

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

y15m01: Y15.The R answered questions: (01) By him or herself [skip to Z1]

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=5184 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	543	10.5%
1	Yes [skip to Z1]	647	12.5%
96	Skipped	0	
99	Missing	3994	77.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

y15m02: Y15.The R answered questions: (02) With his or her spouse

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=5184 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	331	6.4%
1	Yes	212	4.1%
96	Skipped	647	12.5%
99	Missing	3994	77.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

y15m03: Y15.The R answered questions: (03) A child also participated

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=5184 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	371	7.2%
1	Yes	172	3.3%
96	Skipped	647	12.5%
99	Missing	3994	77.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

y15o03: Y15.The R answered questions: (03) Child's age is about:

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=5184 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		5	0.1%
2		3	0.1%
3		5	0.1%
4		1	0.0%
5		9	0.2%
6		2	0.0%
7		3	0.1%
8		6	0.1%
9		2	0.0%
10		10	0.2%
12		5	0.1%

y15o03: Y15.The R answered questions: (03) Child's age is about:

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
13		1	0.0%
14		1	0.0%
15		11	0.2%
16		4	0.1%
18		8	0.2%
19		2	0.0%
20		10	0.2%
21		2	0.0%
22		1	0.0%
23		1	0.0%
25		6	0.1%
26		2	0.0%
28		1	0.0%
30		14	0.3%
34		1	0.0%
35		7	0.1%
36		1	0.0%
40		23	0.4%
45		5	0.1%
50		11	0.2%
55		1	0.0%
60		6	0.1%
61		1	0.0%
68		1	0.0%
96	Skipped	1018	19.6%
97	Unknown	0	
99	Missing	3994	77.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

y15m04: Y15.The R answered questions: (04) With his or her entire family

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5184 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	393	7.6%
1	Yes	150	2.9%
96	Skipped	647	12.5%
99	Missing	3994	77.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

y15m06: Y15.The R answered questions: (06) Colleagues

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5184 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	528	10.2%
1	Yes	15	0.3%
96	Skipped	647	12.5%

# y15m06: Y15.The R answered questions: (06) Colleagues			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
99	Missing	3994	77.0%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# y15m07: Y15.The R answered questions: (07) Relatives			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5184 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	519	10.0%
1	Yes	24	0.5%
96	Skipped	647	12.5%
99	Missing	3994	77.0%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# y15m08: Y15.The R answered questions: (08) Servant (housekeeper)			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5184 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	539	10.4%
1	Yes	4	0.1%
96	Skipped	647	12.5%
99	Missing	3994	77.0%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# y15m09: Y15.The R answered questions: (09) Grandchildren			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5184 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	517	10.0%
1	Yes	26	0.5%
96	Skipped	647	12.5%
99	Missing	3994	77.0%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# y15m10: Y15.The R answered questions: (10) Customer			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5184 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	517	10.0%
1	Yes	26	0.5%
96	Skipped	647	12.5%
99	Missing	3994	77.0%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# y15m11: Y15.The R answered questions: (11) Brothers or sisters			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5184 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	

# y15m11: Y15.The R answered questions: (11) Brothers or sisters			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	516	10.0%
1	Yes	27	0.5%
96	Skipped	647	12.5%
99	Missing	3994	77.0%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# y15m12: Y15.The R answered questions: (12) Friends			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5184 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	522	10.1%
1	Yes	21	0.4%
96	Skipped	647	12.5%
99	Missing	3994	77.0%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# y15m13: Y15.The R answered questions: (13) Neighbors			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5184 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	524	10.1%
1	Yes	19	0.4%
96	Skipped	647	12.5%
99	Missing	3994	77.0%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# y15m14: Y15.The R answered questions: (14) Administrator			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5184 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	528	10.2%
1	Yes	15	0.3%
96	Skipped	647	12.5%
99	Missing	3994	77.0%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# y15m15: Y15.The R answered questions: (15) Students			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5184 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	542	10.5%
1	Yes	1	0.0%
96	Skipped	647	12.5%
99	Missing	3994	77.0%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# y15m16: Y15.The R answered questions: (16) Employees			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5184 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	534	10.3%
1	Yes	9	0.2%
96	Skipped	647	12.5%
99	Missing	3994	77.0%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# y15m17: Y15.The R answered questions: (17) Chiefs of village			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5184 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	538	10.4%
1	Yes	5	0.1%
96	Skipped	647	12.5%
99	Missing	3994	77.0%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# y16: Y16.Did a third person present at the interview do any of the following?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5184 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Keep busy, but listen to the conversation between I and R	244	4.7%
2	Be present part of the time, but not express opinion or othe	62	1.2%
3	Be present all of the time, but not express opinion or other	71	1.4%
4	During interview, express opinion or otherwise interfere wit	129	2.5%
5	None of the above	37	0.7%
96	Skipped	647	12.5%
99	Missing	3994	77.0%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# z1: Z1.Interviewer ID			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1701001-9999999] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5184 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1701001		6	0.1%
1701002		25	0.5%
1701003		24	0.5%
1701004		17	0.3%
1701006		2	0.0%
1701007		13	0.3%
1701009		9	0.2%
1701010		12	0.2%
1701012		23	0.4%
1701014		39	0.8%

z1: Z1.Interviewer ID

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1701015		12	0.2%
1701016		12	0.2%
1701017		12	0.2%
1701018		25	0.5%
1701021		38	0.7%
1701022		6	0.1%
1701023		13	0.3%
1701024		12	0.2%
1701027		2	0.0%
1701028		38	0.7%
1701029		16	0.3%
1701030		57	1.1%
1701031		10	0.2%
1701032		13	0.3%
1701033		3	0.1%
1701034		1	0.0%
1701036		12	0.2%
1701037		2	0.0%
1701038		2	0.0%
1701039		12	0.2%
1701041		10	0.2%
1701901		3	0.1%
1701902		12	0.2%
1701904		11	0.2%
1701906		11	0.2%
1701907		14	0.3%
1701909		47	0.9%
1702001		20	0.4%
1702002		14	0.3%
1702003		10	0.2%
1702004		10	0.2%
1702005		7	0.1%
1702006		13	0.3%
1702007		3	0.1%
1702008		8	0.2%
1702009		10	0.2%
1702010		10	0.2%
1702011		10	0.2%
1702012		10	0.2%
1702013		10	0.2%
1702014		10	0.2%
1702015		10	0.2%
1702016		11	0.2%
1702017		1	0.0%
1702018		10	0.2%

z1: Z1.Interviewer ID

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1702019		13	0.3%
1702020		14	0.3%
1702021		11	0.2%
1702904		2	0.0%
1702907		6	0.1%
1703001		11	0.2%
1703002		13	0.3%
1703008		10	0.2%
1703009		11	0.2%
1703011		11	0.2%
1703012		23	0.4%
1704002		30	0.6%
1704003		11	0.2%
1704004		11	0.2%
1704005		15	0.3%
1704006		10	0.2%
1704007		12	0.2%
1704009		12	0.2%
1704010		12	0.2%
1705005		19	0.4%
1705006		10	0.2%
1705007		32	0.6%
1705008		17	0.3%
1705009		5	0.1%
1705010		16	0.3%
1705011		12	0.2%
1705012		21	0.4%
1705013		7	0.1%
1705014		19	0.4%
1705015		10	0.2%
1705017		12	0.2%
1705018		10	0.2%
1705020		8	0.2%
1705903		1	0.0%
9999999	Missing	3994	77.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

z2: Z2.Interviewer's gender

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5184 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Male	624	12.0%
2	Female	566	10.9%
99	Missing	3994	77.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

File : TEDS2017HE_indQ

id: ID

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1020101500402-27630200700401] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1186 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

tau: Tau

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-27] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1186 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		325	27.4%
2		314	26.5%
3		258	21.8%
4		71	6.0%
5		53	4.5%
6		39	3.3%
7		30	2.5%
8		22	1.9%
9		17	1.4%
10		9	0.8%
11		10	0.8%
12		6	0.5%
13		6	0.5%
14		3	0.3%
15		5	0.4%
16		2	0.2%
17		3	0.3%
18		3	0.3%
19		1	0.1%
21		1	0.1%
23		1	0.1%
24		2	0.2%
25		2	0.2%
26		2	0.2%
27		1	0.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

city: City

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 2-68] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1186 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Yi Lan County	42	3.5%
4	Hsinchu County	35	3.0%
5	Miaoli County	35	3.0%
7	Changhua County	97	8.2%
9	Yunlin County	33	2.8%
15	Hualien County	33	2.8%
20	Chiayi City	35	3.0%

File : TEDS2017HE_indQ

city: City

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
63	Taipei City	184	15.5%
64	Kaohsiung City	198	16.7%
65	New Taipei City	148	12.5%
66	Taichung City	125	10.5%
67	Tainan City	115	9.7%
68	Taoyuan County	106	8.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

town: Town

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 201-6810] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1186 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
201	Yilan City, Yi Lan County	13	1.1%
209	Wujie Township, Yi Lan County	17	1.4%
210	Sanxing Township, Yi Lan County	12	1.0%
401	Zhubei City, Hsinchu County	12	1.0%
402	Zhudong Township, Hsinchu County	11	0.9%
405	Hukou Township, Hsinchu County	12	1.0%
501	Miaoli City, Miaoli County	23	1.9%
505	Toufen City, Miaoli County	12	1.0%
701	Changhua City, Changhua County	20	1.7%
702	Lukang Township, Changhua County	34	2.9%
709	Fenyuan Township, Changhua County	10	0.8%
710	Yuanlin City, Changhua County	33	2.8%
901	Douliu City, Yunlin County	11	0.9%
907	Gukeng Township, Yunlin County	11	0.9%
912	Lunbei Township, Yunlin County	11	0.9%
1501	Hualien City, Hualien County	20	1.7%
1505	Jian Township, Hualien County	13	1.1%
2002	West District, Chiayi City	35	3.0%
6301	Songshan District, Taipei City	25	2.1%
6302	Xinyi District, Taipei City	36	3.0%
6303	Daan District, Taipei City	37	3.1%
6304	Zhongshan District, Taipei City	13	1.1%
6309	Nangang District, Taipei City	24	2.0%
6310	Neihu District, Taipei City	11	0.9%
6312	Beitou District, Taipei City	38	3.2%
6403	Zuoying District, Kaohsiung City	12	1.0%
6404	Nanzi District, Kaohsiung City	20	1.7%
6405	Sanmin District, Kaohsiung City	32	2.7%
6408	Lingya District, Kaohsiung City	33	2.8%
6409	Qianzhen District, Kaohsiung City	26	2.2%
6411	Xiaogang District, Kaohsiung City	10	0.8%
6412	Fengshan District, Kaohsiung City	31	2.6%

File : TEDS2017HE_indQ

town: Town

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6416	Dashe District, Kaohsiung City	12	1.0%
6430	Qishan District, Kaohsiung City	22	1.9%
6501	Banqiao District, New Taipei City	36	3.0%
6502	Sanchong District, New Taipei City	37	3.1%
6503	Zhonghe District, New Taipei City	38	3.2%
6509	Sanxia District, New Taipei City	12	1.0%
6513	Tucheng District, New Taipei City	25	2.1%
6606	Xitun District, Taichung City	10	0.8%
6607	Nantun District, Taichung City	20	1.7%
6608	Beitun District, Taichung City	33	2.8%
6609	Fengyuan District, Taichung City	30	2.5%
6627	Taiping District, Taichung City	11	0.9%
6628	Dali District, Taichung City	21	1.8%
6703	Baihe District, Tainan City	12	1.0%
6706	Dongshan District, Tainan City	11	0.9%
6709	Liujia District, Tainan City	11	0.9%
6727	Rende District, Tainan City	12	1.0%
6728	Guiren District, Tainan City	17	1.4%
6731	Yongkang District, Tainan City	13	1.1%
6732	East District, Tainan City	12	1.0%
6736	Anping District, Tainan City	27	2.3%
6801	Taoyuan District, Taoyuan County	48	4.0%
6805	Luzhu District, Taoyuan County	24	2.0%
6810	Pingzhen District, Taoyuan County	34	2.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

village: Village

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 201015-6810033] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1186 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
201015	Yanping Village, Yilan City, Yi Lan County	13	1.1%
209007	Fuxing Village, Wujie Township, Yi Lan County	17	1.4%
210008	Yuemei Village, Sanxing Township, Yi Lan County	12	1.0%
401026	Fude Village, Zhubei City, Hsinchu County	12	1.0%
402022	Nanhua Village, Zhudong Township, Hsinchu County	11	0.9%
405016	Zhongxing Village, Hukou Township, Hsinchu County	12	1.0%
501001	Zhongmiao Village, Miaoli City, Miaoli County	12	1.0%
501023	Shuiyuan Village, Miaoli City, Miaoli County	11	0.9%
505018	Tianliao Village, Toufen City, Miaoli County	12	1.0%
701031	Pinghe Village, Changhua City, Changhua County	10	0.8%
701043	Xian Village, Changhua City, Changhua County	10	0.8%
702003	Luojin Village, Lukang Township, Changhua County	10	0.8%
702023	Caozhong Village, Lukang Township, Changhua County	10	0.8%
702024	Tounan Village, Lukang Township, Changhua County	14	1.2%

