

Taiwan, ROC

Chi Huang, Election Study Center, National Chengchi University

**Taiwan's Election and Democratization Study, 2012-2016 (IV):
Telephone Interview and Internet Survey of the Presidential
Satisfaction--The Sixteenth Wave (TEDS2016_PA06)**

Study Documentation

July 10, 2019

Metadata Production

Metadata Producer(s)	Survey Research Data Archive (SRDA) , Center for Survey Research, Research Center for Humanities Social Sciences, Academia Sinica
Identification	D00153en

Table of Contents

Overview.....	4
Scope & Coverage.....	4
Producers & Sponsors.....	4
Data Collection.....	4
Data Processing & Appraisal.....	4
Accessibility.....	5
Files Description.....	6
TEDS2016-I PA06E.....	6
TEDS2016-T PA06E.....	6
Variables Group(s).....	7
Internet Survey.....	7
Telephone Interview.....	7
Sample Information.....	7
Government Performance.....	7
Image and Capability.....	8
Cabinet and Party Performance.....	8
Economic Evaluations.....	9
Position on Unification and Independence.....	9
Party Identification.....	9
Taiwanese/Chinese Identification.....	9
Voting Participation.....	9
Personal Data.....	9
Sample Information.....	10
Government Performance.....	10
Image and Capability.....	11
Cabinet and Party Performance.....	11
Economic Evaluations.....	11
Position on Unification and Independence.....	12
Party Identification.....	12
Taiwanese/Chinese Identification.....	12
Voting Participation.....	12
Personal Data.....	12
New Variable.....	14
Weight.....	15
Variables Description.....	16
TEDS2016-I PA06E.....	17
TEDS2016-T PA06E.....	27

Taiwan's Election and Democratization Study, 2012-2016 (IV): Telephone Interview and Internet Survey of the Presidential Satisfaction--The Sixteenth Wave (TEDS2016_PA06) (TEDS - Taiwan's Election and Democratization Study)

Overview	
Type	Election Studies
Identification	D00153en
Version	Production Date: 2019-07-02 1
Kind of Data	Sampling
Unit of Analysis	Individuals

Scope & Coverage	
Time Period(s)	2016
Countries	Taiwan, ROC
Geographic Coverage	Taiwan

Producers & Sponsors	
Primary Investigator(s)	Chi Huang, Election Study Center, National Chengchi University
Other Producer(s)	Election Study Center, National Chengchi University
Funding Agency/ies	Ministry of Science and Technology

Data Collection	
Data Collection Dates	start 2016-06-23 end 2016-06-28
Time Period(s)	start 2015-08-01 end 2016-07-31
Data Collection Mode	Telephone Survey

Data Processing & Appraisal	
Data Editing	CSR has checked wild codes and out-of-range values, to validate and clean data. There is no doubt about data accuracy after the validation.
Other Processing	Telephone and Internet Survey

Accessibility	
Contact(s)	, http://srda.sinica.edu.tw , srda@gate.sinica.edu.tw
Distributor(s)	Survey Research Data Archive
Depositor(s)	Election Study Center, National Chengchi University
<u>Access Conditions</u> Standard Access Data (Downloads for Regular Member, Academia Sinica Researcher)	

Files Description

Dataset contains 2 file(s)

TEDS2016-I_PA06E	
# Cases	650
# Variable(s)	34

TEDS2016-T_PA06E	
# Cases	1091
# Variable(s)	75

Variables Group(s)

Dataset contains 24 group(s)

Group Internet Survey

Subgroup(s)	Sample Information , Government Performance , Image and Capability , Cabinet and Party Performance , Economic Evaluations , Position on Unification and Independence , Party Identification , Taiwanese/Chinese Identification , Voting Participation , Personal Data
--------------------	---

Group Telephone Interview

Subgroup(s)	Sample Information , Government Performance , Image and Capability , Cabinet and Party Performance , Economic Evaluations , Position on Unification and Independence , Party Identification , Taiwanese/Chinese Identification , Voting Participation , Personal Data , New Variable , Weight
--------------------	---

Group Sample Information

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	id	ID	continuous	numeric-13.0	650	0	-
2	submitdate	Date	discrete	character-23	650	-	-

Group Government Performance

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	q1	1.How satisfied are you with Tsai Ing-wen's overall performance as president since her inauguration?	discrete	numeric-1.0	650	0	-
2	q2	2.Regarding following problems that our country is facing, what do you think is the most priority that President Tsai, Ing-wen should handle?	discrete	numeric-2.0	650	0	-
3	q3	2a.Regarding following problems that our country is facing, what do you think is the next priority that President Tsai, Ing-wen should handle?	discrete	numeric-2.0	650	0	-
4	q4	3.How satisfied are you with Tsai, Ing-wen's performance in handling the Cross-strait relations?	discrete	numeric-1.0	650	0	-
5	q5	4.How satisfied are you with Tsai, Ing-wen's performance in diplomacy?	discrete	numeric-1.0	650	0	-
6	q6	5.How satisfied are you with Tsai, Ing-wen's performance in national defense?	discrete	numeric-1.0	650	0	-
7	q7	6.How satisfied are you with Tsai, Ing-wen's performance	discrete	numeric-1.0	650	0	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		in promoting economic development?					
8	q8	7.How satisfied are you with Tsai, Ing-wen's performance in handling the livelihood issues?	discrete	numeric-1.0	650	0	-

Group Image and Capability

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	q9	8.In personal morality, if 0 means that she has bad personal morality, 10 means that she has good morality, how would you rate Tsai, Ing-wen using a 0-to-10 scale?	discrete	numeric-2.0	650	0	-
2	q10	9.In leadership, if 0 means that she has poor leadership, 10 means that she has good leadership, how would you rate Tsai, Ing-wen using a 0-to-10 scale?	discrete	numeric-2.0	650	0	-
3	q11	10.In human resource allocation, if 0 means that she employs persons irrelevantly, 10 means that she employs persons relevantly, how would you rate Tsai, Ing-wen using a 0-to-10 scale?	discrete	numeric-2.0	650	0	-
4	q12	11.Regard your trust toward President Tsai, Ing-wen, if 0 means that you have a deep distrust of her, 10 means that you have a high trust of her, how would you rate Tsai, Ing-wen using a 0-to-10 scale?	discrete	numeric-2.0	650	0	-

Group Cabinet and Party Performance

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	q13	12.Are you satisfied with the overall performance of Lin, Chuan as premier?	discrete	numeric-1.0	650	0	-
2	q14	13.Are you satisfied with the overall performance of the Executive Yuan over the last month?	discrete	numeric-1.0	650	0	-
3	q15	14.Which party's performance do you think is the best since the Legislative Yuan began its session this February?	discrete	numeric-2.0	650	0	-
4	q16	14a.Which party's performance do you think is the worst since the Legislative Yuan began its session this February?	discrete	numeric-2.0	650	0	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
5	q17	15.Are you satisfied with the performance of Hung, Hsiu-chu when she was the chairman of KMT?	discrete	numeric-1.0	650	0	-

Group Economic Evaluations

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	q18	16.Would you say that over the past six months, the state of economy of Taiwan has gotten better, stayed about the same, or gotten worse?	discrete	numeric-1.0	650	0	-
2	q19	17.Would you say that in the next six months, the state of the economy of Taiwan will get better, stay about the same, or get worse?	discrete	numeric-1.0	650	0	-

Group Position on Unification and Independence

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	tondu	TONDU	discrete	numeric-1.0	650	0	-

Group Party Identification

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	partyid	PARTYID	discrete	numeric-1.0	650	0	-

Group Taiwanese/Chinese Identification

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	t_cidentity	T_Cidentity	discrete	numeric-1.0	650	0	-

Group Voting Participation

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	q21	19.In 2016 Presidential Election, who did you vote for?	discrete	numeric-2.0	650	0	-

Group Personal Data

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	sex	SEX	discrete	numeric-1.0	650	0	-
2	age	AGE	discrete	numeric-1.0	650	0	-
3	edu	EDU	discrete	numeric-1.0	650	0	-
4	sengi	SENGI	discrete	numeric-1.0	650	0	-
5	masengi	MASENGI	discrete	numeric-1.0	650	0	-
6	career8	CAREER8	discrete	numeric-1.0	650	0	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
7	arear	AREAR	discrete	numeric-1.0	650	0	-
8	q0	0.What is your habit of telephone use? Only mobile phone? Only home phone? Or both of mobile and home phone?	discrete	numeric-1.0	650	0	-
9	q20	18.Please tell me where your household is registered?	discrete	numeric-2.0	650	0	-

Group Sample Information

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	id	identified number	continuous	numeric-6.0	1091	0	-
2	date	day	discrete	numeric-6.0	1091	0	-
3	btime	starting time	continuous	numeric-6.0	1091	0	-
4	etime	ending time	continuous	numeric-6.0	1091	0	-

Group Government Performance

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	q2	1.How satisfied are you with Tsai, Ing-wen's overall performance as president since her inauguration?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1091	0	-
2	q3	2.Regarding following problems that our country is facing, what do you think is the most priority that President Tsai, Ing-wen should handle?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1091	0	-
3	q4	2a.Regarding following problems that our country is facing, what do you think is the next priority that President Tsai, Ing-wen should handle?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1091	0	-
4	q5	3.How satisfied are you with Tsai, Ing-wen's performance in handling the Cross-strait relations?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1091	0	-
5	q6	4.How satisfied are you with Tsai, Ing-wen's performance in diplomacy?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1091	0	-
6	q7	5.How satisfied are you with Tsai, Ing-wen's performance in national defense?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1091	0	-
7	q8	6.How satisfied are you with Tsai, Ing-wen's performance in promoting economic development?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1091	0	-
8	q9	7.How satisfied are you with Tsai, Ing-wen's performance in handling the livelihood issues?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1091	0	-

