

Taiwan, ROC

Chi Hunag, Election Study Center, National Chengchi University

**Taiwan's Election and Democratization Study,
2012-2016 (IV): the Survey of the Presidential
and Legislative Elections, 2016 (TEDS2016)**

Study Documentation

June 22, 2018

Metadata Production

Metadata Producer(s)	Survey Research Data Archive (SRDA) , Center for Survey Research, Research Center for Humanities Social Sciences, Academia Sinica
Production Date	June 22, 2018
Version	2.0
Identification	D00136en

Table of Contents

Overview.....	4
Scope & Coverage.....	4
Producers & Sponsors.....	4
Sampling.....	4
Data Collection.....	5
Data Processing & Appraisal.....	5
Accessibility.....	5
Files Description.....	6
TEDS2016E_indC.....	6
TEDS2016E_indQ.....	6
TEDS2016E_panC.....	6
TEDS2016E_panQ.....	6
TEDS2016E_retC.....	6
TEDS2016E_retQ.....	6
Variables Group(s).....	7
Independence Sample Cover.....	7
Independence Questionnaire.....	7
Panel Sample Cover.....	26
Panel Questionnaire.....	27
Ind_Retest Sample Cover.....	60
Ind_Retest Questionnaire.....	60
Variables Description.....	63
TEDS2016E_indC.....	64
TEDS2016E_indQ.....	80
TEDS2016E_panC.....	380
TEDS2016E_panQ.....	409
TEDS2016E_retC.....	934
TEDS2016E_retQ.....	952

Taiwan's Election and Democratization Study, 2012-2016 (IV): the Survey of the Presidential and Legislative Elections, 2016 (TEDS2016) (TEDS - Taiwan's Election and Democratization Study)

Overview	
Type	Election Studies
Identification	D00136en
Version	Production Date: 2018-06-22
<p>Abstract</p> <p>Funded by the Department of Humanities and Social Science of the Ministry of Science and Technology, TEDS is a multi-year survey project that aims to deliver quality survey data as an open resource for social science research.</p> <p>Its 2016 sub-project, TEDS2016, explored the various dimensions of Taiwan's presidential and legislative elections. Between January and March of this year, 1,690 independent face-to-face interviews as well as 1,241 follow-up panel interviews from the 2013 TEDS survey were conducted (TEDS2016).</p>	
Kind of Data	Sampling
Unit of Analysis	Individuals

Scope & Coverage	
Time Period(s)	2016
Countries	Taiwan, ROC
<p>Geographic Coverage</p> <p>Taiwan</p>	

Producers & Sponsors	
Primary Investigator(s)	Chi Hunag, Election Study Center, National Chengchi University
Other Producer(s)	Election Study Center, National Chengchi University Department of Public Policy and Management, Shih Hsin University Department of Political Science, Tunghai University Department of Political Science, National Chung Cheng University Department of Political Science, National Cheng Kung University Graduate Institute of Political Science, National Sun Yat-sen University
Funding Agency/ies	National Science Council

Sampling
<p>Weighting</p> <p>The survey data are weighted by raking with gender, age (5 groups), education (5 groups), and area (6 groups). The population parameters are referred to Demographic fact book, Republic of China which published by Ministry of The Interior Republic of China in 2016.</p>

Data Collection	
Data Collection Dates	start 2016-01-17 end 2016-04-28 start 2016-01-17 end 2016-03-28 start 2016-03-16 end 2016-04-29
Time Period(s)	start 2015-08-01 end 2016-07-31
Data Collection Mode	Face-to-Face Survey

Data Processing & Appraisal	
<u>Data Editing</u>	CSR has checked wild codes and out-of-range values, to validate and clean data. There is no doubt about data accuracy after the validation.

Accessibility	
Contact(s)	, http://srda.sinica.edu.tw , srda@gate.sinica.edu.tw
Distributor(s)	Survey Research Data Archive
Depositor(s)	Election Study Center, National Chengchi University
<u>Access Conditions</u>	Standard Access Data (Downloads for Regular Member, Academia Sinica Researcher)

Files Description

Dataset contains 6 file(s)

TEDS2016E_indC	
# Cases	7601
# Variable(s)	19

TEDS2016E_indQ	
# Cases	1690
# Variable(s)	343

TEDS2016E_panC	
# Cases	2292
# Variable(s)	19

TEDS2016E_panQ	
# Cases	2292
# Variable(s)	599

TEDS2016E_retC	
# Cases	423
# Variable(s)	19

TEDS2016E_retQ	
# Cases	320
# Variable(s)	34

Variables Group(s)

Dataset contains 6 group(s)

Group Independence Sample Cover

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	id	Case ID	continuous	numeric-14.0	7601	0	-
2	tau	Set	discrete	numeric-2.0	7601	0	-
3	city	City, County	discrete	numeric-2.0	7601	0	-
4	town	Township, City, District	discrete	numeric-4.0	7601	0	-
5	village	Village	discrete	numeric-7.0	7601	0	-
6	t01	First contact-result	discrete	numeric-2.0	7601	0	-
7	t02	First contact-time	continuous	numeric-11.0	7601	0	-
8	t03	Contact1	discrete	numeric-2.0	7601	0	-
9	t04	Second contact-result	discrete	numeric-2.0	7601	0	-
10	t05	Second contact-time	discrete	numeric-11.0	7601	0	-
11	t06	Contact2	discrete	numeric-2.0	7601	0	-
12	t07	Third contact-result	discrete	numeric-2.0	7601	0	-
13	t08	Third contact-time	discrete	numeric-11.0	7601	0	-
14	t09	Contact3	discrete	numeric-2.0	7601	0	-
15	t10	Community of the housing unit	discrete	numeric-2.0	7601	0	-
16	t11	Appearance of the housing unit	discrete	numeric-2.0	7601	0	-
17	t12	I's ID	continuous	numeric-9.0	7601	0	-
18	t13	Gender of I	discrete	numeric-2.0	7601	0	-
19	final_result	Last contact result	discrete	numeric-2.0	7601	0	-

Group Independence Questionnaire

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	id	Case ID	continuous	numeric-14.0	1690	0	-
2	tau	Set	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
3	city	City, County	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
4	town	Township, City, District	discrete	numeric-4.0	1690	0	-
5	village	Village	discrete	numeric-7.0	1690	0	-
6	a1	How closely do you follow politics on TV, radio, newspapers, or the Internet? Very closely, fairly closely, not very closely, or not at all?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
7	a2	Which newspaper did you read most often?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
8	a3	Which TV news did you watch most often?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
9	a4	On average, how much time did you spend each day on election campaign news on TV?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
10	a4a	What about election news on the radio?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
11	a4b	What about election news on the internet?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
12	a4c	What about election news in the newspapers?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
13	b1	How interested would you say you are in politics? Are you very interested, somewhat interested, not very interested, or not at all interested?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
14	b2	Do you usually talk about politics or elections with other people? Is it often, sometimes, seldom, or never?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
15	b2a_1	Whom do you usually talk about politics or elections with? Family members, relatives, friends, neighbors, colleagues at work, members of an association, classmates, online friends, or others?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
16	b2a_2	Whom do you usually talk about politics or elections with? Family members, relatives, friends, neighbors, colleagues at work, members of an association, classmates, online friends, or others?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
17	b2a_3	Whom do you usually talk about politics or elections with? Family members, relatives, friends, neighbors, colleagues at work, members of an association, classmates, online friends, or others?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
18	b2a_4	Whom do you usually talk about politics or elections with? Family members, relatives, friends, neighbors, colleagues at work, members of an association, classmates, online friends, or others?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
19	b2a_5	Whom do you usually talk about politics or elections with? Family members, relatives, friends, neighbors, colleagues at work, members of an association, classmates, online friends, or others?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
20	b2a_6	Whom do you usually talk about politics or elections with? Family members, relatives, friends, neighbors, colleagues at work, members	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		of an association, classmates, online friends, or others?					
21	b2a_7	Whom do you usually talk about politics or elections with? Family members, relatives, friends, neighbors, colleagues at work, members of an association, classmates, online friends, or others?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
22	b2a_8	Whom do you usually talk about politics or elections with? Family members, relatives, friends, neighbors, colleagues at work, members of an association, classmates, online friends, or others?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
23	b2a_9	Whom do you usually talk about politics or elections with? Family members, relatives, friends, neighbors, colleagues at work, members of an association, classmates, online friends, or others?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
24	b2b	Do these people who you commonly discuss politics or elections with support the same party?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
25	b2c	Which party is that?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
26	b3	Were you concerned with the outcome of this presidential election? Were you very concerned, somewhat concerned, not too concerned, or not concerned at all?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
27	b4_1	Did a party or presidential candidate seek your vote through any of the following individuals?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
28	b4_2	Did a party or presidential candidate seek your vote through any of the following individuals?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
29	b4_3	Did a party or presidential candidate seek your vote through any of the following individuals?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
30	b4_4	Did a party or presidential candidate seek your vote through any of the following individuals?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
31	b4_5	Did a party or presidential candidate seek your vote through any of the following individuals?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
32	b4_6	Did a party or presidential candidate seek your vote through any of the following individuals?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
33	b4_7	Did a party or presidential candidate seek your vote through any of the following individuals?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
34	b4_8	Did a party or presidential candidate seek your vote through any of the following individuals?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
35	b4_9	Did a party or presidential candidate seek your vote through any of the following individuals?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
36	b4_10	Did a party or presidential candidate seek your vote through any of the following individuals?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
37	b4_11	Did a party or presidential candidate seek your vote through any of the following individuals?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
38	b5_1	Did a legislative candidate in your District or party personally seek your vote through any of the following individuals?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
39	b5_2	Did a legislative candidate in your District or party personally seek your vote through any of the following individuals?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
40	b5_3	Did a legislative candidate in your District or party personally seek your vote through any of the following individuals?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
41	b5_4	Did a legislative candidate in your District or party personally seek your vote through any of the following individuals?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
42	b5_5	Did a legislative candidate in your District or party personally seek your vote through any of the following individuals?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
43	b5_6	Did a legislative candidate in your District or party personally seek your vote through any of the following individuals?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
44	b5_7	Did a legislative candidate in your District or party personally seek your vote through any of the following individuals?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
45	b5_8	Did a legislative candidate in your District or party personally seek your vote through any of the following individuals?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
46	b5_9	Did a legislative candidate in your District or party personally seek your vote through any of the following individuals?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
47	b5_10	Did a legislative candidate in your District or party personally seek your vote through any of the following individuals?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
48	b5_11	Did a legislative candidate in your District or party personally seek your vote through any of the following individuals?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
49	b5_12	Did a legislative candidate in your District or party personally seek your vote through any of the following individuals?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
50	b5_13	Did a legislative candidate in your District or party personally seek your vote through any of the following individuals?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
51	b5_14	Did a legislative candidate in your District or party personally seek your vote through any of the following individuals?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
52	b5_15	Did a legislative candidate in your District or party personally seek your vote through any of the following individuals?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
53	b5a	Which candidate were they mainly asking you to support?	discrete	numeric-6.0	1690	0	-
54	b5aa	Which candidate were they mainly asking you to support?(Plain aborigines)	discrete	numeric-6.0	1690	0	-
55	b5ab	Which candidate were they mainly asking you to support?(Mountain aborigines)	discrete	numeric-6.0	1690	0	-
56	b6_1	During this year's election, did you do any of the following?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
57	b6_2	During this year's election, did you do any of the following?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
58	b6_3	During this year's election, did you do any of the following?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
59	b6_4	During this year's election, did you do any of the following?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
60	b6_5	During this year's election, did you do any of the following?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
61	b6_6	During this year's election, did you do any of the following?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
62	b6_7	During this year's election, did you do any of the following?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
63	b6_8	During this year's election, did you do any of the following?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
64	b6_9	During this year's election, did you do any of the following?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
65	b6_10	During this year's election, did you do any of the following?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
66	b6_11	During this year's election, did you do any of the following?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
67	b6_12	During this year's election, did you do any of the following?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
68	b6_13	During this year's election, did you do any of the following?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
69	b6_14	During this year's election, did you do any of the following?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
70	b6_15	During this year's election, did you do any of the following?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
71	c1	Now thinking about the performance of the president Ma in general, how good or bad a job do you think the president did over the past 8 years? Has he done a very good job? A good job? A bad job? A very bad job?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
72	c2	Overall, during Ma Ying-jeou's first term, in what aspect has he performed best?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
73	c3	Overall, during Ma Ying-jeou's first term, in what aspect has he performed worst?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
74	d1	Some people say: People like me don't have any say about what the government does	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
75	d2	Some people say: Public officials do not care much about what people like me think	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
76	d3	Some people say: Sometimes politics seems so complicated that a person like me cannot really understand what is going on	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
77	d4	Some people say: Most decisions made by the government are correct	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
78	d5	Some people say: Government officials often waste a lot of money we pay in taxes	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
79	d6	When the government decides important policies, do you think public welfare is its first priority?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
80	d7	You feel you understand the most important political issues of this country	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
81	e1	In a democracy it is important to seek compromise among different viewpoints	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
82	e2	Most politicians do not care about the people.	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
83	e3	Most politicians are trustworthy.	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
84	e4	Politicians are the main problem in our country(i.e. Taiwan).	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
85	e5	Having a strong leader in government is good for our country even if the leader bends the rules to get things done.	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
86	e6	The people, and not politicians, should make our most important policy decisions.	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
87	e7	Most politicians care only about the interests of the rich and powerful.	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
88	e8	Poor people should have a greater voice in politics.	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
89	f1	A man's job is to work and earn money; a woman's job is to look after family.	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
90	f2	Males are more suited to working for a position that involves a certain level of management, while females are more suited to working for a first-line position.	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
91	g1	Would you say that over the past twelve months, the state of the economy in Taiwan has gotten much better, gotten somewhat better,	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		stayed about the same, gotten somewhat worse, or gotten much worse?					
92	g2	Would you say that in the forthcoming year, the state of the economy of Taiwan will get better, stay about the same, or get worse.	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
93	g3	Would you say that over the past year, your own household's economic condition has gotten better, stayed about the same, or gotten worse?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
94	g4	Would you say that in the forthcoming year, your own household's economic condition will get better, stay about the same, or get worse?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
95	h1	Do you think that voting is a responsibility, or do you think that it is all right either to vote or not to vote?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
96	h2	Do you believe very strongly, somewhat strongly, or only a little that voting is a responsibility?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
97	h3	Which of these three statements is closest to your own opinion?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
98	h4	In your opinion how much of a democracy is Taiwan today?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
99	h5	On the whole, are you very satisfied, fairly satisfied, not very satisfied, or not at all satisfied with the way democracy works in Taiwan?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
100	h6	Using the scale on this card, (where ONE means that voting won't make any difference to what happens and FIVE means that voting can make a big difference), where would you place yourself?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
101	h7	Which of the following two statements do you agree with more?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
102	h8	Some people say that legislator elected by District should care about the interests of the whole country. Others say that legislator elected by District should care about the interests of District. Which statement do you agree with?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
103	h9	How widespread do you think corruption such as	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		bribe taking is among politicians in our country: very widespread, quite widespread, not very widespread, or it hardly happens at all?					
104	i1	Who is the current president of the United States?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
105	i2	Who is the current the premier of our country?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
106	i3	What institution has the power to interpret the constitution?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
107	j1	In this presidential election on January 16th many people went to vote, while others, for various reasons, did not go to vote. Did you vote?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
108	j1a	Which ticket did you vote for?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
109	j1b1	What was the main reason you voted for the candidate?	discrete	numeric-3.0	1690	0	-
110	j1b2	When did you decide which presidential candidate to vote for?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
111	j1c	Was this the candidate that you originally wanted to vote for?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
112	j1d	Among the three candidates, which one did you originally want to vote for?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
113	j1e	If you had gone to vote, which ticket would you have voted for?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
114	j1f	Why didn't you vote?	discrete	numeric-3.0	1690	0	-
115	j1g	If you had gone to vote, which ticket would you have voted for?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
116	j2	Which candidate did you vote for in the 2012 presidential election?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
117	j3	Which candidate did you vote for in the 2008 presidential election?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
118	j4	In this legislative election, which candidate did you vote for?	discrete	numeric-6.0	1690	0	-
119	j4aa	In this legislative election, which candidate did you vote for?(Plain aborigines)	discrete	numeric-6.0	1690	0	-
120	j4ab	In this legislative election, which candidate did you vote for?(Mountain aborigines)	discrete	numeric-6.0	1690	0	-
121	j4a	Which party's candidate did you vote for?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
122	j4a1	What was the main reason you voted for that candidate?	discrete	numeric-3.0	1690	0	-
123	j4b	Here is a list of all the parties that contested this legislative election. Which party did you cast your at-large vote for?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
124	j4b1	What was the main reason you voted for that party	discrete	numeric-3.0	1690	0	-
125	j5	In the 2012 legislative election, which candidate did you vote for?	discrete	numeric-8.0	1690	0	-
126	j5aa	In the 2012 legislative election, which candidate did you vote for?(Plain aborigines)	discrete	numeric-8.0	1690	0	-
127	j5ab	In the 2012 legislative election, which candidate did you vote for?(Mountain aborigines)	discrete	numeric-8.0	1690	0	-
128	j5a	Which party's candidate did you vote for?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
129	j5b	Here is a list of all the parties that contested the last legislative election. Which party did you cast your at-large vote for	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
130	k1	During the presidential election campaign, many different problems faced by our country were raised. What do you think is the most important political problem facing Taiwan today?	discrete	numeric-3.0	1690	0	-
131	k1a	Which presidential candidate do you think is most capable of dealing with it?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
132	k2a	Where 0 means you strongly dislike that candidate and 10 means that you strongly like that candidate. The first is TSAI Ing-wen	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
133	k2b	[Like]How would you rate CHU Lil-luan?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
134	k2c	[Like]How would you rate James SOONG?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
135	k3a	[Capability]How would you rate TSAI Ing-wen using 0 to 10 scale?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
136	k3b	[Capability]How would you rate CHU Lil-luan?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
137	k3c	[Capability]How would you rate James SOONG?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
138	k4a	[Understand the needs of people]How would you rate TSAI Ing-wen using 0 to 10 scale?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
139	k4b	[Understand the needs of people]How would you rate CHU Lil-luan?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
140	k4c	[Understand the needs of people]How would you rate James SOONG?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
141	k5a	[Protecting Taiwan's interests]How would you rate TSAI Ing-wen using 0 to 10 scale?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
142	k5b	[Protecting Taiwan's interests]How would you rate CHU Lil-luan?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
143	k5c	[Protecting Taiwan's interests]How would you rate James SOONG?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
144	k6a	[Maintain Cross-Strait peace]How would you rate TSAI Ing-wen using 0 to 10 scale?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
145	k6b	[Maintain Cross-Strait peace]How would you rate CHU Lil-luan?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
146	k6c	[Maintain Cross-Strait peace]How would you rate James SOONG?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
147	k7	How serious do you think the gap between rich and poor?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
148	k7a	Which candidate do you think have more ability to solve the problem of the gap between rich and poor?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
149	k8	How serious do you think low income of salaryman?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
150	k8a	Which candidate do you think have more ability to solve the problem of low income?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
151	k9	How serious do you think food safety?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
152	k9a	Which candidate do you think have more ability to solve the problem of food safety?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
153	k10	Has any candidate ever made you feel angry?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
154	k11	Has any candidate ever made you feel afraid?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
155	k12	Has any candidate ever made you feel hopeful?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
156	k13	Has any candidate ever made you feel proud to be a Taiwanese?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
157	m1a	How would you rate the performance of your	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		legislator at constituency service?					
158	m1b	How would you rate the performance of your legislator at law-making?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
159	m2	On a scale from 0 to 10, where 0 represents very bad and 10 represents very good, how would you rate the Legislative Yuan's overall performance over the past four years?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
160	m3	On the same scale, how would you rate the KMT's performance in the Legislative Yuan?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
161	m4	On the same scale, how would you rate the DPP's performance in the Legislative Yuan?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
162	n1	This card lists eleven positions from independence (0) to unification (10). Which position do you occupy?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
163	n1a	What position do you think KMT occupies?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
164	n1b	What position do you think DPP occupies?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
165	n1c	What position do you think PFP occupies?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
166	n1d	What position do you think TSU occupies?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
167	n1e	What position do you think New Power Party (NPP) occupies?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
168	n2	Where would you place yourself on this scale where 0 means 'Governments should decrease taxes and spend less on services' and 10 means 'Governments should increase taxes and spend more on services'?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
169	n2a	What position do you think KMT occupies?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
170	n2b	What position do you think DPP occupies?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
171	n3	After 2008, the cross-Strait economic interactions have intensified. As a result of this, do you think Taiwan's economy has gotten better, worse or is about the same?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
172	n3a	Thinking about your own economic situation. As a result of intensification of cross-Strait interactions, do you think it has gotten better,	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		worse, or stayed about the same?					
173	n4	Ethnic minorities should adapt to our country's way of life	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
174	n5	Immigrants are generally good for our country's economy	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
175	n6	Our country's culture is generally harmed by immigrants	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
176	p1	Do you consider yourself as Taiwanese, Chinese or both?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
177	p1a	Do you feel very strongly or somewhat strongly that you are Taiwanese/Chinese?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
178	p1b	Do you feel yourself leaning a little more to considering yourself Taiwanese or Chinese?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
179	p2	Do you believe that cross-strait relations will become warmer, more tense, or remain unchanged?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
180	p3	The leaders of the two sides of Taiwan Strait met in Singapore in November last year. General speaking, do you think this meeting had either a positive or negative impact on the future development of Taiwan?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
181	p4	Regarding the issue of Cross-Strait negotiation, some people say that we should continue using the 1992 Consensus as the basis of Cross-Strait negotiation while other people say we should reject the 1992 Consensus. Which do you agree with?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
182	p5	Concerning the relationship between Taiwan and mainland China, which of the following six positions do you agree with	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
183	p5a	Which of the following six positions does CHU Li-luan agree with?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
184	p5b	Which of the following six positions does TSAI Ing-wen agree with?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
185	p5c	Which of the following six positions does James SOONG agree with?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
186	p6	Some people say, 'If Taiwan could still maintain peaceful relations with the PRC after	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		declaring independence, then Taiwan should establish a new, independent country'. Do you agree or disagree with this statement?					
187	p6a	Some people say, 'Even if PRC decides to attack Taiwan after Taiwan declares independence, Taiwan should still become a new country' Do you agree or disagree with this statement?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
188	p7	Some people say, 'If the economic, social, and political conditions were about the same in both mainland China and Taiwan, then the two sides should unify' Do you agree or disagree with this statement?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
189	p7a	Some people say, 'Even if the gap between the economic, social, and political conditions in mainland China and Taiwan is quite large, the two sides should still unify' Do you agree or disagree with this statement?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
190	p8a	To have been born in our country	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
191	p8b	To have lived in our country for most of one's life	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
192	p8c	To be able to speak our languages(i.e. Chinese, Taiwanese, Hakka, or aboriginal language)	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
193	p8d	To be our country's dominant religion	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
194	p8e	To respect our country's political institutions and laws	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
195	p8f	To feel our country's nationality	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
196	p8g	To have our country's ancestry	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
197	q1	Do you usually think of yourself as close to any particular party?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
198	q1a	Do you feel yourself a little closer to one of the political parties than the others?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
199	q1b	Which party do you feel closest to?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
200	q1c	Do you feel very close to this party, somewhat close, or not very close?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
201	q2	Where 0 means you strongly dislike that party and 10	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		means that you strongly like that party. If I come to a party you haven heard of or you feel you do not know enough about, just say so. The first party is KMT					
202	q2a	Using the same scale, where would you place, DPP?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
203	q2b	Using the same scale, where would you place, PFP?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
204	q2c	Using the same scale, where would you place, TSU?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
205	q2d	Using the same scale, where would you place, NPP?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
206	q3	Would you say that any of the parties in our country represent your views reasonably well?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
207	q3a	Which party represents your views best?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
208	q4	On cross-Straits relations, which of the two major parties performed better?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
209	q5	On economic development, which of the two major parties performed better?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
210	q6	On social welfare, which of the two major parties performed better?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
211	q7	On protecting sovereignty, which of the two major parties performed better?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
212	q8	If 1 means most unpeaceful and 7 means most peaceful, where would you place Taiwan on the peaceful scale?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
213	q8a	How about Mainland China?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
214	q9	If 1 means most intolerant and 7 means most tolerant, where would you place Taiwan on the tolerant-intolerant scale?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
215	q9a	How about Mainland China?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
216	s1	Year of birth(The ROC calendar)	continuous	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
217	s2	Father's ethnic background	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
218	s3	Mother's ethnic background	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
219	s4	Educational level	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
220	s5	Are you a member of a union?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
221	s6	Religious belief	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
222	s6a	Do you burn incense for the Earth God, Matsu, or some other spirits?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
223	s6b	Religious services attendance	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
224	s7	Current employment status of R	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
225	s7a	Main occupation of R	discrete	numeric-4.0	1690	0	-
226	s7b	Main occupation of R before retirement or unemployment	discrete	numeric-4.0	1690	0	-
227	s8	Marital status	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
228	s9	Spouse's ethnic background	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
229	s10	Current employment status of R's spouse	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
230	s10a	Main occupation of R's spouse	discrete	numeric-4.0	1690	0	-
231	s10b	Main occupation of R's spouse before his/her retirement or unemployment	discrete	numeric-4.0	1690	0	-
232	s11	Language usually spoken at home	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
233	s12	Number of people in household	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
234	s12a	Number of children under age 18 in household	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
235	s13	In the past five years, how many foreign trips have you taken (including trips to Hong Kong, and Macau but not including trips to Mainland China)?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
236	s13a	In the past five years, how many trips have you taken to Mainland China (not including Hong Kong or Macau)?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
237	s14	Have you or any member of your family made investments, conducted business, held employment, settled down or studied in Mainland China?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
238	s15	If we divide social class to upper, upper middle, middle, lower middle and lower, which do you think you are?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
239	s16	Monthly household income(NTD)	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
240	s17	Country of birth	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
241	s17a	Was either or both of your parents born outside of our country?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
242	s18	Are you a resident of where your household is registered?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
243	s18a	In which county/city and which township do you live?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
244	s18aa	Which township or city in Yi Lan County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
245	s18ab	Which township or city in Hsinchu County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
246	s18ac	Which township or city in Miaoli County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
247	s18ad	Which township or city in Changhua County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
248	s18ae	Which township or city in Nantou County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
249	s18af	Which township or city in Yunlin County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
250	s18ag	Which township or city in Chiayi County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
251	s18ah	Which township or city in Pingtung County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
252	s18ai	Which township or city in Taitung County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
253	s18aj	Which township or city in Hualien County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
254	s18ak	Which township or city in Penghu County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
255	s18al	Which area in Keelung City?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
256	s18am	Which area in Hsinchu City?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
257	s18an	Which area in Chiayi City?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
258	s18ao	Which township or city in Kinmen County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
259	s18ap	Which township or city in Lianjiang County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
260	s18aq	Which area in Taipei City?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
261	s18ar	Which area in Kaohsiung City?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
262	s18as	Which area in New Taipei City?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
263	s18at	Which area in Taichung City?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
264	s18au	Which area in Tainan City?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
265	s18av	Which area in Taoyuan City?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
266	s19	Does the R leave his/her telephone number and mobile phone number to I?	discrete	numeric-10.0	1690	0	-
267	s20	How many telephone lines does your household have? (only those used for voice communications)	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
268	s21	Finally, our teacher is interested in the Internet usage in Taiwan, may	discrete	numeric-40.0	1690	0	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		you provide us your most frequently used e-mail?					
269	s22	Does R agree to participate retest?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
270	s23	R's gender	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
271	s24	Language used to conduct interview	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
272	q230	The interview ended at:	continuous	numeric-11.0	1690	0	-
273	u1_1	Before the interview started, did the R do any of the following? [multiple responses permitted]	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
274	u1_2	Before the interview started, did the R do any of the following? [multiple responses permitted]	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
275	u1_3	Before the interview started, did the R do any of the following? [multiple responses permitted]	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
276	u1_4	Before the interview started, did the R do any of the following? [multiple responses permitted]	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
277	u1_5	Before the interview started, did the R do any of the following? [multiple responses permitted]	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
278	u1_6	Before the interview started, did the R do any of the following? [multiple responses permitted]	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
279	u1_7	Before the interview started, did the R do any of the following? [multiple responses permitted]	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
280	u1_8	Before the interview started, did the R do any of the following? [multiple responses permitted]	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
281	u1_9	Before the interview started, did the R do any of the following? [multiple responses permitted]	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
282	u1_10	Before the interview started, did the R do any of the following? [multiple responses permitted]	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
283	u2a	Did the R ask to fill out the questionnaire him or herself?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
284	u2b	Did the R ask to see the questionnaire?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
285	u2c	Did the R seem to want to quit during the interview?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
286	u2d	Did the R say that there were too many questions?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
287	u2e	Did the R say that a question was unclear or something was not defined well?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
288	u2e1_1	The number of the question	discrete	numeric-6.0	1690	0	-
289	u2e1_2	The number of the question	discrete	numeric-6.0	1690	0	-
290	u2e1_3	The number of the question	discrete	numeric-6.0	1690	0	-
291	u2e1_4	The number of the question	discrete	numeric-6.0	1690	0	-
292	u2e1_5	The number of the question	discrete	numeric-6.0	1690	0	-
293	u2e1_6	The number of the question	discrete	numeric-6.0	1690	0	-
294	u2e1_7	The number of the question	discrete	numeric-6.0	1690	0	-
295	u2e1_8	The number of the question	discrete	numeric-6.0	1690	0	-
296	u2e1_9	The number of the question	discrete	numeric-6.0	1690	0	-
297	u2f	Did the R think the questions were too hard?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
298	u2f1_1	The number of the question	discrete	numeric-6.0	1690	0	-
299	u2f1_2	The number of the question	discrete	numeric-6.0	1690	0	-
300	u2f1_3	The number of the question	discrete	numeric-6.0	1690	0	-
301	u2f1_4	The number of the question	discrete	numeric-6.0	1690	0	-
302	u2f1_5	The number of the question	discrete	numeric-6.0	1690	0	-
303	u2f1_6	The number of the question	discrete	numeric-6.0	1690	0	-
304	u2f1_7	The number of the question	discrete	numeric-6.0	1690	0	-
305	u2f1_8	The number of the question	discrete	numeric-6.0	1690	0	-
306	u2f1_9	The number of the question	discrete	numeric-6.0	1690	0	-
307	u2g	Did the R think the questions were too sensitive?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
308	u2g1_1	The number of the question	discrete	numeric-6.0	1690	0	-
309	u2g1_2	The number of the question	discrete	numeric-6.0	1690	0	-
310	u2g1_3	The number of the question	discrete	numeric-6.0	1690	0	-
311	u2g1_4	The number of the question	discrete	numeric-6.0	1690	0	-
312	u2g1_5	The number of the question	discrete	numeric-6.0	1690	0	-
313	u2g1_6	The number of the question	discrete	numeric-6.0	1690	0	-
314	u2g1_7	The number of the question	discrete	numeric-6.0	1690	0	-
315	u2g1_8	The number of the question	discrete	numeric-6.0	1690	0	-
316	u2g1_9	The number of the question	discrete	numeric-6.0	1690	0	-
317	u3	How cooperative was the R?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
318	u4	How well do you think the R understood the questions?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
319	u5	How sensitive do you think the R thought the questions were?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
320	u6	How interested do you think the R was in the contents of the survey?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
321	u7	How trustworthy do you think the R's answers are?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
322	u8	What do you think is the R's level of knowledge about general political matters?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
323	u9_1	The R answered questions:	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
324	u9_2	The R answered questions:	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
325	u9_3	The R answered questions:	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
326	u9_4	The R answered questions:	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
327	u9_5	The R answered questions:	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
328	u9a	Child's age is about:	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
329	u10	Did a third person present at the interview do any of the following?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1690	0	-
330	district	District	discrete	numeric-6.0	1690	0	-
331	sex	Sex	discrete	numeric-6.0	1690	0	-
332	age	Age	discrete	numeric-6.0	1690	0	-
333	edu	Education	discrete	numeric-6.0	1690	0	-
334	arear	Area	discrete	numeric-6.0	1690	0	-
335	career	Occupations5	discrete	numeric-6.0	1690	0	-
336	career8	Occupation8	discrete	numeric-6.0	1690	0	-
337	ethnic	Ethnic	discrete	numeric-6.0	1690	0	-
338	party	Party Preference	discrete	numeric-6.0	1690	0	-
339	partyid	Party Identification	discrete	numeric-6.0	1690	0	-
340	tondu	Position on unification and independence	discrete	numeric-6.0	1690	0	-
341	tondu3	3 categories of TONDU	discrete	numeric-6.0	1690	0	-
342	ni2	Who is the current the premier of our country?	discrete	numeric-6.0	1690	0	-
343	w	Weight	continuous	numeric-8.6	1690	0	-

Group Panel Sample Cover

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	id	Case ID	continuous	numeric-14.0	2292	0	-
2	tau	Set	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
3	city	City, County	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
4	town	Township, City, District	discrete	numeric-4.0	2292	0	-
5	village	Village	discrete	numeric-7.0	2292	0	-
6	t01	First contact-result	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
7	t02	First contact-time	continuous	numeric-11.0	2292	0	-
8	t03	Contact1	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
9	t04	Second contact-result	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
10	t05	Second contact-time	discrete	numeric-11.0	2292	0	-
11	t06	Contact2	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
12	t07	Third contact-result	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
13	t08	Third contact-time	discrete	numeric-11.0	2292	0	-
14	t09	Contact3	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
15	t10	Community of the housing unit	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
16	t11	Appearance of the housing unit	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
17	t12	I's ID	continuous	numeric-9.0	2292	0	-
18	t13	Gender of I	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
19	final_result	Last contact result	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-