File : TEDS2017HE_indQ

village: Village

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
709009	Zunqian Village, Fenyuan Township, Changhua County	10	0.8%
710007	Guangming Village, Yuanlin City, Changhua County	11	0.9%
710015	Yuantan Village, Yuanlin City, Changhua County	11	0.9%
710022	Darao Village, Yuanlin City, Changhua County	11	0.9%
901026	Liuzhong Village, Douliu City, Yunlin County	11	0.9%
907010	Yungguang Village, Gukeng Township, Yunlin County	11	0.9%
912001	Dongming Village, Lunbei Township, Yunlin County	11	0.9%
1501001	Minli Village, Hualien City, Hualien County	10	0.8%
1501003	Minzu Village, Hualien City, Hualien County	10	0.8%
1505003	Taichang Village, Jian Township, Hualien County	13	1.1%
2002022	Xinxi Village, West District, Chiayi City	11	0.9%
2002047	Chedian Village, West District, Chiayi City	11	0.9%
2002068	Baofu Village, West District, Chiayi City	13	1.1%
6301004	Sanmin Village, Songshan District, Taipei City	12	1.0%
6301012	Longtian Village, Songshan District, Taipei City	13	1.1%
6302005	Xinren Village, Xinyi District, Taipei City	12	1.0%
6302007	Dunhou Village, Xinyi District, Taipei City	12	1.0%
6302020	Guoye Village, Xinyi District, Taipei City	12	1.0%
6303014	Guzhuang Village, Daan District, Taipei City	13	1.1%
6303016	Jinan Village, Daan District, Taipei City	12	1.0%
6303026	Longzheng Village, Daan District, Taipei City	12	1.0%
6304022	Xinsheng Village, Zhongshan District, Taipei City	13	1.1%
6309010	Hecheng Village, Nangang District, Taipei City	12	1.0%
6309015	Liencheng Village, Nangang District, Taipei City	12	1.0%
6310008	Ganghua Village, Neihu District, Taipei City	11	0.9%
6312003	Shipai Village, Beitou District, Taipei City	12	1.0%
6312005	Rongguang Village, Beitou District, Taipei City	13	1.1%
6312021	Qingjiang Village, Beitou District, Taipei City	13	1.1%
6403044	Fushan Village, Zuoying District, Kaohsiung City	12	1.0%
6404001	Qingfeng Village, Nanzi District, Kaohsiung City	10	0.8%
6404013	Ruiping Village, Nanzi District, Kaohsiung City	10	0.8%
6405007	Dingtai Village, Sanmin District, Kaohsiung City	10	0.8%
6405009	Benhe Village, Sanmin District, Kaohsiung City	12	1.0%
6405028	BaoLong Village, Sanmin District, Kaohsiung City	10	0.8%
6408014	Renzheng Village, Lingya District, Kaohsiung City	10	0.8%
6408058	Yunkang Village, Lingya District, Kaohsiung City	13	1.1%
6408067	Wenchang Village, Lingya District, Kaohsiung City	10	0.8%
6409012	Mingdao Village, Qianzhen District, Kaohsiung City	12	1.0%
6409032	Lianghe Village, Qianzhen District, Kaohsiung City	14	1.2%
6411018	Shandong Village, Xiaogang District, Kaohsiung City	10	0.8%
6412016	Zhennan Village, Fengshan District, Kaohsiung City	10	0.8%
6412027	Zhongyi Village, Fengshan District, Kaohsiung City	11	0.9%
6412067	Xinle Village, Fengshan District, Kaohsiung City	10	0.8%

File : TEDS2017HE_indQ

village: Village

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6416006	Guanyin Village, Dashe District, Kaohsiung City	12	1.0%
6430002	Dade Village, Qishan District, Kaohsiung City	10	0.8%
6430005	Zhongzheng Village, Qishan District, Kaohsiung City	12	1.0%
6501054	Puqian Village, Banqiao District, New Taipei City	13	1.1%
6501067	Xian Village, Banqiao District, New Taipei City	11	0.9%
6501084	Huaxing Village, Banqiao District, New Taipei City	12	1.0%
6502046	Chungxin Village, Sanchong District, New Taipei City	12	1.0%
6502085	Shangde Village, Sanchong District, New Taipei City	12	1.0%
6502110	Boai Village, Sanchong District, New Taipei City	13	1.1%
6503013	Fuzhen Village, Zhonghe District, New Taipei City	12	1.0%
6503016	Fusxiang Village, Zhonghe District, New Taipei City	13	1.1%
6503079	Jingben Village, Zhonghe District, New Taipei City	13	1.1%
6509017	Xibei Village, Sanxia District, New Taipei City	12	1.0%
6513016	Qingxi Village, Tucheng District, New Taipei City	12	1.0%
6513031	Anhe Village, Tucheng District, New Taipei City	13	1.1%
6606011	Dahe Village, Xitun District, Taichung City	10	0.8%
6607007	Wenxin Village, Nantun District, Taichung City	10	0.8%
6607017	Tongxin Village, Nantun District, Taichung City	10	0.8%
6608014	Dakeng Village, Beitun District, Taichung City	13	1.1%
6608035	Pingxin Village, Beitun District, Taichung City	10	0.8%
6608039	Pingxing Village, Beitun District, Taichung City	10	0.8%
6609005	Xiajie Village, Fengyuan District, Taichung City	10	0.8%
6609030	Nancun Village, Fengyuan District, Taichung City	10	0.8%
6609033	Wengming Village, Fengyuan District, Taichung City	10	0.8%
6627022	Chenggong Village, Taiping District, Taichung City	11	0.9%
6628014	Renhua Village, Dali District, Taichung City	11	0.9%
6628021	Guoguang Village, Dali District, Taichung City	10	0.8%
6703015	Zhaoan Village, Baihe District, Tainan City	12	1.0%
6706003	Dongzheng Village, Dongshan District, Tainan City	11	0.9%
6709006	Erjia Village, Liujia District, Tainan City	11	0.9%
6727012	Chenggong Village, Rende District, Tainan City	12	1.0%
6728007	Kanxi Village, Guiren District, Tainan City	17	1.4%
6731017	Beiwan Village, Yongkang District, Tainan City	13	1.1%
6732025	Huwei Village, East District, Tainan City	12	1.0%
6736002	Jincheng Village, Anping District, Tainan City	12	1.0%
6736015	Pingan Village, Anping District, Tainan City	15	1.3%
6801022	Xinpu Village, Taoyuan District, Taoyuan County	13	1.1%
6801029	Zhongcheng Village, Taoyuan District, Taoyuan County	11	0.9%
6801036	Longgang Village, Taoyuan District, Taoyuan County	12	1.0%
6801038	Qingxi Village, Taoyuan District, Taoyuan County	12	1.0%
6805001	Xinxing Village, Luzhu District, Taoyuan County	12	1.0%
6805003	Xin Zhuang Village, Luzhu District, Taoyuan County	12	1.0%
6810030	Yungan Village, Pingzhen District, Taoyuan County	11	0.9%

File : TEDS2017HE_indQ

village: Village

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6810032	Fulin Village, Pingzhen District, Taoyuan County	12	1.0%
6810033	Jinxing Village, Pingzhen District, Taoyuan County	11	0.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

a1: A1.How closely do you follow politics on TV, radio, newspapers, or the Internet?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1186 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very closely	167	14.1%
2	Fairly closely	714	60.2%
3	Not very closely	209	17.6%
4	Not at all	88	7.4%
94	It depends	2	0.2%
95	No opinion	0	
97	Don't know	6	0.5%
98	Refuse to answer	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

a2: A2.Which is the main source for you to receive political news, television, radio, newspaper, or internet?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1186 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Television	761	64.2%
2	Radio	18	1.5%
3	Newspaper	61	5.1%
4	Internet	297	25.0%
92	None	42	3.5%
94	It depends	4	0.3%
95	No opinion	0	
97	Don't know	3	0.3%
98	Refuse to answer	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

a3: A3.Which newspaper did you read most often?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1186 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	China Times	66	5.6%
2	United Daily News	102	8.6%
4	Commercial Times	7	0.6%
5	The Commons Daily	0	
7	Economic Daily News	12	1.0%
8	Youth Daily News	0	
9	Taiwan Times	6	0.5%

File : TEDS2017HE_indQ

a3: A3.Which newspaper did you read most often?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	Liberty Times	240	20.2%
11	United Evening News	7	0.6%
13	Merit Times	6	0.5%
14	China Daily	5	0.4%
16	Taiwan News	0	
17	Apple Daily	240	20.2%
18	Want Daily	2	0.2%
20	Local newspaper	0	
21	Keng Sheng Daily News	1	0.1%
22	Oriental Press	0	
23	Tai Chung Pou	0	
24	The China Post	0	
25	U-paper	0	
27	World Journal	0	
29	Dharma Drum Mountain Post	0	
30	The Japan News	0	
33	China Morning Post	0	
34	Awakening News	0	
35	Sharp Daily	0	
36	Mandarin Daily	1	0.1%
37	Veterans Newspaper	0	
38	The Epoch Times	1	0.1%
39	The real daily	2	0.2%
40	Soka News	2	0.2%
41	Fair Media	0	
42	Kingdom Revival Times	0	
92	Don't read any newspaper	472	39.8%
97	Don't know	14	1.2%
98	Refuse to answer	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

a4: A4.Which TV news did you watch most often?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Taiwan Television	38	3.2%
2	China Television	52	4.4%
3	Chinese Television	21	1.8%
4	Formosa Television	185	15.6%
5	TVBS	224	18.9%
6	Sanlih E-Television	146	12.3%
7	Eastern Television	132	11.1%
8	CTi Television	125	10.5%
9	Era Television	28	2.4%

File : TEDS2017HE_indQ

a4: A4.Which TV news did you watch most often?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	Gala Television	0	
11	Unique Satellite Television	8	0.7%
12	Da-Ai Television	10	0.8%
13	Taiwan Public Television	36	3.0%
15	Hakka Television	2	0.2%
16	Taiwan Indigenous Television	1	0.1%
17	Local News Television Channel	2	0.2%
18	Next TV	45	3.8%
19	NHK	0	
20	UDN	0	
22	EBC Financial News	0	
23	VL Sports	0	
24	CNN	0	
25	Global News (MOD)	4	0.3%
26	Beautiful Life Television	0	
27	Global TV	0	
92	Never	89	7.5%
94	It depends	10	0.8%
97	Don't know	27	2.3%
98	Refuse to answer	1	0.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

b1: B1.How interested would you say you are in politics?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1186 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very interested	72	6.1%
2	Somewhat interested	358	30.2%
3	Not very interested	520	43.8%
4	Not at all interested	223	18.8%
94	It depends	5	0.4%
95	No opinion	2	0.2%
97	Don't know	5	0.4%
98	Refuse to answer	1	0.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

b2: B2.Do you usually talk about politics or elections with other people?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1186 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Often	53	4.5%
2	Sometimes	338	28.5%
3	Seldom	496	41.8%
4	Never	299	25.2%

File : TEDS2017HE_indQ

b2: B2.Do you usually talk about politics or elections with other people?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Refuse to answer	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

c1: C1.Now thinking about the performance of the president Tsai in general, how good or bad a job do you think the president did during her presidency?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good job	36	3.0%
2	Good job	402	33.9%
3	Bad job	435	36.7%
4	Very bad job	191	16.1%
94	It depends	4	0.3%
95	No opinion	48	4.0%
97	Don't know	53	4.5%
98	Refuse to answer	17	1.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

c2: C2.Regarding following problems that our country is facing, what do you think is the most priority that President Tsai, Ing-wen should handle?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Cross-strait relations	185	15.6%
2	Education policy	108	9.1%
3	Annuity reform	135	11.4%
4	Economic development	573	48.3%
8	Judicial reform problem	73	6.2%
9	Livelihood issues	1	0.1%
10	Employment problem	0	
11	Competition between Pan-blue and the Pan-green	0	
12	Traffic problem	0	
13	Crime problem	1	0.1%
14	Transitional justice	26	2.2%
15	House prices	0	
16	Low wages	0	
17	Social welfare problem	2	0.2%
18	Diplomacy problem	0	
19	Gender equality	0	
20	Ill-gotten Party Assets	0	
21	Corruption Problem	0	
22	Quality of Nation	1	0.1%
23	United of Nation	0	
24	Financial problem	0	

File : TEDS2017HE_indQ

c2: C2.Regarding following problems that our country is facing, what do you think is the most priority that President Tsai, Ing-wen should handle?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
25	Food safety	1	0.1%
26	Chen, Shui-bian amnesty issue	0	
27	Marriage equality	0	
28	Intraparty issues	0	
29	Public servant problem	0	
30	National defense problem	0	
31	Drug problem	0	
32	Fertility policy	0	
33	Medical system problem	0	
34	Environment policy	0	
35	Parties relations	1	0.1%
36	Aged society	0	
37	Agriculture policy	0	
38	Labor rights issue	0	
39	Energy policy	0	
92	None [skip to C4]	4	0.3%
94	It's hard to say [skip to C4]	7	0.6%
95	No opinion [skip to C4]	18	1.5%
97	Don't know [skip to C4]	43	3.6%
98	Refuse to answer [skip to C4]	7	0.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

c3: C3.Regarding following problems that our country is facing, what do you think is the next priority that President Tsai, Ing-wen should handle?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Cross-strait relations	197	16.6%
2	Education policy	283	23.9%
3	Annuity reform	157	13.2%
4	Economic development	251	21.2%
8	Judicial reform problem	129	10.9%
9	Livelihood issues	1	0.1%
10	Employment problem	0	
11	Competition between Pan-blue and the Pan-green	0	
12	Traffic problem	0	
13	Crime problem	1	0.1%
14	Transitional justice	57	4.8%
15	House prices	0	
16	Low wages	0	
17	Social welfare problem	0	
18	Diplomacy problem	0	
19	Gender equality	0	