Group Image and Capability							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	q10	8.In personal morality, if 0 means that she has bad personal morality, 10 means that she has good morality, how would you rate Tsai, Ing-wen using a 0-to-10 scale?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1091	0	-
2	q11	9.In leadership, if 0 means that she has poor leadership, 10 means that she has good leadership, how would you rate Tsai, Ing-wen using a 0-to-10 scale?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1091	0	-
3	q12	10.In human resource allocation, if 0 means that she employs persons irrelevantly, 10 means that she employs persons relevantly, how would you rate Tsai, Ing-wen using a 0-to-10 scale?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1091	0	-
4	q13	11.Regard your trust toward President Tsai, Ing-wen, if 0 means that you have a deep distrust of her, 10 means that you have a high trust of her, how would you rate Tsai, Ing-wen using a 0-to-10 scale?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1091	0	-

Group Cabinet and Party Performance							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	q14	12.Are you satisfied with the overall performance of Lin, Chuan as premier?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1091	0	-
2	q15	13.Are you satisfied with the overall performance of the Executive Yuan over the last month?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1091	0	-
3	q16	14.Which party's performance do you think is the best since the Legislative Yuan began its session this February?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1091	0	-
4	q17	14a.Which party's performance do you think is the worst since the Legislative Yuan began its session this February?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1091	0	-
5	q18	15.Are you satisfied with the performance of Hung, Hsiu-chu when she was the chairman of KMT?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1091	0	-

Group Economic Evaluations							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	q19	16.Would you say that over the past six months, the state of economy of Taiwan has gotten better, stayed about the same, or gotten worse?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1091	0	-
2	q20	17.Would you say that in the next six months, the state of the economy of Taiwan will get better, stay about the same, or get worse?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1091	0	-

Group Position on Unification and Independence

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	q21	18.Concerning the relationship between Taiwan and mainland China, which of the following six positions do you agree with?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1091	0	-

Group Party Identification

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	q22	19.Among all political parties in our country, which party do you think of yourself as leaning toward?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1091	0	-
2	q23	19a.Do you lean very strongly or somewhat?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1091	0	-
3	q24	19b.Relatively speaking, do you lean toward any political party?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1091	0	-

Group Taiwanese/Chinese Identification

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	q25	20.In Taiwan, some people think they are Taiwanese. There are also some people who think that they are Chinese. Do you consider yourself as Taiwanese, Chinese or both?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1091	0	-

Group Voting Participation

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	q54	27.In 2016 Presidential Election, who did you vote for?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1091	0	-

Group Personal Data

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	q1	0.What is your telephone habits? Only mobile phone?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1091	0	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		Only home phone? Or both of mobile and home phone?					
2	q26	21.Year of birth	discrete	numeric-2.0	1091	0	-
3	q27	22.Education level	discrete	numeric-2.0	1091	0	-
4	q28	23.Father's ethnic background	discrete	numeric-2.0	1091	0	-
5	q29	24.Mother's ethnic background	discrete	numeric-2.0	1091	0	-
6	q30	25.Main occupation of respondent	discrete	numeric-3.0	1091	0	-
7	q31	25a.Main occupation of respondent's spouse	discrete	numeric-3.0	1091	0	-
8	q32	25b.Main occupation of respondent before retirement or unemployment	discrete	numeric-3.0	1091	0	-
9	q33	26.Please tell me where your household is registered?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1091	0	-
10	q34	26.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Yi Lan County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1091	0	-
11	q35	26.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Hsinchu County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1091	0	-
12	q36	26.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Miaoli County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1091	0	-
13	q37	26.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Changhua County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1091	0	-
14	q38	26.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Nantou County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1091	0	-
15	q39	26.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Yunlin County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1091	0	-
16	q40	26.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Chiayi County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1091	0	-
17	q41	26.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Pingtung County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1091	0	-
18	q42	26.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Taitung County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1091	0	-
19	q43	26.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Hualien County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1091	0	-
20	q44	26.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Penghu County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1091	0	-
21	q45	26.Where your household is registered? Which district in Keelung City?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1091	0	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
22	q46	26.Where your household is registered? Which district in Hsinchu City?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1091	0	-
23	q47	26.Where your household is registered? Which district in Chiayi City?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1091	0	-
24	q48	26.Where your household is registered? Which district in Taipei City?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1091	0	-
25	q49	26.Where your household is registered? Which district in Kaohsiung City?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1091	0	-
26	q50	26.Where your household is registered? Which district in New Taipei City?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1091	0	-
27	q51	26.Where your household is registered? Which district in Taichung City?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1091	0	-
28	q52	26.Where your household is registered? Which district in Tainan City?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1091	0	-
29	q53	26.Where your household is registered? Which district in Taoyuan City?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1091	0	-
30	q55	28.Do you have email address?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1091	0	-
31	q56	28a.Would you please leave us your email address?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1091	0	-
32	q58	29.Respondent's gender	discrete	numeric-1.0	1091	0	-
33	q59	30.Language used to conduct interview	discrete	numeric-1.0	1091	0	-

Group New Variable

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	age	Age	discrete	numeric-1.0	1091	0	-
2	sex	Sex	discrete	numeric-1.0	1091	0	-
3	edu	Education	discrete	numeric-1.0	1091	0	-
4	sengi	Father's ethnic background	discrete	numeric-1.0	1091	0	-
5	masengi	Mother's ethnic background	discrete	numeric-1.0	1091	0	-
6	t_cidentity	Taiwanese/Chinese identity	discrete	numeric-1.0	1091	0	-
7	partyid	Party identification	discrete	numeric-1.0	1091	0	-
8	party	Party support	discrete	numeric-2.0	1091	0	-
9	tondu	Position on unification and independence	discrete	numeric-1.0	1091	0	-
10	career	Occupation5	discrete	numeric-1.0	1091	0	-
11	career8	Occupation8	discrete	numeric-1.0	1091	0	-
12	arear	Area	discrete	numeric-1.0	1091	0	-

Group Weight							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	w	weight	continuous	numeric-8.5	1091	0	-

Variables Description

Dataset contains 109 variable(s)

File : TEDS2016-I_PA06E

id: ID

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 5363-8650102000506] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=650 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=858116205567.337 /-] [StdDev=1684002998195.35 /-]

submitdate: Date

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=650 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2016-06-23		156	24.0%
2016-06-24		200	30.8%
2016-06-25		29	4.5%
2016-06-26		122	18.8%
2016-06-27		102	15.7%
2016-06-28		41	6.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

sex: SEX

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=650 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Male	354	54.5%
2	Female	296	45.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

age: AGE

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=650 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	20~29 years old	138	21.2%
2	30~39 years old	178	27.4%
3	40~49 years old	186	28.6%
4	50~59 years old	100	15.4%
5	over 60 years old	47	7.2%
9	nonresponse	1	0.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

edu: EDU

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=650 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Primary school graduate	2	0.3%
2	Junior high school graduate	3	0.5%
3	High school or vocational school graduate	72	11.1%
4	Technical college graduate	100	15.4%
5	University graduate	473	72.8%
9	nonresponse	0	

File : TEDS2016-I_PA06E

edu: EDU

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

sengi: SENGI

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=650 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Taiwanese Hakka	63	9.7%
2	Taiwanese Min-nan	474	72.9%
3	Mainlander	104	16.0%
4	Aboriginal	1	0.2%
5	Foreigner	0	
9	nonresponse	8	1.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

masengi: MASENGI

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=650 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Taiwanese Hakka	69	10.6%
2	Taiwanese Min-nan	521	80.2%
3	Mainlander	48	7.4%
4	Aboriginal	3	0.5%
5	Foreigner	1	0.2%
9	nonresponse	8	1.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

partyid: PARTYID

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=650 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	KMT	194	29.8%
2	DPP	208	32.0%
3	NP	4	0.6%
4	PFP	33	5.1%
5	TSU	12	1.8%
6	neutral and independents	134	20.6%
7	nonresponse and other parties	65	10.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

career8: CAREER8

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=650 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Civil servants	134	20.6%
2	Managers and Professionals (priv.)	192	29.5%
3	CLERKS (priv.)	133	20.5%

File : TEDS2016-I_PA06E

career8: CAREER8

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Labor (priv.)	75	11.5%
5	FARMER	4	0.6%
6	Students	45	6.9%
7	Housekeeping	35	5.4%
8	Others	32	4.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

arear: AREAR

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=650 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Taipei metro area	155	23.8%
2	New Taipei city-Chilung	87	13.4%
3	Taoyuan-Hsinchu-Miaoli	89	13.7%
4	Taichung-Changhwa-Nanto	116	17.8%
5	Yunlin-Chiai-Tainan	92	14.2%
6	Kaohsiung-Pingtung-Pemghu	83	12.8%
7	Yi Lan-Hwalian-Taitung	26	4.0%
9	nonresponse	2	0.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

tondu: TONDU

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=650 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Immediate unification	11	1.7%
2	Immediate independence	41	6.3%
3	Maintain the status quo, move toward unification in the futu	104	16.0%
4	Maintain the status quo, move toward independence in the fut	179	27.5%
5	Maintain the status quo, decide either unification or indepe	224	34.5%
6	Maintain the status quo forever	87	13.4%
7	Is already an independence country	0	
9	nonresponse	4	0.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

t_cidity: T_Cidity

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=650 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Taiwanese	399	61.4%
2	Both	234	36.0%
3	Chinese	13	2.0%
9	nonresponse	4	0.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

