

台灣 (Taiwan, ROC)

**Hung-kun Tsai**

**The National Well-being Indicators in R.O.C.(Taiwan),2014**

**Study Documentation**

January 12, 2016

# Metadata Production

<b>Metadata Producer(s)</b>	Survey Research Data Archive (Survey Research Data Archive(SRDA)) , Center for Survey Research, Research Center for Humanities Social Sciences, Academia Sinica, Taipei, Taiwan , DDI 文件製作
<b>Production Date</b>	January 12, 2016
<b>Version</b>	2.0版, 參考IHSN Nesstar Template修改
<b>Identification</b>	AA310002en

# Table of Contents

<a href="#">Overview</a>	4
<a href="#">Scope &amp; Coverage</a>	4
<a href="#">Producers &amp; Sponsors</a>	4
<a href="#">Sampling</a>	5
<a href="#">Data Collection</a>	5
<a href="#">Data Processing &amp; Appraisal</a>	5
<a href="#">Accessibility</a>	5
<a href="#">Files Description</a>	6
<a href="#">data2014</a>	6
<a href="#">Variables Group(s)</a>	7
<a href="#">Basic information</a>	7
<a href="#">Social connections</a>	8
<a href="#">Life satisfaction</a>	8
<a href="#">Civic engagement and governance</a>	10
<a href="#">Weighted related variables</a>	10
<a href="#">Variables Description</a>	12
<a href="#">data2014</a>	13

## The National Well-being Indicators in R.O.C.(Taiwan),2014

### *The National Well-being Indicators in R.O.C.(Taiwan),2014*

Overview	
<b>Type</b>	The National Well-being Indicators in R.O.C.( Taiwan)
<b>Identification</b>	AA310002en
<b>Version</b>	Production Date: 2016-01-11
<b>Abstract</b>	
<p>Individuals' well-being can be analyzed in terms of two major dimensions- subjective and objective dimensions. Studies that concentrate on the subjective dimension indicate that an individual's cognitive perception or satisfaction with different life domains deserve attention because such a perception reveals the subjective evaluation of the life experience. This project aims to understand national subjective well-being by collecting survey data on individuals' attitudes toward happiness, social connections, civil engagement, and governance. The associations of happiness with these important factors will be examined. Survey data will be used to construct subjective well-being indicators, with which objective indicators will be incorporated to reveal the full picture of the National Well-being Indicators.</p> <p>By using computer assisted telephone interviewing techniques, a representative sample aged 15 or older will be interviewed. The questionnaire includes items on social connections, civil engagement, and government as used in the previous year. Questions on happiness are drawn from the OECD's "Guidelines on Measuring Subjective Well-Being." Core questions and domain evaluation questions are included to measure cognitive perception and satisfaction with life in general and with different life domains. The results can be compared with other countries using the same measurement in order to obtain an international ranking.</p> <p>The results indicate that the relationship between age and subjective well-being is U shape, meaning that younger and older respondents have the highest level of subjective well-being, while those in the middle age have the lowest. In addition, social relations and trust in governance and democracy are positively associated with subjective well-being. Participation in political activities, however, is found to reduce respondents' well-being.</p>	
<b>Kind of Data</b>	抽樣調查資料 (Sample survey data)
<b>Unit of Analysis</b>	individuals

Scope & Coverage	
<b>Time Period(s)</b>	2014
<b>Countries</b>	台灣 (Taiwan, ROC)
<b>Geographic Coverage</b>	
Taiwan, Penghu County, Lianjiang County in Fujian Province and Kinmen County	
<b>Universe</b>	
People with aged 15 or older will be interviewed.	

Producers & Sponsors	
<b>Primary Investigator(s)</b>	Hung-kun Tsai
<b>Other Producer(s)</b>	Center for Survey Research (CSR) , Research Center for Humanities Social Sciences
<b>Funding Agency/ies</b>	Directorate-General of Budget, Accounting and Statistic (DGBAS)
<b>Other Acknowledgment(s)</b>	, Principal Investigator , Associate Research Fellow , Research Assistant , Supervisor

	, Senior Specialist , Specialist , Senior Specialist , Senior Specialist
--	---

## Sampling

### Sampling Procedure

Random Digit Dialing using Stratified Multi-Stage Probability Proportionate to Size (PPS), within household sampling.

## Data Collection

<b>Data Collection Dates</b>	start 2014-05-12 end 2014-06-10
------------------------------	------------------------------------

<b>Data Collection Mode</b>	Computer Assisted Telephone Interview (CATI)
-----------------------------	--

## Data Processing & Appraisal

### Data Editing

CSR has checked wild codes and out-of-range values, to validate and clean data. There is no doubt about data accuracy after the validation.

## Accessibility

<b>Contact(s)</b>	Survey Research Data Archive (Center for Survey Research, Research Center for Humanities Social Sciences, Academia Sinica, Taipei, Taiwan) , <a href="https://srda.sinica.edu.tw">https://srda.sinica.edu.tw</a> , <a href="mailto:srda@gate.sinica.edu.tw">srda@gate.sinica.edu.tw</a>
-------------------	---

<b>Distributor(s)</b>	Survey Research Data Archive
-----------------------	------------------------------

<b>Depositor(s)</b>	Directorate-General of Budget, Accounting and Statistic
---------------------	---

### Access Conditions

會員版(一般會員、院內會員)--申請審核通過後下載

# Files Description

Dataset contains 1 file(s)

<b>data2014</b>	
<b># Cases</b>	2605
<b># Variable(s)</b>	70

# Variables Group(s)

Dataset contains 5 group(s)