File : TEDS2017HE_indQ

c3: C3.Regarding following problems that our country is facing, what do you think is the next priority that President Tsai, Ing-wen should handle?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
20	Ill-gotten Party Assets	0	
21	Corruption Problem	0	
22	Quality of Nation	0	
23	United of Nation	0	
24	Financial problem	1	0.1%
25	Food safety	0	
26	Chen, Shui-bian amnesty issue	0	
27	Marriage equality	0	
28	Intraparty issues	0	
29	Public servant problem	0	
30	National defense problem	0	
31	Drug problem	0	
32	Fertility policy	0	
33	Medical system problem	0	
34	Environment policy	0	
35	Parties relations	0	
36	Aged society	1	0.1%
37	Agriculture policy	0	
38	Labor rights issue	0	
39	Energy policy	0	
92	None	12	1.0%
94	It's hard to say	4	0.3%
95	No opinion	2	0.2%
96	Skipped	79	6.7%
97	Don't know	10	0.8%
98	Refuse to answer	1	0.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

c4: C4.How satisfied are you with her performance in handling the Cross-strait relations?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1186 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	33	2.8%
2	Satisfied	249	21.0%
3	Not very satisfied	469	39.5%
4	Not satisfied at all	229	19.3%
94	It's hard to say	14	1.2%
95	No opinion	56	4.7%
97	Don't know	122	10.3%
98	Refuse to answer	14	1.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

c5: C5.How satisfied are you with her performance in promoting economic development?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
--------------------	---

File : TEDS2017HE_indQ

c5: C5.How satisfied are you with her performance in promoting economic development?

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1186 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	19	1.6%
2	Satisfied	214	18.0%
3	Not very satisfied	596	50.3%
4	Not satisfied at all	223	18.8%
94	It's hard to say	16	1.3%
95	No opinion	33	2.8%
97	Don't know	80	6.7%
98	Refuse to answer	5	0.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

c6: C6.How satisfied are you with her performance in handling the livelihood issues?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1186 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	21	1.8%
2	Satisfied	292	24.6%
3	Not very satisfied	520	43.8%
4	Not satisfied at all	205	17.3%
94	It's hard to say	16	1.3%
95	No opinion	47	4.0%
97	Don't know	80	6.7%
98	Refuse to answer	5	0.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

c7: C7.Are you satisfied with the overall performance of the Executive Yuan?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1186 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	21	1.8%
2	Satisfied	227	19.1%
3	Not very satisfied	611	51.5%
4	Not satisfied at all	184	15.5%
94	It's hard to say	8	0.7%
95	No opinion	38	3.2%
97	Don't know	88	7.4%
98	Refuse to answer	9	0.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

c8: C8.On a scale from 0 to 10, how would you rate the Legislative Yuan's overall performance from February 2016?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1186 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Very bad	136	11.5%

File : TEDS2017HE_indQ

c8: C8.On a scale from 0 to 10, how would you rate the Legislative Yuan's overall performance from February 2016?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		40	3.4%
2		79	6.7%
3		146	12.3%
4		124	10.5%
5		315	26.6%
6		129	10.9%
7		61	5.1%
8		25	2.1%
9		8	0.7%
10	Very good	9	0.8%
97	Don't know	109	9.2%
98	Refuse to answer	5	0.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

d1: D1.Some people say: 'People like me don't have any say about what the government does.'

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1186 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	69	5.8%
2	Agree	313	26.4%
3	Disagree	606	51.1%
4	Strongly disagree	106	8.9%
94	It depends	8	0.7%
95	No opinion	19	1.6%
97	Don't know	57	4.8%
98	Refuse to answer	8	0.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

d2: D2.Some people say: 'Public officials do not care much about what people like me think.'

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1186 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	127	10.7%
2	Agree	457	38.5%
3	Disagree	475	40.1%
4	Strongly disagree	33	2.8%
94	It depends	19	1.6%
95	No opinion	17	1.4%
97	Don't know	51	4.3%
98	Refuse to answer	7	0.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

d3: D3.Some people say: 'Sometimes politics seems so complicated that a person like me cannot really understand what is going on.'

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
--------------------	---

File : TEDS2017HE_indQ

d3: D3.Some people say: 'Sometimes politics seems so complicated that a person like me cannot really understand what is going on.'

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1186 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	146	12.3%
2	Agree	686	57.8%
3	Disagree	253	21.3%
4	Strongly disagree	44	3.7%
94	It depends	5	0.4%
95	No opinion	15	1.3%
97	Don't know	32	2.7%
98	Refuse to answer	5	0.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

d4: D4.Some people say: 'Most decisions made by the government are correct.'

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1186 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	13	1.1%
2	Agree	184	15.5%
3	Disagree	727	61.3%
4	Strongly disagree	167	14.1%
94	It depends	34	2.9%
95	No opinion	18	1.5%
97	Don't know	41	3.5%
98	Refuse to answer	2	0.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

d5: D5.Some people say: 'Government officials often waste a lot of money we pay in taxes.'

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1186 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	331	27.9%
2	Agree	588	49.6%
3	Disagree	164	13.8%
4	Strongly disagree	13	1.1%
94	It depends	20	1.7%
95	No opinion	19	1.6%
97	Don't know	46	3.9%
98	Refuse to answer	5	0.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

d6: D6.When the government decides important policies, do you think 'public welfare' is its first priority?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1186 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

File : TEDS2017HE_indQ

d6: D6.When the government decides important policies, do you think 'public welfare' is its first priority?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Often	64	5.4%
2	Sometimes	458	38.6%
3	Seldom	451	38.0%
4	Never	121	10.2%
94	It depends	15	1.3%
95	No opinion	17	1.4%
97	Don't know	57	4.8%
98	Refuse to answer	3	0.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

e1: E1.Which presidential candidate did you vote for in the presidential election last year (2016)?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1186 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	CHU Lil-luan and WANG Ju-hsuan	200	16.9%
2	TSAI Ing-wen and CHEN Chien-jen	550	46.4%
3	James SOONG and HSU Hsin-ying	91	7.7%
89	Didn't pick up the ballot	3	0.3%
91	Don't remember	60	5.1%
92	Not eligible to vote [skip to F1]	48	4.0%
93	Didn't vote [skip to F1]	168	14.2%
94	Cast an invalid vote	22	1.9%
97	Don't know	3	0.3%
98	Refuse to answer	41	3.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

e2: E2.In the last (2016) Legislative Election, which party's candidate did you vote for?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1186 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Kuomintang(KMT)	277	23.4%
2	Democratic Progressive Party(DPP)	419	35.3%
3	New Party(NP)	5	0.4%
4	People First Party(PFP)	15	1.3%
6	Taiwan Solidarity Union(TSU)	5	0.4%
7	Non-Partisan Solidarity Union(NPSU)	1	0.1%
8	Independent	6	0.5%
9	Green Party-Social Democratic Party Alliance	7	0.6%
10	NHS Union Party	0	
16	Righteous Party	0	
18	Trees Party	4	0.3%
19	New Power Party(NPP)	34	2.9%
21	Minkuotang(MKT, Republic Party)	2	0.2%
22	Taiwan Independent Party	0	

File : TEDS2017HE_indQ

e2: E2.In the last (2016) Legislative Election, which party's candidate did you vote for?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
23	Military, Civil, and Faculty Alliance Party(MCFAP)	0	
24	Faith and Hope League	3	0.3%
25	Unionist Party	0	
26	Free Taiwan Party	0	
27	Constitutional Conventions of Taiwan	1	0.1%
28	Peace Pigeon Union	0	
29	The Motorists Party of ROC	0	
30	Taiwan Labor Party	0	
31	Taiwan Win Party	0	
32	Pan-Pacific Environmental Protect Union Party	1	0.1%
33	Social Welfare Party	0	
34	Labour Party	1	0.1%
35	Taiwan First Nations Party	0	
36	China Production Party	0	
89	Didn't pick up the ballot	16	1.3%
91	Don't remember	124	10.5%
94	Cast an invalid vote	11	0.9%
96	Skipped	216	18.2%
97	Don't know	11	0.9%
98	Refuse to answer	27	2.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

e3: E3.Here is a list of all the parties that contested last legislative election. Which party did you cast your at-large vote for?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Kuomintang(KMT)	229	19.3%
2	Democratic Progressive Party(DPP)	337	28.4%
3	New Party(NP)	11	0.9%
4	People First Party(PFP)	41	3.5%
6	Taiwan Solidarity Union(TSU)	13	1.1%
7	Non-Partisan Solidarity Union(NPSU)	4	0.3%
9	Green Party-Social Democratic Party Alliance	10	0.8%
10	NHS Union Party	0	
18	Trees Party	7	0.6%
19	New Power Party(NPP)	73	6.2%
21	Minkuotang(MKT, Republic Party)	1	0.1%
22	Taiwan Independent Party	2	0.2%
23	Military, Civil, and Faculty Alliance Party(MCFAP)	0	
24	Faith and Hope League	8	0.7%
25	Unionist Party	0	
26	Free Taiwan Party	1	0.1%
27	Constitutional Conventions of Taiwan	0	

File : TEDS2017HE_indQ

e3: E3.Here is a list of all the parties that contested last legislative election. Which party did you cast your at-large vote for?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
28	Peace Pigeon Union	0	
89	Didn't pick up the ballot	23	1.9%
91	Don't remember	154	13.0%
94	Cast an invalid vote	24	2.0%
96	Skipped	216	18.2%
97	Don't know	7	0.6%
98	Refuse to answer	25	2.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

f1: F1.Regarding the issue of Cross-Strait negotiation, some people say that we should continue using the 1992 Consensus as the basis of Cross-Strait negotiation while other people say we should reject the 1992 Consensus. Which do you agree with?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1186 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Continue using the 1992 Consensus	443	37.4%
2	Reject the 1992 Consensus	235	19.8%
3	There is no 1992 Consensus	104	8.8%
4	Both support	26	2.2%
5	Both don't support	81	6.8%
94	It depends	16	1.3%
95	No opinion	66	5.6%
97	Don't know	199	16.8%
98	Refuse to answer	16	1.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

f2: F2.How satisfied are you with government in handling the annuity reform?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1186 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	142	12.0%
2	Satisfied	356	30.0%
3	Not very satisfied	394	33.2%
4	Not satisfied at all	161	13.6%
94	It's hard to say	12	1.0%
95	No opinion	41	3.5%
97	Don't know	76	6.4%
98	Refuse to answer	4	0.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

f3: F3.Some people say, 'Abolishing 18-percent preferential retirement savings rate is not fair to the retired public servants.' Do you agree or disagree with this statement?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1186 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

File : TEDS2017HE_indQ

f3: F3.Some people say, 'Abolishing 18-percent preferential retirement savings rate is not fair to the retired public servants.' Do you agree or disagree with this statement?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	68	5.7%
2	Agree	262	22.1%
3	Disagree	579	48.8%
4	Strongly disagree	162	13.7%
94	It depends	20	1.7%
95	No opinion	27	2.3%
97	Don't know	63	5.3%
98	Refuse to answer	5	0.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

f4: F4.Regarding to the government's annuity reformation, some people say: 'It's mainly political purpose'; someone say: 'It's mainly financial purpose.' What is your opinion?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1186 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Political	188	15.9%
2	Financial	355	29.9%
3	Both	528	44.5%
94	It depends	4	0.3%
95	No opinion	15	1.3%
97	Don't know	93	7.8%
98	Refuse to answer	3	0.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

f5: F5.The Legislative Yuan has passed the Ill-gotten Party Assets Settlement Bill in July, 2016. Are you satisfied with overall performance of government in handling this issue?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1186 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	104	8.8%
2	Satisfied	322	27.2%
3	Not very satisfied	376	31.7%
4	Not satisfied at all	128	10.8%
94	It's hard to say	14	1.2%
95	No opinion	43	3.6%
97	Don't know	193	16.3%
98	Refuse to answer	6	0.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

f6: F6.Some people say, 'The Civil Law should be amended so that homosexuals can get married and form a family.' Do you agree or disagree with this statement?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1186 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

File : TEDS2017HE_indQ

f6: F6. Some people say, 'The Civil Law should be amended so that homosexuals can get married and form a family.' Do you agree or disagree with this statement?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	101	8.5%
2	Agree	299	25.2%
3	Disagree	345	29.1%
4	Strongly disagree	333	28.1%
94	It depends	8	0.7%
95	No opinion	59	5.0%
97	Don't know	38	3.2%
98	Refuse to answer	3	0.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

f7: F7. Some people say: 'The public should be involved in the judicial trials'; Some people say: 'The public should not be involved in the judicial trial'. Which statement do you agree?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1186 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	The public should be involved in the judicial trials	724	61.0%
2	The public should not be involved in the judicial trials	320	27.0%
94	It depends	32	2.7%
95	No opinion	27	2.3%
97	Don't know	73	6.2%
98	Refuse to answer	10	0.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

f8: F8. How serious do you think the gap between rich and poor?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1186 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very serious	726	61.2%
2	Serious	378	31.9%
3	Not very serious	57	4.8%
4	Not serious at all	1	0.1%
94	It depends	4	0.3%
95	No opinion	4	0.3%
97	Don't know	15	1.3%
98	Refuse to answer	1	0.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

f9: F9. How serious do you think low income of salaryman?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1186 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very serious	651	54.9%
2	Serious	431	36.3%