File : TEDS2016-I_PA06E

q0: 0.What is your habit of telephone use? Only mobile phone? Only home phone? Or both of mobile and home phone?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=650 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Only mobile phone	244	37.5%
2	Only home phone	8	1.2%
3	Both of mobile and home phone	398	61.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q1: 1.How satisfied are you with Tsai Ing-wen's overall performance as president since her inauguration?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=650 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	84	12.9%
2	Satisfied	322	49.5%
3	Not very satisfied	203	31.2%
4	Not satisfied at all	41	6.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q2: 2.Regarding following problems that our country is facing, what do you think is the most priority that President Tsai, Ing-wen should handle?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-19] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=650 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Cross-strait relations	106	16.3%
2	Education policy	55	8.5%
3	Annuity reform	126	19.4%
4	Economic development	274	42.2%
5	Food safety	39	6.0%
6	Energy policy	39	6.0%
7	Labor policy	2	0.3%
8	Judicial reform problem	3	0.5%
9	Livelihood issues	1	0.2%
10	Employment problem	0	
11	Competition between Pan-blue and the Pan-green	0	
12	Traffic problem	0	
13	Crime problem	2	0.3%
14	Transitional justice	2	0.3%
15	House prices	0	
16	Low wages	0	
17	Social welfare problem	1	0.2%
18	Diplomacy problem	0	
19	Gender equality	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

File : TEDS2016-I_PA06E

q3: 2a.Regarding following problems that our country is facing, what do you think is the next priority that President Tsai, Ing-wen should handle?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-92] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=650 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Cross-strait relations	87	13.4%
2	Education policy	136	20.9%
3	Annuity reform	93	14.3%
4	Economic development	169	26.0%
5	Food safety	69	10.6%
6	Energy policy	91	14.0%
7	Labor policy	1	0.2%
8	Judicial reform problem	2	0.3%
9	Livelihood issues	0	
10	Employment problem	0	
11	Competition between Pan-blue and the Pan-green	0	
12	Traffic problem	0	
13	Crime problem	0	
14	Transitional justice	0	
15	House prices	1	0.2%
16	Low wages	0	
17	Social welfare problem	0	
18	Diplomacy problem	0	
19	Gender equality	0	
92	None	1	0.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q4: 3.How satisfied are you with Tsai, Ing-wen's performance in handling the Cross-strait relations?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=650 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	78	12.0%
2	Satisfied	250	38.5%
3	Not very satisfied	223	34.3%
4	Not satisfied at all	99	15.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q5: 4.How satisfied are you with Tsai, Ing-wen's performance in diplomacy?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=650 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]





Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	101	15.5%
2	Satisfied	310	47.7%
3	Not very satisfied	189	29.1%
4	Not satisfied at all	50	7.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

File : TEDS2016-I_PA06E

q6: 5.How satisfied are you with Tsai, Ing-wen's performance in national defense?





Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=650 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	107	 16.5%
2	Satisfied	306	 47.1%
3	Not very satisfied	196	 30.2%
4	Not satisfied at all	41	 6.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q7: 6.How satisfied are you with Tsai, Ing-wen's performance in promoting economic development?





Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=650 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	35	 5.4%
2	Satisfied	247	 38.0%
3	Not very satisfied	288	 44.3%
4	Not satisfied at all	80	 12.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q8: 7.How satisfied are you with Tsai, Ing-wen's performance in handling the livelihood issues?












Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=650 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	54	 8.3%
2	Satisfied	281	 43.2%
3	Not very satisfied	245	 37.7%
4	Not satisfied at all	70	 10.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q9: 8.In personal morality, if 0 means that she has bad personal morality, 10 means that she has good morality, how would you rate Tsai, Ing-wen using a 0-to-10 scale?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-11] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=650 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 has bad morality	10	 1.5%
2	1	5	 0.8%
3	2	12	 1.8%
4	3	24	 3.7%
5	4	19	 2.9%
6	5	87	 13.4%
7	6	67	 10.3%
8	7	107	 16.5%
9	8	161	 24.8%
10	9	79	 12.2%
11	10 has good morality relevantly	79	 12.2%

File : TEDS2016-I_PA06E

q9: 8.In personal morality, if 0 means that she has bad personal morality, 10 means that she has good morality, how would you rate Tsai, Ing-wen using a 0-to-10 scale?

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q10: 9.In leadership, if 0 means that she has poor leadership, 10 means that she has good leadership, how would you rate Tsai, Ing-wen using a 0-to-10 scale?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-11] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=650 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 has poor leadership	7	1.1%
2	1	12	1.8%
3	2	18	2.8%
4	3	34	5.2%
5	4	30	4.6%
6	5	100	15.4%
7	6	82	12.6%
8	7	122	18.8%
9	8	137	21.1%
10	9	61	9.4%
11	10 has good leadership	47	7.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q11: 10.In human resource allocation, if 0 means that she employs persons irrelevantly, 10 means that she employs persons relevantly, how would you rate Tsai, Ing-wen using a 0-to-10 scale?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-11] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=650 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 employs persons irrelevantly	18	2.8%
2	1	22	3.4%
3	2	22	3.4%
4	3	50	7.7%
5	4	54	8.3%
6	5	121	18.6%
7	6	118	18.2%
8	7	112	17.2%
9	8	91	14.0%
10	9	27	4.2%
11	10 employs persons relevantly	15	2.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q12: 11.Regard your trust toward President Tsai, Ing-wen, if 0 means that you have a deep distrust of her, 10 means that you have a high trust of her, how would you rate Tsai, Ing-wen using a 0-to-10 scale?










Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-11] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=650 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 have a deep distrust	32	4.9%
2	1	11	1.7%

File : TEDS2016-I_PA06E





q12: 11.Regard your trust toward President Tsai, Ing-wen, if 0 means that you have a deep distrust of her, 10 means that you have a high trust of her, how would you rate Tsai, Ing-wen using a 0-to-10 scale?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	2	24	 3.7%
4	3	39	 6.0%
5	4	25	 3.8%
6	5	101	 15.5%
7	6	57	 8.8%
8	7	102	 15.7%
9	8	106	 16.3%
10	9	66	 10.2%
11	10 have a high trust	87	 13.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q13: 12.Are you satisfied with the overall performance of Lin, Chuan as premier?



Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=650 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	22	 3.4%
2	Satisfied	252	 38.8%
3	Not very satisfied	317	 48.8%
4	Not satisfied at all	59	 9.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q14: 13.Are you satisfied with the overall performance of the Executive Yuan over the last month?







Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=650 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	18	 2.8%
2	Satisfied	219	 33.7%
3	Not very satisfied	317	 48.8%
4	Not satisfied at all	96	 14.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q15: 14.Which party's performance do you think is the best since the Legislative Yuan began its session this February?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-92] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=650 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	KMT	48	 7.4%
2	DPP	121	 18.6%
4	PFP	6	 0.9%
21	New Power Party	150	 23.1%
28	Non-Partisan Solidarity Union	0	
91	All are good	31	 4.8%
92	All are not good	294	 45.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

File : TEDS2016-I_PA06E

q16: 14a.Which party's performance do you think is the worst since the Legislative Yuan began its session this February?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-92] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=650 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	KMT	292	44.9%
2	DPP	43	6.6%
4	PPF	13	2.0%
21	New Power Party	148	22.8%
28	Non-Partisan Solidarity Union	14	2.2%
91	All are good	24	3.7%
92	All are not good	116	17.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q17: 15.Are you satisfied with the performance of Hung, Hsiu-chu when she was the chairman of KMT?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=650 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	33	5.1%
2	Satisfied	218	33.5%
3	Not very satisfied	296	45.5%
4	Not satisfied at all	103	15.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q18: 16.Would you say that over the past six months, the state of economy of Taiwan has gotten better, stayed about the same, or gotten worse?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=650 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Better	29	4.5%
2	About the same	433	66.6%
3	Worse	188	28.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q19: 17.Would you say that in the next six months, the state of the economy of Taiwan will get better, stay about the same, or get worse?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=650 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Better	116	17.8%
2	About the same	325	50.0%
3	Worse	209	32.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q20: 18.Please tell me where your household is registered?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 2-68] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=650 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

File : TEDS2016-I_PA06E

q20: 18.Please tell me where your household is registered?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Yi Lan County	20	3.1%
4	Hsinchu County	16	2.5%
5	Miao Li County	4	0.6%
7	Changhua County	28	4.3%
8	Nantou County	9	1.4%
9	Yunlin County	13	2.0%
10	Chiayi County	5	0.8%
13	Pingtung County	13	2.0%
14	Taitung County	3	0.5%
15	Hualien County	3	0.5%
16	Peng Hu County	0	
17	Keelung City	8	1.2%
18	Hsinchu City	8	1.2%
20	Chiayi City	6	0.9%
28	Kinmen County	1	0.2%
30	Lienchiang County	0	
63	Taipei City	125	19.2%
64	Kaoshiung City	67	10.3%
65	New Taipei City	110	16.9%
66	Taichung City	81	12.5%
67	Tainan City	69	10.6%
68	Taoyuan City	61	9.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q21: 19.In 2016 Presidential Election, who did you vote for?

Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-94] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=650 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Eric Chu and Wang, Ju-hsuan	137	<div><div></div></div> 21.1%
2	Tsai, Ing-wen and Chen, Chien-jen	327	<div><div></div></div> 50.3%
3	James Soong and Hsu, Hsin-ying	77	<div><div></div></div> 11.8%
91	Forgot	10	<div><div></div></div> 1.5%
92	Not eligible to vote	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
93	Didn't vote	74	<div><div></div></div> 11.4%
94	Cast an invalid vote	24	<div><div></div></div> 3.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

File : TEDS2016-T_PA06E

id: identified number

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 256010-386990] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1091 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=318874.789 /-] [StdDev=40050.402 /-]

date: day

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 160623-160628] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1091 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=160625.37 /-] [StdDev=1.691 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
160623		195	17.9%
160624		207	19.0%
160625		174	15.9%
160626		182	16.7%
160627		180	16.5%
160628		153	14.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

btime: starting time

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 180630-221303] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1091 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=199989.159 /-] [StdDev=11103.835 /-]

etime: ending time

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 181352-222335] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1091 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=201773.715 /-] [StdDev=11231.532 /-]

q1: 0.What is your telephone habits? Only mobile phone? Only home phone? Or both of mobile and home phone?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-95] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1091 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Only mobile phone	228	20.9%
2	Only home phone	81	7.4%
3	Both of mobile and home phone	777	71.2%
95	Refuse to answer	5	0.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q2: 1.How satisfied are you with Tsai, Ing-wen's overall performance as president since her inauguration?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1091 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	169	15.5%
2	Satisfied	394	36.1%
3	Not very satisfied	140	12.8%
4	Not satisfied at all	58	5.3%
95	Refuse to answer	9	0.8%
96	It's hard to say	65	6.0%
97	No opinion	135	12.4%
98	Don't know	121	11.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

File : TEDS2016-T_PA06E

q3: 2.Regarding following problems that our country is facing, what do you think is the most priority that President Tsai, Ing-wen should handle?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1091 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Cross-strait relations	125	11.5%
2	Education policy	151	13.8%
3	Annuity reform	130	11.9%
4	Economic development	444	40.7%
5	Food safety	102	9.3%
6	Energy policy	51	4.7%
7	Labor policy	1	0.1%
8	Judicial reform problem	12	1.1%
9	Livelihood issues	3	0.3%
10	Employment problem	3	0.3%
11	Competition between Pan-blue and the Pan-green	3	0.3%
12	Traffic problem	0	
13	Crime problem	3	0.3%
14	Transitional justice	0	
15	House prices	0	
16	Low wages	1	0.1%
17	Social welfare problem	3	0.3%
18	Diplomacy problem	1	0.1%
19	Gender equality	0	
95	Refuse to answer [skip to 3]	2	0.2%
96	It's hard to say [skip to 3]	11	1.0%
97	No opinion [skip to 3]	11	1.0%
98	Don't know [skip to 3]	34	3.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q4: 2a.Regarding following problems that our country is facing, what do you think is the next priority that President Tsai, Ing-wen should handle?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1091 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Cross-strait relations	171	15.7%
2	Education policy	198	18.1%
3	Annuity reform	114	10.4%
4	Economic development	253	23.2%
5	Food safety	145	13.3%
6	Energy policy	95	8.7%
7	Labor policy	2	0.2%
8	Judicial reform problem	7	0.6%
9	Livelihood issues	0	
10	Employment problem	4	0.4%

File : TEDS2016-T_PA06E

q4: 2a.Regarding following problems that our country is facing, what do you think is the next priority that President Tsai, Ing-wen should handle?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
11	Competition between Pan-blue and the Pan-green	0	
12	Traffic problem	1	0.1%
13	Crime problem	1	0.1%
14	Transitional justice	1	0.1%
15	House prices	1	0.1%
16	Low wages	0	
17	Social welfare problem	0	
18	Diplomacy problem	1	0.1%
19	Gender equality	1	0.1%
92	None	10	0.9%
95	Refuse to answer	0	
96	It's hard to say	2	0.2%
97	No opinion	10	0.9%
98	Don't know	16	1.5%
99	Skipped	58	5.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q5: 3.How satisfied are you with Tsai, Ing-wen's performance in handling the Cross-strait relations?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1091 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	134	12.3%
2	Satisfied	343	31.4%
3	Not very satisfied	211	19.3%
4	Not satisfied at all	120	11.0%
95	Refuse to answer	11	1.0%
96	It's hard to say	48	4.4%
97	No opinion	93	8.5%
98	Don't know	131	12.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q6: 4.How satisfied are you with Tsai, Ing-wen's performance in diplomacy?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1091 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	172	15.8%
2	Satisfied	413	37.9%
3	Not very satisfied	140	12.8%
4	Not satisfied at all	48	4.4%
95	Refuse to answer	7	0.6%
96	It's hard to say	51	4.7%
97	No opinion	89	8.2%
98	Don't know	171	15.7%

File : TEDS2016-T_PA06E

q6: 4.How satisfied are you with Tsai, Ing-wen's performance in diplomacy?

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q7: 5.How satisfied are you with Tsai, Ing-wen's performance in national defense?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1091 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	149	13.7%
2	Satisfied	370	33.9%
3	Not very satisfied	129	11.8%
4	Not satisfied at all	44	4.0%
95	Refuse to answer	9	0.8%
96	It's hard to say	55	5.0%
97	No opinion	131	12.0%
98	Don't know	204	18.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q8: 6.How satisfied are you with Tsai, Ing-wen's performance in promoting economic development?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1091 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	85	7.8%
2	Satisfied	317	29.1%
3	Not very satisfied	268	24.6%
4	Not satisfied at all	91	8.3%
95	Refuse to answer	6	0.5%
96	It's hard to say	75	6.9%
97	No opinion	88	8.1%
98	Don't know	161	14.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q9: 7.How satisfied are you with Tsai, Ing-wen's performance in handling the livelihood issues?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1091 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	111	10.2%
2	Satisfied	385	35.3%
3	Not very satisfied	226	20.7%
4	Not satisfied at all	72	6.6%
95	Refuse to answer	7	0.6%
96	It's hard to say	56	5.1%
97	No opinion	89	8.2%
98	Don't know	145	13.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

File : TEDS2016-T_PA06E

q10: 8.In personal morality, if 0 means that she has bad personal morality, 10 means that she has good morality, how would you rate Tsai, Ing-wen using a 0-to-10 scale?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1091 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	0 has bad personal morality	21	1.9%
1		4	0.4%
2		8	0.7%
3		23	2.1%
4		27	2.5%
5		154	14.1%
6		110	10.1%
7		169	15.5%
8		268	24.6%
9		113	10.4%
10	10 has good personal morality	145	13.3%
95	Refuse to answer	6	0.5%
98	Don't know	43	3.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q11: 9.In leadership, if 0 means that she has poor leadership, 10 means that she has good leadership, how would you rate Tsai, Ing-wen using a 0-to-10 scale?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1091 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	0 has poor leadership	27	2.5%
1		5	0.5%
2		12	1.1%
3		29	2.7%
4		35	3.2%
5		158	14.5%
6		143	13.1%
7		178	16.3%
8		228	20.9%
9		85	7.8%
10	10 has good leadership	105	9.6%
95	Refuse to answer	4	0.4%
98	Don't know	82	7.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q12: 10.In human resource allocation, if 0 means that she employs persons irrelevantly, 10 means that she employs persons relevantly, how would you rate Tsai, Ing-wen using a 0-to-10 scale?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1091 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	0 employs persons irrelevantly	37	3.4%

File : TEDS2016-T_PA06E

q12: 10.In human resource allocation, if 0 means that she employs persons irrelevantly, 10 means that she employs persons relevantly, how would you rate Tsai, Ing-wen using a 0-to-10 scale?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		10	0.9%
2		20	1.8%
3		60	5.5%
4		63	5.8%
5		215	19.7%
6		172	15.8%
7		166	15.2%
8		150	13.7%
9		29	2.7%
10	10 employs persons relevantly	42	3.8%
95	Refuse to answer	7	0.6%
98	Don't know	120	11.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q13: 11.Regard your trust toward President Tsai, Ing-wen, if 0 means that you have a deep distrust of her, 10 means that you have a high trust of her, how would you rate Tsai, Ing-wen using a 0-to-10 scale?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1091 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	0 have a deep distrust	40	3.7%
1		11	1.0%
2		16	1.5%
3		42	3.8%
4		37	3.4%
5		210	19.2%
6		114	10.4%
7		162	14.8%
8		156	14.3%
9		86	7.9%
10	10 have a high trust	165	15.1%
95	Refuse to answer	4	0.4%
98	Don't know	48	4.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q14: 12.Are you satisfied with the overall performance of Lin, Chuan as premier?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1091 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	48	4.4%
2	Satisfied	365	33.5%
3	Not very satisfied	348	31.9%
4	Not satisfied at all	107	9.8%
95	Refuse to answer	5	0.5%

File : TEDS2016-T_PA06E

q14: 12.Are you satisfied with the overall performance of Lin, Chuan as premier?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
96	It's hard to say	40	3.7%
97	No opinion	53	4.9%
98	Don't know	125	11.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q15: 13.Are you satisfied with the overall performance of the Executive Yuan over the last month?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1091 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	58	5.3%
2	Satisfied	362	33.2%
3	Not very satisfied	363	33.3%
4	Not satisfied at all	143	13.1%
95	Refuse to answer	3	0.3%
96	It's hard to say	37	3.4%
97	No opinion	54	4.9%
98	Don't know	71	6.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q16: 14.Which party's performance do you think is the best since the Legislative Yuan began its session this February?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1091 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	KMT	68	6.2%
2	DPP	297	27.2%
3	PFP	10	0.9%
21	New Power Party	127	11.6%
28	Non-Partisan Solidarity Union	7	0.6%
91	All are good	14	1.3%
92	All are not good	218	20.0%
95	Refuse to answer	17	1.6%
96	It's hard to say	50	4.6%
97	No opinion	74	6.8%
98	Don't know	209	19.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q17: 14a.Which party's performance do you think is the worst since the Legislative Yuan began its session this February?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1091 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	KMT	391	35.8%
2	DPP	64	5.9%
3	PFP	18	1.6%