<b>Group Basic information</b>							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	v1	1 When were you born?	continuous	numeric-2.0	2605	0	-
2	v1a	1a How old are you this year?	discrete	numeric-1.0	2605	0	-
3	v38	38 The gender of respondent	discrete	numeric-1.0	2605	0	-
4	v39	39 What is your educational attainment?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2605	0	-
5	v40	40 What is your marital status, never married, married, divorced, separated, widowed or cohabited?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2605	0	-
6	vz0	z0 What city or county is the number from?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2605	0	-
7	vz1	z1 What district is it in Taipei City?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2605	0	-
8	vz2	z2 What district is it in New Taipei City?	continuous	numeric-2.0	2605	0	-
9	vz3	z3 What district is it in Keelung City?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2605	0	-
10	vz4	z4 What district is it in Taoyuan County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2605	0	-
11	vz5	z5 What district is it in Hsinchu County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2605	0	-
12	vz6	z6 What district is it in Hsinchu City?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2605	0	-
13	vz7	z7 What district is it in Miaoli County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2605	0	-
14	vz8	z8 What district is it in Taichung City?	continuous	numeric-2.0	2605	0	-
15	vz9	z9 What district is it in Changhua County?	continuous	numeric-2.0	2605	0	-
16	vz10	z10 What district is it in Nantou County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2605	0	-
17	vz11	z11 What district is it in Yunlin County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2605	0	-
18	vz12	z12 What district is it in Chiayi County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2605	0	-
19	vz13	z13 What district is it in Chiayi City?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2605	0	-
20	vz14	z14 What district is it in Tainan County?	continuous	numeric-2.0	2605	0	-
21	vz15	z15 What district is it in Kaohsiung City?	continuous	numeric-2.0	2605	0	-
22	vz16	z16 What district is it in Pingtung County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2605	0	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
23	vz17	z17 What district is it in Yilan County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2605	0	-
24	vz18	z18 What district is it in Hualien County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2605	0	-
25	vz19	z19 What district is it in Taitung County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2605	0	-
26	vz20	z20 What district is it in Penghu County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2605	0	-
27	vz21	z21 What district is it in Kinmen County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2605	0	-
28	vz22	z22 What district is it in Lienchiang County?	continuous	numeric-2.0	2605	0	-
29	rec_no	Sample Code	continuous	numeric-5.0	2605	0	-

### Group Social connections

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	v2	The question below will ask you about the social connections, 2 If you were in trouble, do you have relatives or friends you can count on to help you whenever you need them, or not?	discrete	numeric-1.0	2605	0	-
2	v3	3 The frequency with which the respondent usually gets together with friends during a usual year. Only friends who don't live in the same household as the respondent should be considered.	discrete	numeric-1.0	2605	0	-
3	v4	4 The frequency with which the respondent usually gets together with family during a usual year. Only family who don't live in the same household as the respondent should be considered.	discrete	numeric-1.0	2605	0	-
4	v5	5 How many familiar people( family included) do you contact during a usual day?(nodding, greeting, talking, calling, mailing, contacting via internet)	discrete	numeric-1.0	2605	0	-
5	v6	6 How many people do you think can be trusted with in the society?	discrete	numeric-1.0	2605	0	-

### Group Life satisfaction

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	v7	The following questions ask about how you felt yesterday on a scale from 0 to 10. Zero means you did not experience the feeling 'at all' yesterday while 10 means	discrete	numeric-2.0	2605	0	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		you experienced the feeling 'all of the time' yesterday. 7 How about happy? [0-10]					
2	v8	8 How about worried? [0-10]	discrete	numeric-2.0	2605	0	-
3	v9	9 How about depressed? [0-10]	discrete	numeric-2.0	2605	0	-
4	v10	The following question asks how satisfied you feel, on a scale from 0 to 10. Zero means you feel 'not at all satisfied' and 10 means you feel 'completely satisfied'.10 Overall, how satisfied are you with life as a whole these days? [0-10]	discrete	numeric-2.0	2605	0	-
5	v11	The following question asks how worthwhile you feel the things you do in your life are, on a scale from 0 to 10. Zero means you feel the things you do in your life are 'not at all worthwhile', and 10 means 'completely worthwhile'.11 Overall, to what exte	discrete	numeric-2.0	2605	0	-
6	v12	The following questions ask how satisfied you feel about specific aspects of your life, on a scale from 0 to 10. Zero means you feel 'not at all satisfied' and 10 means 'completely satisfied'.12 How satisfied are you with your standard of living? [0-10]	discrete	numeric-2.0	2605	0	-
7	v13	13 How satisfied are you with your health? [0-10]	discrete	numeric-2.0	2605	0	-
8	v14	14 How satisfied are you with what you are achieving in life? [0-10]	discrete	numeric-2.0	2605	0	-
9	v15	15 How satisfied are you with your personal relationships? [0-10]	discrete	numeric-2.0	2605	0	-
10	v16	16 How satisfied are you with how safe you feel? [0-10]	discrete	numeric-2.0	2605	0	-
11	v17	17 How satisfied are you with feeling part of your community? [0-10]	discrete	numeric-2.0	2605	0	-
12	v18	18 How satisfied are you with your future security? [0-10]	discrete	numeric-2.0	2605	0	-
13	v19	19 How satisfied are you with the amount of time you have to do the things that you like doing? [0-10]	discrete	numeric-2.0	2605	0	-
14	v20	20 How satisfied are you with the quality of your local environment? [0-10]	discrete	numeric-2.0	2605	0	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
15	v21	For respondents who are employed only:21 How satisfied are you with your job? [0-10]	discrete	numeric-2.0	2605	0	-

### Group Civic engagement and governance

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	v22	There are different ways of attempting to bring about improvements or counteract deterioration in society. During the last 12 months, have you done any of the following ? 22 Contacted a politician, government or local government official	discrete	numeric-1.0	2605	0	-
2	v23	23 Worked in a political party or action group	discrete	numeric-1.0	2605	0	-
3	v24	24 Worked in another organization or association	discrete	numeric-1.0	2605	0	-
4	v25	25 Worn or displayed a campaign badge/sticker	discrete	numeric-1.0	2605	0	-
5	v26	26 Signed a petition	discrete	numeric-1.0	2605	0	-
6	v27	27 Taken part in a lawful public demonstration	discrete	numeric-1.0	2605	0	-
7	v28	28 Boycotted certain products	discrete	numeric-1.0	2605	0	-
8	v29	29 Call in political panel shows	discrete	numeric-1.0	2605	0	-
9	v30	The following questions will ask about your confidence in national government ? 30 Do you have confidence in Legislative Yuan?	discrete	numeric-1.0	2605	0	-
10	v31	31 Judicial system or courts?	discrete	numeric-1.0	2605	0	-
11	v32	32 Other Central Government	discrete	numeric-1.0	2605	0	-
12	v33	33 Local Government	discrete	numeric-1.0	2605	0	-
13	v34	34 Do you have confidence in the quality and integrity of the media, or not?	discrete	numeric-1.0	2605	0	-
14	v35	35 Do you have confidence in the social security system of our country?(such as labor insurance, national pension, labor pension funds, etc.)	discrete	numeric-1.0	2605	0	-
15	v36	36 Are you satisfied with our democratic life?	discrete	numeric-1.0	2605	0	-
16	v37	37 Are you satisfied with our freedom of speech?	discrete	numeric-1.0	2605	0	-

### Group Weighted related variables

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	sexgp	Population by genders	discrete	numeric-1.0	2605	0	-
2	agegp	Population by ages	discrete	numeric-1.0	2605	0	-
3	edugp	Population by educational attainment	discrete	numeric-1.0	2605	0	-
4	citygp	Population by area	discrete	numeric-2.0	2605	0	-
5	weight	Weight value	continuous	numeric-8.6	2605	0	-

# Variables Description

Dataset contains 70 variable(s)

## File : data2014

### # v1: 1 When were you born?

**Information** [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 5-99] [Missing=\*]

**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=2605 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
96	Before 1911	0	
97	DK(continue to answer question1A)	23	35.9%
98	Refused(continue to answer question1A)	41	64.1%
99	Missing or Skip	0	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # v1a: 1a How old are you this year?

**Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=\*]

**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=2605 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	15-24 years old	0	
2	25-34 years old	1	0.0%
3	35-44 years old	12	0.5%
4	45-54 years old	16	0.6%
5	55-64 years old	20	0.8%
6	65 years old and over	14	0.5%
7	DK	0	
8	Refused	1	0.0%
9	Missing or Skip	2541	97.5%

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # v2: The question below will ask you about the social connections, 2 If you were in trouble, do you have relatives or friends you can count on to help you whenever you need them, or not?

**Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=\*]

**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=2605 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	2385	91.6%
2	No	204	7.8%
7	DK	14	0.5%
8	Refused	2	0.1%
9	Missing or Skip	0	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # v3: 3 The frequency with which the respondent usually gets together with friends during a usual year. Only friends who don't live in the same household as the respondent should be considered.

**Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=\*]

**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=2605 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Several times a week	430	16.5%
2	Every week(not every day)	329	12.6%
3	Several times a month (not every week)	339	13.0%
4	Once a month	417	16.0%

## File : data2014

**# v3: 3 The frequency with which the respondent usually gets together with friends during a usual year. Only friends who don't live in the same household as the respondent should be considered.**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	At least once a year (less then once a month)	780	29.9%
6	Never	299	11.5%
7	DK	11	0.4%
8	Refused	0	
9	Missing or Skip	0	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

**# v4: 4 The frequency with which the respondent usually gets together with family during a usual year. Only family who don't live in the same household as the respondent should be considered.**

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=2605 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Several times a week	280	10.7%
2	Every week(not every day)	367	14.1%
3	Several times a month (not every week)	401	15.4%
4	Once a month	424	16.3%
5	At least once a year (less then once a month)	1001	38.4%
6	Never	118	4.5%
7	DK	14	0.5%
8	Refused	0	
9	Missing or Skip	0	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

**# v5: 5 How many familiar people( family included) do you contact during a usual day?(nodding, greeting, talking, calling, mailing, contacting via internet)**

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=2605 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0-4	339	13.0%
2	5-9	522	20.0%
3	10-19	710	27.3%
4	20-49	702	26.9%
5	50-99	192	7.4%
6	Over 100	129	5.0%
7	DK	10	0.4%
8	Refused	1	0.0%
9	Missing or Skip	0	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

**# v6: 6 How many people do you think can be trusted with in the society?**

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=2605 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Almost all people can be trusted	64	2.5%

## File : data2014

### # v6: 6 How many people do you think can be trusted with in the society?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	The majority can be trusted	1421	54.5%
3	Half of people can be trusted	118	4.5%
4	The majority can not be trusted	823	31.6%
5	Almost all people can not be trusted	122	4.7%
7	DK	54	2.1%
8	Refused	3	0.1%
9	Missing or Skip	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # v7: The following questions ask about how you felt yesterday on a scale from 0 to 10. Zero means you did not experience the feeling 'at all' yesterday while 10 means you experienced the feeling 'all of the time' yesterday. 7 How about happy? [0-10]

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=\*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=2605 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all	60	2.3%
1		19	0.7%
2		45	1.7%
3		97	3.7%
4		54	2.1%
5		475	18.2%
6		310	11.9%
7		431	16.5%
8		661	25.4%
9		162	6.2%
10	All of the time	269	10.3%
97	DK	21	0.8%
98	Refused	1	0.0%
99	Missing or Skip	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # v8: 8 How about worried? [0-10]

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=\*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=2605 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all	459	17.6%
1		146	5.6%
2		384	14.7%
3		347	13.3%
4		163	6.3%
5		526	20.2%
6		156	6.0%
7		131	5.0%
8		120	4.6%

## File : data2014

### # v8: 8 How about worried? [0-10]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
9		43	1.7%
10	All of the time	107	4.1%
97	DK	21	0.8%
98	Refused	2	0.1%
99	Missing or Skip	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # v9: 9 How about depressed? [0-10]

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=2605 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all	870	33.4%
1		185	7.1%
2		362	13.9%
3		327	12.6%
4		93	3.6%
5		378	14.5%
6		94	3.6%
7		74	2.8%
8		85	3.3%
9		38	1.5%
10	All of the time	76	2.9%
97	DK	21	0.8%
98	Refused	2	0.1%
99	Missing or Skip	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # v10: The following question asks how satisfied you feel, on a scale from 0 to 10. Zero means you feel 'not at all satisfied' and 10 means you feel 'completely satisfied'.10 Overall, how satisfied are you with life as a whole these days? [0-10]

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=2605 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all satisfied	61	2.3%
1		20	0.8%
2		70	2.7%
3		142	5.5%
4		105	4.0%
5		548	21.0%
6		345	13.2%
7		433	16.6%
8		525	20.2%
9		131	5.0%
10	Completely satisfied	207	7.9%

## File : data2014

# v10: The following question asks how satisfied you feel, on a scale from 0 to 10. Zero means you feel 'not at all satisfied' and 10 means you feel 'completely satisfied'.10 Overall, how satisfied are you with life as a whole these days? [0-10]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	DK	16	0.6%
98	Refused	2	0.1%
99	Missing or Skip	0	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

# v11: The following question asks how worthwhile you feel the things you do in your life are, on a scale from 0 to 10. Zero means you feel the things you do in your life are 'not at all worthwhile', and 10 means 'completely worthwhile'.11 Overall, to what exte

**Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=\*]

**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=2605 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all worthwhile	28	1.1%
1		9	0.3%
2		24	0.9%
3		76	2.9%
4		53	2.0%
5		504	19.3%
6		346	13.3%
7		546	21.0%
8		614	23.6%
9		152	5.8%
10	Completely worthwhile	227	8.7%
97	DK	22	0.8%
98	Refused	4	0.2%
99	Missing or Skip	0	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

# v12: The following questions ask how satisfied you feel about specific aspects of your life, on a scale from 0 to 10. Zero means you feel 'not at all satisfied' and 10 means 'completely satisfied'.12 How satisfied are you with your standard of living? [0-10]

**Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=\*]

**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=2605 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all satisfied	37	1.4%
1		12	0.5%
2		40	1.5%
3		101	3.9%
4		97	3.7%
5		427	16.4%
6		400	15.4%
7		557	21.4%
8		592	22.7%
9		145	5.6%