File : TEDS2017HE_indQ

f9: F9.How serious do you think low income of salaryman?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Not very serious	47	4.0%
4	Not serious at all	2	0.2%
94	It depends	14	1.2%
95	No opinion	6	0.5%
97	Don't know	31	2.6%
98	Refuse to answer	4	0.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

f10: F10.How serious do you think food safety?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1186 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very serious	683	57.6%
2	Serious	367	30.9%
3	Not very serious	100	8.4%
4	Not serious at all	4	0.3%
94	It depends	6	0.5%
95	No opinion	4	0.3%
97	Don't know	20	1.7%
98	Refuse to answer	2	0.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

f11: F11.How serious do you think the rise in prices?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1186 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very serious	567	47.8%
2	Serious	430	36.3%
3	Not very serious	154	13.0%
4	Not serious at all	6	0.5%
94	It depends	7	0.6%
95	No opinion	4	0.3%
97	Don't know	17	1.4%
98	Refuse to answer	1	0.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

g1: G1.Sometimes people will talk about the question of Taiwan independence or the unification with China. This card lists eleven positions from independence (0) to unification (10). Which position do you occupy?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1186 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Declare independence immediately	113	9.5%
1		18	1.5%
2		37	3.1%

File : TEDS2017HE_indQ

g1: G1.Sometimes people will talk about the question of Taiwan independence or the unification with China. This card lists eleven positions from independence (0) to unification (10). Which position do you occupy?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3		91	7.7%
4		68	5.7%
5		517	43.6%
6		70	5.9%
7		56	4.7%
8		27	2.3%
9		6	0.5%
10	Unify immediately	48	4.0%
94	It's hard to say	26	2.2%
97	Don't know	88	7.4%
98	Refuse to answer	21	1.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

g1a: G1a.Sometimes people will talk about the question of Taiwan independence or the unification with China. What position do you think KMT occupies?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1186 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Declare independence immediately	12	1.0%
1		2	0.2%
2		8	0.7%
3		10	0.8%
4		9	0.8%
5		189	15.9%
6		97	8.2%
7		164	13.8%
8		163	13.7%
9		68	5.7%
10	Unify immediately	253	21.3%
94	It's hard to say	25	2.1%
97	Don't know	168	14.2%
98	Refuse to answer	18	1.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

g1b: G1b.Sometimes people will talk about the question of Taiwan independence or the unification with China. What position do you think DPP occupies?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1186 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Declare independence immediately	221	18.6%
1		87	7.3%
2		142	12.0%
3		191	16.1%

File : TEDS2017HE_indQ

g1b: G1b.Sometimes people will talk about the question of Taiwan independence or the unification with China. What position do you think DPP occupies?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4		122	10.3%
5		184	15.5%
6		16	1.3%
7		9	0.8%
8		6	0.5%
10	Unify immediately	12	1.0%
94	It's hard to say	23	1.9%
97	Don't know	153	12.9%
98	Refuse to answer	20	1.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

g1c: G1c.Sometimes people will talk about the question of Taiwan independence or the unification with China. What position do you think PFP occupies?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1186 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Declare independence immediately	21	1.8%
1		8	0.7%
2		9	0.8%
3		28	2.4%
4		52	4.4%
5		282	23.8%
6		112	9.4%
7		106	8.9%
8		66	5.6%
9		32	2.7%
10	Unify immediately	68	5.7%
94	It's hard to say	24	2.0%
97	Don't know	352	29.7%
98	Refuse to answer	26	2.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

g1d: G1d.Sometimes people will talk about the question of Taiwan independence or the unification with China. What position do you think NPP occupies?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1186 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Declare independence immediately	129	10.9%
1		88	7.4%
2		105	8.9%
3		127	10.7%
4		96	8.1%
5		190	16.0%

File : TEDS2017HE_indQ

g1d: G1d.Sometimes people will talk about the question of Taiwan independence or the unification with China. What position do you think NPP occupies?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6		20	1.7%
7		6	0.5%
8		3	0.3%
10	Unify immediately	7	0.6%
94	It's hard to say	31	2.6%
97	Don't know	362	30.5%
98	Refuse to answer	22	1.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

g2: G2.Do you consider yourself as Taiwanese, Chinese or both?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1186 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Taiwanese [continue to G2a]	705	59.4%
2	Both [skip to G2b]	406	34.2%
3	Chinese [continue to G2a]	46	3.9%
92	None of them [skip to G2b]	9	0.8%
97	Don't know [skip to G2b]	15	1.3%
98	Refuse to answer [skip to G2b]	5	0.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

g2a: G2a.Do you feel very strongly or somewhat strongly that you are Taiwanese/Chinese?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1186 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very strongly	492	41.5%
2	Somewhat	238	20.1%
96	Skipped	435	36.7%
98	Refuse to answer	21	1.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

g2b: G2b.Do you feel yourself leaning a little more to considering yourself Taiwanese or Chinese?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1186 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Lean to Taiwanese	208	17.5%
2	Lean to Chinese	35	3.0%
3	No	178	15.0%
96	Skipped	751	63.3%
98	Refuse to answer	14	1.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

File : TEDS2017HE_indQ

g3: G3.Concerning the relationship between Taiwan and mainland China, which of the following six positions do you agree with?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1186 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Immediate unification	26	2.2%
2	Immediate independence	64	5.4%
3	Maintain the status quo, move toward unification in the futu	144	12.1%
4	Maintain the status quo, move toward independence in the fut	246	20.7%
5	Maintain the status quo, decide either unification or indepe	412	34.7%
6	Maintain the status quo forever	207	17.5%
94	It depends	9	0.8%
95	No opinion	18	1.5%
97	Don't know	49	4.1%
98	Refuse to answer	11	0.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

h1: H1.Do you usually think of yourself as close to any particular party?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1186 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes [skip to H1b]	438	36.9%
2	No [continue to H1a]	731	61.6%
97	Don't know [continue to H1a]	12	1.0%
98	Refuse to answer [continue to H1a]	5	0.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

h1a: H1a.Do you feel yourself a little closer to one of the political parties than the others?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1186 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes [continue to H1b]	240	20.2%
2	No [skip to H2]	491	41.4%
96	Skipped	438	36.9%
97	Don't know [skip to H2]	13	1.1%
98	Refuse to answer [skip to H2]	4	0.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# h1b: H1b.Which party do you feel closest to?			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1186 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Kuomintang(KMT)	267	22.5%
2	Democratic Progressive Party(DPP)	334	28.2%
3	New Party(NP)	4	0.3%
4	People First Party(PFP)	16	1.3%
6	Taiwan Solidarity Union(TSU)	2	0.2%
7	Non-Partisan Solidarity Union(NPSU)	1	0.1%
9	Green Party	3	0.3%
15	People Boss Party	0	
18	Trees Party	1	0.1%
19	New Power Party(NPP)	39	3.3%
20	Social Democratic Party(SDP)	0	
21	Minkuotang(MKT, Republic Party)	2	0.2%
23	Military, Civil, and Faculty Alliance Party(MCFAP)	0	
24	Faith and Hope League	3	0.3%
25	Unionist Party	0	
96	Skipped	508	42.8%
97	Don't know [skip to H2]	0	
98	Refuse to answer [skip to H2]	6	0.5%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# h1c: H1c.Do you feel very close to this party, somewhat close, or not very close?			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1186 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very close	132	11.1%
2	Somewhat close	338	28.5%
3	Not very close	200	16.9%
94	It depends	1	0.1%
95	No opinion	0	
96	Skipped	514	43.3%
97	Don't know	1	0.1%
98	Refuse to answer	0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# h2: H2.Please rate it on a scale from 0 to 10, where would you place, KMT?			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1186 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Strongly dislike	129	10.9%
1		44	3.7%
2		88	7.4%
3		135	11.4%
4		95	8.0%

h2: H2.Please rate it on a scale from 0 to 10, where would you place, KMT?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5		290	24.5%
6		133	11.2%
7		93	7.8%
8		38	3.2%
9		11	0.9%
10	Strongly like	21	1.8%
97	Don't know	97	8.2%
98	Refuse to answer	12	1.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

h2a: H2a.Please rate it on a scale from 0 to 10, where would you place, DPP?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1186 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Strongly dislike	92	7.8%
1		53	4.5%
2		67	5.6%
3		123	10.4%
4		100	8.4%
5		309	26.1%
6		118	9.9%
7		92	7.8%
8		67	5.6%
9		27	2.3%
10	Strongly like	33	2.8%
97	Don't know	90	7.6%
98	Refuse to answer	15	1.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

h2b: H2b.Please rate it on a scale from 0 to 10, where would you place, PFP?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1186 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Strongly dislike	92	7.8%
1		57	4.8%
2		93	7.8%
3		141	11.9%
4		146	12.3%
5		327	27.6%
6		51	4.3%
7		20	1.7%
8		12	1.0%
9		2	0.2%
10	Strongly like	4	0.3%
97	Don't know	221	18.6%
98	Refuse to answer	20	1.7%

# h2b: H2b.Please rate it on a scale from 0 to 10, where would you place, PFP?			
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# h2c: H2c.Please rate it on a scale from 0 to 10, where would you place, NPP?			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1186 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Strongly dislike	152	12.8%
1		76	6.4%
2		75	6.3%
3		121	10.2%
4		73	6.2%
5		253	21.3%
6		72	6.1%
7		54	4.6%
8		30	2.5%
9		8	0.7%
10	Strongly like	9	0.8%
97	Don't know	244	20.6%
98	Refuse to answer	19	1.6%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# j1y: J1.Year of birth (Minguo calendar)			
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 13-98] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1186 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Refuse to answer		
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# j1m: J1.Month of birth			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1186 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		104	8.8%
2		96	8.1%
3		106	8.9%
4		85	7.2%
5		84	7.1%
6		78	6.6%
7		96	8.1%
8		100	8.4%
9		98	8.3%
10		94	7.9%
11		104	8.8%
12		90	7.6%
98	Refuse to answer	51	4.3%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

j2: J2.Father's ethnic background**Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=1186 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Taiwanese Hakka	155	13.1%
2	Taiwanese Min-Nan	879	74.1%
3	Mainlander	123	10.4%
4	Aboriginal	12	1.0%
5	Recent mainland immigrant	2	0.2%
6	Recent foreign immigrant	1	0.1%
7	Foreigner	3	0.3%
97	Don't know	10	0.8%
98	Refuse to answer	1	0.1%

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.***# j3: J3.Mother's ethnic background****Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=1186 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Taiwanese Hakka	155	13.1%
2	Taiwanese Min-Nan	919	77.5%
3	Mainlander	75	6.3%
4	Aboriginal	16	1.3%
5	Recent mainland immigrant	1	0.1%
6	Recent foreign immigrant	6	0.5%
7	Foreigner	3	0.3%
97	Don't know	9	0.8%
98	Refuse to answer	2	0.2%

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.***# j4: J4.Educational level****Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=1186 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Illiterate	39	3.3%
2	Literate but no formal schooling	9	0.8%
3	Some primary school	24	2.0%
4	Primary school graduate	131	11.0%
5	Some junior high school	19	1.6%
6	Junior high school graduate	102	8.6%
7	Some high school or vocational school	23	1.9%
8	High school or vocational school graduate	269	22.7%
9	Some technical college	7	0.6%
10	Technical college graduate	137	11.6%
11	Some university	61	5.1%
12	University graduate	275	23.2%
13	Post-graduate education	88	7.4%