File : TEDS2016-T_PA06E

q17: 14a. Which party's performance do you think is the worst since the Legislative Yuan began its session this February?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
21	New Power Party	170	15.6%
28	Non-Partisan Solidarity Union	4	0.4%
91	All are good	12	1.1%
92	All are not good	91	8.3%
95	Refuse to answer	19	1.7%
96	It's hard to say	53	4.9%
97	No opinion	79	7.2%
98	Don't know	190	17.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q18: 15. Are you satisfied with the performance of Hung, Hsiu-chu when she was the chairman of KMT?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1091 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	52	4.8%
2	Satisfied	333	30.5%
3	Not very satisfied	243	22.3%
4	Not satisfied at all	142	13.0%
95	Refuse to answer	14	1.3%
96	It's hard to say	33	3.0%
97	No opinion	114	10.4%
98	Don't know	160	14.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q19: 16. Would you say that over the past six months, the state of economy of Taiwan has gotten better, stayed about the same, or gotten worse?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1091 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Better	58	5.3%
2	About the same	645	59.1%
3	Worse	347	31.8%
95	Refuse to answer	0	
96	It depends	4	0.4%
97	No opinion	3	0.3%
98	Don't know	34	3.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q20: 17. Would you say that in the next six months, the state of the economy of Taiwan will get better, stay about the same, or get worse?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1091 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Better	217	19.9%

File : TEDS2016-T_PA06E

q20: 17.Would you say that in the next six months, the state of the economy of Taiwan will get better, stay about the same, or get worse?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	About the same	455	41.7%
3	Worse	303	27.8%
95	Refuse to answer	2	0.2%
96	It depends	32	2.9%
97	No opinion	4	0.4%
98	Don't know	78	7.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q21: 18.Concerning the relationship between Taiwan and mainland China, which of the following six positions do you agree with?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1091 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Immediate unification	11	1.0%
2	Immediate independence	40	3.7%
3	Maintain the status quo, move toward unification in the futu	81	7.4%
4	Maintain the status quo, move toward independence in the fut	222	20.3%
5	Maintain the status quo, decide either unification or indepe	359	32.9%
6	Maintain the status quo forever	325	29.8%
7	Is already an independent country	3	0.3%
95	Refuse to answer	7	0.6%
96	It's hard to say	6	0.5%
97	No opinion	5	0.5%
98	Don't know	32	2.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q22: 19.Among all political parties in our country, which party do you think of yourself as leaning toward?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1091 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	KMT [continue to 19a]	190	17.4%
2	DPP [continue to 19a]	383	35.1%
3	NP [continue to 19a]	6	0.5%
4	PFP [continue to 19a]	18	1.6%
5	TSU [continue to 19a]	0	
6	All support [continue to 19b]	11	1.0%
7	All don't support [continue to 19b]	257	23.6%
9	KMT+NP [continue to 19b]	1	0.1%
19	Vote for candidate not for his/her party [continue to 19b]	15	1.4%
20	Green Party [continue to 19a]	3	0.3%
21	New Power Party [continue to 19a]	54	4.9%
22	Minkuotang [continue to 19a]	1	0.1%
23	Social Democratic Party [continue to 19a]	3	0.3%

File : TEDS2016-T_PA06E

q22: 19.Among all political parties in our country, which party do you think of yourself as leaning toward?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
24	Tree Party [continue to 19a]	0	
26	Free Taiwan Party [continue to 19a]	1	0.1%
30	Faith And Hope League [continue to 19a]	1	0.1%
95	Refuse to answer [continue to 19b]	10	0.9%
96	It depends [continue to 19b]	66	6.0%
97	No opinion [continue to 19b]	44	4.0%
98	Don't know [continue to 19b]	27	2.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q23: 19a.Do you lean very strongly or somewhat?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1091 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very strongly	215	19.7%
2	Somewhat	445	40.8%
99	Skipped	431	39.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q24: 19b.Relatively speaking, do you lean toward any political party?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 3-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1091 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	KMT	32	2.9%
4	DPP	24	2.2%
5	NP	2	0.2%
6	PFP	1	0.1%
7	TSU	0	
8	None	300	27.5%
18	PAN-BLUE	1	0.1%
19	PAN-GREEN	2	0.2%
21	Vote for candidate not for his/her party	4	0.4%
23	Green Party	0	
24	New Power Party	5	0.5%
25	Minkuotang	1	0.1%
26	Social Democratic Party	0	
27	Tree Party	0	
34	Faith And Hope Leagu	1	0.1%
37	Taiwan labor party	1	0.1%
95	Refuse to answer	3	0.3%
96	It depends	22	2.0%
97	No opinion	25	2.3%
98	Don't know	7	0.6%
99	Skipped	660	60.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

File : TEDS2016-T_PA06E

q25: 20.In Taiwan, some people think they are Taiwanese. There are also some people who think that they are Chinese. Do you consider yourself as Taiwanese, Chinese or both?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1091 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Taiwanese	605	55.5%
2	Both	412	37.8%
3	Chinese	28	2.6%
95	Refuse to answer	8	0.7%
96	It's hard to say	4	0.4%
97	No opinion	11	1.0%
98	Don't know	23	2.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q26: 21.Year of birth

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 6-95] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1091 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6		1	0.1%
14		1	0.1%
15		1	0.1%
19		2	0.2%
20		1	0.1%
21		1	0.1%
22		2	0.2%
23		2	0.2%
24		3	0.3%
25		1	0.1%
26		4	0.4%
27		2	0.2%
28		8	0.7%
29		3	0.3%
30		10	0.9%
31		5	0.5%
32		10	0.9%
33		7	0.6%
34		3	0.3%
35		5	0.5%
36		12	1.1%
37		5	0.5%
38		12	1.1%
39		18	1.6%
40		14	1.3%
41		18	1.6%
42		21	1.9%

File : TEDS2016-T_PA06E

q26: 21.Year of birth

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
43		17	1.6%
44		20	1.8%
45		23	2.1%
46		25	2.3%
47		27	2.5%
48		27	2.5%
49		26	2.4%
50		29	2.7%
51		23	2.1%
52		25	2.3%
53		25	2.3%
54		28	2.6%
55		29	2.7%
56		25	2.3%
57		18	1.6%
58		18	1.6%
59		25	2.3%
60		32	2.9%
61		18	1.6%
62		29	2.7%
63		29	2.7%
64		26	2.4%
65		35	3.2%
66		24	2.2%
67		23	2.1%
68		21	1.9%
69		21	1.9%
70		34	3.1%
71		16	1.5%
72		20	1.8%
73		14	1.3%
74		6	0.5%
75		14	1.3%
76		12	1.1%
77		9	0.8%
78		16	1.5%
79		15	1.4%
80		15	1.4%
81		7	0.6%
82		11	1.0%
83		17	1.6%
84		16	1.5%
85		14	1.3%

File : TEDS2016-T_PA06E

q26: 21.Year of birth

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
95	Refuse to answer	15	1.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q27: 22.Education level

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-95] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1091 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Illiterate	14	1.3%
2	Primary school graduate	66	6.0%
3	Junior high school graduate	88	8.1%
4	High school or vocational school graduate	309	28.3%
5	Technical college graduate	176	16.1%
6	University graduate	331	30.3%
7	Post-graduate education	96	8.8%
95	Refuse to answer	11	1.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q28: 23.Father's ethnic background

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1091 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Taiwanese Hakka	122	11.2%
2	Taiwanese Min-nan	814	74.6%
3	Mainlander	118	10.8%
4	Aboriginal	6	0.5%
5	Foreigner	1	0.1%
6	Recent Mainland immigrant	0	
7	Recent Foreign immigrant	1	0.1%
95	Refuse to answer	5	0.5%
98	Don't know	24	2.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q29: 24.Mother's ethnic background

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1091 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Taiwanese Hakka	132	12.1%
2	Taiwanese Min-nan	859	78.7%
3	Mainlander	60	5.5%
4	Aboriginal	10	0.9%
5	Foreigner	0	
6	Recent Mainland immigrant	0	
7	Recent Foreign immigrant	3	0.3%
95	Refuse to answer	4	0.4%

File : TEDS2016-T_PA06E

q29: 24.Mother's ethnic background

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Don't know	23	2.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q30: 25.Main occupation of respondent

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 101-995] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1091 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
101	Legislators	0	
102	Government Administrators	7	0.6%
103	Chief Executives (Pub.)	10	0.9%
104	Chief Executives (Priv.)	118	10.8%
105	Directors have employee	53	4.9%
106	Directors don't have employee	40	3.7%
201	Science Professionals (Pub.)	1	0.1%
202	Science Professionals (Priv.)	0	
203	Health Associate Professionals (Pub.)	6	0.5%
204	Health Associate Professionals (Priv.)	12	1.1%
205	Accountants	4	0.4%
206	Teachers (Pub.)	30	2.7%
207	Teachers (Priv.)	17	1.6%
208	Lawyers and Legal Professionals (Pub.)	0	
209	Lawyers and Legal Professionals (Priv.)	1	0.1%
210	Religion man	0	
211	Abstractionist	3	0.3%
212	Writer (author, reporter, dramatist)	0	
213	Eng. Science Professionals (Pub.)	1	0.1%
214	Eng. Science Professionals (Priv.)	13	1.2%
215	Sportsman	0	
301	Office Clerks (Pub.)	36	3.3%
302	Office Clerks (Priv.)	117	10.7%
303	Salespersons	1	0.1%
401	Personal Services Workers (Priv.)	21	1.9%
501	Agr., Animal Husbandry, Forestry and Fishing Workers (Priv.)	27	2.5%
601	Production Laborers and Rel. Workers (Pub.)	20	1.8%
602	Production Laborers and Rel. Workers (Priv.)	194	17.8%
701	Students	47	4.3%
801	Servicemen	5	0.5%
901	Housekeeping, without domestic crafting [continue to 25a]	135	12.4%
902	Housekeeping, with domestic crafting [continue to 25a]	12	1.1%
903	Housekeeping, helping familial business without pay [continu	14	1.3%
904	Housekeeping, helping familial business with pay [continue t	8	0.7%
905	Unemployment [skip to 25b]	19	1.7%
906	Retired [skip to 25b]	110	10.1%
907	Not working, but has income	0	

