

Taiwan, ROC

Chi Huang, Election Study Center, National Chengchi University

**Taiwan's Election and Democratization Study, 2016-2020 (I):
Telephone and Mobile Phone Interview Survey of the Presidential
Satisfaction--The Seventeenth Wave (TEDS2016_PA09)**

Study Documentation

June 26, 2019

Metadata Production

| | |
|----------------------|---|
| Metadata Producer(s) | Survey Research Data Archive (SRDA) , Center for Survey Research, Research Center for Humanities Social Sciences, Academia Sinica |
| Identification | D00154en |

Table of Contents

| | |
|---|--------------------|
| Overview..... | 4 |
| Scope & Coverage..... | 4 |
| Producers & Sponsors..... | 4 |
| Data Collection..... | 4 |
| Data Processing & Appraisal..... | 4 |
| Accessibility..... | 5 |
| Files Description..... | 6 |
| TEDS2016-T PA09E..... | 6 |
| Variables Group(s)..... | 7 |
| Sample Information..... | 7 |
| Politics and Media..... | 7 |
| Government Performance..... | 7 |
| Image and Capability..... | 8 |
| Cabinet and Party Performance..... | 8 |
| Social Issues..... | 8 |
| Economic Evaluations..... | 9 |
| Position on Unification and Independence..... | 9 |
| Party Identification..... | 9 |
| Taiwanese/Chinese Identification..... | 9 |
| Voting Participation..... | 10 |
| Personal Data..... | 10 |
| New Variable..... | 12 |
| Weight..... | 12 |
| Variables Description..... | 13 |
| TEDS2016-T PA09E..... | 14 |

Taiwan's Election and Democratization Study, 2016-2020 (I): Telephone and Mobile Phone Interview Survey of the Presidential Satisfaction--The Seventeenth Wave (TEDS2016_PA09) (TEDS - Taiwan's Election and Democratization Study)

| Overview | |
|------------------|----------------------------------|
| Type | Election Studies |
| Identification | D00154en |
| Version | Production Date: 2019-06-26 1 |
| Kind of Data | Sampling |
| Unit of Analysis | Individuals |

| Scope & Coverage | |
|---------------------|-------------|
| Time Period(s) | 2016 |
| Countries | Taiwan, ROC |
| Geographic Coverage | Taiwan |

| Producers & Sponsors | |
|-------------------------|--|
| Primary Investigator(s) | Chi Huang, Election Study Center, National Chengchi University |
| Other Producer(s) | Election Study Center, National Chengchi University |
| Funding Agency/ies | Ministry of Science and Technology |

| Data Collection | |
|-----------------------|--|
| Data Collection Dates | start 2016-09-22 end 2016-09-26 start 2016-09-22 end 2016-09-30 |
| Time Period(s) | start 2016-08-01 end 2017-07-31 |
| Data Collection Mode | Telephone Survey |

| Data Processing & Appraisal | |
|-----------------------------|---|
| Data Editing | CSR has checked wild codes and out-of-range values, to validate and clean data. There is no doubt about data accuracy after the validation. |
| Other Processing | Telephone and Cellphone Survey |

| Accessibility | |
|--|--|
| Contact(s) | , http://srda.sinica.edu.tw , srda@gate.sinica.edu.tw |
| Distributor(s) | Survey Research Data Archive |
| Depositor(s) | Election Study Center, National Chengchi University |
| <u>Access Conditions</u> Standard Access Data (Downloads for Regular Member, Academia Sinica Researcher) | |

Files Description

Dataset contains 1 file(s)

| TEDS2016-T_PA09E | |
|------------------|------|
| # Cases | 1282 |
| # Variable(s) | 81 |

Variables Group(s)

Dataset contains 14 group(s)

Group Sample Information

| # | Name | Label | Type | Format | Valid | Invalid | Question |
|---|-------|-------------------|------------|-------------|-------|---------|----------|
| 1 | id | identified number | continuous | numeric-6.0 | 1282 | 0 | - |
| 2 | date | day | continuous | numeric-6.0 | 1282 | 0 | - |
| 3 | btime | starting time | continuous | numeric-6.0 | 1282 | 0 | - |
| 4 | etime | ending time | continuous | numeric-6.0 | 1282 | 0 | - |

Group Politics and Media

| # | Name | Label | Type | Format | Valid | Invalid | Question |
|---|------|--|----------|-------------|-------|---------|----------|
| 1 | q3 | 1.Generally, would you say that you are very, somewhat, not very or not at all interested in politics? | discrete | numeric-2.0 | 1282 | 0 | - |

Group Government Performance

| # | Name | Label | Type | Format | Valid | Invalid | Question |
|---|------|--|----------|-------------|-------|---------|----------|
| 1 | q4 | 2.How satisfied are you with Tsai Ing-wen's overall performance as president since her inauguration? | discrete | numeric-2.0 | 1282 | 0 | - |
| 2 | q5 | 3.Regarding following problems that our country is facing, what do you think is the most priority that President Tsai, Ing-wen should handle? | discrete | numeric-2.0 | 1282 | 0 | - |
| 3 | q6 | 3a.Regarding following problems that our country is facing, what do you think is the next priority that President Tsai, Ing-wen should handle? | discrete | numeric-2.0 | 1282 | 0 | - |
| 4 | q7 | 4.How satisfied are you with Tsai, Ing-wen's performance in handling the Cross-strait relations? | discrete | numeric-2.0 | 1282 | 0 | - |
| 5 | q8 | 5.How satisfied are you with Tsai, Ing-wen's performance in diplomacy? | discrete | numeric-2.0 | 1282 | 0 | - |
| 6 | q9 | 6.How satisfied are you with Tsai, Ing-wen's performance in national defense? | discrete | numeric-2.0 | 1282 | 0 | - |
| 7 | q10 | 7.How satisfied are you with Tsai, Ing-wen's performance in promoting economic development? | discrete | numeric-2.0 | 1282 | 0 | - |
| 8 | q11 | 8.How satisfied are you with Tsai, Ing-wen's performance | discrete | numeric-2.0 | 1282 | 0 | - |

| # | Name | Label | Type | Format | Valid | Invalid | Question |
|---|------|------------------------------------|------|--------|-------|---------|----------|
| | | in handling the livelihood issues? | | | | | |

Group Image and Capability

| # | Name | Label | Type | Format | Valid | Invalid | Question |
|---|------|--|----------|-------------|-------|---------|----------|
| 1 | q12 | 9.Regard your trust toward President Tsai, Ing-wen, if 0 means that you have a deep distrust of her, 10 means that you have a high trust of her, how would you rate Tsai, Ing-wen using a 0-to-10 scale? | discrete | numeric-2.0 | 1282 | 0 | - |
| 2 | q13 | 10.In human resource allocation, if 0 means that she employs persons irrelevantly, 10 means that she employs persons relevantly, how would you rate Tsai, Ing-wen using a 0-to-10 scale? | discrete | numeric-2.0 | 1282 | 0 | - |
| 3 | q19 | 15.If 0 means you do not trust it at all, 10 means you fully trust it, how would you rate the government of mainland china using a 0-to-10 scale? | discrete | numeric-2.0 | 1282 | 0 | - |

Group Cabinet and Party Performance

| # | Name | Label | Type | Format | Valid | Invalid | Question |
|---|------|---|----------|-------------|-------|---------|----------|
| 1 | q14 | 11.Are you satisfied with the overall performance of Lin, Chuan as premier? | discrete | numeric-2.0 | 1282 | 0 | - |
| 2 | q15 | 12.Are you satisfied with the overall performance of the Executive Yuan? | discrete | numeric-2.0 | 1282 | 0 | - |
| 3 | q16 | 13.Which party's performance do you think is the best since the Legislative Yuan began its session this February? | discrete | numeric-2.0 | 1282 | 0 | - |
| 4 | q17 | 13a.Which party's performance do you think is the worst since the Legislative Yuan began its session this February? | discrete | numeric-2.0 | 1282 | 0 | - |
| 5 | q18 | 14.Are you satisfied with the performance of Hung, Hsiu-chu when she was the chairman of KMT? | discrete | numeric-2.0 | 1282 | 0 | - |

Group Social Issues

| # | Name | Label | Type | Format | Valid | Invalid | Question |
|---|------|---|----------|-------------|-------|---------|----------|
| 1 | q20 | 16.The Legislative Yuan has passed the Ill-gotten Party Assets Settlement Bill in | discrete | numeric-2.0 | 1282 | 0 | - |

| # | Name | Label | Type | Format | Valid | Invalid | Question |
|---|------|---|----------|-------------|-------|---------|----------|
| | | July, 2016. Are you satisfied with government in handling the Ill-gotten Party Assets? | | | | | |
| 2 | q21 | 17.Someone said: To reduce inequality is the responsibility of government. Another said: Wealth is a result of hard-working. Which statements you agree? | discrete | numeric-2.0 | 1282 | 0 | - |
| 3 | q22 | 18.Someone said: Decreasing unemployment rate is the responsibility of government. Another said: Getting a job is someone's own business. Which statements you agree? | discrete | numeric-2.0 | 1282 | 0 | - |

Group Economic Evaluations

| # | Name | Label | Type | Format | Valid | Invalid | Question |
|---|------|---|----------|-------------|-------|---------|----------|
| 1 | q23 | 19.Would you say that over the past six months, the state of economy of Taiwan has gotten better, stayed about the same, or gotten worse? | discrete | numeric-2.0 | 1282 | 0 | - |
| 2 | q24 | 20.Would you say that in the next six months, the state of the economy of Taiwan will get better, stay about the same, or get worse? | discrete | numeric-2.0 | 1282 | 0 | - |

Group Position on Unification and Independence

| # | Name | Label | Type | Format | Valid | Invalid | Question |
|---|------|---|----------|-------------|-------|---------|----------|
| 1 | q25 | 21.Concerning the relationship between Taiwan and mainland China, which of the following six positions do you agree with? | discrete | numeric-2.0 | 1282 | 0 | - |

Group Party Identification

| # | Name | Label | Type | Format | Valid | Invalid | Question |
|---|------|--|----------|-------------|-------|---------|----------|
| 1 | q26 | 22.Among all political parties in our country, which party do you think of yourself as leaning toward? | discrete | numeric-2.0 | 1282 | 0 | - |
| 2 | q27 | 22a.Do you lean very strongly or somewhat? | discrete | numeric-2.0 | 1282 | 0 | - |
| 3 | q28 | 22b.Relatively speaking, do you lean toward any political party? | discrete | numeric-2.0 | 1282 | 0 | - |

Group Taiwanese/Chinese Identification

| # | Name | Label | Type | Format | Valid | Invalid | Question |
|---|------|---|----------|-------------|-------|---------|----------|
| 1 | q29 | 23.In Taiwan, some people think they are Taiwanese. | discrete | numeric-2.0 | 1282 | 0 | - |

| # | Name | Label | Type | Format | Valid | Invalid | Question |
|---|------|---|------|--------|-------|---------|----------|
| | | There are also some people who think that they are Chinese. Do you consider yourself as Taiwanese, Chinese or both? | | | | | |

Group Voting Participation

| # | Name | Label | Type | Format | Valid | Invalid | Question |
|---|------|---|----------|-------------|-------|---------|----------|
| 1 | q58 | 30.In 2016 Presidential Election, who did you vote for? | discrete | numeric-2.0 | 1282 | 0 | - |