# j4: J4.Educational level			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Refuse to answer	2	0.2%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# j5: J5.Religious belief			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1186 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	None [continue to J5a]	327	27.6%
2	Buddhist [continue to J5a]	362	30.5%
3	Taoist [continue to J5a]	263	22.2%
4	Catholic [skip to J6]	8	0.7%
5	Protestant [skip to J6]	56	4.7%
6	Muslim [skip to J6]	2	0.2%
7	I-Kuan-Tao [skip to J6]	13	1.1%
8	Traditional folk belief [continue to J5a]	144	12.1%
9	Buddhist and Taoist [continue to J5a]	6	0.5%
11	Confucianism [continue to J5a]	1	0.1%
97	Don't know [continue to J5a]	3	0.3%
98	Refuse to answer [continue to J5a]	1	0.1%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# j5a: J5a.Do you burn incense for the Earth God, Matsu, or some other spirits?			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1186 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	940	79.3%
2	No	167	14.1%
96	Skipped	79	6.7%
98	Refuse to answer	0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# j6: J6.Current employment status of R			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1186 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Employed full time (32 hours or more a week)	637	53.7%
2	Employed part time (15 to 32 hours a week)	54	4.6%
3	Employed less than part time (less than 15 hours a week)	24	2.0%
4	Help out at home	28	2.4%
5	Currently looking for employment [skip to J6b]	36	3.0%
6	Student or currently in job training [skip to J7]	42	3.5%
7	Retired [skip to J6b]	215	18.1%
8	Home duties	135	11.4%
9	Disabled or unable to work [skip to J7]	6	0.5%
10	Unemployee [skip to J7]	4	0.3%
96	Skipped	0	

j6: J6.Current employment status of R

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Refuse to answer [skip to J7]	5	0.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

j6a: J6a.Main occupation of R

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-9998] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1186 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	Servicemen	8	0.7%
20	Students	0	
31	housekeeping, without domestic crafting	109	9.2%
32	housekeeping, with domestic crafting	9	0.8%
33	housekeeping, helping familial business without pay	10	0.8%
34	housekeeping, helping familial business with pay	7	0.6%
40	never work before	1	0.1%
50	Unemployment [continue to J6b]	0	
60	Retired [continue to J6b]	0	
1010	Legislators and Government Administrators	2	0.2%
1021	Directors and Chief Executives (Pub.)	2	0.2%
1022	Directors and Chief Executives (Priv.)	84	7.1%
1031	Production and Operations Managers (Pub.)	0	
1032	Production and Operations Managers (Priv.)	16	1.3%
1091	Other Managers (Pub.)	2	0.2%
1092	Other Managers (Priv.)	39	3.3%
2011	Phys., Math. and Eng. Science Professionals (Pub.)	2	0.2%
2012	Phys., Math. and Eng. Science Professionals (Priv.)	20	1.7%
2021	Biol. Science and Health Professionals (Pub.)	4	0.3%
2022	Biol. Science and Health Professionals (Priv.)	10	0.8%
2031	Teachers (Pub.)	16	1.3%
2032	Teachers (Priv.)	19	1.6%
2041	Accountants and Business Professionals (Pub.)	0	
2042	Accountants and Business Professionals (Priv.)	7	0.6%
2051	Lawyers and Legal Professionals (Pub.)	0	
2052	Lawyers and Legal Professionals (Priv.)	2	0.2%
2061	Social Science and Related Professionals (Pub.)	0	
2062	Social Science and Related Professionals (Priv.)	0	
2091	Other Professionals (Pub.)	4	0.3%
2092	Other Professionals (Priv.)	22	1.9%
3011	Phys. and Eng. Science Associate Professionals (Pub.)	1	0.1%
3012	Phys. and Eng. Science Associate Professionals (Priv.)	10	0.8%
3021	Biol. Science and Health Associate Professionals (Pub.)	1	0.1%
3022	Biol. Science and Health Associate Professionals (Priv.)	6	0.5%
3031	Teaching and Related Associate Professionals (Pub.)	1	0.1%
3032	Teaching and Related Associate Professionals (Priv.)	6	0.5%
3041	Fin. and Business Services Associate Professionals (Pub.)	0	
3042	Fin. and Business Services Associate Professionals (Priv.)	8	0.7%

j6a: J6a.Main occupation of R

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3051	Gov. Admin. Officials and Bus. Clerical Supervisors (Pub.)	0	
3052	Gov. Admin. Officials and Bus. Clerical Supervisors (Priv.)	2	0.2%
3061	Administrative Associate Professionals (Pub.)	4	0.3%
3062	Administrative Associate Professionals (Priv.)	8	0.7%
3071	Customs, Tax and Related Gov. Associate Professionals (Pub.)	1	0.1%
3072	Customs, Tax and Related Gov. Associate Professionals (Priv.)	0	
3091	Other Associate Professionals (Pub.)	1	0.1%
3092	Other Associate Professionals (Priv.)	11	0.9%
4011	Office Clerks (Pub.)	20	1.7%
4012	Office Clerks (Priv.)	38	3.2%
4021	Customer Services Clerks (Pub.)	0	
4022	Customer Services Clerks (Priv.)	15	1.3%
5011	Personal Services Workers (Pub.)	1	0.1%
5012	Personal Services Workers (Priv.)	34	2.9%
5021	Protective Services Workers (Pub.)	4	0.3%
5022	Protective Services Workers (Priv.)	9	0.8%
5031	Models, Salespersons and Demonstrators (Pub.)	0	
5032	Models, Salespersons and Demonstrators (Priv.)	45	3.8%
5042	Religious worker	0	
6011	Agr., Animal Husbandry, Forestry and Fishing Workers (Pub.)	2	0.2%
6012	Agr., Animal Husbandry, Forestry and Fishing Workers (Priv.)	24	2.0%
7011	Extraction and Building Trades Workers (Pub.)	0	
7012	Extraction and Building Trades Workers (Priv.)	11	0.9%
7021	Metal, Machinery and Rel. Trades Workers (Pub.)	0	
7022	Metal, Machinery and Rel. Trades Workers (Priv.)	18	1.5%
7031	Precision, Handicraft, Print. and Rel. Trades Workers (Pub.)	0	
7032	Precision, Handicraft, Print. and Rel. Trades Workers (Priv.)	19	1.6%
7091	Other Craft and Rel. Trades Workers (Pub.)	1	0.1%
7092	Other Craft and Rel. Trades Workers (Priv.)	36	3.0%
8011	Stationary-plant and Rel. Operators (Pub.)	0	
8012	Stationary-plant and Rel. Operators (Priv.)	3	0.3%
8021	Machine Operators (Pub.)	0	
8022	Machine Operators (Priv.)	5	0.4%
8031	Assemblers (Pub.)	0	
8032	Assemblers (Priv.)	27	2.3%
8041	Drivers and Mobile-plant Operators (Pub.)	3	0.3%
8042	Drivers and Mobile-plant Operators (Priv.)	23	1.9%
9011	Sales and Services Elementary Occupations (Pub.)	1	0.1%
9012	Sales and Services Elementary Occupations (Priv.)	30	2.5%
9021	Production Laborers and Rel. Workers (Pub.)	1	0.1%
9022	Production Laborers and Rel. Workers (Priv.)	23	1.9%
9091	Other Elementary Occupations (Pub.)	2	0.2%
9092	Other Elementary Occupations (Priv.)	20	1.7%
9996	Skipped	308	26.0%
9998	Refuse to answer	8	0.7%

j6a: J6a.Main occupation of R*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.***# j6b: J6b.Main occupation of R before retirement or unemployment****Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-9998] [Missing=*]**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=1186 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	Servicemen	4	0.3%
20	Students	0	
31	housekeeping, without domestic crafting	0	
32	housekeeping, with domestic crafting	0	
33	housekeeping, helping familial business without pay	0	
34	housekeeping, helping familial business with pay	0	
40	never work before	6	0.5%
50	Unemployment	0	
60	Retired	0	
1010	Legislators and Government Administrators	2	0.2%
1021	Directors and Chief Executives (Pub.)	1	0.1%
1022	Directors and Chief Executives (Priv.)	30	2.5%
1031	Production and Operations Managers (Pub.)	1	0.1%
1032	Production and Operations Managers (Priv.)	4	0.3%
1091	Other Managers (Pub.)	0	
1092	Other Managers (Priv.)	10	0.8%
2011	Phys., Math. and Eng. Science Professionals (Pub.)	0	
2012	Phys., Math. and Eng. Science Professionals (Priv.)	1	0.1%
2021	Biol. Science and Health Professionals (Pub.)	0	
2022	Biol. Science and Health Professionals (Priv.)	0	
2031	Teachers (Pub.)	7	0.6%
2032	Teachers (Priv.)	3	0.3%
2041	Accountants and Business Professionals (Pub.)	2	0.2%
2042	Accountants and Business Professionals (Priv.)	2	0.2%
2051	Lawyers and Legal Professionals (Pub.)	0	
2052	Lawyers and Legal Professionals (Priv.)	0	
2061	Social Science and Related Professionals (Pub.)	0	
2062	Social Science and Related Professionals (Priv.)	0	
2091	Other Professionals (Pub.)	1	0.1%
2092	Other Professionals (Priv.)	5	0.4%
3011	Phys. and Eng. Science Associate Professionals (Pub.)	0	
3012	Phys. and Eng. Science Associate Professionals (Priv.)	0	
3021	Biol. Science and Health Associate Professionals (Pub.)	0	
3022	Biol. Science and Health Associate Professionals (Priv.)	0	
3031	Teaching and Related Associate Professionals (Pub.)	0	
3032	Teaching and Related Associate Professionals (Priv.)	1	0.1%
3041	Fin. and Business Services Associate Professionals (Pub.)	0	
3042	Fin. and Business Services Associate Professionals (Priv.)	3	0.3%
3051	Gov. Admin. Officials and Bus. Clerical Supervisors (Pub.)	0	
3052	Gov. Admin. Officials and Bus. Clerical Supervisors (Priv.)	2	0.2%

j6b: J6b.Main occupation of R before retirement or unemployment

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3061	Administrative Associate Professionals (Pub.)	0	
3062	Administrative Associate Professionals (Priv.)	3	0.3%
3071	Customs, Tax and Related Gov. Associate Professionals (Pub.)	0	
3072	Customs, Tax and Related Gov. Associate Professionals (Priv.)	0	
3091	Other Associate Professionals (Pub.)	1	0.1%
3092	Other Associate Professionals (Pub.)	0	
4011	Office Clerks (Pub.)	3	0.3%
4012	Office Clerks (Priv.)	13	1.1%
4021	Customer Services Clerks (Pub.)	0	
4022	Customer Services Clerks (Priv.)	2	0.2%
5011	Personal Services Workers (Pub.)	0	
5012	Personal Services Workers (Priv.)	10	0.8%
5021	Protective Services Workers (Pub.)	3	0.3%
5022	Protective Services Workers (Priv.)	3	0.3%
5031	Models, Salespersons and Demonstrators (Pub.)	1	0.1%
5032	Models, Salespersons and Demonstrators (Priv.)	6	0.5%
5042	Religious worker	0	
6011	Agr., Animal Husbandry, Forestry and Fishing Workers (Pub.)	2	0.2%
6012	Agr., Animal Husbandry, Forestry and Fishing Workers (Priv.)	21	1.8%
7011	Extraction and Building Trades Workers (Pub.)	0	
7012	Extraction and Building Trades Workers (Priv.)	6	0.5%
7021	Metal, Machinery and Rel. Trades Workers (Pub.)	3	0.3%
7022	Metal, Machinery and Rel. Trades Workers (Priv.)	3	0.3%
7031	Precision, Handicraft, Print. and Rel. Trades Workers (Pub.)	0	
7032	Precision, Handicraft, Print. and Rel. Trades Workers (Priv.)	9	0.8%
7091	Other Craft and Rel. Trades Workers (Pub.)	1	0.1%
7092	Other Craft and Rel. Trades Workers (Priv.)	10	0.8%
8011	Stationary-plant and Rel. Operators (Pub.)	1	0.1%
8012	Stationary-plant and Rel. Operators (Priv.)	1	0.1%
8021	Machine Operators (Pub.)	0	
8022	Machine Operators (Priv.)	0	
8031	Assemblers (Pub.)	0	
8032	Assemblers (Priv.)	10	0.8%
8041	Drivers and Mobile-plant Operators (Pub.)	0	
8042	Drivers and Mobile-plant Operators (Priv.)	10	0.8%
9011	Sales and Services Elementary Occupations (Pub.)	1	0.1%
9012	Sales and Services Elementary Occupations (Priv.)	12	1.0%
9021	Production Laborers and Rel. Workers (Pub.)	1	0.1%
9022	Production Laborers and Rel. Workers (Priv.)	3	0.3%
9091	Other Elementary Occupations (Pub.)	2	0.2%
9092	Other Elementary Occupations (Priv.)	10	0.8%
9996	Skipped	935	78.8%
9998	Refuse to answer	15	1.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# j7: J7.Marital status			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1186 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Married or live as married	757	63.8%
2	Separated	3	0.3%
3	Widowed	84	7.1%
4	Cohabit without legally marrying	4	0.3%
5	Divorced [skip to J10]	38	3.2%
6	Single [skip to J10]	297	25.0%
98	Refuse to answer [skip to J10]	3	0.3%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# j8: J8.Spouse's ethnic background			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1186 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Taiwanese Hakka	100	8.4%
2	Taiwanese Min-Nan	648	54.6%
3	Mainlander	71	6.0%
4	Aboriginal	6	0.5%
5	Recent mainland immigrant	6	0.5%
6	Recent foreign immigrant	9	0.8%
96	Skipped	338	28.5%
97	Don't know	6	0.5%
98	Refuse to answer	2	0.2%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# j9: J9.Current employment status of R's spouse			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1186 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Employed full time (32 hours or more a week)	414	34.9%
2	Employed part time (15 to 32 hours a week)	20	1.7%
3	Employed less than part time (less than 15 hours a week)	13	1.1%
4	Help out at home	28	2.4%
5	Currently looking for employment [skip to J9b]	7	0.6%
6	Student or currently in job training [skip to J10]	0	
7	Retired [skip to J9b]	145	12.2%
8	Home duties	120	10.1%
9	Disabled or unable to work [skip to J10]	8	0.7%
10	Dead [skip to J9b]	84	7.1%
11	Unemployee [skip to J10]	1	0.1%
96	Skipped	338	28.5%
98	Refuse to answer [skip to J10]	8	0.7%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