Group Panel Questionnaire

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	id	ID	continuous	numeric-14.0	2292	0	-
2	sample	Sample	discrete	numeric-6.0	2292	0	-
3	tau	Tau	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
4	city	City	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
5	town	Town	discrete	numeric-4.0	2292	0	-
6	village	Village	discrete	numeric-7.0	2292	0	-
7	a1	Which TV news did you watch most often?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
8	a2	Which newspaper did you read most often?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
9	a3	With what kind of media do you often obtain political news?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
10	a4	On average, how many days do you spend every week on the Internet? (With PC or mobile phone) (Including all the time on the Internet)	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
11	a4a	On average, how much time do you spend on the Internet every day?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
12	a4b	Except working and learning time, how much time do you spend every day doing other things on the Internet? (Other things: entertainment, leisure or games, etc.)	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
13	a5_1	What is your main purpose of using internet?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
14	a5_2	What is your main purpose of using internet?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
15	a5_3	What is your main purpose of using internet?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
16	a5_4	What is your main purpose of using internet?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
17	a5_5	What is your main purpose of using internet?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
18	a5_6	What is your main purpose of using internet?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
19	a5_7	What is your main purpose of using internet?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
20	a5_8	What is your main purpose of using internet?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
21	a5_9	What is your main purpose of using internet?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
22	a5_10	What is your main purpose of using internet?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
23	a6	Considering all the websites you browse, how many of them are governmental institutions'?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
24	a7	More generally, would you say that you are very, somewhat, not very or not at all interested in politics?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
25	b1	Concerning Ma Ying-jeou's overall performance during his presidency, are you very satisfied, somewhat satisfied, somewhat dissatisfied, or very dissatisfied?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
26	b2	Are you satisfied with Ma Ying-jeou's performance of Cross-Strait relations?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
27	b3	Are you satisfied with Ma Ying-jeou's performance of economic development?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
28	b4	Are you satisfied with Ma Ying-jeou's performance of national sovereignty?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
29	c1	On a scale from 0 to 10, where 0 represents very bad and 10 represents very good, how would you rate the Executive Yuan's overall performance?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
30	c2	On a scale from 0 to 10, where 0 represents very bad and 10 represents very good, how would you rate the Legislative Yuan's overall performance?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
31	c3	On a scale from 0 to 10, where 0 means that you feel very hostile towards this person, and 10 means that you feel very favorable towards this person, how would you rate MA Ying-jeou?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
32	d1	Some people say: "People like me don't have any say about what the government does."	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
33	d2	Some people say: "Public officials do not care much about what people like me think."	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
34	d3	Some people say: "Sometimes politics seems so complicated that a person like me cannot really understand what is going on."	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
35	e1	Some people say: "Most decisions made by the government are correct."	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
36	e2	Some people say: "Government officials often waste a lot of money we pay in taxes."	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
37	e3	When the government decides important policies, do you think "public welfare" is its first priority?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
38	e4	Do you believe what government officials say on TV or in newspapers?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
39	f1	Would you say that over the past year, the state of the economy of Taiwan has gotten better, stayed about the same, or gotten worse?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
40	f2	Would you say that in the forthcoming year, the state of the economy of Taiwan will get better, stay about the same, or get worse?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
41	f3	Would you say that over the past year, your own household's economic condition has gotten better, stayed about the same, or gotten worse?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
42	f4	Would you say that in the forthcoming year, your own household's economic condition will get better, stay about the same, or get worse?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
43	f5	After signing the cross-Strait "Economic Cooperation Framework Agreement"(ECFA), do you think Taiwan's economy has gotten better, worse or is about the same?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
44	f6	Thinking about your own economic situation, do you think it has gotten better or gotten worse as a result of ECFA, or stayed about the same?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
45	f7	"It is the government's responsibility to our	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		unemployment problem.”; “Employment is each individual's personal problem, and the government shouldn't have anything to do with it.” Which one do you agree with more?					
46	f8	“It is the government's responsibility to reduce economic inequality.”; “Becoming rich or not depends on one's personal effort.” Which one do you agree with more?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
47	g1	Do you think that voting is a responsibility, or do you think that it is all right to vote or not to vote?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
48	g2	Do you believe very strongly, somewhat strongly or only a little that voting is a responsibility?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
49	g3	Which of these three statements is closest to your own opinion?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
50	g4	On the whole, are you very satisfied, somewhat satisfied, somewhat dissatisfied, or very dissatisfied with the way democracy works in Taiwan?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
51	g5	Some people say that it makes a difference whoever is in power. Others say that it doesn't make a difference whoever is in power. where would you place yourself?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
52	g6	Which of the following two statements do you agree with more? (1) The opposition parties should have a majority of the seats in the legislature so that they can provide checks and balances on the government. (2) The president's party should have a majority	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
53	g7	Currently in Taiwan, how much do you think that individual liberties and human rights are respected? Are they very well respected, somewhat respected, not very respected, or not respected at all?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
54	h1	Who is the current president of the United States?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
55	h2	Who is the current president of South Korea?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
56	h3	Who is the current federal Chancellor of Germany?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
57	h4	Who is the current Premier of our country?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
58	h5	Who or what institution has the power to interpret the constitution?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
59	h6	Who is the current president of Mainland China?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
60	h7	Do you know how many ballots are available to a voter in a legislative election?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
61	h8	Do you know how many legislators will be elected in a district?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
62	h9	Do you know the threshold of the list vote that a party must reach in order to participate in allocation of seats by party lists?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
63	h10	Do you know how long a term of the office is for the new legislators?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
64	h11	Do you know how many legislators will be elected in a session of the Legislative Yuan?	discrete	numeric-3.0	2292	0	-
65	h12	Do you think the current election system of Legislative Yuan is favorable to small parties, or favorable to large parties?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
66	h13	Do you think an increase of proportion of seats allocated by party lists would be favorable or unfavorable to small parties?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
67	j1	Which presidential candidate did you vote for in the presidential election last year (2012)?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
68	j2	In the last (2012) Legislative Election, which candidate did you vote for?	discrete	numeric-6.0	2292	0	-
69	j2a	In the last (2012) Legislative Election, which candidate did you vote for? [Plains aboriginal candidates]	discrete	numeric-6.0	2292	0	-
70	j2aa	In the last (2012) Legislative Election, which candidate did you vote for? [Gaoshan aboriginal candidates]	discrete	numeric-6.0	2292	0	-
71	j2b	Here is a list of all the parties that contested in this legislative election. Which party did you cast your at-large vote for?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
72	k1a	Contacted a government official, elected	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		representative, or political party to express your opinion or ask for something?					
73	k1b	Contacted the media to protest something or express an opinion?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
74	k1c	Used the Internet to protest something or express an opinion?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
75	k1d	Attended a village meeting?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
76	k1e	Signed petitions?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
77	k1f	Participated in a march, protest, sit-in or some other type of demonstration?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
78	k1g	Went on strike?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
79	m1	Currently, what would you say are the most important problems facing the country?	discrete	numeric-3.0	2292	0	-
80	m2	The position that emphasizes environmental protection is at 0 on a scale from 0 to 10, and the position that emphasizes economic development is at 10. About where on this scale does your own view lie?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
81	n1a	Thinking about public expenditure on HEALTH, should there be much more than now, somewhat more than now, the same as now, somewhat less than now, or much less than now?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
82	n1b	Thinking about public expenditure on EDUCATION, should there be much more than now, somewhat more than now, the same as now, somewhat less than now, or much less than now?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
83	n1c	What about public expenditure on UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
84	n1d	What about public expenditure on DEFENSE?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
85	n1e	What about public expenditure on OLD-AGE PENSIONS?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
86	n1f	What about public expenditure on BUSINESS AND INDUSTRY?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
87	n1g	What about public expenditure on POLICE AND LAW ENFORCEMENT?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
88	n1h	What about public expenditure on WELFARE BENEFITS?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
89	n1i	What about public expenditure on ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTIONS?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
90	n2	There are debates on whether the construction of Longmen Nuclear Power Plant should be continued or not. Are you for or against continuing the construction of Longmen Nuclear Power Plant?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
91	n2a	If the discontinued construction of Longmen Nuclear Power Plant will cause the electricity fee to rise 15% higher, are you for or against discontinuing the construction of Longmen Nuclear Power Plant?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
92	n2b	If Longmen Nuclear Power Plant's safety and security could be approved by nuclear experts abroad, are you for or against continuing the construction of Longmen Nuclear Power Plant?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
93	n2c	Then, if a referendum on the construction of Longmen Nuclear Power Plant is to be held, would you go to vote?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
94	n2d	Would you vote "For" or "Against" discontinuing the construction of Longmen Nuclear Power Plant?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
95	p1	In Taiwan, some people think they are Taiwanese. There are also some people who think that they are Chinese. Do you consider yourself as Taiwanese, Chinese or both?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
96	p2	When it comes to the contents of Taiwan culture and Mainland China culture, do you think that all of them, most of them, about half and half, little of them or none of them are the same?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
97	p3	If the political systems in Taiwan and Mainland China are both democratic, how would you be inclined to unify with Mainland China?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
98	q1	Regarding the issue of Cross-Strait negotiation, some people say that we should continue using the 1992 Consensus as the basis of Cross-Strait negotiation	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		while other people say we should reject the 1992 Consensus. Which do you agree with?					
99	q2	How trustworthy do you think the Mainland China's government is? Rating 0 means that you think the Mainland China's government is very untrustworthy, while rating 10 means that you think the Mainland China's government is very trustworthy.	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
100	q3	If Taiwan and the Mainland sign an agreement in which the Mainland agrees not to use military force against Taiwan and Taiwan agrees not to declare independence, would you approve of this agreement?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
101	q4	Concerning the relationship between Taiwan and mainland China. Which opinion do you agree with more.	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
102	q5	Except the one you have chosen, which opinion do you agree with more.	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
103	q6	Then, which opinion do you disagree with most?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
104	q7	Some people say, "If Taiwan could still maintain peaceful relations with the PRC after declaring independence, then Taiwan should establish a new, independent country." Do you agree or disagree with this statement?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
105	q8	Some people say, "Even if PRC decides to attack Taiwan after Taiwan declares independence, Taiwan should still become a new country." Do you agree or disagree with this statement?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
106	q9	Some people say, "If the economic, social, and political conditions were about the same in both mainland China and Taiwan, then the two sides should unify." Do you agree or disagree with this statement?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
107	q10	Some people say, "Even if the gap between the economic, social, and political conditions in mainland China and Taiwan is quite large, the two sides	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		should still unify.” Do you agree or disagree with this statement?					
108	q11	From the cultural perspective, do you consider yourself as Taiwanese, Chinese or both?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
109	q12	To this day, do you have any experience of interacting or chatting with people from Mainland China (students, sightseers and ordinary ones included.) in Taiwan?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
110	q13	Over all, considering the difference between Taiwan people and those visitors who come from Mainland China for communication, do you think that the latter ones are	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
111	r1	How would you rate Mainland China?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
112	r2	How would you rate the United States of America?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
113	r3	How would you rate Japan?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
114	r4	How would you rate South Korea?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
115	r5	How would you rate Vietnam?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
116	r6	How would you rate Indonesia?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
117	r7	How would you rate the Philippines?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
118	s1	Among the main political parties in our country, including the KMT, DPP, NP, PFP, and TSU, do you think of yourself as leaning toward any particular party?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
119	s1a	Do you feel yourself leaning a little more to one of the political parties than the others?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
120	s1b	Which party is that?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
121	s1c	Do you lean very strongly, somewhat, or just a little to this party?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
122	s2	Now we'd like to understand your opinions about each of the political parties. If 0 means you dislike a party very much, and 10 means you like that party very much, what number would you give the KMT?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
123	s2a	The DPP?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
124	s3	On democratic reform, how do the KMT and the DPP compare?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
125	s3a	On economic development, how do the two parties compare?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
126	s3b	On environmental protection, how do the two parties compare?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
127	s3c	On cross-Straits relations, how do you think the two parties compare?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
128	s3d	On maintaining the national sovereignty, how do you think the two parties compare?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
129	s3e	On reducing economic inequality, how do you think the two parties compare?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
130	v1	Year of birth.	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
131	v2	Father's ethnic background	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
132	v3	Mother's ethnic background	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
133	v4	Educational level?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
134	v5	Religious belief	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
135	v5a	Do you burn incense for the Earth God, Matsu, or some other spirits?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
136	v6	Current employment status of R	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
137	v6a	Main occupation of R	discrete	numeric-4.0	2292	0	-
138	v6b	Main occupation of R before retirement or unemployment	discrete	numeric-4.0	2292	0	-
139	v7	Marital status	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
140	v8	Spouse's ethnic background	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
141	v9	Current employment status of R's spouse	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
142	v9a	Main occupation of R's spouse	discrete	numeric-4.0	2292	0	-
143	v9b	Main occupation of R's spouse before his/her retirement or unemployment	discrete	numeric-4.0	2292	0	-
144	v10	Language usually spoken at home	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
145	v11	Number of people in household	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
146	v11a	Number of children under age 18 in household	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
147	v12	In the past five years, how many foreign trips have you taken (including trips to Hong Kong, and Macau	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		but not including trips to Mainland China)?					
148	v12a	In the past five years, how many trips have you taken to Mainland China (not including Hong Kong or Macau)?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
149	v13	Have you or any member of your family made investments, conducted business, held employment, settled down or studied in Mainland China?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
150	v14	If we divide social class to upper, upper middle, middle, lower middle and lower, which do you think you are?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
151	v15	Monthly household income	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
152	v16a	Do you or a member of your household own a home or an apartment?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
153	v16b	Do you or a member of your household own stocks or bonds?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
154	v16c	Do you or a member of your household own savings?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
155	v17	Finally, our teacher is interested in the Internet usage in Taiwan, may you provide us your most frequently used e-mail?	discrete	numeric-40.0	2292	0	-
156	v18	Are you a resident of where your household is registered?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
157	v18a	In which county/city and which town do you live?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
158	v18b	Which township or city in Yi Lan County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
159	v18c	Which township or city in Taoyuan County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
160	v18d	Which township or city in Hsinchu County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
161	v18e	Which township or city in Miaoli County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
162	v18f	Which township or city in Changhua County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
163	v18g	Which township or city in Nantou County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
164	v18h	Which township or city in Yunlin County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
165	v18i	Which township or city in Chiayi County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
166	v18j	Which township or city in Pingtung County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
167	v18k	Which township or city in Taitung County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
168	v18l	Which township or city in Hualien County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
169	v18m	Which township or city in Penghu County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
170	v18n	Which area in Keelung City?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
171	v18o	Which area in Hsinchu City?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
172	v18p	Which area in Chiayi City?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
173	v18q	Which area in Taipei City?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
174	v18r	Which area in Kaohsiung City?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
175	v18s	Which area in New Taipei City?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
176	v18t	Which area in Taichung City?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
177	v18u	Which area in Tainan City?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
178	v19	How many telephone lines does your household have? (only those used for voice communications)	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
179	v19a	How many mobile phone numbers do you own?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
180	v19b	Does the R leave his/her telephone number and mobile phone number to I?	discrete	numeric-10.0	2292	0	-
181	v19c	How do you use your land lines and mobile phones at work or at home?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
182	v20	What time do you usually go to bed?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
183	v21	What time do you usually get up?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
184	v22	R's gender	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
185	v23	Language used to conduct interview	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
186	v24	The interview ended at:	discrete	numeric-11.0	2292	0	-
187	x1_1	During the interview, did the R do any of the following? [multiple response permitted]	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
188	x1_2	During the interview, did the R do any of the following? [multiple response permitted]	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
189	x1_3	During the interview, did the R do any of the following? [multiple response permitted]	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
190	x1_4	During the interview, did the R do any of the following? [multiple response permitted]	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
191	x1_5	During the interview, did the R do any of the following? [multiple response permitted]	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
192	x1_6	During the interview, did the R do any of the following? [multiple response permitted]	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
193	x1_7	During the interview, did the R do any of the following? [multiple response permitted]	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
194	x1_8	During the interview, did the R do any of the following? [multiple response permitted]	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
195	x1_9	During the interview, did the R do any of the following? [multiple response permitted]	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
196	x2a	Did the R ask to fill out the questionnaire him or herself?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
197	x2b	Did the R ask to see the questionnaire?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
198	x2c	Did the R seem to want to quit during the interview?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
199	x2d	Did the R say that there were too many questions?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
200	x2e	Did the R say that a question was unclear or something was not defined well?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
201	x2e1_1	The number of the question	discrete	numeric-6.0	2292	0	-
202	x2e1_2	The number of the question	discrete	numeric-6.0	2292	0	-
203	x2e1_3	The number of the question	discrete	numeric-6.0	2292	0	-
204	x2e1_4	The number of the question	discrete	numeric-6.0	2292	0	-
205	x2e1_5	The number of the question	discrete	numeric-6.0	2292	0	-
206	x2e1_6	The number of the question	discrete	numeric-6.0	2292	0	-
207	x2e1_7	The number of the question	discrete	numeric-6.0	2292	0	-
208	x2e1_8	The number of the question	discrete	numeric-6.0	2292	0	-
209	x2e1_9	The number of the question	discrete	numeric-6.0	2292	0	-
210	x2f	Did the R think the questions were too hard?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
211	x2f1_1	The number of the question	discrete	numeric-6.0	2292	0	-
212	x2f1_2	The number of the question	discrete	numeric-6.0	2292	0	-
213	x2f1_3	The number of the question	discrete	numeric-6.0	2292	0	-
214	x2f1_4	The number of the question?	discrete	numeric-6.0	2292	0	-
215	x2f1_5	The number of the question	discrete	numeric-6.0	2292	0	-
216	x2f1_6	The number of the question	discrete	numeric-6.0	2292	0	-
217	x2f1_7	The number of the question	discrete	numeric-6.0	2292	0	-
218	x2f1_8	The number of the question	discrete	numeric-6.0	2292	0	-
219	x2f1_9	The number of the question	discrete	numeric-6.0	2292	0	-
220	x2g	Did the R think the questions were too sensitive?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
221	x2g1_1	The number of the question	discrete	numeric-6.0	2292	0	-
222	x2g1_2	The number of the question	discrete	numeric-6.0	2292	0	-
223	x2g1_3	The number of the question	discrete	numeric-6.0	2292	0	-
224	x2g1_4	The number of the question	discrete	numeric-6.0	2292	0	-
225	x2g1_5	The number of the question	discrete	numeric-6.0	2292	0	-
226	x2g1_6	The number of the question	discrete	numeric-6.0	2292	0	-
227	x2g1_7	The number of the question	discrete	numeric-6.0	2292	0	-
228	x2g1_8	The number of the question	discrete	numeric-6.0	2292	0	-
229	x2g1_9	The number of the question	discrete	numeric-6.0	2292	0	-
230	x3	How cooperative was the R?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
231	x4	How well do you think the R understood the questions?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
232	x5	How sensitive do you think the R thought the questions were?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
233	x6	How interested do you think the R was in the contents of the survey?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
234	x7	How trustworthy do you think the R's answers are?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
235	x8	What do you think is the R's level of knowledge about general political matters?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
236	x9_1	The R answered questions:	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
237	x9_2	The R answered questions:	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
238	x9_3	The R answered questions:	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
239	x9_4	The R answered questions:	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
240	x9_5	The R answered questions:	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
241	x9a	Child's age is about_____	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
242	x9b_1	Did a third person present at the interview do any of the following?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
243	x9b_2	Did a third person present at the interview do any of the following?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
244	x9b_3	Did a third person present at the interview do any of the following?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
245	x9b_4	Did a third person present at the interview do any of the following?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
246	x9b_5	Did a third person present at the interview do any of the following?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2292	0	-
247	sex	sex	discrete	numeric-8.0	2292	0	-
248	age	age	discrete	numeric-8.0	2292	0	-
249	edu	education	discrete	numeric-8.0	2284	8	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
250	arear	region	discrete	numeric-8.0	2292	0	-
251	w	weight	continuous	numeric-8.6	2292	0	-
252	career	Occupations5	discrete	numeric-8.0	2292	0	-
253	career8	Occupation8	discrete	numeric-8.0	2292	0	-
254	ethnic	Ethnic	discrete	numeric-8.0	2292	0	-
255	party	Party Preference	discrete	numeric-8.0	2292	0	-
256	partyid	Party Identification	discrete	numeric-8.0	2292	0	-
257	tondu	Position on unification and independence	discrete	numeric-8.0	2292	0	-
258	tondu3	3 categories of TONDU	discrete	numeric-8.0	2292	0	-
259	ptau	Set	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
260	pcity	City, County	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
261	ptown	Township, City, District	discrete	numeric-4.0	1241	1051	-
262	pvillage	Village	discrete	numeric-7.0	1241	1051	-
263	pa1	How closely do you follow politics on TV, radio, newspapers, or the Internet? Very closely, fairly closely, not very closely, or not at all?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
264	pa2	Which newspaper did you read most often?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
265	pa3	Which TV news did you watch most often?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
266	pa4	On average, how much time did you spend each day on election campaign news on TV?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
267	pa4a	What about election news on the radio?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
268	pa4b	What about election news on the internet?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
269	pa4c	What about election news in the newspapers?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
270	pb1	How interested would you say you are in politics? Are you very interested, somewhat interested, not very interested, or not at all interested?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
271	pb2	Do you usually talk about politics or elections with other people? Is it often, sometimes, seldom, or never?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
272	pb2a_1	Whom do you usually talk about politics or elections with? Family members, relatives, friends, neighbors, colleagues at work, members of an association, classmates, online friends, or others?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
273	pb2a_2	Whom do you usually talk about politics or elections	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		with? Family members, relatives, friends, neighbors, colleagues at work, members of an association, classmates, online friends, or others?					
274	pb2a_3	Whom do you usually talk about politics or elections with? Family members, relatives, friends, neighbors, colleagues at work, members of an association, classmates, online friends, or others?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
275	pb2a_4	Whom do you usually talk about politics or elections with? Family members, relatives, friends, neighbors, colleagues at work, members of an association, classmates, online friends, or others?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
276	pb2a_5	Whom do you usually talk about politics or elections with? Family members, relatives, friends, neighbors, colleagues at work, members of an association, classmates, online friends, or others?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
277	pb2a_6	Whom do you usually talk about politics or elections with? Family members, relatives, friends, neighbors, colleagues at work, members of an association, classmates, online friends, or others?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
278	pb2a_7	Whom do you usually talk about politics or elections with? Family members, relatives, friends, neighbors, colleagues at work, members of an association, classmates, online friends, or others?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
279	pb2a_8	Whom do you usually talk about politics or elections with? Family members, relatives, friends, neighbors, colleagues at work, members of an association, classmates, online friends, or others?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
280	pb2a_9	Whom do you usually talk about politics or elections with? Family members, relatives, friends, neighbors, colleagues at work, members of an association, classmates, online friends, or others?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
281	pb2b	Do these people who you commonly discuss politics or elections with support the same party?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
282	pb2c	Which party is that?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
283	pb3	Were you concerned with the outcome of this presidential election?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		Were you very concerned, somewhat concerned, not too concerned, or not concerned at all?					
284	pb4_1	Did a party or presidential candidate seek your vote through any of the following individuals?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
285	pb4_2	Did a party or presidential candidate seek your vote through any of the following individuals?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
286	pb4_3	Did a party or presidential candidate seek your vote through any of the following individuals?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
287	pb4_4	Did a party or presidential candidate seek your vote through any of the following individuals?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
288	pb4_5	Did a party or presidential candidate seek your vote through any of the following individuals?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
289	pb4_6	Did a party or presidential candidate seek your vote through any of the following individuals?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
290	pb4_7	Did a party or presidential candidate seek your vote through any of the following individuals?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
291	pb4_8	Did a party or presidential candidate seek your vote through any of the following individuals?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
292	pb4_9	Did a party or presidential candidate seek your vote through any of the following individuals?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
293	pb4_10	Did a party or presidential candidate seek your vote through any of the following individuals?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
294	pb4_11	Did a party or presidential candidate seek your vote through any of the following individuals?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
295	pb5_1	Did a legislative candidate in your District or party personally seek your vote through any of the following individuals?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
296	pb5_2	Did a legislative candidate in your District or party personally seek your vote through any of the following individuals?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
297	pb5_3	Did a legislative candidate in your District or party personally seek your vote through any of the following individuals?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
298	pb5_4	Did a legislative candidate in your District or party personally seek your vote through any of the following individuals?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
299	pb5_5	Did a legislative candidate in your District or party personally seek your vote through any of the following individuals?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
300	pb5_6	Did a legislative candidate in your District or party personally seek your vote through any of the following individuals?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
301	pb5_7	Did a legislative candidate in your District or party personally seek your vote through any of the following individuals?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
302	pb5_8	Did a legislative candidate in your District or party personally seek your vote through any of the following individuals?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
303	pb5_9	Did a legislative candidate in your District or party personally seek your vote through any of the following individuals?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
304	pb5_10	Did a legislative candidate in your District or party personally seek your vote through any of the following individuals?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
305	pb5_11	Did a legislative candidate in your District or party personally seek your vote through any of the following individuals?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
306	pb5_12	Did a legislative candidate in your District or party personally seek your vote through any of the following individuals?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
307	pb5_13	Did a legislative candidate in your District or party personally seek your vote through any of the following individuals?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
308	pb5_14	Did a legislative candidate in your District or party personally seek your vote through any of the following individuals?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
309	pb5_15	Did a legislative candidate in your District or party personally seek your vote through any of the following individuals?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
310	pb5a	Which candidate were they mainly asking you to support?	discrete	numeric-6.0	1241	1051	-
311	pb5aa	Which candidate were they mainly asking you to support?(Plain aborigines)	discrete	numeric-6.0	1241	1051	-
312	pb5ab	Which candidate were they mainly asking you to support?(Mountain aborigines)	discrete	numeric-6.0	1241	1051	-
313	pb6_1	During this year's election, did you do any of the following?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
314	pb6_2	During this year's election, did you do any of the following?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
315	pb6_3	During this year's election, did you do any of the following?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
316	pb6_4	During this year's election, did you do any of the following?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
317	pb6_5	During this year's election, did you do any of the following?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
318	pb6_6	During this year's election, did you do any of the following?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
319	pb6_7	During this year's election, did you do any of the following?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
320	pb6_8	During this year's election, did you do any of the following?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
321	pb6_9	During this year's election, did you do any of the following?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
322	pb6_10	During this year's election, did you do any of the following?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
323	pb6_11	During this year's election, did you do any of the following?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
324	pb6_12	During this year's election, did you do any of the following?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
325	pb6_13	During this year's election, did you do any of the following?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
326	pb6_14	During this year's election, did you do any of the following?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
327	pb6_15	During this year's election, did you do any of the following?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
328	pc1	Now thinking about the performance of the president Ma in general, how good or bad a job do you think the president did over the past 8 years? Has he done a very good job? A good job? A bad job? A very bad job?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
329	pc2	Overall, during Ma Ying-jeou's first term, in what aspect has he performed best?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
330	pc3	Overall, during Ma Ying-jeou's first term, in what aspect has he performed worst?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
331	pd1	Some people say: People like me don't have any say about what the government does	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
332	pd2	Some people say: Public officials do not care much about what people like me think	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
333	pd3	Some people say: Sometimes politics seems so complicated that a person like me cannot really understand what is going on	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
334	pd4	Some people say: Most decisions made by the government are correct	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
335	pd5	Some people say: Government officials often waste a lot of money we pay in taxes	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
336	pd6	When the government decides important policies, do you think public welfare is its first priority?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
337	pd7	You feel you understand the most important political issues of this country	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
338	pe1	In a democracy it is important to seek compromise among different viewpoints	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
339	pe2	Most politicians do not care about the people.	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
340	pe3	Most politicians are trustworthy.	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
341	pe4	Politicians are the main problem in our country(i.e. Taiwan).	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
342	pe5	Having a strong leader in government is good for our country even if the leader bends the rules to get things done.	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
343	pe6	The people, and not politicians, should make our most important policy decisions.	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
344	pe7	Most politicians care only about the interests of the rich and powerful.	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
345	pe8	Poor people should have a greater voice in politics.	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
346	pf1	A man's job is to work and earn money; a woman's job is to look after family.	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
347	pf2	Males are more suited to working for a position that involves a certain level of management, while females are more suited to working for a first-line position.	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
348	pg1	Would you say that over the past twelve months, the state of the economy in Taiwan has gotten much better, gotten somewhat better, stayed about the same, gotten somewhat worse, or gotten much worse?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
349	pg2	Would you say that in the forthcoming year, the state of the economy of Taiwan will get better, stay about the same, or get worse.	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
350	pg3	Would you say that over the past year, your own household's economic condition has gotten better, stayed about the same, or gotten worse?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
351	pg4	Would you say that in the forthcoming year, your own household's economic condition will get better, stay about the same, or get worse?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
352	ph1	Do you think that voting is a responsibility, or do you think that it is all right either to vote or not to vote?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
353	ph2	Do you believe very strongly, somewhat strongly, or only a little that voting is a responsibility?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
354	ph3	Which of these three statements is closest to your own opinion?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
355	ph4	In your opinion how much of a democracy is Taiwan today?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
356	ph5	On the whole, are you very satisfied, fairly satisfied, not very satisfied, or not at all satisfied with the way democracy works in Taiwan?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
357	ph6	Using the scale on this card, (where ONE means that voting won't make any difference to what happens and FIVE means that voting can make a big difference), where would you place yourself?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
358	ph7	Which of the following two statements do you agree with more?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
359	ph8	Some people say that legislator elected by District should care about the interests of the whole country. Others say that legislator elected by District should care about the interests of District. Which statement do you agree with?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
360	ph9	How widespread do you think corruption such as bribe taking is among politicians in our country: very widespread, quite widespread, not very widespread, or it hardly happens at all?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
361	pi1	Who is the current president of the United States?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
362	pi2	Who is the current the premier of our country?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
363	pi3	What institution has the power to interpret the constitution?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
364	pj1	In this presidential election on January 16th many people went to vote, while others, for various reasons, did not go to vote. Did you vote?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
365	pj1a	Which ticket did you vote for?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
366	pj1b1	What was the main reason you voted for the candidate?	discrete	numeric-3.0	1241	1051	-
367	pj1b2	When did you decide which presidential candidate to vote for?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
368	pj1c	Was this the candidate that you originally wanted to vote for?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
369	pj1d	Among the three candidates, which one did you originally want to vote for?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
370	pj1e	If you had gone to vote, which ticket would you have voted for?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
371	pj1f	Why didn't you vote?	discrete	numeric-3.0	1241	1051	-
372	pj1g	If you had gone to vote, which ticket would you have voted for?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
373	pj2	Which candidate did you vote for in the 2012 presidential election?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
374	pj3	Which candidate did you vote for in the 2008 presidential election?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
375	pj4	In this legislative election, which candidate did you vote for?	discrete	numeric-6.0	1241	1051	-
376	pj4aa	In this legislative election, which candidate did you vote for?(Plain aborigines)	discrete	numeric-6.0	1241	1051	-
377	pj4ab	In this legislative election, which candidate did you vote for?(Mountain aborigines)	discrete	numeric-6.0	1241	1051	-
378	pj4a	Which party's candidate did you vote for?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
379	pj4a1	What was the main reason you voted for that candidate?	discrete	numeric-3.0	1241	1051	-
380	pj4b	Here is a list of all the parties that contested this legislative election. Which party did you cast your at-large vote for?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
381	pj4b1	What was the main reason you voted for that party	discrete	numeric-3.0	1241	1051	-
382	pj5	In the 2012 legislative election, which candidate did you vote for?	discrete	numeric-8.0	1241	1051	-
383	pj5aa	In the 2012 legislative election, which candidate did you vote for?(Plain aborigines)	discrete	numeric-8.0	1241	1051	-
384	pj5ab	In the 2012 legislative election, which candidate did you vote for?(Mountain aborigines)	discrete	numeric-8.0	1241	1051	-
385	pj5a	Which party's candidate did you vote for?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
386	pj5b	Here is a list of all the parties that contested the last legislative election. Which	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		party did you cast your at-large vote for					
387	pk1	During the presidential election campaign, many different problems faced by our country were raised. What do you think is the most important political problem facing Taiwan today?	discrete	numeric-3.0	1241	1051	-
388	pk1a	Which presidential candidate do you think is most capable of dealing with it?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
389	pk2a	Where 0 means you strongly dislike that candidate and 10 means that you strongly like that candidate. The first is TSAI Ing-wen	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
390	pk2b	[Like]How would you rate CHU Lil-luan?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
391	pk2c	[Like]How would you rate James SOONG?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
392	pk3a	[Capability]How would you rate TSAI Ing-wen using 0 to 10 scale?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
393	pk3b	[Capability]How would you rate CHU Lil-luan?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
394	pk3c	[Capability]How would you rate James SOONG?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
395	pk4a	[Understand the needs of people]How would you rate TSAI Ing-wen using 0 to 10 scale?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
396	pk4b	[Understand the needs of people]How would you rate CHU Lil-luan?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
397	pk4c	[Understand the needs of people]How would you rate James SOONG?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
398	pk5a	[Protecting Taiwan's interests]How would you rate TSAI Ing-wen using 0 to 10 scale?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
399	pk5b	[Protecting Taiwan's interests]How would you rate CHU Lil-luan?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
400	pk5c	[Protecting Taiwan's interests]How would you rate James SOONG?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
401	pk6a	[Maintain Cross-Strait peace]How would you rate TSAI Ing-wen using 0 to 10 scale?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
402	pk6b	[Maintain Cross-Strait peace]How would you rate CHU Lil-luan?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
403	pk6c	[Maintain Cross-Strait peace]How would you rate James SOONG?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
404	pk7	How serious do you think the gap between rich and poor?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
405	pk7a	Which candidate do you think have more ability to solve the problem of the gap between rich and poor?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
406	pk8	How serious do you think low income of salaryman?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
407	pk8a	Which candidate do you think have more ability to solve the problem of low income?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
408	pk9	How serious do you think food safety?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
409	pk9a	Which candidate do you think have more ability to solve the problem of food safety?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
410	pk10	Has any candidate ever made you feel angry?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
411	pk11	Has any candidate ever made you feel afraid?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
412	pk12	Has any candidate ever made you feel hopeful?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
413	pk13	Has any candidate ever made you feel proud to be a Taiwanese?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
414	pm1a	How would you rate the performance of your legislator at constituency service?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
415	pm1b	How would you rate the performance of your legislator at law-making?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
416	pm2	On a scale from 0 to 10, where 0 represents very bad and 10 represents very good, how would you rate the Legislative Yuan's overall performance over the past four years?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
417	pm3	On the same scale, how would you rate the KMT's performance in the Legislative Yuan?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
418	pm4	On the same scale, how would you rate the DPP's performance in the Legislative Yuan?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
419	pn1	This card lists eleven positions from independence (0) to unification (10). Which position do you occupy?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
420	pn1a	What position do you think KMT occupies?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
421	pn1b	What position do you think DPP occupies?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
422	pn1c	What position do you think PFP occupies?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
423	pn1d	What position do you think TSU occupies?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
424	pn1e	What position do you think New Power Party (NPP) occupies?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
425	pn2	Where would you place yourself on this scale where 0 means 'Governments should decrease taxes and spend less on services' and 10 means 'Governments should increase taxes and spend more on services'?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
426	pn2a	What position do you think KMT occupies?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
427	pn2b	What position do you think DPP occupies?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
428	pn3	After 2008, the cross-Straits economic interactions have intensified. As a result of this, do you think Taiwan's economy has gotten better, worse or is about the same?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
429	pn3a	Thinking about your own economic situation. As a result of intensification of cross-Straits interactions, do you think it has gotten better, worse, or stayed about the same?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
430	pn4	Ethnic minorities should adapt to our country's way of life	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
431	pn5	Immigrants are generally good for our country's economy	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
432	pn6	Our country's culture is generally harmed by immigrants	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
433	pp1	Do you consider yourself as Taiwanese, Chinese or both?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
434	pp1a	Do you feel very strongly or somewhat strongly that you are Taiwanese/Chinese?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
435	pp1b	Do you feel yourself leaning a little more to considering yourself Taiwanese or Chinese?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
436	pp2	Do you believe that cross-Straits relations will become	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		warmer, more tense, or remain unchanged?					
437	pp3	The leaders of the two sides of Taiwan Strait met in Singapore in November last year. General speaking, do you think this meeting had either a positive or negative impact on the future development of Taiwan?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
438	pp4	Regarding the issue of Cross-Strait negotiation, some people say that we should continue using the 1992 Consensus as the basis of Cross-Strait negotiation while other people say we should reject the 1992 Consensus. Which do you agree with?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
439	pp5	Concerning the relationship between Taiwan and mainland China, which of the following six positions do you agree with	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
440	pp5a	Which of the following six positions does CHU Li-luan agree with?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
441	pp5b	Which of the following six positions does TSAI Ing-wen agree with?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
442	pp5c	Which of the following six positions does James SOONG agree with?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
443	pp6	Some people say, 'If Taiwan could still maintain peaceful relations with the PRC after declaring independence, then Taiwan should establish a new, independent country'. Do you agree or disagree with this statement?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
444	pp6a	Some people say, 'Even if PRC decides to attack Taiwan after Taiwan declares independence, Taiwan should still become a new country'. Do you agree or disagree with this statement?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
445	pp7	Some people say, 'If the economic, social, and political conditions were about the same in both mainland China and Taiwan, then the two sides should unify'. Do you agree or disagree with this statement?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
446	pp7a	Some people say, 'Even if the gap between the economic, social, and political conditions in mainland China and Taiwan	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		is quite large, the two sides should still unify' Do you agree or disagree with this statement?					
447	pp8a	To have been born in our country	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
448	pp8b	To have lived in our country for most of one's life	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
449	pp8c	To be able to speak our languages(i.e. Chinese, Taiwanese, Hakka, or aboriginal language)	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
450	pp8d	To be our country's dominant religion	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
451	pp8e	To respect our country's political institutions and laws	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
452	pp8f	To feel our country's nationality	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
453	pp8g	To have our country's ancestry	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
454	pq1	Do you usually think of yourself as close to any particular party?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
455	pq1a	Do you feel yourself a little closer to one of the political parties than the others?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
456	pq1b	Which party do you feel closest to?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
457	pq1c	Do you feel very close to this party, somewhat close, or not very close?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
458	pq2	Where 0 means you strongly dislike that party and 10 means that you strongly like that party. If I come to a party you haven heard of or you feel you do not know enough about, just say so. The first party is KMT	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
459	pq2a	Using the same scale, where would you place, DPP?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
460	pq2b	Using the same scale, where would you place, PFP?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
461	pq2c	Using the same scale, where would you place, TSU?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
462	pq2d	Using the same scale, where would you place, NPP?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
463	pq3	Would you say that any of the parties in our country represent your views reasonably well?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
464	pq3a	Which party represents your views best?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
465	pq4	On cross-Straits relations, which of the two major parties performed better?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
466	pq5	On economic development, which of the two major parties performed better?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
467	pq6	On social welfare, which of the two major parties performed better?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
468	pq7	On protecting sovereignty, which of the two major parties performed better?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
469	pq8	If 1 means most unpeaceful and 7 means most peaceful, where would you place Taiwan on the peaceful scale?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
470	pq8a	How about Mainland China?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
471	pq9	If 1 means most intolerant and 7 means most tolerant, where would you place Taiwan on the tolerant-intolerant scale?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
472	pq9a	How about Mainland China?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
473	ps1	Year of birth(The ROC calendar)	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
474	ps2	Father's ethnic background	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
475	ps3	Mother's ethnic background	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
476	ps4	Educational level	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
477	ps5	Are you a member of a union?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
478	ps6	Religious belief	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
479	ps6a	Do you burn incense for the Earth God, Matsu, or some other spirits?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
480	ps6b	Religious services attendance	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
481	ps7	Current employment status of R	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
482	ps7a	Main occupation of R	discrete	numeric-4.0	1241	1051	-
483	ps7b	Main occupation of R before retirement or unemployment	discrete	numeric-4.0	1241	1051	-
484	ps8	Marital status	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
485	ps9	Spouse's ethnic background	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
486	ps10	Current employment status of R's spouse	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
487	ps10a	Main occupation of R's spouse	discrete	numeric-4.0	1241	1051	-
488	ps10b	Main occupation of R's spouse before his/her retirement or unemployment	discrete	numeric-4.0	1241	1051	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
489	ps11	Language usually spoken at home	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
490	ps12	Number of people in household	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
491	ps12a	Number of children under age 18 in household	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
492	ps13	In the past five years, how many foreign trips have you taken (including trips to Hong Kong, and Macau but not including trips to Mainland China)?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
493	ps13a	In the past five years, how many trips have you taken to Mainland China (not including Hong Kong or Macau)?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
494	ps14	Have you or any member of your family made investments, conducted business, held employment, settled down or studied in Mainland China?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
495	ps15	If we divide social class to upper, upper middle, middle, lower middle and lower, which do you think you are?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
496	ps16	Monthly household income(NTD)	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
497	ps17	Country of birth	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
498	ps17a	Was either or both of your parents born outside of our country?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
499	ps18	Are you a resident of where your household is registered?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
500	ps18a	In which county/city and which township do you live?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
501	ps18aa	Which township or city in Yi Lan County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
502	ps18ab	Which township or city in Hsinchu County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
503	ps18ac	Which township or city in Miaoli County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
504	ps18ad	Which township or city in Changhua County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
505	ps18ae	Which township or city in Nantou County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
506	ps18af	Which township or city in Yunlin County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
507	ps18ag	Which township or city in Chiayi County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
508	ps18ah	Which township or city in Pingtung County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
509	ps18ai	Which township or city in Taitung County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
510	ps18aj	Which township or city in Hualien County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
511	ps18ak	Which township or city in Penghu County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
512	ps18al	Which area in Keelung City?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
513	ps18am	Which area in Hsinchu City?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
514	ps18an	Which area in Chiayi City?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
515	ps18ao	Which township or city in Kinmen County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
516	ps18ap	Which township or city in Lianjiang County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
517	ps18aq	Which area in Taipei City?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
518	ps18ar	Which area in Kaohsiung City?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
519	ps18as	Which area in New Taipei City?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
520	ps18at	Which area in Taichung City?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
521	ps18au	Which area in Tainan City?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
522	ps18av	Which area in Taoyuan City?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
523	ps19	Does the R leave his/her telephone number and mobile phone number to I?	discrete	numeric-10.0	1241	1051	-
524	ps20	How many telephone lines does your household have? (only those used for voice communications)	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
525	ps21	Finally, our teacher is interested in the Internet usage in Taiwan, may you provide us your most frequently used e-mail?	discrete	numeric-40.0	1241	1051	-
526	ps22	Does R agree to participate retest?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
527	ps23	R's gender	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
528	ps24	Language used to conduct interview	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
529	pq230	The interview ended at:	continuous	numeric-11.0	1241	1051	-
530	pu1_1	Before the interview started, did the R do any of the following? [multiple responses permitted]	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
531	pu1_2	Before the interview started, did the R do any of the following? [multiple responses permitted]	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
532	pu1_3	Before the interview started, did the R do any of the following? [multiple responses permitted]	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
533	pu1_4	Before the interview started, did the R do any of the following? [multiple responses permitted]	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
534	pu1_5	Before the interview started, did the R do any of the following? [multiple responses permitted]	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
535	pu1_6	Before the interview started, did the R do any of the following? [multiple responses permitted]	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
536	pu1_7	Before the interview started, did the R do any of the following? [multiple responses permitted]	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
537	pu1_8	Before the interview started, did the R do any of the following? [multiple responses permitted]	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
538	pu1_9	Before the interview started, did the R do any of the following? [multiple responses permitted]	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
539	pu1_10	Before the interview started, did the R do any of the following? [multiple responses permitted]	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
540	pu2a	Did the R ask to fill out the questionnaire him or herself?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
541	pu2b	Did the R ask to see the questionnaire?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
542	pu2c	Did the R seem to want to quit during the interview?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
543	pu2d	Did the R say that there were too many questions?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
544	pu2e	Did the R say that a question was unclear or something was not defined well?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
545	pu2e1_1	The number of the question	discrete	numeric-6.0	1241	1051	-
546	pu2e1_2	The number of the question	discrete	numeric-6.0	1241	1051	-
547	pu2e1_3	The number of the question	discrete	numeric-6.0	1241	1051	-
548	pu2e1_4	The number of the question	discrete	numeric-6.0	1241	1051	-
549	pu2e1_5	The number of the question	discrete	numeric-6.0	1241	1051	-
550	pu2e1_6	The number of the question	discrete	numeric-6.0	1241	1051	-
551	pu2e1_7	The number of the question	discrete	numeric-6.0	1241	1051	-
552	pu2e1_8	The number of the question	discrete	numeric-6.0	1241	1051	-
553	pu2e1_9	The number of the question	discrete	numeric-6.0	1241	1051	-
554	pu2f	Did the R think the questions were too hard?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
555	pu2f1_1	The number of the question	discrete	numeric-6.0	1241	1051	-
556	pu2f1_2	The number of the question	discrete	numeric-6.0	1241	1051	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
557	pu2f1_3	The number of the question	discrete	numeric-6.0	1241	1051	-
558	pu2f1_4	The number of the question	discrete	numeric-6.0	1241	1051	-
559	pu2f1_5	The number of the question	discrete	numeric-6.0	1241	1051	-
560	pu2f1_6	The number of the question	discrete	numeric-6.0	1241	1051	-
561	pu2f1_7	The number of the question	discrete	numeric-6.0	1241	1051	-
562	pu2f1_8	The number of the question	discrete	numeric-6.0	1241	1051	-
563	pu2f1_9	The number of the question	discrete	numeric-6.0	1241	1051	-
564	pu2g	Did the R think the questions were too sensitive?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
565	pu2g1_1	The number of the question	discrete	numeric-6.0	1241	1051	-
566	pu2g1_2	The number of the question	discrete	numeric-6.0	1241	1051	-
567	pu2g1_3	The number of the question	discrete	numeric-6.0	1241	1051	-
568	pu2g1_4	The number of the question	discrete	numeric-6.0	1241	1051	-
569	pu2g1_5	The number of the question	discrete	numeric-6.0	1241	1051	-
570	pu2g1_6	The number of the question	discrete	numeric-6.0	1241	1051	-
571	pu2g1_7	The number of the question	discrete	numeric-6.0	1241	1051	-
572	pu2g1_8	The number of the question	discrete	numeric-6.0	1241	1051	-
573	pu2g1_9	The number of the question	discrete	numeric-6.0	1241	1051	-
574	pu3	How cooperative was the R?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
575	pu4	How well do you think the R understood the questions?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
576	pu5	How sensitive do you think the R thought the questions were?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
577	pu6	How interested do you think the R was in the contents of the survey?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
578	pu7	How trustworthy do you think the R's answers are?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
579	pu8	What do you think is the R's level of knowledge about general political matters?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
580	pu9_1	The R answered questions:	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
581	pu9_2	The R answered questions:	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
582	pu9_3	The R answered questions:	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
583	pu9_4	The R answered questions:	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
584	pu9_5	The R answered questions:	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
585	pu9a	Child's age is about:	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
586	pu10	Did a third person present at the interview do any of the following?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1241	1051	-
587	pdistrict	District	discrete	numeric-6.0	1241	1051	-
588	psex	Sex	discrete	numeric-6.0	1241	1051	-
589	page	Age	discrete	numeric-6.0	1241	1051	-
590	pedu	Education	discrete	numeric-6.0	1241	1051	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
591	parear	Area	discrete	numeric-6.0	1241	1051	-
592	pcareer	Occupations5	discrete	numeric-6.0	1241	1051	-
593	pcareer8	Occupation8	discrete	numeric-6.0	1241	1051	-
594	pethnic	Ethnic	discrete	numeric-6.0	1241	1051	-
595	pparty	Party Preference	discrete	numeric-6.0	1241	1051	-
596	ppartyid	Party Identification	discrete	numeric-6.0	1241	1051	-
597	ptondu	Position on unification and independence	discrete	numeric-6.0	1241	1051	-
598	ptondu3	3 categories of TONDU	discrete	numeric-6.0	1241	1051	-
599	pni2	Who is the current the premier of our country?	discrete	numeric-6.0	1241	1051	-

Group Ind_Retest Sample Cover

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	id	Case ID	continuous	numeric-14.0	423	0	-
2	tau	Set	discrete	numeric-2.0	423	0	-
3	city	City, County	discrete	numeric-2.0	423	0	-
4	town	Township, City, District	discrete	numeric-4.0	423	0	-
5	village	Village	discrete	numeric-7.0	423	0	-
6	t01	First contact-result	discrete	numeric-2.0	423	0	-
7	t02	First contact-time	continuous	numeric-11.0	423	0	-
8	t03	Contact1	discrete	numeric-2.0	423	0	-
9	t04	Second contact-result	discrete	numeric-2.0	423	0	-
10	t05	Second contact-time	discrete	numeric-11.0	423	0	-
11	t06	Contact2	discrete	numeric-2.0	423	0	-
12	t07	Third contact-result	discrete	numeric-2.0	423	0	-
13	t08	Third contact-time	discrete	numeric-11.0	423	0	-
14	t09	Contact3	discrete	numeric-2.0	423	0	-
15	t10	Community of the housing unit	discrete	numeric-2.0	423	0	-
16	t11	Appearance of the housing unit	discrete	numeric-2.0	423	0	-
17	t12	I's ID	continuous	numeric-9.0	423	0	-
18	t13	Gender of I	discrete	numeric-2.0	423	0	-
19	final_result	Last contact result	discrete	numeric-2.0	423	0	-

Group Ind_Retest Questionnaire

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	id	Case ID	continuous	numeric-14.0	320	0	-
2	tau	Set	discrete	numeric-2.0	320	0	-
3	city	City, County	discrete	numeric-2.0	320	0	-
4	town	Township, City, District	discrete	numeric-4.0	320	0	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
5	village	Village	discrete	numeric-7.0	320	0	-
6	a2	Which newspaper did you read most often?	discrete	numeric-2.0	320	0	-
7	b2	Do you usually talk about politics or elections with other people? Is it often, sometimes, seldom, or never?	discrete	numeric-2.0	320	0	-
8	h6	Using the scale on this card, (where ONE means that voting won't make any difference to what happens and FIVE means that voting can make a big difference), where would you place yourself?	discrete	numeric-2.0	320	0	-
9	j1	In this presidential election on January 16th many people went to vote, while others, for various reasons, did not go to vote. Did you vote?	discrete	numeric-2.0	320	0	-
10	j1a	Which ticket did you vote for?	discrete	numeric-2.0	320	0	-
11	j4	In this legislative election, which candidate did you vote for?	discrete	numeric-6.0	320	0	-
12	j4aa	In this legislative election, which candidate did you vote for?(Plain aborigines)	discrete	numeric-6.0	320	0	-
13	j4ab	In this legislative election, which candidate did you vote for?(Mountain aborigines)	discrete	numeric-6.0	320	0	-
14	j4a	Which party's candidate did you vote for?	discrete	numeric-2.0	320	0	-
15	j4b	Here is a list of all the parties that contested this legislative election Which party did you cast your at-large vote for?	discrete	numeric-2.0	320	0	-
16	k6a	[Maintain Cross-Strait peace]How would you rate TSAI Ing-wen using 0 to 10 scale?	discrete	numeric-2.0	320	0	-
17	k6b	[Maintain Cross-Strait peace]How would you rate CHU Lil-luan?	discrete	numeric-2.0	320	0	-
18	k6c	[Maintain Cross-Strait peace]How would you rate James SOONG?	discrete	numeric-2.0	320	0	-
19	n1	This card lists eleven positions from independence (0) to unification (10). Which position do you occupy?	discrete	numeric-2.0	320	0	-
20	n3	After 2008, the cross-Strait economic interactions have intensified As a result of this, do you think Taiwan's economy has gotten better, worse or is about the same?	discrete	numeric-2.0	320	0	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
21	n3a	Thinking about your own economic situation As a result of intensification of cross-Straits interactions, do you think it has gotten better, worse, or stayed about the same?	discrete	numeric-2.0	320	0	-
22	p1	Do you consider yourself as Taiwanese, Chinese or both?	discrete	numeric-2.0	320	0	-
23	p4	Regarding the issue of Cross-Straits negotiation, some people say that we should continue using the 1992 Consensus as the basis of Cross-Straits negotiation while other people say we should reject the 1992 Consensus Which do you agree with?	discrete	numeric-2.0	320	0	-
24	q1	Do you usually think of yourself as close to any particular party?	discrete	numeric-2.0	320	0	-
25	q1a	Do you feel yourself a little closer to one of the political parties than the others?	discrete	numeric-2.0	320	0	-
26	q1b	Which party do you feel closest to?	discrete	numeric-2.0	320	0	-
27	q1c	Do you feel very close to this party, somewhat close, or not very close?	discrete	numeric-2.0	320	0	-
28	q4	On cross-Straits relations, which of the two major parties performed better?	discrete	numeric-2.0	320	0	-
29	s4	Educational level	discrete	numeric-2.0	320	0	-
30	s11	Language usually spoken at home	discrete	numeric-2.0	320	0	-
31	s23	R's gender	discrete	numeric-2.0	320	0	-
32	s24	Language used to conduct interview	discrete	numeric-2.0	320	0	-
33	q37	The interview ended at:	continuous	numeric-11.0	320	0	-
34	district	District	discrete	numeric-6.0	320	0	-

Variables Description

Dataset contains 1033 variable(s)

File : TEDS2016E_indC

id: Case ID

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1020202600116-31170400601907] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7601 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=5177013444168.92 /-] [StdDev=4836945666789.87 /-]

tau: Set

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-31] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7601 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Tau 01	1612	21.2%
2	Tau 02	1612	21.2%
3	Tau 03	1402	18.4%
4	Tau 04	525	6.9%
5	Tau 05	444	5.8%
6	Tau 06	345	4.5%
7	Tau 07	255	3.4%
8	Tau 08	202	2.7%
9	Tau 09	193	2.5%
10	Tau 10	178	2.3%
11	Tau 11	160	2.1%
12	Tau 12	98	1.3%
13	Tau 13	98	1.3%
14	Tau 14	80	1.1%
15	Tau 15	53	0.7%
16	Tau 16	35	0.5%
17	Tau 17	35	0.5%
18	Tau 18	35	0.5%
19	Tau 19	35	0.5%
20	Tau 20	35	0.5%
21	Tau 21	35	0.5%
22	Tau 22	35	0.5%
23	Tau 23	27	0.4%
24	Tau 24	9	0.1%
25	Tau 25	9	0.1%
26	Tau 26	9	0.1%
27	Tau 27	9	0.1%
28	Tau 28	9	0.1%
29	Tau 29	9	0.1%
30	Tau 30	9	0.1%
31	Tau 31	9	0.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

city: City, County

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 2-68] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7601 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Yilan County	198	2.6%

File : TEDS2016E_indC

city: City, County

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Hsinchu County	304	4.0%
5	Miaoli County	232	3.1%
7	Changhua County	318	4.2%
9	Yunlin County	147	1.9%
10	Chiayi County	119	1.6%
13	Pingtung County	98	1.3%
14	Taitung County	50	0.7%
15	Hualien County	55	0.7%
17	Keelung City	684	9.0%
18	Hsinchu City	232	3.1%
20	Chiayi City	0	
63	Taipei City	1089	14.3%
64	Kaohsiung City	910	12.0%
65	New Taipei City	1710	22.5%
66	Taichung City	540	7.1%
67	Tainan City	539	7.1%
68	Taoyuan City	376	4.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

town: Township, City, District

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 202-6811] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7601 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
202	Luodong Township, Yilan County	54	0.7%
205	Jiaoxi Township, Yilan County	72	0.9%
209	Wujie Township, Yilan County	72	0.9%
401	Zhubei City, Hsinchu County	200	2.6%
402	Zhudong Township, Hsinchu County	0	
403	Xinpu Township, Hsinchu County	48	0.6%
406	Xinfeng Township, Hsinchu County	56	0.7%
501	Miaoli City, Miaoli County	184	2.4%
508	Dahu Township , Miaoli County	0	
516	Sanwan Township, Miaoli County	48	0.6%
701	Changhua City, Changhua County	0	
703	Hemei Township, Changhua County	42	0.6%
706	Fuxing Township, Changhua County	78	1.0%
709	Fenyuan Township, Changhua County	0	
710	Yuanlin Township, Changhua County	36	0.5%
714	Puyan Township, Changhua County	36	0.5%
716	Yongjing Township, Changhua County	36	0.5%
718	Ershui Township, Changhua County	0	
719	Beidou Township, Changhua County	24	0.3%
721	Tianwei Township, Changhua County	24	0.3%
722	Pitou Township, Changhua County	42	0.6%

File : TEDS2016E_indC

town: Township, City, District

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
905	Tuku Township, Yunlin County	28	0.4%
906	Beigang Township, Yunlin County	56	0.7%
909	Citong Township, Yunlin County	0	
917	YuanchangTownshi, Yunlin County	63	0.8%
920	Shuilin Township, Yunlin County	0	
1004	Dalin Township, Chiayi County	91	1.2%
1005	Minxiong Township, Chiayi County	0	
1013	Zhongpu Township, Chiayi County	28	0.4%
1301	Pingtung City, Pingtung County	0	
1316	Fangliao Township, Pingtung County	42	0.6%
1317	Xinyuan Township, Pingtung County	28	0.4%
1321	Jiandong Township, Pingtung County	28	0.4%
1401	Taitung City, Taitung County	0	
1402	Chenggong Township, Taitung County	30	0.4%
1404	Beinan Township, Taitung County	20	0.3%
1501	HualienCity, Hualien County	35	0.5%
1503	Yuli Township, Hualien County	20	0.3%
1509	Ruisui Township, Hualien County	0	
1704	Renai District, Keelung City	306	4.0%
1705	Zhongshan District, Keelung City	234	3.1%
1706	Anle District, Keelung City	144	1.9%
1801	East District, Hsinchu City	72	0.9%
1802	North District, Hsinchu City	64	0.8%
1803	Xiangshan District, Hsinchu City	96	1.3%
2002	West District, Chiayi City	0	
6301	Songshan District, Taipei City	117	1.5%
6303	Daan District, Taipei City	0	
6304	Zhongshan District, Taipei City	243	3.2%
6306	Datong District , Taipei City	234	3.1%
6308	Wenshan District, Taipei City	0	
6311	Shilin District, Taipei City	342	4.5%
6312	Beitou District, Taipei City	153	2.0%
6402	Gushan District, Kaohsiung City	56	0.7%
6403	Zuoying District, Kaohsiung City	112	1.5%
6404	Nanzi District, Kaohsiung City	63	0.8%
6405	Sanmin District, Kaohsiung City	280	3.7%
6406	XinxingDistrict, Kaohsiung City	49	0.6%
6408	Lingya District, Kaohsiung City	133	1.7%
6410	Qianzhen District, Kaohsiung City	42	0.6%
6412	Fengshan District, Kaohsiung City	175	2.3%
6416	Dashe District, Kaohsiung City	0	
6424	Luzhu District, Kaohsiung City	0	
6430	Qishan District, Kaohsiung City	0	

File : TEDS2016E_indC

town: Township, City, District

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6431	Meinong District, Kaohsiung City	0	
6501	Banqiao District, New Taipei City	288	3.8%
6502	Sanchong District, New Taipei City	405	5.3%
6503	Zhonghe District, New Taipei City	396	5.2%
6504	Yonghe District, New Taipei City	450	5.9%
6505	Xinzhuang District, New Taipei City	81	1.1%
6507	Shulin District, New Taipei City	90	1.2%
6512	Ruifang District, New Taipei City	0	
6515	Wugu District, New Taipei City	0	
6517	Linkou District, New Taipei City	0	
6520	Pinglin District, New Taipei City	0	
6602	East District, Taichung City	36	0.5%
6603	South District, Taichung City	48	0.6%
6604	West District, Taichung City	0	
6605	North District, Taichung City	0	
6606	Xitun District, Taichung City	36	0.5%
6607	Nantun District, Taichung City	72	0.9%
6608	Beitun District, Taichung City	144	1.9%
6609	Fengyuan District, Taichung City	72	0.9%
6610	Dongshi District, Taichung City	36	0.5%
6615	Houli District, Taichung City	24	0.3%
6616	Shengang District, Taichung City	36	0.5%
6618	Daya District, Taichung City	36	0.5%
6621	Waipu District, Taichung City	0	
6623	Wuri District, Taichung City	0	
6701	Xinying District, Tainan City	112	1.5%
6706	Dongshan District, Tainan City	0	
6707	Madou District, Tainan City	49	0.6%
6712	Jiali District, Tainan City	42	0.6%
6716	Jiangjun District, Tainan City	70	0.9%
6718	Xinhua District, Tainan City	28	0.4%
6719	Shanhua District, Tainan City	0	
6731	Yongkang District, Tainan City	0	
6732	East District, Tainan City	98	1.3%
6733	South District, Tainan City	0	
6734	North District, Tainan City	28	0.4%
6735	Annan District, Tainan City	28	0.4%
6736	Anping District, Tainan City	28	0.4%
6737	West Central District, Tainan City	56	0.7%
6802	Zhongli District, Taoyuan City	56	0.7%
6803	Daxi District, Taoyuan City	48	0.6%
6804	Yangmei District, Taoyuan City	128	1.7%
6808	Bade District, Taoyuan City	72	0.9%

File : TEDS2016E_indC

town: Township, City, District

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6811	Xinwu District, Taoyuan City	72	<div></div> 0.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

village: Village

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 202026-6811020] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7601 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
202026	Guohua Village, Luodong Township, Yilan County	54	<div></div> 0.7%
205007	Erlong Village, Jiaoxi Township, Yilan County	72	<div></div> 0.9%
209006	Sijie Village, Wujie Township, Yilan County	72	<div></div> 0.9%
401004	Zhongxing Village, Zhubei City, Hsinchu County	0	
401008	Zhuren Village, Zhubei City, Hsinchu County	0	
401021	Shixing Village, Zhubei City, Hsinchu County	200	<div></div> 2.6%
402016	Touchong Village, Zhudong Township, Hsinchu County	0	
402019	Erchong Village, Zhudong Township, Hsinchu County	0	
403003	Wenshan Village, Xipu Township, Hsinchu County	48	<div></div> 0.6%
406004	Puhe Village, Xinfeng Township, Hsinchu County	0	
406008	Chongxing Village, Xinfeng Township, Hsinchu County	0	
406009	Xinfeng Village, Xinfeng Township, Hsinchu County	56	<div></div> 0.7%
501017	Qinghua Village, Miaoli City, Miaoli County	72	<div></div> 0.9%
501027	Nanshi Village, Miaoli City, Miaoli County	112	<div></div> 1.5%
508003	Jinghu Village, Dahu Township , Miaoli County	0	
508012	Xinkai Village, Dahu Township , Miaoli County	0	
516006	Dahe Village, Sanwan Township, Miaoli County	48	<div></div> 0.6%
701024	Wuquan Village, Changhua City, Changhua County	0	
701070	Kuaiguan Village, Changhua City, Changhua County	0	
703030	Yuemei Village, Hemei Township, Changhua County	42	<div></div> 0.6%
706008	Wanfeng Village, Fuxing Township, Changhua County	36	<div></div> 0.5%
706015	Xianian Village, Fuxing Township, Changhua County	42	<div></div> 0.6%
709001	Jiushe Village, Fenyuan Township, Changhua County	0	
709008	Xianzhuang village, Fenyuan Township, Changhua County	0	
710012	Xinsheng Village, Yuanlin Township, Changhua County	36	<div></div> 0.5%
714001	Puyan Village, Puyan Township, Changhua County	36	<div></div> 0.5%
716004	Yongbei Village, Yongjing Township, Changhua County	36	<div></div> 0.5%
718010	Huimin Village, Ershui Township, Changhua County	0	
718014	Yuanquan Village, Ershui Township, Changhua County	0	
719009	Zhonghe Village, Beidou Township, Changhua County	24	<div></div> 0.3%
721009	Xinsheng Village, Tianwei Township, Changhua County	24	<div></div> 0.3%
722007	Hexing Village, Pitou Township, Changhua County	42	<div></div> 0.6%
905002	Shuntian Village, Tuku Township, Yunlin County	28	<div></div> 0.4%
906005	Zhonghe Village, Beigang Township, Yunlin County	0	
906015	Guangfu Village, Beigang Township, Yunlin County	0	
906025	Shujiao Village, Beigang Township, Yunlin County	56	<div></div> 0.7%
909007	Damei Village, Citong Township, Yunlin County	0	

File : TEDS2016E_indC

village: Village

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
909012	Mayuan Village, Citong Township, Yunlin County	0	
917013	Xialiao Village, YuanchangTownshi, Yunlin County	63	0.8%
920002	Shuinan Village, Shuilin Township, Yunlin County	0	
920011	Wanxing Village, Shuilin Township, Yunlin County	0	
1004005	Minghua Village, Dalin Township, Chiayi County	42	0.6%
1004016	Sanhe Village, Dalin Township, Chiayi County	49	0.6%
1005003	Xian Village, Minxiong Township, Chiayi County	0	
1005006	Donghu Village, Minxiong Township, Chiayi County	0	
1013008	Hemei Village, Zhongpu Township, Chiayi County	28	0.4%
1301055	Qingxi Village, Pingtung City, Pingtung County	0	
1301073	Chonglan Village, Pingtung City, Pingtung County	0	
1316004	Zhongliao Village, Fangliao Township, Pingtung County	42	0.6%
1316009	Renhe Village, Fangliao Township, Pingtung County	0	
1316010	Neiliao Village, Fangliao Township, Pingtung County	0	
1317007	Xinyuan Village, Xinyuan Township, Pingtung County	28	0.4%
1321002	Liugen Village, Jiadong Township, Pingtung County	28	0.4%
1401008	Minsheng Village, Taitung City, Taitung County	0	
1401045	Zhiben Village, Taitung City, Taitung County	0	
1402005	Zhongzhi Village, Chenggong Township, Taitung County	30	0.4%
1404009	Taian Village, Beinan Township, Taitung County	20	0.3%
1501014	Zhunong Village, HualienCity, Hualien County	35	0.5%
1503014	Sanmin Village, Yuli Township, Hualien County	20	0.3%
1509001	Ruisui Village, Ruisui Township, Hualien County	0	
1509007	Hegang Village, Ruisui Township, Hualien County	0	
1704006	Heming Village, Renai District, Keelung City	306	4.0%
1705020	Dehe Village, Zhongshan District, Keelung City	234	3.1%
1706008	Jiaren Village, Anle District, Keelung City	0	
1706014	Xinlun Village, Anle District, Keelung City	144	1.9%
1706016	Siwei Village, Anle District, Keelung City	0	
1801035	Ligong Village, East District, Hsinchu City	72	0.9%
1802030	Keya Village, North District, Hsinchu City	64	0.8%
1803010	Dongxiang Village, Xiangshan District, Hsinchu City	96	1.3%
2002005	Chongxing Village, West District, Chiayi City	0	
2002069	Baosheng Village, West District, Chiayi City	0	
6301020	Pengcheng Village, Songshan District, Taipei City	117	1.5%
6303004	Minzhao Village, Daan District, Taipei City	0	
6303007	Minhui Village, Daan District, Taipei City	0	
6303013	Gufeng Village, Daan District, Taipei City	0	
6303017	Fuzhu Village, Daan District, Taipei City	0	
6303031	Tonghua Village, Daan District, Taipei City	0	
6303049	Jianan Village, Daan District, Taipei City	0	
6304005	Kangle Village, Zhongshan District, Taipei City	0	
6304007	Jusheng Village, Zhongshan District, Taipei City	0	

File : TEDS2016E_indC

village: Village

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6304010	Hengan Village, Zhongshan District, Taipei City	144	1.9%
6304018	Xinxi Village, Zhongshan District, Taipei City	0	
6304021	Songjiang Village, Zhongshan District, Taipei City	0	
6304024	Xingzheng Village, Zhongshan District, Taipei City	0	
6304025	Xingren Village, Zhongshan District, Taipei City	99	1.3%
6304031	Zhongyuan Village, Zhongshan District, Taipei City	0	
6306007	Xingming Village, Datong District , Taipei City	162	2.1%
6306017	Guoshun Village, Datong District , Taipei City	72	0.9%
6308005	Jingren Village, Wenshan District, Taipei City	0	
6308015	Xingjia Village, Wenshan District, Taipei City	0	
6308021	Xingtai Village, Wenshan District, Taipei City	0	
6308027	Muzha Village, Wenshan District, Taipei City	0	
6308032	Zhangjiao Village, Wenshan District, Taipei City	0	
6308041	Zhangwen Village, Wenshan District, Taipei City	0	
6311011	Bailing Village, Shilin District, Taipei City	54	0.7%
6311030	Zhongcheng Village, Shilin District, Taipei City	162	2.1%
6311040	Tianshan Village, Shilin District, Taipei City	126	1.7%
6312038	Guandu Village, Beitou District, Taipei City	153	2.0%
6402004	Guangrong Village, Gushan District, Kaohsiung City	56	0.7%
6403001	Jinxue Village, Zuoying District, Kaohsiung City	42	0.6%
6403043	Caigong Village, Zuoying District, Kaohsiung City	70	0.9%
6404003	Wuchang Village, Nanzi District, Kaohsiung City	63	0.8%
6404005	Zhongyang Village, Nanzi District, Kaohsiung City	0	
6404022	Shengchang Village, Nanzi District, Kaohsiung City	0	
6405005	Dingxi Village, Sanmin District, Kaohsiung City	0	
6405017	Baode Village, Sanmin District, Kaohsiung City	84	1.1%
6405021	Baohua Village, Sanmin District, Kaohsiung City	63	0.8%
6405030	Baoyu Village, Sanmin District, Kaohsiung City	63	0.8%
6405053	Dezhi Village, Sanmin District, Kaohsiung City	0	
6405066	Licheng Village, Sanmin District, Kaohsiung City	70	0.9%
6406006	Dezheng Village, XinxingDistrict, Kaohsiung City	49	0.6%
6408015	Guangze Village, Lingya District, Kaohsiung City	63	0.8%
6408022	Putian Village, Lingya District, Kaohsiung City	0	
6408052	Fukang Village, Lingya District, Kaohsiung City	0	
6408060	Zhengyan Village, Lingya District, Kaohsiung City	70	0.9%
6410003	Zhenxing Village, Qianzhen District, Kaohsiung City	42	0.6%
6412018	Ruizhu Village, Fengshan District, Kaohsiung City	0	
6412027	Zhengyi Village, Fengshan District, Kaohsiung City	56	0.7%
6412063	Guofu Village, Fengshan District, Kaohsiung City	0	
6412071	Zhongmin Village, Fengshan District, Kaohsiung City	70	0.9%
6412077	Fuxiang Village, Fengshan District, Kaohsiung City	49	0.6%
6416006	Guanyin Village, Dashe District, Kaohsiung City	0	
6416008	Zhongli Village, Dashe District, Kaohsiung City	0	

File : TEDS2016E_indC

village: Village

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6424014	Wennan Village, Luzhu District, Kaohsiung City	0	
6424020	Shenan Village, Luzhu District, Kaohsiung City	0	
6430002	Dade Village, Qishan District, Kaohsiung City	0	
6430003	Meizhou Village, Qishan District, Kaohsiung City	0	
6431010	Xinglong Village, Meinong District, Kaohsiung City	0	
6431016	Jiyang Village, Meinong District, Kaohsiung City	0	
6501014	Guoguang Village, Banqiao District, New Taipei City	0	
6501020	Hansheng Village, Banqiao District, New Taipei City	0	
6501045	Lancui Village, Banqiao District, New Taipei City	0	
6501060	Guangren Village, Banqiao District, New Taipei City	72	0.9%
6501062	Yongan Village, Banqiao District, New Taipei City	0	
6501065	Shenqiu Village, Banqiao District, New Taipei City	0	
6501087	Huadong Village, Banqiao District, New Taipei City	126	1.7%
6501089	Fuzhou Village, Banqiao District, New Taipei City	90	1.2%
6501096	Juan Village, Banqiao District, New Taipei City	0	
6502014	Guangyang Village, Sanchong District, New Taipei City	126	1.7%
6502039	Qinghe Village, Sanchong District, New Taipei City	153	2.0%
6502066	Wanshou Village, Sanchong District, New Taipei City	126	1.7%
6503040	Jingnan Village, Zhonghe District, New Taipei City	153	2.0%
6503045	Fuxing Village, Zhonghe District, New Taipei City	126	1.7%
6503080	Funan Village, Zhonghe District, New Taipei City	117	1.5%
6504013	Baoan Village, Yonghe District, New Taipei City	90	1.2%
6504039	Dehe Village, Yonghe District, New Taipei City	126	1.7%
6504048	Hebin Village, Yonghe District, New Taipei City	234	3.1%
6505041	Guangrong Village, Xinzhuang District, New Taipei City	81	1.1%
6507019	Dongyang Village, Shulin District, New Taipei City	36	0.5%
6507021	Leshan Village, Shulin District, New Taipei City	54	0.7%
6512029	Haibin Village, Ruifang District, New Taipei City	0	
6512034	Xinfeng Village, Ruifang District, New Taipei City	0	
6515003	Jifu Village, Wugu District, New Taipei City	0	
6515005	Chengzhou Village, Wugu District, New Taipei City	0	
6515010	Deyin Village, Wugu District, New Taipei City	0	
6515014	Chengde Village, Wugu District, New Taipei City	0	
6517006	Hubei Village, Linkou District, New Taipei City	0	
6517016	Lilin Village, Linkou District, New Taipei City	0	
6520003	Dalin Village, Pinglin District, New Taipei City	0	
6520006	Shuide Village, Pinglin District, New Taipei City	0	
6602005	Gancheng Village, East District, Taichung City	36	0.5%
6603014	Xichuan Village, South District, Taichung City	24	0.3%
6603019	Nanhe Village, South District, Taichung City	24	0.3%
6604002	Limin Village, West District, Taichung City	0	
6604007	Gongmin Village, West District, Taichung City	0	
6604008	Lanxing Village, West District, Taichung City	0	