Group Personal Data

| # | Name | Label | Type | Format | Valid | Invalid | Question |
|----|------|---|------------|-------------|-------|---------|----------|
| 1 | q1 | 0.What is your habit of telephone habits? Only mobile phone? Only home phone? Or both of mobile and home phone? | discrete | numeric-2.0 | 1282 | 0 | - |
| 2 | q2 | A.Which telecom company does your mobile number belong to? [Ask in Mobile phone interview only] | discrete | numeric-2.0 | 1282 | 0 | - |
| 3 | q30 | 24.Year of birth | continuous | numeric-2.0 | 1282 | 0 | - |
| 4 | q31 | 25.Education level | discrete | numeric-2.0 | 1282 | 0 | - |
| 5 | q32 | 26.Father's ethnic background | discrete | numeric-2.0 | 1282 | 0 | - |
| 6 | q33 | 27.Mother's ethnic background | discrete | numeric-2.0 | 1282 | 0 | - |
| 7 | q34 | 28.Main occupation of respondent | continuous | numeric-3.0 | 1282 | 0 | - |
| 8 | q35 | 28a.Main occupation of respondent's spouse | continuous | numeric-3.0 | 1282 | 0 | - |
| 9 | q36 | 28b.Main occupation of respondent before retirement or unemployment | continuous | numeric-3.0 | 1282 | 0 | - |
| 10 | q37 | 29.Please tell me where your household is registered? | discrete | numeric-2.0 | 1282 | 0 | - |
| 11 | q38 | 29.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Yi Lan County? | discrete | numeric-2.0 | 1282 | 0 | - |
| 12 | q39 | 29.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Hsinchu County? | discrete | numeric-2.0 | 1282 | 0 | - |
| 13 | q40 | 29.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Miaoli County? | discrete | numeric-2.0 | 1282 | 0 | - |
| 14 | q41 | 29.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Changhua County? | discrete | numeric-2.0 | 1282 | 0 | - |

| # | Name | Label | Type | Format | Valid | Invalid | Question |
|----|------|---|------------|-------------|-------|---------|----------|
| 15 | q42 | 29.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Nantou County? | discrete | numeric-2.0 | 1282 | 0 | - |
| 16 | q43 | 29.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Yunlin County? | discrete | numeric-2.0 | 1282 | 0 | - |
| 17 | q44 | 29.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Chiayi County? | discrete | numeric-2.0 | 1282 | 0 | - |
| 18 | q45 | 29.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Pingtung County? | discrete | numeric-2.0 | 1282 | 0 | - |
| 19 | q46 | 29.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Taitung County? | discrete | numeric-2.0 | 1282 | 0 | - |
| 20 | q47 | 29.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Hualien County? | discrete | numeric-2.0 | 1282 | 0 | - |
| 21 | q48 | 29.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Penghu County? | discrete | numeric-2.0 | 1282 | 0 | - |
| 22 | q49 | 29.Where your household is registered? Which district in Keelung City? | discrete | numeric-2.0 | 1282 | 0 | - |
| 23 | q50 | 29.Where your household is registered? Which district in Hsinchu City? | discrete | numeric-2.0 | 1282 | 0 | - |
| 24 | q51 | 29.Where your household is registered? Which district in Chiayi City? | discrete | numeric-2.0 | 1282 | 0 | - |
| 25 | q52 | 29.Where your household is registered? Which district in Taipei City? | discrete | numeric-2.0 | 1282 | 0 | - |
| 26 | q53 | 29.Where your household is registered? Which district in Kaohsiung City? | continuous | numeric-2.0 | 1282 | 0 | - |
| 27 | q54 | 29.Where your household is registered? Which district in New Taipei City? | discrete | numeric-2.0 | 1282 | 0 | - |
| 28 | q55 | 29.Where your household is registered? Which district in Taichung City? | continuous | numeric-2.0 | 1282 | 0 | - |
| 29 | q56 | 29.Where your household is registered? Which district in Tainan City? | continuous | numeric-2.0 | 1282 | 0 | - |
| 30 | q57 | 29.Where your household is registered? Which district in Taoyuan City? | discrete | numeric-2.0 | 1282 | 0 | - |
| 31 | q59 | 31.Do you have driver's license? | discrete | numeric-2.0 | 1282 | 0 | - |
| 32 | q60 | 32.Do you have email address? | discrete | numeric-2.0 | 1282 | 0 | - |
| 33 | q61 | 32a.Would you please leave us your email address? | discrete | numeric-2.0 | 1282 | 0 | - |
| 34 | q62 | 33.Respondent's gender | discrete | numeric-1.0 | 1282 | 0 | - |

| # | Name | Label | Type | Format | Valid | Invalid | Question |
|----|------|---------------------------------------|----------|-------------|-------|---------|----------|
| 35 | q63 | 34.Language used to conduct interview | discrete | numeric-1.0 | 1282 | 0 | - |
| 36 | type | Phone type | discrete | numeric-1.0 | 1282 | 0 | - |

Group New Variable

| # | Name | Label | Type | Format | Valid | Invalid | Question |
|----|-------------|--|----------|-------------|-------|---------|----------|
| 1 | sex | Sex | discrete | numeric-1.0 | 1282 | 0 | - |
| 2 | age | Age | discrete | numeric-1.0 | 1282 | 0 | - |
| 3 | edu | Education | discrete | numeric-1.0 | 1282 | 0 | - |
| 4 | sengi | Father's ethnic background | discrete | numeric-1.0 | 1282 | 0 | - |
| 5 | masengi | Mother's ethnic background | discrete | numeric-1.0 | 1282 | 0 | - |
| 6 | t_cidentity | Taiwanese/Chinese identity | discrete | numeric-1.0 | 1282 | 0 | - |
| 7 | partyid | Party identification | discrete | numeric-1.0 | 1282 | 0 | - |
| 8 | party | Party support | discrete | numeric-2.0 | 1282 | 0 | - |
| 9 | tondu | Position on unification and independence | discrete | numeric-1.0 | 1282 | 0 | - |
| 10 | career | Occupation5 | discrete | numeric-1.0 | 1282 | 0 | - |
| 11 | career8 | Occupation8 | discrete | numeric-1.0 | 1282 | 0 | - |
| 12 | arear | Area | discrete | numeric-1.0 | 1282 | 0 | - |

Group Weight

| # | Name | Label | Type | Format | Valid | Invalid | Question |
|---|------|--------|------------|-------------|-------|---------|----------|
| 1 | w | Weight | continuous | numeric-8.5 | 1282 | 0 | - |

Variables Description

Dataset contains 81 variable(s)

File : TEDS2016-T_PA09E

id: identified number

| | |
|--------------------|--|
| Information | [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 158014-288965] [Missing=*] |
| Statistics [NW/ W] | [Valid=1282 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=210818.19 /-] [StdDev=40735.245 /-] |

date: day

| | |
|--------------------|--|
| Information | [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 160922-160930] [Missing=*] |
| Statistics [NW/ W] | [Valid=1282 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=160925.227 /-] [StdDev=2.601 /-] |

btime: starting time

| | |
|--------------------|---|
| Information | [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 180821-220534] [Missing=*] |
| Statistics [NW/ W] | [Valid=1282 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=200704.644 /-] [StdDev=10988.559 /-] |

etime: ending time

| | |
|--------------------|---|
| Information | [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 181744-222117] [Missing=*] |
| Statistics [NW/ W] | [Valid=1282 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=202746.986 /-] [StdDev=11204.076 /-] |

q1: 0.What is your habit of telephone habits? Only mobile phone? Only home phone? Or both of mobile and home phone?

| | |
|--------------------|---|
| Information | [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-95] [Missing=*] |
| Statistics [NW/ W] | [Valid=1282 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] |

| Value | Label | Cases | Percentage |
|-------|-------------------------------|-------|------------|
| 1 | Only mobile phone | 204 | 15.9% |
| 2 | Only home phone | 110 | 8.6% |
| 3 | Both of mobile and home phone | 966 | 75.4% |
| 95 | Refuse to answer | 2 | 0.2% |

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q2: A.Which telecom company does your mobile number belong to? [Ask in Mobile phone interview only]

| | |
|--------------------|---|
| Information | [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*] |
| Statistics [NW/ W] | [Valid=1282 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] |

| Value | Label | Cases | Percentage |
|-------|----------------------|-------|------------|
| 1 | Chunghwa Telecom | 623 | 48.6% |
| 2 | Taiwan Mobile | 254 | 19.8% |
| 3 | FarEasTone (FET) | 207 | 16.1% |
| 4 | T-star Telecom | 35 | 2.7% |
| 5 | Asia Pacific Telecom | 26 | 2.0% |
| 95 | Refuse to answer | 16 | 1.2% |
| 98 | Don't know | 9 | 0.7% |
| 99 | Skipped | 112 | 8.7% |

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q3: 1.Generally, would you say that you are very, somewhat, not very or not at all interested in politics?

| | |
|--------------------|---|
| Information | [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*] |
| Statistics [NW/ W] | [Valid=1282 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] |

| Value | Label | Cases | Percentage |
|-------|-----------------------|-------|------------|
| 1 | Not interested at all | 222 | 17.3% |
| 2 | Not very interested | 575 | 44.9% |

File : TEDS2016-T_PA09E

q3: 1.Generally, would you say that you are very, somewhat, not very or not at all interested in politics?

| Value | Label | Cases | Percentage |
|-------|---------------------|-------|------------|
| 3 | Somewhat interested | 357 | 27.8% |
| 4 | Very interested | 68 | 5.3% |
| 95 | Refuse to answer | 3 | 0.2% |
| 96 | It depends | 30 | 2.3% |
| 97 | No opinion | 16 | 1.2% |
| 98 | Don't know | 11 | 0.9% |

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q4: 2.How satisfied are you with Tsai Ing-wen's overall performance as president since her inauguration?

| | |
|--------------------|---|
| Information | [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*] |
| Statistics [NW/ W] | [Valid=1282 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] |

| Value | Label | Cases | Percentage |
|-------|----------------------|-------|------------|
| 1 | Very satisfied | 101 | 7.9% |
| 2 | Satisfied | 382 | 29.8% |
| 3 | Not very satisfied | 341 | 26.6% |
| 4 | Not satisfied at all | 209 | 16.3% |
| 95 | Refuse to answer | 24 | 1.9% |
| 96 | It's hard to say | 54 | 4.2% |
| 97 | No opinion | 90 | 7.0% |
| 98 | Don't know | 81 | 6.3% |

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q5: 3.Regarding following problems that our country is facing, what do you think is the most priority that President Tsai, Ing-wen should handle?

| | |
|--------------------|---|
| Information | [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*] |
| Statistics [NW/ W] | [Valid=1282 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] |

| Value | Label | Cases | Percentage |
|-------|--|-------|------------|
| 1 | Cross-strait relations | 197 | 15.4% |
| 2 | Education policy | 134 | 10.5% |
| 3 | Annuity reform | 187 | 14.6% |
| 4 | Economic development | 547 | 42.7% |
| 5 | Food safety | 0 | |
| 6 | Energy policy | 0 | |
| 7 | Labor policy | 1 | 0.1% |
| 8 | Judicial reform problem | 96 | 7.5% |
| 9 | Livelihood issues | 1 | 0.1% |
| 10 | Employment problem | 1 | 0.1% |
| 11 | Competition between Pan-blue and the Pan-green | 0 | |
| 12 | Traffic problem | 0 | |
| 13 | Crime problem | 3 | 0.2% |
| 14 | Transitional justice | 38 | 3.0% |
| 15 | House prices | 0 | |
| 16 | Low wages | 0 | |
| 17 | Social welfare problem | 3 | 0.2% |

File : TEDS2016-T_PA09E

q5: 3.Regarding following problems that our country is facing, what do you think is the most priority that President Tsai, Ing-wen should handle?

| Value | Label | Cases | Percentage |
|-------|------------------------------|-------|------------|
| 18 | Diplomacy problem | 1 | 0.1% |
| 19 | Gender equality | 0 | |
| 20 | Ill-gotten Party Assets | 1 | 0.1% |
| 21 | Corruption Problem | 2 | 0.2% |
| 22 | Quality of Nation | 1 | 0.1% |
| 23 | United of Nation | 1 | 0.1% |
| 24 | Financial problem | 0 | |
| 95 | Refuse to answer [skip to 4] | 7 | 0.5% |
| 96 | It's hard to say [skip to 4] | 6 | 0.5% |
| 97 | No opinion [skip to 4] | 16 | 1.2% |
| 98 | Don't know [skip to 4] | 39 | 3.0% |

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q6: 3a.Regarding following problems that our country is facing, what do you think is the next priority that President Tsai, Ing-wen should handle?

| | |
|--------------------|---|
| Information | [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*] |
| Statistics [NW/ W] | [Valid=1282 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] |

| Value | Label | Cases | Percentage |
|-------|--|-------|------------|
| 1 | Cross-strait relations | 231 | 18.0% |
| 2 | Education policy | 236 | 18.4% |
| 3 | Annuity reform | 196 | 15.3% |
| 4 | Economic development | 280 | 21.8% |
| 5 | Food safety | 0 | |
| 6 | Energy policy | 1 | 0.1% |
| 7 | Labor policy | 0 | |
| 8 | Judicial reform problem | 122 | 9.5% |
| 9 | Livelihood issues | 1 | 0.1% |
| 10 | Employment problem | 0 | |
| 11 | Competition between Pan-blue and the Pan-green | 1 | 0.1% |
| 12 | Traffic problem | 0 | |
| 13 | Crime problem | 1 | 0.1% |
| 14 | Transitional justice | 73 | 5.7% |
| 15 | House prices | 0 | |
| 16 | Low wages | 1 | 0.1% |
| 17 | Social welfare problem | 1 | 0.1% |
| 18 | Diplomacy problem | 3 | 0.2% |
| 19 | Gender equality | 0 | |
| 20 | Ill-gotten Party Assets | 2 | 0.2% |
| 21 | Corruption Problem | 0 | |
| 22 | Quality of Nation | 0 | |
| 23 | United of Nation | 0 | |
| 24 | Financial problem | 1 | 0.1% |
| 92 | None | 6 | 0.5% |