j9a: J9a.Main occupation of R's spouse

Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-9998] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1186 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	Servicemen	5	0.4%
20	Students	0	
31	housekeeping, without domestic crafting	108	9.1%
32	housekeeping, with domestic crafting	5	0.4%
33	housekeeping, helping familial business without pay	10	0.8%
34	housekeeping, helping familial business with pay	7	0.6%
40	never work before	0	
50	Unemployment [continue to J9b]	0	
60	Retired [continue to J9b]	0	
1010	Legislators and Government Administrators	3	0.3%
1021	Directors and Chief Executives (Pub.)	0	
1022	Directors and Chief Executives (Priv.)	70	5.9%
1031	Production and Operations Managers (Pub.)	1	0.1%
1032	Production and Operations Managers (Priv.)	8	0.7%
1091	Other Managers (Pub.)	0	
1092	Other Managers (Priv.)	20	1.7%
2011	Phys., Math. and Eng. Science Professionals (Pub.)	3	0.3%
2012	Phys., Math. and Eng. Science Professionals (Priv.)	7	0.6%
2021	Biol. Science and Health Professionals (Pub.)	2	0.2%
2022	Biol. Science and Health Professionals (Priv.)	6	0.5%
2031	Teachers (Pub.)	8	0.7%
2032	Teachers (Priv.)	7	0.6%
2041	Accountants and Business Professionals (Pub.)	0	
2042	Accountants and Business Professionals (Priv.)	8	0.7%
2051	Lawyers and Legal Professionals (Pub.)	0	
2052	Lawyers and Legal Professionals (Priv.)	1	0.1%
2061	Social Science and Related Professionals (Pub.)	0	
2062	Social Science and Related Professionals (Priv.)	0	
2091	Other Professionals (Pub.)	2	0.2%
2092	Other Professionals (Priv.)	15	1.3%
3011	Phys. and Eng. Science Associate Professionals (Pub.)	0	
3012	Phys. and Eng. Science Associate Professionals (Priv.)	5	0.4%
3021	Biol. Science and Health Associate Professionals (Pub.)	1	0.1%
3022	Biol. Science and Health Associate Professionals (Priv.)	3	0.3%
3031	Teaching and Related Associate Professionals (Pub.)	1	0.1%
3032	Teaching and Related Associate Professionals (Priv.)	2	0.2%
3041	Fin. and Business Services Associate Professionals (Pub.)	1	0.1%
3042	Fin. and Business Services Associate Professionals (Priv.)	3	0.3%
3051	Gov. Admin. Officials and Bus. Clerical Supervisors (Pub.)	0	
3052	Gov. Admin. Officials and Bus. Clerical Supervisors (Priv.)	2	0.2%
3061	Administrative Associate Professionals (Pub.)	3	0.3%
3062	Administrative Associate Professionals (Priv.)	6	0.5%
3071	Customs, Tax and Related Gov. Associate Professionals (Pub.)	1	0.1%

j9a: J9a.Main occupation of R's spouse

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3072	Customs, Tax and Related Gov. Associate Professionals (Priv.)	1	0.1%
3091	Other Associate Professionals (Pub.)	0	
3092	Other Associate Professionals (Pub.)	5	0.4%
4011	Office Clerks (Pub.)	14	1.2%
4012	Office Clerks (Priv.)	30	2.5%
4021	Customer Services Clerks (Pub.)	0	
4022	Customer Services Clerks (Priv.)	5	0.4%
5011	Personal Services Workers (Pub.)	2	0.2%
5012	Personal Services Workers (Priv.)	21	1.8%
5021	Protective Services Workers (Pub.)	1	0.1%
5022	Protective Services Workers (Priv.)	3	0.3%
5031	Models, Salespersons and Demonstrators (Pub.)	0	
5032	Models, Salespersons and Demonstrators (Priv.)	32	2.7%
5042	Religious worker	3	0.3%
6011	Agr., Animal Husbandry, Forestry and Fishing Workers (Pub.)	2	0.2%
6012	Agr., Animal Husbandry, Forestry and Fishing Workers (Priv.)	19	1.6%
7011	Extraction and Building Trades Workers (Pub.)	0	
7012	Extraction and Building Trades Workers (Priv.)	4	0.3%
7021	Metal, Machinery and Rel. Trades Workers (Pub.)	2	0.2%
7022	Metal, Machinery and Rel. Trades Workers (Priv.)	12	1.0%
7031	Precision, Handicraft, Print. and Rel. Trades Workers (Pub.)	0	
7032	Precision, Handicraft, Print. and Rel. Trades Workers (Priv.)	12	1.0%
7091	Other Craft and Rel. Trades Workers (Pub.)	0	
7092	Other Craft and Rel. Trades Workers (Priv.)	19	1.6%
8011	Stationary-plant and Rel. Operators (Pub.)	0	
8012	Stationary-plant and Rel. Operators (Priv.)	3	0.3%
8021	Machine Operators (Pub.)	0	
8022	Machine Operators (Priv.)	1	0.1%
8031	Assemblers (Pub.)	0	
8032	Assemblers (Priv.)	17	1.4%
8041	Drivers and Mobile-plant Operators (Pub.)	0	
8042	Drivers and Mobile-plant Operators (Priv.)	17	1.4%
9011	Sales and Services Elementary Occupations (Pub.)	1	0.1%
9012	Sales and Services Elementary Occupations (Priv.)	15	1.3%
9021	Production Laborers and Rel. Workers (Pub.)	1	0.1%
9022	Production Laborers and Rel. Workers (Priv.)	11	0.9%
9091	Other Elementary Occupations (Pub.)	1	0.1%
9092	Other Elementary Occupations (Priv.)	13	1.1%
9996	Skipped	591	49.8%
9998	Refuse to answer	4	0.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

j9b: J9b.Main occupation of R's spouse before his/her retirement or unemployment

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-9998] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1186 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

j9b: J9b.Main occupation of R's spouse before his/her retirement or unemployment

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	Servicemen	9	0.8%
20	Students	0	
31	housekeeping, without domestic crafting	4	0.3%
32	housekeeping, with domestic crafting	1	0.1%
33	housekeeping, helping familial business without pay	0	
34	housekeeping, helping familial business with pay	0	
40	never work before	0	
50	Unemployment	0	
60	Retired	0	
1010	Legislators and Government Administrators	1	0.1%
1021	Directors and Chief Executives (Pub.)	3	0.3%
1022	Directors and Chief Executives (Priv.)	28	2.4%
1031	Production and Operations Managers (Pub.)	0	
1032	Production and Operations Managers (Priv.)	3	0.3%
1091	Other Managers (Pub.)	1	0.1%
1092	Other Managers (Priv.)	3	0.3%
2011	Phys., Math. and Eng. Science Professionals (Pub.)	0	
2012	Phys., Math. and Eng. Science Professionals (Priv.)	3	0.3%
2021	Biol. Science and Health Professionals (Pub.)	0	
2022	Biol. Science and Health Professionals (Priv.)	1	0.1%
2031	Teachers (Pub.)	10	0.8%
2032	Teachers (Priv.)	3	0.3%
2041	Accountants and Business Professionals (Pub.)	0	
2042	Accountants and Business Professionals (Priv.)	1	0.1%
2051	Lawyers and Legal Professionals (Pub.)	0	
2052	Lawyers and Legal Professionals (Priv.)	0	
2061	Social Science and Related Professionals (Pub.)	0	
2062	Social Science and Related Professionals (Priv.)	0	
2091	Other Professionals (Pub.)	5	0.4%
2092	Other Professionals (Priv.)	3	0.3%
3011	Phys. and Eng. Science Associate Professionals (Pub.)	0	
3012	Phys. and Eng. Science Associate Professionals (Priv.)	0	
3021	Biol. Science and Health Associate Professionals (Pub.)	0	
3022	Biol. Science and Health Associate Professionals (Priv.)	0	
3031	Teaching and Related Associate Professionals (Pub.)	0	
3032	Teaching and Related Associate Professionals (Priv.)	0	
3041	Fin. and Business Services Associate Professionals (Pub.)	1	0.1%
3042	Fin. and Business Services Associate Professionals (Priv.)	0	
3051	Gov. Admin. Officials and Bus. Clerical Supervisors (Pub.)	0	
3052	Gov. Admin. Officials and Bus. Clerical Supervisors (Priv.)	0	
3061	Administrative Associate Professionals (Pub.)	0	
3062	Administrative Associate Professionals (Priv.)	2	0.2%
3071	Customs, Tax and Related Gov. Associate Professionals (Pub.)	2	0.2%
3072	Customs, Tax and Related Gov. Associate Professionals (Priv.)	0	
3091	Other Associate Professionals (Pub.)	0	

j9b: J9b.Main occupation of R's spouse before his/her retirement or unemployment

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3092	Other Associate Professionals (Pub.)	1	0.1%
4011	Office Clerks (Pub.)	9	0.8%
4012	Office Clerks (Priv.)	11	0.9%
4021	Customer Services Clerks (Pub.)	1	0.1%
4022	Customer Services Clerks (Priv.)	0	
5011	Personal Services Workers (Pub.)	0	
5012	Personal Services Workers (Priv.)	4	0.3%
5021	Protective Services Workers (Pub.)	5	0.4%
5022	Protective Services Workers (Priv.)	2	0.2%
5031	Models, Salespersons and Demonstrators (Pub.)	0	
5032	Models, Salespersons and Demonstrators (Priv.)	4	0.3%
5042	Religious worker	2	0.2%
6011	Agr., Animal Husbandry, Forestry and Fishing Workers (Pub.)	0	
6012	Agr., Animal Husbandry, Forestry and Fishing Workers (Priv.)	24	2.0%
7011	Extraction and Building Trades Workers (Pub.)	0	
7012	Extraction and Building Trades Workers (Priv.)	6	0.5%
7021	Metal, Machinery and Rel. Trades Workers (Pub.)	1	0.1%
7022	Metal, Machinery and Rel. Trades Workers (Priv.)	7	0.6%
7031	Precision, Handicraft, Print. and Rel. Trades Workers (Pub.)	0	
7032	Precision, Handicraft, Print. and Rel. Trades Workers (Priv.)	4	0.3%
7091	Other Craft and Rel. Trades Workers (Pub.)	2	0.2%
7092	Other Craft and Rel. Trades Workers (Priv.)	6	0.5%
8011	Stationary-plant and Rel. Operators (Pub.)	0	
8012	Stationary-plant and Rel. Operators (Priv.)	1	0.1%
8021	Machine Operators (Pub.)	0	
8022	Machine Operators (Priv.)	1	0.1%
8031	Assemblers (Pub.)	0	
8032	Assemblers (Priv.)	3	0.3%
8041	Drivers and Mobile-plant Operators (Pub.)	0	
8042	Drivers and Mobile-plant Operators (Priv.)	8	0.7%
9011	Sales and Services Elementary Occupations (Pub.)	0	
9012	Sales and Services Elementary Occupations (Priv.)	8	0.7%
9021	Production Laborers and Rel. Workers (Pub.)	0	
9022	Production Laborers and Rel. Workers (Priv.)	14	1.2%
9091	Other Elementary Occupations (Pub.)	0	
9092	Other Elementary Occupations (Priv.)	7	0.6%
9996	Skipped	950	80.1%
9998	Refuse to answer	21	1.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

j10: J10.Language usually spoken at home

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1186 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Mandarin	368	31.0%

j10: J10.Language usually spoken at home

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Taiwanese	385	32.5%
3	Hakka	20	1.7%
4	Aboriginal language	0	
5	Other Chinese dialect	0	
6	Both Mandarin and Taiwanese	384	32.4%
7	Both Mandarin and Hakka	16	1.3%
8	Both Taiwanese and Hakka	2	0.2%
9	Both Mandarin and other Chinese dialect	2	0.2%
10	Both Mandarin and Japanese	1	0.1%
11	Mandarin, Taiwanese and Japanese	0	
12	Both Taiwanese and Japanese	0	
13	Both Mandarin and Aboriginal language	0	
14	Mandarin, Taiwanese and Hakka	6	0.5%
15	English	0	
16	Burmese	0	
17	Mandarin, Taiwanese and English	0	
18	Both Mandarin and English	0	
19	Both Mandarin and Vietnamese	1	0.1%
20	Mandarin, Taiwanese and Aboriginal language	1	0.1%
98	Refuse to answer	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

j11: J11.How many people are there living in this address? Including yourself and someone studying away from home