File : TEDS2016E_indC

village: Village

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6604009	Gongguan Village, West District, Taichung City	0	
6604015	Shengping Village, West District, Taichung City	0	
6604020	Gongyi Village, West District, Taichung City	0	
6605013	Jianxing Village, North District, Taichung City	0	
6605018	Dangou Village, North District, Taichung City	0	
6605025	Laixing Village, North District, Taichung City	0	
6605027	Liuhe Village, North District, Taichung City	0	
6605035	Meichuan Village, North District, Taichung City	0	
6605036	Laifu Village, North District, Taichung City	0	
6606016	Guangfu Village, Xitun Distric, Taichung City	0	
6606028	Shangde Village, Xitun Distric, Taichung City	36	0.5%
6606031	Hecheng Village, Xitun Distric, Taichung City	0	
6607002	Fengle Village, Nantun District, Taichung City	36	0.5%
6607012	Tianxin Village, Nantun District, Taichung City	36	0.5%
6607016	Wenxin Village, Nantun District, Taichung City	0	
6607025	Sanyi Village, Nantun District, Taichung City	0	
6608008	Shuijing Village, Beitun District, Taichung City	42	0.6%
6608018	Renmei Village, Beitun District, Taichung City	0	
6608021	Tongrong Village, Beitun District, Taichung City	48	0.6%
6608024	Chenping Village, Beitun District, Taichung City	54	0.7%
6608042	Zhongping Village, Beitun District, Taichung City	0	
6609001	Fengyuan Village, Fengyuan District, Taichung City	36	0.5%
6609028	Zhongyang Village, Fengyuan District, Taichung City	36	0.5%
6610002	Zhongning Village, Dongshi District, Taichung City	36	0.5%
6615017	Yuemei Village, Houli District, Taichung City	24	0.3%
6616009	Dashe Village, Shengang District, Taichung City	36	0.5%
6618013	Wenya Village, Daya District, Taichung City	36	0.5%
6621001	Yongfeng Village, Waipu District, Taichung City	0	
6621003	Sankan Village, Waipu District, Taichung City	0	
6621005	Shuimei Village, Waipu District, Taichung City	0	
6621006	Dadong Village, Waipu District, Taichung City	0	
6623002	Huri Village, Wuri District, Taichung City	0	
6623006	Jiude Village, Wuri District, Taichung City	0	
6623007	Rende Village, Wuri District, Taichung City	0	
6623009	Wuguang Village, Wuri District, Taichung City	0	
6701005	Yanping Village, Xinying District, Tainan City	56	0.7%
6701029	Xindong Village, Xinying District, Tainan City	56	0.7%
6706002	Dongzhong Village, Dongshan District, Tainan City	0	
6706006	Keli Village, Dongshan District, Tainan City	0	
6707004	Jinjiang Village, Madou District, Tainan City	49	0.6%
6712004	Jiannan Village, Jiali District, Tainan City	0	
6712005	Anxi Village, Jiali District, Tainan City	42	0.6%
6712019	Minan Village, Jiali District, Tainan City	0	

File : TEDS2016E_indC

village: Village

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6716017	Kunshen Village, Jiangjun District, Tainan City	70	0.9%
6718011	Beishi Village, Xinhua District, Tainan City	28	0.4%
6719006	Xiguan Village, Shanhua District, Tainan City	0	
6719012	Ximei Village, Shanhua District, Tainan City	0	
6731002	Wangliao Village, Yongkang District, Tainan City	0	
6731030	Erwang Village, Yongkang District, Tainan City	0	
6731032	Sanhe Village, Yongkang District, Tainan City	0	
6731037	Fuhua Village, Yongkang District, Tainan City	0	
6732007	Houjia Village, East District, Tainan City	42	0.6%
6732029	Zhongxiao Village, East District, Tainan City	56	0.7%
6733007	Xinxing Village, South District, Tainan City	0	
6733035	Wennan Village, South District, Tainan City	0	
6734005	Lixing Village, North District, Tainan City	28	0.4%
6735002	Anshun Village, Annan District, Tainan City	0	
6735006	Andong Village, Annan District, Tainan City	0	
6735015	Haidong Village, Annan District, Tainan City	0	
6735032	Qingcao Village, Annan District, Tainan City	0	
6735039	Xiding Village, Annan District, Tainan City	28	0.4%
6736001	Ximen Village, Anping District, Tainan City	28	0.4%
6737030	Zhongzheng Village, West Central District, Tainan City	56	0.7%
6802071	Huaxuen Village, Zhongli Distric, Taoyuan City	56	0.7%
6803027	Renhe Village, Daxi District, Taoyuan City	48	0.6%
6804023	Ruiyuan Village, Yangmei District, Taoyuan City	56	0.7%
6804026	Zhongshan Village, Yangmei District, Taoyuan City	72	0.9%
6808003	Ruifeng Village, Bade District, Taoyuan City	72	0.9%
6811020	Kanglang Village, Xinwu District, Taoyuan City	72	0.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

t01: First contact-result

Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-31] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=7601 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Completion	863	<div></div> 11.4%
2	No one can be reached in the household	2438	<div></div> 32.1%
3	R is temporarily not at home	824	<div></div> 10.8%
4	Cannot take the interview right away	231	<div></div> 3.0%
5	Leave, after interview started	4	<div></div> 0.1%
6	Refusal by the R	884	<div></div> 11.6%
7	Break off, after interview started	12	<div></div> 0.2%
8	Refusal by the family	306	<div></div> 4.0%
9	R works, studies, or travels elsewhere	356	<div></div> 4.7%
10	R works, studies, or travels overseas	104	<div></div> 1.4%
11	R is registered in the household, but does not lives here	543	<div></div> 7.1%
12	R unavailable at the address	161	<div></div> 2.1%

File : TEDS2016E_indC

t01: First contact-result

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
13	R moves out	99	1.3%
14	Unable to locate the address	29	0.4%
15	Empty housing unit	29	0.4%
16	Unable to gain the access to the housing unit	458	6.0%
17	Renovation of the housing unit	11	0.1%
18	Refusal for unknown reasons	42	0.6%
19	R is physically or mentally unable to be interviewed	87	1.1%
20	Language problems	6	0.1%
21	R missing	5	0.1%
22	Emigration	7	0.1%
23	Serve in the military	16	0.2%
24	Get married and move out	13	0.2%
25	In jail	1	0.0%
26	Become a monk or nun	2	0.0%
27	Death	11	0.1%
28	Unfriendly circumstances	3	0.0%
29	R refuse the interview on the phone	31	0.4%
30	R refuse to sign interview agreement	23	0.3%
31	Fail due to standardized interview principle	2	0.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

t02: First contact-time

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10501171804-10504211551] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7601 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=10502236367.574 /-] [StdDev=965868.688 /-]

t03: Contact1

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-89] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7601 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Doorman	1205	15.9%
2	Door phone	1546	20.3%
3	Ring door bell or knock the door	2277	30.0%
4	Go into the house after ask the household	1431	18.8%
6	Contact on the telephone	126	1.7%
7	Ask neighbors, relatives or friends	660	8.7%
8	Ask the head of a neighborhood	57	0.7%
9	Communicate on the internet	1	0.0%
10	Communicate by letter or email	3	0.0%
11	Shout out at the door	258	3.4%
12	Visit R in jail	0	0.0%
89	R refuse the interview on the phone	37	0.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

t04: Second contact-result

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
-------------	---

File : TEDS2016E_indC

t04: Second contact-result

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=7601 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Completion	434	5.7%
2	No one can be reached in the household	1553	20.4%
3	R is temporarily not at home	484	6.4%
4	Cannot take the interview right away	108	1.4%
5	Leave, after interview started	1	0.0%
6	Refusal by the R	325	4.3%
7	Break off, after interview started	4	0.1%
8	Refusal by the family	138	1.8%
9	R works, studies, or travels elsewhere	123	1.6%
10	R works, studies, or travels overseas	22	0.3%
11	R is registered in the household, but does not lives here	138	1.8%
12	R unavailable at the address	31	0.4%
13	R moves out	29	0.4%
14	Unable to locate the address	1	0.0%
15	Empty housing unit	5	0.1%
16	Unable to gain the access to the housing unit	41	0.5%
17	Renovation of the housing unit	3	0.0%
18	Refusal for unknown reasons	9	0.1%
19	R is physically or mentally unable to be interviewed	19	0.2%
20	Language problems	1	0.0%
21	R missing	0	
22	Emigration	0	
23	Serve in the military	3	0.0%
24	Get married and move out	2	0.0%
25	In jail	1	0.0%
26	Become a monk or nun	0	
27	Death	2	0.0%
28	Unfriendly circumstances	1	0.0%
29	R refuse the interview on the phone	20	0.3%
30	R refuse to sign interview agreement	8	0.1%
31	Fail due to standardized interview principle	1	0.0%
99	Skipped	4094	53.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

t05: Second contact-time

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10501181042-99999999999] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=7601 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
99999999999	Skipped		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

t06: Contact2

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]

File : TEDS2016E_indC

t06: Contact2

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=7601 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Doorman	427	5.6%
2	Door phone	794	10.4%
3	Ring door bell or knock the door	1121	14.7%
4	Go into the house after ask the household	617	8.1%
6	Contact on the telephone	125	1.6%
7	Ask neighbors, relatives or friends	245	3.2%
8	Ask the head of a neighborhood	17	0.2%
9	Communicate on the internet	3	0.0%
10	Communicate by letter or email	2	0.0%
11	Shout out at the door	135	1.8%
12	Visit R in jail	0	
89	R refuse the interview on the phone	21	0.3%
99	Skipped	4094	53.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

t07: Third contact-result

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=7601 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Completion	402	5.3%
2	No one can be reached in the household	951	12.5%
3	R is temporarily not at home	172	2.3%
4	Cannot take the interview right away	31	0.4%
5	Leave, after interview started	0	
6	Refusal by the R	237	3.1%
7	Break off, after interview started	8	0.1%
8	Refusal by the family	94	1.2%
9	R works, studies, or travels elsewhere	69	0.9%
10	R works, studies, or travels overseas	18	0.2%
11	R is registered in the household, but does not lives here	62	0.8%
12	R unavailable at the address	25	0.3%
13	R moves out	18	0.2%
14	Unable to locate the address	1	0.0%
15	Empty housing unit	2	0.0%
16	Unable to gain the access to the housing unit	16	0.2%
17	Renovation of the housing unit	1	0.0%
18	Refusal for unknown reasons	4	0.1%
19	R is physically or mentally unable to be interviewed	7	0.1%
20	Language problems	0	
21	R missing	1	0.0%
22	Emigration	0	
23	Serve in the military	3	0.0%

File : TEDS2016E_indC

t07: Third contact-result

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
24	Get married and move out	3	0.0%
25	In jail	1	0.0%
26	Become a monk or nun	0	
27	Death	1	0.0%
28	Unfriendly circumstances	1	0.0%
29	R refuse the interview on the phone	18	0.2%
30	R refuse to sign interview agreement	6	0.1%
31	Fail due to standardized interview principle	0	
99	Skipped	5449	71.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

t08: Third contact-time

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10501191230-9999999999] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7601 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
9999999999	Skipped		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

t09: Contact3

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7601 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Doorman	257	3.4%
2	Door phone	492	6.5%
3	Ring door bell or knock the door	655	8.6%
4	Go into the house after ask the household	388	5.1%
6	Contact on the telephone	135	1.8%
7	Ask neighbors, relatives or friends	127	1.7%
8	Ask the head of a neighborhood	7	0.1%
9	Communicate on the internet	1	0.0%
10	Communicate by letter or email	2	0.0%
11	Shout out at the door	70	0.9%
12	Visit R in jail	0	
89	R refuse the interview on the phone	18	0.2%
99	Skipped	5449	71.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

t10: Community of the housing unit

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7601 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Rural area	439	5.8%
2	Veteran community	63	0.8%
3	Citizen building	77	1.0%

File : TEDS2016E_indC

t10: Community of the housing unit

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Civil servant community	6	0.1%
5	Residence area	5550	73.0%
6	Mixed residence and business area	1223	16.1%
7	Industry area	30	0.4%
9	Village in mountainous area	76	1.0%
10	Nursing home	2	0.0%
11	Fishing village	62	0.8%
12	Private house	2	0.0%
99	Skipped	71	0.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

t11: Appearance of the housing unit

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7601 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Apartment	2035	26.8%
2	Building with elevator	1800	23.7%
3	Townhouse	2943	38.7%
5	Metal-sheet house	60	0.8%
6	Single-story house	487	6.4%
7	Villa	47	0.6%
8	Factory	29	0.4%
9	Three-section compound	88	1.2%
11	Unable to locate the address	13	0.2%
12	Housing unit being torn down	8	0.1%
13	Ruins	7	0.1%
14	Temple, church or other religions buildings	12	0.2%
15	Jail	0	
16	Hospital	1	0.0%
99	Skipped	71	0.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

t12: I's ID

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 161101-1605099] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7601 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1347286.049 /-] [StdDev=549771.642 /-]

t13: Gender of I

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7601 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Male	4450	58.5%
2	Female	3151	41.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

File : TEDS2016E_indC

final_result: Last contact result

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-31] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=7601 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Completion	1690	22.2%
2	No one can be reached in the household	951	12.5%
3	R is temporarily not at home	172	2.3%
4	Cannot take the interview right away	31	0.4%
5	Leave, after interview started	0	
6	Refusal by the R	1445	19.0%
7	Break off, after interview started	24	0.3%
8	Refusal by the family	537	7.1%
9	R works, studies, or travels elsewhere	544	7.2%
10	R works, studies, or travels overseas	144	1.9%
11	R is registered in the household, but does not lives here	743	9.8%
12	R unavailable at the address	217	2.9%
13	R moves out	146	1.9%
14	Unable to locate the address	30	0.4%
15	Empty housing unit	36	0.5%
16	Unable to gain the access to the housing unit	515	6.8%
17	Renovation of the housing unit	15	0.2%
18	Refusal for unknown reasons	55	0.7%
19	R is physically or mentally unable to be interviewed	113	1.5%
20	Language problems	7	0.1%
21	R missing	6	0.1%
22	Emigration	7	0.1%
23	Serve in the military	22	0.3%
24	Get married and move out	18	0.2%
25	In jail	3	0.0%
26	Become a monk or nun	2	0.0%
27	Death	14	0.2%
28	Unfriendly circumstances	5	0.1%
29	R refuse the interview on the phone	69	0.9%
30	R refuse to sign interview agreement	37	0.5%
31	Fail due to standardized interview principle	3	0.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

File : TEDS2016E_indQ

id: Case ID

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1020202600911-31170400600308] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=3689007126557.83 /-] [StdDev=3399128397902.29 /-]

tau: Set

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-31] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Tau 01	488	28.9%
2	Tau 02	464	27.5%
3	Tau 03	338	20.0%
4	Tau 04	107	6.3%
5	Tau 05	80	4.7%
6	Tau 06	51	3.0%
7	Tau 07	30	1.8%
8	Tau 08	16	0.9%
9	Tau 09	25	1.5%
10	Tau 10	17	1.0%
11	Tau 11	18	1.1%
12	Tau 12	8	0.5%
13	Tau 13	13	0.8%
14	Tau 14	7	0.4%
15	Tau 15	3	0.2%
16	Tau 16	4	0.2%
17	Tau 17	1	0.1%
18	Tau 18	0	
19	Tau 19	1	0.1%
20	Tau 20	4	0.2%
21	Tau 21	4	0.2%
22	Tau 22	2	0.1%
23	Tau 23	3	0.2%
24	Tau 24	1	0.1%
25	Tau 25	0	
26	Tau 26	1	0.1%
27	Tau 27	1	0.1%
28	Tau 28	0	
29	Tau 29	2	0.1%
30	Tau 30	0	
31	Tau 31	1	0.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

city: City, County

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 2-68] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Yilan County	55	3.3%

File : TEDS2016E_indQ

city: City, County

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Hsinchu County	55	3.3%
5	Miaoli County	49	2.9%
7	Changhua County	113	6.7%
9	Yunlin County	47	2.8%
10	Chiayi County	48	2.8%
13	Pingtung County	49	2.9%
14	Taitung County	21	1.2%
15	Hualien County	22	1.3%
17	Keelung City	60	3.6%
18	Hsinchu City	48	2.8%
20	Chiayi City	0	
63	Taipei City	165	9.8%
64	Kaohsiung City	219	13.0%
65	New Taipei City	277	16.4%
66	Taichung City	184	10.9%
67	Tainan City	176	10.4%
68	Taoyuan City	102	6.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

town: Township, City, District

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 202-6811] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
202	Luodong Township, Yilan County	18	1.1%
205	Jiaoxi Township, Yilan County	19	1.1%
209	Wujie Township, Yilan County	18	1.1%
401	Zhubei City, Hsinchu County	16	0.9%
402	Zhudong Township, Hsinchu County	0	
403	Xinpu Township, Hsinchu County	23	1.4%
406	Xinfeng Township, Hsinchu County	16	0.9%
501	Miaoli City, Miaoli County	33	2.0%
508	Dahu Township , Miaoli County	0	
516	Sanwan Township, Miaoli County	16	0.9%
701	Changhua City, Changhua County	0	
703	Hemei Township, Changhua County	12	0.7%
706	Fuxing Township, Changhua County	25	1.5%
709	Fenyuan Township, Changhua County	0	
710	Yuanlin Township, Changhua County	15	0.9%
714	Puyan Township, Changhua County	13	0.8%
716	Yongjing Township, Changhua County	12	0.7%
718	Ershui Township, Changhua County	0	
719	Beidou Township, Changhua County	12	0.7%
721	Tianwei Township, Changhua County	12	0.7%
722	Pitou Township, Changhua County	12	0.7%

File : TEDS2016E_indQ

town: Township, City, District

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
905	Tuku Township, Yunlin County	16	0.9%
906	Beigang Township, Yunlin County	17	1.0%
909	Citong Township, Yunlin County	0	
917	YuanchangTownshi, Yunlin County	14	0.8%
920	Shuilin Township, Yunlin County	0	
1004	Dalin Township, Chiayi County	32	1.9%
1005	Minxiong Township, Chiayi County	0	
1013	Zhongpu Township, Chiayi County	16	0.9%
1301	Pingtung City, Pingtung County	0	
1316	Fangliao Township, Pingtung County	15	0.9%
1317	Xinyuan Township, Pingtung County	14	0.8%
1321	Jiandong Township, Pingtung County	20	1.2%
1401	Taitung City, Taitung County	0	
1402	Chenggong Township, Taitung County	10	0.6%
1404	Beinan Township, Taitung County	11	0.7%
1501	HualienCity, Hualien County	12	0.7%
1503	Yuli Township, Hualien County	10	0.6%
1509	Ruisui Township, Hualien County	0	
1704	Renai District, Keelung City	18	1.1%
1705	Zhongshan District, Keelung City	18	1.1%
1706	Anle District, Keelung City	24	1.4%
1801	East District, Hsinchu City	16	0.9%
1802	North District, Hsinchu City	16	0.9%
1803	Xiangshan District, Hsinchu City	16	0.9%
2002	West District, Chiayi City	0	
6301	Songshan District, Taipei City	18	1.1%
6303	Daan District, Taipei City	0	
6304	Zhongshan District, Taipei City	36	2.1%
6306	Datong District , Taipei City	39	2.3%
6308	Wenshan District, Taipei City	0	
6311	Shilin District, Taipei City	54	3.2%
6312	Beitou District, Taipei City	18	1.1%
6402	Gushan District, Kaohsiung City	14	0.8%
6403	Zuoying District, Kaohsiung City	28	1.7%
6404	Nanzi District, Kaohsiung City	14	0.8%
6405	Sanmin District, Kaohsiung City	56	3.3%
6406	XinxingDistrict, Kaohsiung City	14	0.8%
6408	Lingya District, Kaohsiung City	30	1.8%
6410	Qianzhen District, Kaohsiung City	14	0.8%
6412	Fengshan District, Kaohsiung City	49	2.9%
6416	Dashe District, Kaohsiung City	0	
6424	Luzhu District, Kaohsiung City	0	
6430	Qishan District, Kaohsiung City	0	

File : TEDS2016E_indQ

town: Township, City, District

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6431	Meinong District, Kaohsiung City	0	
6501	Banqiao District, New Taipei City	54	3.2%
6502	Sanchong District, New Taipei City	54	3.2%
6503	Zhonghe District, New Taipei City	55	3.3%
6504	Yonghe District, New Taipei City	59	3.5%
6505	Xinzhuan District, New Taipei City	18	1.1%
6507	Shulin District, New Taipei City	37	2.2%
6512	Ruifang District, New Taipei City	0	
6515	Wugu District, New Taipei City	0	
6517	Linkou District, New Taipei City	0	
6520	Pinglin District, New Taipei City	0	
6602	East District, Taichung City	12	0.7%
6603	South District, Taichung City	24	1.4%
6604	West District, Taichung City	0	
6605	North District, Taichung City	0	
6606	Xitun District, Taichung City	13	0.8%
6607	Nantun District, Taichung City	25	1.5%
6608	Beitun District, Taichung City	36	2.1%
6609	Fengyuan District, Taichung City	24	1.4%
6610	Dongshi District, Taichung City	12	0.7%
6615	Houli District, Taichung City	12	0.7%
6616	Shengang District, Taichung City	14	0.8%
6618	Daya District, Taichung City	12	0.7%
6621	Waipu District, Taichung City	0	
6623	Wuri District, Taichung City	0	
6701	Xinying District, Tainan City	29	1.7%
6706	Dongshan District, Tainan City	0	
6707	Madou District, Tainan City	14	0.8%
6712	Jiali District, Tainan City	14	0.8%
6716	Jiangjun District, Tainan City	15	0.9%
6718	Xinhua District, Tainan City	14	0.8%
6719	Shanhua District, Tainan City	0	
6731	Yongkang District, Tainan City	0	
6732	East District, Tainan City	34	2.0%
6733	South District, Tainan City	0	
6734	North District, Tainan City	14	0.8%
6735	Annan District, Tainan City	14	0.8%
6736	Anping District, Tainan City	14	0.8%
6737	West Central District, Tainan City	14	0.8%
6802	Zhongli District, Taoyuan City	17	1.0%
6803	Daxi District, Taoyuan City	21	1.2%
6804	Yangmei District, Taoyuan City	32	1.9%
6808	Bade District, Taoyuan City	16	0.9%

File : TEDS2016E_indQ

town: Township, City, District

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6811	Xinwu District, Taoyuan City	16	<div></div> 0.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

village: Village

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 202026-6811020] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
202026	Guohua Village, Luodong Township, Yilan County	18	<div></div> 1.1%
205007	Erlong Village, Jiaoxi Township, Yilan County	19	<div></div> 1.1%
209006	Sijie Village, Wujie Township, Yilan County	18	<div></div> 1.1%
401004	Zhongxing Village, Zhubei City, Hsinchu County	0	
401008	Zhuren Village, Zhubei City, Hsinchu County	0	
401021	Shixing Village, Zhubei City, Hsinchu County	16	<div></div> 0.9%
402016	Touchong Village, Zhudong Township, Hsinchu County	0	
402019	Erchong Village, Zhudong Township, Hsinchu County	0	
403003	Wenshan Village, Xipu Township, Hsinchu County	23	<div></div> 1.4%
406004	Puhe Village, Xinfeng Township, Hsinchu County	0	
406008	Chongxing Village, Xinfeng Township, Hsinchu County	0	
406009	Xinfeng Village, Xinfeng Township, Hsinchu County	16	<div></div> 0.9%
501017	Qinghua Village, Miaoli City, Miaoli County	16	<div></div> 0.9%
501027	Nanshi Village, Miaoli City, Miaoli County	17	<div></div> 1.0%
508003	Jinghu Village, Dahu Township , Miaoli County	0	
508012	Xinkai Village, Dahu Township , Miaoli County	0	
516006	Dahe Village, Sanwan Township, Miaoli County	16	<div></div> 0.9%
701024	Wuquan Village, Changhua City, Changhua County	0	
701070	Kuaiguan Village, Changhua City, Changhua County	0	
703030	Yuemei Village, Hemei Township, Changhua County	12	<div></div> 0.7%
706008	Wanfeng Village, Fuxing Township, Changhua County	13	<div></div> 0.8%
706015	Xianian Village, Fuxing Township, Changhua County	12	<div></div> 0.7%
709001	Jiushe Village, Fenyuan Township, Changhua County	0	
709008	Xianzhuang village, Fenyuan Township, Changhua County	0	
710012	Xinsheng Village, Yuanlin Township, Changhua County	15	<div></div> 0.9%
714001	Puyan Village, Puyan Township, Changhua County	13	<div></div> 0.8%
716004	Yongbei Village, Yongjing Township, Changhua County	12	<div></div> 0.7%
718010	Huimin Village, Ershui Township, Changhua County	0	
718014	Yuanquan Village, Ershui Township, Changhua County	0	
719009	Zhonghe Village, Beidou Township, Changhua County	12	<div></div> 0.7%
721009	Xinsheng Village, Tianwei Township, Changhua County	12	<div></div> 0.7%
722007	Hexing Village, Pitou Township, Changhua County	12	<div></div> 0.7%
905002	Shuntian Village, Tuku Township, Yunlin County	16	<div></div> 0.9%
906005	Zhonghe Village, Beigang Township, Yunlin County	0	
906015	Guangfu Village, Beigang Township, Yunlin County	0	
906025	Shujiao Village, Beigang Township, Yunlin County	17	<div></div> 1.0%
909007	Damei Village, Citong Township, Yunlin County	0	

File : TEDS2016E_indQ

village: Village

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
909012	Mayuan Village, Citong Township, Yunlin County	0	
917013	Xialiao Village, YuanchangTownshi, Yunlin County	14	0.8%
920002	Shuinan Village, Shuilin Township, Yunlin County	0	
920011	Wanxing Village, Shuilin Township, Yunlin County	0	
1004005	Minghua Village, Dalin Township, Chiayi County	18	1.1%
1004016	Sanhe Village, Dalin Township, Chiayi County	14	0.8%
1005003	Xian Village, Minxiong Township, Chiayi County	0	
1005006	Donghu Village, Minxiong Township, Chiayi County	0	
1013008	Hemei Village, Zhongpu Township, Chiayi County	16	0.9%
1301055	Qingxi Village, Pingtung City, Pingtung County	0	
1301073	Chonglan Village, Pingtung City, Pingtung County	0	
1316004	Zhongliao Village, Fangliao Township, Pingtung County	15	0.9%
1316009	Renhe Village, Fangliao Township, Pingtung County	0	
1316010	Neiliao Village, Fangliao Township, Pingtung County	0	
1317007	Xinyuan Village, Xinyuan Township, Pingtung County	14	0.8%
1321002	Liugen Village, Jiadong Township, Pingtung County	20	1.2%
1401008	Minsheng Village, Taitung City, Taitung County	0	
1401045	Zhiben Village, Taitung City, Taitung County	0	
1402005	Zhongzhi Village, Chenggong Township, Taitung County	10	0.6%
1404009	Taian Village, Beinan Township, Taitung County	11	0.7%
1501014	Zhunong Village, HualienCity, Hualien County	12	0.7%
1503014	Sanmin Village, Yuli Township, Hualien County	10	0.6%
1509001	Ruisui Village, Ruisui Township, Hualien County	0	
1509007	Hegang Village, Ruisui Township, Hualien County	0	
1704006	Heming Village, Renai District, Keelung City	18	1.1%
1705020	Dehe Village, Zhongshan District, Keelung City	18	1.1%
1706008	Jiaren Village, Anle District, Keelung City	0	
1706014	Xinlun Village, Anle District, Keelung City	24	1.4%
1706016	Siwei Village, Anle District, Keelung City	0	
1801035	Ligong Village, East District, Hsinchu City	16	0.9%
1802030	Keya Village, North District, Hsinchu City	16	0.9%
1803010	Dongxiang Village, Xiangshan District, Hsinchu City	16	0.9%
2002005	Chongxing Village, West District, Chiayi City	0	
2002069	Baosheng Village, West District, Chiayi City	0	
6301020	Pengcheng Village, Songshan District, Taipei City	18	1.1%
6303004	Minzhao Village, Daan District, Taipei City	0	
6303007	Minhui Village, Daan District, Taipei City	0	
6303013	Gufeng Village, Daan District, Taipei City	0	
6303017	Fuzhu Village, Daan District, Taipei City	0	
6303031	Tonghua Village, Daan District, Taipei City	0	
6303049	Jianan Village, Daan District, Taipei City	0	
6304005	Kangle Village, Zhongshan District, Taipei City	0	
6304007	Jusheng Village, Zhongshan District, Taipei City	0	

File : TEDS2016E_indQ

village: Village

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6304010	Hengan Village, Zhongshan District, Taipei City	18	1.1%
6304018	Xinxi Village, Zhongshan District, Taipei City	0	
6304021	Songjiang Village, Zhongshan District, Taipei City	0	
6304024	Xingzheng Village, Zhongshan District, Taipei City	0	
6304025	Xingren Village, Zhongshan District, Taipei City	18	1.1%
6304031	Zhongyuan Village, Zhongshan District, Taipei City	0	
6306007	Xingming Village, Datong District , Taipei City	21	1.2%
6306017	Guoshun Village, Datong District , Taipei City	18	1.1%
6308005	Jingren Village, Wenshan District, Taipei City	0	
6308015	Xingjia Village, Wenshan District, Taipei City	0	
6308021	Xingtai Village, Wenshan District, Taipei City	0	
6308027	Muzha Village, Wenshan District, Taipei City	0	
6308032	Zhangjiao Village, Wenshan District, Taipei City	0	
6308041	Zhangwen Village, Wenshan District, Taipei City	0	
6311011	Bailing Village, Shilin District, Taipei City	18	1.1%
6311030	Zhongcheng Village, Shilin District, Taipei City	18	1.1%
6311040	Tianshan Village, Shilin District, Taipei City	18	1.1%
6312038	Guandu Village, Beitou District, Taipei City	18	1.1%
6402004	Guangrong Village, Gushan District, Kaohsiung City	14	0.8%
6403001	Jinxue Village, Zuoying District, Kaohsiung City	14	0.8%
6403043	Caigong Village, Zuoying District, Kaohsiung City	14	0.8%
6404003	Wuchang Village, Nanzi District, Kaohsiung City	14	0.8%
6404005	Zhongyang Village, Nanzi District, Kaohsiung City	0	
6404022	Shengchang Village, Nanzi District, Kaohsiung City	0	
6405005	Dingxi Village, Sanmin District, Kaohsiung City	0	
6405017	Baode Village, Sanmin District, Kaohsiung City	14	0.8%
6405021	Baohua Village, Sanmin District, Kaohsiung City	14	0.8%
6405030	Baoyu Village, Sanmin District, Kaohsiung City	14	0.8%
6405053	Dezhi Village, Sanmin District, Kaohsiung City	0	
6405066	Licheng Village, Sanmin District, Kaohsiung City	14	0.8%
6406006	Dezheng Village, XinxingDistrict, Kaohsiung City	14	0.8%
6408015	Guangze Village, Lingya District, Kaohsiung City	16	0.9%
6408022	Putian Village, Lingya District, Kaohsiung City	0	
6408052	Fukang Village, Lingya District, Kaohsiung City	0	
6408060	Zhengyan Village, Lingya District, Kaohsiung City	14	0.8%
6410003	Zhenxing Village, Qianzhen District, Kaohsiung City	14	0.8%
6412018	Ruizhu Village, Fengshan District, Kaohsiung City	0	
6412027	Zhengyi Village, Fengshan District, Kaohsiung City	15	0.9%
6412063	Guofu Village, Fengshan District, Kaohsiung City	0	
6412071	Zhongmin Village, Fengshan District, Kaohsiung City	15	0.9%
6412077	Fuxiang Village, Fengshan District, Kaohsiung City	19	1.1%
6416006	Guanyin Village, Dashe District, Kaohsiung City	0	
6416008	Zhongli Village, Dashe District, Kaohsiung City	0	

File : TEDS2016E_indQ

village: Village

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6424014	Wennan Village, Luzhu District, Kaohsiung City	0	
6424020	Shenan Village, Luzhu District, Kaohsiung City	0	
6430002	Dade Village, Qishan District, Kaohsiung City	0	
6430003	Meizhou Village, Qishan District, Kaohsiung City	0	
6431010	Xinglong Village, Meinong District, Kaohsiung City	0	
6431016	Jiyang Village, Meinong District, Kaohsiung City	0	
6501014	Guoguang Village, Banqiao District, New Taipei City	0	
6501020	Hansheng Village, Banqiao District, New Taipei City	0	
6501045	Lancui Village, Banqiao District, New Taipei City	0	
6501060	Guangren Village, Banqiao District, New Taipei City	18	1.1%
6501062	Yongan Village, Banqiao District, New Taipei City	0	
6501065	Shenqiu Village, Banqiao District, New Taipei City	0	
6501087	Huadong Village, Banqiao District, New Taipei City	18	1.1%
6501089	Fuzhou Village, Banqiao District, New Taipei City	18	1.1%
6501096	Juan Village, Banqiao District, New Taipei City	0	
6502014	Guangyang Village, Sanchong District, New Taipei City	19	1.1%
6502039	Qinghe Village, Sanchong District, New Taipei City	18	1.1%
6502066	Wanshou Village, Sanchong District, New Taipei City	17	1.0%
6503040	Jingnan Village, Zhonghe District, New Taipei City	18	1.1%
6503045	Fuxing Village, Zhonghe District, New Taipei City	18	1.1%
6503080	Funan Village, Zhonghe District, New Taipei City	19	1.1%
6504013	Baoan Village, Yonghe District, New Taipei City	18	1.1%
6504039	Dehe Village, Yonghe District, New Taipei City	18	1.1%
6504048	Hebin Village, Yonghe District, New Taipei City	23	1.4%
6505041	Guangrong Village, Xinzhuang District, New Taipei City	18	1.1%
6507019	Dongyang Village, Shulin District, New Taipei City	19	1.1%
6507021	Leshan Village, Shulin District, New Taipei City	18	1.1%
6512029	Haibin Village, Ruifang District, New Taipei City	0	
6512034	Xinfeng Village, Ruifang District, New Taipei City	0	
6515003	Jifu Village, Wugu District, New Taipei City	0	
6515005	Chengzhou Village, Wugu District, New Taipei City	0	
6515010	Deyin Village, Wugu District, New Taipei City	0	
6515014	Chengde Village, Wugu District, New Taipei City	0	
6517006	Hubei Village, Linkou District, New Taipei City	0	
6517016	Lilin Village, Linkou District, New Taipei City	0	
6520003	Dalin Village, Pinglin District, New Taipei City	0	
6520006	Shuide Village, Pinglin District, New Taipei City	0	
6602005	Gancheng Village, East District, Taichung City	12	0.7%
6603014	Xichuan Village, South District, Taichung City	12	0.7%
6603019	Nanhe Village, South District, Taichung City	12	0.7%
6604002	Limin Village, West District, Taichung City	0	
6604007	Gongmin Village, West District, Taichung City	0	
6604008	Lanxing Village, West District, Taichung City	0	















File : TEDS2016E_indQ

village: Village

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6604009	Gongguan Village, West District, Taichung City	0	
6604015	Shengping Village, West District, Taichung City	0	
6604020	Gongyi Village, West District, Taichung City	0	
6605013	Jianxing Village, North District, Taichung City	0	
6605018	Dangou Village, North District, Taichung City	0	
6605025	Laixing Village, North District, Taichung City	0	
6605027	Liuhe Village, North District, Taichung City	0	
6605035	Meichuan Village, North District, Taichung City	0	
6605036	Laifu Village, North District, Taichung City	0	
6606016	Guangfu Village, Xitun Distric, Taichung City	0	
6606028	Shangde Village, Xitun Distric, Taichung City	13	0.8%
6606031	Hecheng Village, Xitun Distric, Taichung City	0	
6607002	Fengle Village, Nantun District, Taichung City	12	0.7%
6607012	Tianxin Village, Nantun District, Taichung City	13	0.8%
6607016	Wenxin Village, Nantun District, Taichung City	0	
6607025	Sanyi Village, Nantun District, Taichung City	0	
6608008	Shuijing Village, Beitun District, Taichung City	12	0.7%
6608018	Renmei Village, Beitun District, Taichung City	0	
6608021	Tongrong Village, Beitun District, Taichung City	12	0.7%
6608024	Chenping Village, Beitun District, Taichung City	12	0.7%
6608042	Zhongping Village, Beitun District, Taichung City	0	
6609001	Fengyuan Village, Fengyuan District, Taichung City	12	0.7%
6609028	Zhongyang Village, Fengyuan District, Taichung City	12	0.7%
6610002	Zhongning Village, Dongshi District, Taichung City	12	0.7%
6615017	Yuemei Village, Houli District, Taichung City	12	0.7%
6616009	Dashe Village, Shengang District, Taichung City	14	0.8%
6618013	Wenya Village, Daya District, Taichung City	12	0.7%
6621001	Yongfeng Village, Waipu District, Taichung City	0	
6621003	Sankan Village, Waipu District, Taichung City	0	
6621005	Shuimei Village, Waipu District, Taichung City	0	
6621006	Dadong Village, Waipu District, Taichung City	0	
6623002	Huri Village, Wuri District, Taichung City	0	
6623006	Jiude Village, Wuri District, Taichung City	0	
6623007	Rende Village, Wuri District, Taichung City	0	
6623009	Wuguang Village, Wuri District, Taichung City	0	
6701005	Yanping Village, Xinying District, Tainan City	14	0.8%
6701029	Xindong Village, Xinying District, Tainan City	15	0.9%
6706002	Dongzhong Village, Dongshan District, Tainan City	0	
6706006	Keli Village, Dongshan District, Tainan City	0	
6707004	Jinjiang Village, Madou District, Tainan City	14	0.8%
6712004	Jiannan Village, Jiali District, Tainan City	0	
6712005	Anxi Village, Jiali District, Tainan City	14	0.8%
6712019	Minan Village, Jiali District, Tainan City	0	

File : TEDS2016E_indQ

village: Village

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6716017	Kunshen Village, Jiangjun District, Tainan City	15	 0.9%
6718011	Beishi Village, Xinhua District, Tainan City	14	 0.8%
6719006	Xiguan Village, Shanhua District, Tainan City	0	
6719012	Ximei Village, Shanhua District, Tainan City	0	
6731002	Wangliao Village, Yongkang District, Tainan City	0	
6731030	Erwang Village, Yongkang District, Tainan City	0	
6731032	Sanhe Village, Yongkang District, Tainan City	0	
6731037	Fuhua Village, Yongkang District, Tainan City	0	
6732007	Houjia Village, East District, Tainan City	14	 0.8%
6732029	Zhongxiao Village, East District, Tainan City	20	 1.2%
6733007	Xinxing Village, South District, Tainan City	0	
6733035	Wennan Village, South District, Tainan City	0	
6734005	Lixing Village, North District, Tainan City	14	 0.8%
6735002	Anshun Village, Annan District, Tainan City	0	
6735006	Andong Village, Annan District, Tainan City	0	
6735015	Haidong Village, Annan District, Tainan City	0	
6735032	Qingcao Village, Annan District, Tainan City	0	
6735039	Xiding Village, Annan District, Tainan City	14	 0.8%
6736001	Ximen Village, Anping District, Tainan City	14	 0.8%
6737030	Zhongzheng Village, West Central District, Tainan City	14	 0.8%
6802071	Huaxuen Village, Zhongli Distric, Taoyuan City	17	 1.0%
6803027	Renhe Village, Daxi District, Taoyuan City	21	 1.2%
6804023	Ruiyuan Village, Yangmei District, Taoyuan City	16	 0.9%
6804026	Zhongshan Village, Yangmei District, Taoyuan City	16	 0.9%
6808003	Ruifeng Village, Bade District, Taoyuan City	16	 0.9%
6811020	Kanglang Village, Xinwu District, Taoyuan City	16	 0.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

a1: How closely do you follow politics on TV, radio, newspapers, or the Internet? Very closely, fairly closely, not very closely, or not at all?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very closely	247	<div></div> 14.6%
2	Fairly closely	1035	<div></div> 61.2%
3	Not very closely	269	<div></div> 15.9%
4	Not at all	128	<div></div> 7.6%
95	Refuse to answer	0	
96	It depends	7	<div></div> 0.4%
97	No opinion	1	<div></div> 0.1%
98	Don't know	3	<div></div> 0.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

a2: Which newspaper did you read most often?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
-------------	---

File : TEDS2016E_indQ

a2: Which newspaper did you read most often?

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	China Times	74	4.4%
2	United Daily News	174	10.3%
4	Commercial Times	5	0.3%
5	The Commons Daily	0	
7	Economic Daily News	9	0.5%
8	Youth Daily News	0	
9	Taiwan Times	3	0.2%
10	Liberty Times	341	20.2%
11	United Evening News	5	0.3%
13	Merit Times	4	0.2%
14	China Daily	5	0.3%
16	Taiwan News	0	
17	Apple Daily	293	17.3%
18	Want Daily	1	0.1%
25	U-paper	1	0.1%
35	Sharp Daily	4	0.2%
36	Mandarin Daily News	3	0.2%
89	Local newspaper	6	0.4%
92	Never	735	43.5%
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	27	1.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

a3: Which TV news did you watch most often?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Taiwan Television	37	2.2%
2	China Television	93	5.5%
3	Chinese Television	38	2.2%
4	Formosa Television	294	17.4%
5	TVBS	316	18.7%
6	Sanlih E-Television	211	12.5%
7	Eastern Television	153	9.1%
8	CTi Television	125	7.4%
9	Era Television	59	3.5%
10	Gala Television	3	0.2%
11	Unique Satellite Television	24	1.4%
12	Da-Ai Television	11	0.7%
13	Taiwan Public Television	14	0.8%
15	Hakka Television	0	
16	Taiwan Indigenous Television	0	

File : TEDS2016E_indQ

a3: Which TV news did you watch most often?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
17	Local News Television Channel	0	
18	Next TV	79	4.7%
19	NHK	0	
20	UDN	1	0.1%
22	EBC Financial News	0	
24	CNN	0	
25	Global News (MOD)	3	0.2%
26	Beautiful Life Television	0	
27	Global TV	1	0.1%
92	Never	130	7.7%
95	Refuse to answer	12	0.7%
98	Don't know	86	5.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

a4: On average, how much time did you spend each day on election campaign news on TV?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Less than 30 minutes	582	34.4%
2	31-60 minutes	359	21.2%
3	60-90 minutes	143	8.5%
4	90 minutes to 2 hours	72	4.3%
5	More than 2 hours	108	6.4%
6	Every once in a while	247	14.6%
7	None at all	146	8.6%
95	Refuse to answer	0	
96	It depends	29	1.7%
98	Don't know	4	0.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

a4a: What about election news on the radio?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Less than 30 minutes	222	13.1%
2	31-60 minutes	60	3.6%
3	60-90 minutes	17	1.0%
4	90 minutes to 2 hours	9	0.5%
5	More than 2 hours	26	1.5%
6	Every once in a while	220	13.0%
7	None at all	1103	65.3%
95	Refuse to answer	0	
96	It depends	22	1.3%
98	Don't know	11	0.7%

File : TEDS2016E_indQ

a4a: What about election news on the radio?

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

a4b: What about election news on the internet?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Less than 30 minutes	357	21.1%
2	31-60 minutes	150	8.9%
3	60-90 minutes	35	2.1%
4	90 minutes to 2 hours	22	1.3%
5	More than 2 hours	25	1.5%
6	Every once in a while	300	17.8%
7	None at all	536	31.7%
92	Can't use or never use internet	253	15.0%
95	Refuse to answer	0	
96	It depends	8	0.5%
98	Don't know	4	0.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

a4c: What about election news in the newspapers?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Less than 30 minutes	391	23.1%
2	31-60 minutes	130	7.7%
3	60-90 minutes	36	2.1%
4	90 minutes to 2 hours	12	0.7%
5	More than 2 hours	6	0.4%
6	Every once in a while	305	18.0%
7	None at all	782	46.3%
95	Refuse to answer	0	
96	It depends	18	1.1%
98	Don't know	10	0.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

b1: How interested would you say you are in politics? Are you very interested, somewhat interested, not very interested, or not at all interested?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very interested	149	8.8%
2	Somewhat interested	590	34.9%
3	Not very interested	685	40.5%
4	Not at all interested	248	14.7%
95	Refuse to answer	0	
96	It depends	12	0.7%

File : TEDS2016E_indQ

b1: How interested would you say you are in politics? Are you very interested, somewhat interested, not very interested, or not at all interested?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	No opinion	1	0.1%
98	Don't know	5	0.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

b2: Do you usually talk about politics or elections with other people? Is it often, sometimes, seldom, or never?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-95] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Often	90	5.3%
2	Sometimes	553	32.7%
3	Seldom	599	35.4%
4	Never [skip to B3]	446	26.4%
95	Refuse to answer [skip to B3]	2	0.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

b2a_1: Whom do you usually talk about politics or elections with? Family members, relatives, friends, neighbors, colleagues at work, members of an association, classmates, online friends, or others?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Family members	622	36.8%
2	Relatives	46	2.7%
3	Friends	319	18.9%
4	Neighbors	27	1.6%
5	Colleagues at work	172	10.2%
6	Members of an association	9	0.5%
7	Classmates	20	1.2%
8	Online friends	9	0.5%
9	Guest	7	0.4%
10	Students	0	
11	Teachers	0	
95	Refuse to answer	7	0.4%
96	It's hard to say	4	0.2%
99	Skipped	448	26.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

b2a_2: Whom do you usually talk about politics or elections with? Family members, relatives, friends, neighbors, colleagues at work, members of an association, classmates, online friends, or others?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Family members	89	5.3%
2	Relatives	125	7.4%
3	Friends	231	13.7%

File : TEDS2016E_indQ

b2a_2: Whom do you usually talk about politics or elections with? Family members, relatives, friends, neighbors, colleagues at work, members of an association, classmates, online friends, or others?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Neighbors	47	2.8%
5	Colleagues at work	146	8.6%
6	Members of an association	15	0.9%
7	Classmates	48	2.8%
8	Online friends	9	0.5%
9	Guest	0	
10	Students	0	
11	Teachers	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
96	It's hard to say	0	
99	Skipped	980	58.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

b2a_3: Whom do you usually talk about politics or elections with? Family members, relatives, friends, neighbors, colleagues at work, members of an association, classmates, online friends, or others?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Family members	12	0.7%
2	Relatives	27	1.6%
3	Friends	110	6.5%
4	Neighbors	26	1.5%
5	Colleagues at work	65	3.8%
6	Members of an association	10	0.6%
7	Classmates	37	2.2%
8	Online friends	9	0.5%
9	Guest	0	
10	Students	0	
11	Teachers	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
96	It's hard to say	0	
99	Skipped	1394	82.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

b2a_4: Whom do you usually talk about politics or elections with? Family members, relatives, friends, neighbors, colleagues at work, members of an association, classmates, online friends, or others?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Family members	6	0.4%
2	Relatives	3	0.2%
3	Friends	11	0.7%
4	Neighbors	30	1.8%

File : TEDS2016E_indQ

b2a_4: Whom do you usually talk about politics or elections with? Family members, relatives, friends, neighbors, colleagues at work, members of an association, classmates, online friends, or others?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	Colleagues at work	29	1.7%
6	Members of an association	10	0.6%
7	Classmates	16	0.9%
8	Online friends	4	0.2%
9	Guest	0	
10	Students	0	
11	Teachers	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
96	It's hard to say	0	
99	Skipped	1581	93.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

b2a_5: Whom do you usually talk about politics or elections with? Family members, relatives, friends, neighbors, colleagues at work, members of an association, classmates, online friends, or others?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Family members	1	0.1%
2	Relatives	3	0.2%
3	Friends	0	
4	Neighbors	5	0.3%
5	Colleagues at work	25	1.5%
6	Members of an association	5	0.3%
7	Classmates	11	0.7%
8	Online friends	2	0.1%
9	Guest	0	
10	Students	0	
11	Teachers	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
96	It's hard to say	0	
99	Skipped	1638	96.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

b2a_6: Whom do you usually talk about politics or elections with? Family members, relatives, friends, neighbors, colleagues at work, members of an association, classmates, online friends, or others?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Family members	0	
2	Relatives	1	0.1%
3	Friends	1	0.1%
4	Neighbors	0	
5	Colleagues at work	2	0.1%

File : TEDS2016E_indQ

b2a_6: Whom do you usually talk about politics or elections with? Family members, relatives, friends, neighbors, colleagues at work, members of an association, classmates, online friends, or others?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6	Members of an association	7	0.4%
7	Classmates	2	0.1%
8	Online friends	1	0.1%
9	Guest	0	
10	Students	0	
11	Teachers	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
96	It's hard to say	0	
99	Skipped	1676	99.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

b2a_7: Whom do you usually talk about politics or elections with? Family members, relatives, friends, neighbors, colleagues at work, members of an association, classmates, online friends, or others?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Family members	0	
2	Relatives	0	
3	Friends	0	
4	Neighbors	0	
5	Colleagues at work	0	
6	Members of an association	1	0.1%
7	Classmates	6	0.4%
8	Online friends	2	0.1%
9	Guest	0	
10	Students	0	
11	Teachers	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
96	It's hard to say	0	
99	Skipped	1681	99.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

b2a_8: Whom do you usually talk about politics or elections with? Family members, relatives, friends, neighbors, colleagues at work, members of an association, classmates, online friends, or others?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Family members	0	
2	Relatives	0	
3	Friends	0	
4	Neighbors	0	
5	Colleagues at work	0	
6	Members of an association	0	

File : TEDS2016E_indQ

b2a_8: Whom do you usually talk about politics or elections with? Family members, relatives, friends, neighbors, colleagues at work, members of an association, classmates, online friends, or others?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7	Classmates	0	
8	Online friends	3	0.2%
9	Guest	0	
10	Students	0	
11	Teachers	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
96	It's hard to say	0	
99	Skipped	1687	99.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

b2a_9: Whom do you usually talk about politics or elections with? Family members, relatives, friends, neighbors, colleagues at work, members of an association, classmates, online friends, or others?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Family members	0	
2	Relatives	0	
3	Friends	0	
4	Neighbors	0	
5	Colleagues at work	0	
6	Members of an association	0	
7	Classmates	0	
8	Online friends	0	
9	Guest	0	
10	Students	0	
11	Teachers	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
96	It's hard to say	0	
99	Skipped	1690	100.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.


b2b: Do these people who you commonly discuss politics or elections with support the same party?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	All support the same party [continue to B2c]	151	8.9%
2	Most of them support the same party [continue to B2c]	562	33.3%
3	About half and half [skip to B3]	159	9.4%
4	Only a few support the same party [skip to B3]	247	14.6%
5	None support the same party [skip to B3]	80	4.7%
6	Discuss politics or elections with the only person [continue	5	0.3%
95	Refuse to answer [skip to B3]	5	0.3%
98	Don't know [skip to B3]	33	2.0%

File : TEDS2016E_indQ















b2b: Do these people who you commonly discuss politics or elections with support the same party?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
99	Skipped	448	 26.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

b2c: Which party is that?








Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Kuomintang(KMT)	206	 12.2%
2	Democratic Progressive Party(DPP)	382	 22.6%
3	New Party(NP)	0	
4	People First Party(PFP)	4	 0.2%
6	Taiwan Solidarity Union(TSU)	0	
7	Non-Partisan Solidarity Union(NPSU)	0	
9	Green Party	4	 0.2%
15	People Boss Party	0	
18	Trees Party	0	
19	New Power Party(NPP)	4	 0.2%
20	Social Democratic Party(SDP)	1	 0.1%
21	Minkuotang(MKT, Republic Party)	1	 0.1%
23	Military, Civil, and Faculty Alliance Party(MCFAP)	0	
24	Faith and Hope League	2	 0.1%
91	Don't support any specific party	58	 3.4%
95	Refuse to answer	24	 1.4%
96	It depends	24	 1.4%
97	No opinion	3	 0.2%
98	Don't know	5	 0.3%
99	Skipped	972	 57.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

b3: Were you concerned with the outcome of this presidential election? Were you very concerned, somewhat concerned, not too concerned, or not concerned at all?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very concerned	310	 18.3%
2	Somewhat concerned	858	 50.8%
3	Not too concerned	392	 23.2%
4	Not concerned at all	114	 6.7%
95	Refuse to answer	0	
96	It depends	2	 0.1%
97	No opinion	8	 0.5%
98	Don't know	6	 0.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

File : TEDS2016E_indQ

b4_1: Did a party or presidential candidate seek your vote through any of the following individuals?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Campaign worker or volunteer	259	15.3%
2	Superior at work	8	0.5%
3	Member of local religious association	5	0.3%
4	Prominent local figure or clan member	6	0.4%
5	Village or ward head or executive official	67	4.0%
6	Member of candidate's support organization	47	2.8%
7	Community development association	9	0.5%
8	Cadre of farmers' association, fishers' association or irrig	4	0.2%
9	Faction member	4	0.2%
10	Member of a local assembly	18	1.1%
11	A recorded telephone message	39	2.3%
12	Classmate or friend	2	0.1%
13	Neighbor	0	
14	Village or ward head or executive official	1	0.1%
92	None of them	1205	71.3%
95	Refuse to answer	2	0.1%
98	Don't know	14	0.8%
99	Skipped	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

b4_2: Did a party or presidential candidate seek your vote through any of the following individuals?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Campaign worker or volunteer	17	1.0%
2	Superior at work	7	0.4%
3	Member of local religious association	4	0.2%
4	Prominent local figure or clan member	16	0.9%
5	Village or ward head or executive official	36	2.1%
6	Member of candidate's support organization	47	2.8%
7	Community development association	4	0.2%
8	Cadre of farmers' association, fishers' association or irrig	2	0.1%
9	Faction member	4	0.2%
10	Member of a local assembly	14	0.8%
11	A recorded telephone message	5	0.3%
12	Classmate or friend	0	
13	Neighbor	0	
14	Village or ward head or executive official	1	0.1%
92	None of them	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	

File : TEDS2016E_indQ

b4_2: Did a party or presidential candidate seek your vote through any of the following individuals?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
99	Skipped	1533	90.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

b4_3: Did a party or presidential candidate seek your vote through any of the following individuals?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Campaign worker or volunteer	9	0.5%
2	Superior at work	4	0.2%
3	Member of local religious association	4	0.2%
4	Prominent local figure or clan member	4	0.2%
5	Village or ward head or executive official	10	0.6%
6	Member of candidate's support organization	16	0.9%
7	Community development association	2	0.1%
8	Cadre of farmers' association, fishers' association or irrig	3	0.2%
9	Faction member	3	0.2%
10	Member of a local assembly	12	0.7%
11	A recorded telephone message	1	0.1%
12	Classmate or friend	0	
13	Neighbor	0	
14	Village or ward head or executive official	0	
92	None of them	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1622	96.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

b4_4: Did a party or presidential candidate seek your vote through any of the following individuals?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Campaign worker or volunteer	0	
2	Superior at work	0	
3	Member of local religious association	2	0.1%
4	Prominent local figure or clan member	3	0.2%
5	Village or ward head or executive official	2	0.1%
6	Member of candidate's support organization	7	0.4%
7	Community development association	0	
8	Cadre of farmers' association, fishers' association or irrig	0	
9	Faction member	1	0.1%
10	Member of a local assembly	6	0.4%
11	A recorded telephone message	0	
12	Classmate or friend	0	
13	Neighbor	0	

File : TEDS2016E_indQ

b4_4: Did a party or presidential candidate seek your vote through any of the following individuals?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
14	Village or ward head or executive official	0	
92	None of them	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1669	98.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

b4_5: Did a party or presidential candidate seek your vote through any of the following individuals?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Campaign worker or volunteer	0	
2	Superior at work	0	
3	Member of local religious association	0	
4	Prominent local figure or clan member	1	0.1%
5	Village or ward head or executive official	2	0.1%
6	Member of candidate's support organization	3	0.2%
7	Community development association	1	0.1%
8	Cadre of farmers' association, fishers' association or irrig	2	0.1%
9	Faction member	1	0.1%
10	Member of a local assembly	2	0.1%
11	A recorded telephone message	0	
12	Classmate or friend	0	
13	Neighbor	0	
14	Village or ward head or executive official	0	
92	None of them	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1678	99.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

b4_6: Did a party or presidential candidate seek your vote through any of the following individuals?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Campaign worker or volunteer	0	
2	Superior at work	0	
3	Member of local religious association	0	
4	Prominent local figure or clan member	0	
5	Village or ward head or executive official	0	
6	Member of candidate's support organization	3	0.2%
7	Community development association	2	0.1%
8	Cadre of farmers' association, fishers' association or irrig	0	
9	Faction member	0	

File : TEDS2016E_indQ

b4_6: Did a party or presidential candidate seek your vote through any of the following individuals?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	Member of a local assembly	2	0.1%
11	A recorded telephone message	0	
12	Classmate or friend	0	
13	Neighbor	0	
14	Village or ward head or executive official	0	
92	None of them	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1683	99.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

b4_7: Did a party or presidential candidate seek your vote through any of the following individuals?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Campaign worker or volunteer	0	
2	Superior at work	0	
3	Member of local religious association	0	
4	Prominent local figure or clan member	0	
5	Village or ward head or executive official	1	0.1%
6	Member of candidate's support organization	0	
7	Community development association	1	0.1%
8	Cadre of farmers' association, fishers' association or irrig	0	
9	Faction member	1	0.1%
10	Member of a local assembly	1	0.1%
11	A recorded telephone message	0	
12	Classmate or friend	0	
13	Neighbor	0	
14	Village or ward head or executive official	0	
92	None of them	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1686	99.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

b4_8: Did a party or presidential candidate seek your vote through any of the following individuals?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Campaign worker or volunteer	0	
2	Superior at work	0	
3	Member of local religious association	0	
4	Prominent local figure or clan member	0	
5	Village or ward head or executive official	0	

File : TEDS2016E_indQ

b4_8: Did a party or presidential candidate seek your vote through any of the following individuals?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6	Member of candidate's support organization	0	
7	Community development association	0	
8	Cadre of farmers' association, fishers' association or irrig	0	
9	Faction member	2	0.1%
10	Member of a local assembly	1	0.1%
11	A recorded telephone message	0	
12	Classmate or friend	0	
13	Neighbor	0	
14	Village or ward head or executive official	0	
92	None of them	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1687	99.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

b4_9: Did a party or presidential candidate seek your vote through any of the following individuals?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Campaign worker or volunteer	0	
2	Superior at work	0	
3	Member of local religious association	0	
4	Prominent local figure or clan member	1	0.1%
5	Village or ward head or executive official	0	
6	Member of candidate's support organization	0	
7	Community development association	0	
8	Cadre of farmers' association, fishers' association or irrig	0	
9	Faction member	0	
10	Member of a local assembly	1	0.1%
11	A recorded telephone message	0	
12	Classmate or friend	0	
13	Neighbor	0	
14	Village or ward head or executive official	0	
92	None of them	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1688	99.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

b4_10: Did a party or presidential candidate seek your vote through any of the following individuals?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Campaign worker or volunteer	0	

File : TEDS2016E_indQ

b4_10: Did a party or presidential candidate seek your vote through any of the following individuals?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Superior at work	0	
3	Member of local religious association	0	
4	Prominent local figure or clan member	0	
5	Village or ward head or executive official	0	
6	Member of candidate's support organization	0	
7	Community development association	0	
8	Cadre of farmers' association, fishers' association or irrig	1	0.1%
9	Faction member	0	
10	Member of a local assembly	0	
11	A recorded telephone message	0	
12	Classmate or friend	0	
13	Neighbor	0	
14	Village or ward head or executive official	0	
92	None of them	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1689	99.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

b4_11: Did a party or presidential candidate seek your vote through any of the following individuals?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Campaign worker or volunteer	0	
2	Superior at work	0	
3	Member of local religious association	0	
4	Prominent local figure or clan member	0	
5	Village or ward head or executive official	0	
6	Member of candidate's support organization	0	
7	Community development association	0	
8	Cadre of farmers' association, fishers' association or irrig	0	
9	Faction member	0	
10	Member of a local assembly	0	
11	A recorded telephone message	0	
12	Classmate or friend	0	
13	Neighbor	0	
14	Village or ward head or executive official	0	
92	None of them	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1690	100.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

File : TEDS2016E_indQ

b5_1: Did a legislative candidate in your District or party personally seek your vote through any of the following individuals?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A recorded telephone message	369	21.8%
2	The candidate in person	156	9.2%
3	Campaign worker or volunteer	134	7.9%
4	Party worker	16	0.9%
5	Superior at work	6	0.4%
6	Member of your religious, social, or professional associatio	3	0.2%
7	Prominent local figure or clan member	4	0.2%
8	Village or ward head or executive official	47	2.8%
9	Neighbor	6	0.4%
10	Classmate or friend	23	1.4%
11	Relative or family member	32	1.9%
12	Text message	60	3.6%
13	Factions	0	
14	Member of a local assembly	1	0.1%
15	Cadre of farmers' association, fishers' association or irrig	0	
16	Campaign vehicle	1	0.1%
92	None of them [skip to B6]	817	48.3%
95	Refuse to answer [skip to B6]	1	0.1%
98	Don't know [skip to B6]	14	0.8%
99	Skipped	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

b5_2: Did a legislative candidate in your District or party personally seek your vote through any of the following individuals?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A recorded telephone message	72	4.3%
2	The candidate in person	38	2.2%
3	Campaign worker or volunteer	122	7.2%
4	Party worker	18	1.1%
5	Superior at work	2	0.1%
6	Member of your religious, social, or professional associatio	6	0.4%
7	Prominent local figure or clan member	3	0.2%
8	Village or ward head or executive official	24	1.4%
9	Neighbor	10	0.6%
10	Classmate or friend	16	0.9%
11	Relative or family member	14	0.8%
12	Text message	62	3.7%
13	Factions	0	

File : TEDS2016E_indQ

b5_2: Did a legislative candidate in your District or party personally seek your vote through any of the following individuals?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
14	Member of a local assembly	1	0.1%
15	Cadre of farmers' association, fishers' association or irrig	2	0.1%
16	Campaign vehicle	0	
92	None of them [skip to B6]	0	
95	Refuse to answer [skip to B6]	0	
98	Don't know [skip to B6]	0	
99	Skipped	1300	76.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

b5_3: Did a legislative candidate in your District or party personally seek your vote through any of the following individuals?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A recorded telephone message	50	3.0%
2	The candidate in person	13	0.8%
3	Campaign worker or volunteer	22	1.3%
4	Party worker	26	1.5%
5	Superior at work	2	0.1%
6	Member of your religious, social, or professional associatio	3	0.2%
7	Prominent local figure or clan member	6	0.4%
8	Village or ward head or executive official	13	0.8%
9	Neighbor	2	0.1%
10	Classmate or friend	13	0.8%
11	Relative or family member	18	1.1%
12	Text message	21	1.2%
13	Factions	2	0.1%
14	Member of a local assembly	0	
15	Cadre of farmers' association, fishers' association or irrig	0	
16	Campaign vehicle	1	0.1%
92	None of them [skip to B6]	0	
95	Refuse to answer [skip to B6]	0	
98	Don't know [skip to B6]	0	
99	Skipped	1498	88.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

b5_4: Did a legislative candidate in your District or party personally seek your vote through any of the following individuals?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A recorded telephone message	14	0.8%
2	The candidate in person	4	0.2%

File : TEDS2016E_indQ

b5_4: Did a legislative candidate in your District or party personally seek your vote through any of the following individuals?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Campaign worker or volunteer	7	0.4%
4	Party worker	7	0.4%
5	Superior at work	3	0.2%
6	Member of your religious, social, or professional associatio	3	0.2%
7	Prominent local figure or clan member	5	0.3%
8	Village or ward head or executive official	11	0.7%
9	Neighbor	7	0.4%
10	Classmate or friend	6	0.4%
11	Relative or family member	2	0.1%
12	Text message	18	1.1%
13	Factions	0	
14	Member of a local assembly	0	
15	Cadre of farmers' association, fishers' association or irrig	1	0.1%
16	Campaign vehicle	0	
92	None of them [skip to B6]	0	
95	Refuse to answer [skip to B6]	0	
98	Don't know [skip to B6]	0	
99	Skipped	1602	94.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

b5_5: Did a legislative candidate in your District or party personally seek your vote through any of the following individuals?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A recorded telephone message	7	0.4%
2	The candidate in person	0	
3	Campaign worker or volunteer	1	0.1%
4	Party worker	1	0.1%
5	Superior at work	3	0.2%
6	Member of your religious, social, or professional associatio	1	0.1%
7	Prominent local figure or clan member	3	0.2%
8	Village or ward head or executive official	9	0.5%
9	Neighbor	4	0.2%
10	Classmate or friend	1	0.1%
11	Relative or family member	1	0.1%
12	Text message	5	0.3%
13	Factions	2	0.1%
14	Member of a local assembly	0	
15	Cadre of farmers' association, fishers' association or irrig	0	
16	Campaign vehicle	0	
92	None of them [skip to B6]	0	
95	Refuse to answer [skip to B6]	0	

File : TEDS2016E_indQ

b5_5: Did a legislative candidate in your District or party personally seek your vote through any of the following individuals?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Don't know [skip to B6]	0	
99	Skipped	1652	97.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

b5_6: Did a legislative candidate in your District or party personally seek your vote through any of the following individuals?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A recorded telephone message	6	0.4%
2	The candidate in person	0	
3	Campaign worker or volunteer	0	
4	Party worker	0	
5	Superior at work	0	
6	Member of your religious, social, or professional associatio	2	0.1%
7	Prominent local figure or clan member	1	0.1%
8	Village or ward head or executive official	1	0.1%
9	Neighbor	3	0.2%
10	Classmate or friend	4	0.2%
11	Relative or family member	4	0.2%
12	Text message	2	0.1%
13	Factions	0	
14	Member of a local assembly	0	
15	Cadre of farmers' association, fishers' association or irrig	0	
16	Campaign vehicle	0	
92	None of them [skip to B6]	0	
95	Refuse to answer [skip to B6]	0	
98	Don't know [skip to B6]	0	
99	Skipped	1667	98.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

b5_7: Did a legislative candidate in your District or party personally seek your vote through any of the following individuals?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A recorded telephone message	1	0.1%
2	The candidate in person	0	
3	Campaign worker or volunteer	0	
4	Party worker	1	0.1%
5	Superior at work	0	
6	Member of your religious, social, or professional associatio	0	
7	Prominent local figure or clan member	2	0.1%

File : TEDS2016E_indQ

b5_7: Did a legislative candidate in your District or party personally seek your vote through any of the following individuals?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8	Village or ward head or executive official	0	
9	Neighbor	0	
10	Classmate or friend	0	
11	Relative or family member	4	0.2%
12	Text message	4	0.2%
13	Factions	1	0.1%
14	Member of a local assembly	0	
15	Cadre of farmers' association, fishers' association or irrig	1	0.1%
16	Campaign vehicle	0	
92	None of them [skip to B6]	0	
95	Refuse to answer [skip to B6]	0	
98	Don't know [skip to B6]	0	
99	Skipped	1676	99.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

b5_8: Did a legislative candidate in your District or party personally seek your vote through any of the following individuals?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A recorded telephone message	4	0.2%
2	The candidate in person	0	
3	Campaign worker or volunteer	0	
4	Party worker	0	
5	Superior at work	0	
6	Member of your religious, social, or professional associatio	0	
7	Prominent local figure or clan member	0	
8	Village or ward head or executive official	3	0.2%
9	Neighbor	0	
10	Classmate or friend	0	
11	Relative or family member	1	0.1%
12	Text message	2	0.1%
13	Factions	0	
14	Member of a local assembly	1	0.1%
15	Cadre of farmers' association, fishers' association or irrig	0	
16	Campaign vehicle	0	
92	None of them [skip to B6]	0	
95	Refuse to answer [skip to B6]	0	
98	Don't know [skip to B6]	0	
99	Skipped	1679	99.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

File : TEDS2016E_indQ

b5_9: Did a legislative candidate in your District or party personally seek your vote through any of the following individuals?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A recorded telephone message	2	0.1%
2	The candidate in person	0	
3	Campaign worker or volunteer	0	
4	Party worker	0	
5	Superior at work	0	
6	Member of your religious, social, or professional associatio	0	
7	Prominent local figure or clan member	0	
8	Village or ward head or executive official	0	
9	Neighbor	2	0.1%
10	Classmate or friend	0	
11	Relative or family member	0	
12	Text message	2	0.1%
13	Factions	0	
14	Member of a local assembly	1	0.1%
15	Cadre of farmers' association, fishers' association or irrig	0	
16	Campaign vehicle	0	
92	None of them [skip to B6]	0	
95	Refuse to answer [skip to B6]	0	
98	Don't know [skip to B6]	0	
99	Skipped	1683	99.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

b5_10: Did a legislative candidate in your District or party personally seek your vote through any of the following individuals?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A recorded telephone message	0	
2	The candidate in person	0	
3	Campaign worker or volunteer	0	
4	Party worker	0	
5	Superior at work	0	
6	Member of your religious, social, or professional associatio	0	
7	Prominent local figure or clan member	0	
8	Village or ward head or executive official	0	
9	Neighbor	0	
10	Classmate or friend	2	0.1%
11	Relative or family member	1	0.1%
12	Text message	0	
13	Factions	0	

File : TEDS2016E_indQ

b5_10: Did a legislative candidate in your District or party personally seek your vote through any of the following individuals?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
14	Member of a local assembly	0	
15	Cadre of farmers' association, fishers' association or irrig	0	
16	Campaign vehicle	0	
92	None of them [skip to B6]	0	
95	Refuse to answer [skip to B6]	0	
98	Don't know [skip to B6]	0	
99	Skipped	1687	99.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

b5_11: Did a legislative candidate in your District or party personally seek your vote through any of the following individuals?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A recorded telephone message	0	
2	The candidate in person	0	
3	Campaign worker or volunteer	0	
4	Party worker	0	
5	Superior at work	0	
6	Member of your religious, social, or professional associatio	0	
7	Prominent local figure or clan member	0	
8	Village or ward head or executive official	0	
9	Neighbor	0	
10	Classmate or friend	1	0.1%
11	Relative or family member	2	0.1%
12	Text message	0	
13	Factions	0	
14	Member of a local assembly	0	
15	Cadre of farmers' association, fishers' association or irrig	0	
16	Campaign vehicle	0	
92	None of them [skip to B6]	0	
95	Refuse to answer [skip to B6]	0	
98	Don't know [skip to B6]	0	
99	Skipped	1687	99.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

b5_12: Did a legislative candidate in your District or party personally seek your vote through any of the following individuals?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A recorded telephone message	0	
2	The candidate in person	0	

File : TEDS2016E_indQ

b5_12: Did a legislative candidate in your District or party personally seek your vote through any of the following individuals?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Campaign worker or volunteer	0	
4	Party worker	0	
5	Superior at work	0	
6	Member of your religious, social, or professional associatio	0	
7	Prominent local figure or clan member	0	
8	Village or ward head or executive official	0	
9	Neighbor	1	0.1%
10	Classmate or friend	0	
11	Relative or family member	0	
12	Text message	2	0.1%
13	Factions	0	
14	Member of a local assembly	0	
15	Cadre of farmers' association, fishers' association or irrig	0	
16	Campaign vehicle	0	
92	None of them [skip to B6]	0	
95	Refuse to answer [skip to B6]	0	
98	Don't know [skip to B6]	0	
99	Skipped	1687	99.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

b5_13: Did a legislative candidate in your District or party personally seek your vote through any of the following individuals?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A recorded telephone message	0	
2	The candidate in person	0	
3	Campaign worker or volunteer	0	
4	Party worker	0	
5	Superior at work	0	
6	Member of your religious, social, or professional associatio	0	
7	Prominent local figure or clan member	0	
8	Village or ward head or executive official	0	
9	Neighbor	0	
10	Classmate or friend	0	
11	Relative or family member	0	
12	Text message	0	
13	Factions	2	0.1%
14	Member of a local assembly	1	0.1%
15	Cadre of farmers' association, fishers' association or irrig	0	
16	Campaign vehicle	0	
92	None of them [skip to B6]	0	
95	Refuse to answer [skip to B6]	0	

File : TEDS2016E_indQ

b5_13: Did a legislative candidate in your District or party personally seek your vote through any of the following individuals?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Don't know [skip to B6]	0	
99	Skipped	1687	<div></div> 99.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

b5_14: Did a legislative candidate in your District or party personally seek your vote through any of the following individuals?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A recorded telephone message	0	
2	The candidate in person	0	
3	Campaign worker or volunteer	0	
4	Party worker	0	
5	Superior at work	0	
6	Member of your religious, social, or professional associatio	0	
7	Prominent local figure or clan member	0	
8	Village or ward head or executive official	0	
9	Neighbor	0	
10	Classmate or friend	0	
11	Relative or family member	0	
12	Text message	0	
13	Factions	0	
14	Member of a local assembly	1	0.1%
15	Cadre of farmers' association, fishers' association or irrig	1	0.1%
16	Campaign vehicle	0	
92	None of them [skip to B6]	0	
95	Refuse to answer [skip to B6]	0	
98	Don't know [skip to B6]	0	
99	Skipped	1688	99.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

b5_15: Did a legislative candidate in your District or party personally seek your vote through any of the following individuals?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A recorded telephone message	0	
2	The candidate in person	0	
3	Campaign worker or volunteer	0	
4	Party worker	0	
5	Superior at work	0	
6	Member of your religious, social, or professional associatio	0	
7	Prominent local figure or clan member	0	
8	Village or ward head or executive official	0	
9	Neighbor	0	
10	Classmate or friend	0	
11	Relative or family member	0	
12	Text message	0	
13	Factions	0	
14	Member of a local assembly	0	
15	Cadre of farmers' association, fishers' association or irrig	1	0.1%

b5_15: Did a legislative candidate in your District or party personally seek your vote through any of the following individuals?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
16	Campaign vehicle	0	
92	None of them [skip to B6]	0	
95	Refuse to answer [skip to B6]	0	
98	Don't know [skip to B6]	0	
99	Skipped	1689	99.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

b5a: Which candidate were they mainly asking you to support?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 20101-999999] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
20101	CHEN, Ou-po(DPP)	9	0.5%
20102	SUN, Po-yu(Ind.)	0	
20103	KUO, Ru-jau(MCFAP)	0	
20104	LEE, Chih-yung(KMT)	3	0.2%
20105	LIN, Shian-shan(Pan-Pacific Environmental Protect Union Part	0	
20106	WU, Zi-wei(Constitutional Conventions of Taiwan)	0	
20107	WU, Shao-wen(Green Party-Social Democratic Party Alliance)	0	
20108	CHU, Ando(Ind.)	1	0.1%
40101	LIN, Wei-chou(KMT)	16	0.9%
40102	HUANG, Xiu-long(Ind.)	0	
40103	CHENG, Yung-chin(Ind.)	8	0.5%
40104	FAN, Chen-kui(Unionist Party)	0	
40105	SU, Wen-ying(NHS Union Party)	0	
40106	LI, Zong-hua(MCFAP)	0	
40107	CHO, An-thung(Faith and Hope League)	0	
40108	CHIU, Ching-ya(MKT)	12	0.7%
50101	TU, Wen-ching(DPP)	0	
50102	KANG, Shi-ju(MKT)	0	
50103	HUANG, Yu-yan(Ind.)	0	
50104	CHEN, Chao-ming(KMT)	0	
50105	LIN, Yi-fang(Free Taiwan Party)	0	
50201	TAI, Wen-hsiang(Ind.)	0	
50202	HSU, Chih-jung(KMT)	14	0.8%
50203	CHOU, Shu-han(Ind.)	0	
50204	WU, Yi-chen(DPP)	0	
50205	LIU, Wen-chung(Free Taiwan Party)	0	
70101	CHEN, Wen-pin(DPP)	2	0.1%
70102	WANG, Hui-mei(KMT)	7	0.4%
70201	CHANG, Yao-yuan(MKT)	0	
70202	LIN, Tsang-min(KMT)	0	
70203	HUANG, Hsiou-fang(DPP)	0	
70204	HSU, Yung-chin(Ind.)	0	
70205	HUANG, Yu-fen(Ind.)	0	

b5a: Which candidate were they mainly asking you to support?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
70206	LIU, Yung-chun(Ind.)	0	
70207	WEN, Kuo-ming(Labour Party)	0	
70301	ANG, Yu-kang(Free Taiwan Party)	0	
70302	CHENG, Ru-fen(KMT)	12	0.7%
70303	CHEN, Chao-rong(PFP)	1	0.1%
70304	CHANG, Yi-sheng(NPP)	0	
70305	HUNG, Chun-yi(DPP)	0	
70401	CHEN, Su-yueh(DPP)	4	0.2%
70402	CHANG, Chin-kun(KMT)	11	0.7%
80101	MA, Wen-chun(KMT)	0	
80102	CHANG, Kuor-hsin(DPP)	0	
80201	TSAI, Huang-liang(DPP)	0	
80202	HSU, Shu-hua(KMT)	0	
90101	SU, Chin-feng(DPP)	7	0.4%
90102	CHANG, Jung-chi(KMT)	7	0.4%
90103	LIN, Fu-yuan(Trees Party)	0	
90201	WANG, Wei-ting(NPP)	0	
90202	CHANG, Chia-wei(Trees Party)	0	
90203	WU, Wei-chih(KMT)	0	
90204	LIU, Chien-kuo(DPP)	0	
100101	CHIU, Kun-lung(Ind.)	0	
100102	TSAI, Yi-yu(DPP)	0	
100103	LIN, Chiang-chuan(KMT)	1	0.1%
100201	LIN, Yu-ling(DPP)	9	0.5%
100202	LAI, Ching-min(Constitutional Conventions of Taiwan)	0	
100203	CHEN, Ming-wen(KMT)	1	0.1%
130101	LIAO, Wan-ju(KMT)	0	
130102	SU, Zhen-qing(DPP)	0	
130201	CHUNG, Chia-pin(DPP)	0	
130202	WANG, Chin-shih(KMT)	0	
130301	HUANG, Chao-chang(Ind.)	3	0.2%
130302	CHANG, Chao-yang(Unionist Party)	0	
130303	CHUANG, Jui-hsuing(DPP)	9	0.5%
130304	HSU, Chin-ju(KMT)	1	0.1%
130305	DING, Yong-zhi(Free Taiwan Party)	0	
140101	CHEN, Chien-ke(KMT)	3	0.2%
140102	LIU, Chao-hao(DPP)	6	0.4%
150101	HUANG, Shi-peng(Ind.)	0	
150102	XIAO, Mei-qin(DPP)	3	0.2%
150103	YANG, Wu-kong(Pan-Pacific Environmental Protect Union Party)	0	
150104	WANG, Ting-sheng(KMT)	5	0.3%
160101	SHENG, I-che(Trees Party)	0	
160102	YANG, Yao(DPP)	0	
160103	HUANG, Han-tung(Taiwan Labor Party)	0	
160104	CHEN, Shuang-chuan(KMT)	0	

b5a: Which candidate were they mainly asking you to support?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
170101	YANG, Shi-cheng(MKT)	3	0.2%
170102	LIU, Wen-hsiung(PFP)	3	0.2%
170103	HAU, Lung-bin(KMT)	7	0.4%
170104	TSAI, Shi-ying(DPP)	6	0.4%
180101	KE, Jian-ming(DPP)	11	0.7%
180102	ZENG, Yao-cheng(Ind.)	0	
180103	LIN, Chia-yu(Free Taiwan Party)	0	
180104	OU, Chung-ching(PFP)	0	
180105	WEI, Bert(MCFAP)	0	
180106	WU, Shu-min(Ind.)	0	
180107	HUANG , Yuan-fu(Ind.)	0	
180108	WANG, Rong-de(Ind.)	0	
180109	CHENG, Cheng-chien(KMT)	15	0.9%
180110	CHIU, Hsieh-chih(NPP)	2	0.1%
200101	WU, Yu-jen(KMT)	0	
200102	WENG, Shou-liang(Ind.)	0	
200103	LEE, Chun-yi(DPP)	0	
200104	HUANG, Hung-chen-taiwan-ah-chen-world-great-person-rich-pres	0	
280101	HONG, Zhi-heng(Ind.)	0	
280102	CHEN, Tsang-chiang(DPP)	0	
280103	KAO, Dan-hwa(MCFAP)	0	
280104	CHANG, Cheng-fa(Ind.)	0	
280105	YANG, Cheng-wu(KMT)	0	
280106	Tony CHEN Ton Lee(Ind.)	0	
280107	CHEN, Te-hui(Taiwan Labor Party)	0	
280108	WU, Cherng-dean(NP)	0	
300101	CHEN, Hsueh-sheng(KMT)	0	
300102	LIN, Chin-kuan(Ind.)	0	
300103	SU, Po-hao(Trees Party)	0	
300104	CHANG, Chun-pao(Unionist Party)	0	
630101	TING, Shou-chung(KMT)	14	0.8%
630102	WU, Szu-yao(DPP)	5	0.3%
630103	HUANG, Ching-yuan(Taiwan Independent Party)	0	
630104	WANG, Ching-ya(MKT)	2	0.1%
630105	WU, Zhong-zheng(NHS Union Party)	0	
630201	WANG, Ming-Tzong AarMing(Ind.)	0	
630202	CHEN, Min-chien(Taiwan Independent Party)	0	
630203	WU, Chun-te(Faith and Hope League)	1	0.1%
630204	LIN, Xing-rong(NHS Union Party)	0	
630205	PAN, Wynn-HT(NP)	8	0.5%
630206	YAO, Wen-chih(DPP)	9	0.5%
630207	CHEN, Jian-bin(Free Taiwan Party)	0	
630301	KAO, Shih-en(Constitutional Conventions of Taiwan)	0	
630302	PAN, Billy(Ind.)	2	0.1%
630303	LIM, Sin-khai(Taiwan Independent Party)	0	

b5a: Which candidate were they mainly asking you to support?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
630304	CHAO, Yieng-jack(Ind.)	0	
630305	CIOU, Jheng-hao(Peace Pigeon Union)	0	
630306	CHEN, Alf Ke-yin(Ind.)	0	
630307	LEE, Yen-jong(Green Party-Social Democratic Party Alliance)	4	0.2%
630308	LI, Cheng-yueh(MCFAP)	0	
630309	HUANG, Li-hsiang(Ind.)	0	
630310	CHIANG, Wan-an(KMT)	22	1.3%
630401	HO, Wei(Ind.)	0	
630402	CHEN, Shang-chih(Green Party-Social Democratic Party Allianc	0	
630403	HUANG, Shan-shan(PFP)	0	
630404	LI, Yueh-feng(Peace Pigeon Union)	0	
630405	CHEN, Chao-Ming(Taiwan Independent Party)	0	
630406	LEE, Yen-hsiu(KMT)	0	
630407	HSIAO, Ya-tan(TSU)	0	
630408	LIN, Shao-chi(NPP)	0	
630501	LIN, Yu-fang(KMT)	0	
630502	LI, Jia-xing(Taiwan Independent Party)	0	
630503	HUANG, Fui-ching(Ind.)	0	
630504	HONG, Sneng-cheng(Constitutional Conventions of Taiwan)	0	
630505	GONG, Wei-lun(Ind.)	0	
630506	LIM, Freddy(NPP)	0	
630507	YOU, Rui-min(Trees Party)	0	
630601	CHEN, Chia-hung(Trees Party)	0	
630602	FAN, Yun(Green Party-Social Democratic Party Alliance)	0	
630603	PANG, Wei-liang(Ind.)	0	
630604	ZHAO, Yan-qing(Ind.)	0	
630605	LIN, Zhen-yu(Taiwan Independent Party)	0	
630606	CHOU, Fang-ju(Ind.)	0	
630607	CHIANG, Nancy(Ind.)	0	
630608	JENG, Tsuen-chyi(People Boss Party)	0	
630609	TSENG, Hsien-ying(Faith and Hope League)	0	
630610	CHIANG, Nai-shn(KMT)	0	
630611	KU, Wen-fa(Constitutional Conventions of Taiwan)	0	
630612	WU, Hsu-chih(MKT)	0	
630701	LIN, Wen-jye(Ind.)	0	
630702	LU, Jennifer(Green Party-Social Democratic Party Alliance)	0	
630703	Peter Curry(Ind.)	0	
630704	SU, Chen-ying(Peace Pigeon Union)	0	
630705	FAN, Yang-lu(Constitutional Conventions of Taiwan)	0	
630706	LIN, Susan(Taiwan Independent Party)	0	
630707	FAI, Hrong-tai(KMT)	0	
630708	YANG, Shih-chiu(Ind.)	0	
630801	LEE, Ching-yuan(Ind.)	0	
630802	LAI, Shu-shen(Ind.)	0	
630803	MIAO, Poya(Green Party-Social Democratic Party Alliance)	0	

b5a: Which candidate were they mainly asking you to support?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
630804	LAI, Shyh-bao(KMT)	0	
630805	CHEN, Lucent(Taiwan Labor Party)	0	
630806	FANG, Jing-jun(Ind.)	0	
640101	CHIU, Yi-ying(DPP)	0	
640102	CHUNG, Yi-chung(KMT)	0	
640103	LIU, Zi-lin(Unionist Party)	0	
640104	CHUANG, Ting-hsin(Peace Pigeon Union)	0	
640201	CHIU, Chih-wei(DPP)	0	
640202	HUANG, Yun-han(KMT)	0	
640203	HUANG, Jin-ling(Unionist Party)	0	
640204	LI, Bo-rong(Trees Party)	0	
640205	ZENG, Ying-feng(Free Taiwan Party)	0	
640301	LIANG, Pei-chen(Faith and Hope League)	1	0.1%
640302	LYON, Shu-fu(Ind.)	1	0.1%
640303	CHANG, Hsien-yao(KMT)	7	0.4%
640304	LIU, Shyh-fang(DPP)	11	0.7%
640305	ZHUANG, Ming-xian(Constitutional Conventions of Taiwan)	0	
640401	KUO, Lun-hao Lucas(KMT)	0	
640402	LIN, Jun-yang(Unionist Party)	0	
640403	LIN, Tai-hua(DPP)	0	
640501	TSAI, Chin-yen(KMT)	8	0.5%
640502	KUAN, Bi-ling(DPP)	12	0.7%
640503	YANG, Zong-ying(Peace Pigeon Union)	0	
640504	WANG, Hsin-chang(MCFAP)	0	
640601	HUANG, Po-lin(KMT)	18	1.1%
640602	LEE, Kun-tse(DPP)	6	0.4%
640603	YANG, Han-chi(Peace Pigeon Union)	0	
640701	CHAO, Tien-lin(DPP)	10	0.6%
640702	CHEN, Su-li(Peace Pigeon Union)	0	
640703	ZHUANG, Qi-wang(KMT)	5	0.3%
640704	CHEN, Hui-min(NPP)	0	
640705	LIN, Jing-yuan(Ind.)	0	
640801	LIU, Yi-xiong(MCFAP)	0	
640802	CHANG, Yu-hwa(People Boss Party)	0	
640803	CHEN, Han-ku(NPP)	0	
640804	HUANG, Hsi-wen(KMT)	2	0.1%
640805	MA, Kai-ni(Social Welfare Party)	0	
640806	WANG, Ting-xuan(Unionist Party)	0	
640807	XU, Zhi-jie(DPP)	14	0.8%
640901	LIN, Tsung-yen(MKT)	0	
640902	LAI, Jui-lung(DPP)	0	
640903	LIN, Guo-zheng(KMT)	0	
640904	TSAI, Ma-fu(Unionist Party)	0	
650101	WU, Yu-sheng(KMT)	0	
650102	SU, Gideon Tung-ta(Trees Party)	0	

b5a: Which candidate were they mainly asking you to support?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
650103	HUNG, Zei-cheng(NHS Union Party)	0	
650104	LU, Sun-ling(DPP)	0	
650105	CHEN, Li-ji(Ind.)	0	
650106	CHEN, Yun-fen(Peace Pigeon Union)	0	
650201	CHEN, Ming-yi(KMT)	0	
650202	LIN, Shu-fen(DPP)	0	
650301	CHEN, Chang-fa(Constitutional Conventions of Taiwan)	0	
650302	SHIAU, Tom(NHS Union Party)	0	
650303	LEE, Chien-lung(KMT)	8	0.5%
650304	GAO, Jyh-peng(DPP)	10	0.6%
650305	CHANG, Showen(PFP)	2	0.1%
650306	LIN, Ji-ying(Social Welfare Party)	0	
650307	YAO, Yin-hung(Trees Party)	0	
650308	HUANG, Mao(Ind.)	0	
650309	SU, Ching-yin(Ind.)	0	
650401	GU, Ba-kai(Green Party-Social Democratic Party Alliance)	0	
650402	WU, Ping-jui(DPP)	0	
650403	WANG, Si-yi(Constitutional Conventions of Taiwan)	0	
650404	CHEN, Mau-jia(KMT)	0	
650405	LIU, Feng-chang(Peace Pigeon Union)	0	
650406	LIN, Li-rong(Righteous Party)	0	
650407	CHIU, Mark(Ind.)	0	
650501	SU, Chiao-hui(DPP)	17	1.0%
650502	KUO, Po-yu(NPP)	0	
650503	HUANG, Chih-hsiung(KMT)	11	0.7%
650601	YAO, Yu-shism(Taiwan Labor Party)	0	
650602	LI, Jian-ming(Trees Party)	0	
650603	YU, Hsin-yi(Faith and Hope League)	0	
650604	KANG, Hector(PFP)	0	
650605	CHUANG, Feng-ming(Ind.)	0	
650606	LI, Gui-bao(MKT)	0	
650607	HUANG, Jun-min(MCFAP)	0	
650608	LIN, Kuo-chun(KMT)	0	
650609	CHANG, Hung-lu(DPP)	0	
650701	WANG, Chen-hwa(NHS Union Party)	0	
650702	LO, Chih-cheng(DPP)	12	0.7%
650703	LEE, Wan-yu(Ind.)	1	0.1%
650704	CHIANG, Huei-chen(KMT)	7	0.4%
650801	CHIANG, Yung-chang(DPP)	10	0.6%
650802	CHANG, Hing-Chung(KMT)	11	0.7%
650803	TUNG, Cheng-yi(Ind.)	1	0.1%
650804	WU, Jin-kui(MCFAP)	0	
650805	LIN, Ken(NHS Union Party)	0	
650806	SHAO, Ba-xiang(Constitutional Conventions of Taiwan)	0	
650901	DONG, Brya(The Motorists Party of ROC)	0	

b5a: Which candidate were they mainly asking you to support?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
650902	CHANG, Ching-fang(MKT)	3	0.2%
650903	TSENG, Wen-sheng(NHS Union Party)	0	
650904	LIN, Te-fu(KMT)	16	0.9%
650905	LEE, Hsin-chang(Ind.)	2	0.1%
651001	LU, Chia-chen(KMT)	0	
651002	WU, Chi-ming(DPP)	0	
651003	HUANG, Lu-guang(Free Taiwan Party)	0	
651101	LO, Ming-tsai(KMT)	0	
651102	TSENG, Poyu(Green Party-Social Democratic Party Alliance)	0	
651103	CHEN, Yung-fu(DPP)	0	
651201	CHUNG, Guo-chih(Peace Pigeon Union)	0	
651202	LEE, Ching-hua(KMT)	0	
651203	HUANG, Kuo-chang(NPP)	0	
651204	CHEN, Yung-shun(Faith and Hope League)	0	
660101	HOUNG, Chin-tei(Ind.)	0	
660102	WANG, Shu-fen(Constitutional Conventions of Taiwan)	0	
660103	CHEN, Chun-yuan(Unionist Party)	0	
660104	TSAI, Chi-chang(DPP)	0	
660105	YEN, Chiou-yue(KMT)	0	
660201	CHEN, Shih-kai(DPP)	0	
660202	YEN, Kuan-heng(KMT)	0	
660203	CHUNG, Wen-long(Ind.)	0	
660204	CHI, Kuo-tung(Ind.)	0	
660301	YANG, Chiung-ying(KMT)	7	0.4%
660302	HUANG, Hsin-chi(MCFAP)	0	
660303	HUNG, Tzu-yung(NPP)	3	0.2%
660401	WU, Shu-hui(MKT)	0	
660402	CHANG LIAO, Wan-chien(DPP)	10	0.6%
660403	TSAI, Chin-lung(KMT)	9	0.5%
660404	YEH, Chun-hsin(Faith and Hope League)	0	
660405	YEN, Hui-li(Ind.)	1	0.1%
660406	YOU, Shou-yuan(Free Taiwan Party)	0	
660501	CHIEN, Meng-hsuan(Faith and Hope League)	0	
660502	MIAO, Feng-lung(Ind.)	0	
660503	LU, Shiow-yen(KMT)	12	0.7%
660504	LIU, Kuo-lung(TSU)	4	0.2%
660601	HUANG, Kuo-shu(DPP)	4	0.2%
660602	SHEN, Chih-hwei(KMT)	11	0.7%
660603	HO, Tzu-hua(Ind.)	0	
660701	HO, Hsin-chun(DPP)	0	
660702	LAI, Yi-huang(KMT)	0	
660703	SHIH, Tatsai(MCFAP)	0	
660801	WANG, Cheng-chi(Ind.)	0	
660802	CHIANG, Chi-chen(KMT)	20	1.2%
660803	HSIEH, Chih-chung(DPP)	5	0.3%

b5a: Which candidate were they mainly asking you to support?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
670101	HUANG, Rui-kun(KMT)	2	0.1%
670102	CHEN, Bo-zhi(Unionist Party)	0	
670103	LIN, De-wang(Ind.)	2	0.1%
670104	YE, Yi-jin(DPP)	10	0.6%
670201	HUANG, Wei-zhe(DPP)	20	1.2%
670202	HUANG, Yao-sheng(KMT)	0	
670203	HUANG, Min-zhen(Unionist Party)	0	
670204	HUANG, Xian-qing(Free Taiwan Party)	0	
670205	WANG, Guo-dong(Ind.)	0	
670301	XIE, Long-jie(KMT)	1	0.1%
670302	DENG, Xiu-bao(MKT)	0	
670303	CHEN, Ting-fei(DPP)	3	0.2%
670304	WENG, Wan-ning(Unionist Party)	0	
670401	LIN, Jun-xian(DPP)	6	0.4%
670402	FU, Jian-feng(Unionist Party)	0	
670403	CHEN, Shu-hui(KMT)	6	0.4%
670404	CHEN, Huang-zhou(Constitutional Conventions of Taiwan)	0	
670405	YANG, Zhi-da(Green Party-Social Democratic Party Alliance)	0	
670406	TSAI, Yu-zhi(NPP)	0	
670501	WANG, Ding-yu(DPP)	0	
670502	YAN, Yang-qing(Taiwan Labor Party)	0	
670503	LIN, Yi-huang(KMT)	0	
670504	LI, Ying-shi(Unionist Party)	0	
680101	CHENG, Yun-peng(DPP)	0	
680102	CHEN, Ken-te(KMT)	0	
680103	WANG, Pao-hsuan(Green Party-Social Democratic Party Alliance)	0	
680201	CHEN, Pei-yu(Trees Party)	0	
680202	WU, Zhen-tuo(Taiwan Independent Party)	0	
680203	LIAO, Cheng-ching(KMT)	4	0.2%
680204	CHANG, Kang-yi(NPP)	0	
680205	CHEN LAI, Su-mei(DPP)	9	0.5%
680206	HUANG, Wei-chun(Ind.)	0	
680301	CHEN, Hung-jui(MKT)	0	
680302	HSU, Ching-wen(DPP)	0	
680303	LAI, Li-chu(Ind.)	0	
680304	YU, Neng-sheng(Free Taiwan Party)	0	
680305	CHEN, Shei-saint(KMT)	0	
680401	YANG, Li-huan(KMT)	0	
680402	CHENG, Pao-ching(DPP)	0	
680501	LU, Yu-ling(KMT)	0	
680502	HSIAO, Chia-liang(Ind.)	0	
680503	CHANG, Cheng(MKT)	0	
680504	CHANG, Chao-liang(DPP)	0	
680505	HUANG, Chih-hao(Ind.)	0	
680506	HUANG, Guo-hua(NHS Union Party)	0	

# b5a: Which candidate were they mainly asking you to support?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
680601	JUN, Jen-yuan(Taiwan Win Party)	0	
680602	ZHAO, Zheng-yu(Ind.)	4	0.2%
680603	LAN, Da-shan(Taiwan Independent Party)	0	
680604	SUN, Ta-chien(KMT)	11	0.7%
680605	YANG, Jin-xuan(Ind.)	0	
680606	LU, Tung-chieh(Green Party-Social Democratic Party Alliance)	0	
999988	Plain aborigines	1	0.1%
999989	Mountain aborigines	2	0.1%
999991	Forgot	0	
999992	All of them (in the District)	75	4.4%
999995	Refuse to answer	16	0.9%
999998	Don't know	125	7.4%
999999	Skipped	832	49.2%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# b5aa: Which candidate were they mainly asking you to support?(Plain aborigines)			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 690101-999999] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
690101	Tamo Ahsiung(Ind.)	0	
690102	Takiyo-Kacaw(MCFAP)	0	
690103	LIN, Hao-yi(PFP)	0	
690104	Sra-Kacaw(KMT)	0	
690105	Mayaw-Biho(Ind.)	0	
690106	KE, Ren-yao(Ind.)	0	
690107	LIN, Amos(Taiwan First Nations Party)	0	
690108	Rahic Amind(MKT)	0	
690109	LIN, Jin-ing(NHS Union Party)	0	
690110	LIN, Tsung-han(KMT)	0	
690111	CHEN, Ying(DPP)	0	
690112	Galahe-Wubai-Hayawan(Ind.)	0	
690113	Sufin-Siluko(KMT)	1	0.1%
999991	Forgot	0	
999992	All of them (in the District)	0	
999995	Refuse to answer	0	
999998	Don't know	0	
999999	Skipped	1689	99.9%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# b5ab: Which candidate were they mainly asking you to support?(Mountain aborigines)			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 700101-999999] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
700101	LIN, Shi-wei(Ind.)	0	
700102	Yuming Suyan(China Production Party)	0	
700103	TSENG, Hua-te(Ind.)	0	

# b5ab: Which candidate were they mainly asking you to support?(Mountain aborigines)			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
700104	Walis-Perin(DPP)	2	0.1%
700105	Yi Lan-Ming Ji Nu Aa(Faith and Hope League)	0	
700106	KUNG, Wen-chi(KMT)	0	
700107	Uliw-Qaljupayare(KMT)	0	
700108	QUAN, Cheng-wei(Taiwan Independent Party)	0	
700109	LIN, Xin-yi(Faith and Hope League)	0	
700110	KAO CHI, Su-mei(NPSU)	0	
999991	Forgot	0	
999992	All of them (in the District)	0	
999995	Refuse to answer	0	
999998	Don't know	0	
999999	Skipped	1688	99.9%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# b6_1: During this year's election, did you do any of the following?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Read the official election notice	604	35.7%
2	Read candidates' leaflets, newsletters, or newspaper ads	142	8.4%
3	Watched candidate debates or campaign speeches on TV	238	14.1%
4	Do volunteer work in campaign for either a candidate or part	2	0.1%
5	Attended an election related gathering or banquet	18	1.1%
6	Joined a candidate's support organization	4	0.2%
7	Reminded your friends to watch candidate debates or campaign	13	0.8%
8	Persuaded others to vote for particular candidate or party	8	0.5%
9	Gave money to a political party or candidate	5	0.3%
10	Purchased candidate's souvenirs	0	
11	Hang or wear a flag or other symbol	2	0.1%
12	Were invited to participate in a candidate's rally	22	1.3%
13	Attended a candidate's rally	9	0.5%
14	Visited a candidate's website	23	1.4%
92	None of these	597	35.3%
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	3	0.2%
99	Skipped	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# b6_2: During this year's election, did you do any of the following?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Read the official election notice	38	2.2%
2	Read candidates' leaflets, newsletters, or newspaper ads	272	16.1%
3	Watched candidate debates or campaign speeches on TV	205	12.1%
4	Do volunteer work in campaign for either a candidate or part	5	0.3%

# b6_2: During this year's election, did you do any of the following?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	Attended an election related gathering or banquet	21	1.2%
6	Joined a candidate's support organization	4	0.2%
7	Reminded your friends to watch candidate debates or campaign	16	0.9%
8	Persuaded others to vote for particular candidate or party	16	0.9%
9	Gave money to a political party or candidate	4	0.2%
10	Purchased candidate's souvenirs	3	0.2%
11	Hang or wear a flag or other symbol	1	0.1%
12	Were invited to participate in a candidate's rally	21	1.2%
13	Attended a candidate's rally	6	0.4%
14	Visited a candidate's website	30	1.8%
92	None of these	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1048	62.0%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# b6_3: During this year's election, did you do any of the following?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Read the official election notice	16	0.9%
2	Read candidates' leaflets, newsletters, or newspaper ads	21	1.2%
3	Watched candidate debates or campaign speeches on TV	159	9.4%
4	Do volunteer work in campaign for either a candidate or part	1	0.1%
5	Attended an election related gathering or banquet	25	1.5%
6	Joined a candidate's support organization	7	0.4%
7	Reminded your friends to watch candidate debates or campaign	16	0.9%
8	Persuaded others to vote for particular candidate or party	14	0.8%
9	Gave money to a political party or candidate	6	0.4%
10	Purchased candidate's souvenirs	0	
11	Hang or wear a flag or other symbol	4	0.2%
12	Were invited to participate in a candidate's rally	9	0.5%
13	Attended a candidate's rally	5	0.3%
14	Visited a candidate's website	37	2.2%
92	None of these	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1370	81.1%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# b6_4: During this year's election, did you do any of the following?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Read the official election notice	5	0.3%
2	Read candidates' leaflets, newsletters, or newspaper ads	3	0.2%

# b6_4: During this year's election, did you do any of the following?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Watched candidate debates or campaign speeches on TV	1	0.1%
4	Do volunteer work in campaign for either a candidate or part	11	0.7%
5	Attended an election related gathering or banquet	17	1.0%
6	Joined a candidate's support organization	9	0.5%
7	Reminded your friends to watch candidate debates or campaign	16	0.9%
8	Persuaded others to vote for particular candidate or party	9	0.5%
9	Gave money to a political party or candidate	5	0.3%
10	Purchased candidate's souvenirs	4	0.2%
11	Hang or wear a flag or other symbol	3	0.2%
12	Were invited to participate in a candidate's rally	11	0.7%
13	Attended a candidate's rally	10	0.6%
14	Visited a candidate's website	23	1.4%
92	None of these	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1563	92.5%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# b6_5: During this year's election, did you do any of the following?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Read the official election notice	2	0.1%
2	Read candidates' leaflets, newsletters, or newspaper ads	0	
3	Watched candidate debates or campaign speeches on TV	1	0.1%
4	Do volunteer work in campaign for either a candidate or part	0	
5	Attended an election related gathering or banquet	6	0.4%
6	Joined a candidate's support organization	5	0.3%
7	Reminded your friends to watch candidate debates or campaign	5	0.3%
8	Persuaded others to vote for particular candidate or party	10	0.6%
9	Gave money to a political party or candidate	3	0.2%
10	Purchased candidate's souvenirs	3	0.2%
11	Hang or wear a flag or other symbol	3	0.2%
12	Were invited to participate in a candidate's rally	11	0.7%
13	Attended a candidate's rally	6	0.4%
14	Visited a candidate's website	13	0.8%
92	None of these	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1622	96.0%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# b6_6: During this year's election, did you do any of the following?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		

b6_6: During this year's election, did you do any of the following?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Read the official election notice	0	
2	Read candidates' leaflets, newsletters, or newspaper ads	0	
3	Watched candidate debates or campaign speeches on TV	0	
4	Do volunteer work in campaign for either a candidate or part	0	
5	Attended an election related gathering or banquet	3	0.2%
6	Joined a candidate's support organization	5	0.3%
7	Reminded your friends to watch candidate debates or campaign	2	0.1%
8	Persuaded others to vote for particular candidate or party	6	0.4%
9	Gave money to a political party or candidate	0	
10	Purchased candidate's souvenirs	0	
11	Hang or wear a flag or other symbol	2	0.1%
12	Were invited to participate in a candidate's rally	6	0.4%
13	Attended a candidate's rally	7	0.4%
14	Visited a candidate's website	7	0.4%
92	None of these	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1652	97.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

b6_7: During this year's election, did you do any of the following?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Read the official election notice	0	
2	Read candidates' leaflets, newsletters, or newspaper ads	0	
3	Watched candidate debates or campaign speeches on TV	0	
4	Do volunteer work in campaign for either a candidate or part	1	0.1%
5	Attended an election related gathering or banquet	1	0.1%
6	Joined a candidate's support organization	0	
7	Reminded your friends to watch candidate debates or campaign	4	0.2%
8	Persuaded others to vote for particular candidate or party	2	0.1%
9	Gave money to a political party or candidate	1	0.1%
10	Purchased candidate's souvenirs	0	
11	Hang or wear a flag or other symbol	0	
12	Were invited to participate in a candidate's rally	3	0.2%
13	Attended a candidate's rally	4	0.2%
14	Visited a candidate's website	7	0.4%
92	None of these	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1667	98.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

b6_8: During this year's election, did you do any of the following?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
-------------	---

b6_8: During this year's election, did you do any of the following?

Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Read the official election notice	0	
2	Read candidates' leaflets, newsletters, or newspaper ads	0	
3	Watched candidate debates or campaign speeches on TV	0	
4	Do volunteer work in campaign for either a candidate or part	0	
5	Attended an election related gathering or banquet	0	
6	Joined a candidate's support organization	0	
7	Reminded your friends to watch candidate debates or campaign	0	
8	Persuaded others to vote for particular candidate or party	3	0.2%
9	Gave money to a political party or candidate	1	0.1%
10	Purchased candidate's souvenirs	3	0.2%
11	Hang or wear a flag or other symbol	1	0.1%
12	Were invited to participate in a candidate's rally	1	0.1%
13	Attended a candidate's rally	2	0.1%
14	Visited a candidate's website	1	0.1%
92	None of these	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1678	99.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

b6_9: During this year's election, did you do any of the following?

Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Read the official election notice	0	
2	Read candidates' leaflets, newsletters, or newspaper ads	0	
3	Watched candidate debates or campaign speeches on TV	1	0.1%
4	Do volunteer work in campaign for either a candidate or part	0	
5	Attended an election related gathering or banquet	0	
6	Joined a candidate's support organization	0	
7	Reminded your friends to watch candidate debates or campaign	0	
8	Persuaded others to vote for particular candidate or party	0	
9	Gave money to a political party or candidate	0	
10	Purchased candidate's souvenirs	1	0.1%
11	Hang or wear a flag or other symbol	3	0.2%
12	Were invited to participate in a candidate's rally	2	0.1%
13	Attended a candidate's rally	0	
14	Visited a candidate's website	1	0.1%
92	None of these	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1682	99.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

b6_10: During this year's election, did you do any of the following?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Read the official election notice	0	
2	Read candidates' leaflets, newsletters, or newspaper ads	0	
3	Watched candidate debates or campaign speeches on TV	0	
4	Do volunteer work in campaign for either a candidate or part	0	
5	Attended an election related gathering or banquet	0	
6	Joined a candidate's support organization	0	
7	Reminded your friends to watch candidate debates or campaign	0	
8	Persuaded others to vote for particular candidate or party	0	
9	Gave money to a political party or candidate	0	
10	Purchased candidate's souvenirs	0	
11	Hang or wear a flag or other symbol	0	
12	Were invited to participate in a candidate's rally	3	0.2%
13	Attended a candidate's rally	1	0.1%
14	Visited a candidate's website	0	
92	None of these	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1686	99.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

b6_11: During this year's election, did you do any of the following?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Read the official election notice	0	
2	Read candidates' leaflets, newsletters, or newspaper ads	0	
3	Watched candidate debates or campaign speeches on TV	0	
4	Do volunteer work in campaign for either a candidate or part	0	
5	Attended an election related gathering or banquet	0	
6	Joined a candidate's support organization	0	
7	Reminded your friends to watch candidate debates or campaign	0	
8	Persuaded others to vote for particular candidate or party	0	
9	Gave money to a political party or candidate	0	
10	Purchased candidate's souvenirs	0	
11	Hang or wear a flag or other symbol	0	
12	Were invited to participate in a candidate's rally	0	
13	Attended a candidate's rally	2	0.1%
14	Visited a candidate's website	0	
92	None of these	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1688	99.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

b6_12: During this year's election, did you do any of the following?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Read the official election notice	0	
2	Read candidates' leaflets, newsletters, or newspaper ads	0	
3	Watched candidate debates or campaign speeches on TV	0	
4	Do volunteer work in campaign for either a candidate or part	0	
5	Attended an election related gathering or banquet	0	
6	Joined a candidate's support organization	0	
7	Reminded your friends to watch candidate debates or campaign	0	
8	Persuaded others to vote for particular candidate or party	0	
9	Gave money to a political party or candidate	0	
10	Purchased candidate's souvenirs	0	
11	Hang or wear a flag or other symbol	0	
12	Were invited to participate in a candidate's rally	0	
13	Attended a candidate's rally	0	
14	Visited a candidate's website	0	
92	None of these	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1690	100.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

b6_13: During this year's election, did you do any of the following?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Read the official election notice	0	
2	Read candidates' leaflets, newsletters, or newspaper ads	0	
3	Watched candidate debates or campaign speeches on TV	0	
4	Do volunteer work in campaign for either a candidate or part	0	
5	Attended an election related gathering or banquet	0	
6	Joined a candidate's support organization	0	
7	Reminded your friends to watch candidate debates or campaign	0	
8	Persuaded others to vote for particular candidate or party	0	
9	Gave money to a political party or candidate	0	
10	Purchased candidate's souvenirs	0	
11	Hang or wear a flag or other symbol	0	
12	Were invited to participate in a candidate's rally	0	
13	Attended a candidate's rally	0	
14	Visited a candidate's website	0	
92	None of these	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1690	100.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# b6_14: During this year's election, did you do any of the following?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Read the official election notice	0	
2	Read candidates' leaflets, newsletters, or newspaper ads	0	
3	Watched candidate debates or campaign speeches on TV	0	
4	Do volunteer work in campaign for either a candidate or part	0	
5	Attended an election related gathering or banquet	0	
6	Joined a candidate's support organization	0	
7	Reminded your friends to watch candidate debates or campaign	0	
8	Persuaded others to vote for particular candidate or party	0	
9	Gave money to a political party or candidate	0	
10	Purchased candidate's souvenirs	0	
11	Hang or wear a flag or other symbol	0	
12	Were invited to participate in a candidate's rally	0	
13	Attended a candidate's rally	0	
14	Visited a candidate's website	0	
92	None of these	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1690	100.0%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# b6_15: During this year's election, did you do any of the following?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Read the official election notice	0	
2	Read candidates' leaflets, newsletters, or newspaper ads	0	
3	Watched candidate debates or campaign speeches on TV	0	
4	Do volunteer work in campaign for either a candidate or part	0	
5	Attended an election related gathering or banquet	0	
6	Joined a candidate's support organization	0	
7	Reminded your friends to watch candidate debates or campaign	0	
8	Persuaded others to vote for particular candidate or party	0	
9	Gave money to a political party or candidate	0	
10	Purchased candidate's souvenirs	0	
11	Hang or wear a flag or other symbol	0	
12	Were invited to participate in a candidate's rally	0	
13	Attended a candidate's rally	0	
14	Visited a candidate's website	0	
92	None of these	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1690	100.0%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

c1: Now thinking about the performance of the president Ma in general, how good or bad a job do you think the president did over the past 8 years? Has he done a very good job? A good job? A bad job? A very bad job?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good job	27	1.6%
2	Good job	405	24.0%
3	Bad job	618	36.6%
4	Very bad job	492	29.1%
95	Refuse to answer	19	1.1%
96	It depends	10	0.6%
97	No opinion	66	3.9%
98	Don't know	53	3.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

c2: Overall, during Ma Ying-jeou's first term, in what aspect has he performed best?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Promoting ethnic harmony	21	1.2%
2	Maintaining political stability	50	3.0%
3	Promoting economic development	17	1.0%
4	Eliminating black and gold politics	115	6.8%
5	Raising Taiwan's international status	72	4.3%
6	Promoting democratic reforms	14	0.8%
7	Improving law and order	37	2.2%
8	Promoting peaceful developments in cross-Strait relations	433	25.6%
9	Social welfare	41	2.4%
10	Handling educational reform	8	0.5%
11	Handling financial reform	3	0.2%
12	Public infrastructure	27	1.6%
13	Personnel appointment	9	0.5%
14	Resolution of administration (Gets things done)	12	0.7%
15	Good image of president and first lady	1	0.1%
16	Maintaining price stability	0	
17	Labor rights	0	
18	Policy planning	1	0.1%
19	Honesty	0	
20	National security	0	
21	Mitigate the gap between rich and poor	0	
22	Human rights and freedom	0	
23	Foreign countries offer visa-free travel to ROC passport hol	4	0.2%
24	Sports policy	1	0.1%
25	Disaster relief	0	
26	Distribution of the consumer voucher	2	0.1%
27	Development of tourism industry	2	0.1%
91	Performs well in every area	19	1.1%

c2: Overall, during Ma Ying-jeou's first term, in what aspect has he performed best?























Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
92	Performs badly in every area	500	29.6%
95	Refuse to answer	13	0.8%
96	It depends	0	
97	No opinion	4	0.2%
98	Don't know	284	16.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

c3: Overall, during Ma Ying-jeou's first term, in what aspect has he performed worst?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Promoting ethnic harmony	33	2.0%
2	Maintaining political stability	46	2.7%
3	Promoting economic development	523	30.9%
4	Eliminating black and gold politics	28	1.7%
5	Raising Taiwan's international status	35	2.1%
6	Promoting democratic reforms	29	1.7%
7	Improving law and order	36	2.1%
8	Promoting peaceful developments in cross-Strait relations	95	5.6%
9	Social welfare	29	1.7%
10	Handling educational reform	54	3.2%
11	Handling financial reform	17	1.0%
12	Public infrastructure	12	0.7%
13	Personnel appointment	85	5.0%
14	Resolution of administration (Gets things done)	212	12.5%
15	Good image of president and first lady	1	0.1%
16	Maintaining price stability	3	0.2%
17	Labor rights	1	0.1%
18	Policy planning	1	0.1%
19	Honesty	4	0.2%
20	National security	1	0.1%
21	Widen the gap between rich and poor	0	
22	Human rights and freedom	0	
23	Foreign countries offer visa-free travel to ROC passport hol	0	
24	Food safety	9	0.5%
25	Communicate with the public	9	0.5%
26	Judicial reform	3	0.2%
27	Policy ideas not implemented	1	0.1%
28	Political struggle	2	0.1%
29	Sunflower student movement	1	0.1%
91	Performs well in every area	34	2.0%
92	Performs badly in every area	146	8.6%
95	Refuse to answer	10	0.6%
96	It depends	0	
97	No opinion	0	

# c3: Overall, during Ma Ying-jeou's first term, in what aspect has he performed worst?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Don't know	230	 13.6%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# d1: Some people say: People like me don't have any say about what the government does			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	68	 4.0%
2	Agree	436	 25.8%
3	Disagree	913	 54.0%
4	Strongly disagree	135	 8.0%
95	Refuse to answer	2	0.1%
96	It depends	28	 1.7%
97	No opinion	23	 1.4%
98	Don't know	85	 5.0%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# d2: Some people say: Public officials do not care much about what people like me think			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	138	 8.2%
2	Agree	705	 41.7%
3	Disagree	663	 39.2%
4	Strongly disagree	36	 2.1%
95	Refuse to answer	3	0.2%
96	It depends	62	 3.7%
97	No opinion	17	 1.0%
98	Don't know	66	 3.9%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# d3: Some people say: Sometimes politics seems so complicated that a person like me cannot really understand what is going on			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	198	 11.7%
2	Agree	965	 57.1%
3	Disagree	391	 23.1%
4	Strongly disagree	46	 2.7%
95	Refuse to answer	3	0.2%
96	It depends	19	 1.1%
97	No opinion	20	 1.2%
98	Don't know	48	 2.8%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			




# d4: Some people say: Most decisions made by the government are correct			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	16	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
2	Agree	291	<div><div></div></div> 17.2%
3	Disagree	1045	<div><div></div></div> 61.8%
4	Strongly disagree	146	<div><div></div></div> 8.6%
95	Refuse to answer	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
96	It depends	95	<div><div></div></div> 5.6%
97	No opinion	20	<div><div></div></div> 1.2%
98	Don't know	74	<div><div></div></div> 4.4%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# d5: Some people say: Government officials often waste a lot of money we pay in taxes			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	363	<div><div></div></div> 21.5%
2	Agree	920	<div><div></div></div> 54.4%
3	Disagree	232	<div><div></div></div> 13.7%
4	Strongly disagree	13	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
95	Refuse to answer	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
96	It depends	41	<div><div></div></div> 2.4%
97	No opinion	29	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%
98	Don't know	89	<div><div></div></div> 5.3%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# d6: When the government decides important policies, do you think public welfare is its first priority?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Often	82	<div><div></div></div> 4.9%
2	Sometimes	636	<div><div></div></div> 37.6%
3	Seldom	638	<div><div></div></div> 37.8%
4	Never	185	<div><div></div></div> 10.9%
95	Refuse to answer	4	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
96	It depends	41	<div><div></div></div> 2.4%
97	No opinion	19	<div><div></div></div> 1.1%
98	Don't know	85	<div><div></div></div> 5.0%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# d7: You feel you understand the most important political issues of this country			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	37	<div><div></div></div> 2.2%

# d7: You feel you understand the most important political issues of this country				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
2	Agree	426	<div></div>	25.2%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	170	<div></div>	10.1%
4	Disagree	858	<div></div>	50.8%
5	Strongly disagree	70	<div></div>	4.1%
95	Refuse to answer	8	<div></div>	0.5%
96	It depends	18	<div></div>	1.1%
97	No opinion	0		
98	Don't know	103	<div></div>	6.1%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# e1: In a democracy it is important to seek compromise among different viewpoints				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Strongly agree	298	<div></div>	17.6%
2	Agree	1123	<div></div>	66.4%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	52	<div></div>	3.1%
4	Disagree	110	<div></div>	6.5%
5	Strongly disagree	7	<div></div>	0.4%
95	Refuse to answer	4	<div></div>	0.2%
96	It depends	21	<div></div>	1.2%
97	No opinion	0		
98	Don't know	75	<div></div>	4.4%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# e2: Most politicians do not care about the people.				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Strongly agree	114	<div></div>	6.7%
2	Agree	738	<div></div>	43.7%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	152	<div></div>	9.0%
4	Disagree	561	<div></div>	33.2%
5	Strongly disagree	8	<div></div>	0.5%
95	Refuse to answer	5	<div></div>	0.3%
96	It depends	48	<div></div>	2.8%
97	No opinion	0		
98	Don't know	64	<div></div>	3.8%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# e3: Most politicians are trustworthy.				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Strongly agree	11	<div></div>	0.7%
2	Agree	363	<div></div>	21.5%

# e3: Most politicians are trustworthy.			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Neither agree nor disagree	191	<div><div></div></div> 11.3%
4	Disagree	872	<div><div></div></div> 51.6%
5	Strongly disagree	128	<div><div></div></div> 7.6%
95	Refuse to answer	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
96	It depends	55	<div><div></div></div> 3.3%
97	No opinion	0	<div><div></div></div>
98	Don't know	68	<div><div></div></div> 4.0%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# e4: Politicians are the main problem in our country(i.e. Taiwan).			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	201	<div><div></div></div> 11.9%
2	Agree	973	<div><div></div></div> 57.6%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	128	<div><div></div></div> 7.6%
4	Disagree	256	<div><div></div></div> 15.1%
5	Strongly disagree	6	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
95	Refuse to answer	8	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
96	It depends	26	<div><div></div></div> 1.5%
97	No opinion	0	<div><div></div></div>
98	Don't know	92	<div><div></div></div> 5.4%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# e5: Having a strong leader in government is good for our country even if the leader bends the rules to get things done.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	53	<div><div></div></div> 3.1%
2	Agree	432	<div><div></div></div> 25.6%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	88	<div><div></div></div> 5.2%
4	Disagree	844	<div><div></div></div> 49.9%
5	Strongly disagree	167	<div><div></div></div> 9.9%
95	Refuse to answer	5	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
96	It depends	31	<div><div></div></div> 1.8%
97	No opinion	0	<div><div></div></div>
98	Don't know	70	<div><div></div></div> 4.1%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# e6: The people, and not politicians, should make our most important policy decisions.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	137	<div><div></div></div> 8.1%
2	Agree	684	<div><div></div></div> 40.5%

# e6: The people, and not politicians, should make our most important policy decisions.			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Neither agree nor disagree	200	<div><div></div></div> 11.8%
4	Disagree	498	<div><div></div></div> 29.5%
5	Strongly disagree	32	<div><div></div></div> 1.9%
95	Refuse to answer	6	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
96	It depends	64	<div><div></div></div> 3.8%
97	No opinion	0	
98	Don't know	69	<div><div></div></div> 4.1%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# e7: Most politicians care only about the interests of the rich and powerful.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	231	<div><div></div></div> 13.7%
2	Agree	898	<div><div></div></div> 53.1%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	125	<div><div></div></div> 7.4%
4	Disagree	333	<div><div></div></div> 19.7%
5	Strongly disagree	12	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
95	Refuse to answer	6	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
96	It depends	23	<div><div></div></div> 1.4%
97	No opinion	0	
98	Don't know	62	<div><div></div></div> 3.7%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# e8: Poor people should have a greater voice in politics.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	184	<div><div></div></div> 10.9%
2	Agree	932	<div><div></div></div> 55.1%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	155	<div><div></div></div> 9.2%
4	Disagree	287	<div><div></div></div> 17.0%
5	Strongly disagree	15	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
95	Refuse to answer	7	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
96	It depends	42	<div><div></div></div> 2.5%
97	No opinion	0	
98	Don't know	68	<div><div></div></div> 4.0%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# f1: A man's job is to work and earn money; a woman's job is to look after family.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	44	<div><div></div></div> 2.6%
2	Agree	260	<div><div></div></div> 15.4%
3	Disagree	1006	<div><div></div></div> 59.5%







f1: A man's job is to work and earn money; a woman's job is to look after family.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Strongly disagree	328	 19.4%
95	Refuse to answer	1	0.1%
96	It depends	33	 2.0%
97	No opinion	5	0.3%
98	Don't know	13	 0.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

f2: Males are more suited to working for a position that involves a certain level of management, while females are more suited to working for a first-line position.







Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	12	 0.7%
2	Agree	152	 9.0%
3	Disagree	1082	 64.0%
4	Strongly disagree	347	 20.5%
95	Refuse to answer	1	0.1%
96	It depends	46	 2.7%
97	No opinion	7	0.4%
98	Don't know	43	 2.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

g1: Would you say that over the past twelve months, the state of the economy in Taiwan has gotten much better, gotten somewhat better, stayed about the same, gotten somewhat worse, or gotten much worse?




Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Gotten much better	6	 0.4%
2	Gotten somewhat better	66	 3.9%
3	Stayed the same	632	 37.4%
4	Gotten somewhat worse	707	 41.8%
5	Gotten much worse	230	 13.6%
95	Refuse to answer	0	
96	It depends	3	0.2%
97	No opinion	3	0.2%
98	Don't know	43	 2.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

g2: Would you say that in the forthcoming year, the state of the economy of Taiwan will get better, stay about the same, or get worse.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Gotten much better	18	 1.1%
2	Gotten somewhat better	327	 19.3%
3	Stayed the same	686	 40.6%

g2: Would you say that in the forthcoming year, the state of the economy of Taiwan will get better, stay about the same, or get worse.







Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Gotten somewhat worse	292	 17.3%
5	Gotten much worse	70	 4.1%
95	Refuse to answer	0	
96	It depends	67	 4.0%
97	No opinion	9	 0.5%
98	Don't know	221	 13.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

g3: Would you say that over the past year, your own household's economic condition has gotten better, stayed about the same, or gotten worse?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]








Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Gotten much better	10	 0.6%
2	Gotten somewhat better	133	 7.9%
3	Stayed the same	1138	 67.3%
4	Gotten somewhat worse	318	 18.8%
5	Gotten much worse	68	 4.0%
95	Refuse to answer	2	0.1%
96	It depends	2	0.1%
97	No opinion	1	0.1%
98	Don't know	18	 1.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

g4: Would you say that in the forthcoming year, your own household's economic condition will get better, stay about the same, or get worse?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Gotten much better	34	 2.0%
2	Gotten somewhat better	298	 17.6%
3	Stayed the same	981	 58.0%
4	Gotten somewhat worse	163	 9.6%
5	Gotten much worse	24	 1.4%
95	Refuse to answer	2	0.1%
96	It depends	47	 2.8%
97	No opinion	2	0.1%
98	Don't know	139	 8.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

h1: Do you think that voting is a responsibility, or do you think that it is all right either to vote or not to vote?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Voting is a responsibility [continue to H2]	1244	 73.6%

# h1: Do you think that voting is a responsibility, or do you think that it is all right either to vote or not to vote?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	It's alright either to vote or not to vote [skip to H3]	402	<div><div></div></div> 23.8%
95	Refuse to answer [skip to H3]	0	
96	It depends [skip to H3]	19	<div><div></div></div> 1.1%
97	No opinion [skip to H3]	10	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
98	Don't know [skip to H3]	15	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# h2: Do you believe very strongly, somewhat strongly, or only a little that voting is a responsibility?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very strongly	530	<div><div></div></div> 31.4%
2	Somewhat strongly	551	<div><div></div></div> 32.6%
3	Only a little	149	<div><div></div></div> 8.8%
95	Refuse to answer	0	
96	It depends	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
97	No opinion	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
98	Don't know	8	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
99	Skipped	446	<div><div></div></div> 26.4%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# h3: Which of these three statements is closest to your own opinion?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Democracy is preferable to any other kind of regime	826	<div><div></div></div> 48.9%
2	In some circumstances, an authoritarian regime – a dictator	420	<div><div></div></div> 24.9%
3	For someone like me, it doesn't matter what kind of regime w	329	<div><div></div></div> 19.5%
95	Refuse to answer	4	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
96	It depends	20	<div><div></div></div> 1.2%
97	No opinion	19	<div><div></div></div> 1.1%
98	Don't know	72	<div><div></div></div> 4.3%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# h4: In your opinion how much of a democracy is Taiwan today?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A full democracy	122	<div><div></div></div> 7.2%
2	A democracy, but with minor problems	742	<div><div></div></div> 43.9%
3	A democracy, with major problems	668	<div><div></div></div> 39.5%
4	Not a democracy	41	<div><div></div></div> 2.4%
95	Refuse to answer	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
96	It depends	6	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
97	No opinion	7	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
98	Don't know	101	<div><div></div></div> 6.0%

h4: In your opinion how much of a democracy is Taiwan today?

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

h5: On the whole, are you very satisfied, fairly satisfied, not very satisfied, or not at all satisfied with the way democracy works in Taiwan?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	68	4.0%
2	Somewhat satisfied	935	55.3%
3	Somewhat dissatisfied	533	31.5%
4	Very dissatisfied	72	4.3%
95	Refuse to answer	2	0.1%
96	It depends	9	0.5%
97	No opinion	14	0.8%
98	Don't know	57	3.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

h6: Using the scale on this card, (where ONE means that voting won't make any difference to what happens and FIVE means that voting can make a big difference), where would you place yourself?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	No matter who people vote for, it won't make any difference t	203	12.0%
2		112	6.6%
3		315	18.6%
4		405	24.0%
5	People vote for can make a big difference to what happens	569	33.7%
95	Refuse to answer	7	0.4%
98	Don't know	79	4.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# h7: Which of the following two statements do you agree with more?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	The opposition parties should have a majority of the seats i	711	<div><div></div></div> 42.1%
2	The president's party should have a majority of seats in the	730	<div><div></div></div> 43.2%
95	Refuse to answer	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
96	It's hard to say	104	<div><div></div></div> 6.2%
97	No opinion	36	<div><div></div></div> 2.1%
98	Don't know	107	<div><div></div></div> 6.3%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# h8: Some people say that legislator elected by District should care about the interests of the whole country. Others say that legislator elected by District should care about the interests of District. Which statement do you agree with?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Interests of whole country	830	<div><div></div></div> 49.1%
2	Interests of District	697	<div><div></div></div> 41.2%
91	Both of them	85	<div><div></div></div> 5.0%
95	Refuse to answer	0	<div><div></div></div>
96	It's hard to say	16	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
97	No opinion	11	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
98	Don't know	51	<div><div></div></div> 3.0%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# h9: How widespread do you think corruption such as bribe taking is among politicians in our country: very widespread, quite widespread, not very widespread, or it hardly happens at all?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very widespread	475	<div><div></div></div> 28.1%
2	Quite widespread	812	<div><div></div></div> 48.0%
3	Not very widespread	243	<div><div></div></div> 14.4%
4	It hardly happens at all	10	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
95	Refuse to answer	9	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
96	It depends	10	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
97	No opinion	7	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
98	Don't know	124	<div><div></div></div> 7.3%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# i1: Who is the current president of the United States?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Obama	1358	<div><div></div></div> 80.4%
2	Incorrect	30	<div><div></div></div> 1.8%
3	I know but can't remember the name	109	<div><div></div></div> 6.4%

# i1: Who is the current president of the United States?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	193	<div><div></div></div> 11.4%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# i2: Who is the current the premier of our country?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Mao Chi-kuo(until 31 January 2016)	511	<div><div></div></div> 30.2%
2	Incorrect	134	<div><div></div></div> 7.9%
3	I know but can't remember the name	296	<div><div></div></div> 17.5%
4	Chang San-cheng(Since 1 February 2016 until 20 May 2016)	164	<div><div></div></div> 9.7%
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	585	<div><div></div></div> 34.6%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# i3: What institution has the power to interpret the constitution?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Grand Justices or Council of Grand Justices	571	<div><div></div></div> 33.8%
2	Incorrect	369	<div><div></div></div> 21.8%
3	I know but can't remember the name	32	<div><div></div></div> 1.9%
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	718	<div><div></div></div> 42.5%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# j1: In this presidential election on January 16th many people went to vote, while others, for various reasons, did not go to vote. Did you vote?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-95] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes [continue to J1a]	1448	<div><div></div></div> 85.7%
2	No [skip to J1e]	237	<div><div></div></div> 14.0%
91	Can't remember [skip to J1g]	1	0.1%
95	Refuse to answer [skip to J1g]	4	0.2%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# j1a: Which ticket did you vote for?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	CHU Lil-luan and WANG Ju-hsuan [continue to J1b]	347	<div><div></div></div> 20.5%
2	TSAI Ing-wen and CHEN Chien-jen [continue to J1b]	790	<div><div></div></div> 46.7%
3	James SOONG and HSU Hsin-ying [continue to J1b]	124	<div><div></div></div> 7.3%
91	Can't remembe [skip to J2]	16	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%

# j1a: Which ticket did you vote for?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
93	Didn't pick up the ballot [skip to J2]	6	0.4%
94	Cast an invalid vote [skip to J2]	15	0.9%
95	Refuse to answer [skip to J2]	143	8.5%
98	Don't know [skip to J2]	7	0.4%
99	Skipped	242	14.3%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# j1b1: What was the main reason you voted for the candidate?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 101-999] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
101	Support the candidate's party	119	7.0%
102	Identify with the ideals of the candidate's party	32	1.9%
103	The candidate's party's ruling team is better	6	0.4%
104	Trust the candidate's party	20	1.2%
105	Party's good image	16	0.9%
106	Distrust in DPP	2	0.1%
107	Distrust in KMT	16	0.9%
108	Hate the DPP	10	0.6%
109	Hate the KMT	28	1.7%
110	Hate the KMT and DPP	5	0.3%
111	Don't let the party face a debacle	4	0.2%
112	The party will introspect itself	1	0.1%
113	The party which the presidential candidate belongs to offers	1	0.1%
201	Candidate's good image	52	3.1%
202	Takes care of people	23	1.4%
203	Better policies	42	2.5%
204	Resolute	48	2.8%
205	Like him/her	65	3.8%
206	Hate Others	33	2.0%
207	Performed well before	37	2.2%
208	Pick one who is not the worst	29	1.7%
209	Trustworthy	23	1.4%
210	Honest and incorrupt	6	0.4%
211	He/She will be elected	8	0.5%
212	Give him/her one chance	33	2.0%
213	Pick the best	5	0.3%
214	Sympathy	11	0.7%
215	He/She won't be elected	3	0.2%
216	Modest	0	
217	Do not want to vote for TSAI Ing-wen	4	0.2%
218	Professional competence	3	0.2%
219	Female candidate	19	1.1%
220	Identify with Taiwan	5	0.3%
221	Not Blue nor Green	3	0.2%

j1b1: What was the main reason you voted for the candidate?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
222	Has good performance at debates	2	0.1%
223	Don't let him/her face a debacle	3	0.2%
224	Reasonable in politics	2	0.1%
225	Unfamiliar with others	0	
226	Adore him/her	0	
227	Independent candidate	0	
228	Better attitude	1	0.1%
229	Has a global perspective	0	
230	Takes care of the grassroots and labors	2	0.1%
231	Like vice president candidate	3	0.2%
301	Alternation of power	237	14.0%
302	Checks and balances	7	0.4%
303	Peace	17	1.0%
304	Do not support Taiwan independence	1	0.1%
305	Do not want to unify with China	7	0.4%
306	Improve economic development	35	2.1%
307	Want to change	63	3.7%
308	Consolidate democracy and freedom	6	0.4%
309	Cross-Strait relations stability	18	1.1%
310	Localization	1	0.1%
311	Takes care of Taiwan	14	0.8%
312	As a public servant	0	
313	Better welfare	2	0.1%
314	Tzuyu apologized for waving the ROC national flag	3	0.2%
315	National defense	1	0.1%
316	Have a promising future	8	0.5%
317	Political stability	1	0.1%
402	Family supports	26	1.5%
403	Celebrity recommend	1	0.1%
404	The same home-town with candidate	2	0.1%
405	Friends' persuasion	5	0.3%
406	Someone asks voters for support	1	0.1%
407	As a member of candidate's party	3	0.2%
408	Have known the candidate	0	
501	Vote randomly	6	0.4%
502	Continuation of political policy	3	0.2%
504	MA Ying-jeou did a bad job	6	0.4%
505	Female have better capability	0	
506	Owe him/her	0	
507	Dislike MA Ying-jeou	6	0.4%
508	Someone say that the candidate is better	5	0.3%
509	United	0	
510	Praying to gods	2	0.1%
992	No reason	6	0.4%
995	Refuse to answer	15	0.9%

# j1b1: What was the main reason you voted for the candidate?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
998	Don't know	28	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%
999	Skipped	429	<div><div></div></div> 25.4%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# j1b2: When did you decide which presidential candidate to vote for?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A long time ago	786	<div><div></div></div> 46.5%
2	Around two months before the election	118	<div><div></div></div> 7.0%
3	Around one months before the election	114	<div><div></div></div> 6.7%
4	Around two weeks before the election	102	<div><div></div></div> 6.0%
5	One or two days before the election	83	<div><div></div></div> 4.9%
6	On election day	51	<div><div></div></div> 3.0%
95	Refuse to answer	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
98	Don't know	5	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
99	Skipped	429	<div><div></div></div> 25.4%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# j1c: Was this the candidate that you originally wanted to vote for?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes [skip to J2]	1004	<div><div></div></div> 59.4%
2	No [continue to J1d]	243	<div><div></div></div> 14.4%
95	Refuse to answer [continue to J1d]	14	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
99	Skipped	429	<div><div></div></div> 25.4%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# j1d: Among the three candidates, which one did you originally want to vote for?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	CHU Lil-luan and WANG Ju-hsuan	30	<div><div></div></div> 1.8%
2	TSAI Ing-wen and CHEN Chien-jen	28	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%
3	James SOONG and HSU Hsin-ying	63	<div><div></div></div> 3.7%
92	None of them	104	<div><div></div></div> 6.2%
95	Refuse to answer	10	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
98	Don't know	22	<div><div></div></div> 1.3%
99	Skipped	1433	<div><div></div></div> 84.8%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# j1e: If you had gone to vote, which ticket would you have voted for?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		

# j1e: If you had gone to vote, which ticket would you have voted for?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	CHU Lil-luan and WANG Ju-hsuan	28	1.7%
2	TSAI Ing-wen and CHEN Chien-jen	97	5.7%
3	James SOONG and HSU Hsin-ying	29	1.7%
92	None of them	65	3.8%
95	Refuse to answer	9	0.5%
98	Don't know	9	0.5%
99	Skipped	1453	86.0%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# j1f: Why didn't you vote?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-999] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Working	51	3.0%
2	The electoral outcome couldn't be changed	17	1.0%
3	Military service	0	
4	Getting ill	13	0.8%
5	Having no time	31	1.8%
6	Dislike all the candidates	10	0.6%
7	Travelling abroad	10	0.6%
8	Don't know which candidate should I vote for	5	0.3%
9	Too far away from the polling stations	3	0.2%
10	Didn't want to vote	15	0.9%
11	Too late to vote	2	0.1%
12	Lost identity documents	3	0.2%
13	Disappointed with the KMT	1	0.1%
995	Refuse to answer	6	0.4%
998	Don't know	5	0.3%
999	Skipped	1518	89.8%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# j1g: If you had gone to vote, which ticket would you have voted for?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	CHU Lil-luan and WANG Ju-hsuan	1	0.1%
2	TSAI Ing-wen and CHEN Chien-jen	0	
3	James SOONG and HSU Hsin-ying	0	
92	None of them	0	
95	Refuse to answer	2	0.1%
98	Don't know	2	0.1%
99	Skipped	1685	99.7%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# j2: Which candidate did you vote for in the 2012 presidential election?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-96] [Missing=*]		

# j2: Which candidate did you vote for in the 2012 presidential election?			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	TSAI Ing-wen and SU Jia-chyuan	514	<div><div></div></div> 30.4%
2	MA Ying-jeou and WU Den-yih	666	<div><div></div></div> 39.4%
3	James SOONG and LIN Ruey-shiung	59	<div><div></div></div> 3.5%
91	Forgot	88	<div><div></div></div> 5.2%
92	Not eligible to vote	125	<div><div></div></div> 7.4%
93	Didn't vote	134	<div><div></div></div> 7.9%
94	Cast an invalid vote	6	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
95	Refuse to answer	83	<div><div></div></div> 4.9%
96	Didn't pick up the ballot	15	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# j3: Which candidate did you vote for in the 2008 presidential election?			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-96] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Frank HSIEH and SU Tseng-chang	379	<div><div></div></div> 22.4%
2	MA Ying-jeou and Vincent SIEW	736	<div><div></div></div> 43.6%
91	Forgot	140	<div><div></div></div> 8.3%
92	Not eligible to vote	223	<div><div></div></div> 13.2%
93	Didn't vote	127	<div><div></div></div> 7.5%
94	Cast an invalid vote	4	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
95	Refuse to answer	80	<div><div></div></div> 4.7%
96	Didn't pick up the ballot	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# j4: In this legislative election, which candidate did you vote for?			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 20101-999998] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
20101	CHEN, Ou-po(DPP)	22	<div><div></div></div> 1.3%
20102	SUN, Po-yu(Ind.)	0	
20103	KUO, Ru-jau(MCFAP)	0	
20104	LEE, Chih-yung(KMT)	10	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
20105	LIN, Shian-shan(Pan-Pacific Environmental Protect Union Part	0	
20106	WU, Zi-wei(Constitutional Conventions of Taiwan)	0	
20107	WU, Shao-wen(Green Party-Social Democratic Party Alliance)	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
20108	CHU, Ando(Ind.)	4	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
40101	LIN, Wei-chou(KMT)	15	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
40102	HUANG, Xiu-long(Ind.)	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
40103	CHENG, Yung-chin(Ind.)	9	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
40104	FAN, Chen-kui(Unionist Party)	0	
40105	SU, Wen-ying(NHS Union Party)	0	
40106	LI, Zong-hua(MCFAP)	0	
40107	CHO, An-thung(Faith and Hope League)	0	

j4: In this legislative election, which candidate did you vote for?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
40108	CHIU, Ching-ya(MKT)	8	0.5%
50101	TU, Wen-ching(DPP)	0	
50102	KANG, Shi-ju(MKT)	0	
50103	HUANG, Yu-yan(Ind.)	0	
50104	CHEN, Chao-ming(KMT)	0	
50105	LIN, Yi-fang(Free Taiwan Party)	0	
50201	TAI, Wen-hsiang(Ind.)	0	
50202	HSU, Chih-jung(KMT)	26	1.5%
50203	CHOU, Shu-han(Ind.)	0	
50204	WU, Yi-chen(DPP)	16	0.9%
50205	LIU, Wen-chung(Free Taiwan Party)	0	
70101	CHEN, Wen-pin(DPP)	9	0.5%
70102	WANG, Hui-mei(KMT)	16	0.9%
70201	CHANG, Yao-yuan(MKT)	0	
70202	LIN, Tsang-min(KMT)	0	
70203	HUANG, Hsiou-fang(DPP)	0	
70204	HSU, Yung-chin(Ind.)	0	
70205	HUANG, Yu-fen(Ind.)	0	
70206	LIU, Yung-chun(Ind.)	0	
70207	WEN, Kuo-ming(Labour Party)	0	
70301	ANG, Yu-kang(Free Taiwan Party)	1	0.1%
70302	CHENG, Ru-fen(KMT)	11	0.7%
70303	CHEN, Chao-rong(PFP)	2	0.1%
70304	CHANG, Yi-sheng(NPP)	0	
70305	HUNG, Chun-yi(DPP)	10	0.6%
70401	CHEN, Su-yueh(DPP)	19	1.1%
70402	CHANG, Chin-kun(KMT)	6	0.4%
80101	MA, Wen-chun(KMT)	0	
80102	CHANG, Kuor-hsin(DPP)	0	
80201	TSAI, Huang-liang(DPP)	0	
80202	HSU, Shu-hua(KMT)	0	
90101	SU, Chin-feng(DPP)	24	1.4%
90102	CHANG, Jung-chi(KMT)	6	0.4%
90103	LIN, Fu-yuan(Trees Party)	0	
90201	WANG, Wei-ting(NPP)	0	
90202	CHANG, Chia-wei(Trees Party)	0	
90203	WU, Wei-chih(KMT)	0	
90204	LIU, Chien-kuo(DPP)	0	
100101	CHIU, Kun-lung(Ind.)	0	
100102	TSAI, Yi-yu(DPP)	0	
100103	LIN, Chiang-chuan(KMT)	0	
100201	LIN, Yu-ling(DPP)	26	1.5%
100202	LAI, Ching-min(Constitutional Conventions of Taiwan)	0	
100203	CHEN, Ming-wen(KMT)	7	0.4%
130101	LIAO, Wan-ju(KMT)	0	

j4: In this legislative election, which candidate did you vote for?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
130102	SU, Zhen-qing(DPP)	0	
130201	CHUNG, Chia-pin(DPP)	0	
130202	WANG, Chin-shih(KMT)	0	
130301	HUANG, Chao-chang(Ind.)	1	0.1%
130302	CHANG, Chao-yang(Unionist Party)	1	0.1%
130303	CHUANG, Jui-hsuing(DPP)	29	1.7%
130304	HSU, Chin-ju(KMT)	4	0.2%
130305	DING, Yong-zhi(Free Taiwan Party)	0	
140101	CHEN, Chien-ke(KMT)	2	0.1%
140102	LIU, Chao-hao(DPP)	11	0.7%
150101	HUANG, Shi-peng(Ind.)	0	
150102	XIAO, Mei-qin(DPP)	11	0.7%
150103	YANG, Wu-kong(Pan-Pacific Environmental Protect Union Party)	0	
150104	WANG, Ting-sheng(KMT)	4	0.2%
160101	SHENG, I-che(Trees Party)	0	
160102	YANG, Yao(DPP)	0	
160103	HUANG, Han-tung(Taiwan Labor Party)	0	
160104	CHEN, Shuang-chuan(KMT)	0	
170101	YANG, Shi-cheng(MKT)	1	0.1%
170102	LIU, Wen-hsiung(PFP)	5	0.3%
170103	HAU, Lung-bin(KMT)	14	0.8%
170104	TSAI, Shi-ying(DPP)	20	1.2%
180101	KE, Jian-ming(DPP)	24	1.4%
180102	ZENG, Yao-cheng(Ind.)	0	
180103	LIN, Chia-yu(Free Taiwan Party)	0	
180104	OU, Chung-ching(PFP)	1	0.1%
180105	WEI, Bert(MCFAP)	0	
180106	WU, Shu-min(Ind.)	1	0.1%
180107	HUANG , Yuan-fu(Ind.)	0	
180108	WANG, Rong-de(Ind.)	0	
180109	CHENG, Cheng-chien(KMT)	9	0.5%
180110	CHIU, Hsieh-chih(NPP)	3	0.2%
200101	WU, Yu-jen(KMT)	0	
200102	WENG, Shou-liang(Ind.)	0	
200103	LEE, Chun-yi(DPP)	0	
200104	HUANG, Hung-chen-taiwan-ah-chen-world-great-person-rich-pres	0	
280101	HONG, Zhi-heng(Ind.)	0	
280102	CHEN, Tsang-chiang(DPP)	0	
280103	KAO, Dan-hwa(MCFAP)	0	
280104	CHANG, Cheng-fa(Ind.)	0	
280105	YANG, Cheng-wu(KMT)	0	
280106	Tony CHEN Ton Lee(Ind.)	0	
280107	CHEN, Te-hui(Taiwan Labor Party)	0	
280108	WU, Cherng-dean(NP)	0	
300101	CHEN, Hsueh-sheng(KMT)	0	

j4: In this legislative election, which candidate did you vote for?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
300102	LIN, Chin-kuan(Ind.)	0	
300103	SU, Po-hao(Trees Party)	0	
300104	CHANG, Chun-pao(Unionist Party)	0	
630101	TING, Shou-chung(KMT)	20	<div></div> 1.2%
630102	WU, Szu-yao(DPP)	23	<div></div> 1.4%
630103	HUANG, Ching-yuan(Taiwan Independent Party)	0	
630104	WANG, Ching-ya(MKT)	2	<div></div> 0.1%
630105	WU, Zhong-zheng(NHS Union Party)	0	
630201	WANG, Ming-Tzong AarMing(Ind.)	1	<div></div> 0.1%
630202	CHEN, Min-chien(Taiwan Independent Party)	2	<div></div> 0.1%
630203	WU, Chun-te(Faith and Hope League)	4	<div></div> 0.2%
630204	LIN, Xing-rong(NHS Union Party)	0	
630205	PAN, Wynn-HT(NP)	6	<div></div> 0.4%
630206	YAO, Wen-chih(DPP)	36	<div></div> 2.1%
630207	CHEN, Jian-bin(Free Taiwan Party)	0	
630301	KAO, Shih-en(Constitutional Conventions of Taiwan)	0	
630302	PAN, Billy(Ind.)	12	<div></div> 0.7%
630303	LIM, Sin-khai(Taiwan Independent Party)	0	
630304	CHAO, Yieng-jack(Ind.)	0	
630305	CIOU, Jheng-hao(Peace Pigeon Union)	0	
630306	CHEN, Alf Ke-yin(Ind.)	0	
630307	LEE, Yen-jong(Green Party-Social Democratic Party Alliance)	7	<div></div> 0.4%
630308	LI, Cheng-yueh(MCFAP)	0	
630309	HUANG, Li-hsiang(Ind.)	0	
630310	CHIANG, Wan-an(KMT)	18	<div></div> 1.1%
630401	HO, Wei(Ind.)	0	
630402	CHEN, Shang-chih(Green Party-Social Democratic Party Allianc	0	
630403	HUANG, Shan-shan(PFP)	0	
630404	LI, Yueh-feng(Peace Pigeon Union)	0	
630405	CHEN, Chao-Ming(Taiwan Independent Party)	0	
630406	LEE, Yen-hsiu(KMT)	0	
630407	HSIAO, Ya-tan(TSU)	0	
630408	LIN, Shao-chi(NPP)	0	
630501	LIN, Yu-fang(KMT)	0	
630502	LI, Jia-xing(Taiwan Independent Party)	0	
630503	HUANG, Fui-ching(Ind.)	0	
630504	HONG, Sneng-cheng(Constitutional Conventions of Taiwan)	0	
630505	GONG, Wei-lun(Ind.)	0	
630506	LIM, Freddy(NPP)	0	
630507	YOU, Rui-min(Trees Party)	0	
630601	CHEN, Chia-hung(Trees Party)	0	
630602	FAN, Yun(Green Party-Social Democratic Party Alliance)	0	
630603	PANG, Wei-liang(Ind.)	0	
630604	ZHAO, Yan-qing(Ind.)	0	
630605	LIN, Zhen-yu(Taiwan Independent Party)	0	

j4: In this legislative election, which candidate did you vote for?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
630606	CHOU, Fang-ju(Ind.)	0	
630607	CHIANG, Nancy(Ind.)	0	
630608	JENG, Tsuen-chyi(People Boss Party)	0	
630609	TSENG, Hsien-ying(Faith and Hope League)	0	
630610	CHIANG, Nai-shn(KMT)	0	
630611	KU, Wen-fa(Constitutional Conventions of Taiwan)	0	
630612	WU, Hsu-chih(MKT)	0	
630701	LIN, Wen-jye(Ind.)	0	
630702	LU, Jennifer(Green Party-Social Democratic Party Alliance)	0	
630703	Peter Curry(Ind.)	0	
630704	SU, Chen-ying(Peace Pigeon Union)	0	
630705	FAN, Yang-lu(Constitutional Conventions of Taiwan)	0	
630706	LIN, Susan(Taiwan Independent Party)	0	
630707	FAI, Hrong-tai(KMT)	0	
630708	YANG, Shih-chiu(Ind.)	0	
630801	LEE, Ching-yuan(Ind.)	0	
630802	LAI, Shu-shen(Ind.)	0	
630803	MIAO, Poya(Green Party-Social Democratic Party Alliance)	0	
630804	LAI, Shyh-bao(KMT)	0	
630805	CHEN, Lucent(Taiwan Labor Party)	0	
630806	FANG, Jing-jun(Ind.)	0	
640101	CHIU, Yi-ying(DPP)	0	
640102	CHUNG, Yi-chung(KMT)	0	
640103	LIU, Zi-lin(Unionist Party)	0	
640104	CHUANG, Ting-hsin(Peace Pigeon Union)	0	
640201	CHIU, Chih-wei(DPP)	0	
640202	HUANG, Yun-han(KMT)	0	
640203	HUANG, Jin-ling(Unionist Party)	0	
640204	LI, Bo-rong(Trees Party)	0	
640205	ZENG, Ying-feng(Free Taiwan Party)	0	
640301	LIANG, Pei-chen(Faith and Hope League)	1	0.1%
640302	LYON, Shu-fu(Ind.)	1	0.1%
640303	CHANG, Hsien-yao(KMT)	15	0.9%
640304	LIU, Shyh-fang(DPP)	21	1.2%
640305	ZHUANG, Ming-xian(Constitutional Conventions of Taiwan)	0	
640401	KUO, Lun-hao Lucas(KMT)	0	
640402	LIN, Jun-yang(Unionist Party)	0	
640403	LIN, Tai-hua(DPP)	0	
640501	TSAI, Chin-yen(KMT)	13	0.8%
640502	KUAN, Bi-ling(DPP)	23	1.4%
640503	YANG, Zong-ying(Peace Pigeon Union)	0	
640504	WANG, Hsin-chang(MCFAP)	0	
640601	HUANG, Po-lin(KMT)	18	1.1%
640602	LEE, Kun-tse(DPP)	14	0.8%
640603	YANG, Han-chi(Peace Pigeon Union)	0	

j4: In this legislative election, which candidate did you vote for?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
640701	CHAO, Tien-lin(DPP)	23	<div><div></div></div> 1.4%
640702	CHEN, Su-li(Peace Pigeon Union)	0	
640703	ZHUANG, Qi-wang(KMT)	11	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
640704	CHEN, Hui-min(NPP)	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
640705	LIN, Jing-yuan(Ind.)	0	
640801	LIU, Yi-xiong(MCFAP)	0	
640802	CHANG, Yu-hwa(People Boss Party)	0	
640803	CHEN, Han-ku(NPP)	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
640804	HUANG, Hsi-wen(KMT)	9	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
640805	MA, Kai-ni(Social Welfare Party)	0	
640806	WANG, Ting-xuan(Unionist Party)	0	
640807	XU, Zhi-jie(DPP)	16	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
640901	LIN, Tsung-yen(MKT)	0	
640902	LAI, Jui-lung(DPP)	0	
640903	LIN, Guo-zheng(KMT)	0	
640904	TSAI, Ma-fu(Unionist Party)	0	
650101	WU, Yu-sheng(KMT)	0	
650102	SU, Gideon Tung-ta(Trees Party)	0	
650103	HUNG, Zei-cheng(NHS Union Party)	0	
650104	LU, Sun-ling(DPP)	0	
650105	CHEN, Li-ji(Ind.)	0	
650106	CHEN, Yun-fen(Peace Pigeon Union)	0	
650201	CHEN, Ming-yi(KMT)	0	
650202	LIN, Shu-fen(DPP)	0	
650301	CHEN, Chang-fa(Constitutional Conventions of Taiwan)	0	
650302	SHIAU, Tom(NHS Union Party)	0	
650303	LEE, Chien-lung(KMT)	12	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
650304	GAO, Jyh-peng(DPP)	27	<div><div></div></div> 1.6%
650305	CHANG, Showen(PFP)	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
650306	LIN, Ji-ying(Social Welfare Party)	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
650307	YAO, Yin-hung(Trees Party)	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
650308	HUANG, Mao(Ind.)	0	
650309	SU, Ching-yin(Ind.)	0	
650401	GU, Ba-kai(Green Party-Social Democratic Party Alliance)	0	
650402	WU, Ping-jui(DPP)	0	
650403	WANG, Si-yi(Constitutional Conventions of Taiwan)	0	
650404	CHEN, Mau-jia(KMT)	0	
650405	LIU, Feng-chang(Peace Pigeon Union)	0	
650406	LIN, Li-rong(Righteous Party)	0	
650407	CHIU, Mark(Ind.)	0	
650501	SU, Chiao-hui(DPP)	21	<div><div></div></div> 1.2%
650502	KUO, Po-yu(NPP)	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
650503	HUANG, Chih-hsiung(KMT)	18	<div><div></div></div> 1.1%
650601	YAO, Yu-shism(Taiwan Labor Party)	0	
650602	LI, Jian-ming(Trees Party)	0	

j4: In this legislative election, which candidate did you vote for?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
650603	YU, Hsin-yi(Faith and Hope League)	0	
650604	KANG, Hector(PFP)	0	
650605	CHUANG, Feng-ming(Ind.)	0	
650606	LI, Gui-bao(MKT)	0	
650607	HUANG, Jun-min(MCFAP)	0	
650608	LIN, Kuo-chun(KMT)	0	
650609	CHANG, Hung-lu(DPP)	0	
650701	WANG, Chen-hwa(NHS Union Party)	0	
650702	LO, Chih-cheng(DPP)	22	<div></div> 1.3%
650703	LEE, Wan-yu(Ind.)	2	<div></div> 0.1%
650704	CHIANG, Huei-chen(KMT)	15	<div></div> 0.9%
650801	CHIANG, Yung-chang(DPP)	22	<div></div> 1.3%
650802	CHANG, Hing-Chung(KMT)	12	<div></div> 0.7%
650803	TUNG, Cheng-yi(Ind.)	4	<div></div> 0.2%
650804	WU, Jin-kui(MCFAP)	0	
650805	LIN, Ken(NHS Union Party)	0	
650806	SHAO, Ba-xiang(Constitutional Conventions of Taiwan)	0	
650901	DONG, Brya(The Motorists Party of ROC)	1	<div></div> 0.1%
650902	CHANG, Ching-fang(MKT)	2	<div></div> 0.1%
650903	TSENG, Wen-sheng(NHS Union Party)	1	<div></div> 0.1%
650904	LIN, Te-fu(KMT)	29	<div></div> 1.7%
650905	LEE, Hsin-chang(Ind.)	20	<div></div> 1.2%
651001	LU, Chia-chen(KMT)	0	
651002	WU, Chi-ming(DPP)	0	
651003	HUANG, Lu-guang(Free Taiwan Party)	0	
651101	LO, Ming-tsai(KMT)	0	
651102	TSENG, Poyu(Green Party-Social Democratic Party Alliance)	0	
651103	CHEN, Yung-fu(DPP)	0	
651201	CHUNG, Guo-chih(Peace Pigeon Union)	0	
651202	LEE, Ching-hua(KMT)	0	
651203	HUANG, Kuo-chang(NPP)	0	
651204	CHEN, Yung-shun(Faith and Hope League)	0	
660101	HOUNG, Chin-tei(Ind.)	0	
660102	WANG, Shu-fen(Constitutional Conventions of Taiwan)	0	
660103	CHEN, Chun-yuan(Unionist Party)	0	
660104	TSAI, Chi-chang(DPP)	0	
660105	YEN, Chiou-yue(KMT)	0	
660201	CHEN, Shih-kai(DPP)	0	
660202	YEN, Kuan-heng(KMT)	0	
660203	CHUNG, Wen-long(Ind.)	0	
660204	CHI, Kuo-tung(Ind.)	0	
660301	YANG, Chiung-ying(KMT)	9	<div></div> 0.5%
660302	HUANG, Hsin-chi(MCFAP)	1	<div></div> 0.1%
660303	HUNG, Tzu-yung(NPP)	15	<div></div> 0.9%
660401	WU, Shu-hui(MKT)	1	<div></div> 0.1%

j4: In this legislative election, which candidate did you vote for?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
660402	CHANG LIAO, Wan-chien(DPP)	18	1.1%
660403	TSAI, Chin-lung(KMT)	4	0.2%
660404	YEH, Chun-hsin(Faith and Hope League)	3	0.2%
660405	YEN, Hui-li(Ind.)	0	
660406	YOU, Shou-yuan(Free Taiwan Party)	0	
660501	CHIEN, Meng-hsuan(Faith and Hope League)	1	0.1%
660502	MIAO, Feng-lung(Ind.)	0	
660503	LU, Shiow-yen(KMT)	16	0.9%
660504	LIU, Kuo-lung(TSU)	9	0.5%
660601	HUANG, Kuo-shu(DPP)	16	0.9%
660602	SHEN, Chih-hwei(KMT)	9	0.5%
660603	HO, Tzu-hua(Ind.)	2	0.1%
660701	HO, Hsin-chun(DPP)	0	
660702	LAI, Yi-huang(KMT)	0	
660703	SHIH, Tatsai(MCFAP)	0	
660801	WANG, Cheng-chi(Ind.)	0	
660802	CHIANG, Chi-chen(KMT)	15	0.9%
660803	HSIEH, Chih-chung(DPP)	15	0.9%
670101	HUANG, Rui-kun(KMT)	4	0.2%
670102	CHEN, Bo-zhi(Unionist Party)	1	0.1%
670103	LIN, De-wang(Ind.)	3	0.2%
670104	YE, Yi-jin(DPP)	28	1.7%
670201	HUANG, Wei-zhe(DPP)	26	1.5%
670202	HUANG, Yao-sheng(KMT)	6	0.4%
670203	HUANG, Min-zhen(Unionist Party)	0	
670204	HUANG, Xian-qing(Free Taiwan Party)	1	0.1%
670205	WANG, Guo-dong(Ind.)	0	
670301	XIE, Long-jie(KMT)	4	0.2%
670302	DENG, Xiu-bao(MKT)	0	
670303	CHEN, Ting-fei(DPP)	26	1.5%
670304	WENG, Wan-ning(Unionist Party)	0	
670401	LIN, Jun-xian(DPP)	20	1.2%
670402	FU, Jian-feng(Unionist Party)	0	
670403	CHEN, Shu-hui(KMT)	13	0.8%
670404	CHEN, Huang-zhou(Constitutional Conventions of Taiwan)	0	
670405	YANG, Zhi-da(Green Party-Social Democratic Party Alliance)	1	0.1%
670406	TSAI, Yu-zhi(NPP)	0	
670501	WANG, Ding-yu(DPP)	0	
670502	YAN, Yang-qing(Taiwan Labor Party)	0	
670503	LIN, Yi-huang(KMT)	0	
670504	LI, Ying-shi(Unionist Party)	0	
680101	CHENG, Yun-peng(DPP)	0	
680102	CHEN, Ken-te(KMT)	0	
680103	WANG, Pao-hsuan(Green Party-Social Democratic Party Alliance)	0	
680201	CHEN, Pei-yu(Trees Party)	2	0.1%

# j4: In this legislative election, which candidate did you vote for?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
680202	WU, Zhen-tuo(Taiwan Independent Party)	0	
680203	LIAO, Cheng-ching(KMT)	11	0.7%
680204	CHANG, Kang-yi(NPP)	0	
680205	CHEN LAI, Su-mei(DPP)	20	1.2%
680206	HUANG, Wei-chun(Ind.)	0	
680301	CHEN, Hung-jui(MKT)	0	
680302	HSU, Ching-wen(DPP)	0	
680303	LAI, Li-chu(Ind.)	0	
680304	YU, Neng-sheng(Free Taiwan Party)	0	
680305	CHEN, Shei-saint(KMT)	0	
680401	YANG, Li-huan(KMT)	0	
680402	CHENG, Pao-ching(DPP)	0	
680501	LU, Yu-ling(KMT)	0	
680502	HSIAO, Chia-liang(Ind.)	0	
680503	CHANG, Cheng(MKT)	0	
680504	CHANG, Chao-liang(DPP)	0	
680505	HUANG, Chih-hao(Ind.)	0	
680506	HUANG, Guo-hua(NHS Union Party)	0	
680601	JUN, Jen-yuan(Taiwan Win Party)	2	0.1%
680602	ZHAO, Zheng-yu(Ind.)	15	0.9%
680603	LAN, Da-shan(Taiwan Independent Party)	0	
680604	SUN, Ta-chien(KMT)	13	0.8%
680605	YANG, Jin-xuan(Ind.)	0	
680606	LU, Tung-chieh(Green Party-Social Democratic Party Alliance)	0	
999988	Plain aborigines	6	0.4%
999989	Mountain aborigines	4	0.2%
999991	Forgot [skip to J4a]	51	3.0%
999992	Not eligible to vote [skip to J4b]	6	0.4%
999993	Didn't vote [skip to J5]	237	14.0%
999994	Cast an invalid vote [skip to J4b]	26	1.5%
999995	Refuse to answer [skip to J4a]	106	6.3%
999996	Didn't pick up the ballot [skip to J4b]	14	0.8%
999998	Don't know [skip to J4a]	8	0.5%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# j4aa: In this legislative election, which candidate did you vote for?(Plain aborigines)			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 690101-999999] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
690101	Tamo Ahsiung(Ind.)	0	
690102	Takiyo-Kacaw(MCFAP)	0	
690103	LIN, Hao-yi(PFP)	0	
690104	Sra-Kacaw(KMT)	1	0.1%
690105	Mayaw-Biho(Ind.)	0	
690106	KE, Ren-yao(Ind.)	0	