File : TEDS2016-T_PA06E

q30: 25.Main occupation of respondent

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
911	Haven't employed	1	0.1%
995	Refuse to answer	8	0.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q31: 25a.Main occupation of respondent's spouse

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 101-999] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1091 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
101	Legislators	0	
102	Government Administrators	2	0.2%
103	Chief Executives (Pub.)	4	0.4%
104	Chief Executives (Priv.)	26	2.4%
105	Directors have employee	15	1.4%
106	Directors don't have employee	14	1.3%
201	Science Professionals (Pub.)	0	
202	Science Professionals (Priv.)	0	
203	Health Associate Professionals (Pub.)	0	
204	Health Associate Professionals (Priv.)	2	0.2%
205	Accountants	0	
206	Teachers (Pub.)	3	0.3%
207	Teachers (Priv.)	0	
208	Lawyers and Legal Professionals (Pub.)	0	
209	Lawyers and Legal Professionals (Priv.)	0	
210	Religion man	0	
211	Abstractionist	1	0.1%
212	Writer (author, reporter, dramatist)	0	
213	Eng. Science Professionals (Pub.)	0	
214	Eng. Science Professionals (Priv.)	3	0.3%
215	Sportsman	0	
301	Office Clerks (Pub.)	4	0.4%
302	Office Clerks (Priv.)	13	1.2%
303	Salespersons	0	
401	Personal Services Workers (Priv.)	1	0.1%
501	Agr., Animal Husbandry, Forestry and Fishing Workers (Priv.)	10	0.9%
601	Production Laborers and Rel. Workers (Pub.)	6	0.5%
602	Production Laborers and Rel. Workers (Priv.)	39	3.6%
701	Students	0	
801	Servicemen	3	0.3%
901	Widowed	11	1.0%
902	Divorced	7	0.6%
907	Not working, but has income	0	
911	Haven't employed	0	
995	Refuse to answer	5	0.5%
999	Skipped	922	84.5%

File : TEDS2016-T_PA06E

q31: 25a.Main occupation of respondent's spouse

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q32: 25b.Main occupation of respondent before retirement or unemployment

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 101-999] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1091 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
101	Legislators	0	
102	Government Administrators	4	0.4%
103	Chief Executives (Pub.)	3	0.3%
104	Chief Executives (Priv.)	20	1.8%
105	Directors have employee	11	1.0%
106	Directors don't have employee	5	0.5%
201	Science Professionals (Pub.)	0	
202	Science Professionals (Priv.)	0	
203	Health Associate Professionals (Pub.)	0	
204	Health Associate Professionals (Priv.)	1	0.1%
205	Accountants	0	
206	Teachers (Pub.)	11	1.0%
207	Teachers (Priv.)	1	0.1%
208	Lawyers and Legal Professionals (Pub.)	0	
209	Lawyers and Legal Professionals (Priv.)	0	
210	Religion man	0	
211	Abstractionist	0	
212	Writer (author, reporter, dramatist)	0	
213	Eng. Science Professionals (Pub.)	0	
214	Eng. Science Professionals (Priv.)	1	0.1%
215	Sportsman	0	
301	Office Clerks (Pub.)	8	0.7%
302	Office Clerks (Priv.)	5	0.5%
303	Salespersons	0	
401	Personal Services Workers (Priv.)	4	0.4%
501	Agr., Animal Husbandry, Forestry and Fishing Workers (Priv.)	3	0.3%
601	Production Laborers and Rel. Workers (Pub.)	7	0.6%
602	Production Laborers and Rel. Workers (Priv.)	31	2.8%
701	Students	6	0.5%
801	Servicemen	5	0.5%
995	Refuse to answer	3	0.3%
999	Skipped	962	88.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q33: 26.Please tell me where your household is registered?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 2-98] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1091 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Yi Lan County	19	1.7%

File : TEDS2016-T_PA06E

q33: 26.Please tell me where your household is registered?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Hsinchu County	21	1.9%
5	Miao Li County	33	3.0%
7	Changhua County	83	7.6%
8	Nantou County	34	3.1%
9	Yunlin County	34	3.1%
10	Chiayi County	35	3.2%
13	Pingtung County	45	4.1%
14	Taitung County	6	0.5%
15	Hualien County	9	0.8%
16	Peng Hu County	5	0.5%
17	Keelung City	19	1.7%
18	Hsinchu City	22	2.0%
20	Chiayi City	15	1.4%
28	Kinmen County	4	0.4%
30	Lienchiang County	1	0.1%
63	Taipei City	97	8.9%
64	Kaoshiung City	129	11.8%
65	New Taipei City	171	15.7%
66	Taichung City	111	10.2%
67	Tainan City	97	8.9%
68	Taoyuan City	95	8.7%
95	Refuse to answer	5	0.5%
98	Don't know	1	0.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q34: 26.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Yi Lan County?

Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1091 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yilan City	5	0.5%
2	Luodong Township	7	0.6%
3	Suao Township	0	
4	Toucheng Township	0	
5	Jiaoxi Township	2	
6	Zhuangwei Township	1	
7	Yuanshan Township	0	
8	Dongshan Township	2	
9	Wujie Township	2	
10	Sanxing Township	0	
11	Datong Township	0	
12	Nanao Township	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1072	

File : TEDS2016-T_PA06E

q34: 26.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Yi Lan County?

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q35: 26.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Hsinchu County?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1091 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Zhubei City	6	0.5%
2	Zhudong Township	4	0.4%
3	Xinpu Township	3	0.3%
4	Guanxi Township	2	0.2%
5	Hukou Township	2	0.2%
6	Xinfeng Township	2	0.2%
7	Qionglin Township	0	
8	Hengshan Township	0	
9	Beipu Township	0	
10	Baoshan Township	0	
11	Emei Township	0	
12	Jianshi Township	0	
13	Wufeng Township	0	
95	Refuse to answer	2	0.2%
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1070	98.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q36: 26.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Miaoli County?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1091 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Miaoli City	4	0.4%
2	Yuanli Township	4	0.4%
3	Tongxiao Township	3	0.3%
4	Zhunan Township	3	0.3%
5	Toufen City	3	0.3%
6	Houlong Township	2	0.2%
7	Zhuolan Township	2	0.2%
8	Dahu Township	1	0.1%
9	Gongguan Township	4	0.4%
10	Tongluo Township	3	0.3%
11	Nanzhuang Township	0	
12	Touwu Township	1	0.1%
13	Sanyi Township	0	
14	Xihu Township	1	0.1%
15	Zaoqiao Township	0	
16	Sanwan Township	1	0.1%
17	Shitan Township	0	

File : TEDS2016-T_PA06E

q36: 26.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Miaoli County?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
18	Taian Township	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	1	0.1%
99	Skipped	1058	97.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q37: 26.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Changhua County?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1091 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Changhua City	19	1.7%
2	Lugang Township	6	0.5%
3	Hemei Township	8	0.7%
4	Xianxi Township	0	
5	Shengang Township	0	
6	Fuxing Township	2	0.2%
7	Xiushui Township	5	0.5%
8	Huatan Township	1	0.1%
9	Fenyuan Township	1	0.1%
10	Yuanlin City	8	0.7%
11	Xihu Township	4	0.4%
12	Tianzhong Township	4	0.4%
13	Dacun Township	1	0.1%
14	Puyan Township	2	0.2%
15	Puxin Township	1	0.1%
16	Yongjing Township	2	0.2%
17	Shetou Township	1	0.1%
18	Ershui Township	1	0.1%
19	Beidou Township	2	0.2%
20	Erlin Township	3	0.3%
21	Tianwei Township	1	0.1%
22	Pitou Township	1	0.1%
23	Fangyuan Township	4	0.4%
24	Dacheng Township	0	
25	Zhutang Township	1	0.1%
26	Xizhou Township	2	0.2%
95	Refuse to answer	3	0.3%
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1008	92.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q38: 26.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Nantou County?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1091 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

File : TEDS2016-T_PA06E

q38: 26.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Nantou County?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Nantou City	11	1.0%
2	Puli Township	5	0.5%
3	Caotun Township	6	0.5%
4	Zhushan Township	5	0.5%
5	Jiji Township	1	0.1%
6	Mingjian Township	3	0.3%
7	Lugu Township	1	0.1%
8	Zhongliao Township	0	
9	Yuchi Township	0	
10	Guoxing Township	1	0.1%
11	Shuili Township	0	
12	Xinyi Township	0	
13	Renai Township	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	1	0.1%
99	Skipped	1057	96.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q39: 26.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Yunlin County?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1091 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Douliu City	4	0.4%
2	Dounan Township	6	0.5%
3	Huwei Township	4	0.4%
4	Xiluo Township	4	0.4%
5	Tuku Township	0	
6	Beigang Township	2	0.2%
7	Gukeng Township	2	0.2%
8	Dapi Township	0	
9	Citong Township	1	0.1%
10	Linnei Township	1	0.1%
11	Erlun Township	2	0.2%
12	Lunbei Township	0	
13	Mailiao Township	1	0.1%
14	Dongshi Township	1	0.1%
15	Baozhong Township	1	0.1%
16	Taixi Township	1	0.1%
17	Yuanchang Township	0	
18	Sihu Township	0	
19	Kouhu Township	1	0.1%
20	Shuilin Township	3	0.3%
95	Refuse to answer	0	