## File : data2014

# v12: The following questions ask how satisfied you feel about specific aspects of your life, on a scale from 0 to 10. Zero means you feel 'not at all satisfied' and 10 means 'completely satisfied'.12 How satisfied are you with your standard of living? [0-10]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	Completely satisfied	185	7.1%
97	DK	12	0.5%
98	Refused	0	
99	Missing or Skip	0	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

# v13: 13 How satisfied are you with your health? [0-10]

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=2605 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all satisfied	38	1.5%
1		9	0.3%
2		38	1.5%
3		83	3.2%
4		84	3.2%
5		434	16.7%
6		372	14.3%
7		546	21.0%
8		619	23.8%
9		175	6.7%
10	Completely satisfied	194	7.4%
97	DK	11	0.4%
98	Refused	2	0.1%
99	Missing or Skip	0	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

# v14: 14 How satisfied are you with what you are achieving in life? [0-10]

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=2605 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all satisfied	79	3.0%
1		18	0.7%
2		57	2.2%
3		125	4.8%
4		93	3.6%
5		563	21.6%
6		433	16.6%
7		511	19.6%
8		473	18.2%
9		98	3.8%
10	Completely satisfied	132	5.1%
97	DK	22	0.8%

## File : data2014

### # v14: 14 How satisfied are you with what you are achieving in life? [0-10]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Refused	1	0.0%
99	Missing or Skip	0	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # v15: 15 How satisfied are you with your personal relationships? [0-10]

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=2605 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all satisfied	38	1.5%
1		9	0.3%
2		38	1.5%
3		86	3.3%
4		74	2.8%
5		392	15.0%
6		357	13.7%
7		526	20.2%
8		664	25.5%
9		212	8.1%
10	Completely satisfied	195	7.5%
97	DK	13	0.5%
98	Refused	1	0.0%
99	Missing or Skip	0	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # v16: 16 How satisfied are you with how safe you feel? [0-10]

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=2605 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all satisfied	44	1.7%
1		12	0.5%
2		24	0.9%
3		88	3.4%
4		67	2.6%
5		353	13.6%
6		363	13.9%
7		527	20.2%
8		664	25.5%
9		208	8.0%
10	Completely satisfied	234	9.0%
97	DK	21	0.8%
98	Refused	0	
99	Missing or Skip	0	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

## File : data2014

### # v17: 17 How satisfied are you with feeling part of your community? [0-10]

**Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=\*]

**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=2605 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all satisfied	74	2.8%
1		21	0.8%
2		75	2.9%
3		158	6.1%
4		103	4.0%
5		551	21.2%
6		398	15.3%
7		463	17.8%
8		470	18.0%
9		100	3.8%
10	Completely satisfied	164	6.3%
97	DK	26	1.0%
98	Refused	2	0.1%
99	Missing or Skip	0	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # v18: 18 How satisfied are you with your future security? [0-10]

**Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=\*]

**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=2605 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all satisfied	90	3.5%
1		30	1.2%
2		92	3.5%
3		153	5.9%
4		143	5.5%
5		498	19.1%
6		395	15.2%
7		431	16.5%
8		466	17.9%
9		127	4.9%
10	Completely satisfied	152	5.8%
97	DK	25	1.0%
98	Refused	3	0.1%
99	Missing or Skip	0	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # v19: 19 How satisfied are you with the amount of time you have to do the things that you like doing? [0-10]

**Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=\*]

**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=2605 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all satisfied	41	1.6%

## File : data2014

### # v19: 19 How satisfied are you with the amount of time you have to do the things that you like doing? [0-10]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		24	0.9%
2		73	2.8%
3		163	6.3%
4		102	3.9%
5		386	14.8%
6		341	13.1%
7		394	15.1%
8		551	21.2%
9		184	7.1%
10	Completely satisfied	326	12.5%
97	DK	19	0.7%
98	Refused	1	0.0%
99	Missing or Skip	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # v20: 20 How satisfied are you with the quality of your local environment? [0-10]

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=2605 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all satisfied	50	1.9%
1		15	0.6%
2		29	1.1%
3		109	4.2%
4		108	4.1%
5		360	13.8%
6		391	15.0%
7		500	19.2%
8		642	24.6%
9		182	7.0%
10	Completely satisfied	206	7.9%
97	DK	12	0.5%
98	Refused	1	0.0%
99	Missing or Skip	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # v21: For respondents who are employed only:21 How satisfied are you with your job? [0-10]

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=2605 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all satisfied	45	1.7%
1	Wanli District	13	0.5%
2	Jinshan District	28	1.1%
3	Banciao District	84	3.2%
4	Sijhih District	54	2.1%

## File : data2014

### # v21: For respondents who are employed only:21 How satisfied are you with your job? [0-10]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	Shenkeng District	290	11.1%
6	Shihding District	270	10.4%
7	Rueifang District	399	15.3%
8	Pingsi District	446	17.1%
9	Shuangsi District	119	4.6%
10	Gongliao District	150	5.8%
11	Sindian District	0	
12	Pinglin District	0	
13	Wulai District	0	
14	Yonghe District	0	
15	Jhonghe District	0	
16	Tucheng District	0	
17	Sansia District	0	
18	Shulin District	0	
19	Yingge District	0	
20	Sanchong District	0	
21	Sinjhuang District	0	
22	Taishan District	0	
23	Linkou District	0	
24	Lujhou District	0	
25	Wugu District	0	
26	Bali District	0	
27	Danshuei District	0	
28	Sanjihh District	0	
29	Shihmen District	0	
96	Retired, full-time student, full-time housewives, current un	702	26.9%
97	DK	4	0.2%
98	Refused	1	0.0%
99	Missing or Skip	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # v22: There are different ways of attempting to bring about improvements or counteract deterioration in society. During the last 12 months, have you done any of the following ? 22 Contacted a politician, government or local government official

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=2605 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	260	10.0%
2	No	2340	89.8%
7	DK	3	0.1%
8	Refused	2	0.1%
9	Missing or Skip	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**File : data2014****# v23: 23 Worked in a political party or action group****Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=\*]**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=2605 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	73	2.8%
2	No	2529	97.1%
7	DK	1	0.0%
8	Refused	2	0.1%
9	Missing or Skip	0	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.***# v24: 24 Worked in another organization or association****Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=\*]**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=2605 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	209	8.0%
2	No	2394	91.9%
7	DK	1	0.0%
8	Refused	1	0.0%
9	Missing or Skip	0	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.***# v25: 25 Worn or displayed a campaign badge/sticker****Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=\*]**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=2605 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	93	3.6%
2	No	2506	96.2%
7	DK	2	0.1%
8	Refused	4	0.2%
9	Missing or Skip	0	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.***# v26: 26 Signed a petition****Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=\*]**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=2605 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	139	5.3%
2	No	2459	94.4%
7	DK	4	0.2%
8	Refused	3	0.1%
9	Missing or Skip	0	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.***# v27: 27 Taken part in a lawful public demonstration****Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=\*]