File : TEDS2016-T_PA09E

q6: 3a.Regarding following problems that our country is facing, what do you think is the next priority that President Tsai, Ing-wen should handle?

| Value | Label | Cases | Percentage |
|-------|------------------|-------|------------|
| 95 | Refuse to answer | 1 | 0.1% |
| 96 | It's hard to say | 3 | 0.2% |
| 97 | No opinion | 22 | 1.7% |
| 98 | Don't know | 32 | 2.5% |
| 99 | Skipped | 68 | 5.3% |

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q7: 4.How satisfied are you with Tsai, Ing-wen's performance in handling the Cross-strait relations?

| | |
|--------------------|---|
| Information | [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*] |
| Statistics [NW/ W] | [Valid=1282 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] |

| Value | Label | Cases | Percentage |
|-------|----------------------|-------|------------|
| 1 | Very satisfied | 103 | 8.0% |
| 2 | Satisfied | 299 | 23.3% |
| 3 | Not very satisfied | 342 | 26.7% |
| 4 | Not satisfied at all | 285 | 22.2% |
| 95 | Refuse to answer | 8 | 0.6% |
| 96 | It's hard to say | 48 | 3.7% |
| 97 | No opinion | 73 | 5.7% |
| 98 | Don't know | 124 | 9.7% |

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q8: 5.How satisfied are you with Tsai, Ing-wen's performance in diplomacy?

| | |
|--------------------|---|
| Information | [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*] |
| Statistics [NW/ W] | [Valid=1282 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] |

| Value | Label | Cases | Percentage |
|-------|----------------------|-------|------------|
| 1 | Very satisfied | 82 | 6.4% |
| 2 | Satisfied | 360 | 28.1% |
| 3 | Not very satisfied | 327 | 25.5% |
| 4 | Not satisfied at all | 190 | 14.8% |
| 95 | Refuse to answer | 7 | 0.5% |
| 96 | It's hard to say | 51 | 4.0% |
| 97 | No opinion | 78 | 6.1% |
| 98 | Don't know | 187 | 14.6% |

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q9: 6.How satisfied are you with Tsai, Ing-wen's performance in national defense?

| | |
|--------------------|---|
| Information | [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*] |
| Statistics [NW/ W] | [Valid=1282 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] |

| Value | Label | Cases | Percentage |
|-------|----------------------|-------|------------|
| 1 | Very satisfied | 109 | 8.5% |
| 2 | Satisfied | 362 | 28.2% |
| 3 | Not very satisfied | 333 | 26.0% |
| 4 | Not satisfied at all | 173 | 13.5% |

File : TEDS2016-T_PA09E

q9: 6.How satisfied are you with Tsai, Ing-wen's performance in national defense?

| Value | Label | Cases | Percentage |
|-------|------------------|-------|------------|
| 95 | Refuse to answer | 7 | 0.5% |
| 96 | It's hard to say | 41 | 3.2% |
| 97 | No opinion | 85 | 6.6% |
| 98 | Don't know | 172 | 13.4% |

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q10: 7.How satisfied are you with Tsai, Ing-wen's performance in promoting economic development?

| | |
|--------------------|---|
| Information | [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*] |
| Statistics [NW/ W] | [Valid=1282 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] |

| Value | Label | Cases | Percentage |
|-------|----------------------|-------|------------|
| 1 | Very satisfied | 61 | 4.8% |
| 2 | Satisfied | 292 | 22.8% |
| 3 | Not very satisfied | 461 | 36.0% |
| 4 | Not satisfied at all | 264 | 20.6% |
| 95 | Refuse to answer | 5 | 0.4% |
| 96 | It's hard to say | 51 | 4.0% |
| 97 | No opinion | 48 | 3.7% |
| 98 | Don't know | 100 | 7.8% |

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q11: 8.How satisfied are you with Tsai, Ing-wen's performance in handling the livelihood issues?

| | |
|--------------------|---|
| Information | [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*] |
| Statistics [NW/ W] | [Valid=1282 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] |

| Value | Label | Cases | Percentage |
|-------|----------------------|-------|------------|
| 1 | Very satisfied | 82 | 6.4% |
| 2 | Satisfied | 427 | 33.3% |
| 3 | Not very satisfied | 378 | 29.5% |
| 4 | Not satisfied at all | 204 | 15.9% |
| 95 | Refuse to answer | 3 | 0.2% |
| 96 | It's hard to say | 36 | 2.8% |
| 97 | No opinion | 49 | 3.8% |
| 98 | Don't know | 103 | 8.0% |

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.









q12: 9.Regard your trust toward President Tsai, Ing-wen, if 0 means that you have a deep distrust of her, 10 means that you have a high trust of her, how would you rate Tsai, Ing-wen using a 0-to-10 scale?

| | |
|--------------------|---|
| Information | [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*] |
| Statistics [NW/ W] | [Valid=1282 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] |

| Value | Label | Cases | Percentage |
|-------|------------------------|-------|------------|
| 0 | 0 have a deep distrust | 104 | 8.1% |
| 1 | | 36 | 2.8% |
| 2 | | 41 | 3.2% |
| 3 | | 78 | 6.1% |
| 4 | | 86 | 6.7% |

File : TEDS2016-T_PA09E














q12: 9.Regard your trust toward President Tsai, Ing-wen, if 0 means that you have a deep distrust of her, 10 means that you have a high trust of her, how would you rate Tsai, Ing-wen using a 0-to-10 scale?

| Value | Label | Cases | Percentage |
|-------|----------------------|-------|---|
| 5 | | 272 |  21.2% |
| 6 | | 166 |  12.9% |
| 7 | | 164 |  12.8% |
| 8 | | 157 |  12.2% |
| 9 | | 38 |  3.0% |
| 10 | 10 have a high trust | 88 |  6.9% |
| 95 | Refuse to answer | 5 |  0.4% |
| 98 | Don't know | 47 |  3.7% |

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q13: 10.In human resource allocation, if 0 means that she employs persons irrelevantly, 10 means that she employs persons relevantly, how would you rate Tsai, Ing-wen using a 0-to-10 scale?









| | |
|--------------------|---|
| Information | [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*] |
| Statistics [NW/ W] | [Valid=1282 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] |

| Value | Label | Cases | Percentage |
|-------|--------------------------------|-------|---|
| 0 | 0 employs persons irrelevantly | 112 |  8.7% |
| 1 | | 32 |  2.5% |
| 2 | | 64 |  5.0% |
| 3 | | 124 |  9.7% |
| 4 | | 101 |  7.9% |
| 5 | | 324 |  25.3% |
| 6 | | 175 |  13.7% |
| 7 | | 120 |  9.4% |
| 8 | | 71 |  5.5% |
| 9 | | 17 |  1.3% |
| 10 | 10 employs persons relevantly | 28 |  2.2% |
| 95 | Refuse to answer | 5 |  0.4% |
| 98 | Don't know | 109 |  8.5% |

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q14: 11.Are you satisfied with the overall performance of Lin, Chuan as premier?

| | |
|--------------------|---|
| Information | [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*] |
| Statistics [NW/ W] | [Valid=1282 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] |

| Value | Label | Cases | Percentage |
|-------|----------------------|-------|---|
| 1 | Very satisfied | 52 |  4.1% |
| 2 | Satisfied | 316 |  24.6% |
| 3 | Not very satisfied | 460 |  35.9% |
| 4 | Not satisfied at all | 196 |  15.3% |
| 95 | Refuse to answer | 1 |  0.1% |
| 96 | It's hard to say | 39 |  3.0% |
| 97 | No opinion | 67 |  5.2% |
| 98 | Don't know | 151 |  11.8% |

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

File : TEDS2016-T_PA09E

q15: 12.Are you satisfied with the overall performance of the Executive Yuan?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1282 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

| Value | Label | Cases | Percentage |
|-------|----------------------|-------|------------|
| 1 | Very satisfied | 44 | 3.4% |
| 2 | Satisfied | 337 | 26.3% |
| 3 | Not very satisfied | 528 | 41.2% |
| 4 | Not satisfied at all | 224 | 17.5% |
| 95 | Refuse to answer | 3 | 0.2% |
| 96 | It's hard to say | 30 | 2.3% |
| 97 | No opinion | 38 | 3.0% |
| 98 | Don't know | 78 | 6.1% |

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q16: 13.Which party's performance do you think is the best since the Legislative Yuan began its session this February?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1282 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

| Value | Label | Cases | Percentage |
|-------|-------------------------------|-------|------------|
| 1 | KMT | 93 | 7.3% |
| 2 | DPP | 223 | 17.4% |
| 4 | PFP | 4 | 0.3% |
| 21 | New Power Party | 185 | 14.4% |
| 28 | Non-Partisan Solidarity Union | 2 | 0.2% |
| 91 | All are good | 15 | 1.2% |
| 92 | All are not good | 350 | 27.3% |
| 95 | Refuse to answer | 25 | 2.0% |
| 96 | It's hard to say | 41 | 3.2% |
| 97 | No opinion | 67 | 5.2% |
| 98 | Don't know | 277 | 21.6% |

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q17: 13a.Which party's performance do you think is the worst since the Legislative Yuan began its session this February?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1282 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

| Value | Label | Cases | Percentage |
|-------|-------------------------------|-------|------------|
| 1 | KMT | 366 | 28.5% |
| 2 | DPP | 159 | 12.4% |
| 4 | PFP | 29 | 2.3% |
| 21 | New Power Party | 122 | 9.5% |
| 28 | Non-Partisan Solidarity Union | 7 | 0.5% |
| 91 | All are good | 10 | 0.8% |
| 92 | All are not good | 156 | 12.2% |
| 95 | Refuse to answer | 33 | 2.6% |
| 96 | It's hard to say | 56 | 4.4% |

File : TEDS2016-T_PA09E

q17: 13a.Which party's performance do you think is the worst since the Legislative Yuan began its session this February?

| Value | Label | Cases | Percentage |
|-------|------------|-------|------------|
| 97 | No opinion | 83 | 6.5% |
| 98 | Don't know | 261 | 20.4% |

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q18: 14.Are you satisfied with the performance of Hung, Hsiu-chu when she was the chairman of KMT?

| | |
|--------------------|---|
| Information | [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*] |
| Statistics [NW/ W] | [Valid=1282 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] |

| Value | Label | Cases | Percentage |
|-------|----------------------|-------|------------|
| 1 | Very satisfied | 56 | 4.4% |
| 2 | Satisfied | 287 | 22.4% |
| 3 | Not very satisfied | 348 | 27.1% |
| 4 | Not satisfied at all | 235 | 18.3% |
| 95 | Refuse to answer | 10 | 0.8% |
| 96 | It's hard to say | 37 | 2.9% |
| 97 | No opinion | 104 | 8.1% |
| 98 | Don't know | 205 | 16.0% |

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q19: 15.If 0 means you do not trust it at all, 10 means you fully trust it, how would you rate the government of mainland china using a 0-to-10 scale?

| | |
|--------------------|---|
| Information | [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*] |
| Statistics [NW/ W] | [Valid=1282 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] |

| Value | Label | Cases | Percentage |
|-------|------------------------|-------|------------|
| 0 | 0 have a deep distrust | 364 | 28.4% |
| 1 | | 48 | 3.7% |
| 2 | | 87 | 6.8% |
| 3 | | 165 | 12.9% |
| 4 | | 90 | 7.0% |
| 5 | | 282 | 22.0% |
| 6 | | 71 | 5.5% |
| 7 | | 38 | 3.0% |
| 8 | | 23 | 1.8% |
| 9 | | 3 | 0.2% |
| 10 | 10 have a high trust | 10 | 0.8% |
| 95 | Refuse to answer | 21 | 1.6% |
| 98 | Don't know | 80 | 6.2% |

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q20: 16.The Legislative Yuan has passed the Ill-gotten Party Assets Settlement Bill in July, 2016. Are you satisfied with government in handling the Ill-gotten Party Assets?

| | |
|--------------------|---|
| Information | [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*] |
| Statistics [NW/ W] | [Valid=1282 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] |

| Value | Label | Cases | Percentage |
|-------|----------------|-------|------------|
| 1 | Very satisfied | 144 | 11.2% |

File : TEDS2016-T_PA09E

q20: 16.The Legislative Yuan has passed the Ill-gotten Party Assets Settlement Bill in July, 2016. Are you satisfied with government in handling the Ill-gotten Party Assets?