File : TEDS2016-T_PA06E

q39: 26.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Yunlin County?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1057	96.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q40: 26.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Chiayi County?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1091 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Taibao City	1	0.1%
2	Puzi City	4	0.4%
3	Budai Township	1	0.1%
4	Dalin Township	1	0.1%
5	Minxiong Township	6	0.5%
6	Xikou Township	2	0.2%
7	Xingang Township	4	0.4%
8	Liujiao Township	0	
9	Dongshi Township	1	0.1%
10	Yizhu Township	1	0.1%
11	Lucao Township	0	
12	Shuishang Township	3	0.3%
13	Zhongpu Township	4	0.4%
14	Zhuqi Township	6	0.5%
15	Meishan Township	1	0.1%
16	Fanlu Township	0	
17	Dapu Township	0	
18	Alishan Township	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1056	96.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q41: 26.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Pingtung County?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1091 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Pingtung City	13	1.2%
2	Chaozhou Township	6	0.5%
3	Donggang Township	2	0.2%
4	Hengchun Township	2	0.2%
5	Wandan Township	2	0.2%
6	Changzhi Township	1	0.1%
7	Linluo Township	1	0.1%
8	Jiuru Township	1	0.1%
9	Ligang Township	1	0.1%

File : TEDS2016-T_PA06E

q41: 26.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Pingtung County?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	Yanpu Township	1	0.1%
11	Gaoshu Township	3	0.3%
12	Wanluan Township	2	0.2%
13	Neipu Township	3	0.3%
14	Zhutian Township	0	
15	Xinpi Township	0	
16	Fangliao Township	0	
17	Xinyuan Township	3	0.3%
18	Kanding Township	0	
19	Linbian Township	2	0.2%
20	Nanzhou Township	2	0.2%
21	Jiandong Township	0	
22	Liuqiu Township	0	
23	Checheng Townshi	0	
24	Manzhou Townshi	0	
25	Fangshan Township	0	
26	Sandimen Township	0	
27	Wutai Township	0	
28	Majia Township	0	
29	Taiwu Townshi	0	
30	Laiyi Township	0	
31	Chunri Townshi	0	
32	Shizi Township	0	
33	Mudan Township	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1046	95.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q42: 26.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Taitung County?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1091 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Taitung City	4	0.4%
2	Chenggong Township	0	
3	Guanshan Township	0	
4	Beinan Township	0	
5	Luye Township	0	
6	Chishang Township	1	0.1%
7	Donghe Township	0	
8	Changbin Township	0	
9	Taimali Township	0	
10	Dawu Township	0	
11	Lyudao Township	0	

File : TEDS2016-T_PA06E

q42: 26.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Taitung County?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
12	Haiduan Township	0	
13	Yanping Townshi	0	
14	Jinfeng Township	0	
15	Daren Township	0	
16	Lanyu Township	0	
95	Refuse to answer	1	0.1%
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1085	99.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q43: 26.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Hualien County?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1091 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Hualien City	5	0.5%
2	Fenglin Township	0	
3	Yuli Township	1	0.1%
4	Xincheng Townshi	0	
5	Jian Township	2	0.2%
6	Shoufeng Township	0	
7	Guangfu Township	0	
8	Fengbin Township	0	
9	Ruisui Township	0	
10	Fuli Township	1	0.1%
11	Xiulin Townshi	0	
12	Wanrong Township	0	
13	Zhuoxi Township	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1082	99.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q44: 26.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Penghu County?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1091 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Magong City	4	0.4%
2	Huxi Township	0	
3	Baisha Township	0	
4	Xiyu Township	1	0.1%
5	Wang'an Township	0	
6	Qimei Township	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	

File : TEDS2016-T_PA06E

q44: 26.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Penghu County?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
99	Skipped	1086	99.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q45: 26.Where your household is registered? Which district in Keelung City?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1091 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Zhongzheng District	3	0.3%
2	Qidu District	3	0.3%
3	Nuannuan District	1	0.1%
4	Ren'ai District	3	0.3%
5	Zhongshan District	3	0.3%
6	Anle District	3	0.3%
7	Xinyi District	3	0.3%
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1072	98.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q46: 26.Where your household is registered? Which district in Hsinchu City?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1091 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	East District	13	1.2%
2	North District	4	0.4%
3	Xiangshan District	4	0.4%
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	1	0.1%
99	Skipped	1069	98.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# q47: 26.Where your household is registered? Which district in Chiayi City?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1091 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	East District	6	0.5%
2	West District	7	0.6%
95	Refuse to answer	1	0.1%
98	Don't know	1	0.1%
99	Skipped	1076	98.6%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# q48: 26.Where your household is registered? Which district in Taipei City?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1091 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Songshan District	7	0.6%
2	Xinyi District	3	0.3%
3	Daan District	12	1.1%
4	Zhongshan District	5	0.5%
5	Zhongzheng District	6	0.5%
6	Datong District	5	0.5%
7	Wanhua District	6	0.5%
8	Wenshan District	21	1.9%
9	Nangang District	3	0.3%
10	Neihu District	7	0.6%
11	Shilin District	10	0.9%
12	Beitou District	10	0.9%
95	Refuse to answer	1	0.1%
98	Don't know	1	0.1%
99	Skipped	994	91.1%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# q49: 26.Where your household is registered? Which district in Kaohsiung City?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1091 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yancheng District	1	0.1%
2	Gushan District	4	0.4%
3	Zuoying District	9	0.8%
4	Nanzi District	11	1.0%
5	Sanmin District	11	1.0%
6	Xinxing District	2	0.2%
7	Qianjin District	0	
8	Lingya District	8	0.7%
9	Qianzhen District	13	1.2%
10	Qijin District	2	0.2%
11	Xiaogang District	9	0.8%

# q49: 26.Where your household is registered? Which district in Kaohsiung City?				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
12	Fengshan District	16	1.5%	
13	Linyuan District	4	0.4%	
14	Daliao District	3	0.3%	
15	Dashu District	2	0.2%	
16	Dashe District	0		
17	Renwu District	2	0.2%	
18	Niaosong District	2	0.2%	
19	Gangshan District	5	0.5%	
20	Qiaotou District	3	0.3%	
21	Yanchao District	1	0.1%	
22	Tianliao District	1	0.1%	
23	Alian District	1	0.1%	
24	Luzhu District	4	0.4%	
25	Hunei District	2	0.2%	
26	Qieding District	2	0.2%	
27	Yongan District	0		
28	Mituo District	0		
29	Ziguan District	4	0.4%	
30	Qishan District	1	0.1%	
31	Meinong District	1	0.1%	
32	Liugui District	0		
33	Jiaxian District	0		
34	Shanlin District	0		
35	Neimen District	1	0.1%	
36	Maolin District	0		
37	Taoyuan District	0		
38	Namaxia District	0		
95	Refuse to answer	4	0.4%	
98	Don't know	0		
99	Skipped	962		88.2%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# q50: 26.Where your household is registered? Which district in New Taipei City?				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1091 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Banqiao District	25	2.3%	
2	Sanchong District	15	1.4%	
3	Zhonghe District	22	2.0%	
4	Yonghe District	11	1.0%	
5	Xinzhuang District	19	1.7%	
6	Xindian District	12	1.1%	
7	Shulin District	7	0.6%	
8	Yingge District	7	0.6%	
9	Sanxia District	4	0.4%	

q50: 26.Where your household is registered? Which district in New Taipei City?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	Danshui District	7	0.6%
11	Xizhi District	8	0.7%
12	Ruifang District	0	
13	Tucheng District	10	0.9%
14	Luzhou District	9	0.8%
15	Wugu District	3	0.3%
16	Taishan District	5	0.5%
17	Linkou District	2	0.2%
18	Shenkeng District	0	
19	Shiding District	0	
20	Pinglin District	0	
21	Sanzhi District	2	0.2%
22	Shimen District	1	0.1%
23	Bali District	0	
24	Pingxi District	0	
25	Shuangxi District	1	0.1%
26	Gongliao District	0	
27	Jinshan District	0	
28	Wanli District	0	
29	Wulai District	0	
95	Refuse to answer	1	0.1%
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	920	84.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q51: 26.Where your household is registered? Which district in Taichung City?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1091 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Central District	0	
2	East District	3	0.3%
3	South District	4	0.4%
4	West District	6	0.5%
5	North District	2	0.2%
6	Xitun District	6	0.5%
7	Nantun District	3	0.3%
8	Beitun District	6	0.5%
9	Fengyuan District	6	0.5%
10	Dongshi District	5	0.5%
11	Dajia District	6	0.5%
12	Qingshui District	3	0.3%
13	Shalu District	7	0.6%
14	Wuqi District	4	0.4%
15	Houli District	4	0.4%
16	Shengang District	4	0.4%

q51: 26.Where your household is registered? Which district in Taichung City?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
17	Tanzi District	7	0.6%
18	Daya District	7	0.6%
19	Xinshe District	0	
20	Shigang District	0	
21	Waipu District	3	0.3%
22	Daan District	0	
23	Wuri District	2	0.2%
24	Dadu District	1	0.1%
25	Longjing District	0	
26	Wufeng District	2	0.2%
27	Taiping District	8	0.7%
28	Dali District	7	0.6%
29	Heping District	1	0.1%
95	Refuse to answer	3	0.3%
98	Don't know	1	0.1%
99	Skipped	980	89.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q52: 26.Where your household is registered? Which district in Tainan City?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1091 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Xinying District	5	0.5%
2	Yanshui District	2	0.2%
3	Baihe District	0	
4	Liuying District	2	0.2%
5	Houbi District	3	0.3%
6	Dongshan District	1	0.1%
7	Madou District	3	0.3%
8	Xiaying District	2	0.2%
9	Liujia District	0	
10	Guantian District	1	0.1%
11	Danei District	0	
12	Jiali District	4	0.4%
13	Xuejia District	2	0.2%
14	Sigang District	2	0.2%
15	Qigu District	0	
16	Jiangjun District	0	
17	Beimen District	0	
18	Xinhua District	1	0.1%
19	Shanhua District	5	0.5%
20	Xinshi District	3	0.3%
21	Anding District	0	
22	Shanshang District	0	
23	Yujing District	1	0.1%