# j4aa: In this legislative election, which candidate did you vote for?(Plain aborigines)			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
690107	LIN, Amos(Taiwan First Nations Party)	0	
690108	Rahic Amind(MKT)	0	
690109	LIN, Jin-ing(NHS Union Party)	0	
690110	LIN, Tsung-han(KMT)	0	
690111	CHEN, Ying(DPP)	2	0.1%
690112	Galahe-Wubai-Hayawan(Ind.)	0	
690113	Sufin-Siluko(KMT)	2	0.1%
999991	Forgot	1	0.1%
999994	Cast an invalid vote	0	
999995	Refuse to answer	0	
999996	Didn't pick up the ballot	0	
999998	Don't know	0	
999999	Skipped	1684	99.6%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# j4ab: In this legislative election, which candidate did you vote for?(Mountain aborigines)			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 700101-999999] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
700101	LIN, Shi-wei(Ind.)	0	
700102	Yuming Suyan(China Production Party)	0	
700103	TSENG, Hua-te(Ind.)	0	
700104	Walis-Perin(DPP)	3	0.2%
700105	Yi Lan-Ming Ji Nu Aa(Faith and Hope League)	0	
700106	KUNG, Wen-chi(KMT)	1	0.1%
700107	Uliw-Qaljupayare(KMT)	0	
700108	QUAN, Cheng-wei(Taiwan Independent Party)	0	
700109	LIN, Xin-yi(Faith and Hope League)	0	
700110	KAO CHI, Su-mei(NPSU)	0	
999991	Forgot	0	
999994	Cast an invalid vote	0	
999995	Refuse to answer	0	
999996	Didn't pick up the ballot	0	
999998	Don't know	0	
999999	Skipped	1686	99.8%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# j4a: Which party's candidate did you vote for?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Kuomintang(KMT)	8	0.5%
2	Democratic Progressive Party(DPP)	5	0.3%
3	New Party(NP)	0	
4	People First Party(PFP)	1	0.1%
6	Taiwan Solidarity Union(TSU)	0	

# j4a: Which party's candidate did you vote for?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7	Non-Partisan Solidarity Union(NPSU)	0	
8	Independent	2	0.1%
9	Green Party-Social Democratic Party Alliance	0	
10	NHS Union Party	0	
15	People Boss Party	0	
16	Righteous Party	0	
18	Trees Party	0	
19	New Power Party(NPP)	0	
21	Minkuotang(MKT, Republic Party)	0	
22	Taiwan Independent Party	0	
23	Military, Civil, and Faculty Alliance Party(MCFAP)	0	
24	Faith and Hope League	0	
25	Unionist Party	0	
26	Free Taiwan Party	0	
27	Constitutional Conventions of Taiwan	0	
28	Peace Pigeon Union	0	
29	The Motorists Party of ROC	0	
30	Taiwan Labor Party	0	
31	Taiwan Win Party	0	
32	Pan-Pacific Environmental Protect Union Party	0	
33	Social Welfare Party	0	
34	Labour Party	0	
35	Taiwan First Nations Party	0	
36	China Production Party	0	
91	Forgot [skip to J4b]	42	2.5%
95	Refuse to answer [skip to J4b]	94	5.6%
98	Don't know [skip to J4b]	13	0.8%
99	Skipped	1525	90.2%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# j4a1: What was the main reason you voted for that candidate?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-999] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	The candidate's constituency service	395	23.4%
2	The candidate's party	326	19.3%
3	The candidate's image or ideas	278	16.4%
4	The candidate's behavior in the legislature	35	2.1%
5	Celebrated than others	6	0.4%
6	Dislike others	23	1.4%
7	Dislike other parties	8	0.5%
8	Family and friends' supports	28	1.7%
9	Alternation	23	1.4%
10	Have known the candidate	15	0.9%
11	Want to change	4	0.2%

j4a1: What was the main reason you voted for that candidate?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
12	Performed well before	8	0.5%
13	Like him/her	7	0.4%
14	Younger	2	0.1%
15	Vote randomly	11	0.7%
16	Female candidate	3	0.2%
17	He/She asks voters for support	4	0.2%
18	He/She has a higher democracy literacy	1	0.1%
19	He/She is local	4	0.2%
20	Get more than half sets	1	0.1%
21	Alternation of generation	0	
22	Takes care of Taiwanese	1	0.1%
23	Someone say that the candidate is better	3	0.2%
24	Better policies	9	0.5%
25	Tzuyu apologized for waving the ROC national flag	2	0.1%
26	Group support him/her	2	0.1%
27	Check and balance	7	0.4%
28	Celebrity recommend	3	0.2%
29	United	0	
30	The party has much more talented people	0	
31	Performed well at Sunflower Movement	0	
32	He/She is better overall	2	0.1%
33	Pick one which is not the worst	0	
34	Issues position	2	0.1%
35	Independent candidate	0	
992	No reason	0	
995	Refuse to answer	11	0.7%
998	Don't know	34	2.0%
999	Skipped	432	25.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

j4b: Here is a list of all the parties that contested this legislative election. Which party did you cast your at-large vote for?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Kuomintang(KMT)	311	18.4%
2	Democratic Progressive Party(DPP)	568	33.6%
3	New Party(NP)	52	3.1%
4	People First Party(PFP)	73	4.3%
6	Taiwan Solidarity Union(TSU)	27	1.6%
7	Non-Partisan Solidarity Union(NPSU)	8	0.5%
9	Green Party-Social Democratic Party Alliance	41	2.4%
10	NHS Union Party	3	0.2%
18	Trees Party	10	0.6%
19	New Power Party(NPP)	108	6.4%

j4b: Here is a list of all the parties that contested this legislative election. Which party did you cast your at-large vote for?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
21	Minkuotang(MKT, Republic Party)	13	0.8%
22	Taiwan Independent Party	0	
23	Military, Civil, and Faculty Alliance Party(MCFAP)	9	0.5%
24	Faith and Hope League	20	1.2%
25	Unionist Party	2	0.1%
26	Free Taiwan Party	1	0.1%
27	Constitutional Conventions of Taiwan	0	
28	Peace Pigeon Union	2	0.1%
91	Forgot [skip to J5]	55	3.3%
92	Not eligible to vote [skip to J5]	0	
94	Cast an invalid vote [skip to J5]	18	1.1%
95	Refuse to answer [skip to J5]	84	5.0%
96	Didn't pick up the ballot [skip to J5]	24	1.4%
98	Don't know [skip to J5]	24	1.4%
99	Skipped	237	14.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

j4b1: What was the main reason you voted for that party

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 101-999] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
101	Support the candidate's party	189	11.2%
102	Identify with the ideals of the candidate's party	120	7.1%
103	The candidate's party's ruling team is better	16	0.9%
104	Trust the candidate's party	34	2.0%
105	Party's good image	33	2.0%
106	Like the party list member	21	1.2%
107	Takes care of people	27	1.6%
108	The party's constituency service	14	0.8%
109	Hope economic get better	11	0.7%
110	Support the party's presidential candidate	41	2.4%
111	Neutral position	0	
112	Idea	1	0.1%
113	The party's lagislators have good qualities	5	0.3%
114	United ruling team	1	0.1%
115	Performed well before	7	0.4%
201	Hate the DPP	5	0.3%
202	Hate the KMT	24	1.4%
203	Distrust in KMT	11	0.7%
204	Distrust in DPP	3	0.2%
205	Gets things done	9	0.5%
206	Do not want to vote for others	11	0.7%
207	Unfamiliar with others	5	0.3%
208	They are more likey to obey the law	4	0.2%

j4b1: What was the main reason you voted for that party

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
209	They are frugal	0	
210	Stand for youth	0	
211	Do not let DPP have an excuse	2	0.1%
212	Identify with Taiwan	5	0.3%
213	Takes care of Taiwanese	11	0.7%
214	Takes care of farmers	0	
215	Both KMT and DPP had done badly	2	0.1%
216	Belive their leadership	4	0.2%
217	Not Blue nor Green	1	0.1%
218	They are moderate	4	0.2%
219	Support Taiwan independence	3	0.2%
220	The party's name attracted me	2	0.1%
221	Hate Others	0	
222	Don't let them face a debacle	1	0.1%
223	They has much more talented people	0	
224	They will introspect themself	0	
301	Alternation of power	101	6.0%
302	Checks and balances	63	3.7%
303	Give small party one chance	50	3.0%
304	Improve Cross-Strait relations	13	0.8%
305	Bring change	54	3.2%
306	Independent state	2	0.1%
307	Get more than half sets	42	2.5%
308	Let the youth into congress	11	0.7%
309	Give the KMT one more chance	6	0.4%
310	For the sake of Taiwan	4	0.2%
311	Make Taiwan better	12	0.7%
312	Rising in civic movement	0	
313	Multiparty in congress	3	0.2%
314	Implement its policies with the same party's president	12	0.7%
315	Environmental protection	4	0.2%
316	Takes care of the vulnerable in society	1	0.1%
317	Give one chance to public servant	2	0.1%
318	Death of HUNG Chung-chiu	1	0.1%
319	Improve economic development	2	0.1%
320	Good for diplomacy	0	
321	Expect reformation	2	0.1%
322	Political stability	0	
323	Take care of the grassroots	1	0.1%
401	Family and friends' supports	53	3.1%
402	Unified government	43	2.5%
403	Celebrity recommend	5	0.3%
404	Tzuyu apologized for waving the ROC national flag	3	0.2%
405	Because of HUNG Tzu-yung	1	0.1%
406	Christian	0	

# j4b1: What was the main reason you voted for that party			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
407	Split-ticket voting	0	
408	As a member of them	13	0.8%
409	As a volunteer of them	0	
410	Controversy of KMT assets	1	0.1%
412	Recommend by church	2	0.1%
413	Unions Support	0	
414	Do things conveniently	0	
415	Contribute to Taiwan in Sunflower Student Movement	3	0.2%
416	Youth have more power	3	0.2%
417	Feel change	2	0.1%
418	Vote allocation by self	6	0.4%
419	As a public servant	2	0.1%
420	Depend on the situation	1	0.1%
501	Vote randomly	3	0.2%
502	Intuition	3	0.2%
503	Wrong voting	1	0.1%
504	MA Ying-jeou did a bad job on economic	1	0.1%
505	Heard of better	1	0.1%
992	No reason	0	
995	Refuse to answer	21	1.2%
998	Don't know	67	4.0%
999	Skipped	442	26.2%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# j5: In the 2012 legislative election, which candidate did you vote for?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 12020101-99999998] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
12020101	LIN, Chien-rong(KMT)	9	0.5%
12020102	CHEN, Ou-po(DPP)	24	1.4%
12040101	FU, Jia-xian(ROC Taiwan Basic Law Party)	0	
12040102	PERNG, Shaw-jiin(DPP)	11	0.7%
12040103	XU, Xin-ying(KMT)	22	1.3%
12040104	DAI, Guo-liang(Taiwan Ideology Party)	0	
12050101	CHEN, Chao-ming(KMT)	0	
12050102	LI, Zhao-xiong(Taiwan Ideology Party)	0	
12050103	TU, Wen-ching(DPP)	0	
12050104	HUANG, Yu-ya(Ind.)	0	
12050201	YANG, Chang-zhen(DPP)	8	0.5%
12050202	HSU, Yao-chang(KMT)	27	1.6%
12070101	CHEN, Chin-ting(DPP)	5	0.3%
12070102	SHI, Yue-ying(Green Party)	0	
12070103	LIN, Yi-bang(Ind.)	4	0.2%
12070104	WANG, Hui-mei(KMT)	13	0.8%
12070201	HUANG, Xiu-fang(DPP)	0	

j5: In the 2012 legislative election, which candidate did you vote for?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
12070202	LIN, Cang-min(KMT)	0	
12070301	CHENG, Ru-fen(KMT)	21	<div><div></div></div> 1.2%
12070302	CHIANG, Chao-i(DPP)	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
12070401	HSIAO, Ching-tien(KMT)	10	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
12070402	WEI, Ming-ku(DPP)	14	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
12080101	ZHANG, Guo-xin(DPP)	0	
12080102	MA, Wen-jun(KMT)	0	
12080103	HE, Guo-long(Taiwan Ideology Party)	0	
12080201	LAI, Yan-xue(DPP)	0	
12080202	LIN, Ming-chen(KMT)	0	
12090101	LI, Jin-yong(DPP)	18	<div><div></div></div> 1.1%
12090102	CHANG, Chia-chun(KMT)	14	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
12090201	HSU, Shu-po(KMT)	0	
12090202	WANG, Mei-xin(People Largest Party)	0	
12090203	LIU, Chien-kuo(DPP)	0	
12100101	CAI, Yi-yu(DPP)	0	
12100102	WONG, Chung-chun(KMT)	0	
12100201	CHEN, Ming-wen(DPP)	23	<div><div></div></div> 1.4%
12100202	CHEN, Yi-zhen(KMT)	11	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
12130101	CHEN, Jun-hao(Taiwan Ideology Party)	0	
12130102	SU, Chen-ching(DPP)	0	
12130103	WU, Liang-qing(Ind.)	0	
12130104	LO, Chih-ming(KMT)	0	
12130201	LI, Shi-bin(DPP)	0	
12130202	WANG, Chin-shih(KMT)	0	
12130301	GONG, Rui-wei(KMT)	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
12130302	PAN, Meng-an(DPP)	26	<div><div></div></div> 1.5%
12130303	PAN, Zheng-zhi(Ind.)	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
12140101	XIE, Wen-han(Green Party)	0	
12140102	WU, Jun-li(Ind.)	0	
12140103	LIN, Zheng-jie(Ind.)	0	
12140104	RAO, Qing-ling(KMT)	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
12140105	LIU, Zhao-hao(DPP)	8	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
12150101	WANG, Ting-sheng(KMT)	6	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
12150102	SHI-YANG, Wu-kong(Ind.)	0	
12150103	LAI, Kun-cheng(DPP)	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
12150104	ZHANG, Zhi-chao(Ind.)	0	
12160101	LIN, Pin-kun(NPSU)	0	
12160102	YANG, Yao(DPP)	0	
12170101	LIN, You-chang(DPP)	11	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
12170102	HUANG, Xiao-ling(People Boss Party)	0	
12170103	HSIEH, Kuo-liang(KMT)	18	<div><div></div></div> 1.1%
12170104	ZHANG, Geng-hui(Ind.)	0	
12170105	ZHOU, Yi-cheng(Taiwan Ideology Party)	0	
12180101	WANG, Xuan(Ind.)	0	

j5: In the 2012 legislative election, which candidate did you vote for?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
12180102	DENG, Xiu-bao(ROC Taiwan Basic Law Party)	0	
12180103	LU, Xue-chang(KMT)	20	<div></div> 1.2%
12180104	WANG, Rong-de(Ind.)	1	<div></div> 0.1%
12180105	CHANG, Hsueh-shun(DPP)	10	<div></div> 0.6%
12180106	ZHAO, Fu-long(Ind.)	0	
12200101	CHEN, Jian-hao(Taiwan National Congress)	0	
12200102	CHIANG, Yi-xiong(KMT)	0	
12200103	LI, Jun-yi(DPP)	0	
12280101	CHEN, Fu-hai(PFP)	0	
12280102	WU, Cheng-tien(NP)	0	
12280103	XU, Nai-quan(Ind.)	0	
12280104	GAU, Xian-teng(NHS Union Party)	0	
12280105	YANG, Ying-xiong(KMT)	0	
12300101	CHEN, Xue-sheng(Ind.)	0	
12300102	CHAO, Erh-chang(KMT)	0	
12300103	CHEN, Cai-neng(Ind.)	0	
12630101	ZHANG, Yu-jing(Green Party)	0	
12630102	ZHANG, Zhi-jie(Taiwan National Congress)	0	
12630103	ZHENG, Guang-zhao(ROC Taiwan Basic Law Party)	0	
12630104	TING, Shou-chung(KMT)	24	<div></div> 1.4%
12630105	YANG, Lie(DPP)	11	<div></div> 0.7%
12630201	ZHOU, Shou-xun(KMT)	12	<div></div> 0.7%
12630202	WANG, Mei-wei(People Largest Party)	0	
12630203	YAO, Wen-zhi(DPP)	30	<div></div> 1.8%
12630204	XIA, Lin-qing(People Boss Party)	1	<div></div> 0.1%
12630205	FU, Wen-zhong(People Largest Party)	0	
12630301	CHIEN, Yu-yan(DPP)	13	<div></div> 0.8%
12630302	YANG, Chang-yan(Taiwan National Congress)	0	
12630303	LO, Shu-lei(KMT)	15	<div></div> 0.9%
12630401	ZANG, Jia-yi(People Largest Party)	0	
12630402	HUANG, Shan-shan(PFP)	0	
12630403	LI, Jian-chang(DPP)	0	
12630404	TSAI, Cheng-yuan(KMT)	0	
12630501	CHEN, Yan-fu(NHS Union Party)	0	
12630502	YANG, Jiong-huang(Ind.)	0	
12630503	LIU, Mei-juan(ROC Taiwan Basic Law Party)	0	
12630504	YAN, Sheng-guan(DPP)	0	
12630505	YE, Mei(Taiwan National Congress)	0	
12630506	ZHOU, Zhi-wen(People Boss Party)	0	
12630507	LIN, Yu-fang(KMT)	0	
12630601	YE, Bang-zong(Ind.)	0	
12630602	ZHOU, Pei-min(Taiwan Ideology Party)	0	
12630603	CHEN, Chen-sheng(PFP)	0	
12630604	ZHAO, Yan-qing(Ind.)	0	
12630605	WANG, Yun-yi(Taiwan National Congress)	0	

j5: In the 2012 legislative election, which candidate did you vote for?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
12630606	CHEN, Fu-min(Ind.)	0	
12630607	ZHAO, Shi-qiang(DPP)	0	
12630608	JIANG, Nai-xin(KMT)	0	
12630609	WANG, Xiu-zhu(People Largest Party)	0	
12630701	PAN, Han-sheng(Green Party)	0	
12630702	HU, Guang-ci(People Largest Party)	0	
12630703	HUO, Wen-lin(Taiwan Ideology Party)	0	
12630704	LI, Jun-ting(Ind.)	0	
12630705	FAI, Hrong-tai(KMT)	0	
12630801	RUAN, Zhao-xiong(DPP)	0	
12630802	FANG, Jing-jun(Ind.)	0	
12630803	LEE, Ao(PFP)	0	
12630804	YU, Wan-ru(Green Party)	0	
12630805	LAI, Shyh-bao(KMT)	0	
12640101	CIOU, Yi-ying(DPP)	0	
12640102	CHUNG, Chao-ho(KMT)	0	
12640201	QIU, Zhi-wei(DPP)	0	
12640202	XIE, Chang-li(Ind.)	0	
12640203	LIN, Yi-shih(KMT)	0	
12640301	LIN, Ying-rong(DPP)	16	0.9%
12640302	LI, Jian-nan(PFP)	0	
12640303	LIN, Zhen-yang(Green Party)	0	
12640304	HUANG, Chao-shun(KMT)	16	0.9%
12640401	HUANG, Xian-nan(Ind.)	0	
12640402	LIN, Tai-hua(DPP)	0	
12640403	QIU, Yu-xuan(KMT)	0	
12640501	LIN, Hong-ming(Ind.)	0	
12640502	DONG, Gui-ru(NHS Union Party)	0	
12640503	LWO, Shih-hsiung(KMT)	9	0.5%
12640504	KUAN, Bi-ling(DPP)	22	1.3%
12640601	HO, Tsai-feng(KMT)	10	0.6%
12640602	LEE, Kun-ze(DPP)	14	0.8%
12640701	CHIU, Yi(KMT)	5	0.3%
12640702	ZHONG, Pei-xuan(NHS Union Party)	0	
12640703	ZHAO, Tian-lin(DPP)	27	1.6%
12640704	ZHAO, Zhen-di(Ind.)	0	
12640801	LIN, Jing-yuan(Ind.)	0	
12640802	ZHENG, Fu-hua(Ind.)	0	
12640803	HUANG, Qian-fu(People Largest Party)	0	
12640804	XU, Zhi-jie(DPP)	13	0.8%
12640805	CHIANG, Lin-chun(KMT)	7	0.4%
12640901	CHEN, Zhi-zhong(Ind.)	0	
12640902	CAI, Ma-fu(Ind.)	0	
12640903	KUO, Wen-cheng(DPP)	0	
12640904	LIN, Guo-zheng(KMT)	0	

j5: In the 2012 legislative election, which candidate did you vote for?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
12640905	LIN, Jie-zheng(Taiwan National Congress)	0	
12650101	HE, Bo-wen(DPP)	0	
12650102	YU, Zong-pei(PFP)	0	
12650103	WANG, Zhong-ming(Green Party)	0	
12650104	WU, Yu-sheng(KMT)	0	
12650201	WANG, An-na(Taiwan National Congress)	0	
12650202	QIAN, Wei-juan(KMT)	0	
12650203	LIN, Shu-fen(DPP)	0	
12650204	GONG, You-qian(People Boss Party)	0	
12650205	JI, Jing-sheng(ROC Taiwan Basic Law Party)	0	
12650301	LI, Qian-long(KMT)	19	1.1%
12650302	WU, Qing(ROC Taiwan Basic Law Party)	0	
12650303	KAO, Jyh-peng(DPP)	17	1.0%
12650304	XIAO, Bing-xian(NHS Union Party)	0	
12650401	CHENG, Yu-cheng(NHS Union Party)	0	
12650402	LIN, Cho-shui(DPP)	0	
12650403	LEE, Hung-chun(KMT)	0	
12650404	LIN, Li-rong(Righteous Party)	0	
12650501	HUANG, Chih-hsiung(KMT)	19	1.1%
12650502	HUANG, Mao(ROC Taiwan Basic Law Party)	0	
12650503	LIAO, Pen-yen(DPP)	14	0.8%
12650504	JIANG, Ding-qian(NHS Union Party)	0	
12650601	LIN, Hung-chih(KMT)	0	
12650602	CHOU, Ya-shu(DPP)	0	
12650603	YANG, Cheng-qin(Taiwan National Congress)	0	
12650701	JIANG, Hui-zhen(KMT)	14	0.8%
12650702	LUO, Zhi-zheng(DPP)	15	0.9%
12650703	ZENG, Wen-zhen(Ind.)	2	0.1%
12650801	CHEN, Guan-teng(Ind.)	2	0.1%
12650802	CHANG, Ching-chung(KMT)	15	0.9%
12650803	QIU, Pei-lin(Ind.)	1	0.1%
12650804	JIANG, Yong-chang(DPP)	8	0.5%
12650901	XU, You-ming(DPP)	3	0.2%
12650902	LEI, Cian(Ind.)	6	0.4%
12650903	LIN, Te-fu(KMT)	27	1.6%
12650904	ZENG, Wen-sheng(ROC Taiwan Basic Law Party)	0	
12651001	YANG, Mu-wan(Green Party)	0	
12651002	CHUANG, Suo-hang(DPP)	0	
12651003	LU, Chia-chen(KMT)	0	
12651004	YOU, Jun-wen(Taiwan Ideology Party)	0	
12651005	WANG, Jin-fen(Ind.)	0	
12651101	KAO, Chien-chih(DPP)	0	
12651102	LO, Ming-tsai(KMT)	0	
12651201	LEE, Ching-hua(KMT)	0	
12651202	SHEN, Fa-hui(DPP)	0	

j5: In the 2012 legislative election, which candidate did you vote for?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
12651203	SHI, Yi-jing(People Largest Party)	0	
12651204	LO, Fu-chu(Ind.)	0	
12660101	CHEN, Tian-wang(KMT)	0	
12660102	WANG, Xian-tang(Ind.)	0	
12660103	TSAI, Chi-chang(DPP)	0	
12660201	LI, Shun-liang(DPP)	0	
12660202	YEN, Chin-piao(NPSU)	0	
12660301	TONG, Rui-yang(DPP)	2	0.1%
12660302	LIU, Kun-li(Ind.)	0	
12660303	YANG, Chiung-ying(KMT)	13	0.8%
12660401	ZHANG-LIAO, Wan-jian(DPP)	12	0.7%
12660402	TSAI, Chin-lung(KMT)	9	0.5%
12660403	YANG, Shu-ming(People Largest Party)	0	
12660404	CAI, Zhi-hao(Green Party)	0	
12660501	LU, Shiow-yen(KMT)	15	0.9%
12660502	JI, Zhao-chuan(NHS Union Party)	0	
12660503	HSIEH, Ming-yuan(DPP)	7	0.4%
12660601	HUANG, Yi-chiao(KMT)	6	0.4%
12660602	WANG, Ming-jiang(ROC Taiwan Basic Law Party)	0	
12660603	ZENG, Kun-bing(NHS Union Party)	0	
12660604	LIN, Jia-long(DPP)	12	0.7%
12660605	HE, Zi-hua(Ind.)	0	
12660606	LIN, Xing-jie(Ind.)	0	
12660607	CAI, He-xing(Ind.)	0	
12660701	HE, Xin-chun(DPP)	0	
12660702	CHENG, Li-wun(KMT)	0	
12660703	DUAN, Wei-yu(PFP)	0	
12660704	GUO, Ting-yi(Taiwan Ideology Party)	0	
12660801	KUO, Chun-ming(DPP)	2	0.1%
12660802	CHE, Shu-juan(Ind.)	0	
12660803	QI, Lian-sheng(Ind.)	0	
12660804	CHEN, Qing-long(PFP)	2	0.1%
12660805	JIANG, Qi-chen(KMT)	22	1.3%
12670101	YEH, Yi-ching(DPP)	28	1.7%
12670102	LI, Zong-zhi(PFP)	1	0.1%
12670103	OU, Chong-jing(KMT)	4	0.2%
12670201	HUANG, Wei-cher(DPP)	27	1.6%
12670202	ZHAN, Qiu-xian(Taiwan Global Commercial Party)	0	
12670203	YANG, Shi-chang(Ind.)	0	
12670204	ZHOU, Si-hai(KMT)	2	0.1%
12670301	XIE, Long-jie(KMT)	6	0.4%
12670302	CHEN, Ting-fei(DPP)	24	1.4%
12670303	CHEN, Yuan-qi(NHS Union Party)	1	0.1%
12670401	SU, Jun-bin(KMT)	8	0.5%
12670402	XU, Tian-cai(DPP)	17	1.0%

# j5: In the 2012 legislative election, which candidate did you vote for?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
12670501	LIN, Min-chang(Green Party)	0	
12670502	CHEN, Tang-shan(DPP)	0	
12670503	LEE, Chuan-chiao(KMT)	0	
12680101	ZHENG, Wen-can(DPP)	0	
12680102	CHEN, Ken-te(KMT)	0	
12680201	LIAO, Cheng-ching(KMT)	21	<div><div></div></div> 1.2%
12680202	KUO, Rong-tsung(DPP)	6	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
12680301	CHEN, Hsueh-sheng(KMT)	0	
12680302	LU, Zhao-ren(Taiwan Ideology Party)	0	
12680303	HUANG, Ren-zhu(DPP)	0	
12680304	LIU, Wen-hsiung(PFP)	0	
12680401	HUANG, Xing-guo(Taiwan Ideology Party)	0	
12680402	CHEN, Rui-de(Taiwan National Congress)	0	
12680403	HUANG, Shi-zhuo(DPP)	0	
12680404	YANG, Li-huan(KMT)	0	
12680501	HUANG, Jia-hua(People Largest Party)	0	
12680502	HUANG, Guo-hua(ROC Taiwan Basic Law Party)	0	
12680503	WU, Ping-e(Ind.)	0	
12680504	LIU, Bang-xuan(Ind.)	0	
12680505	LU, Yu-ling(KMT)	0	
12680506	CHEN, Zhen-wei(Taiwan National Congress)	0	
12680507	PENG, Tien-fu(DPP)	0	
12680601	ZHENG, Zhen-yuan(Ind.)	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
12680602	SUN, Sunny tc(KMT)	20	<div><div></div></div> 1.2%
12680603	HU, Zhen-bu(Ind.)	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
99999988	Plain aborigines	5	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
99999989	Mountain aborigines	4	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
99999991	Forgot [continue to J5a]	232	<div><div></div></div> 13.7%
99999992	Not eligible to vote [skip to J5b]	135	<div><div></div></div> 8.0%
99999993	Didn't vote [skip to K1]	134	<div><div></div></div> 7.9%
99999994	Cast an invalid vote [skip to J5b]	22	<div><div></div></div> 1.3%
99999995	Refuse to answer [skip to J5a]	72	<div><div></div></div> 4.3%
99999996	Didn't pick up the ballot [skip to J5b]	37	<div><div></div></div> 2.2%
99999998	Don't know [skip to J5a]	28	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# j5aa: In the 2012 legislative election, which candidate did you vote for?(Plain aborigines)			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 12690101-99999999] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
12690101	LAIO, Kuo-tung(KMT)	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
12690102	HONG, Guo-zhi(Ind.)	0	
12690103	Zhong-Ren-Da-Lu-Si(Ind.)	0	
12690104	LIN, Jin-ying(ROC Taiwan Basic Law Party)	0	
12690105	ZHAN, Jin-fu(Ind.)	0	

# j5aa: In the 2012 legislative election, which candidate did you vote for?(Plain aborigines)			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
12690106	LIN, Cheng-erh(PFP)	0	
12690107	CHEN, Lian-shun(Taiwan Ideology Party)	0	
12690108	Mayaw-Biho(Ind.)	0	
12690109	ZHENG, Tian-cai(Sra-Kacaw)(KMT)	1	0.1%
12690110	Yi-Lai-Di-Bu-Si(Ind.)	1	0.1%
99999991	Forgot	1	0.1%
99999993	Didn't pick up the ballot	0	
99999994	Cast an invalid vote	1	0.1%
99999995	Refuse to answer	0	
99999998	Don't know	0	
99999999	Skipped	1685	99.7%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# j5ab: In the 2012 legislative election, which candidate did you vote for?(Mountain aborigines)			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 12700101-99999999] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
12700101	ZENG, Zhi-yong(DPP)	0	
12700102	KAO CHI, Su-mei(NPSU)	2	0.1%
12700103	QIU, Wen-sheng(Ind.)	0	
12700104	KUNG, Wen-chi(KMT)	2	0.1%
12700105	Walis-Perin(PFP)	0	
12700106	CHIEN, Tung-ming(Uliw-Qaljupayare)(KMT)	0	
99999991	Forgot	0	
99999993	Didn't pick up the ballot	0	
99999994	Cast an invalid vote	0	
99999995	Refuse to answer	0	
99999998	Don't know	0	
99999999	Skipped	1686	99.8%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# j5a: Which party's candidate did you vote for?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Kuomintang(KMT)	30	1.8%
2	Democratic Progressive Party(DPP)	24	1.4%
3	New Party(NP)	0	
4	People First Party(PFP)	0	
7	Non-Partisan Solidarity Union(NPSU)	0	
8	Independent	1	0.1%
9	Green Party	0	
10	NHS Union Party	0	
11	ROC Taiwan Basic Law Party	0	
12	Taiwan National Congress	0	
13	Taiwan Ideology Party	0	

# j5a: Which party's candidate did you vote for?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
14	People Largest Party	0	
15	People Boss Party	0	
16	Righteous Party	0	
17	Taiwan Global Commercial Party	0	
91	Forgot	186	<div><div></div></div> 11.0%
95	Refuse to answer	70	<div><div></div></div> 4.1%
98	Don't know	22	<div><div></div></div> 1.3%
99	Skipped	1357	<div><div></div></div> 80.3%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# j5b: Here is a list of all the parties that contested the last legislative election. Which party did you cast your at-large vote for			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Kuomintang(KMT)	459	<div><div></div></div> 27.2%
2	Democratic Progressive Party(DPP)	432	<div><div></div></div> 25.6%
3	New Party(NP)	8	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
4	People First Party(PFP)	41	<div><div></div></div> 2.4%
6	Taiwan Solidarity Union(TSU)	37	<div><div></div></div> 2.2%
9	Green Party	17	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%
10	NHS Union Party	0	
11	ROC Taiwan Basic Law Party	0	
12	Taiwan National Congress	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
13	Taiwan Ideology Party	0	
14	People Largest Party	0	
15	People Boss Party	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
91	Forgot	263	<div><div></div></div> 15.6%
92	Not eligible to vote	125	<div><div></div></div> 7.4%
93	Didn't pick up the ballot	54	<div><div></div></div> 3.2%
94	Cast an invalid vote	22	<div><div></div></div> 1.3%
95	Refuse to answer	71	<div><div></div></div> 4.2%
98	Don't know	25	<div><div></div></div> 1.5%
99	Skipped	134	<div><div></div></div> 7.9%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# k1: During the presidential election campaign, many different problems faced by our country were raised. What do you think is the most important political problem facing Taiwan today?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-998] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Economic development	584	<div><div></div></div> 34.6%
2	Cross-strait problem	284	<div><div></div></div> 16.8%
3	National identity	28	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%
4	Alternation of power	8	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
5	Political stability	22	<div><div></div></div> 1.3%

k1: During the presidential election campaign, many different problems faced by our country were raised. What do you think is the most important political problem facing Taiwan today?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6	Diplomatic and international status issues	16	0.9%
7	Judicial reform issues	11	0.7%
8	Educational reform	32	1.9%
9	Environmental protection	1	0.1%
10	Gap between the rich and the poor	6	0.4%
11	Unemployment issues	6	0.4%
12	Aboriginal issues	0	
13	Social welfare	22	1.3%
14	Living justice	10	0.6%
15	Military apartments	10	0.6%
16	Land speculating	1	0.1%
17	Tzuyu apologized for waving the ROC national flag	5	0.3%
18	Sunflower Student Movement	1	0.1%
19	Low starting wage	12	0.7%
20	Clean politics	10	0.6%
21	Constitution reform	1	0.1%
22	Food safety	46	2.7%
23	Youth employment	4	0.2%
24	1992 Consensus	7	0.4%
25	President's leadership ability	2	0.1%
26	Party assets	9	0.5%
27	Pension reform issues	17	1.0%
28	Taiwanese Solidarity	4	0.2%
29	Clear away notorious partisan conflicts	16	0.9%
30	KMT candidate replacement	1	0.1%
31	Labor issues	4	0.2%
32	Comfort women issue	1	0.1%
33	people's livelihood	45	2.7%
34	Social stability	9	0.5%
35	sovereignty problem	13	0.8%
36	Distribution justice	4	0.2%
37	Crime	9	0.5%
38	Local resource allocation	1	0.1%
39	Ethnic cleavage	4	0.2%
40	Legislative process issues	5	0.3%
41	Ability of government executive	7	0.4%
42	Issues manipulation	1	0.1%
43	Ethnic Fusion	0	
44	Political attribution	0	
45	International status	10	0.6%
46	National internal struggle	8	0.5%
47	One-party dominance	0	
48	Communicate between government and the public	2	0.1%
49	Rulers' low Democratic literacy	3	0.2%

k1: During the presidential election campaign, many different problems faced by our country were raised. What do you think is the most important political problem facing Taiwan today?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
51	KMT inability	2	0.1%
52	Appointment	0	
53	Renewable energy	1	0.1%
54	Boycott KMT	0	
55	Quality of life	1	0.1%
57	Individualism	2	0.1%
58	Quailty of beuraucracy	0	
59	International trade and economic exchange	1	0.1%
60	Citizens' engagement	0	
61	Interpersonal trust	1	0.1%
62	Medical system	2	0.1%
63	Freedom of speech	1	0.1%
64	Safe nuclear power	2	0.1%
65	Clean politics	1	0.1%
66	Finacial issues	2	0.1%
67	Generational alternation	0	
992	No [skip to K2a]	65	3.8%
995	Refuse to answer [skip to K2a]	23	1.4%
998	Don't know [skip to K2a]	284	16.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

k1a: Which presidential candidate do you think is most capable of dealing with it?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	CHU Lil-luan and WANG Ju-hsuan	182	10.8%
2	TSAI Ing-wen and CHEN Chien-jen	599	35.4%
3	James SOONG and HSU Hsin-ying	166	9.8%
91	All of them	19	1.1%
92	None of them	170	10.1%
95	Refuse to answer	30	1.8%
97	No opinion	23	1.4%
98	Don't know	129	7.6%
99	Skipped	372	22.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

k2a: Where 0 means you strongly dislike that candidate and 10 means that you strongly like that candidate. The first is TSAI Ing-wen

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Strongly dislike	69	4.1%
1		18	1.1%
2		41	2.4%
3		75	4.4%

k2a: Where 0 means you strongly dislike that candidate and 10 means that you strongly like that candidate. The first is TSAI Ing-wen

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4		40	2.4%
5		350	20.7%
6		183	10.8%
7		226	13.4%
8		269	15.9%
9		69	4.1%
10	Strongly like	232	13.7%
95	Refuse to answer	15	0.9%
96	It's hard to say	16	0.9%
97	No opinion	20	1.2%
98	Don't know	67	4.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

k2b: [Like]How would you rate CHU Lil-luan?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Strongly dislike	183	10.8%
1		69	4.1%
2		120	7.1%
3		207	12.2%
4		151	8.9%
5		424	25.1%
6		159	9.4%
7		95	5.6%
8		88	5.2%
9		22	1.3%
10	Strongly like	38	2.2%
95	Refuse to answer	21	1.2%
96	It's hard to say	9	0.5%
97	No opinion	29	1.7%
98	Don't know	75	4.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

k2c: [Like]How would you rate James SOONG?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Strongly dislike	111	6.6%
1		76	4.5%
2		111	6.6%
3		192	11.4%
4		144	8.5%
5		413	24.4%
6		197	11.7%

# k2c: [Like]How would you rate James SOONG?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7		137	<div><div></div></div> 8.1%
8		88	<div><div></div></div> 5.2%
9		46	<div><div></div></div> 2.7%
10	Strongly like	29	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%
95	Refuse to answer	21	<div><div></div></div> 1.2%
96	It's hard to say	8	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
97	No opinion	29	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%
98	Don't know	88	<div><div></div></div> 5.2%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# k3a: [Capability]How would you rate TSAI Ing-wen using 0 to 10 scale?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Capability is very poor	29	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%
1		16	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
2		27	<div><div></div></div> 1.6%
3		65	<div><div></div></div> 3.8%
4		42	<div><div></div></div> 2.5%
5		283	<div><div></div></div> 16.7%
6		209	<div><div></div></div> 12.4%
7		229	<div><div></div></div> 13.6%
8		290	<div><div></div></div> 17.2%
9		126	<div><div></div></div> 7.5%
10	Capability is very good	175	<div><div></div></div> 10.4%
95	Refuse to answer	18	<div><div></div></div> 1.1%
96	It's hard to say	28	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%
97	No opinion	15	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
98	Don't know	138	<div><div></div></div> 8.2%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# k3b: [Capability]How would you rate CHU Lil-luan?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Capability is very poor	130	<div><div></div></div> 7.7%
1		55	<div><div></div></div> 3.3%
2		123	<div><div></div></div> 7.3%
3		170	<div><div></div></div> 10.1%
4		137	<div><div></div></div> 8.1%
5		386	<div><div></div></div> 22.8%
6		197	<div><div></div></div> 11.7%
7		141	<div><div></div></div> 8.3%
8		96	<div><div></div></div> 5.7%
9		36	<div><div></div></div> 2.1%
10	Capability is very good	36	<div><div></div></div> 2.1%

# k3b: [Capability]How would you rate CHU Lil-luan?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
95	Refuse to answer	19	1.1%
96	It's hard to say	16	0.9%
97	No opinion	23	1.4%
98	Don't know	125	7.4%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# k3c: [Capability]How would you rate James SOONG?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Capability is very poor	62	3.7%
1		56	3.3%
2		83	4.9%
3		146	8.6%
4		122	7.2%
5		341	20.2%
6		209	12.4%
7		205	12.1%
8		162	9.6%
9		68	4.0%
10	Capability is very good	39	2.3%
95	Refuse to answer	20	1.2%
96	It's hard to say	16	0.9%
97	No opinion	27	1.6%
98	Don't know	134	7.9%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# k4a: [Understand the needs of people]How would you rate TSAI Ing-wen using 0 to 10 scale?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Does not understand at all	32	1.9%
1		18	1.1%
2		26	1.5%
3		66	3.9%
4		58	3.4%
5		261	15.4%
6		199	11.8%
7		248	14.7%
8		288	17.0%
9		130	7.7%
10	Completely understands	163	9.6%
95	Refuse to answer	16	0.9%
96	It's hard to say	28	1.7%
97	No opinion	16	0.9%
98	Don't know	141	8.3%

# k4a: [Understand the needs of people]How would you rate TSAI Ing-wen using 0 to 10 scale?			
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# k4b: [Understand the needs of people]How would you rate CHU Lil-luan?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Does not understand at all	120	7.1%
1		65	3.8%
2		115	6.8%
3		166	9.8%
4		156	9.2%
5		388	23.0%
6		190	11.2%
7		148	8.8%
8		82	4.9%
9		28	1.7%
10	Completely understands	31	1.8%
95	Refuse to answer	19	1.1%
96	It's hard to say	19	1.1%
97	No opinion	27	1.6%
98	Don't know	136	8.0%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# k4c: [Understand the needs of people]How would you rate James SOONG?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Does not understand at all	53	3.1%
1		43	2.5%
2		86	5.1%
3		120	7.1%
4		108	6.4%
5		355	21.0%
6		217	12.8%
7		206	12.2%
8		177	10.5%
9		68	4.0%
10	Completely understands	49	2.9%
95	Refuse to answer	19	1.1%
96	It's hard to say	18	1.1%
97	No opinion	25	1.5%
98	Don't know	146	8.6%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# k5a: [Protecting Taiwan's interests]How would you rate TSAI Ing-wen using 0 to 10 scale?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		

k5a: [Protecting Taiwan's interests]How would you rate TSAI Ing-wen using 0 to 10 scale?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Completely incapable	39	2.3%
1		23	1.4%
2		32	1.9%
3		70	4.1%
4		56	3.3%
5		253	15.0%
6		182	10.8%
7		195	11.5%
8		292	17.3%
9		148	8.8%
10	Completely able	195	11.5%
95	Refuse to answer	18	1.1%
96	It's hard to say	24	1.4%
97	No opinion	16	0.9%
98	Don't know	147	8.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

k5b: [Protecting Taiwan's interests]How would you rate CHU Lil-luan?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Completely incapable	141	8.3%
1		64	3.8%
2		97	5.7%
3		149	8.8%
4		113	6.7%
5		399	23.6%
6		191	11.3%
7		151	8.9%
8		105	6.2%
9		36	2.1%
10	Completely able	35	2.1%
95	Refuse to answer	21	1.2%
96	It's hard to say	21	1.2%
97	No opinion	21	1.2%
98	Don't know	146	8.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

k5c: [Protecting Taiwan's interests]How would you rate James SOONG?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Completely incapable	65	3.8%
1		57	3.4%
2		78	4.6%

# k5c: [Protecting Taiwan's interests]How would you rate James SOONG?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3		130	<div><div></div></div> 7.7%
4		135	<div><div></div></div> 8.0%
5		402	<div><div></div></div> 23.8%
6		197	<div><div></div></div> 11.7%
7		177	<div><div></div></div> 10.5%
8		146	<div><div></div></div> 8.6%
9		44	<div><div></div></div> 2.6%
10	Completely able	38	<div><div></div></div> 2.2%
95	Refuse to answer	19	<div><div></div></div> 1.1%
96	It's hard to say	22	<div><div></div></div> 1.3%
97	No opinion	25	<div><div></div></div> 1.5%
98	Don't know	155	<div><div></div></div> 9.2%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# k6a: [Maintain Cross-Strait peace]How would you rate TSAI Ing-wen using 0 to 10 scale?			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Completely incapable	50	<div><div></div></div> 3.0%
1		28	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%
2		53	<div><div></div></div> 3.1%
3		93	<div><div></div></div> 5.5%
4		100	<div><div></div></div> 5.9%
5		339	<div><div></div></div> 20.1%
6		185	<div><div></div></div> 10.9%
7		190	<div><div></div></div> 11.2%
8		203	<div><div></div></div> 12.0%
9		74	<div><div></div></div> 4.4%
10	Completely able	149	<div><div></div></div> 8.8%
95	Refuse to answer	14	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
96	It's hard to say	36	<div><div></div></div> 2.1%
97	No opinion	17	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%
98	Don't know	159	<div><div></div></div> 9.4%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# k6b: [Maintain Cross-Strait peace]How would you rate CHU Lil-luan?			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Completely incapable	82	<div><div></div></div> 4.9%
1		40	<div><div></div></div> 2.4%
2		59	<div><div></div></div> 3.5%
3		91	<div><div></div></div> 5.4%
4		82	<div><div></div></div> 4.9%
5		361	<div><div></div></div> 21.4%
6		203	<div><div></div></div> 12.0%









# k6b: [Maintain Cross-Strait peace]How would you rate CHU Lil-luan?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7		203	<div></div> 12.0%
8		197	<div></div> 11.7%
9		77	<div></div> 4.6%
10	Completely able	80	<div></div> 4.7%
95	Refuse to answer	19	<div></div> 1.1%
96	It's hard to say	28	<div></div> 1.7%
97	No opinion	22	<div></div> 1.3%
98	Don't know	146	<div></div> 8.6%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# k6c: [Maintain Cross-Strait peace]How would you rate James SOONG?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Completely incapable	57	<div></div> 3.4%
1		33	<div></div> 2.0%
2		61	<div></div> 3.6%
3		81	<div></div> 4.8%
4		85	<div></div> 5.0%
5		389	<div></div> 23.0%
6		233	<div></div> 13.8%
7		200	<div></div> 11.8%
8		195	<div></div> 11.5%
9		60	<div></div> 3.6%
10	Completely able	65	<div></div> 3.8%
95	Refuse to answer	17	<div></div> 1.0%
96	It's hard to say	26	<div></div> 1.5%
97	No opinion	23	<div></div> 1.4%
98	Don't know	165	<div></div> 9.8%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# k7: How serious do you think the gap between rich and poor?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very serious	1008	<div></div> 59.6%
2	Serious	573	<div></div> 33.9%
3	Not very serious	59	<div></div> 3.5%
4	Not serious at all	2	<div></div> 0.1%
95	Refuse to answer	0	
96	It depends	5	<div></div> 0.3%
97	No opinion	4	<div></div> 0.2%
98	Don't know	39	<div></div> 2.3%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# k7a: Which candidate do you think have more ability to solve the problem of the gap between rich and poor?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	CHU Lil-luan and WANG Ju-hsuan	109	<div><div></div></div> 6.4%
2	TSAI Ing-wen and CHEN Chien-jen	685	<div><div></div></div> 40.5%
3	James SOONG and HSU Hsin-ying	196	<div><div></div></div> 11.6%
91	All of them	29	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%
92	None of them	355	<div><div></div></div> 21.0%
95	Refuse to answer	29	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%
97	No opinion	26	<div><div></div></div> 1.5%
98	Don't know	261	<div><div></div></div> 15.4%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# k8: How serious do you think low income of salaryman?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very serious	858	<div><div></div></div> 50.8%
2	Serious	655	<div><div></div></div> 38.8%
3	Not very serious	96	<div><div></div></div> 5.7%
4	Not serious at all	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
95	Refuse to answer	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
96	It depends	19	<div><div></div></div> 1.1%
97	No opinion	6	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
98	Don't know	51	<div><div></div></div> 3.0%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# k8a: Which candidate do you think have more ability to solve the problem of low income?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	CHU Lil-luan and WANG Ju-hsuan	144	<div><div></div></div> 8.5%
2	TSAI Ing-wen and CHEN Chien-jen	686	<div><div></div></div> 40.6%
3	James SOONG and HSU Hsin-ying	160	<div><div></div></div> 9.5%
91	All of them	24	<div><div></div></div> 1.4%
92	None of them	328	<div><div></div></div> 19.4%
95	Refuse to answer	35	<div><div></div></div> 2.1%
97	No opinion	24	<div><div></div></div> 1.4%
98	Don't know	289	<div><div></div></div> 17.1%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# k9: How serious do you think food safety?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very serious	1159	<div><div></div></div> 68.6%
2	Serious	402	<div><div></div></div> 23.8%
3	Not very serious	80	<div><div></div></div> 4.7%
4	Not serious at all	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
95	Refuse to answer	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
96	It depends	6	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
97	No opinion	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
98	Don't know	36	<div><div></div></div> 2.1%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# k9a: Which candidate do you think have more ability to solve the problem of food safety?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	CHU Lil-luan and WANG Ju-hsuan	122	<div><div></div></div> 7.2%
2	TSAI Ing-wen and CHEN Chien-jen	821	<div><div></div></div> 48.6%
3	James SOONG and HSU Hsin-ying	117	<div><div></div></div> 6.9%
91	All of them	68	<div><div></div></div> 4.0%
92	None of them	239	<div><div></div></div> 14.1%
95	Refuse to answer	27	<div><div></div></div> 1.6%
97	No opinion	24	<div><div></div></div> 1.4%
98	Don't know	272	<div><div></div></div> 16.1%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# k10: Has any candidate ever made you feel angry?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	CHU Li-luan	704	<div><div></div></div> 41.7%
2	TSAI Ing-wen	156	<div><div></div></div> 9.2%
3	James SOONG	158	<div><div></div></div> 9.3%
91	All of them	18	<div><div></div></div> 1.1%
92	None of them	459	<div><div></div></div> 27.2%
95	Refuse to answer	34	<div><div></div></div> 2.0%
97	No opinion	53	<div><div></div></div> 3.1%
98	Don't know	108	<div><div></div></div> 6.4%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# k11: Has any candidate ever made you feel afraid?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	CHU Li-luan	620	<div><div></div></div> 36.7%

# k11: Has any candidate ever made you feel afraid?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	TSAI Ing-wen	281	<div><div></div></div> 16.6%
3	James SOONG	271	<div><div></div></div> 16.0%
91	All of them	26	<div><div></div></div> 1.5%
92	None of them	289	<div><div></div></div> 17.1%
95	Refuse to answer	30	<div><div></div></div> 1.8%
97	No opinion	48	<div><div></div></div> 2.8%
98	Don't know	125	<div><div></div></div> 7.4%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# k12: Has any candidate ever made you feel hopeful?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	CHU Li-luan	183	<div><div></div></div> 10.8%
2	TSAI Ing-wen	845	<div><div></div></div> 50.0%
3	James SOONG	159	<div><div></div></div> 9.4%
91	All of them	29	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%
92	None of them	253	<div><div></div></div> 15.0%
95	Refuse to answer	31	<div><div></div></div> 1.8%
97	No opinion	43	<div><div></div></div> 2.5%
98	Don't know	147	<div><div></div></div> 8.7%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# k13: Has any candidate ever made you feel proud to be a Taiwanese?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	CHU Li-luan	136	<div><div></div></div> 8.0%
2	TSAI Ing-wen	806	<div><div></div></div> 47.7%
3	James SOONG	99	<div><div></div></div> 5.9%
91	All of them	40	<div><div></div></div> 2.4%
92	None of them	401	<div><div></div></div> 23.7%
95	Refuse to answer	29	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%
97	No opinion	35	<div><div></div></div> 2.1%
98	Don't know	144	<div><div></div></div> 8.5%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# m1a: How would you rate the performance of your legislator at constituency service?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Done a very bad job	75	<div><div></div></div> 4.4%
1		29	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%
2		56	<div><div></div></div> 3.3%
3		101	<div><div></div></div> 6.0%
4		102	<div><div></div></div> 6.0%














m1a: How would you rate the performance of your legislator at constituency service?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5		321	 19.0%
6		191	 11.3%
7		152	 9.0%
8		198	 11.7%
9		62	 3.7%
10	Done a very good job	99	 5.9%
95	Refuse to answer	17	 1.0%
98	Don't know	287	 17.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

m1b: How would you rate the performance of your legislator at law-making?













Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Done a very bad job	75	 4.4%
1		29	 1.7%
2		50	 3.0%
3		99	 5.9%
4		94	 5.6%
5		301	 17.8%
6		176	 10.4%
7		145	 8.6%
8		152	 9.0%
9		51	 3.0%
10	Done a very good job	62	 3.7%
95	Refuse to answer	14	 0.8%
98	Don't know	442	 26.2%


Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

m2: On a scale from 0 to 10, where 0 represents very bad and 10 represents very good, how would you rate the Legislative Yuan's overall performance over the past four years?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Very bad	144	 8.5%
1		59	 3.5%
2		132	 7.8%
3		222	 13.1%
4		199	 11.8%
5		413	 24.4%
6		169	 10.0%
7		77	 4.6%
8		35	 2.1%
9		14	 0.8%
10	Very good	16	 0.9%
95	Refuse to answer	7	 0.4%














m2: On a scale from 0 to 10, where 0 represents very bad and 10 represents very good, how would you rate the Legislative Yuan's overall performance over the past four years?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Don't know	203	 12.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

m3: On the same scale, how would you rate the KMT's performance in the Legislative Yuan?














Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Very bad	222	 13.1%
1		85	 5.0%
2		134	 7.9%
3		265	 15.7%
4		204	 12.1%
5		358	 21.2%
6		125	 7.4%
7		53	 3.1%
8		24	 1.4%
9		6	 0.4%
10	Very good	9	 0.5%
95	Refuse to answer	20	 1.2%
98	Don't know	185	 10.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

m4: On the same scale, how would you rate the DPP's performance in the Legislative Yuan?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Very bad	100	 5.9%
1		48	 2.8%
2		76	 4.5%
3		174	 10.3%
4		178	 10.5%
5		436	 25.8%
6		220	 13.0%
7		116	 6.9%
8		74	 4.4%
9		19	 1.1%
10	Very good	26	 1.5%
95	Refuse to answer	17	 1.0%
98	Don't know	206	 12.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

n1: This card lists eleven positions from independence (0) to unification (10). Which position do you occupy?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

n1: This card lists eleven positions from independence (0) to unification (10). Which position do you occupy?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Independence	188	11.1%
1		29	1.7%
2		78	4.6%
3		94	5.6%
4		87	5.1%
5		794	47.0%
6		74	4.4%
7		39	2.3%
8		53	3.1%
9		13	0.8%
10	Unification	61	3.6%
95	Refuse to answer	26	1.5%
96	It's hard to say	36	2.1%
98	Don't know	118	7.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

n1a: What position do you think KMT occupies?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Independence	13	0.8%
1		8	0.5%
2		11	0.7%
3		22	1.3%
4		16	0.9%
5		290	17.2%
6		175	10.4%
7		185	10.9%
8		254	15.0%
9		102	6.0%
10	Unification	380	22.5%
95	Refuse to answer	16	0.9%
96	It's hard to say	21	1.2%
98	Don't know	197	11.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

n1b: What position do you think DPP occupies?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Independence	247	14.6%
1		113	6.7%
2		180	10.7%
3		246	14.6%
4		207	12.2%

# n1b: What position do you think DPP occupies?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5		371	<div></div> 22.0%
6		37	<div></div> 2.2%
7		21	<div></div> 1.2%
8		19	<div></div> 1.1%
9		4	<div></div> 0.2%
10	Unification	9	<div></div> 0.5%
95	Refuse to answer	15	<div></div> 0.9%
96	It's hard to say	26	<div></div> 1.5%
98	Don't know	195	<div></div> 11.5%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# n1c: What position do you think PFP occupies?			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Independence	14	<div></div> 0.8%
1		11	<div></div> 0.7%
2		18	<div></div> 1.1%
3		50	<div></div> 3.0%
4		62	<div></div> 3.7%
5		503	<div></div> 29.8%
6		187	<div></div> 11.1%
7		170	<div></div> 10.1%
8		112	<div></div> 6.6%
9		38	<div></div> 2.2%
10	Unification	95	<div></div> 5.6%
95	Refuse to answer	14	<div></div> 0.8%
96	It's hard to say	30	<div></div> 1.8%
98	Don't know	386	<div></div> 22.8%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# n1d: What position do you think TSU occupies?			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Independence	286	<div></div> 16.9%
1		163	<div></div> 9.6%
2		165	<div></div> 9.8%
3		165	<div></div> 9.8%
4		104	<div></div> 6.2%
5		224	<div></div> 13.3%
6		30	<div></div> 1.8%
7		19	<div></div> 1.1%
8		15	<div></div> 0.9%
9		10	<div></div> 0.6%
10	Unification	6	<div></div> 0.4%

# n1d: What position do you think TSU occupies?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
95	Refuse to answer	19	1.1%
96	It's hard to say	19	1.1%
98	Don't know	465	27.5%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# n1e: What position do you think New Power Party (NPP) occupies?			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Independence	210	12.4%
1		123	7.3%
2		133	7.9%
3		166	9.8%
4		112	6.6%
5		299	17.7%
6		15	0.9%
7		19	1.1%
8		7	0.4%
9		1	0.1%
10	Unification	4	0.2%
95	Refuse to answer	17	1.0%
96	It's hard to say	34	2.0%
98	Don't know	550	32.5%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# n2: Where would you place yourself on this scale where 0 means 'Governments should decrease taxes and spend less on services' and 10 means 'Governments should increase taxes and spend more on services'?			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Governments should decrease taxes and spend less on services	152	9.0%
1		37	2.2%
2		53	3.1%
3		115	6.8%
4		112	6.6%
5		508	30.1%
6		142	8.4%
7		129	7.6%
8		128	7.6%
9		35	2.1%
10	Governments should increase taxes and spend more on services	87	5.1%
95	Refuse to answer	17	1.0%
96	It's hard to say	77	4.6%
98	Don't know	98	5.8%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# n2a: What position do you think KMT occupies?			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Governments should decrease taxes and spend less on services	33	<div><div></div></div> 2.0%
1		14	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
2		21	<div><div></div></div> 1.2%
3		49	<div><div></div></div> 2.9%
4		68	<div><div></div></div> 4.0%
5		336	<div><div></div></div> 19.9%
6		212	<div><div></div></div> 12.5%
7		179	<div><div></div></div> 10.6%
8		148	<div><div></div></div> 8.8%
9		59	<div><div></div></div> 3.5%
10	Governments should increase taxes and spend more on services	146	<div><div></div></div> 8.6%
95	Refuse to answer	16	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
96	It's hard to say	54	<div><div></div></div> 3.2%
98	Don't know	355	<div><div></div></div> 21.0%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# n2b: What position do you think DPP occupies?			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Governments should decrease taxes and spend less on services	51	<div><div></div></div> 3.0%
1		16	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
2		27	<div><div></div></div> 1.6%
3		86	<div><div></div></div> 5.1%
4		130	<div><div></div></div> 7.7%
5		425	<div><div></div></div> 25.1%
6		209	<div><div></div></div> 12.4%
7		127	<div><div></div></div> 7.5%
8		105	<div><div></div></div> 6.2%
9		10	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
10	Governments should increase taxes and spend more on services	48	<div><div></div></div> 2.8%
95	Refuse to answer	18	<div><div></div></div> 1.1%
96	It's hard to say	46	<div><div></div></div> 2.7%
98	Don't know	392	<div><div></div></div> 23.2%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# n3: After 2008, the cross-Strait economic interactions have intensified. As a result of this, do you think Taiwan's economy has gotten better, worse or is about the same?			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Better	380	<div><div></div></div> 22.5%
2	Worse	450	<div><div></div></div> 26.6%

n3: After 2008, the cross-Straits economic interactions have intensified. As a result of this, do you think Taiwan's economy has gotten better, worse or is about the same?








Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	About the same	701	 41.5%
95	Refuse to answer	2	 0.1%
96	It's hard to say	31	 1.8%
97	No opinion	15	 0.9%
98	Don't know	111	 6.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

n3a: Thinking about your own economic situation. As a result of intensification of cross-Straits interactions, do you think it has gotten better, worse, or stayed about the same?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]









Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Better	94	 5.6%
2	Worse	274	 16.2%
3	About the same	1255	 74.3%
95	Refuse to answer	3	 0.2%
96	It's hard to say	10	 0.6%
97	No opinion	11	 0.7%
98	Don't know	43	 2.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

n4: Ethnic minorities should adapt to our country's way of life

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]







Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	41	 2.4%
2	Agree	607	 35.9%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	147	 8.7%
4	Disagree	693	 41.0%
5	Strongly disagree	72	 4.3%
95	Refuse to answer	5	 0.3%
96	It depends	28	 1.7%
98	Don't know	97	 5.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

n5: Immigrants are generally good for our country's economy

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	25	 1.5%
2	Agree	634	 37.5%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	177	 10.5%
4	Disagree	624	 36.9%
5	Strongly disagree	52	 3.1%
95	Refuse to answer	1	 0.1%

# n5: Immigrants are generally good for our country's economy				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
96	It depends	55	<div></div>	3.3%
98	Don't know	122	<div></div>	7.2%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# n6: Our country's culture is generally harmed by immigrants				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Strongly agree	44	<div></div>	2.6%
2	Agree	331	<div></div>	19.6%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	120	<div></div>	7.1%
4	Disagree	961	<div></div>	56.9%
5	Strongly disagree	68	<div></div>	4.0%
95	Refuse to answer	3	<div></div>	0.2%
96	It depends	35	<div></div>	2.1%
98	Don't know	128	<div></div>	7.6%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# p1: Do you consider yourself as Taiwanese, Chinese or both?				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Taiwanese	1060	<div></div>	62.7%
2	Both [skip to P1b]	502	<div></div>	29.7%
3	Chinese	69	<div></div>	4.1%
95	Refuse to answer [skip to P1b]	23	<div></div>	1.4%
98	Don't know [skip to P1b]	36	<div></div>	2.1%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# p1a: Do you feel very strongly or somewhat strongly that you are Taiwanese/Chinese?				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Very strongly	715	<div></div>	42.3%
2	Somewhat	405	<div></div>	24.0%
95	Refuse to answer	9	<div></div>	0.5%
99	Skipped	561	<div></div>	33.2%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# p1b: Do you feel yourself leaning a little more to considering yourself Taiwanese or Chinese?				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Lean to Taiwanese	251	<div></div>	14.9%
2	Lean to Chinese	54	<div></div>	3.2%
3	No	229	<div></div>	13.6%

# p1b: Do you feel yourself leaning a little more to considering yourself Taiwanese or Chinese?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
95	Refuse to answer	27	1.6%
99	Skipped	1129	66.8%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# p2: Do you believe that cross-Strait relations will become warmer, more tense, or remain unchanged?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much warmer	30	1.8%
2	Warmer	325	19.2%
3	Unchanged	529	31.3%
4	More tense	557	33.0%
5	Much more tense	48	2.8%
95	Refuse to answer	3	0.2%
96	It depends	36	2.1%
97	No opinion	10	0.6%
98	Don't know	152	9.0%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# p3: The leaders of the two sides of Taiwan Strait met in Singapore in November last year. General speaking, do you think this meeting had either a positive or negative impact on the future development of Taiwan?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A positive impact	661	39.1%
2	A negative impact	383	22.7%
3	No impact	371	22.0%
95	Refuse to answer	4	0.2%
96	It's hard to say	17	1.0%
97	No opinion	18	1.1%
98	Don't know	236	14.0%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# p4: Regarding the issue of Cross-Strait negotiation, some people say that we should continue using the 1992 Consensus as the basis of Cross-Strait negotiation while other people say we should reject the 1992 Consensus. Which do you agree with?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Continue using the 1992 Consensus	540	32.0%
2	Reject the 1992 Consensus	454	26.9%
3	There is no 1992 Consensus	140	8.3%
4	Both support	24	1.4%
5	Both don't support	36	2.1%
95	Refuse to answer	19	1.1%
96	It depends	46	2.7%

p4: Regarding the issue of Cross-Strait negotiation, some people say that we should continue using the 1992 Consensus as the basis of Cross-Strait negotiation while other people say we should reject the 1992 Consensus. Which do you agree with?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	No opinion	68	4.0%
98	Don't know	363	21.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

p5: Concerning the relationship between Taiwan and mainland China, which of the following six positions do you agree with

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Immediate unification	27	1.6%
2	Immediate independence	108	6.4%
3	Maintain the status quo, move toward unification in the futu	180	10.7%
4	Maintain the status quo, move toward independence in the fut	380	22.5%
5	Maintain the status quo, decide either unification or indepe	546	32.3%
6	Maintain the status quo forever	328	19.4%
95	Refuse to answer	7	0.4%
96	It depends	8	0.5%
97	No opinion	22	1.3%
98	Don't know	84	5.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

p5a: Which of the following six positions does CHU Li-luan agree with?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Immediate unification	253	15.0%
2	Immediate independence	13	0.8%
3	Maintain the status quo, move toward unification in the futu	644	38.1%
4	Maintain the status quo, move toward independence in the fut	40	2.4%
5	Maintain the status quo, decide either unification or indepe	229	13.6%
6	Maintain the status quo forever	162	9.6%
95	Refuse to answer	14	0.8%
96	It depends	6	0.4%
97	No opinion	13	0.8%
98	Don't know	316	18.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.








p5b: Which of the following six positions does TSAI Ing-wen agree with?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Immediate unification	6	0.4%
2	Immediate independence	191	11.3%
3	Maintain the status quo, move toward unification in the futu	40	2.4%

# p5b: Which of the following six positions does TSAI Ing-wen agree with?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Maintain the status quo, move toward independence in the fut	691	<div><div></div></div> 40.9%
5	Maintain the status quo, decide either unification or indepe	314	<div><div></div></div> 18.6%
6	Maintain the status quo forever	134	<div><div></div></div> 7.9%
95	Refuse to answer	13	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
96	It depends	6	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
97	No opinion	7	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
98	Don't know	288	<div><div></div></div> 17.0%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# p5c: Which of the following six positions does James SOONG agree with?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Immediate unification	131	<div><div></div></div> 7.8%
2	Immediate independence	28	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%
3	Maintain the status quo, move toward unification in the futu	461	<div><div></div></div> 27.3%
4	Maintain the status quo, move toward independence in the fut	71	<div><div></div></div> 4.2%
5	Maintain the status quo, decide either unification or indepe	389	<div><div></div></div> 23.0%
6	Maintain the status quo forever	192	<div><div></div></div> 11.4%
95	Refuse to answer	14	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
96	It depends	4	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
97	No opinion	16	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
98	Don't know	384	<div><div></div></div> 22.7%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# p6: Some people say, 'If Taiwan could still maintain peaceful relations with the PRC after declaring independence, then Taiwan should establish a new, independent country'. Do you agree or disagree with this statement?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	242	<div><div></div></div> 14.3%
2	Agree	724	<div><div></div></div> 42.8%
3	Disagree	416	<div><div></div></div> 24.6%
4	Strongly disagree	87	<div><div></div></div> 5.1%
95	Refuse to answer	16	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
96	It depends	35	<div><div></div></div> 2.1%
97	No opinion	31	<div><div></div></div> 1.8%
98	Don't know	139	<div><div></div></div> 8.2%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# p6a: Some people say, 'Even if PRC decides to attack Taiwan after Taiwan declares independence, Taiwan should still become a new country' Do you agree or disagree with this statement?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	85	<div><div></div></div> 5.0%









p6a: Some people say, 'Even if PRC decides to attack Taiwan after Taiwan declares independence, Taiwan should still become a new country' Do you agree or disagree with this statement?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Agree	508	 30.1%
3	Disagree	685	 40.5%
4	Strongly disagree	160	 9.5%
95	Refuse to answer	22	 1.3%
96	It depends	50	 3.0%
97	No opinion	35	 2.1%
98	Don't know	145	 8.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

p7: Some people say, 'If the economic, social, and political conditions were about the same in both mainland China and Taiwan, then the two sides should unify' Do you agree or disagree with this statement?









Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	37	 2.2%
2	Agree	431	 25.5%
3	Disagree	807	 47.8%
4	Strongly disagree	154	 9.1%
95	Refuse to answer	20	 1.2%
96	It depends	52	 3.1%
97	No opinion	33	 2.0%
98	Don't know	156	 9.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

p7a: Some people say, 'Even if the gap between the economic, social, and political conditions in mainland China and Taiwan is quite large, the two sides should still unify' Do you agree or disagree with this statement?



Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	13	 0.8%
2	Agree	137	 8.1%
3	Disagree	1023	 60.5%
4	Strongly disagree	276	 16.3%
95	Refuse to answer	15	 0.9%
96	It depends	41	 2.4%
97	No opinion	36	 2.1%
98	Don't know	149	 8.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

p8a: To have been born in our country

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	257	 15.2%
2	Fairly important	796	 47.1%

# p8a: To have been born in our country			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Not very important	510	<div><div></div></div> 30.2%
4	Not important at all	51	<div><div></div></div> 3.0%
95	Refuse to answer	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
96	It depends	12	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
97	No opinion	13	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
98	Don't know	48	<div><div></div></div> 2.8%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# p8b: To have lived in our country for most of one's life			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	186	<div><div></div></div> 11.0%
2	Fairly important	933	<div><div></div></div> 55.2%
3	Not very important	448	<div><div></div></div> 26.5%
4	Not important at all	31	<div><div></div></div> 1.8%
95	Refuse to answer	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
96	It depends	32	<div><div></div></div> 1.9%
97	No opinion	15	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
98	Don't know	42	<div><div></div></div> 2.5%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# p8c: To be able to speak our languages(i.e. Chinese, Taiwanese, Hakka, or aboriginal language)			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	163	<div><div></div></div> 9.6%
2	Fairly important	890	<div><div></div></div> 52.7%
3	Not very important	515	<div><div></div></div> 30.5%
4	Not important at all	48	<div><div></div></div> 2.8%
95	Refuse to answer	0	<div><div></div></div>
96	It depends	24	<div><div></div></div> 1.4%
97	No opinion	8	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
98	Don't know	42	<div><div></div></div> 2.5%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# p8d: To be our country's dominant religion			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	69	<div><div></div></div> 4.1%
2	Fairly important	467	<div><div></div></div> 27.6%
3	Not very important	893	<div><div></div></div> 52.8%
4	Not important at all	157	<div><div></div></div> 9.3%
95	Refuse to answer	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
96	It depends	31	<div><div></div></div> 1.8%

# p8d: To be our country's dominant religion			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	No opinion	19	<div><div></div></div> 1.1%
98	Don't know	52	<div><div></div></div> 3.1%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# p8e: To respect our country's political institutions and laws			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	444	<div><div></div></div> 26.3%
2	Fairly important	1127	<div><div></div></div> 66.7%
3	Not very important	39	<div><div></div></div> 2.3%
4	Not important at all	0	
95	Refuse to answer	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
96	It depends	8	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
97	No opinion	8	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
98	Don't know	62	<div><div></div></div> 3.7%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# p8f: To feel our country's nationality			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	449	<div><div></div></div> 26.6%
2	Fairly important	1036	<div><div></div></div> 61.3%
3	Not very important	125	<div><div></div></div> 7.4%
4	Not important at all	11	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
95	Refuse to answer	5	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
96	It depends	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
97	No opinion	11	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
98	Don't know	50	<div><div></div></div> 3.0%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# p8g: To have our country's ancestry			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	114	<div><div></div></div> 6.7%
2	Fairly important	577	<div><div></div></div> 34.1%
3	Not very important	755	<div><div></div></div> 44.7%
4	Not important at all	152	<div><div></div></div> 9.0%
95	Refuse to answer	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
96	It depends	18	<div><div></div></div> 1.1%
97	No opinion	22	<div><div></div></div> 1.3%
98	Don't know	50	<div><div></div></div> 3.0%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# q1: Do you usually think of yourself as close to any particular party?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes [skip to Q1b]	836	<div><div></div></div> 49.5%
2	No [continue to Q1a]	794	<div><div></div></div> 47.0%
95	Refuse to answer [continue to Q1a]	22	<div><div></div></div> 1.3%
98	Don't know [continue to Q1a]	38	<div><div></div></div> 2.2%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# q1a: Do you feel yourself a little closer to one of the political parties than the others?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes [continue to Q1b]	267	<div><div></div></div> 15.8%
2	No [skip to Q2]	531	<div><div></div></div> 31.4%
95	Refuse to answer [skip to Q2]	22	<div><div></div></div> 1.3%
98	Don't know [skip to Q2]	34	<div><div></div></div> 2.0%
99	Skipped	836	<div><div></div></div> 49.5%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# q1b: Which party do you feel closest to?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Kuomintang(KMT)	388	<div><div></div></div> 23.0%
2	Democratic Progressive Party(DPP)	591	<div><div></div></div> 35.0%
3	New Party(NP)	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
4	People First Party(PFP)	32	<div><div></div></div> 1.9%
6	Taiwan Solidarity Union(TSU)	5	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
7	Non-Partisan Solidarity Union(NPSU)	0	
9	Green Party	16	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
15	People Boss Party	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
18	Trees Party	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
19	New Power Party(NPP)	43	<div><div></div></div> 2.5%
20	Social Democratic Party(SDP)	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
21	Minkuotang(MKT, Republic Party)	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
23	Military, Civil, and Faculty Alliance Party(MCFAP)	0	
24	Faith and Hope League	5	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
25	Green party and SDP	0	
26	KMT and PFP	0	
95	Refuse to answer [skip to Q2]	11	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
98	Don't know [skip to Q2]	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
99	Skipped	587	<div><div></div></div> 34.7%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# q1c: Do you feel very close to this party, somewhat close, or not very close?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		

q1c: Do you feel very close to this party, somewhat close, or not very close?

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very close	238	14.1%
2	Somewhat close	534	31.6%
3	Not very close	308	18.2%
95	Refuse to answer	2	0.1%
96	It depends	3	0.2%
97	No opinion	1	0.1%
98	Don't know	4	0.2%
99	Skipped	600	35.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q2: Where 0 means you strongly dislike that party and 10 means that you strongly like that party. If I come to a party you haven heard of or you feel you do not know enough about, just say so. The first party is KMT

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Strongly dislike	193	11.4%
1		70	4.1%
2		114	6.7%
3		216	12.8%
4		135	8.0%
5		427	25.3%
6		165	9.8%
7		85	5.0%
8		61	3.6%
9		23	1.4%
10	Strongly like	49	2.9%
95	Refuse to answer	33	2.0%
96	Haven't heard of this party	1	0.1%
98	Don't know	118	7.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q2a: Using the same scale, where would you place, DPP?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Strongly dislike	84	5.0%
1		40	2.4%
2		53	3.1%
3		106	6.3%
4		133	7.9%
5		425	25.1%
6		210	12.4%
7		172	10.2%
8		167	9.9%
9		55	3.3%
10	Strongly like	95	5.6%
95	Refuse to answer	36	2.1%
96	Haven't heard of this party	1	0.1%
98	Don't know	113	6.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.













q2b: Using the same scale, where would you place, PFP?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Strongly dislike	114	6.7%
1		75	4.4%

q2b: Using the same scale, where would you place, PFP?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2		134	 7.9%
3		221	 13.1%
4		190	 11.2%
5		487	 28.8%
6		153	 9.1%
7		52	 3.1%
8		33	 2.0%
9		7	 0.4%
10	Strongly like	8	 0.5%
95	Refuse to answer	38	 2.2%
96	Haven't heard of this party	4	 0.2%
98	Don't know	174	 10.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q2c: Using the same scale, where would you place, TSU?

Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Strongly dislike	197	<div></div> 11.7%
1		136	<div></div> 8.0%
2		149	<div></div> 8.8%
3		191	<div></div> 11.3%
4		141	<div></div> 8.3%
5		348	<div></div> 20.6%
6		102	<div></div> 6.0%
7		44	<div></div> 2.6%
8		35	<div></div> 2.1%
9		12	<div></div> 0.7%
10	Strongly like	5	<div></div> 0.3%
95	Refuse to answer	45	<div></div> 2.7%
96	Haven't heard of this party	23	<div></div> 1.4%
98	Don't know	262	<div></div> 15.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q2d: Using the same scale, where would you place, NPP?

Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Strongly dislike	159	<div></div> 9.4%
1		93	<div></div> 5.5%
2		75	<div></div> 4.4%
3		119	<div></div> 7.0%
4		102	<div></div> 6.0%
5		342	<div></div> 20.2%
6		139	<div></div> 8.2%
7		120	<div></div> 7.1%

# q2d: Using the same scale, where would you place, NPP?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8		73	<div></div> 4.3%
9		28	<div></div> 1.7%
10	Strongly like	19	<div></div> 1.1%
95	Refuse to answer	35	<div></div> 2.1%
96	Haven't heard of this party	59	<div></div> 3.5%
98	Don't know	327	<div></div> 19.3%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# q3: Would you say that any of the parties in our country represent your views reasonably well?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes [continue to Q3a]	685	<div></div> 40.5%
2	No [skip to Q4]	862	<div></div> 51.0%
95	Refuse to answer [skip to Q4]	24	<div></div> 1.4%
98	Don't know [skip to Q4]	119	<div></div> 7.0%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# q3a: Which party represents your views best?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Kuomintang(KMT)	159	<div></div> 9.4%
2	Democratic Progressive Party(DPP)	350	<div></div> 20.7%
3	New Party(NP)	6	<div></div> 0.4%
4	People First Party(PFP)	32	<div></div> 1.9%
6	Taiwan Solidarity Union(TSU)	6	<div></div> 0.4%
7	Non-Partisan Solidarity Union(NPSU)	0	
9	Green Party	14	<div></div> 0.8%
15	People Boss Party	1	<div></div> 0.1%
18	Trees Party	6	<div></div> 0.4%
19	New Power Party(NPP)	96	<div></div> 5.7%
20	Social Democratic Party(SDP)	3	<div></div> 0.2%
21	Minkuotang(MKT, Republic Party)	2	<div></div> 0.1%
23	Military, Civil, and Faculty Alliance Party(MCFAP)	0	
24	Faith and Hope League	6	<div></div> 0.4%
25	Free Taiwan Party	2	<div></div> 0.1%
26	Green party and SDP	0	
95	Refuse to answer	2	<div></div> 0.1%
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1005	<div></div> 59.5%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# q4: On cross-Straits relations, which of the two major parties performed better?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		

q4: On cross-Straits relations, which of the two major parties performed better?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	KMT is much better	209	12.4%
2	KMT is a little better	510	30.2%
3	DPP is a little better	280	16.6%
4	DPP is much better	85	5.0%
5	Both parties are pretty good	195	11.5%
6	Neither party is very good	186	11.0%
95	Refuse to answer	18	1.1%
96	It depends	24	1.4%
97	No opinion	25	1.5%
98	Don't know	158	9.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q5: On economic development, which of the two major parties performed better?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	KMT is much better	73	4.3%
2	KMT is a little better	296	17.5%
3	DPP is a little better	421	24.9%
4	DPP is much better	121	7.2%
5	Both parties are pretty good	148	8.8%
6	Neither party is very good	356	21.1%
95	Refuse to answer	14	0.8%
96	It depends	27	1.6%
97	No opinion	30	1.8%
98	Don't know	204	12.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q6: On social welfare, which of the two major parties performed better?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	KMT is much better	50	3.0%
2	KMT is a little better	201	11.9%
3	DPP is a little better	540	32.0%
4	DPP is much better	142	8.4%
5	Both parties are pretty good	230	13.6%
6	Neither party is very good	233	13.8%
95	Refuse to answer	16	0.9%
96	It depends	31	1.8%
97	No opinion	28	1.7%
98	Don't know	219	13.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q7: On protecting sovereignty, which of the two major parties performed better?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
-------------	---

# q7: On protecting sovereignty, which of the two major parties performed better?			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	KMT is much better	94	<div><div></div></div> 5.6%
2	KMT is a little better	210	<div><div></div></div> 12.4%
3	DPP is a little better	521	<div><div></div></div> 30.8%
4	DPP is much better	250	<div><div></div></div> 14.8%
5	Both parties are pretty good	183	<div><div></div></div> 10.8%
6	Neither party is very good	153	<div><div></div></div> 9.1%
95	Refuse to answer	14	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
96	It depends	26	<div><div></div></div> 1.5%
97	No opinion	28	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%
98	Don't know	211	<div><div></div></div> 12.5%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# q8: If 1 means most unpeaceful and 7 means most peaceful, where would you place Taiwan on the peaceful scale?			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Most unpeaceful	7	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
2		7	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
3		28	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%
4		112	<div><div></div></div> 6.6%
5		241	<div><div></div></div> 14.3%
6		419	<div><div></div></div> 24.8%
7	Most peaceful	777	<div><div></div></div> 46.0%
95	Refuse to answer	4	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
98	Don't know	95	<div><div></div></div> 5.6%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# q8a: How about Mainland China?			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Most unpeaceful	242	<div><div></div></div> 14.3%
2		260	<div><div></div></div> 15.4%
3		344	<div><div></div></div> 20.4%
4		312	<div><div></div></div> 18.5%
5		176	<div><div></div></div> 10.4%
6		74	<div><div></div></div> 4.4%
7	Most peaceful	63	<div><div></div></div> 3.7%
95	Refuse to answer	9	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
98	Don't know	210	<div><div></div></div> 12.4%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# q9: If 1 means most intolerant and 7 means most tolerant, where would you place Taiwan on the tolerant-intolerant scale?			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]	

q9: If 1 means most intolerant and 7 means most tolerant, where would you place Taiwan on the tolerant-intolerant scale?

Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Most intolerant	41	2.4%
2		51	3.0%
3		109	6.4%
4		246	14.6%
5		413	24.4%
6		346	20.5%
7	Most tolerant	325	19.2%
95	Refuse to answer	5	0.3%
98	Don't know	154	9.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q9a: How about Mainland China?

Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Most intolerant	425	25.1%
2		373	22.1%
3		332	19.6%
4		185	10.9%
5		78	4.6%
6		28	1.7%
7	Most tolerant	16	0.9%
95	Refuse to answer	12	0.7%
98	Don't know	241	14.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

s1: Year of birth(The ROC calendar)

Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 5-85] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=55.885 /-] [StdDev=16.812 /-]	

s2: Father's ethnic background

Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Taiwanese Hakka	223	13.2%
2	Taiwanese Min-Nan	1221	72.2%
3	Mainlander	173	10.2%
4	Aboriginal	28	1.7%
5	Recent mainland immigrant	1	0.1%
6	Recent foreign immigrant	9	0.5%
7	Malaysians	0	
8	Filipino	0	
9	Vietnamese	6	0.4%
10	Singaporeans	0	

# s2: Father's ethnic background			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
12	Indonesian	3	0.2%
13	Burmese	0	
14	Hong Kong	0	
15	Cambodian	0	
95	Refuse to answer	4	0.2%
98	Don't know	22	1.3%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# s3: Mother's ethnic background			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Taiwanese Hakka	240	14.2%
2	Taiwanese Min-Nan	1247	73.8%
3	Mainlander	119	7.0%
4	Aboriginal	30	1.8%
5	Recent mainland immigrant	2	0.1%
6	Recent foreign immigrant	13	0.8%
7	Malaysians	1	0.1%
8	Filipino	0	
9	Vietnamese	7	0.4%
10	Singaporeans	0	
12	Indonesian	3	0.2%
13	Burmese	0	
14	Hong Kong	0	
15	Cambodian	0	
95	Refuse to answer	4	0.2%
98	Don't know	24	1.4%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# s4: Educational level			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-95] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Illiterate	59	3.5%
2	Literate but no formal schooling	15	0.9%
3	Some primary school	43	2.5%
4	Primary school graduate	205	12.1%
5	Some junior high school	18	1.1%
6	Junior high school graduate	143	8.5%
7	Some high school or vocational school	43	2.5%
8	High school or vocational school graduate	406	24.0%
9	Some technical college	10	0.6%
10	Technical college graduate	176	10.4%
11	Some university	75	4.4%
12	University graduate	351	20.8%

# s4: Educational level			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
13	Post-graduate education	136	<div><div></div></div> 8.0%
95	Refuse to answer	10	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# s5: Are you a member of a union?			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	364	<div><div></div></div> 21.5%
2	No	1315	<div><div></div></div> 77.8%
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	11	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# s6: Religious belief			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	None	422	<div><div></div></div> 25.0%
2	Buddhist	504	<div><div></div></div> 29.8%
3	Taoist	496	<div><div></div></div> 29.3%
4	Catholic [skip to S7]	14	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
5	Protestant [skip to S7]	71	<div><div></div></div> 4.2%
6	Muslim [skip to S7]	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
7	I-Kuan-Tao [skip to S7]	20	<div><div></div></div> 1.2%
8	Traditional folk belief	137	<div><div></div></div> 8.1%
9	Buddhist and Taoist	12	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
10	Confucianism, Buddhism and Taoism	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
11	Falun Gong	0	
12	Baha'i Faith	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	11	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# s6a: Do you burn incense for the Earth God, Matsu, or some other spirits?			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1362	<div><div></div></div> 80.6%
2	No	221	<div><div></div></div> 13.1%
95	Refuse to answer	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
99	Skipped	106	<div><div></div></div> 6.3%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# s6b: Religious services attendance			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	

# s6b: Religious services attendance			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	688	<div><div></div></div> 40.7%
2	Once a year	397	<div><div></div></div> 23.5%
3	Two to eleven times a year	327	<div><div></div></div> 19.3%
4	Once a month	66	<div><div></div></div> 3.9%
5	Two or more times a month	86	<div><div></div></div> 5.1%
6	Once a week/more than once a week	108	<div><div></div></div> 6.4%
95	Refuse to answer	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
98	Don't know	16	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# s7: Current employment status of R			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-95] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Employed full time (32 hours or more a week)	961	<div><div></div></div> 56.9%
2	Employed part time (15 to 32 hours a week)	80	<div><div></div></div> 4.7%
3	Employed less than part time (less than 15 hours a week)	29	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%
4	Help out at home	44	<div><div></div></div> 2.6%
5	Currently looking for employment [skip to S7b]	41	<div><div></div></div> 2.4%
6	Student or currently in job training [skip to S8]	66	<div><div></div></div> 3.9%
7	Retired [skip to S7b]	234	<div><div></div></div> 13.8%
8	Home duties	195	<div><div></div></div> 11.5%
9	Disabled or unable to work [skip to S8]	28	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%
10	Unemployed	5	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
95	Refuse to answer [skip to S8]	7	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# s7a: Main occupation of R			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-9999] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	Servicemen	9	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
20	Students	0	
31	Housekeeping, without domestic crafting	176	<div><div></div></div> 10.4%
32	Housekeeping, with domestic crafting	19	<div><div></div></div> 1.1%
33	Housekeeping, helping familial business without pay	34	<div><div></div></div> 2.0%
34	Housekeeping, helping familial business with pay	9	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
40	Never work before	5	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
1010	Legislators and Government Administrators	5	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
1021	Directors and Chief Executives (Pub.)	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
1022	Directors and Chief Executives (Priv.)	77	<div><div></div></div> 4.6%
1031	Production and Operations Managers (Pub.)	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
1032	Production and Operations Managers (Priv.)	20	<div><div></div></div> 1.2%
1091	Other Managers (Pub.)	6	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
1092	Other Managers (Priv.)	22	<div><div></div></div> 1.3%

s7a: Main occupation of R

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2011	Phys., Math. and Eng. Science Professionals (Pub.)	1	0.1%
2012	Phys., Math. and Eng. Science Professionals (Priv.)	27	1.6%
2021	Biol. Science and Health Professionals (Pub.)	5	0.3%
2022	Biol. Science and Health Professionals (Priv.)	14	0.8%
2031	Teachers (Pub.)	26	1.5%
2032	Teachers (Priv.)	16	0.9%
2041	Accountants and Business Professionals (Pub.)	0	
2042	Accountants and Business Professionals (Priv.)	17	1.0%
2051	Lawyers and Legal Professionals (Pub.)	1	0.1%
2052	Lawyers and Legal Professionals (Priv.)	1	0.1%
2061	Social Science and Related Professionals (Pub.)	2	0.1%
2062	Social Science and Related Professionals (Priv.)	1	0.1%
2091	Other Professionals (Pub.)	10	0.6%
2092	Other Professionals (Priv.)	48	2.8%
3011	Phys. and Eng. Science Associate Professionals (Pub.)	2	0.1%
3012	Phys. and Eng. Science Associate Professionals (Priv.)	12	0.7%
3021	Biol. Science and Health Associate Professionals (Pub.)	4	0.2%
3022	Biol. Science and Health Associate Professionals (Priv.)	12	0.7%
3031	Teaching and Related Associate Professionals (Pub.)	4	0.2%
3032	Teaching and Related Associate Professionals (Priv.)	12	0.7%
3041	Fin. and Business Services Associate Professionals (Pub.)	2	0.1%
3042	Fin. and Business Services Associate Professionals (Priv.)	42	2.5%
3051	Gov. Admin. Officials and Bus. Clerical Supervisors (Pub.)	1	0.1%
3052	Gov. Admin. Officials and Bus. Clerical Supervisors (Priv.)	0	
3061	Administrative Associate Professionals (Pub.)	7	0.4%
3062	Administrative Associate Professionals (Priv.)	21	1.2%
3071	Customs, Tax and Related Gov. Associate Professionals (Pub.)	0	
3072	Customs, Tax and Related Gov. Associate Professionals (Priv.)	0	
3091	Other Associate Professionals (Pub.)	0	
3092	Other Associate Professionals (Priv.)	16	0.9%
4011	Office Clerks (Pub.)	12	0.7%
4012	Office Clerks (Priv.)	25	1.5%
4021	Customer Services Clerks (Pub.)	2	0.1%
4022	Customer Services Clerks (Priv.)	47	2.8%
5011	Personal Services Workers (Pub.)	2	0.1%
5012	Personal Services Workers (Priv.)	56	3.3%
5021	Protective Services Workers (Pub.)	4	0.2%
5022	Protective Services Workers (Priv.)	8	0.5%
5031	Models, Salespersons and Demonstrators (Pub.)	1	0.1%
5032	Models, Salespersons and Demonstrators (Priv.)	42	2.5%
6011	Agr., Animal Husbandry, Forestry and Fishing Workers (Pub.)	0	
6012	Agr., Animal Husbandry, Forestry and Fishing Workers (Priv.)	55	3.3%
7011	Extraction and Building Trades Workers (Pub.)	0	
7012	Extraction and Building Trades Workers (Priv.)	11	0.7%
7021	Metal, Machinery and Rel. Trades Workers (Pub.)	1	0.1%

# s7a: Main occupation of R			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7022	Metal, Machinery and Rel. Trades Workers (Priv.)	48	2.8%
7031	Precision, Handicraft, Print. and Rel. Trades Workers (Pub.)	0	
7032	Precision, Handicraft, Print. and Rel. Trades Workers (Priv.)	15	0.9%
7091	Other Craft and Rel. Trades Workers (Pub.)	1	0.1%
7092	Other Craft and Rel. Trades Workers (Priv.)	94	5.6%
8011	Stationary-plant and Rel. Operators (Pub.)	0	
8012	Stationary-plant and Rel. Operators (Priv.)	10	0.6%
8021	Machine Operators (Pub.)	0	
8022	Machine Operators (Priv.)	16	0.9%
8031	Assemblers (Pub.)	0	
8032	Assemblers (Priv.)	4	0.2%
8041	Drivers and Mobile-plant Operators (Pub.)	2	0.1%
8042	Drivers and Mobile-plant Operators (Priv.)	16	0.9%
9011	Sales and Services Elementary Occupations (Pub.)	4	0.2%
9012	Sales and Services Elementary Occupations (Priv.)	55	3.3%
9021	Production Laborers and Rel. Workers (Pub.)	1	0.1%
9022	Production Laborers and Rel. Workers (Priv.)	39	2.3%
9091	Other Elementary Occupations (Pub.)	4	0.2%
9092	Other Elementary Occupations (Priv.)	35	2.1%
9995	Refuse to answer	13	0.8%
9999	Skipped	376	22.2%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# s7b: Main occupation of R before retirement or unemployment			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-9999] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	Servicemen	12	0.7%
20	Students	4	0.2%
31	Housekeeping, without domestic crafting	0	
32	Housekeeping, with domestic crafting	0	
33	Housekeeping, helping familial business without pay	0	
34	Housekeeping, helping familial business with pay	0	
40	Never work before	0	
1010	Legislators and Government Administrators	4	0.2%
1021	Directors and Chief Executives (Pub.)	7	0.4%
1022	Directors and Chief Executives (Priv.)	15	0.9%
1031	Production and Operations Managers (Pub.)	1	0.1%
1032	Production and Operations Managers (Priv.)	5	0.3%
1091	Other Managers (Pub.)	3	0.2%
1092	Other Managers (Priv.)	6	0.4%
2011	Phys., Math. and Eng. Science Professionals (Pub.)	1	0.1%
2012	Phys., Math. and Eng. Science Professionals (Priv.)	0	
2021	Biol. Science and Health Professionals (Pub.)	1	0.1%
2022	Biol. Science and Health Professionals (Priv.)	2	0.1%

s7b: Main occupation of R before retirement or unemployment

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2031	Teachers (Pub.)	8	0.5%
2032	Teachers (Priv.)	3	0.2%
2041	Accountants and Business Professionals (Pub.)	1	0.1%
2042	Accountants and Business Professionals (Priv.)	7	0.4%
2051	Lawyers and Legal Professionals (Pub.)	0	
2052	Lawyers and Legal Professionals (Priv.)	1	0.1%
2061	Social Science and Related Professionals (Pub.)	0	
2062	Social Science and Related Professionals (Priv.)	1	0.1%
2091	Other Professionals (Pub.)	2	0.1%
2092	Other Professionals (Priv.)	5	0.3%
3011	Phys. and Eng. Science Associate Professionals (Pub.)	0	
3012	Phys. and Eng. Science Associate Professionals (Priv.)	0	
3021	Biol. Science and Health Associate Professionals (Pub.)	0	
3022	Biol. Science and Health Associate Professionals (Priv.)	2	0.1%
3031	Teaching and Related Associate Professionals (Pub.)	1	0.1%
3032	Teaching and Related Associate Professionals (Priv.)	0	
3041	Fin. and Business Services Associate Professionals (Pub.)	0	
3042	Fin. and Business Services Associate Professionals (Priv.)	3	0.2%
3051	Gov. Admin. Officials and Bus. Clerical Supervisors (Pub.)	3	0.2%
3052	Gov. Admin. Officials and Bus. Clerical Supervisors (Priv.)	1	0.1%
3061	Administrative Associate Professionals (Pub.)	2	0.1%
3062	Administrative Associate Professionals (Priv.)	3	0.2%
3071	Customs, Tax and Related Gov. Associate Professionals (Pub.)	0	
3072	Customs, Tax and Related Gov. Associate Professionals (Priv.)	0	
3091	Other Associate Professionals (Pub.)	0	
3092	Other Associate Professionals (Priv.)	1	0.1%
4011	Office Clerks (Pub.)	3	0.2%
4012	Office Clerks (Priv.)	4	0.2%
4021	Customer Services Clerks (Pub.)	0	
4022	Customer Services Clerks (Priv.)	7	0.4%
5011	Personal Services Workers (Pub.)	1	0.1%
5012	Personal Services Workers (Priv.)	11	0.7%
5021	Protective Services Workers (Pub.)	2	0.1%
5022	Protective Services Workers (Priv.)	2	0.1%
5031	Models, Salespersons and Demonstrators (Pub.)	0	
5032	Models, Salespersons and Demonstrators (Priv.)	6	0.4%
6011	Agr., Animal Husbandry, Forestry and Fishing Workers (Pub.)	3	0.2%
6012	Agr., Animal Husbandry, Forestry and Fishing Workers (Priv.)	21	1.2%
7011	Extraction and Building Trades Workers (Pub.)	0	
7012	Extraction and Building Trades Workers (Priv.)	6	0.4%
7021	Metal, Machinery and Rel. Trades Workers (Pub.)	0	
7022	Metal, Machinery and Rel. Trades Workers (Priv.)	6	0.4%
7031	Precision, Handicraft, Print. and Rel. Trades Workers (Pub.)	0	
7032	Precision, Handicraft, Print. and Rel. Trades Workers (Priv.)	2	0.1%
7091	Other Craft and Rel. Trades Workers (Pub.)	3	0.2%

# s7b: Main occupation of R before retirement or unemployment				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
7092	Other Craft and Rel. Trades Workers (Priv.)	16	<div></div>	0.9%
8011	Stationary-plant and Rel. Operators (Pub.)	1	<div></div>	0.1%
8012	Stationary-plant and Rel. Operators (Priv.)	2	<div></div>	0.1%
8021	Machine Operators (Pub.)	0	<div></div>	
8022	Machine Operators (Priv.)	2	<div></div>	0.1%
8031	Assemblers (Pub.)	0	<div></div>	
8032	Assemblers (Priv.)	4	<div></div>	0.2%
8041	Drivers and Mobile-plant Operators (Pub.)	0	<div></div>	
8042	Drivers and Mobile-plant Operators (Priv.)	10	<div></div>	0.6%
9011	Sales and Services Elementary Occupations (Pub.)	0	<div></div>	
9012	Sales and Services Elementary Occupations (Priv.)	14	<div></div>	0.8%
9021	Production Laborers and Rel. Workers (Pub.)	0	<div></div>	
9022	Production Laborers and Rel. Workers (Priv.)	20	<div></div>	1.2%
9091	Other Elementary Occupations (Pub.)	3	<div></div>	0.2%
9092	Other Elementary Occupations (Priv.)	15	<div></div>	0.9%
9995	Refuse to answer	6	<div></div>	0.4%
9999	Skipped	1415	<div></div>	83.7%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# s8: Marital status				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-95] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Married or live as married	1041	<div></div>	61.6%
2	Separated	7	<div></div>	0.4%
3	Widowed	101	<div></div>	6.0%
4	Cohabit without legally marrying	9	<div></div>	0.5%
5	Divorced [skip to S11]	68	<div></div>	4.0%
6	Single [skip to S11]	456	<div></div>	27.0%
95	Refuse to answer [skip to S11]	8	<div></div>	0.5%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# s9: Spouse's ethnic background				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Taiwanese Hakka	140	<div></div>	8.3%
2	Taiwanese Min-Nan	855	<div></div>	50.6%
3	Mainlander	101	<div></div>	6.0%
4	Aboriginal	20	<div></div>	1.2%
5	Recent mainland immigrant	15	<div></div>	0.9%
6	Recent foreign immigrant	19	<div></div>	1.1%
95	Refuse to answer	3	<div></div>	0.2%
98	Don't know	5	<div></div>	0.3%
99	Skipped	532	<div></div>	31.5%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				

# s10: Current employment status of R's spouse			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Employed full time (32 hours or more a week)	625	37.0%
2	Employed part time (15 to 32 hours a week)	39	2.3%
3	Employed less than part time (less than 15 hours a week)	15	0.9%
4	Help out at home	33	2.0%
5	Currently looking for employment [skip to S10b]	8	0.5%
6	Student or currently in job training [skip to S11]	0	
7	Retired [skip to S10b]	195	11.5%
8	Home duties	187	11.1%
9	Disabled or unable to work [skip to S11]	15	0.9%
10	Unemployed	3	0.2%
95	Refuse to answer [skip to S11]	38	2.2%
99	Skipped	532	31.5%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# s10a: Main occupation of R's spouse			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-9999] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	Servicemen	8	0.5%
20	Students	0	
31	Housekeeping, without domestic crafting	180	10.7%
32	Housekeeping, with domestic crafting	7	0.4%
33	Housekeeping, helping familial business without pay	21	1.2%
34	Housekeeping, helping familial business with pay	12	0.7%
40	Never work before	3	0.2%
1010	Legislators and Government Administrators	6	0.4%
1021	Directors and Chief Executives (Pub.)	5	0.3%
1022	Directors and Chief Executives (Priv.)	57	3.4%
1031	Production and Operations Managers (Pub.)	4	0.2%
1032	Production and Operations Managers (Priv.)	15	0.9%
1091	Other Managers (Pub.)	4	0.2%
1092	Other Managers (Priv.)	19	1.1%
2011	Phys., Math. and Eng. Science Professionals (Pub.)	2	0.1%
2012	Phys., Math. and Eng. Science Professionals (Priv.)	12	0.7%
2021	Biol. Science and Health Professionals (Pub.)	1	0.1%
2022	Biol. Science and Health Professionals (Priv.)	9	0.5%
2031	Teachers (Pub.)	16	0.9%
2032	Teachers (Priv.)	7	0.4%
2041	Accountants and Business Professionals (Pub.)	1	0.1%
2042	Accountants and Business Professionals (Priv.)	13	0.8%
2051	Lawyers and Legal Professionals (Pub.)	0	
2052	Lawyers and Legal Professionals (Priv.)	1	0.1%
2061	Social Science and Related Professionals (Pub.)	0	

s10a: Main occupation of R's spouse

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2062	Social Science and Related Professionals (Priv.)	1	0.1%
2091	Other Professionals (Pub.)	5	0.3%
2092	Other Professionals (Priv.)	22	1.3%
3011	Phys. and Eng. Science Associate Professionals (Pub.)	0	
3012	Phys. and Eng. Science Associate Professionals (Priv.)	11	0.7%
3021	Biol. Science and Health Associate Professionals (Pub.)	2	0.1%
3022	Biol. Science and Health Associate Professionals (Priv.)	7	0.4%
3031	Teaching and Related Associate Professionals (Pub.)	5	0.3%
3032	Teaching and Related Associate Professionals (Priv.)	6	0.4%
3041	Fin. and Business Services Associate Professionals (Pub.)	2	0.1%
3042	Fin. and Business Services Associate Professionals (Priv.)	23	1.4%
3051	Gov. Admin. Officials and Bus. Clerical Supervisors (Pub.)	2	0.1%
3052	Gov. Admin. Officials and Bus. Clerical Supervisors (Priv.)	1	0.1%
3061	Administrative Associate Professionals (Pub.)	5	0.3%
3062	Administrative Associate Professionals (Priv.)	8	0.5%
3071	Customs, Tax and Related Gov. Associate Professionals (Pub.)	0	
3072	Customs, Tax and Related Gov. Associate Professionals (Priv.)	0	
3091	Other Associate Professionals (Pub.)	1	0.1%
3092	Other Associate Professionals (Priv.)	7	0.4%
4011	Office Clerks (Pub.)	10	0.6%
4012	Office Clerks (Priv.)	12	0.7%
4021	Customer Services Clerks (Pub.)	0	
4022	Customer Services Clerks (Priv.)	23	1.4%
5011	Personal Services Workers (Pub.)	0	
5012	Personal Services Workers (Priv.)	27	1.6%
5021	Protective Services Workers (Pub.)	5	0.3%
5022	Protective Services Workers (Priv.)	7	0.4%
5031	Models, Salespersons and Demonstrators (Pub.)	0	
5032	Models, Salespersons and Demonstrators (Priv.)	20	1.2%
6011	Agr., Animal Husbandry, Forestry and Fishing Workers (Pub.)	2	0.1%
6012	Agr., Animal Husbandry, Forestry and Fishing Workers (Priv.)	55	3.3%
7011	Extraction and Building Trades Workers (Pub.)	0	
7012	Extraction and Building Trades Workers (Priv.)	8	0.5%
7021	Metal, Machinery and Rel. Trades Workers (Pub.)	0	
7022	Metal, Machinery and Rel. Trades Workers (Priv.)	27	1.6%
7031	Precision, Handicraft, Print. and Rel. Trades Workers (Pub.)	0	
7032	Precision, Handicraft, Print. and Rel. Trades Workers (Priv.)	13	0.8%
7091	Other Craft and Rel. Trades Workers (Pub.)	2	0.1%
7092	Other Craft and Rel. Trades Workers (Priv.)	45	2.7%
8011	Stationary-plant and Rel. Operators (Pub.)	0	
8012	Stationary-plant and Rel. Operators (Priv.)	4	0.2%
8021	Machine Operators (Pub.)	0	
8022	Machine Operators (Priv.)	5	0.3%
8031	Assemblers (Pub.)	0	
8032	Assemblers (Priv.)	5	0.3%

# s10a: Main occupation of R's spouse			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8041	Drivers and Mobile-plant Operators (Pub.)	0	
8042	Drivers and Mobile-plant Operators (Priv.)	14	0.8%
9011	Sales and Services Elementary Occupations (Pub.)	2	0.1%
9012	Sales and Services Elementary Occupations (Priv.)	44	2.6%
9021	Production Laborers and Rel. Workers (Pub.)	1	0.1%
9022	Production Laborers and Rel. Workers (Priv.)	32	1.9%
9091	Other Elementary Occupations (Pub.)	0	
9092	Other Elementary Occupations (Priv.)	18	1.1%
9995	Refuse to answer	10	0.6%
9999	Skipped	788	46.6%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# s10b: Main occupation of R's spouse before his/her retirement or unemployment			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-9999] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	Servicemen	12	0.7%
20	Students	0	
31	Housekeeping, without domestic crafting	0	
32	Housekeeping, with domestic crafting	0	
33	Housekeeping, helping familial business without pay	0	
34	Housekeeping, helping familial business with pay	0	
40	Never work before	0	
1010	Legislators and Government Administrators	3	0.2%
1021	Directors and Chief Executives (Pub.)	3	0.2%
1022	Directors and Chief Executives (Priv.)	15	0.9%
1031	Production and Operations Managers (Pub.)	4	0.2%
1032	Production and Operations Managers (Priv.)	4	0.2%
1091	Other Managers (Pub.)	2	0.1%
1092	Other Managers (Priv.)	3	0.2%
2011	Phys., Math. and Eng. Science Professionals (Pub.)	0	
2012	Phys., Math. and Eng. Science Professionals (Priv.)	0	
2021	Biol. Science and Health Professionals (Pub.)	0	
2022	Biol. Science and Health Professionals (Priv.)	1	0.1%
2031	Teachers (Pub.)	11	0.7%
2032	Teachers (Priv.)	1	0.1%
2041	Accountants and Business Professionals (Pub.)	0	
2042	Accountants and Business Professionals (Priv.)	0	
2051	Lawyers and Legal Professionals (Pub.)	0	
2052	Lawyers and Legal Professionals (Priv.)	0	
2061	Social Science and Related Professionals (Pub.)	0	
2062	Social Science and Related Professionals (Priv.)	1	0.1%
2091	Other Professionals (Pub.)	3	0.2%
2092	Other Professionals (Priv.)	5	0.3%
3011	Phys. and Eng. Science Associate Professionals (Pub.)	1	0.1%

s10b: Main occupation of R's spouse before his/her retirement or unemployment

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3012	Phys. and Eng. Science Associate Professionals (Priv.)	1	0.1%
3021	Biol. Science and Health Associate Professionals (Pub.)	1	0.1%
3022	Biol. Science and Health Associate Professionals (Priv.)	0	
3031	Teaching and Related Associate Professionals (Pub.)	1	0.1%
3032	Teaching and Related Associate Professionals (Priv.)	0	
3041	Fin. and Business Services Associate Professionals (Pub.)	0	
3042	Fin. and Business Services Associate Professionals (Priv.)	3	0.2%
3051	Gov. Admin. Officials and Bus. Clerical Supervisors (Pub.)	0	
3052	Gov. Admin. Officials and Bus. Clerical Supervisors (Priv.)	1	0.1%
3061	Administrative Associate Professionals (Pub.)	2	0.1%
3062	Administrative Associate Professionals (Priv.)	1	0.1%
3071	Customs, Tax and Related Gov. Associate Professionals (Pub.)	0	
3072	Customs, Tax and Related Gov. Associate Professionals (Priv.)	0	
3091	Other Associate Professionals (Pub.)	0	
3092	Other Associate Professionals (Pub.)	1	0.1%
4011	Office Clerks (Pub.)	4	0.2%
4012	Office Clerks (Priv.)	4	0.2%
4021	Customer Services Clerks (Pub.)	0	
4022	Customer Services Clerks (Priv.)	1	0.1%
5011	Personal Services Workers (Pub.)	1	0.1%
5012	Personal Services Workers (Priv.)	4	0.2%
5021	Protective Services Workers (Pub.)	5	0.3%
5022	Protective Services Workers (Priv.)	2	0.1%
5031	Models, Salespersons and Demonstrators (Pub.)	0	
5032	Models, Salespersons and Demonstrators (Priv.)	2	0.1%
6011	Agr., Animal Husbandry, Forestry and Fishing Workers (Pub.)	0	
6012	Agr., Animal Husbandry, Forestry and Fishing Workers (Priv.)	18	1.1%
7011	Extraction and Building Trades Workers (Pub.)	0	
7012	Extraction and Building Trades Workers (Priv.)	4	0.2%
7021	Metal, Machinery and Rel. Trades Workers (Pub.)	0	
7022	Metal, Machinery and Rel. Trades Workers (Priv.)	5	0.3%
7031	Precision, Handicraft, Print. and Rel. Trades Workers (Pub.)	0	
7032	Precision, Handicraft, Print. and Rel. Trades Workers (Priv.)	6	0.4%
7091	Other Craft and Rel. Trades Workers (Pub.)	3	0.2%
7092	Other Craft and Rel. Trades Workers (Priv.)	11	0.7%
8011	Stationary-plant and Rel. Operators (Pub.)	0	
8012	Stationary-plant and Rel. Operators (Priv.)	3	0.2%
8021	Machine Operators (Pub.)	0	
8022	Machine Operators (Priv.)	1	0.1%
8031	Assemblers (Pub.)	0	
8032	Assemblers (Priv.)	2	0.1%
8041	Drivers and Mobile-plant Operators (Pub.)	2	0.1%
8042	Drivers and Mobile-plant Operators (Priv.)	4	0.2%
9011	Sales and Services Elementary Occupations (Pub.)	0	
9012	Sales and Services Elementary Occupations (Priv.)	9	0.5%