| Value | Label | Cases | Percentage |
|-------|----------------------|-------|------------|
| 2 | Satisfied | 305 | 23.8% |
| 3 | Not very satisfied | 335 | 26.1% |
| 4 | Not satisfied at all | 233 | 18.2% |
| 95 | Refuse to answer | 14 | 1.1% |
| 96 | It's hard to say | 22 | 1.7% |
| 97 | No opinion | 46 | 3.6% |
| 98 | Don't know | 183 | 14.3% |

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q21: 17.Someone said: To reduce inequality is the responsibility of government. Another said: Wealth is a result of hard-working. Which statements you agree?

| | |
|--------------------|---|
| Information | [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*] |
| Statistics [NW/ W] | [Valid=1282 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] |

| Value | Label | Cases | Percentage |
|-------|----------------------------------|-------|------------|
| 1 | The responsibility of government | 496 | 38.7% |
| 2 | Hard-working by themselves | 602 | 47.0% |
| 3 | Both | 138 | 10.8% |
| 95 | Refuse to answer | 3 | 0.2% |
| 96 | It depends | 8 | 0.6% |
| 97 | No opinion | 7 | 0.5% |
| 98 | Don't know | 28 | 2.2% |

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q22: 18.Someone said: Decreasing unemployment rate is the responsibility of government. Another said: Getting a job is someone's own business. Which statements you agree?

| | |
|--------------------|---|
| Information | [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*] |
| Statistics [NW/ W] | [Valid=1282 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] |

| Value | Label | Cases | Percentage |
|-------|----------------------------------|-------|------------|
| 1 | The responsibility of government | 550 | 42.9% |
| 2 | Someone's own business | 558 | 43.5% |
| 3 | Both | 142 | 11.1% |
| 95 | Refuse to answer | 1 | 0.1% |
| 96 | It depends | 6 | 0.5% |
| 97 | No opinion | 3 | 0.2% |
| 98 | Don't know | 22 | 1.7% |

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.





q23: 19.Would you say that over the past six months, the state of economy of Taiwan has gotten better, stayed about the same, or gotten worse?

| | |
|--------------------|---|
| Information | [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*] |
| Statistics [NW/ W] | [Valid=1282 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] |

| Value | Label | Cases | Percentage |
|-------|----------------|-------|------------|
| 1 | Better | 90 | 7.0% |
| 2 | About the same | 650 | 50.7% |

File : TEDS2016-T_PA09E








q23: 19.Would you say that over the past six months, the state of economy of Taiwan has gotten better, stayed about the same, or gotten worse?

| Value | Label | Cases | Percentage |
|-------|------------------|-------|---|
| 3 | Worse | 507 |  39.5% |
| 95 | Refuse to answer | 0 | |
| 96 | It depends | 3 |  0.2% |
| 97 | No opinion | 3 |  0.2% |
| 98 | Don't know | 29 |  2.3% |

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q24: 20.Would you say that in the next six months, the state of the economy of Taiwan will get better, stay about the same, or get worse?













| | |
|--------------------|---|
| Information | [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*] |
| Statistics [NW/ W] | [Valid=1282 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] |

| Value | Label | Cases | Percentage |
|-------|------------------|-------|---|
| 1 | Better | 168 |  13.1% |
| 2 | About the same | 561 |  43.8% |
| 3 | Worse | 401 |  31.3% |
| 95 | Refuse to answer | 2 |  0.2% |
| 96 | It depends | 35 |  2.7% |
| 97 | No opinion | 3 |  0.2% |
| 98 | Don't know | 112 |  8.7% |

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q25: 21.Concerning the relationship between Taiwan and mainland China, which of the following six positions do you agree with?

| | |
|--------------------|---|
| Information | [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*] |
| Statistics [NW/ W] | [Valid=1282 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] |

| Value | Label | Cases | Percentage |
|-------|--|-------|---|
| 1 | Immediate unification | 17 |  1.3% |
| 2 | Immediate independence | 55 |  4.3% |
| 3 | Maintain the status quo, move toward unification in the futu | 96 |  7.5% |
| 4 | Maintain the status quo, move toward independence in the fut | 262 |  20.4% |
| 5 | Maintain the status quo, decide either unification or indepe | 457 |  35.6% |
| 6 | Maintain the status quo forever | 325 |  25.4% |
| 7 | Is already an independent country | 3 |  0.2% |
| 8 | Is already an unified country | 1 |  0.1% |
| 95 | Refuse to answer | 8 |  0.6% |
| 96 | It's hard to say | 11 |  0.9% |
| 97 | No opinion | 10 |  0.8% |
| 98 | Don't know | 37 |  2.9% |

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q26: 22.Among all political parties in our country, which party do you think of yourself as leaning toward?

| | |
|--------------------|---|
| Information | [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*] |
| Statistics [NW/ W] | [Valid=1282 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] |

File : TEDS2016-T_PA09E

q26: 22.Among all political parties in our country, which party do you think of yourself as leaning toward?

| Value | Label | Cases | Percentage |
|-------|--|-------|------------|
| 1 | KMT [continue to 22a] | 255 | 19.9% |
| 2 | DPP [continue to 22a] | 349 | 27.2% |
| 3 | NP [continue to 22a] | 4 | 0.3% |
| 4 | PFP [continue to 22a] | 22 | 1.7% |
| 5 | TSU [continue to 22a] | 3 | 0.2% |
| 6 | All support [continue to 22b] | 29 | 2.3% |
| 7 | All don't support [continue to 22b] | 318 | 24.8% |
| 9 | KMT+NP [continue to 22b] | 0 | |
| 17 | PAN-GREEN [continue to 22b] | 2 | 0.2% |
| 19 | Vote for candidate not for his/her party [continue to 22b] | 33 | 2.6% |
| 20 | Green Party [continue to 22a] | 5 | 0.4% |
| 21 | New Power Party [continue to 22a] | 88 | 6.9% |
| 22 | Minkuotang [continue to 22a] | 2 | 0.2% |
| 23 | Social Democratic Party [continue to 22a] | 3 | 0.2% |
| 24 | Tree Party [continue to 22a] | 2 | 0.2% |
| 26 | Free Taiwan Party [continue to 22a] | 0 | |
| 27 | The Motorists' Party of R.O.C. [continue to 22a] | 0 | |
| 28 | Non-Partisan Solidarity Union [continue to 22a] | 1 | 0.1% |
| 30 | Faith And Hope League [continue to 22a] | 0 | |
| 95 | Refuse to answer [continue to 22b] | 22 | 1.7% |
| 96 | It depends [continue to 22b] | 63 | 4.9% |
| 97 | No opinion [continue to 22b] | 48 | 3.7% |
| 98 | Don't know [continue to 22b] | 33 | 2.6% |

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q27: 22a.Do you lean very strongly or somewhat?

| | |
|--------------------|---|
| Information | [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*] |
| Statistics [NW/ W] | [Valid=1282 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] |

| Value | Label | Cases | Percentage |
|-------|---------------|-------|------------|
| 1 | Very strongly | 228 | 17.8% |
| 2 | Somewhat | 506 | 39.5% |
| 99 | Skipped | 548 | 42.7% |

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q28: 22b.Relatively speaking, do you lean toward any political party?

| | |
|--------------------|---|
| Information | [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 3-99] [Missing=*] |
| Statistics [NW/ W] | [Valid=1282 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] |

| Value | Label | Cases | Percentage |
|-------|-------|-------|------------|
| 3 | KMT | 48 | 3.7% |
| 4 | DPP | 32 | 2.5% |
| 5 | NP | 3 | 0.2% |
| 6 | PFP | 1 | 0.1% |
| 7 | TSU | 0 | |
| 8 | None | 369 | 28.8% |

File : TEDS2016-T_PA09E

q28: 22b. Relatively speaking, do you lean toward any political party?

| Value | Label | Cases | Percentage |
|-------|--|-------|------------|
| 18 | PAN-BLUE | 1 | 0.1% |
| 19 | PAN-GREEN | 3 | 0.2% |
| 21 | Vote for candidate not for his/her party | 17 | 1.3% |
| 23 | Green Party | 0 | |
| 24 | New Power Party | 3 | 0.2% |
| 25 | Minkuotang | 0 | |
| 26 | Social Democratic Party | 0 | |
| 27 | Tree Party | 0 | |
| 34 | Faith And Hope Leagu | 0 | |
| 37 | Taiwan labor party | 0 | |
| 95 | Refuse to answer | 11 | 0.9% |
| 96 | It depends | 20 | 1.6% |
| 97 | No opinion | 32 | 2.5% |
| 98 | Don't know | 8 | 0.6% |
| 99 | Skipped | 734 | 57.3% |

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q29: 23. In Taiwan, some people think they are Taiwanese. There are also some people who think that they are Chinese. Do you consider yourself as Taiwanese, Chinese or both?

| | |
|--------------------|---|
| Information | [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*] |
| Statistics [NW/ W] | [Valid=1282 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] |

| Value | Label | Cases | Percentage |
|-------|------------------|-------|------------|
| 1 | Taiwanese | 751 | 58.6% |
| 2 | Both | 424 | 33.1% |
| 3 | Chinese | 47 | 3.7% |
| 95 | Refuse to answer | 5 | 0.4% |
| 96 | It's hard to say | 8 | 0.6% |
| 97 | No opinion | 8 | 0.6% |
| 98 | Don't know | 39 | 3.0% |

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q30: 24. Year of birth

| | |
|--------------------|---|
| Information | [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 6-95] [Missing=*] |
| Statistics [NW/ W] | [Valid=1282 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] |

| Value | Label | Cases | Percentage |
|-------|------------------|-------|------------|
| 95 | Refuse to answer | 24 | 100.0% |

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q31: 25. Education level

| | |
|--------------------|---|
| Information | [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-95] [Missing=*] |
| Statistics [NW/ W] | [Valid=1282 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] |

| Value | Label | Cases | Percentage |
|-------|-------------------------|-------|------------|
| 1 | Illiterate | 19 | 1.5% |
| 2 | Primary school graduate | 78 | 6.1% |

File : TEDS2016-T_PA09E

q31: 25.Education level

| Value | Label | Cases | Percentage |
|-------|---|-------|------------|
| 3 | Junior high school graduate | 121 | 9.4% |
| 4 | High school or vocational school graduate | 332 | 25.9% |
| 5 | Technical college graduate | 201 | 15.7% |
| 6 | University graduate | 396 | 30.9% |
| 7 | Post-graduate education | 129 | 10.1% |
| 95 | Refuse to answer | 6 | 0.5% |

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q32: 26.Father's ethnic background

| | |
|--------------------|---|
| Information | [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*] |
| Statistics [NW/ W] | [Valid=1282 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] |

| Value | Label | Cases | Percentage |
|-------|---------------------------|-------|------------|
| 1 | Taiwanese Hakka | 159 | 12.4% |
| 2 | Taiwanese Min-nan | 945 | 73.7% |
| 3 | Mainlander | 125 | 9.8% |
| 4 | Aboriginal | 14 | 1.1% |
| 5 | Foreigner | 1 | 0.1% |
| 6 | Recent Mainland immigrant | 1 | 0.1% |
| 7 | Recent Foreign immigrant | 2 | 0.2% |
| 95 | Refuse to answer | 10 | 0.8% |
| 98 | Don't know | 25 | 2.0% |

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q33: 27.Mother's ethnic background

| | |
|--------------------|---|
| Information | [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*] |
| Statistics [NW/ W] | [Valid=1282 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] |

| Value | Label | Cases | Percentage |
|-------|---------------------------|-------|------------|
| 1 | Taiwanese Hakka | 173 | 13.5% |
| 2 | Taiwanese Min-nan | 984 | 76.8% |
| 3 | Mainlander | 62 | 4.8% |
| 4 | Aboriginal | 25 | 2.0% |
| 5 | Foreigner | 1 | 0.1% |
| 6 | Recent Mainland immigrant | 1 | 0.1% |
| 7 | Recent Foreign immigrant | 2 | 0.2% |
| 95 | Refuse to answer | 11 | 0.9% |
| 98 | Don't know | 23 | 1.8% |

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q34: 28.Main occupation of respondent

| | |
|--------------------|--|
| Information | [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 101-995] [Missing=*] |
| Statistics [NW/ W] | [Valid=1282 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] |

| Value | Label | Cases | Percentage |
|-------|---------------------------|-------|------------|
| 101 | Legislators | 0 | |
| 102 | Government Administrators | 4 | 0.3% |