# q52: 26.Where your household is registered? Which district in Tainan City?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
24	Nanxi District	0	
25	Nanhua District	1	0.1%
26	Zuozhen District	0	
27	Rende District	3	0.3%
28	Guiren District	4	0.4%
29	Guanmiao District	0	
30	Longqi District	0	
31	Yongkang District	12	1.1%
32	East District	10	0.9%
33	South District	3	0.3%
34	North District	9	0.8%
35	Annan District	9	0.8%
36	Anping District	2	0.2%
37	West Central District	7	0.6%
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	994	91.1%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# q53: 26.Where your household is registered? Which district in Taoyuan City?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1091 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Taoyuan District	24	2.2%
2	Zhongli District	15	1.4%
3	Daxi District	5	0.5%
4	Yangmei District	10	0.9%
5	Luzhu District	9	0.8%
6	Dayuan District	1	0.1%
7	Guishan District	5	0.5%
8	Bade District	8	0.7%
9	Longtan District	5	0.5%
10	PingzhenDistrict	7	0.6%
11	Xinwu District	1	0.1%
12	Guanyin District	3	0.3%
13	Fuxing District	0	
95	Refuse to answer	1	0.1%
98	Don't know	1	0.1%
99	Skipped	996	91.3%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# q54: 27.In 2016 Presidential Election, who did you vote for?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1091 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Eric Chu and Wang, Ju-Hsuan	156	14.3%

# q54: 27.In 2016 Presidential Election, who did you vote for?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Tsai, Ing-Wen and Chen, Chien-Jen	473	<div><div></div></div> 43.4%
3	James Soong and Hsu, Hsin-Ying	60	<div><div></div></div> 5.5%
89	Didn't pick up the ballot	0	
91	Forget	18	<div><div></div></div> 1.6%
92	Not eligible to vote	8	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
93	Didn't vote	228	<div><div></div></div> 20.9%
94	Cast invalid vote	21	<div><div></div></div> 1.9%
95	Refuse to answer	127	<div><div></div></div> 11.6%
98	Don't know	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# q55: 28.Do you have email address?			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-95] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1091 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	581	<div><div></div></div> 53.3%
2	No [skipt to 29]	500	<div><div></div></div> 45.8%
95	Refuse to answer [skipt to 29]	10	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# q56: 28a.Would you please leave us your email address?			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1091 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	EMAIL	184	<div><div></div></div> 16.9%
95	Refuse to answer	397	<div><div></div></div> 36.4%
99	Skipped	510	<div><div></div></div> 46.7%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# q58: 29.Respondent's gender			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1091 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Male	502	<div><div></div></div> 46.0%
2	Female	589	<div><div></div></div> 54.0%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# q59: 30.Language used to conduct interview			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1091 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Mandarin	980	<div><div></div></div> 89.8%
2	Taiwanese	56	<div><div></div></div> 5.1%
3	Hakka	0	
4	Mandarin and Taiwanese	55	<div><div></div></div> 5.0%
5	Mandarin and Hakka	0	

# q59: 30.Language used to conduct interview			
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# age: Age			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1091 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	20-29 years	132	12.1%
2	30-39 years	193	17.7%
3	40-49 years	255	23.4%
4	50-59 years	264	24.2%
5	60 years and above	232	21.3%
9	Nonresponse	15	1.4%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# sex: Sex			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1091 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Male	502	46.0%
2	Female	589	54.0%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# edu: Education			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1091 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Below-primary school	80	7.3%
2	Junior high school	88	8.1%
3	High school or vocational school	309	28.3%
4	Technical college	176	16.1%
5	Post-university	427	39.1%
9	Nonresponse	11	1.0%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# sengi: Father's ethnic background			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1091 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Taiwanese Hakka	122	11.2%
2	Taiwanese Min-nan	814	74.6%
3	Mainlander	118	10.8%
4	Aboriginal	6	0.5%
5	Foreigner	1	0.1%
9	Nonresponse	30	2.7%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# masengi: Mother's ethnic background			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]		

# masengi: Mother's ethnic background			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1091 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Taiwanese Hakka	132	<div><div></div></div> 12.1%
2	Taiwanese Min-nan	859	<div><div></div></div> 78.7%
3	Mainlander	60	<div><div></div></div> 5.5%
4	Aboriginal	10	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
5	Foreigner	0	
9	Nonresponse	30	<div><div></div></div> 2.7%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# t_cidentity: Taiwanese/Chinese identity			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1091 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Taiwanese	605	<div><div></div></div> 55.5%
2	Both	412	<div><div></div></div> 37.8%
3	Chinese	28	<div><div></div></div> 2.6%
9	Nonresponse	46	<div><div></div></div> 4.2%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# partyid: Party identification			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1091 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	KMT	222	<div><div></div></div> 20.3%
2	DPP	407	<div><div></div></div> 37.3%
3	NP	8	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
4	PFP	19	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%
5	TSU	0	
6	New Power Party	59	<div><div></div></div> 5.4%
7	Neutral and it depends	326	<div><div></div></div> 29.9%
8	Nonresponse and other parties	50	<div><div></div></div> 4.6%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# party: Party support			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-19] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1091 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly support KMT	44	<div><div></div></div> 4.0%
2	Somewhat support KMT	146	<div><div></div></div> 13.4%
3	Lean to the KMT	32	<div><div></div></div> 2.9%
4	Strongly support DPP	130	<div><div></div></div> 11.9%
5	Somewhat support DPP	253	<div><div></div></div> 23.2%
6	Lean to the DPP	24	<div><div></div></div> 2.2%
7	Strongly support NP	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
8	Somewhat support NP	5	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
9	Lean to the NP	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%

# party: Party support			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	Strongly support PFP	6	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
11	Somewhat support PFP	12	<div><div></div></div> 1.1%
12	Lean to the PFP	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
13	Strongly support TSU	0	
14	Somewhat support TSU	0	
15	Lean to the TSU	0	
16	Strongly support New Power Party	29	<div><div></div></div> 2.7%
17	Somewhat support New Power Party	25	<div><div></div></div> 2.3%
18	Lean to the New Power Party	5	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
19	Neutral and other parties	376	<div><div></div></div> 34.5%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# tondu: Position on unification and independence			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1091 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Immediate unification	11	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%
2	Maintain the status quo, move toward unification	81	<div><div></div></div> 7.4%
3	Maintain the status quo, decide either unification or indepe	359	<div><div></div></div> 32.9%
4	Maintain the status quo forever	325	<div><div></div></div> 29.8%
5	Maintain the status quo, move toward independence	222	<div><div></div></div> 20.3%
6	Immediate independence	40	<div><div></div></div> 3.7%
9	Nonresponse	53	<div><div></div></div> 4.9%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# career: Occupation5			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1091 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Hight-class WHITE COLLAR	509	<div><div></div></div> 46.7%
2	Low-class WHITE COLLAR	210	<div><div></div></div> 19.2%
3	FARMER	40	<div><div></div></div> 3.7%
4	WORKER	297	<div><div></div></div> 27.2%
5	Others	35	<div><div></div></div> 3.2%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# career8: Occupation8			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1091 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Civil servants	172	<div><div></div></div> 15.8%
2	Managers and Professionals (Priv.)	282	<div><div></div></div> 25.8%
3	CLERKS (Priv.)	148	<div><div></div></div> 13.6%
4	Labor (Priv.)	225	<div><div></div></div> 20.6%
5	FARMER	30	<div><div></div></div> 2.7%
6	Students	53	<div><div></div></div> 4.9%

# career8: Occupation8			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7	Housekeeping	169	<div></div> 15.5%
8	Others	12	<div></div> 1.1%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# arear: Area			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1091 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Taipei metro area	201	<div></div> 18.4%
2	New Taipei city-Chilung	86	<div></div> 7.9%
3	Taoyuan-Hsinchu-Miaoli	171	<div></div> 15.7%
4	Taichung-Changhwa-Nanto	228	<div></div> 20.9%
5	Yunlin-Chiai-Tainan	181	<div></div> 16.6%
6	Kaohsiung-Pingtung-Pemghu	179	<div></div> 16.4%
7	Yi Lan-Hwalian-Taitung	34	<div></div> 3.1%
9	Nonresponse	11	<div></div> 1.0%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# w: weight			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0.260120559-4.373806193] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1091 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0.574 /-]		