## File : data2014

### # v27: 27 Taken part in a lawful public demonstration

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=2605 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	174	6.7%
2	No	2426	93.1%
7	DK	0	
8	Refused	5	0.2%
9	Missing or Skip	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # v28: 28 Boycotted certain products

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=\*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=2605 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	464	17.8%
2	No	2129	81.7%
7	DK	9	0.3%
8	Refused	3	0.1%
9	Missing or Skip	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # v29: 29 Call in political panel shows

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=\*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=2605 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	36	1.4%
2	No	2563	98.4%
7	DK	3	0.1%
8	Refused	3	0.1%
9	Missing or Skip	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # v30: The following questions will ask about your confidence in national government ? 30 Do you have confidence in Legislative Yuan?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=\*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=2605 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very confident	41	1.6%
2	Quite confident	541	20.8%
3	Hardly confident	1123	43.1%
4	Not at all	774	29.7%
7	DK	112	4.3%
8	Refused	14	0.5%
9	Missing or Skip	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

## File : data2014

### # v31: 31 Judicial system or courts?

**Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=\*]

**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=2605 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very confident	61	2.3%
2	Quite confident	679	26.1%
3	Hardly confident	1049	40.3%
4	Not at all	684	26.3%
7	DK	117	4.5%
8	Refused	15	0.6%
9	Missing or Skip	0	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # v32: 32 Other Central Government

**Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=\*]

**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=2605 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very confident	86	3.3%
2	Quite confident	852	32.7%
3	Hardly confident	1014	38.9%
4	Not at all	525	20.2%
7	DK	107	4.1%
8	Refused	21	0.8%
9	Missing or Skip	0	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # v33: 33 Local Government

**Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=\*]

**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=2605 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very confident	274	10.5%
2	Quite confident	1257	48.3%
3	Hardly confident	754	28.9%
4	Not at all	228	8.8%
7	DK	80	3.1%
8	Refused	12	0.5%
9	Missing or Skip	0	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # v34: 34 Do you have confidence in the quality and integrity of the media, or not?

**Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=\*]

**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=2605 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very confident	38	1.5%
2	Quite confident	467	17.9%
3	Hardly confident	1160	44.5%

## File : data2014

### # v34: 34 Do you have confidence in the quality and integrity of the media, or not?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Not at all	840	32.2%
7	DK	86	3.3%
8	Refused	14	0.5%
9	Missing or Skip	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # v35: 35 Do you have confidence in the social security system of our country?(such as labor insurance, national pension, labor pension funds, etc.)

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=2605 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very confident	175	6.7%
2	Quite confident	1030	39.5%
3	Hardly confident	904	34.7%
4	Not at all	447	17.2%
7	DK	42	1.6%
8	Refused	7	0.3%
9	Missing or Skip	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # v36: 36 Are you satisfied with our democratic life?

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=2605 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	436	16.7%
2	Quite satisfied	1560	59.9%
3	Hardly satisfied	408	15.7%
4	Not at all	161	6.2%
7	DK	32	1.2%
8	Refused	8	0.3%
9	Missing or Skip	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # v37: 37 Are you satisfied with our freedom of speech?

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=2605 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	638	24.5%
2	Quite satisfied	1303	50.0%
3	Hardly satisfied	438	16.8%
4	Not at all	181	6.9%
7	DK	34	1.3%
8	Refused	11	0.4%
9	Missing or Skip	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**File : data2014****# v38: 38 The gender of respondent****Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=\*]**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=2605 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Male	1330	51.1%
2	Female	1275	48.9%
9	Missing or Skip	0	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.***# v39: 39 What is your educational attainment?****Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=\*]**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=2605 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Illiterate	49	1.9%
2	Self-educated	12	0.5%
3	Elementary school	209	8.0%
4	Junior high school	262	10.1%
5	Senior high school or vocational school	861	33.1%
6	junior college	377	14.5%
7	University	645	24.8%
8	Master's degree	168	6.4%
9	PhD degree	18	0.7%
10	Other	0	
97	DK	0	
98	Refused	4	0.2%
99	Missing or Skip	0	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.***# v40: 40 What is your marital status, never married, married, divorced, separated, widowed or cohabited?****Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=\*]**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=2605 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never Married	555	21.3%
2	Married	1722	66.1%
3	Divorced	132	5.1%
4	Separated	24	0.9%
5	Widowed	135	5.2%
6	Cohabited	21	0.8%
7	Other	1	0.0%
98	Refused	15	0.6%
99	Missing or Skip	0	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.***# vz0: z0 What city or county is the number from?****Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=\*]**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=2605 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

## File : data2014

### # vz0: z0 What city or county is the number from?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Taipei City (jump to z1	189	7.3%
2	New Taipei City (jump to z2	500	19.2%
3	Keelung City (jump to z3	29	1.1%
4	Taoyuan County (jump to z4	269	10.3%
5	Hsinchu County (jump to z5	63	2.4%
6	Hsinchu City (jump to z6	34	1.3%
7	Miaoli County (jump to z7	64	2.5%
8	Taichung City (jump to z8	279	10.7%
9	Changhua County (jump to z9	163	6.3%
10	Nantou County (jump to z10	67	2.6%
11	Yunlin County (Jump to z11	93	3.6%
12	Chiayi County (jump to z12	50	1.9%
13	Chiayi City (jump to z13	40	1.5%
14	Tainan County (jump to z14	249	9.6%
15	Kaohsiung City (jump to z15	280	10.7%
16	Pingtung County (jump to z16	92	3.5%
17	Yilan County (jump to z17	53	2.0%
18	Hualien County (jump to z18	44	1.7%
19	Taitung County (jump to z19	14	0.5%
20	Penghu County (jump to z20	8	0.3%
21	Kinmen County (jump to z21	13	0.5%
22	Lienchiang County (jump to z22	0	
97	DK	2	0.1%
98	Refused	10	0.4%
99	Missing or Skip	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # vz1: z1 What district is it in Taipei City?

**Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=\*]

**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=2605 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Jhongheng District	2	0.1%
2	Datong District	5	0.2%
3	Jhongshan District	11	0.4%
4	Songshan District	17	0.7%
5	Daan District	24	0.9%
6	Wanhua District	13	0.5%
7	Sinyi District	14	0.5%
8	Shihlin District	20	0.8%
9	Beitou District	19	0.7%
10	Neihu District	25	1.0%
11	Nangang District	8	0.3%
12	Wunshan District	28	1.1%

## File : data2014

### # vz1: z1 What district is it in Taipei City?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
13	Others	0	
97	DK	1	0.0%
98	Refused	2	0.1%
99	Missing or Skip	2416	92.7%

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # vz2: z2 What district is it in New Taipei City?