File : TEDS2016-T_PA09E

q34: 28.Main occupation of respondent

| Value | Label | Cases | Percentage |
|-------|--|-------|------------|
| 103 | Chief Executives (Pub.) | 7 | 0.5% |
| 104 | Chief Executives (Priv.) | 118 | 9.2% |
| 105 | Directors have employee | 77 | 6.0% |
| 106 | Directors don't have employee | 61 | 4.8% |
| 201 | Science Professionals (Pub.) | 0 | |
| 202 | Science Professionals (Priv.) | 1 | 0.1% |
| 203 | Health Associate Professionals (Pub.) | 5 | 0.4% |
| 204 | Health Associate Professionals (Priv.) | 12 | 0.9% |
| 205 | Accountants | 4 | 0.3% |
| 206 | Teachers (Pub.) | 33 | 2.6% |
| 207 | Teachers (Priv.) | 10 | 0.8% |
| 208 | Lawyers and Legal Professionals (Pub.) | 0 | |
| 209 | Lawyers and Legal Professionals (Priv.) | 1 | 0.1% |
| 210 | Religion man | 3 | 0.2% |
| 211 | Abstractionist | 3 | 0.2% |
| 212 | Writer (author, reporter, dramatist) | 1 | 0.1% |
| 213 | Eng. Science Professionals (Pub.) | 0 | |
| 214 | Eng. Science Professionals (Priv.) | 27 | 2.1% |
| 215 | Sportsman | 1 | 0.1% |
| 301 | Office Clerks (Pub.) | 29 | 2.3% |
| 302 | Office Clerks (Priv.) | 162 | 12.6% |
| 303 | Salespersons | 8 | 0.6% |
| 401 | Personal Services Workers (Priv.) | 47 | 3.7% |
| 501 | Agr., Animal Husbandry, Forestry and Fishing Workers (Priv.) | 34 | 2.7% |
| 601 | Production Laborers and Rel. Workers (Pub.) | 18 | 1.4% |
| 602 | Production Laborers and Rel. Workers (Priv.) | 206 | 16.1% |
| 701 | Students | 47 | 3.7% |
| 801 | Servicemen | 12 | 0.9% |
| 901 | Housekeeping, without domestic crafting [continue to 28a] | 126 | 9.8% |
| 902 | Housekeeping, with domestic crafting [continue to 28a] | 19 | 1.5% |
| 903 | Housekeeping, helping familial business without pay [continu | 16 | 1.2% |
| 904 | Housekeeping, helping familial business with pay [continue t | 6 | 0.5% |
| 905 | Unemployment [skip to 28b] | 29 | 2.3% |
| 906 | Retired [skip to 28b] | 144 | 11.2% |
| 907 | Not working, but has income | 1 | 0.1% |
| 911 | Haven't employed | 0 | |
| 995 | Refuse to answer | 10 | 0.8% |

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q35: 28a.Main occupation of respondent's spouse

| Information | [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 101-999] [Missing=*] | | |
|--------------------|--|-------|------------|
| Statistics [NW/ W] | [Valid=1282 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] | | |
| Value | Label | Cases | Percentage |
| 101 | Legislators | 0 | |

File : TEDS2016-T_PA09E

q35: 28a.Main occupation of respondent's spouse

| Value | Label | Cases | Percentage |
|-------|--|-------|------------|
| 102 | Government Administrators | 2 | 0.2% |
| 103 | Chief Executives (Pub.) | 2 | 0.2% |
| 104 | Chief Executives (Priv.) | 21 | 1.6% |
| 105 | Directors have employee | 17 | 1.3% |
| 106 | Directors don't have employee | 11 | 0.9% |
| 201 | Science Professionals (Pub.) | 0 | |
| 202 | Science Professionals (Priv.) | 1 | 0.1% |
| 203 | Health Associate Professionals (Pub.) | 0 | |
| 204 | Health Associate Professionals (Priv.) | 4 | 0.3% |
| 205 | Accountants | 0 | |
| 206 | Teachers (Pub.) | 2 | 0.2% |
| 207 | Teachers (Priv.) | 1 | 0.1% |
| 208 | Lawyers and Legal Professionals (Pub.) | 0 | |
| 209 | Lawyers and Legal Professionals (Priv.) | 0 | |
| 210 | Religion man | 0 | |
| 211 | Abstractionist | 1 | 0.1% |
| 212 | Writer (author, reporter, dramatist) | 0 | |
| 213 | Eng. Science Professionals (Pub.) | 0 | |
| 214 | Eng. Science Professionals (Priv.) | 4 | 0.3% |
| 215 | Sportsman | 0 | |
| 301 | Office Clerks (Pub.) | 5 | 0.4% |
| 302 | Office Clerks (Priv.) | 9 | 0.7% |
| 303 | Salespersons | 1 | 0.1% |
| 401 | Personal Services Workers (Priv.) | 5 | 0.4% |
| 501 | Agr., Animal Husbandry, Forestry and Fishing Workers (Priv.) | 5 | 0.4% |
| 601 | Production Laborers and Rel. Workers (Pub.) | 2 | 0.2% |
| 602 | Production Laborers and Rel. Workers (Priv.) | 52 | 4.1% |
| 701 | Students | 0 | |
| 801 | Servicemen | 2 | 0.2% |
| 901 | Widowed | 6 | 0.5% |
| 902 | Divorced | 8 | 0.6% |
| 907 | Not working, but has income | 0 | |
| 911 | Haven't employed | 0 | |
| 995 | Refuse to answer | 6 | 0.5% |
| 999 | Skipped | 1115 | 87.0% |

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q36: 28b.Main occupation of respondent before retirement or unemployment

| Information | [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 101-999] [Missing=*] | | |
|--------------------|--|-------|------------|
| Statistics [NW/ W] | [Valid=1282 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] | | |
| Value | Label | Cases | Percentage |
| 101 | Legislators | 0 | |
| 102 | Government Administrators | 4 | 0.3% |
| 103 | Chief Executives (Pub.) | 4 | 0.3% |

File : TEDS2016-T_PA09E

q36: 28b.Main occupation of respondent before retirement or unemployment

| Value | Label | Cases | Percentage |
|-------|--|-------|------------|
| 104 | Chief Executives (Priv.) | 25 | 2.0% |
| 105 | Directors have employee | 12 | 0.9% |
| 106 | Directors don't have employee | 4 | 0.3% |
| 201 | Science Professionals (Pub.) | 0 | |
| 202 | Science Professionals (Priv.) | 0 | |
| 203 | Health Associate Professionals (Pub.) | 0 | |
| 204 | Health Associate Professionals (Priv.) | 2 | 0.2% |
| 205 | Accountants | 0 | |
| 206 | Teachers (Pub.) | 14 | 1.1% |
| 207 | Teachers (Priv.) | 1 | 0.1% |
| 208 | Lawyers and Legal Professionals (Pub.) | 0 | |
| 209 | Lawyers and Legal Professionals (Priv.) | 0 | |
| 210 | Religion man | 0 | |
| 211 | Abstractionist | 2 | 0.2% |
| 212 | Writer (author, reporter, dramatist) | 0 | |
| 213 | Eng. Science Professionals (Pub.) | 0 | |
| 214 | Eng. Science Professionals (Priv.) | 1 | 0.1% |
| 215 | Sportsman | 0 | |
| 301 | Office Clerks (Pub.) | 7 | 0.5% |
| 302 | Office Clerks (Priv.) | 17 | 1.3% |
| 303 | Salespersons | 1 | 0.1% |
| 401 | Personal Services Workers (Priv.) | 7 | 0.5% |
| 501 | Agr., Animal Husbandry, Forestry and Fishing Workers (Priv.) | 13 | 1.0% |
| 601 | Production Laborers and Rel. Workers (Pub.) | 10 | 0.8% |
| 602 | Production Laborers and Rel. Workers (Priv.) | 33 | 2.6% |
| 701 | Students | 8 | 0.6% |
| 801 | Servicemen | 5 | 0.4% |
| 995 | Refuse to answer | 3 | 0.2% |
| 999 | Skipped | 1109 | 86.5% |

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q37: 29.Please tell me where your household is registered?

| Information | | [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 2-98] [Missing=*] | |
|--------------------|-----------------|---|-----------------------------|
| Statistics [NW/ W] | | [Valid=1282 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] | |
| Value | Label | Cases | Percentage |
| 2 | Yi Lan County | 22 | <div><div></div></div> 1.7% |
| 4 | Hsinchu County | 26 | <div><div></div></div> 2.0% |
| 5 | Miao Li County | 19 | <div><div></div></div> 1.5% |
| 7 | Changhua County | 84 | <div><div></div></div> 6.6% |
| 8 | Nantou County | 24 | <div><div></div></div> 1.9% |
| 9 | Yunlin County | 49 | <div><div></div></div> 3.8% |
| 10 | Chiayi County | 27 | <div><div></div></div> 2.1% |
| 13 | Pingtung County | 51 | <div><div></div></div> 4.0% |
| 14 | Taitung County | 7 | <div><div></div></div> 0.5% |

File : TEDS2016-T_PA09E

q37: 29.Please tell me where your household is registered?

| Value | Label | Cases | Percentage |
|-------|--------------------------|-------|------------|
| 15 | Hualien County | 24 | 1.9% |
| 16 | Peng Hu County | 8 | 0.6% |
| 17 | Keelung City | 15 | 1.2% |
| 18 | Hsinchu City | 27 | 2.1% |
| 20 | Chiayi City | 25 | 2.0% |
| 28 | Kinmen County | 4 | 0.3% |
| 30 | Lienchiang County County | 0 | |
| 63 | Taipei City | 117 | 9.1% |
| 64 | Kaoshiung City | 144 | 11.2% |
| 65 | New Taipei City | 223 | 17.4% |
| 66 | Taichung City | 135 | 10.5% |
| 67 | Tainan City | 115 | 9.0% |
| 68 | Taoyuan City | 115 | 9.0% |
| 95 | Refuse to answer | 19 | 1.5% |
| 98 | Don't know | 2 | 0.2% |

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q38: 29.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Yi Lan County?

| | |
|--------------------|---|
| Information | [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*] |
| Statistics [NW/ W] | [Valid=1282 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] |

| Value | Label | Cases | Percentage |
|-------|--------------------|-------|------------|
| 1 | Yilan City | 7 | 0.5% |
| 2 | Luodong Township | 4 | 0.3% |
| 3 | Suao Township | 2 | 0.2% |
| 4 | Toucheng Township | 2 | 0.2% |
| 5 | Jiaoxi Township | 1 | 0.1% |
| 6 | Zhuangwei Township | 0 | |
| 7 | Yuanshan Township | 1 | 0.1% |
| 8 | Dongshan Township | 3 | 0.2% |
| 9 | Wujie Township | 0 | |
| 10 | Sanxing Township | 1 | 0.1% |
| 11 | Datong Township | 0 | |
| 12 | Nanao Township | 0 | |
| 95 | Refuse to answer | 0 | |
| 98 | Don't know | 1 | 0.1% |
| 99 | Skipped | 1260 | 98.3% |

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q39: 29.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Hsinchu County?

| | |
|--------------------|---|
| Information | [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*] |
| Statistics [NW/ W] | [Valid=1282 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] |

| Value | Label | Cases | Percentage |
|-------|------------------|-------|------------|
| 1 | Zhubei City | 6 | 0.5% |
| 2 | Zhudong Township | 3 | 0.2% |

File : TEDS2016-T_PA09E

q39: 29.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Hsinchu County?

| Value | Label | Cases | Percentage |
|-------|-------------------|-------|------------|
| 3 | Xinpu Township | 4 | 0.3% |
| 4 | Guanxi Township | 1 | 0.1% |
| 5 | Hukou Township | 5 | 0.4% |
| 6 | Xinfeng Township | 3 | 0.2% |
| 7 | Qionglin Township | 1 | 0.1% |
| 8 | Hengshan Township | 2 | 0.2% |
| 9 | Beipu Township | 0 | |
| 10 | Baoshan Township | 0 | |
| 11 | Emei Township | 0 | |
| 12 | Jianshi Township | 0 | |
| 13 | Wufeng Township | 0 | |
| 95 | Refuse to answer | 1 | 0.1% |
| 98 | Don't know | 0 | |
| 99 | Skipped | 1256 | 98.0% |

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q40: 29.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Miaoli County?