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1186 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		52	4.4%
2		162	13.7%
3		215	18.1%
4		298	25.1%
5		189	15.9%
6		127	10.7%
7		51	4.3%
8		38	3.2%
9		13	1.1%
10		14	1.2%
11		6	0.5%
12		1	0.1%
13		2	0.2%
14		2	0.2%
18		1	0.1%
98	Refuse to answer [skip to J12]	15	1.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

j11a: J11a.Then how many of these studying in the elementary, junior high, or senior high school?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1186 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		823	69.4%
1		189	15.9%
2		122	10.3%
3		26	2.2%
4		5	0.4%
5		3	0.3%
6		1	0.1%
7		1	0.1%
8		1	0.1%
96	Skipped	15	1.3%
98	Refuse to answer	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

j12: J12.For these living with you, how many people are there over the age of 20 and not registered at this address? (Must have the Republic of China nationality)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1186 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		969	81.7%
1		126	10.6%
2		45	3.8%
3		12	1.0%
4		9	0.8%
5		3	0.3%
6		1	0.1%
7		2	0.2%
8		2	0.2%
10		1	0.1%
12		1	0.1%
98	Refuse to answer	15	1.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

j13: J13.How many people are there over the age of 20, registered but not lived of this address?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1186 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		904	76.2%
1		146	12.3%
2		69	5.8%
3		22	1.9%
4		19	1.6%
5		4	0.3%
7		1	0.1%

j13: J13.How many people are there over the age of 20, registered but not lived of this address?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8		1	0.1%
9		1	0.1%
10		2	0.2%
11		1	0.1%
98	Refuse to answer	16	1.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

j14m01: J14.Have you or any member of your family made investments in Mainland China?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1186 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	1161	97.9%
1	Yes	22	1.9%
97	Don't know	1	0.1%
98	Refuse to answer	2	0.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

j14m02: J14.Have you or any member of your family conducted business in Mainland China?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1186 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	1145	96.5%
1	Yes	38	3.2%
97	Don't know	1	0.1%
98	Refuse to answer	2	0.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

j14m03: J14.Have you or any member of your family held employment in Mainland China?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1186 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	1125	94.9%
1	Yes	58	4.9%
97	Don't know	1	0.1%
98	Refuse to answer	2	0.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

j14m04: J14.Have you or any member of your family settled down in Mainland China?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1186 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	1167	98.4%
1	Yes	16	1.3%
97	Don't know	1	0.1%
98	Refuse to answer	2	0.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# j14m05: J14.Have you or any member of your family studied in Mainland China?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1186 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	1174	99.0%
1	Yes	9	0.8%
97	Don't know	1	0.1%
98	Refuse to answer	2	0.2%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# j15: J15.If we divide social class to upper, upper middle, middle, lower middle and lower, which do you think you are?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1186 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Upper	2	0.2%
2	Upper middle	71	6.0%
3	Middle	590	49.7%
4	Lower middle	336	28.3%
5	Lower	133	11.2%
94	It depends	0	
95	No opinion	3	0.3%
97	Don't know	44	3.7%
98	Refuse to answer	7	0.6%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# j16: J16.Monthly household income			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1186 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Under 28,000	181	15.3%
2	28,001-39,000	86	7.3%
3	39,001-49,000	82	6.9%
4	49,001-59,000	91	7.7%
5	59,001-70,000	100	8.4%
6	70,001-80,000	80	6.7%
7	80,001-94,000	80	6.7%
8	94,001-111,000	90	7.6%
9	111,001-143,000	66	5.6%
10	Over 143,001	86	7.3%
94	It depends	33	2.8%
97	Don't know	117	9.9%
98	Refuse to answer	94	7.9%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# j17: J17.Who owns this house that you live in?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1186 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		

j17: J17.Who owns this house that you live in?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	R or R's spouse	675	56.9%
2	Renting	87	7.3%
3	Borrowing	9	0.8%
4	Student dormitory	0	
5	Staff dormitory	10	0.8%
6	Other relative	396	33.4%
7	Shared with relatives	0	
97	Don't know	3	0.3%
98	Refuse to answer	6	0.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

j18: J18.Do you have driver's license?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1186 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	854	72.0%
2	No	331	27.9%
98	Refuse to answer	1	0.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

j19: J19.Are you a resident of where your household is registered?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1186 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes [skip to J20]	1097	92.5%
2	No [continue to J19a]	89	7.5%
89	Not applicable (Address Sampling Interview) [skip to J20]	0	
98	Refuse to answer [skip to J20]	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

j19a: J19a.In which county/city and which township do you live?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 2-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1186 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Yi Lan County	4	0.3%
4	Hsinchu County	2	0.2%
5	Miaoli County	6	0.5%
7	Changhua County	8	0.7%
8	Nantou County	0	
9	Yunlin County	4	0.3%
10	Chiayi County	0	
13	Pingtung City	0	
14	Taitung County	0	
15	Hualien County	2	0.2%
16	Penghu County	0	
17	Keelung City	0	

j19a: J19a.In which county/city and which township do you live?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
18	Hsinchu City	1	0.1%
20	Chiayi City	2	0.2%
28	Kinmen County	0	
30	Lienchiang County	0	
63	Taipei City	15	1.3%
64	Kaohsiung City	7	0.6%
65	New Taipei City	12	1.0%
66	Taichung City	9	0.8%
67	Tainan City	8	0.7%
68	Taoyuan County	8	0.7%
96	Skipped	1097	92.5%
98	Refuse to answer	1	0.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

j19a02: J19a02.Which township or city in Yi Lan County?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1186 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yilan City	0	
2	Luodong Township	0	
3	Suao Township	0	
4	Toucheng Township	0	
5	Jiaoxi Township	0	
6	Zhuangwei Township	0	
7	Yuanshan Township	0	
8	Dongshan Township	1	0.1%
9	Wujie Township	2	0.2%
10	Sanxing Township	1	0.1%
11	Datong Township	0	
12	Nanao Township	0	
96	Skipped	1182	99.7%
97	Don't know	0	
98	Refuse to answer	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

j19a04: J19a04.Which township or city in Hsinchu County?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1186 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Zhubei City	0	
2	Zhudong Township	1	0.1%
3	Xinpu Township	0	
4	Guanxi Township	0	
5	Hukou Township	1	0.1%
6	Xinfeng Township	0	
7	Qionglin Township	0	

j19a04: J19a04.Which township or city in Hsinchu County?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8	Hengshan Township	0	
9	Beipu Township	0	
10	Baoshan Township	0	
11	Emei Township	0	
12	Jianshi Township	0	
13	Wufeng Township	0	
96	Skipped	1184	99.8%
97	Don't know	0	
98	Refuse to answer	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

j19a05: J19a05.Which township or city in Miaoli County?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1186 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Miaoli City	3	0.3%
2	Yuanli Township	0	
3	Tongxiao Township	0	
4	Zhunan Township	1	0.1%
5	Toufen City	1	0.1%
6	Houlong Township	0	
7	Zhuolan Township	0	
8	Dahu Township	0	
9	Gongguan Township	0	
10	Tongluo Township	0	
11	Nanzhuang Township	0	
12	Touwu Township	0	
13	Sanyi Township	0	
14	Xihu Township	0	
15	Zaoqiao Township	1	0.1%
16	Sanwan Township	0	
17	Shitan Township	0	
18	Taian Township	0	
96	Skipped	1180	99.5%
97	Don't know	0	
98	Refuse to answer	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

j19a07: J19a07.Which township or city in Changhua County?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1186 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Changhua City	0	
2	Lukang Township	3	0.3%
3	Hemei Township	0	
4	Xianxi Township	0	

j19a07: J19a07.Which township or city in Changhua County?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	Shengang Township	0	
6	Fuxing Township	0	
7	Xiushui Township	0	
8	Huatan Township	0	
9	Fenyuan Township	3	0.3%
10	Yuanlin City	1	0.1%
11	Xihu Township	0	
12	Tianzhong Township	0	
13	Dacun Township	0	
14	Puyan Township	0	
15	Puxin Township	1	0.1%
16	Yongjing Township	0	
17	Shetou Township	0	
18	Ershui Township	0	
19	Beidou Township	0	
20	Erlin Township	0	
21	Tianwei Township	0	
22	Pitou Township	0	
23	Fangyuan Township	0	
24	Dacheng Township	0	
25	Zhutang Township	0	
26	Xizhou Township	0	
96	Skipped	1178	99.3%
97	Don't know	0	
98	Refuse to answer	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

j19a08: J19a08.Which township or city in Nantou County?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1186 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Nantou City	0	
2	Puli Township	0	
3	Caotun Township	0	
4	Zhushan Township	0	
5	Jiji Township	0	
6	Mingjian Township	0	
7	Lugu Township	0	
8	Zhongliao Township	0	
9	Yuchi Township	0	
10	Guoxing Township	0	
11	Shuili Township	0	
12	Xinyi Township	0	
13	Renai Township	0	
96	Skipped	1186	100.0%

j19a08: J19a08.Which township or city in Nantou County?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	Don't know	0	
98	Refuse to answer	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

j19a09: J19a09.Which township or city in Yunlin County?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1186 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Douliu City	1	0.1%
2	Dounan Township	0	
3	Huwei Township	0	
4	Xiluo Township	0	
5	Tuku Township	0	
6	Beigang Township	0	
7	Gukeng Township	0	
8	Dapi Township	0	
9	Citong Township	0	
10	Linnei Township	0	
11	Erlun Township	0	
12	Lunbei Township	3	0.3%
13	Mailiao Township	0	
14	Dongshi Township	0	
15	Baozhong Township	0	
16	Taixi Township	0	
17	Yuanchang Township	0	
18	Sihu Township	0	
19	Kouhu Township	0	
96	Skipped	1182	99.7%
97	Don't know	0	
98	Refuse to answer	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

j19a10: J19a10.Which township or city in Chiayi County?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1186 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Taibao City	0	
2	Puzi City	0	
3	Budai Township	0	
4	Dalin Township	0	
5	Minxiong Township	0	
6	Xikou Township	0	
7	Xingang Township	0	
8	Liujiao Township	0	
9	Dongshi Township	0	
10	Yizhu Township	0	

j19a10: J19a10.Which township or city in Chiayi County?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
11	Lucao Township	0	
12	Shuishang Township	0	
13	Zhongpu Township	0	
14	Zhuqi Township	0	
15	Meishan Township	0	
16	Fanlu Township	0	
17	Dapu Township	0	
18	Alishan Township	0	
96	Skipped	1186	100.0%
97	Don't know	0	
98	Refuse to answer	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

j19a13: J19a13.Which township or city in Pingtung County?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1186 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Pingtung City	0	
2	Chaozhou Township	0	
3	Donggang Township	0	
4	Hengchun Township	0	
5	Wandan Township	0	
6	Changzhi Township	0	
7	Linluo Township	0	
8	Jiuru Township	0	
9	Ligang Township	0	
10	Yanpu Township	0	
11	Gaoshu Township	0	
12	Wanluan Township	0	
13	Neipu Township	0	
14	Zhutian Township	0	
15	Xinpi Township	0	
16	Fangliao Township	0	
17	Xinyuan Township	0	
18	Kanding Township	0	
19	Linbian Township	0	
20	NanzhouTownship	0	
21	Jiadong Township	0	
22	Liuqiu Township	0	
23	Checheng Township	0	
24	Manzhou Township	0	
25	FangshanTownship	0	
26	Sandimen Township	0	
27	Wutai Township	0	
28	Majia Township	0	

j19a13: J19a13.Which township or city in Pingtung County?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
29	Taiwu Township	0	
30	Laiyi Township	0	
31	Chunri Township	0	
32	Shizi Township	0	
33	Mudan Township	0	
96	Skipped	1186	100.0%
97	Don't know	0	
98	Refuse to answer	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

j19a14: J19a14.Which township or city in Taitung County?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1186 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Taitung City	0	
2	Chenggong Township	0	
3	Guanshan Township	0	
4	Beinan Township	0	
5	Luye Township	0	
6	Chishang Township	0	
7	Donghe Township	0	
8	Changbin Township	0	
9	Taimali Township	0	
10	Dawu Township	0	
11	Ludao Township	0	
12	Haiduan Township	0	
13	Yanping Township	0	
14	Jinfeng Township	0	
15	Daren Township	0	
16	Lanyu Township	0	
96	Skipped	1186	100.0%
97	Don't know	0	
98	Refuse to answer	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

j19a15: J19a15.Which township or city in Hualien County?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1186 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Hualien City	2	0.2%
2	Fenglin Township	0	
3	Yuli Township	0	
4	Xincheng Township	0	
5	Jian Township	0	
6	Shoufeng Township	0	
7	Guangfu Township	0	

j19a15: J19a15.Which township or city in Hualien County?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8	Fengbin Township	0	
9	Ruisui Township	0	
10	Fuli Township	0	
11	Xiulin Township	0	
12	Wanrong Township	0	
13	Zhuoxi Township	0	
96	Skipped	1184	99.8%
97	Don't know	0	
98	Refuse to answer	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

j19a16: J19a16.Which township or city in Penghu County?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1186 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Magong City	0	
2	Huxi Township	0	
3	Baisha Township	0	
4	Xiyu Township	0	
5	Wangan Township	0	
6	Qimei Township	0	
96	Skipped	1186	100.0%
97	Don't know	0	
98	Refuse to answer	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

j19a17: J19a17.Which district in Keelung City?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1186 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Zhongzheng District	0	
2	Qidu District	0	
3	Nuannuan District	0	
4	Renai District	0	
5	Zhongshan District	0	
6	Anle District	0	
7	Xinyi District	0	
96	Skipped	1186	100.0%
97	Don't know	0	
98	Refuse to answer	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

j19a18: J19a18.Which district in Hsinchu City?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1186 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

j19a18: J19a18.Which district in Hsinchu City?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	East District	1	0.1%
2	North District	0	
3	XiangshanDistrict	0	
96	Skipped	1185	99.9%
97	Don't know	0	
98	Refuse to answer	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

j19a20: J19a20.Which district in Chiayi City?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1186 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	East District	0	
2	West District	2	0.2%
96	Skipped	1184	99.8%
97	Don't know	0	
98	Refuse to answer	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