<b>Information</b>	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=2605 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Wanli Township	1	0.0%
2	Jinshan Township	1	0.0%
3	Banciao City	53	2.0%
4	Sijhih City	31	1.2%
5	Shenkeng Township	4	0.2%
6	Shihding Township	0	
7	Rueifang Township	3	0.1%
8	Pingsi Township	0	
9	Shuangsi Township	0	
10	Gongliao Township	3	0.1%
11	Sindian City	49	1.9%
12	Pinglin Township	1	0.0%
13	Wulai Township	1	0.0%
14	Yonghe City	26	1.0%
15	Jhonghe City	62	2.4%
16	Tucheng City	28	1.1%
17	Sansia Township	9	0.3%
18	Shulin City	17	0.7%
19	Yingge Township	7	0.3%
20	Sanchong City	40	1.5%
21	Sinjhuang City	57	2.2%
22	Taishan Township	8	0.3%
23	Linkou Township	13	0.5%
24	Lujhou City	31	1.2%
25	Wugu Township	13	0.5%
26	Bali Township	8	0.3%
27	Danshuei Township	27	1.0%
28	Sanjhih Township	5	0.2%
29	Shihmen Township	0	
30	Others	0	
97	DK	0	
98	Refused	2	0.1%
99	Missing or Skip	2105	80.8%

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

## File : data2014

### # vz3: z3 What district is it in Keelung City?

**Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=\*]

**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=2605 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Renai District	1	0.0%
2	Sinyi District	2	0.1%
3	Jhongheng District	5	0.2%
4	Jhongshan District	3	0.1%
5	Anle District	9	0.3%
6	Nuannuan District	2	0.1%
7	Cidu District	7	0.3%
8	Others	0	
97	DK	0	
98	Refused	0	
99	Missing or Skip	2576	98.9%

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # vz4: z4 What district is it in Taoyuan County?

**Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=\*]

**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=2605 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Jhongli City	54	2.1%
2	Pingjhen City	28	1.1%
3	Longtan Township	14	0.5%
4	Yangmei City	18	0.7%
5	Sinwu Township	5	0.2%
6	Guanyin Township	8	0.3%
7	Taoyuan City	61	2.3%
8	Gueishan Township	13	0.5%
9	Bade City	26	1.0%
10	Dasi Township	12	0.5%
11	Fusing Township	0	
12	Dayuan Township	7	0.3%
13	Lujhu Township	21	0.8%
14	Others	0	
97	DK	1	0.0%
98	Refused	1	0.0%
99	Missing or Skip	2336	89.7%

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # vz5: z5 What district is it in Hsinchu County?

**Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=\*]

**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=2605 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Jhubei City	22	0.8%

## File : data2014

### # vz5: z5 What district is it in Hsinchu County?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Hukou Township	13	0.5%
3	Sinfong Township	7	0.3%
4	Sinpu Township	4	0.2%
5	Guansi Township	2	0.1%
6	Cyonglin Township	2	0.1%
7	Baoshan Township	3	0.1%
8	Jhudong Township	8	0.3%
9	Wufong Township	0	
10	Hengshan Township	0	
11	Jianshih Township	1	0.0%
12	Beipu Township	1	0.0%
13	Emei Township	0	
14	Others	0	
97	DK	0	
98	Refused	0	
99	Missing or Skip	2542	97.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # vz6: z6 What district is it in Hsinchu City?

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=2605 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	East District	16	0.6%
2	North District	10	0.4%
3	Xiangshan District	7	0.3%
4	Others	0	
97	DK	1	0.0%
98	Refused	0	
99	Missing or Skip	2571	98.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # vz7: z7 What district is it in Miaoli County?

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=2605 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Jhunan Township	6	0.2%
2	Toufen Township	17	0.7%
3	Sanwan Township	2	0.1%
4	Nanjhuang Township	2	0.1%
5	Shihtan Township	0	
6	Houlong Township	0	
7	Tongsiao Township	4	0.2%
8	Yuanli Township	5	0.2%
9	Miaoli City	12	0.5%

## File : data2014

### # vz7: z7 What district is it in Miaoli County?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	Zaociao Township	0	
11	Touwu Township	1	0.0%
12	Gongguan Township	5	0.2%
13	Dahu Township	1	0.0%
14	Taian Township	0	
15	Tongluo Township	3	0.1%
16	Sanyi Township	1	0.0%
17	Sihu Township	0	
18	Jhuolan Township	3	0.1%
19	Others	0	
97	DK	0	
98	Refused	2	0.1%
99	Missing or Skip	2541	97.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # vz8: z8 What district is it in Taichung City?

<b>Information</b>	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=2605 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Taiping District	13	0.5%
2	Dali District	21	0.8%
3	Wufong District	8	0.3%
4	Wurih District	8	0.3%
5	Fongyuan District	21	0.8%
6	Houli District	3	0.1%
7	Shihgang District	1	0.0%
8	Dongshih District	4	0.2%
9	Heping District	1	0.0%
10	Sinshe District	3	0.1%
11	Tanzih District	9	0.3%
12	Daya District	18	0.7%
13	Shengang District	5	0.2%
14	Dadu District	5	0.2%
15	Shalu District	5	0.2%
16	Longjing District	4	0.2%
17	Wuci District	13	0.5%
18	Cingshuei District	6	0.2%
19	Dajia District	11	0.4%
20	Waipu District	3	0.1%
21	Daan District	0	
22	Central District	0	
23	East District	5	0.2%
24	West District	8	0.3%
25	North District	17	0.7%

**File : data2014****# vz8: z8 What district is it in Taichung City?**

<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
26	South District	21	0.8%
27	Beitun District	27	1.0%
28	Situn District	24	0.9%
29	Nantun District	13	0.5%
30	Others	0	
97	DK	1	0.0%
98	Refused	1	0.0%
99	Missing or Skip	2326	89.3%