| Information | [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*] | | |
|--------------------|---|-------|------------|
| Statistics [NW/ W] | [Valid=1282 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] | | |
| Value | Label | Cases | Percentage |
| 1 | Miaoli City | 3 | 0.2% |
| 2 | Yuanli Township | 0 | |
| 3 | Tongxiao Township | 1 | 0.1% |
| 4 | Zhunan Township | 5 | 0.4% |
| 5 | Toufen City | 3 | 0.2% |
| 6 | Houlong Township | 2 | 0.2% |
| 7 | Zhuolan Township | 0 | |
| 8 | Dahu Township | 0 | |
| 9 | Gongguan Township | 1 | 0.1% |
| 10 | Tongluo Township | 0 | |
| 11 | Nanzhuang Township | 1 | 0.1% |
| 12 | Touwu Township | 0 | |
| 13 | Sanyi Township | 0 | |
| 14 | Xihu Township | 1 | 0.1% |
| 15 | Zaoqiao Township | 0 | |
| 16 | Sanwan Township | 0 | |
| 17 | Shitan Township | 0 | |
| 18 | Taian Township | 0 | |
| 95 | Refuse to answer | 2 | 0.2% |
| 98 | Don't know | 0 | |
| 99 | Skipped | 1263 | 98.5% |

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

File : TEDS2016-T_PA09E

q41: 29.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Changhua County?

| | |
|---------------------------|---|
| Information | [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*] |
| Statistics [NW/ W] | [Valid=1282 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] |

| Value | Label | Cases | Percentage |
|-------|--------------------|-------|------------|
| 1 | Changhua City | 18 | 1.4% |
| 2 | Lugang Township | 6 | 0.5% |
| 3 | Hemei Township | 9 | 0.7% |
| 4 | Xianxi Township | 3 | 0.2% |
| 5 | Shengang Township | 3 | 0.2% |
| 6 | Fuxing Township | 2 | 0.2% |
| 7 | Xiushui Township | 2 | 0.2% |
| 8 | Huatan Township | 1 | 0.1% |
| 9 | Fenyuan Township | 1 | 0.1% |
| 10 | Yuanlin City | 13 | 1.0% |
| 11 | Xihu Township | 3 | 0.2% |
| 12 | Tianzhong Township | 3 | 0.2% |
| 13 | Dacun Township | 2 | 0.2% |
| 14 | Puyan Township | 1 | 0.1% |
| 15 | Puxin Township | 3 | 0.2% |
| 16 | Yongjing Township | 2 | 0.2% |
| 17 | Shetou Township | 1 | 0.1% |
| 18 | Ershui Township | 4 | 0.3% |
| 19 | Beidou Township | 0 | |
| 20 | Erlin Township | 3 | 0.2% |
| 21 | Tianwei Township | 2 | 0.2% |
| 22 | Pitou Township | 0 | |
| 23 | Fangyuan Township | 0 | |
| 24 | Dacheng Township | 0 | |
| 25 | Zhutang Township | 1 | 0.1% |
| 26 | Xizhou Township | 1 | 0.1% |
| 95 | Refuse to answer | 0 | |
| 98 | Don't know | 0 | |
| 99 | Skipped | 1198 | 93.4% |

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q42: 29.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Nantou County?

| | |
|---------------------------|---|
| Information | [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*] |
| Statistics [NW/ W] | [Valid=1282 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] |

| Value | Label | Cases | Percentage |
|-------|-------------------|-------|------------|
| 1 | Nantou City | 6 | 0.5% |
| 2 | Puli Township | 4 | 0.3% |
| 3 | Caotun Township | 6 | 0.5% |
| 4 | Zhushan Township | 4 | 0.3% |
| 5 | Jiji Township | 0 | |
| 6 | Mingjian Township | 2 | 0.2% |

File : TEDS2016-T_PA09E

q42: 29.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Nantou County?

| Value | Label | Cases | Percentage |
|-------|--------------------|-------|------------|
| 7 | Lugu Township | 0 | |
| 8 | Zhongliao Township | 0 | |
| 9 | Yuchi Township | 0 | |
| 10 | Guoxing Township | 1 | 0.1% |
| 11 | Shuili Township | 0 | |
| 12 | Xinyi Township | 0 | |
| 13 | Renai Township | 1 | 0.1% |
| 95 | Refuse to answer | 0 | |
| 98 | Don't know | 0 | |
| 99 | Skipped | 1258 | 98.1% |

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q43: 29.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Yunlin County?

| | |
|--------------------|---|
| Information | [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*] |
| Statistics [NW/ W] | [Valid=1282 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] |

| Value | Label | Cases | Percentage |
|-------|--------------------|-------|------------|
| 1 | Douliu City | 9 | 0.7% |
| 2 | Dounan Township | 5 | 0.4% |
| 3 | Huwei Township | 5 | 0.4% |
| 4 | Xiluo Township | 3 | 0.2% |
| 5 | Tuku Township | 3 | 0.2% |
| 6 | Beigang Township | 1 | 0.1% |
| 7 | Gukeng Township | 3 | 0.2% |
| 8 | Dapi Township | 0 | |
| 9 | Citong Township | 2 | 0.2% |
| 10 | Linnei Township | 1 | 0.1% |
| 11 | Erlun Township | 2 | 0.2% |
| 12 | Lunbei Township | 2 | 0.2% |
| 13 | Mailiao Township | 2 | 0.2% |
| 14 | Dongshi Township | 1 | 0.1% |
| 15 | Baozhong Township | 0 | |
| 16 | Taixi Township | 2 | 0.2% |
| 17 | Yuanchang Township | 2 | 0.2% |
| 18 | Sihu Township | 1 | 0.1% |
| 19 | Kouhu Township | 0 | |
| 20 | Shuilin Township | 1 | 0.1% |
| 95 | Refuse to answer | 4 | 0.3% |
| 98 | Don't know | 0 | |
| 99 | Skipped | 1233 | 96.2% |

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q44: 29.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Chiayi County?

| | |
|--------------------|---|
| Information | [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*] |
| Statistics [NW/ W] | [Valid=1282 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] |

File : TEDS2016-T_PA09E

q44: 29.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Chiayi County?

| Value | Label | Cases | Percentage |
|-------|--------------------|-------|------------|
| 1 | Taibao City | 2 | 0.2% |
| 2 | Puzi City | 6 | 0.5% |
| 3 | Budai Township | 0 | |
| 4 | Dalin Township | 3 | 0.2% |
| 5 | Minxiong Township | 3 | 0.2% |
| 6 | Xikou Township | 0 | |
| 7 | Xingang Township | 3 | 0.2% |
| 8 | Liujiao Township | 1 | 0.1% |
| 9 | Dongshi Township | 3 | 0.2% |
| 10 | Yizhu Township | 0 | |
| 11 | Lucao Township | 0 | |
| 12 | Shuishang Township | 3 | 0.2% |
| 13 | Zhongpu Township | 0 | |
| 14 | Zhuqi Township | 1 | 0.1% |
| 15 | Meishan Township | 1 | 0.1% |
| 16 | Fanlu Township | 1 | 0.1% |
| 17 | Dapu Township | 0 | |
| 18 | Alishan Township | 0 | |
| 95 | Refuse to answer | 0 | |
| 98 | Don't know | 0 | |
| 99 | Skipped | 1255 | 97.9% |

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q45: 29.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Pingtung County?

| Information | [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*] | | |
|--------------------|---|-------|------------|
| Statistics [NW/ W] | [Valid=1282 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] | | |
| Value | Label | Cases | Percentage |
| 1 | Pingtung City | 13 | 1.0% |
| 2 | Chaozhou Township | 9 | 0.7% |
| 3 | Donggang Township | 2 | 0.2% |
| 4 | Hengchun Township | 1 | 0.1% |
| 5 | Wandan Township | 3 | 0.2% |
| 6 | Changzhi Township | 1 | 0.1% |
| 7 | Linluo Township | 1 | 0.1% |
| 8 | Jiuru Township | 1 | 0.1% |
| 9 | Ligang Township | 1 | 0.1% |
| 10 | Yanpu Township | 0 | |
| 11 | Gaoshu Township | 3 | 0.2% |
| 12 | Wanluan Township | 4 | 0.3% |
| 13 | Neipu Township | 3 | 0.2% |
| 14 | Zhutian Township | 0 | |
| 15 | Xinpi Township | 1 | 0.1% |
| 16 | Fangliao Township | 1 | 0.1% |

File : TEDS2016-T_PA09E

q45: 29.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Pingtung County?

| Value | Label | Cases | Percentage |
|-------|-------------------|-------|------------|
| 17 | Xinyuan Township | 0 | |
| 18 | Kanding Township | 0 | |
| 19 | Linbian Township | 2 | 0.2% |
| 20 | Nanzhou Township | 0 | |
| 21 | Jiadong Township | 3 | 0.2% |
| 22 | Liuqiu Township | 0 | |
| 23 | Checheng Townshi | 1 | 0.1% |
| 24 | Manzhou Townshi | 1 | 0.1% |
| 25 | Fangshan Township | 0 | |
| 26 | Sandimen Township | 0 | |
| 27 | Wutai Township | 0 | |
| 28 | Majia Township | 0 | |
| 29 | Taiwu Townshi | 0 | |
| 30 | Laiyi Township | 0 | |
| 31 | Chunri Townshi | 0 | |
| 32 | Shizi Township | 0 | |
| 33 | Mudan Township | 0 | |
| 95 | Refuse to answer | 0 | |
| 98 | Don't know | 0 | |
| 99 | Skipped | 1231 | 96.0% |

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q46: 29.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Taitung County?

| Information | [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*] | | |
|--------------------|---|-------|------------|
| Statistics [NW/ W] | [Valid=1282 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] | | |
| Value | Label | Cases | Percentage |
| 1 | Taitung City | 4 | 0.3% |
| 2 | Chenggong Township | 0 | |
| 3 | Guanshan Township | 0 | |
| 4 | Beinan Township | 1 | 0.1% |
| 5 | Luye Township | 0 | |
| 6 | Chishang Township | 0 | |
| 7 | Donghe Township | 0 | |
| 8 | Changbin Township | 0 | |
| 9 | Taimali Township | 0 | |
| 10 | Dawu Township | 0 | |
| 11 | Lyudao Township | 0 | |
| 12 | Haiduan Township | 1 | 0.1% |
| 13 | Yanping Townshi | 1 | 0.1% |
| 14 | Jinfeng Township | 0 | |
| 15 | Daren Township | 0 | |
| 16 | Lanyu Township | 0 | |
| 95 | Refuse to answer | 0 | |
| 98 | Don't know | 0 | |

File : TEDS2016-T_PA09E

q46: 29.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Taitung County?

| Value | Label | Cases | Percentage |
|-------|---------|-------|------------|
| 99 | Skipped | 1275 | 99.5% |

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

| # q47: 29.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Hualien County? | | | |
|---|---|-------|------------|
| Information | [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*] | | |
| Statistics [NW/ W] | [Valid=1282 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] | | |
| Value | Label | Cases | Percentage |
| 1 | Hualien City | 11 | 0.9% |
| 2 | Fenglin Township | 1 | 0.1% |
| 3 | Yuli Township | 2 | 0.2% |
| 4 | Xincheng Townshi | 0 | |
| 5 | Jian Township | 6 | 0.5% |
| 6 | Shoufeng Township | 2 | 0.2% |
| 7 | Guangfu Township | 0 | |
| 8 | Fengbin Township | 0 | |
| 9 | Ruisui Township | 1 | 0.1% |
| 10 | Fuli Township | 0 | |
| 11 | Xiulin Townshi | 0 | |
| 12 | Wanrong Township | 0 | |
| 13 | Zhuoxi Township | 1 | 0.1% |
| 95 | Refuse to answer | 0 | |
| 98 | Don't know | 0 | |
| 99 | Skipped | 1258 | 98.1% |
| Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest. | | | |
| # q48: 29.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Penghu County? | | | |
| Information | [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*] | | |
| Statistics [NW/ W] | [Valid=1282 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] | | |
| Value | Label | Cases | Percentage |
| 1 | Magong City | 4 | 0.3% |
| 2 | Huxi Township | 1 | 0.1% |
| 3 | Baisha Township | 2 | 0.2% |
| 4 | Xiyu Township | 0 | |
| 5 | Wang'an Township | 1 | 0.1% |
| 6 | Qimei Township | 0 | |
| 95 | Refuse to answer | 0 | |
| 98 | Don't know | 0 | |
| 99 | Skipped | 1274 | 99.4% |
| Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest. | | | |
| # q49: 29.Where your household is registered? Which district in Keelung City? | | | |
| Information | [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*] | | |
| Statistics [NW/ W] | [Valid=1282 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] | | |
| Value | Label | Cases | Percentage |
| 1 | Zhongzheng District | 2 | 0.2% |
| 2 | Qidu District | 3 | 0.2% |
| 3 | Nuannuan District | 2 | 0.2% |
| 4 | Ren'ai District | 2 | 0.2% |
| 5 | Zhongshan District | 1 | 0.1% |
| 6 | Anle District | 4 | 0.3% |