j19a63: J19a63.Which district in Taipei City?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1186 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Songshan District	2	0.2%
2	Xinyi District	2	0.2%
3	Daan District	2	0.2%
4	Zhongshan District	2	0.2%
5	Zhongzheng District	1	0.1%
6	Datong District	0	
7	Wanhua District	0	
8	Wenshan District	1	0.1%
9	Nangang District	3	0.3%
10	Neihu District	1	0.1%
11	Shilin District	0	
12	Beitou District	1	0.1%
96	Skipped	1171	98.7%
97	Don't know	0	
98	Refuse to answer	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

j19a64: J19a64.Which district in Kaohsiung City?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1186 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yancheng District	0	
2	Gushan District	0	
3	Zuoying District	0	
4	Nanzi District	0	
5	Sanmin District	1	0.1%
6	Xinxing District	0	
7	Qianjin District	0	
8	Lingya District	1	0.1%
9	Qianzhen District	0	
10	Qijin District	0	
11	Xiaogang District	0	

j19a64: J19a64.Which district in Kaohsiung City?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
12	Fengshan District	1	0.1%
13	Linyuan District	0	
14	Daliao District	0	
15	Dashu District	0	
16	Dashe District	0	
17	Renwu District	0	
18	Niaosong District	0	
19	Gangshan District	0	
20	Qiaotou District	1	0.1%
21	Yanchao District	0	
22	Tianliao District	0	
23	Alian District	0	
24	Luzhu District	0	
25	Hunei District	0	
26	Qieding District	0	
27	Yongan District	0	
28	Mituo District	0	
29	Ziguan District	0	
30	Qishan District	3	0.3%
31	Meinong District	0	
32	Liugui District	0	
33	Jiaxian District	0	
34	Shanlin District	0	
35	Neimen District	0	
36	Maolin District	0	
37	Taoyuan District	0	
38	Namaxia District	0	
96	Skipped	1179	99.4%
97	Don't know	0	
98	Refuse to answer	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

j19a65: J19a65.Which district in New Taipei City?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1186 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Banqiao District	2	0.2%
2	Sanchong District	1	0.1%
3	Zhonghe District	2	0.2%
4	Yonghe District	0	
5	Xinzhuang District	1	0.1%
6	Xindian District	2	0.2%
7	Shulin District	0	
8	Yingge District	0	
9	Sanxia District	1	0.1%

j19a65: J19a65.Which district in New Taipei City?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	Tamsui District	0	
11	Xizhi District	0	
12	Ruifang District	0	
13	Tucheng District	2	0.2%
14	Luzhou District	0	
15	Wugu District	0	
16	Taishan District	0	
17	Linkou District	1	0.1%
18	Shenkeng District	0	
19	Shiding District	0	
20	Pinglin District	0	
21	Sanzhi District	0	
22	Shimen District	0	
23	Bali District	0	
24	Pingxi District	0	
25	Shuangxi District	0	
26	Gongliao District	0	
27	Jinshan District	0	
28	Wanli District	0	
29	Wulai District	0	
96	Skipped	1174	99.0%
97	Don't know	0	
98	Refuse to answer	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

j19a66: J19a66.Which district in Taichung City?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1186 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Central District	0	
2	East District	0	
3	South District	0	
4	West District	1	0.1%
5	North District	1	0.1%
6	Xitun District	2	0.2%
7	Nantun District	1	0.1%
8	Beitun District	2	0.2%
9	Fengyuan District	0	
10	Dongshi District	0	
11	Dajia District	0	
12	Qingshui District	0	
13	Shalu District	0	
14	Wuqi District	0	
15	Houli District	1	0.1%
16	Shengang District	0	

j19a66: J19a66.Which district in Taichung City?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
17	Tanzi District	0	
18	Daya District	0	
19	Xinshe District	0	
20	Shigang District	0	
21	Waipu District	0	
22	Daan District	0	
23	Wuri District	0	
24	Dadu District	0	
25	Longjing District	0	
26	Wufeng District	0	
27	Taiping District	0	
28	Dali District	1	0.1%
29	Heping District	0	
96	Skipped	1177	99.2%
97	Don't know	0	
98	Refuse to answer	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

j19a67: J19a67.Which district in Tainan City?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1186 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Xinying District	0	
2	Yanshui District	0	
3	Baihe District	2	0.2%
4	Liuying District	0	
5	Houbi District	0	
6	Dongshan District	1	0.1%
7	Madou District	0	
8	Xiaying District	0	
9	Liujia District	0	
10	Guantian District	0	
11	Danei District	0	
12	Jiali District	0	
13	Xuejia District	0	
14	Xigang District	0	
15	Qigu District	0	
16	Jiangjun District	0	
17	Beimen District	0	
18	Xinhua District	0	
19	Shanhua District	0	
20	Xinshi District	0	
21	Anding District	0	
22	Shanshang District	0	
23	Yujing District	0	

j19a67: J19a67.Which district in Tainan City?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
24	Nanxi District	0	
25	Nanhua District	0	
26	Zuozhen District	0	
27	Rende District	0	
28	Guiren District	1	0.1%
29	Guanmiao District	0	
30	Longqi District	0	
31	Yongkang District	2	0.2%
32	East District	0	
33	South District	0	
34	North District	1	0.1%
35	Annan District	0	
36	Anping District	1	0.1%
37	West Central District	0	
96	Skipped	1178	99.3%
97	Don't know	0	
98	Refuse to answer	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

j19a68: J19a68.Which district in Taoyuan City?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Taoyuan District	4	0.3%
2	Zhongli District	1	0.1%
3	Daxi District	0	
4	Yangmei District	0	
5	Luzhu District	0	
6	Dayuan District	0	
7	Guishan District	0	
8	Bade District	0	
9	Longtan District	0	
10	Pingzhen District	3	0.3%
11	Xinwu District	0	
12	Guanyin District	0	
13	Fuxing District	0	
96	Skipped	1178	99.3%
97	Don't know	0	
98	Refuse to answer	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

j20y: J20.How long do you live here? Years

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Refuse to answer	6	100.0%

j20y: J20.How long do you live here? Years

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

j20m: J20.How long do you live here? Months

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1186 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		958	80.8%
1		24	2.0%
2		13	1.1%
3		14	1.2%
4		14	1.2%
5		14	1.2%
6		57	4.8%
7		7	0.6%
8		11	0.9%
9		4	0.3%
10		7	0.6%
11		13	1.1%
96	Skipped	0	
98	Refuse to answer	50	4.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

j21g1: J21.Does the R leave his/her telephone number and mobile phone number to I? Main

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1186 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Telephone Number	1079	91.0%
98	Refuse to answer	107	9.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

j21g2: J21.Does the R leave his/her telephone number and mobile phone number to I? Other

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-96] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1186 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Telephone Number	70	5.9%
96	Skipped	1116	94.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

j22: J22.How many telephone lines does your household have? (only those used for voice communications)

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1186 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		100	8.4%
1		953	80.4%
2		105	8.9%
3		10	0.8%
4		5	0.4%

j22: J22.How many telephone lines does your household have? (only those used for voice communications)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5		3	0.3%
6		1	0.1%
98	Refuse to answer	9	0.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

j23: J23.We would like to know what is your habit of telephone use?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1186 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Only home phone [skip to J24]	119	10.0%
2	Mostly are home phones; A few are mobile phones	109	9.2%
3	Half half	129	10.9%
4	Mostly are mobile phones; A few are home phones	506	42.7%
5	Only mobile phone	294	24.8%
6	Do not use home phone and mobile phone [skip to J24]	23	1.9%
94	It depends	2	0.2%
95	No opinion	0	
97	Don't know	0	
98	Refuse to answer	4	0.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

j23a: J23a.How many cell-phone numbers do you usually use?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1186 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		983	82.9%
2		45	3.8%
3		7	0.6%
4		1	0.1%
96	Skipped	142	12.0%
97	Don't know	0	
98	Refuse to answer	8	0.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

j23b: J23b.Which telecom company does your mobile number belong to?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1186 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Chunghwa Telecom	495	41.7%
2	Taiwan Mobile	261	22.0%
3	FarEasTone (FET)	199	16.8%
4	T-star Telecom	34	2.9%
5	Asia Pacific Telecom	32	2.7%
96	Skipped	142	12.0%
97	Don't know	10	0.8%
98	Refuse to answer	13	1.1%

# j23b: J23b.Which telecom company does your mobile number belong to?			
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# j23c: J23c.Are there any mobile phone numbers transferred from home phone numbers?			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1186 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A few	38	3.2%
2	None	710	59.9%
96	Skipped	436	36.8%
98	Refuse to answer	2	0.2%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# j24: J24.Finally, our teacher is interested in the Internet usage in Taiwan, may you provide us your most frequently used e-mail?			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1186 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Email	328	27.7%
2	No	811	68.4%
98	Refuse to answer	47	4.0%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# j25: J25.R's gender			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1186 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Male	631	53.2%
2	Female	555	46.8%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# j26: J26.Language used to conduct interview			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1186 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Mandarin	852	71.8%
2	Taiwanese	109	9.2%
3	Hakka	5	0.4%
4	Mandarin and Taiwanese	209	17.6%
5	Mandarin and Hakka	11	0.9%
6	Taiwanese and Hakka	0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# sex: Sex			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1186 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Male	631	53.2%
2	Female	555	46.8%

# sex: Sex			
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# age: Age			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1186 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	20-29	185	15.6%
2	30-39	190	16.0%
3	40-49	229	19.3%
4	50-59	226	19.1%
5	Above 60	356	30.0%
9	Nonresponse	0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# edu: Education			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1186 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Below elementary school	203	17.1%
2	Junior high school	121	10.2%
3	Senior high school	292	24.6%
4	College	144	12.1%
5	Above university	424	35.8%
9	Nonresponse	2	0.2%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# arear: Area			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1186 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Taipei, New Taipei, Keelung and Yi Lan	374	31.5%
2	Taoyuan, Hsinchu and Miaoli	176	14.8%
3	Taichung, Changhua and Nantou	222	18.7%
4	Yunlin, Chiayi and Tainan	183	15.4%
5	Kaohsiung, Pingtung and Penghu	198	16.7%
6	Hualien, Taitung and Offshore Islands	33	2.8%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# career: Occupations5			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1186 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Hight-class WHITE COLLAR	403	34.0%
2	Low-class WHITE COLLAR	292	24.6%
3	FARMER	51	4.3%
4	WORKER	321	27.1%
5	Others	119	10.0%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# career8: Occupation8			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1186 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Civil servants	142	12.0%
2	Managers and Professionals (priv.)	252	21.2%
3	CLERKS (priv.)	235	19.8%
4	Labor (priv.)	289	24.4%
5	FARMER	45	3.8%
6	Student or currently in job training	42	3.5%
7	Housekeeping	135	11.4%
8	Others	46	3.9%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# ethnic: Ethnic			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1186 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Taiwanese	705	59.4%
2	Both	406	34.2%
3	Chinese	46	3.9%
9	Noresponse	29	2.4%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# party: Party Preference			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-26] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1186 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly support KMT	42	3.5%
2	Somewhat support KMT	93	7.8%
3	Lean to KMT	30	2.5%
4	Somewhat lean to KMT	102	8.6%
5	Strongly support DPP	75	6.3%
6	Somewhat support DPP	115	9.7%
7	Lean to the DPP	41	3.5%
8	Somewhat lean to DPP	103	8.7%
9	Strongly support NP	1	0.1%
10	Somewhat support NP	1	0.1%
11	Lean to the NP	1	0.1%
12	Somewhat lean to the NP	1	0.1%
13	Strongly support PFP	3	0.3%
14	Somewhat support PFP	4	0.3%
15	Lean to the PFP	2	0.2%
16	Somewhat lean to the PFP	7	0.6%
17	Strongly support TSU	1	0.1%
18	Somewhat support TSU	0	
19	Lean to the TSU	1	0.1%

# party: Party Preference			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
20	Somewhat lean to the TSU	0	
21	Strongly support NPP	4	0.3%
22	Somewhat support NPP	7	0.6%
23	Lean to NPP	7	0.6%
24	Somewhat lean to NPP	21	1.8%
25	Neutral and noresponse	514	43.3%
26	Other parties	10	0.8%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# partyid: Party Identification			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1186 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	KMT	267	22.5%
2	DPP	334	28.2%
3	NP	4	0.3%
4	PFP	16	1.3%
5	TSU	2	0.2%
6	NPP	39	3.3%
9	Others, neutral and nonresponse	524	44.2%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# tondu: Position on unification and independence			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1186 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Immediate unification	26	2.2%
2	Maintain the status quo, move toward unification	144	12.1%
3	Maintain the status quo, decide either unification or indepe	412	34.7%
4	Maintain the status quo forever	207	17.5%
5	Maintain the status quo, move toward independence	246	20.7%
6	Immediate independence	64	5.4%
9	Nonresponse	87	7.3%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# tondu3: 3 categories of TONDU			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1186 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Unification	170	14.3%
2	Maintain the status quo	619	52.2%
3	Independence	310	26.1%
9	Nonresponse	87	7.3%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# w: Weight			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0.534928924-2.355086167] [Missing=*]		

w: Weight

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1186 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0.3 /-]
---------------------------	--