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

# vz9: z9 What district is it in Changhua County?			
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2605 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Changhua City	34	1.3%
2	Fenyuan Township	1	0.0%
3	Huatan Township	7	0.3%
4	Sioushuei Township	4	0.2%
5	Lugang Township	8	0.3%
6	Fusing Township	4	0.2%
7	Siansi Township	3	0.1%
8	Hemei Township	13	0.5%
9	Shengang Township	3	0.1%
10	Yuanlin Township	16	0.6%
11	Shetou Township	5	0.2%
12	Yongjing Township	8	0.3%
13	Pusin Township	7	0.3%
14	Sihu Township	9	0.3%
15	Dacun Township	4	0.2%
16	Puyan Township	4	0.2%
17	Tianjhong Township	5	0.2%
18	Beidou Township	6	0.2%
19	Tianwei Township	4	0.2%
20	Bitou Township	4	0.2%
21	Sijhou Township	4	0.2%
22	Jhutang Township	1	0.0%
23	Erlin Township	2	0.1%
24	Dacheng Township	1	0.0%
25	Fangyuan Township	3	0.1%
26	Ershuei Township	1	0.0%
27	Others	0	
97	DK	0	
98	Refused	2	0.1%
99	Missing or Skip	2442	93.7%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# vz10: z10 What district is it in Nantou County?			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2605 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Nantou City	17	0.7%
2	Puli Township	10	0.4%
3	Caotun Township	11	0.4%
4	Jhushan Township	9	0.3%
5	Jiji Township	4	0.2%
6	Mingjian Township	7	0.3%
7	Lugu Township	2	0.1%

# vz10: z10 What district is it in Nantou County?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8	Zhongliao Township	2	0.1%
9	Yuchih Township	1	0.0%
10	Guosing Township	1	0.0%
11	Shueili Township	2	0.1%
12	Sinyi Township	0	
13	Renai Township	1	0.0%
14	Others	0	
97	DK	0	
98	Refused	0	
99	Missing or Skip	2538	97.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# vz11: z11 What district is it in Yunlin County?

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=2605 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Douliou City	22	0.8%
2	Douan Township	1	0.0%
3	Huwei Township	10	0.4%
4	Siluo Township	6	0.2%
5	Tuku Township	5	0.2%
6	Beigang Township	5	0.2%
7	Gukeng Township	8	0.3%
8	Dabi Township	2	0.1%
9	Cihtong Township	3	0.1%
10	Linnei Township	3	0.1%
11	Erlun Township	6	0.2%
12	Lunbei Township	2	0.1%
13	Mailiao Township	4	0.2%
14	Dongshih Township	2	0.1%
15	Baojhong Township	1	0.0%
16	Taisi Township	2	0.1%
17	Yuanchang Township	5	0.2%
18	Sihhu Township	1	0.0%
19	Kouhu Township	5	0.2%
20	Shueilin Township	0	
21	Others	0	
97	DK	0	
98	Refused	0	
99	Missing or Skip	2512	96.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# vz12: z12 What district is it in Chiayi County?

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=2605 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

### # vz12: z12 What district is it in Chiayi County?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Fanlu Township	2	0.1%
2	Meishan Township	1	0.0%
3	Jhuci Township	1	0.0%
4	Alishan Township	0	
5	Jhongpu Township	5	0.2%
6	Dapu Township	0	
7	Shueishang Township	7	0.3%
8	Lucao Township	2	0.1%
9	Taibao City	3	0.1%
10	Puzih City	4	0.2%
11	Dongshih Township	0	
12	Lioujiao Township	1	0.0%
13	Singang Township	3	0.1%
14	Minsyong Township	11	0.4%
15	Dalin Township	5	0.2%
16	Sikou Township	3	0.1%
17	Yijhu Township	2	0.1%
18	Budai Township	0	
19	Others	0	
97	DK	0	
98	Refused	0	
99	Missing or Skip	2555	98.1%

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # vz13: z13 What district is it in Chiayi City?

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=2605 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	East District	18	0.7%
2	West District	20	0.8%
3	Others	0	
97	DK	0	
98	Refused	2	0.1%
99	Missing or Skip	2565	98.5%

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # vz14: z14 What district is it in Tainan County?

<b>Information</b>	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=2605 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Sinhua District	4	0.2%
2	Yongkang District	42	1.6%
3	Gueiren District	8	0.3%
4	Zuojhen District	0	
5	Yujing District	0	

# vz14: z14 What district is it in Tainan County?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6	Nansi District	1	0.0%
7	Nanhua District	1	0.0%
8	Rende District	10	0.4%
9	Guanmiao District	6	0.2%
10	Longci District	1	0.0%
11	Guantian District	2	0.1%
12	Madou District	5	0.2%
13	Jiali District	8	0.3%
14	Sigang District	4	0.2%
15	Cigu District	2	0.1%
16	Jiangjyun District	3	0.1%
17	Syuejia District	3	0.1%
18	Beimen District	2	0.1%
19	Sinying District	10	0.4%
20	Houbi District	1	0.0%
21	Baihe District	3	0.1%
22	Dongshan District	2	0.1%
23	Lioujia District	3	0.1%
24	Siaying District	0	
25	Liouying District	2	0.1%
26	Yanshuei District	2	0.1%
27	Shanhua District	9	0.3%
28	Danei District	0	
29	Shanshang District	0	
30	Sinshih District	6	0.2%
31	Anding District	3	0.1%
32	Central andf West District	8	0.3%
33	East District	30	1.2%
34	North District	16	0.6%
35	South District	19	0.7%
36	Anping District	9	0.3%
37	Annan District	23	0.9%
38	Others	0	
97	DK	0	
98	Refused	1	0.0%
99	Missing or Skip	2356	90.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# vz15: z15 What district is it in Kaohsiung City?

<b>Information</b>	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=2605 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Jiasian District	0	
2	Renwu District	8	0.3%
3	Dashe District	6	0.2%

# vz15: z15 What district is it in Kaohsiung City?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Gangshan District	13	0.5%
5	Lujhu District	6	0.2%
6	Alian District	5	0.2%
7	Tianliao District	0	
8	Yanchao District	1	0.0%
9	Ciaotou District	5	0.2%
10	Zihguan District	2	0.1%
11	Mituo District	0	
12	Yongan District	1	0.0%
13	Hunei District	1	0.0%
14	Fongshan District	34	1.3%
15	Daliao District	9	0.3%
16	Linyuan District	4	0.2%
17	Niaosong District	5	0.2%
18	Dashu District	1	0.0%
19	Cishan District	2	0.1%
20	Meinong District	2	0.1%
21	Liouguei District	0	
22	Neimen District	0	
23	Shanlin District	0	
24	Taoyuan District	0	
25	Namasia District	0	
26	Maolin District	0	
27	Jiading District	0	
28	Sinsing District	1	0.0%
29	Cianjin District	2	0.1%
30	Lingya District	28	1.1%
31	Yancheng District	4	0.2%
32	Gushan District	18	0.7%
33	Cijin District	1	0.0%
34	Cianjhen District	19	0.7%
35	Sanmin District	38	1.5%
36	Nanzih District	18	0.7%
37	Siaogang District	12	0.5%
38	Zuoying District	30	1.2%
39	Others	0	
97	DK	1	0.0%
98	Refused	3	0.1%
99	Missing or Skip	2325	89.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# vz16: z16 What district is it in Pingtung County?