| # q49: 29.Where your household is registered? Which district in Keelung City? | | | |
|---|---|-------|------------|
| Value | Label | Cases | Percentage |
| 7 | Xinyi District | 1 | 0.1% |
| 95 | Refuse to answer | 0 | |
| 98 | Don't know | 0 | |
| 99 | Skipped | 1267 | 98.8% |
| Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest. | | | |
| # q50: 29.Where your household is registered? Which district in Hsinchu City? | | | |
| Information | [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*] | | |
| Statistics [NW/ W] | [Valid=1282 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] | | |
| Value | Label | Cases | Percentage |
| 1 | East District | 13 | 1.0% |
| 2 | North District | 11 | 0.9% |
| 3 | Xiangshan District | 2 | 0.2% |
| 95 | Refuse to answer | 1 | 0.1% |
| 98 | Don't know | 0 | |
| 99 | Skipped | 1255 | 97.9% |
| Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest. | | | |
| # q51: 29.Where your household is registered? Which district in Chiayi City? | | | |
| Information | [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*] | | |
| Statistics [NW/ W] | [Valid=1282 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] | | |
| Value | Label | Cases | Percentage |
| 1 | East District | 16 | 1.2% |
| 2 | West District | 7 | 0.5% |
| 95 | Refuse to answer | 0 | |
| 98 | Don't know | 2 | 0.2% |
| 99 | Skipped | 1257 | 98.0% |
| Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest. | | | |
| # q52: 29.Where your household is registered? Which district in Taipei City? | | | |
| Information | [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*] | | |
| Statistics [NW/ W] | [Valid=1282 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] | | |
| Value | Label | Cases | Percentage |
| 1 | Songshan District | 10 | 0.8% |
| 2 | Xinyi District | 8 | 0.6% |
| 3 | Daan District | 13 | 1.0% |
| 4 | Zhongshan District | 5 | 0.4% |
| 5 | Zhongzheng District | 8 | 0.6% |
| 6 | Datong District | 4 | 0.3% |
| 7 | Wanhua District | 8 | 0.6% |
| 8 | Wenshan District | 13 | 1.0% |
| 9 | Nangang District | 2 | 0.2% |
| 10 | Neihu District | 12 | 0.9% |
| 11 | Shilin District | 16 | 1.2% |
| 12 | Beitou District | 15 | 1.2% |
| 95 | Refuse to answer | 2 | 0.2% |

q52: 29.Where your household is registered? Which district in Taipei City?

| Value | Label | Cases | Percentage |
|-------|------------|-------|------------|
| 98 | Don't know | 1 | 0.1% |
| 99 | Skipped | 1165 | 90.9% |

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q53: 29.Where your household is registered? Which district in Kaohsiung City?

| | |
|--------------------|---|
| Information | [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*] |
| Statistics [NW/ W] | [Valid=1282 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] |

| Value | Label | Cases | Percentage |
|-------|-------------------|-------|------------|
| 1 | Yancheng District | 4 | 0.3% |
| 2 | Gushan District | 11 | 0.9% |
| 3 | Zuoying District | 7 | 0.5% |
| 4 | Nanzi District | 11 | 0.9% |
| 5 | Sanmin District | 18 | 1.4% |
| 6 | Xinxing District | 3 | 0.2% |
| 7 | Qianjin District | 2 | 0.2% |
| 8 | Lingya District | 13 | 1.0% |
| 9 | Qianzhen District | 8 | 0.6% |
| 10 | Qijin District | 1 | 0.1% |
| 11 | Xiaogang District | 5 | 0.4% |
| 12 | Fengshan District | 11 | 0.9% |
| 13 | Linyuan District | 3 | 0.2% |
| 14 | Daliao District | 3 | 0.2% |
| 15 | Dashu District | 2 | 0.2% |
| 16 | Dashe District | 2 | 0.2% |
| 17 | Renwu District | 5 | 0.4% |
| 18 | Niaosong District | 0 | |
| 19 | Gangshan District | 4 | 0.3% |
| 20 | Qiaotou District | 3 | 0.2% |
| 21 | Yanchao District | 0 | |
| 22 | Tianliao District | 0 | |
| 23 | Alian District | 2 | 0.2% |
| 24 | Luzhu District | 6 | 0.5% |
| 25 | Hunei District | 1 | 0.1% |
| 26 | Qieding District | 2 | 0.2% |
| 27 | Yongan District | 0 | |
| 28 | Mituo District | 0 | |
| 29 | Ziguan District | 5 | 0.4% |
| 30 | Qishan District | 1 | 0.1% |
| 31 | Meinong District | 4 | 0.3% |
| 32 | Liugui District | 1 | 0.1% |
| 33 | Jiaxian District | 1 | 0.1% |
| 34 | Shanlin District | 0 | |
| 35 | Neimen District | 0 | |
| 36 | Maolin District | 0 | |
| 37 | Taoyuan District | 1 | 0.1% |

| # q53: 29.Where your household is registered? Which district in Kaohsiung City? | | | |
|---|---|-------|------------|
| Value | Label | Cases | Percentage |
| 38 | Namaxia District | 0 | |
| 95 | Refuse to answer | 4 | 0.3% |
| 98 | Don't know | 0 | |
| 99 | Skipped | 1138 | 88.8% |
| Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest. | | | |
| # q54: 29.Where your household is registered? Which district in New Taipei City? | | | |
| Information | [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*] | | |
| Statistics [NW/ W] | [Valid=1282 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] | | |
| Value | Label | Cases | Percentage |
| 1 | Banqiao District | 44 | 3.4% |
| 2 | Sanchong District | 17 | 1.3% |
| 3 | Zhonghe District | 19 | 1.5% |
| 4 | Yonghe District | 9 | 0.7% |
| 5 | Xinzhuang District | 27 | 2.1% |
| 6 | Xindian District | 13 | 1.0% |
| 7 | Shulin District | 11 | 0.9% |
| 8 | Yingge District | 4 | 0.3% |
| 9 | Sanxia District | 4 | 0.3% |
| 10 | Danshui District | 9 | 0.7% |
| 11 | Xizhi District | 10 | 0.8% |
| 12 | Ruifang District | 4 | 0.3% |
| 13 | Tucheng District | 21 | 1.6% |
| 14 | Luzhou District | 8 | 0.6% |
| 15 | Wugu District | 5 | 0.4% |
| 16 | Taishan District | 1 | 0.1% |
| 17 | Linkou District | 6 | 0.5% |
| 18 | Shenkeng District | 1 | 0.1% |
| 19 | Shiding District | 0 | |
| 20 | Pinglin District | 0 | |
| 21 | Sanzhi District | 3 | 0.2% |
| 22 | Shimen District | 0 | |
| 23 | Bali District | 1 | 0.1% |
| 24 | Pingxi District | 0 | |
| 25 | Shuangxi District | 0 | |
| 26 | Gongliao District | 0 | |
| 27 | Jinshan District | 2 | 0.2% |
| 28 | Wanli District | 0 | |
| 29 | Wulai District | 0 | |
| 95 | Refuse to answer | 3 | 0.2% |
| 98 | Don't know | 1 | 0.1% |
| 99 | Skipped | 1059 | 82.6% |
| Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest. | | | |
| # q55: 29.Where your household is registered? Which district in Taichung City? | | | |
| Information | [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*] | | |

q55: 29.Where your household is registered? Which district in Taichung City?

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1282 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

| Value | Label | Cases | Percentage |
|-------|-------------------|-------|------------|
| 1 | Central District | 2 | 0.2% |
| 2 | East District | 4 | 0.3% |
| 3 | South District | 2 | 0.2% |
| 4 | West District | 3 | 0.2% |
| 5 | North District | 5 | 0.4% |
| 6 | Xitun District | 6 | 0.5% |
| 7 | Nantun District | 10 | 0.8% |
| 8 | Beitun District | 13 | 1.0% |
| 9 | Fengyuan District | 7 | 0.5% |
| 10 | Dongshi District | 6 | 0.5% |
| 11 | Dajia District | 9 | 0.7% |
| 12 | Qingshui District | 1 | 0.1% |
| 13 | Shalu District | 7 | 0.5% |
| 14 | Wuqi District | 3 | 0.2% |
| 15 | Houli District | 8 | 0.6% |
| 16 | Shengang District | 3 | 0.2% |
| 17 | Tanzi District | 7 | 0.5% |
| 18 | Daya District | 2 | 0.2% |
| 19 | Xinshe District | 2 | 0.2% |
| 20 | Shigang District | 0 | |
| 21 | Waipu District | 0 | |
| 22 | Daan District | 2 | 0.2% |
| 23 | Wuri District | 3 | 0.2% |
| 24 | Dadu District | 1 | 0.1% |
| 25 | Longjing District | 1 | 0.1% |
| 26 | Wufeng District | 5 | 0.4% |
| 27 | Taiping District | 7 | 0.5% |
| 28 | Dali District | 8 | 0.6% |
| 29 | Heping District | 0 | |
| 95 | Refuse to answer | 5 | 0.4% |
| 98 | Don't know | 3 | 0.2% |
| 99 | Skipped | 1147 | 89.5% |

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q56: 29.Where your household is registered? Which district in Tainan City?

Information [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1282 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

| Value | Label | Cases | Percentage |
|-------|-------------------|-------|------------|
| 1 | Xinying District | 10 | 0.8% |
| 2 | Yanshui District | 1 | 0.1% |
| 3 | Baihe District | 3 | 0.2% |
| 4 | Liuying District | 0 | |
| 5 | Houbi District | 1 | 0.1% |
| 6 | Dongshan District | 0 | |

| # q56: 29.Where your household is registered? Which district in Tainan City? | | | |
|---|---|-------|------------|
| Value | Label | Cases | Percentage |
| 7 | Madou District | 2 | 0.2% |
| 8 | Xiaying District | 1 | 0.1% |
| 9 | Liujia District | 0 | |
| 10 | Guantian District | 3 | 0.2% |
| 11 | Danei District | 0 | |
| 12 | Jiali District | 5 | 0.4% |
| 13 | Xuejia District | 2 | 0.2% |
| 14 | Sigang District | 0 | |
| 15 | Qigu District | 0 | |
| 16 | Jiangjun District | 0 | |
| 17 | Beimen District | 0 | |
| 18 | Xinhua District | 4 | 0.3% |
| 19 | Shanhua District | 2 | 0.2% |
| 20 | Xinshi District | 2 | 0.2% |
| 21 | Anding District | 3 | 0.2% |
| 22 | Shanshang District | 0 | |
| 23 | Yujing District | 2 | 0.2% |
| 24 | Nanxi District | 2 | 0.2% |
| 25 | Nanhua District | 0 | |
| 26 | Zuozhen District | 1 | 0.1% |
| 27 | Rende District | 6 | 0.5% |
| 28 | Guiren District | 6 | 0.5% |
| 29 | Guanmiao District | 1 | 0.1% |
| 30 | Longqi District | 2 | 0.2% |
| 31 | Yongkang District | 6 | 0.5% |
| 32 | East District | 12 | 0.9% |
| 33 | South District | 8 | 0.6% |
| 34 | North District | 13 | 1.0% |
| 35 | Annan District | 10 | 0.8% |
| 36 | Anping District | 2 | 0.2% |
| 37 | West Central District | 3 | 0.2% |
| 95 | Refuse to answer | 2 | 0.2% |
| 98 | Don't know | 0 | |
| 99 | Skipped | 1167 | 91.0% |
| Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest. | | | |
| # q57: 29.Where your household is registered? Which district in Taoyuan City? | | | |
| Information | [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*] | | |
| Statistics [NW/ W] | [Valid=1282 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] | | |
| Value | Label | Cases | Percentage |
| 1 | Taoyuan District | 18 | 1.4% |
| 2 | Zhongli District | 22 | 1.7% |
| 3 | Daxi District | 7 | 0.5% |
| 4 | Yangmei District | 13 | 1.0% |
| 5 | Luzhu District | 10 | 0.8% |

q57: 29.Where your household is registered? Which district in Taoyuan City?

| Value | Label | Cases | Percentage |
|-------|------------------|-------|------------|
| 6 | Dayuan District | 5 | 0.4% |
| 7 | Guishan District | 4 | 0.3% |
| 8 | Bade District | 11 | 0.9% |
| 9 | Longtan District | 7 | 0.5% |
| 10 | PingzhenDistrict | 9 | 0.7% |
| 11 | Xinwu District | 3 | 0.2% |
| 12 | Guanyin District | 2 | 0.2% |
| 13 | Fuxing District | 0 | |
| 95 | Refuse to answer | 0 | |
| 98 | Don't know | 4 | 0.3% |
| 99 | Skipped | 1167 | 91.0% |

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q58: 30.In 2016 Presidential Election, who did you vote for?

| Information | [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*] | | |
|--------------------|---|-------|------------|
| Statistics [NW/ W] | [Valid=1282 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] | | |
| Value | Label | Cases | Percentage |
| 1 | Eric Chu and Wang, Ju-Hsuan | 204 | 15.9% |
| 2 | Tsai, Ing-Wen and Chen, Chien-Jen | 551 | 43.0% |
| 3 | James Soong and Hsu, Hsin-Ying | 60 | 4.7% |
| 89 | Didn't pick up the ballot | 0 | |
| 91 | Forget | 36 | 2.8% |
| 92 | Not eligible to vote | 11 | 0.9% |
| 93 | Didn't vote | 281 | 21.9% |
| 94 | Cast invalid vote | 26 | 2.0% |
| 95 | Refuse to answer | 113 | 8.8% |
| 98 | Don't know | 0 | |

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q59: 31.Do you have driver's license?

| Information | [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-95] [Missing=*] | | |
|--------------------|---|-------|------------|
| Statistics [NW/ W] | [Valid=1282 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] | | |
| Value | Label | Cases | Percentage |
| 1 | Yes | 995 | 77.6% |
| 2 | No | 278 | 21.7% |
| 95 | Refuse to answer | 9 | 0.7% |

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q60: 32.Do you have email address?

| Information | [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-95] [Missing=*] | | |
|--------------------|---|-------|------------|
| Statistics [NW/ W] | [Valid=1282 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] | | |
| Value | Label | Cases | Percentage |
| 1 | Yes | 780 | 60.8% |
| 2 | No [skip to 33] | 495 | 38.6% |
| 95 | Refuse to answer [skip to 33] | 7 | 0.5% |

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

| # q61: 32a.Would you please leave us your email address? | | | |
|--|------------------------|---|------------------------------|
| Information | | [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*] | |
| Statistics [NW/ W] | | [Valid=1282 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] | |
| Value | Label | Cases | Percentage |
| 1 | email | 258 | <div><div></div></div> 20.1% |
| 95 | Refuse to answer | 522 | <div><div></div></div> 40.7% |
| 99 | Skipped | 502 | <div><div></div></div> 39.2% |
| <i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i> | | | |
| # q62: 33.Respondent's gender | | | |
| Information | | [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*] | |
| Statistics [NW/ W] | | [Valid=1282 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] | |
| Value | Label | Cases | Percentage |
| 1 | Male | 643 | <div><div></div></div> 50.2% |
| 2 | Female | 639 | <div><div></div></div> 49.8% |
| <i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i> | | | |
| # q63: 34.Language used to conduct interview | | | |
| Information | | [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*] | |
| Statistics [NW/ W] | | [Valid=1282 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] | |
| Value | Label | Cases | Percentage |
| 1 | Mandarin | 1140 | <div><div></div></div> 88.9% |
| 2 | Taiwanese | 65 | <div><div></div></div> 5.1% |
| 3 | Hakka | 0 | |
| 4 | Mandarin and Taiwanese | 77 | <div><div></div></div> 6.0% |
| 5 | Mandarin and Hakka | 0 | |
| <i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i> | | | |
| # sex: Sex | | | |
| Information | | [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*] | |
| Statistics [NW/ W] | | [Valid=1282 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] | |
| Value | Label | Cases | Percentage |
| 1 | Male | 643 | <div><div></div></div> 50.2% |
| 2 | Female | 639 | <div><div></div></div> 49.8% |
| <i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i> | | | |
| # age: Age | | | |
| Information | | [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*] | |
| Statistics [NW/ W] | | [Valid=1282 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] | |
| Value | Label | Cases | Percentage |
| 1 | 20-29 years | 191 | <div><div></div></div> 14.9% |
| 2 | 30-39 years | 245 | <div><div></div></div> 19.1% |
| 3 | 40-49 years | 257 | <div><div></div></div> 20.0% |
| 4 | 50-59 years | 297 | <div><div></div></div> 23.2% |
| 5 | 60 years and above | 268 | <div><div></div></div> 20.9% |
| 9 | Nonresponse | 24 | <div><div></div></div> 1.9% |
| <i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i> | | | |

| # edu: Education | | | | |
|---|----------------------------------|--|------------------------|-------|
| Information | | [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*] | | |
| Statistics [NW/ W] | | [Valid=1282 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] | | |
| Value | Label | Cases | Percentage | |
| 1 | Below-primary school | 97 | <div><div></div></div> | 7.6% |
| 2 | Junior high school | 121 | <div><div></div></div> | 9.4% |
| 3 | High school or vocational school | 332 | <div><div></div></div> | 25.9% |
| 4 | Technical college | 201 | <div><div></div></div> | 15.7% |
| 5 | Post-university | 525 | <div><div></div></div> | 41.0% |
| 9 | Nonresponse | 6 | <div><div></div></div> | 0.5% |
| Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest. | | | | |
| # sengi: Father's ethnic background | | | | |
| Information | | [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*] | | |
| Statistics [NW/ W] | | [Valid=1282 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] | | |
| Value | Label | Cases | Percentage | |
| 1 | Taiwanese Hakka | 159 | <div><div></div></div> | 12.4% |
| 2 | Taiwanese Min-nan | 945 | <div><div></div></div> | 73.7% |
| 3 | Mainlander | 125 | <div><div></div></div> | 9.8% |
| 4 | Aboriginal | 14 | <div><div></div></div> | 1.1% |
| 5 | Foreigner | 1 | <div><div></div></div> | 0.1% |
| 9 | Nonresponse | 38 | <div><div></div></div> | 3.0% |
| Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest. | | | | |
| # masengi: Mother's ethnic background | | | | |
| Information | | [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*] | | |
| Statistics [NW/ W] | | [Valid=1282 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] | | |
| Value | Label | Cases | Percentage | |
| 1 | Taiwanese Hakka | 173 | <div><div></div></div> | 13.5% |
| 2 | Taiwanese Min-nan | 984 | <div><div></div></div> | 76.8% |
| 3 | Mainlander | 62 | <div><div></div></div> | 4.8% |
| 4 | Aboriginal | 25 | <div><div></div></div> | 2.0% |
| 5 | Foreigner | 1 | <div><div></div></div> | 0.1% |
| 9 | Nonresponse | 37 | <div><div></div></div> | 2.9% |
| Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest. | | | | |
| # t_cidentity: Taiwanese/Chinese identity | | | | |
| Information | | [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*] | | |
| Statistics [NW/ W] | | [Valid=1282 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] | | |
| Value | Label | Cases | Percentage | |
| 1 | Taiwanese | 751 | <div><div></div></div> | 58.6% |
| 2 | Both | 424 | <div><div></div></div> | 33.1% |
| 3 | Chinese | 47 | <div><div></div></div> | 3.7% |
| 9 | Nonresponse | 60 | <div><div></div></div> | 4.7% |
| Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest. | | | | |
| # partyid: Party identification | | | | |
| Information | | [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*] | | |

| # partyid: Party identification | | | |
|---|--|---|------------|
| Statistics [NW/ W] | | [Valid=1282 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] | |
| Value | Label | Cases | Percentage |
| 1 | KMT | 303 | 23.6% |
| 2 | DPP | 381 | 29.7% |
| 3 | NP | 7 | 0.5% |
| 4 | PFP | 23 | 1.8% |
| 5 | TSU | 3 | 0.2% |
| 6 | New Power Party | 91 | 7.1% |
| 7 | Neutral and it depends | 406 | 31.7% |
| 9 | Nonresponse and other parties | 68 | 5.3% |
| Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest. | | | |
| # party: Party support | | | |
| Information | | [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*] | |
| Statistics [NW/ W] | | [Valid=1282 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] | |
| Value | Label | Cases | Percentage |
| 1 | Strongly support KMT | 54 | 4.2% |
| 2 | Somewhat support KMT | 201 | 15.7% |
| 3 | Lean to the KMT | 48 | 3.7% |
| 4 | Strongly support DPP | 121 | 9.4% |
| 5 | Somewhat support DPP | 228 | 17.8% |
| 6 | Lean to the DPP | 32 | 2.5% |
| 7 | Strongly support NP | 3 | 0.2% |
| 8 | Somewhat support NP | 1 | 0.1% |
| 9 | Lean to the NP | 3 | 0.2% |
| 10 | Strongly support PFP | 5 | 0.4% |
| 11 | Somewhat support PFP | 17 | 1.3% |
| 12 | Lean to the PFP | 1 | 0.1% |
| 13 | Strongly support TSU | 3 | 0.2% |
| 14 | Somewhat support TSU | 0 | |
| 15 | Lean to the TSU | 0 | |
| 16 | Strongly support New Power Party | 37 | 2.9% |
| 17 | Somewhat support New Power Party | 51 | 4.0% |
| 18 | Lean to the New Power Party | 3 | 0.2% |
| 99 | Neutral and other parties | 474 | 37.0% |
| Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest. | | | |
| # tondu: Position on unification and independence | | | |
| Information | | [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*] | |
| Statistics [NW/ W] | | [Valid=1282 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] | |
| Value | Label | Cases | Percentage |
| 1 | Immediate unification | 17 | 1.3% |
| 2 | Maintain the status quo, move toward unification | 96 | 7.5% |
| 3 | Maintain the status quo, decide either unification or indepe | 457 | 35.6% |
| 4 | Maintain the status quo forever | 325 | 25.4% |
| 5 | Maintain the status quo, move toward independence | 262 | 20.4% |

| # tondu: Position on unification and independence | | | |
|---|--|-------|-------------------|
| Value | Label | Cases | Percentage |
| 6 | Immediate independence | 55 | <div></div> 4.3% |
| 9 | Nonresponse | 70 | <div></div> 5.5% |
| Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest. | | | |
| # career: Occupation5 | | | |
| Information | [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*] | | |
| Statistics [NW/ W] | [Valid=1282 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] | | |
| Value | Label | Cases | Percentage |
| 1 | Hight-class WHITE COLLAR | 577 | <div></div> 45.0% |
| 2 | Low-class WHITE COLLAR | 298 | <div></div> 23.2% |
| 3 | FARMER | 52 | <div></div> 4.1% |
| 4 | WORKER | 321 | <div></div> 25.0% |
| 5 | Others | 34 | <div></div> 2.7% |
| Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest. | | | |
| # career8: Occupation8 | | | |
| Information | [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*] | | |
| Statistics [NW/ W] | [Valid=1282 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] | | |
| Value | Label | Cases | Percentage |
| 1 | Civil servants | 163 | <div></div> 12.7% |
| 2 | Managers and Professionals (Priv.) | 355 | <div></div> 27.7% |
| 3 | CLERKS (Priv.) | 242 | <div></div> 18.9% |
| 4 | Labor (Priv.) | 239 | <div></div> 18.6% |
| 5 | FARMER | 47 | <div></div> 3.7% |
| 6 | Students | 55 | <div></div> 4.3% |
| 7 | Housekeeping | 167 | <div></div> 13.0% |
| 8 | Others | 14 | <div></div> 1.1% |
| Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest. | | | |
| # arear: Area | | | |
| Information | [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*] | | |
| Statistics [NW/ W] | [Valid=1282 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] | | |
| Value | Label | Cases | Percentage |
| 1 | Taipei metro area | 246 | <div></div> 19.2% |
| 2 | New Taipei city-Chilung | 109 | <div></div> 8.5% |
| 3 | Taoyuan-Hsinchu-Miaoli | 187 | <div></div> 14.6% |
| 4 | Taichung-Changhwa-Nanto | 243 | <div></div> 19.0% |
| 5 | Yunlin-Chiai-Tainan | 216 | <div></div> 16.8% |
| 6 | Kaohsiung-Pingtung-Pemghu | 203 | <div></div> 15.8% |
| 7 | Yi Lan-Hwalian-Taitung | 53 | <div></div> 4.1% |
| 9 | Nonresponse | 25 | <div></div> 2.0% |
| Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest. | | | |
| # type: Phone type | | | |
| Information | [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*] | | |
| Statistics [NW/ W] | [Valid=1282 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] | | |

| # type: Phone type | | | |
|---|--|-------|-------------------|
| Value | Label | Cases | Percentage |
| 1 | home phone | 1078 | <div></div> 84.1% |
| 2 | mobile phone | 204 | <div></div> 15.9% |
| Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest. | | | |
| # w: Weight | | | |
| Information | [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0.367393933-3.601466938] [Missing=*] | | |
| Statistics [NW/ W] | [Valid=1282 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0.435 /-] | | |