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=2605 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

### # vz16: z16 What district is it in Pingtung County?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Donggang Township	4	0.2%
2	Pingtung City	36	1.4%
3	Sandimen Township	0	
4	Wutai Township	0	
5	Majia Township	0	
6	Jiouru Township	2	0.1%
7	Ligang Township	2	0.1%
8	Gaoshu Township	0	
9	Yanpu Township	0	
10	Changjih Township	5	0.2%
11	Linluo Township	2	0.1%
12	Jhutian Township	1	0.0%
13	Neipu Township	10	0.4%
14	Wandan Township	7	0.3%
15	Chaozhou Township	5	0.2%
16	Taiwu Township	0	
17	Laiyi Township	0	
18	Wanluan Township	1	0.0%
19	Kanding Township	0	
20	Sinbi Township	1	0.0%
21	Nanjhou Township	1	0.0%
22	Linbian Township	2	0.1%
23	Liouciou Township	0	
24	Jiadong Township	3	0.1%
25	Sinyuan Township	5	0.2%
26	Fangliao Township	1	0.0%
27	Fangshan Township	0	
28	Chunrih Township	0	
29	Shihzih Township	1	0.0%
30	Checheng Township	0	
31	Mudan Township	0	
32	Hengchun Township	3	0.1%
33	Manjhou Township	0	
34	Others	0	
97	DK	0	
98	Refused	0	
99	Missing or Skip	2513	96.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # vz17: z17 What district is it in Yilan County?

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=2605 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Datong Township	0	
2	Yilan City	14	0.5%

### # vz17: z17 What district is it in Yilan County?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Toucheng Township	2	0.1%
4	Jiaosi Township	3	0.1%
5	Jhuangwei Township	1	0.0%
6	Yuanshan Township	4	0.2%
7	Luodong Township	13	0.5%
8	Sansing Township	1	0.0%
9	Wujie Township	2	0.1%
10	Dongshan Township	9	0.3%
11	Suao Township	3	0.1%
12	Nanao Township	0	
13	Others	0	
97	DK	0	
98	Refused	1	0.0%
99	Missing or Skip	2552	98.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # vz18: z18 What district is it in Hualien County?

**Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=\*]

**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=2605 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Hualien City	12	0.5%
2	Sincheng Township	3	0.1%
3	Sioulin Township	2	0.1%
4	Jian Township	15	0.6%
5	Shoufong Township	3	0.1%
6	Fonglin Township	2	0.1%
7	Guangfu Township	3	0.1%
8	Fongbin Township	1	0.0%
9	Rueisuei Township	1	0.0%
10	Wanrong Township	1	0.0%
11	Yuli Township	1	0.0%
12	Jhuosi Township	0	
13	Fuli Township	0	
14	Others	0	
97	DK	0	
98	Refused	0	
99	Missing or Skip	2561	98.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # vz19: z19 What district is it in Taitung County?

**Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=\*]

**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=2605 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Chenggong Township	2	0.1%
2	Taitung City	6	0.2%
3	Lyudao Township	0	

# vz19: z19 What district is it in Taitung County?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Lanyu Township	0	
5	Yanping Township	0	
6	Beinan Township	2	0.1%
7	Luye Township	0	
8	Guanshan Township	1	0.0%
9	Haiduan Township	0	
10	Chihshang Township	1	0.0%
11	Donghe Township	1	0.0%
12	Changbin Township	0	
13	Taimali Township	0	
14	Jinfong Township	0	
15	Dawu Township	0	
16	Daren Township	0	
17	Others	0	
97	DK	1	0.0%
98	Refused	0	
99	Missing or Skip	2591	99.5%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# vz20: z20 What district is it in Penghu County?			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=2605 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Wangan Township	0	
2	Magong City	5	0.2%
3	Siyu Township	1	0.0%
4	Cimei Township	0	
5	Baisha Township	0	
6	Husi Township	2	0.1%
7	Others	0	
97	DK	0	
98	Refused	0	
99	Missing or Skip	2597	99.7%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# vz21: z21 What district is it in Kinmen County?			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=2605 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Jinsha Township	1	0.0%
2	Jinhu Township	0	
3	Jinning Township	5	0.2%
4	Jincheng Township	7	0.3%
5	Lieyu Township	0	
6	Wuciou Township	0	
7	Others	0	

**# vz21: z21 What district is it in Kinmen County?**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	DK	0	
98	Refused	0	
99	Missing or Skip	2592	99.5%

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

**# vz22: z22 What district is it in Lienchiang County?**

<b>Information</b>	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=2605 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Nangan Township	0	
2	Beigan Township	0	
3	Jyuguang Township	0	
4	Dongyin Township	0	
5	Others	0	
97	DK	0	
98	Refused	0	
99	Missing or Skip	2605	100.0%

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

**# rec\_no: Sample Code**

<b>Information</b>	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 11-24999] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=2605 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=11863.537 /-] [StdDev=7007.045 /-]

**# sexgp: Population by genders**

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=2605 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Male	1330	51.1%
2	Female	1275	48.9%

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

**# agegp: Population by ages**

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=2605 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	15-24 years old	252	9.7%
2	25-34 years old	352	13.5%
3	35-44 years old	542	20.8%
4	45-54 years old	643	24.7%
5	55-64 years old	501	19.2%
6	65 years old and over	314	12.1%
7	DK	0	
8	Refused	1	0.0%

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

**# edugp: Population by educational attainment**

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*]
--------------------	--

### # edugp: Population by educational attainment

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=2605 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Junior high & below	532	20.4%
2	Senior high & vocational	861	33.1%
3	Junior college & above	1208	46.4%
7	DK	0	
8	Refused	4	0.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # citygp: Population by area

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=\*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=2605 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Taipei City	189	7.3%
2	New Taipei City	500	19.2%
3	Keelung City	29	1.1%
4	Taoyuan County	269	10.3%
5	Hsinchu County	63	2.4%
6	Hsinchu City	34	1.3%
7	Miaoli County	64	2.5%
8	Taichung City	279	10.7%
9	Changhua County	163	6.3%
10	Nantou County	67	2.6%
11	Yunlin County	93	3.6%
12	Chiayi County	50	1.9%
13	Chiayi City	40	1.5%
14	Tainan City	249	9.6%
15	Kaohsiung City	280	10.7%
16	Pingtung County	92	3.5%
17	Yilan County	53	2.0%
18	Hualien County	44	1.7%
19	Taitung County	14	0.5%
20	Penghu County	8	0.3%
21	Kinmen and Matsu area	13	0.5%
97	DK	2	0.1%
98	Refused	10	0.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # weight: Weight value

Information [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0.350392396566739-6.53410904515318] [Missing=\*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=2605 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0.503 /-]