# s10b: Main occupation of R's spouse before his/her retirement or unemployment			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
9021	Production Laborers and Rel. Workers (Pub.)	0	
9022	Production Laborers and Rel. Workers (Priv.)	12	0.7%
9091	Other Elementary Occupations (Pub.)	0	
9092	Other Elementary Occupations (Priv.)	9	0.5%
9995	Refuse to answer	11	0.7%
9999	Skipped	1487	88.0%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# s11: Language usually spoken at home			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-95] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Mandarin	600	35.5%
2	Taiwanese	605	35.8%
3	Hakka	58	3.4%
4	Aboriginal language	2	0.1%
5	Other Chinese dialect	3	0.2%
6	Both Mandarin and Taiwanese	380	22.5%
7	Both Mandarin and Hakka	31	1.8%
8	Both Taiwanese and Hakka	2	0.1%
9	Both Mandarin and other Chinese dialect	3	0.2%
10	Both Mandarin and Japanese	0	
11	Mandarin, Taiwanese and Japanese	0	
12	Taiwanese and Japanese	0	
13	Both Mandarin and Aboriginal language	2	0.1%
14	Mandarin, Taiwanese and Hakka	1	0.1%
15	English	1	0.1%
16	Burmese	1	0.1%
17	Mandarin, Taiwanese and English	0	
18	Both Mandarin and English	0	
95	Refuse to answer	1	0.1%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# s12: Number of people in household			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-95] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		59	3.5%
2		154	9.1%
3		253	15.0%
4		401	23.7%
5		334	19.8%
6		199	11.8%
7		103	6.1%
8		65	3.8%
9		26	1.5%

# s12: Number of people in household			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10		26	<div><div></div></div> 1.5%
11		16	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
12		13	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
13		7	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
14		6	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
15		5	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
17		2	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
18		2	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
19		2	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
20		5	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
95	Refuse to answer [skip to S13]	12	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# s12a: Number of children under age 18 in household			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	None	962	<div><div></div></div> 56.9%
1		315	<div><div></div></div> 18.6%
2		277	<div><div></div></div> 16.4%
3		69	<div><div></div></div> 4.1%
4		33	<div><div></div></div> 2.0%
5		8	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
6		10	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
7		2	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
10		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
95	Refuse to answer	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
99	Skipped	12	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# s13: In the past five years, how many foreign trips have you taken (including trips to Hong Kong, and Macau but not including trips to Mainland China)?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-95] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1270	<div><div></div></div> 75.1%
1		191	<div><div></div></div> 11.3%
2		87	<div><div></div></div> 5.1%
3		45	<div><div></div></div> 2.7%
4		15	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
5		24	<div><div></div></div> 1.4%
6		8	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
7		5	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
8		2	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
10		16	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
15		2	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%

s13: In the past five years, how many foreign trips have you taken (including trips to Hong Kong, and Macau but not including trips to Mainland China)?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
20		2	0.1%
35		1	0.1%
60		1	0.1%
89	Too many times to count	16	0.9%
95	Refuse to answer	5	0.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

s13a: In the past five years, how many trips have you taken to Mainland China (not including Hong Kong or Macau)?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-95] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		892	52.8%
1		250	14.8%
2		171	10.1%
3		117	6.9%
4		54	3.2%
5		64	3.8%
6		29	1.7%
7		17	1.0%
8		10	0.6%
9		3	0.2%
10		40	2.4%
11		2	0.1%
15		3	0.2%
20		1	0.1%
40		1	0.1%
89	Too many times to count	30	1.8%
95	Refuse to answer	6	0.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

s14: Have you or any member of your family made investments, conducted business, held employment, settled down or studied in Mainland China?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes, at present	150	8.9%
2	Yes, in the past	91	5.4%
3	No, never	1432	84.7%
95	Refuse to answer	6	0.4%
98	Don't know	11	0.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

s15: If we divide social class to upper, upper middle, middle, lower middle and lower, which do you think you are?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

s15: If we divide social class to upper, upper middle, middle, lower middle and lower, which do you think you are?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Upper	8	0.5%
2	Upper middle	98	5.8%
3	Middle	835	49.4%
4	Lower middle	487	28.8%
5	Lower	164	9.7%
95	Refuse to answer	10	0.6%
96	It depends	6	0.4%
97	No opinion	3	0.2%
98	Don't know	79	4.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

s16: Monthly household income(NTD)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Under 28,000	227	13.4%
2	28,001-39,000	119	7.0%
3	39,001-49,000	111	6.6%
4	49,001-59,000	119	7.0%
5	59,001-69,000	140	8.3%
6	69,001-80,000	125	7.4%
7	80,001-93,000	115	6.8%
8	93,001-111,000	140	8.3%
9	111,001-141,000	107	6.3%
10	Over 141,001	157	9.3%
95	Refuse to answer	111	6.6%
96	It's hard to say	54	3.2%
98	Don't know	165	9.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

s17: Country of birth

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 2-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Yi Lan County	59	3.5%
4	Hsinchu County	39	2.3%
5	Miaoli County	69	4.1%
7	Changhua County	145	8.6%
8	Nantou County	26	1.5%
9	Yunlin County	83	4.9%
10	Chiayi County	74	4.4%
13	Pingtung City	89	5.3%
14	Taitung County	25	1.5%
15	Hualien County	29	1.7%
16	Penghu County	10	0.6%

# s17: Country of birth				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
17	Keelung City	44	<div></div>	2.6%
18	Hsinchu City	45	<div></div>	2.7%
20	Chiayi City	14	<div></div>	0.8%
28	Kinmen County	0		
30	Lienchiang County	2	<div></div>	0.1%
63	Taipei City	165	<div></div>	9.8%
64	Kaohsiung City	176	<div></div>	10.4%
65	New Taipei City	128	<div></div>	7.6%
66	Taichung City	149	<div></div>	8.8%
67	Tainan City	176	<div></div>	10.4%
68	Taoyuan City	83	<div></div>	4.9%
71	China	11	<div></div>	0.7%
72	Hong Kong	0		
73	Macao	0		
74	Indonesia	2	<div></div>	0.1%
75	India	0		
76	Viet Nam	1	<div></div>	0.1%
95	Refuse to answer	7	<div></div>	0.4%
98	Don't know	39	<div></div>	2.3%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# s17a: Was either or both of your parents born outside of our country?				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Both of them	28	<div></div>	1.7%
2	Only father	9	<div></div>	0.5%
3	Only mother	9	<div></div>	0.5%
4	Neither	1639	<div></div>	97.0%
95	Refuse to answer	2	<div></div>	0.1%
98	Don't know	3	<div></div>	0.2%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# s18: Are you a resident of where your household is registered?				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-95] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Yes [skip to S19]	1537	<div></div>	90.9%
2	No [continue to S18a]	149	<div></div>	8.8%
95	Refuse to answer [skip to S19]	4	<div></div>	0.2%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# s18a: In which county/city and which township do you live?				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 2-99] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]			

s18a: In which county/city and which township do you live?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Yi Lan County	5	0.3%
4	Hsinchu County	2	0.1%
5	Miaoli County	2	0.1%
7	Changhua County	9	0.5%
8	Nantou County	1	0.1%
9	Yunlin County	2	0.1%
10	Chiayi County	7	0.4%
13	Pingtung City	3	0.2%
14	Taitung County	3	0.2%
15	Hualien County	1	0.1%
16	Penghu County	0	
17	Keelung City	7	0.4%
18	Hsinchu City	4	0.2%
20	Chiayi City	1	0.1%
28	Kinmen County	0	
30	Lienchiang County	0	
63	Taipei City	17	1.0%
64	Kaohsiung City	13	0.8%
65	New Taipei City	32	1.9%
66	Taichung City	16	0.9%
67	Tainan City	15	0.9%
68	Taoyuan City	9	0.5%
95	Refuse to answer	0	
99	Skipped	1541	91.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

s18aa: Which township or city in Yi Lan County?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Ilan City	0	
2	Luodong Township	0	
3	Suao Township	0	
4	Toucheng Township	0	
5	Jiaoxi Township	0	
6	Zhuangwei Township	0	
7	Yuanshan Township	0	
8	Dongshan Township	3	0.2%
9	Wujie Township	1	0.1%
10	Sanxing Township	1	0.1%
11	Datong Township	0	
12	Nanao Township	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1685	99.7%

# s18aa: Which township or city in Yi Lan County?			
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# s18ab: Which township or city in Hsinchu County?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Zhubei City	0	
2	Zhudong Township	0	
3	Xinpu Township	0	
4	Guanxi Township	0	
5	Hukou Township	0	
6	Xinfeng Township	2	0.1%
7	Qionglin Township	0	
8	Hengshan Township	0	
9	Beipu Township	0	
10	Baoshan Township	0	
11	Emei Township	0	
12	Jianshi Township	0	
13	Wufeng Township	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1688	99.9%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# s18ac: Which township or city in Miaoli County?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Miaoli City	1	0.1%
2	Yuanli Township	0	
3	TongxiaoTownship	0	
4	ZhunanTownship	0	
5	Toufen City	0	
6	Houlong Township	0	
7	ZhuolanTownship	0	
8	Dahu Township	0	
9	Gongguan Township	0	
10	Tongluo Township	0	
11	NanzhuangTownship	0	
12	Touwu Township	0	
13	Sanyi Township	0	
14	XihuTownship	0	
15	ZaoqiaoTownship	0	
16	Sanwan Township	1	0.1%
17	Shitan Township	0	
18	Taian Township	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	

# s18ac: Which township or city in Miaoli County?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1688	99.9%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# s18ad: Which township or city in Changhua County?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Changhua City	1	0.1%
2	LukangTownship	0	
3	Hemei Township	1	0.1%
4	XianxiTownship	0	
5	Shengang Township	0	
6	FuxingTownship	3	0.2%
7	XiushuiTownship	0	
8	Huatan Township	0	
9	Fenyuan Township	0	
10	Yuanlin City	1	0.1%
11	Xihu Township	0	
12	Tianzhong Township	0	
13	Dacun Township	0	
14	Puyan Township	0	
15	Puxin Township	0	
16	Yongjing Township	1	0.1%
17	Shetou Township	0	
18	ErshuiTownship	0	
19	Beidou Township	1	0.1%
20	Erlin Township	0	
21	Tianwei Township	1	0.1%
22	Pitou Township	0	
23	Fangyuan Township	0	
24	Dacheng Township	0	
25	Zhutang Township	0	
26	Xizhou Township	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1681	99.5%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# s18ae: Which township or city in Nantou County?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Nantou City	0	
2	Puli Township	0	
3	Caotun Township	0	

# s18ae: Which township or city in Nantou County?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Zhushan Township	0	0.1%
5	Jiji Township	0	
6	Mingjian Township	1	
7	Lugu Township	0	
8	Zhongliao Township	0	
9	Yuchi Township	0	
10	Guoxing Township	0	
11	Shuili Township	0	
12	Xinyi Township	0	
13	Renai Township	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1689	99.9%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# s18af: Which township or city in Yunlin County?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Douliu City	0	0.1%
2	Dounan Township	0	
3	Huwei Township	0	
4	XiluoTownship	0	
5	Tuku Township	0	
6	Beigang Township	2	
7	Gukeng Township	0	
8	Dapi Township	0	
9	Citong Township	0	
10	Linnei Township	0	
11	Erlun Township	0	
12	Lunbei Township	0	
13	Mailiao Township	0	
14	Dongshi Township	0	
15	Baozhong Township	0	
16	Taixi Township	0	
17	Yuanchang Township	0	
18	Sihu Township	0	
19	Kouhu Township	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1688	99.9%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# s18ag: Which township or city in Chiayi County?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		

s18ag: Which township or city in Chiayi County?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Taibao City	0	
2	Puzi City	0	
3	Budai Township	0	
4	Dalin Township	4	0.2%
5	Minxiong Township	1	0.1%
6	Xikou Township	0	
7	Xingang Township	0	
8	Liujiao Township	0	
9	Dongshi Township	0	
10	Yizhu Township	0	
11	Lucao Township	0	
12	Shuishang Township	0	
13	Zhongpu Township	1	0.1%
14	Zhuqi Township	1	0.1%
15	Meishan Township	0	
16	Fanlu Township	0	
17	Dapu Township	0	
18	Alishan Township	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1683	99.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# s18ah: Which township or city in Pingtung County?			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Pingtung City	0	
2	Chaozhou Township	0	
3	Donggang Township	0	
4	Hengchun Township	0	
5	Wandan Township	0	
6	Changzhi Township	0	
7	Linluo Township	0	
8	Jiuru Township	0	
9	Ligang Township	0	
10	Yanpu Township	0	
11	Gaoshu Township	0	
12	Wanluan Township	0	
13	Neipu Township	0	
14	Zhutian Township	0	
15	Xinpi Township	0	
16	Fangliao Township	0	
17	Xinyuan Township	2	0.1%
18	Kanding Township	0	
19	Linbian Township	0	
20	NanzhouTownship	0	
21	Jiadong Township	1	0.1%
22	Liuqiu Township	0	
23	Checheng Township	0	
24	Manzhou Township	0	
25	FangshanTownship	0	
26	Sandimen Township	0	
27	Wutai Township	0	
28	Majia Township	0	
29	Taiwu Township	0	
30	Laiyi Township	0	
31	Chunri Township	0	
32	Shizi Township	0	
33	Mudan Township	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1687	99.8%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# s18ai: Which township or city in Taitung County?			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Taitung City	0	

# s18ai: Which township or city in Taitung County?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Chenggong Township	1	0.1%
3	Guanshan Township	0	
4	Beinan Township	1	0.1%
5	Luye Township	0	
6	Chishang Township	0	
7	Donghe Township	1	0.1%
8	Changbin Township	0	
9	Taimali Township	0	
10	Dawu Township	0	
11	Ludao Township	0	
12	Haiduan Township	0	
13	Yanping Township	0	
14	Jinfeng Township	0	
15	Daren Township	0	
16	Lanyu Township	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1687	99.8%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# s18aj: Which township or city in Hualien County?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Hualien City	0	
2	Fenglin Township	0	
3	Yuli Township	1	0.1%
4	Xincheng Township	0	
5	Jian Township	0	
6	Shoufeng Township	0	
7	Guangfu Township	0	
8	Fengbin Township	0	
9	Ruisui Township	0	
10	Fuli Township	0	
11	Xiulin Township	0	
12	Wanrong Township	0	
13	Zhuoxi Township	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1689	99.9%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# s18ak: Which township or city in Penghu County?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		

# s18ak: Which township or city in Penghu County?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Magong City	0	
2	Huxi Township	0	
3	Baisha Township	0	
4	Xiyu Township	0	
5	Wangan Township	0	
6	Qimei Township	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1690	100.0%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# s18al: Which area in Keelung City?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Zhongzheng District	1	0.1%
2	Qidu District	0	
3	Nuannuan District	0	
4	Renai District	1	0.1%
5	Zhongshan District	0	
6	Anle District	3	0.2%
7	Xinyi District	2	0.1%
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1683	99.6%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# s18am: Which area in Hsinchu City?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	East District	4	0.2%
2	North District	0	
3	XiangshanDistrict	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1686	99.8%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# s18an: Which area in Chiayi City?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	East District	0	
2	West District	1	
95	Refuse to answer	0	

# s18an: Which area in Chiayi City?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1689	99.9%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# s18ao: Which township or city in Kinmen County?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Jincheng Township	0	
2	Jinhu Township	0	
3	Jinsha Township	0	
4	Jinning Township	0	
5	Lieyu Township	0	
6	Wuqiu Township	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1690	100.0%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# s18ap: Which township or city in Lianjiang County?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Nangan Township	0	
2	Beigan Township	0	
3	Juguang Township	0	
4	Dongyin Township	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1690	100.0%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# s18aq: Which area in Taipei City?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Songshan District	2	0.1%
2	Xinyi District	1	0.1%
3	Daan District	0	
4	Zhongshan District	2	0.1%
5	Zhongzheng District	1	0.1%
6	Datong District	1	0.1%
7	Wanhua District	1	0.1%
8	Wenshan District	2	0.1%
9	Nangang District	1	0.1%
10	Neihu District	0	

# s18aq: Which area in Taipei City?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
11	Shilin District	0	
12	Beitou District	3	0.2%
95	Refuse to answer	3	0.2%
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1673	99.0%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# s18ar: Which area in Kaohsiung City?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yancheng District	0	
2	Gushan District	1	0.1%
3	Zuoying District	2	0.1%
4	Nanzi District	0	
5	Sanmin District	3	0.2%
6	Xinxing District	2	0.1%
7	Qianjin District	0	
8	Lingya District	3	0.2%
9	Qianzhen District	1	0.1%
10	Qijin District	0	
11	Xiaogang District	0	
12	Fengshan District	1	0.1%
13	Linyuan District	0	
14	Daliao District	0	
15	Dashu District	0	
16	Dashe District	0	
17	Renwu District	0	
18	Niaosong District	0	
19	Gangshan District	0	
20	Qiaotou District	0	
21	Yanchao District	0	
22	Tianliao District	0	
23	Alian District	0	
24	Luzhu District	0	
25	Hunei District	0	
26	Qieding District	0	
27	Yongan District	0	
28	Mituo District	0	
29	Ziguan District	0	
30	Qishan District	0	
31	Meinong District	0	
32	Liugui District	0	
33	Jiaxian District	0	
34	Shanlin District	0	

# s18ar: Which area in Kaohsiung City?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
35	Neimen District	0	
36	Maolin District	0	
37	Taoyuan District	0	
38	Namaxia District	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1677	99.2%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# s18as: Which area in New Taipei City?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Banqiao District	6	0.4%
2	Sanchong District	7	0.4%
3	Zhonghe District	4	0.2%
4	Yonghe District	3	0.2%
5	Xinzhuang District	2	0.1%
6	Xindian District	2	0.1%
7	Shulin District	3	0.2%
8	Yingge District	3	0.2%
9	Sanxia District	0	
10	Tamsui District	1	
11	Xizhi District	0	
12	Ruifang District	0	
13	Tucheng District	0	
14	Luzhou District	0	
15	Wugu District	1	0.1%
16	Taishan District	0	
17	Linkou District	0	
18	Shenkeng District	0	
19	Shiding District	0	
20	Pinglin District	0	
21	Sanzhi District	0	
22	Shimen District	0	
23	Bali District	0	
24	Pingxi District	0	
25	Shuangxi District	0	
26	Gongliao District	0	
27	Jinshan District	0	
28	Wanli District	0	
29	Wulai District	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1658	98.1%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# s18at: Which area in Taichung City?			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Central District	0	
2	East District	0	
3	South District	5	0.3%
4	West District	0	
5	North District	2	0.1%
6	Xitun District	2	0.1%
7	Nantun District	1	0.1%
8	Beitun District	0	
9	Fengyuan District	3	0.2%
10	Dongshi District	0	
11	Dajia District	1	0.1%
12	Qingshui District	0	
13	Shalu District	1	0.1%
14	Wuqi District	0	
15	Houli District	0	
16	Shengang District	0	
17	Tanzi District	0	
18	Daya District	1	0.1%
19	Xinshe District	0	
20	Shigang District	0	
21	Waipu District	0	
22	Daan District	0	
23	Wuri District	0	
24	Dadu District	0	
25	Longjing District	0	
26	Wufeng District	0	
27	Taiping District	0	
28	Dali District	0	
29	Heping District	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1674	99.1%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# s18au: Which area in Tainan City?			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Xinying District	1	0.1%
2	Yanshui District	0	
3	Baihe District	0	
4	Liuying District	0	
5	Houbi District	0	

# s18au: Which area in Tainan City?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6	Dongshan District	0	
7	Madou District	0	
8	Xiaying District	0	
9	Liujia District	0	
10	Guantian District	0	
11	Danei District	0	
12	Jiali District	0	
13	Xuejia District	0	
14	Xigang District	0	
15	Qigu District	0	
16	Jiangjun District	1	0.1%
17	Beimen District	0	
18	Xinhua District	0	
19	Shanhua District	1	0.1%
20	Xinshi District	0	
21	Anding District	0	
22	Shanshang District	0	
23	Yujing District	0	
24	Nanxi District	0	
25	Nanhua District	0	
26	Zuozhen District	0	
27	Rende District	0	
28	Guiren District	0	
29	Guanmiao District	0	
30	Longqi District	0	
31	Yongkang District	4	0.2%
32	East District	1	0.1%
33	South District	1	0.1%
34	North District	1	0.1%
35	Annan District	4	0.2%
36	Anping District	1	0.1%
37	West Central District	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1675	99.1%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# s18av: Which area in Taoyuan City?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Taoyuan District	1	0.1%
2	Zhongli District	1	0.1%
3	Daxi District	2	0.1%
4	Yangmei District	2	0.1%

# s18av: Which area in Taoyuan City?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	Luzhu District	2	0.1%
6	Dayuan District	0	
7	Guishan District	0	
8	Bade District	1	0.1%
9	Longtan District	0	
10	Pingzhen District	0	
11	Xinwu District	0	
12	Guanyin District	0	
13	Fuxing District	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1681	99.5%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# s19: Does the R leave his/her telephone number and mobile phone number to I?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-95] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Telephone	1525	90.2%
92	No	2	0.1%
95	Refuse to answer	163	9.6%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# s20: How many telephone lines does your household have? (only those used for voice communications)			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		119	7.0%
1		1313	77.7%
2		184	10.9%
3		34	2.0%
4		11	0.7%
5		10	0.6%
6		6	0.4%
7		3	0.2%
9		1	0.1%
95	Refuse to answer	8	0.5%
98	Don't know	1	0.1%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# s21: Finally, our teacher is interested in the Internet usage in Taiwan, may you provide us your most frequently used e-mail?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-95] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Email	501	29.6%



s21: Finally, our teacher is interested in the Internet usage in Taiwan, may you provide us your most frequently used e-mail?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	No/R is in panel sample	998	 59.1%
95	Refuse to answer	191	 11.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

s22: Does R agree to participate retest?



Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1063	 62.9%
2	No	627	 37.1%
3	R is in panel sample	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

s23: R's gender






Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Male	868	 51.4%
2	Female	822	 48.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

s24: Language used to conduct interview

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-95] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Mandarin	1277	 75.6%
2	Taiwanese	184	 10.9%
3	Hakka	0	
4	Mandarin and Taiwanese	210	 12.4%
5	Mandarin and Hakka	16	 0.9%
6	Taiwanese and Hakka	3	 0.2%
95	Refuse to answer	0	





Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q230: The interview ended at:

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10501172046-10504211630] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=10502138937.17 /-] [StdDev=884701.105 /-]

u1_1: Before the interview started, did the R do any of the following? [multiple responses permitted]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Claim he or she was too busy to be interviewed	103	 6.1%
2	Claim his or her family was too busy for him or her to be in	57	 3.4%
3	Claim to be uninterested in surveys	134	 7.9%
4	Claim not to trust opinion surveys	30	 1.8%

# u1_1: Before the interview started, did the R do any of the following? [multiple responses permitted]			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	Express doubt about how the survey would be used	33	2.0%
6	Express doubt about whether R's opinions or personal informa	38	2.2%
7	Express doubt about the surveying organization or project di	11	0.7%
8	Express doubt about the identity of the I	24	1.4%
9	A family member opposed the R being interviewed	31	1.8%
10	None of the above	1229	72.7%
99	Skipped	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# u1_2: Before the interview started, did the R do any of the following? [multiple responses permitted]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Claim he or she was too busy to be interviewed	8	0.5%
2	Claim his or her family was too busy for him or her to be in	8	0.5%
3	Claim to be uninterested in surveys	23	1.4%
4	Claim not to trust opinion surveys	11	0.7%
5	Express doubt about how the survey would be used	12	0.7%
6	Express doubt about whether R's opinions or personal informa	15	0.9%
7	Express doubt about the surveying organization or project di	10	0.6%
8	Express doubt about the identity of the I	6	0.4%
9	A family member opposed the R being interviewed	7	0.4%
10	None of the above	0	
99	Skipped	1590	94.1%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# u1_3: Before the interview started, did the R do any of the following? [multiple responses permitted]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Claim he or she was too busy to be interviewed	1	0.1%
2	Claim his or her family was too busy for him or her to be in	4	0.2%
3	Claim to be uninterested in surveys	7	0.4%
4	Claim not to trust opinion surveys	6	0.4%
5	Express doubt about how the survey would be used	5	0.3%
6	Express doubt about whether R's opinions or personal informa	6	0.4%
7	Express doubt about the surveying organization or project di	6	0.4%
8	Express doubt about the identity of the I	6	0.4%
9	A family member opposed the R being interviewed	3	0.2%
10	None of the above	0	
99	Skipped	1646	97.4%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# u1_4: Before the interview started, did the R do any of the following? [multiple responses permitted]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		

# u1_4: Before the interview started, did the R do any of the following? [multiple responses permitted]			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Claim he or she was too busy to be interviewed	1	0.1%
2	Claim his or her family was too busy for him or her to be in	2	0.1%
3	Claim to be uninterested in surveys	3	0.2%
4	Claim not to trust opinion surveys	2	0.1%
5	Express doubt about how the survey would be used	4	0.2%
6	Express doubt about whether R's opinions or personal informa	3	0.2%
7	Express doubt about the surveying organization or project di	1	0.1%
8	Express doubt about the identity of the I	1	0.1%
9	A family member opposed the R being interviewed	2	0.1%
10	None of the above	0	
99	Skipped	1671	98.9%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# u1_5: Before the interview started, did the R do any of the following? [multiple responses permitted]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Claim he or she was too busy to be interviewed	0	
2	Claim his or her family was too busy for him or her to be in	0	
3	Claim to be uninterested in surveys	0	
4	Claim not to trust opinion surveys	0	
5	Express doubt about how the survey would be used	1	0.1%
6	Express doubt about whether R's opinions or personal informa	4	0.2%
7	Express doubt about the surveying organization or project di	1	0.1%
8	Express doubt about the identity of the I	2	0.1%
9	A family member opposed the R being interviewed	0	
10	None of the above	0	
99	Skipped	1682	99.5%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# u1_6: Before the interview started, did the R do any of the following? [multiple responses permitted]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Claim he or she was too busy to be interviewed	0	
2	Claim his or her family was too busy for him or her to be in	0	
3	Claim to be uninterested in surveys	0	
4	Claim not to trust opinion surveys	0	
5	Express doubt about how the survey would be used	2	0.1%
6	Express doubt about whether R's opinions or personal informa	1	0.1%
7	Express doubt about the surveying organization or project di	0	
8	Express doubt about the identity of the I	0	
9	A family member opposed the R being interviewed	3	0.2%
10	None of the above	0	
99	Skipped	1684	99.6%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# u1_7: Before the interview started, did the R do any of the following? [multiple responses permitted]			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Claim he or she was too busy to be interviewed	0	
2	Claim his or her family was too busy for him or her to be in	0	
3	Claim to be uninterested in surveys	0	
4	Claim not to trust opinion surveys	0	
5	Express doubt about how the survey would be used	0	
6	Express doubt about whether R's opinions or personal informa	0	
7	Express doubt about the surveying organization or project di	1	0.1%
8	Express doubt about the identity of the I	0	
9	A family member opposed the R being interviewed	0	
10	None of the above	0	
99	Skipped	1689	99.9%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# u1_8: Before the interview started, did the R do any of the following? [multiple responses permitted]			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Claim he or she was too busy to be interviewed	0	
2	Claim his or her family was too busy for him or her to be in	0	
3	Claim to be uninterested in surveys	0	
4	Claim not to trust opinion surveys	0	
5	Express doubt about how the survey would be used	0	
6	Express doubt about whether R's opinions or personal informa	0	
7	Express doubt about the surveying organization or project di	0	
8	Express doubt about the identity of the I	1	0.1%
9	A family member opposed the R being interviewed	0	
10	None of the above	0	
99	Skipped	1689	99.9%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# u1_9: Before the interview started, did the R do any of the following? [multiple responses permitted]			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Claim he or she was too busy to be interviewed	0	
2	Claim his or her family was too busy for him or her to be in	0	
3	Claim to be uninterested in surveys	0	
4	Claim not to trust opinion surveys	0	
5	Express doubt about how the survey would be used	0	
6	Express doubt about whether R's opinions or personal informa	0	
7	Express doubt about the surveying organization or project di	0	
8	Express doubt about the identity of the I	0	
9	A family member opposed the R being interviewed	1	0.1%

# u1_9: Before the interview started, did the R do any of the following? [multiple responses permitted]			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	None of the above	0	
99	Skipped	1689	99.9%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# u1_10: Before the interview started, did the R do any of the following? [multiple responses permitted]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Claim he or she was too busy to be interviewed	0	
2	Claim his or her family was too busy for him or her to be in	0	
3	Claim to be uninterested in surveys	0	
4	Claim not to trust opinion surveys	0	
5	Express doubt about how the survey would be used	0	
6	Express doubt about whether R's opinions or personal informa	0	
7	Express doubt about the surveying organization or project di	0	
8	Express doubt about the identity of the I	0	
9	A family member opposed the R being interviewed	0	
10	None of the above	0	
99	Skipped	1690	100.0%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# u2a: Did the R ask to fill out the questionnaire him or herself?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	15	0.9%
2	No	1675	99.1%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# u2b: Did the R ask to see the questionnaire?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	36	2.1%
2	No	1654	97.9%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# u2c: Did the R seem to want to quit during the interview?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	82	4.9%
2	No	1608	95.1%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# u2d: Did the R say that there were too many questions?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		

# u2d: Did the R say that there were too many questions?			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	395	<div><div></div></div> 23.4%
2	No	1295	<div><div></div></div> 76.6%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# u2e: Did the R say that a question was unclear or something was not defined well?			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	121	<div><div></div></div> 7.2%
2	No	1569	<div><div></div></div> 92.8%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# u2e1_1: The number of the question			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10000-999999] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10000	A Group	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
10100	A1	0	
10200	A2	0	
10300	A3	0	
10400	A4	0	
10401	A4a	0	
10402	A4b	0	
10403	A4c	0	
20000	B Group	0	
20100	B1	0	
20200	B2	0	
20201	B2a	0	
20202	B2b	0	
20203	B2c	0	
20300	B3	0	
20400	B4	0	
20500	B5	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
20501	B5a	0	
20600	B6	0	
30000	C Group	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
30100	C1	0	
30200	C2	0	
30300	C3	0	
40000	D Group	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
40100	D1	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
40200	D2	0	
40300	D3	0	
40400	D4	0	

# u2e1_1: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
40500	D5	0	
40600	D6	0	
40700	D7	12	0.7%
50000	E Group	0	
50100	E1	1	0.1%
50200	E2	1	0.1%
50300	E3	0	
50400	E4	0	
50500	E5	0	
50600	E6	1	0.1%
50700	E7	1	0.1%
50800	E8	1	0.1%
60000	F Group	0	
60100	F1	0	
60200	F2	0	
70000	G Group	0	
70100	G1	0	
70200	G2	0	
70300	G3	0	
70400	G4	1	0.1%
80000	H Group	0	
80100	H1	0	
80200	H2	0	
80300	H3	2	0.1%
80400	H4	0	
80500	H5	0	
80600	H6	0	
80700	H7	1	0.1%
80800	H8	0	
80900	H9	0	
90000	I Group	2	0.1%
90100	I1	1	0.1%
90200	I2	0	
90300	I3	0	
100000	J Group	1	0.1%
100101	J1a	0	
100102	J1b	0	
100103	J1b1	0	
100104	J1b2	0	
100105	J1c	0	
100106	J1d	0	
100107	J1e	0	
100108	J1f	0	
100109	J1g	0	
100200	J2	0	

# u2e1_1: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
100300	J3	0	
100400	J4	0	
100401	J4a	0	
100402	J4a1	0	
100403	J4b	0	
100404	J4b1	0	
100500	J5	1	0.1%
100501	J5a	0	
100502	J5b	0	
110000	K Group	2	0.1%
110100	K1	1	0.1%
110101	K1a	1	0.1%
110201	K2a	0	
110202	K2b	0	
110203	K2c	0	
110301	K3a	0	
110302	K3b	0	
110303	K3c	0	
110401	K4a	0	
110402	K4b	0	
110403	K4c	0	
110501	K5a	0	
110502	K5b	0	
110503	K5c	0	
110601	K6a	0	
110602	K6b	0	
110603	K6c	0	
110700	K7	1	0.1%
110701	K7a	0	
110800	K8	1	0.1%
110801	K8a	0	
110900	K9	0	
110901	K9a	0	
111000	K10	1	0.1%
111100	K11	0	
111200	K12	0	
111300	K13	0	
120000	M Group	0	
120101	M1a	1	0.1%
120102	M1b	0	
120200	M2	0	
120300	M3	0	
120400	M4	0	
130000	N Group	1	0.1%
130100	N1 Group	1	0.1%

# u2e1_1: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
130101	N1a	1	0.1%
130102	N1b	0	
130103	N1c	0	
130104	N1d	0	
130105	N1e	0	
130200	N2	5	0.3%
130201	N2a	0	
130202	N2b	0	
130300	N3	1	0.1%
130301	N3a	0	
130400	N4	1	0.1%
130500	N5	1	0.1%
130600	N6	0	
140000	P Group	1	0.1%
140100	P1	1	0.1%
140101	P1a	0	
140102	P1b	0	
140200	P2	0	
140300	P3	0	
140400	P4	2	0.1%
140500	P5	0	
140501	P5a	0	
140502	P5b	0	
140503	P5c	0	
140600	P6	3	0.2%
140601	P6a	0	
140700	P7	1	0.1%
140701	P7a	0	
140801	P8a	8	0.5%
140802	P8b	0	
140803	P8c	0	
140804	P8d	0	
140805	P8e	0	
140806	P8f	0	
140807	P8g	0	
150000	Q Group	1	0.1%
150100	Q1	0	
150101	Q1a	0	
150102	Q1b	0	
150103	Q1c	0	
150200	Q2	0	
150201	Q2a	0	
150202	Q2b	0	
150203	Q2c	0	
150204	Q2d	0	

# u2e1_1: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
150300	Q3	0	
150301	Q3a	0	
150400	Q4	0	
150500	Q5	0	
150600	Q6	0	
150700	Q7	0	
150800	Q8	1	0.1%
150801	Q8a	1	0.1%
150900	Q9	1	0.1%
150901	Q9a	0	
160000	S Group	0	
160100	S1	0	
160200	S2	0	
160300	S3	0	
160400	S4	0	
160500	S5	0	
160600	S6	0	
160601	S6a	1	0.1%
160602	S6b	1	0.1%
160700	S7	0	
160701	S7a	0	
160702	S7b	0	
160800	S8	0	
160900	S9	0	
161000	S10	0	
161001	S10a	0	
161002	S10b	0	
161100	S11	1	0.1%
161101	S12a	0	
161300	S13	0	
161301	S13a	0	
161400	S14	0	
161500	S15	1	0.1%
161600	S16	0	
161700	S17	0	
161701	S17a	0	
161800	S18	0	
161801	S18a	0	
161900	S19	0	
162000	S20	0	
162100	S21	0	
999991	Too many, all	44	2.6%
999999	Skipped	1569	92.8%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# u2e1_2: The number of the question			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10000-999999] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10000	A Group	0	
10100	A1	0	
10200	A2	0	
10300	A3	0	
10400	A4	1	0.1%
10401	A4a	0	
10402	A4b	0	
10403	A4c	0	
20000	B Group	0	
20100	B1	0	
20200	B2	0	
20201	B2a	0	
20202	B2b	0	
20203	B2c	0	
20300	B3	0	
20400	B4	0	
20500	B5	0	
20501	B5a	0	
20600	B6	0	
30000	C Group	0	
30100	C1	0	
30200	C2	0	
30300	C3	0	
40000	D Group	0	
40100	D1	0	
40200	D2	1	0.1%
40300	D3	1	0.1%
40400	D4	0	
40500	D5	0	
40600	D6	0	
40700	D7	0	
50000	E Group	1	0.1%
50100	E1	0	
50200	E2	1	0.1%
50300	E3	1	0.1%
50400	E4	0	
50500	E5	1	0.1%
50600	E6	0	
50700	E7	0	
50800	E8	1	0.1%
60000	F Group	0	
60100	F1	0	
60200	F2	0	

# u2e1_2: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
70000	G Group	0	
70100	G1	0	
70200	G2	0	
70300	G3	0	
70400	G4	0	
80000	H Group	0	
80100	H1	0	
80200	H2	0	
80300	H3	0	
80400	H4	0	
80500	H5	0	
80600	H6	0	
80700	H7	0	
80800	H8	0	
80900	H9	0	
90000	I Group	1	0.1%
90100	I1	0	
90200	I2	0	
90300	I3	0	
100000	J Group	0	
100101	J1a	0	
100102	J1b	0	
100103	J1b1	0	
100104	J1b2	0	
100105	J1c	0	
100106	J1d	0	
100107	J1e	0	
100108	J1f	0	
100109	J1g	0	
100200	J2	0	
100300	J3	0	
100400	J4	0	
100401	J4a	0	
100402	J4a1	0	
100403	J4b	0	
100404	J4b1	0	
100500	J5	0	
100501	J5a	0	
100502	J5b	0	
110000	K Group	2	0.1%
110100	K1	2	0.1%
110101	K1a	0	
110201	K2a	0	
110202	K2b	0	
110203	K2c	0	

# u2e1_2: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
110301	K3a	0	
110302	K3b	0	
110303	K3c	0	
110401	K4a	0	
110402	K4b	0	
110403	K4c	0	
110501	K5a	0	
110502	K5b	0	
110503	K5c	0	
110601	K6a	1	0.1%
110602	K6b	0	
110603	K6c	0	
110700	K7	0	
110701	K7a	1	0.1%
110800	K8	0	
110801	K8a	1	0.1%
110900	K9	1	0.1%
110901	K9a	0	
111000	K10	0	
111100	K11	0	
111200	K12	0	
111300	K13	0	
120000	M Group	0	
120101	M1a	0	
120102	M1b	0	
120200	M2	1	0.1%
120300	M3	0	
120400	M4	0	
130000	N Group	1	0.1%
130100	N1 Group	0	
130101	N1a	0	
130102	N1b	0	
130103	N1c	0	
130104	N1d	0	
130105	N1e	0	
130200	N2	0	
130201	N2a	3	0.2%
130202	N2b	0	
130300	N3	0	
130301	N3a	0	
130400	N4	0	
130500	N5	1	0.1%
130600	N6	1	0.1%
140000	P Group	0	
140100	P1	0	

# u2e1_2: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
140101	P1a	0	
140102	P1b	0	
140200	P2	0	
140300	P3	1	0.1%
140400	P4	1	0.1%
140500	P5	0	
140501	P5a	0	
140502	P5b	0	
140503	P5c	0	
140600	P6	1	0.1%
140601	P6a	2	0.1%
140700	P7	0	
140701	P7a	0	
140801	P8a	0	
140802	P8b	6	0.4%
140803	P8c	0	
140804	P8d	0	
140805	P8e	0	
140806	P8f	2	0.1%
140807	P8g	0	
150000	Q Group	0	
150100	Q1	0	
150101	Q1a	0	
150102	Q1b	0	
150103	Q1c	0	
150200	Q2	0	
150201	Q2a	0	
150202	Q2b	0	
150203	Q2c	0	
150204	Q2d	0	
150300	Q3	0	
150301	Q3a	0	
150400	Q4	0	
150500	Q5	0	
150600	Q6	0	
150700	Q7	0	
150800	Q8	0	
150801	Q8a	1	0.1%
150900	Q9	1	0.1%
150901	Q9a	0	
160000	S Group	0	
160100	S1	0	
160200	S2	0	
160300	S3	0	
160400	S4	0	

# u2e1_2: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
160500	S5	0	
160600	S6	0	
160601	S6a	0	
160602	S6b	0	
160700	S7	0	
160701	S7a	0	
160702	S7b	0	
160800	S8	0	
160900	S9	0	
161000	S10	0	
161001	S10a	0	
161002	S10b	0	
161100	S11	0	
161101	S12a	0	
161300	S13	0	
161301	S13a	0	
161400	S14	0	
161500	S15	0	
161600	S16	0	
161700	S17	0	
161701	S17a	0	
161800	S18	0	
161801	S18a	0	
161900	S19	0	
162000	S20	0	
162100	S21	0	
999991	Too many, all	0	
999999	Skipped	1651	97.7%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# u2e1_3: The number of the question			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10000-999999] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10000	A Group	0	
10100	A1	0	
10200	A2	0	
10300	A3	0	
10400	A4	0	
10401	A4a	0	
10402	A4b	0	
10403	A4c	0	
20000	B Group	0	
20100	B1	0	
20200	B2	0	

# u2e1_3: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
20201	B2a	0	
20202	B2b	0	
20203	B2c	0	
20300	B3	0	
20400	B4	0	
20500	B5	0	
20501	B5a	0	
20600	B6	0	
30000	C Group	0	
30100	C1	0	
30200	C2	0	
30300	C3	0	
40000	D Group	0	
40100	D1	0	
40200	D2	0	
40300	D3	1	
40400	D4	0	
40500	D5	0	
40600	D6	0	
40700	D7	0	
50000	E Group	0	
50100	E1	0	
50200	E2	0	
50300	E3	0	
50400	E4	0	
50500	E5	0	
50600	E6	0	
50700	E7	0	
50800	E8	0	
60000	F Group	0	
60100	F1	0	
60200	F2	0	
70000	G Group	0	
70100	G1	0	
70200	G2	0	
70300	G3	0	
70400	G4	0	
80000	H Group	0	
80100	H1	0	
80200	H2	0	
80300	H3	1	
80400	H4	0	
80500	H5	0	
80600	H6	0	
80700	H7	0	

# u2e1_3: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
80800	H8	0	
80900	H9	1	0.1%
90000	I Group	0	
90100	I1	0	
90200	I2	0	
90300	I3	0	
100000	J Group	0	
100101	J1a	0	
100102	J1b	0	
100103	J1b1	0	
100104	J1b2	0	
100105	J1c	0	
100106	J1d	0	
100107	J1e	0	
100108	J1f	0	
100109	J1g	0	
100200	J2	0	
100300	J3	0	
100400	J4	0	
100401	J4a	0	
100402	J4a1	0	
100403	J4b	0	
100404	J4b1	0	
100500	J5	0	
100501	J5a	0	
100502	J5b	0	
110000	K Group	1	0.1%
110100	K1	0	
110101	K1a	1	0.1%
110201	K2a	1	0.1%
110202	K2b	0	
110203	K2c	0	
110301	K3a	0	
110302	K3b	0	
110303	K3c	0	
110401	K4a	0	
110402	K4b	0	
110403	K4c	0	
110501	K5a	1	0.1%
110502	K5b	0	
110503	K5c	0	
110601	K6a	0	
110602	K6b	1	0.1%
110603	K6c	0	
110700	K7	0	

# u2e1_3: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
110701	K7a	0	
110800	K8	0	
110801	K8a	0	
110900	K9	0	
110901	K9a	0	
111000	K10	0	
111100	K11	0	
111200	K12	0	
111300	K13	0	
120000	M Group	0	
120101	M1a	0	
120102	M1b	1	0.1%
120200	M2	0	
120300	M3	0	
120400	M4	0	
130000	N Group	1	0.1%
130100	N1 Group	0	
130101	N1a	0	
130102	N1b	0	
130103	N1c	0	
130104	N1d	0	
130105	N1e	0	
130200	N2	0	
130201	N2a	0	
130202	N2b	3	0.2%
130300	N3	0	
130301	N3a	0	
130400	N4	1	0.1%
130500	N5	0	
130600	N6	1	0.1%
140000	P Group	1	0.1%
140100	P1	0	
140101	P1a	1	0.1%
140102	P1b	0	
140200	P2	0	
140300	P3	0	
140400	P4	0	
140500	P5	0	
140501	P5a	0	
140502	P5b	0	
140503	P5c	0	
140600	P6	0	
140601	P6a	0	
140700	P7	0	
140701	P7a	0	

# u2e1_3: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
140801	P8a	0	
140802	P8b	0	
140803	P8c	6	0.4%
140804	P8d	1	0.1%
140805	P8e	0	
140806	P8f	0	
140807	P8g	0	
150000	Q Group	0	
150100	Q1	0	
150101	Q1a	0	
150102	Q1b	0	
150103	Q1c	0	
150200	Q2	0	
150201	Q2a	0	
150202	Q2b	0	
150203	Q2c	0	
150204	Q2d	0	
150300	Q3	0	
150301	Q3a	0	
150400	Q4	0	
150500	Q5	0	
150600	Q6	0	
150700	Q7	1	0.1%
150800	Q8	1	0.1%
150801	Q8a	0	
150900	Q9	1	0.1%
150901	Q9a	0	
160000	S Group	0	
160100	S1	0	
160200	S2	0	
160300	S3	0	
160400	S4	0	
160500	S5	1	0.1%
160600	S6	0	
160601	S6a	0	
160602	S6b	0	
160700	S7	0	
160701	S7a	0	
160702	S7b	0	
160800	S8	0	
160900	S9	0	
161000	S10	0	
161001	S10a	0	
161002	S10b	0	
161100	S11	0	

# u2e1_3: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
161101	S12a	0	
161300	S13	0	
161301	S13a	0	
161400	S14	0	
161500	S15	0	
161600	S16	0	
161700	S17	0	
161701	S17a	0	
161800	S18	0	
161801	S18a	0	
161900	S19	0	
162000	S20	0	
162100	S21	0	
999991	Too many, all	0	
999999	Skipped	1662	98.3%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# u2e1_4: The number of the question			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10000-999999] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10000	A Group	0	
10100	A1	0	
10200	A2	0	
10300	A3	0	
10400	A4	0	
10401	A4a	0	
10402	A4b	0	
10403	A4c	0	
20000	B Group	0	
20100	B1	0	
20200	B2	0	
20201	B2a	0	
20202	B2b	0	
20203	B2c	0	
20300	B3	0	
20400	B4	0	
20500	B5	0	
20501	B5a	0	
20600	B6	0	
30000	C Group	0	
30100	C1	0	
30200	C2	0	
30300	C3	0	
40000	D Group	0	

# u2e1_4: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
40100	D1	0	0.1%
40200	D2	0	
40300	D3	0	
40400	D4	1	
40500	D5	0	
40600	D6	0	
40700	D7	0	
50000	E Group	0	
50100	E1	0	
50200	E2	0	
50300	E3	0	
50400	E4	0	
50500	E5	0	
50600	E6	0	
50700	E7	0	
50800	E8	0	
60000	F Group	0	
60100	F1	0	
60200	F2	0	
70000	G Group	0	
70100	G1	0	
70200	G2	0	
70300	G3	0	
70400	G4	0	
80000	H Group	0	
80100	H1	0	
80200	H2	0	
80300	H3	0	
80400	H4	0	
80500	H5	0	
80600	H6	0	
80700	H7	0	
80800	H8	0	
80900	H9	0	
90000	I Group	0	
90100	I1	0	
90200	I2	0	
90300	I3	1	0.1%
100000	J Group	0	
100101	J1a	0	
100102	J1b	0	
100103	J1b1	0	
100104	J1b2	0	
100105	J1c	0	
100106	J1d	0	

# u2e1_4: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
100107	J1e	0	
100108	J1f	0	
100109	J1g	0	
100200	J2	0	
100300	J3	0	
100400	J4	0	
100401	J4a	0	
100402	J4a1	0	
100403	J4b	0	
100404	J4b1	0	
100500	J5	0	
100501	J5a	0	
100502	J5b	0	
110000	K Group	0	
110100	K1	0	
110101	K1a	0	
110201	K2a	1	
110202	K2b	1	
110203	K2c	0	
110301	K3a	0	
110302	K3b	0	
110303	K3c	0	
110401	K4a	0	
110402	K4b	0	
110403	K4c	0	
110501	K5a	0	
110502	K5b	1	
110503	K5c	0	
110601	K6a	0	
110602	K6b	0	
110603	K6c	1	
110700	K7	0	
110701	K7a	0	
110800	K8	0	
110801	K8a	0	
110900	K9	0	
110901	K9a	0	
111000	K10	0	
111100	K11	0	
111200	K12	0	
111300	K13	1	
120000	M Group	0	
120101	M1a	0	
120102	M1b	0	
120200	M2	0	

# u2e1_4: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
120300	M3	0	
120400	M4	0	
130000	N Group	1	0.1%
130100	N1 Group	1	0.1%
130101	N1a	0	
130102	N1b	0	
130103	N1c	0	
130104	N1d	0	
130105	N1e	0	
130200	N2	0	
130201	N2a	0	
130202	N2b	0	
130300	N3	0	
130301	N3a	0	
130400	N4	0	
130500	N5	0	
130600	N6	0	
140000	P Group	0	
140100	P1	0	
140101	P1a	0	
140102	P1b	0	
140200	P2	0	
140300	P3	0	
140400	P4	1	0.1%
140500	P5	0	
140501	P5a	0	
140502	P5b	0	
140503	P5c	0	
140600	P6	0	
140601	P6a	0	
140700	P7	0	
140701	P7a	0	
140801	P8a	1	0.1%
140802	P8b	0	
140803	P8c	0	
140804	P8d	4	0.2%
140805	P8e	0	
140806	P8f	1	0.1%
140807	P8g	0	
150000	Q Group	2	0.1%
150100	Q1	0	
150101	Q1a	0	
150102	Q1b	0	
150103	Q1c	0	
150200	Q2	0	

# u2e1_4: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
150201	Q2a	0	
150202	Q2b	0	
150203	Q2c	0	
150204	Q2d	0	
150300	Q3	0	
150301	Q3a	0	
150400	Q4	0	
150500	Q5	0	
150600	Q6	0	
150700	Q7	0	
150800	Q8	0	
150801	Q8a	0	
150900	Q9	0	
150901	Q9a	2	
160000	S Group	0	
160100	S1	0	
160200	S2	0	
160300	S3	0	
160400	S4	0	
160500	S5	0	
160600	S6	0	
160601	S6a	0	0.1%
160602	S6b	0	
160700	S7	0	
160701	S7a	0	
160702	S7b	0	
160800	S8	0	
160900	S9	0	
161000	S10	0	
161001	S10a	0	
161002	S10b	0	
161100	S11	0	
161101	S12a	0	
161300	S13	0	
161301	S13a	0	
161400	S14	0	
161500	S15	0	
161600	S16	0	
161700	S17	0	
161701	S17a	0	
161800	S18	0	
161801	S18a	0	
161900	S19	0	
162000	S20	0	
162100	S21	0	

# u2e1_4: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
999991	Too many, all	0	
999999	Skipped	1670	98.8%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# u2e1_5: The number of the question			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10000-999999] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10000	A Group	0	
10100	A1	0	
10200	A2	0	
10300	A3	0	
10400	A4	0	
10401	A4a	0	
10402	A4b	0	
10403	A4c	0	
20000	B Group	0	
20100	B1	0	
20200	B2	0	
20201	B2a	0	
20202	B2b	0	
20203	B2c	0	
20300	B3	0	
20400	B4	0	
20500	B5	0	
20501	B5a	0	
20600	B6	0	
30000	C Group	0	
30100	C1	0	
30200	C2	0	
30300	C3	0	
40000	D Group	0	
40100	D1	0	
40200	D2	0	
40300	D3	0	
40400	D4	0	
40500	D5	1	0.1%
40600	D6	0	
40700	D7	0	
50000	E Group	0	
50100	E1	0	
50200	E2	0	
50300	E3	0	
50400	E4	0	
50500	E5	0	

# u2e1_5: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
50600	E6	0	
50700	E7	0	
50800	E8	0	
60000	F Group	0	
60100	F1	0	
60200	F2	0	
70000	G Group	0	
70100	G1	0	
70200	G2	0	
70300	G3	0	
70400	G4	0	
80000	H Group	0	
80100	H1	0	
80200	H2	0	
80300	H3	0	
80400	H4	0	
80500	H5	0	
80600	H6	0	
80700	H7	0	
80800	H8	0	
80900	H9	0	
90000	I Group	0	
90100	I1	0	
90200	I2	0	
90300	I3	0	
100000	J Group	1	
100101	J1a	0	
100102	J1b	0	
100103	J1b1	0	
100104	J1b2	0	
100105	J1c	0	
100106	J1d	0	
100107	J1e	0	
100108	J1f	0	
100109	J1g	0	
100200	J2	0	
100300	J3	0	
100400	J4	0	
100401	J4a	0	
100402	J4a1	0	
100403	J4b	0	
100404	J4b1	0	
100500	J5	0	
100501	J5a	0	
100502	J5b	0	

# u2e1_5: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
110000	K Group	0	
110100	K1	0	
110101	K1a	0	
110201	K2a	0	
110202	K2b	1	0.1%
110203	K2c	1	0.1%
110301	K3a	0	
110302	K3b	0	
110303	K3c	0	
110401	K4a	0	
110402	K4b	0	
110403	K4c	0	
110501	K5a	0	
110502	K5b	0	
110503	K5c	1	0.1%
110601	K6a	0	
110602	K6b	0	
110603	K6c	0	
110700	K7	0	
110701	K7a	0	
110800	K8	0	
110801	K8a	0	
110900	K9	0	
110901	K9a	0	
111000	K10	0	
111100	K11	0	
111200	K12	0	
111300	K13	0	
120000	M Group	1	0.1%
120101	M1a	0	
120102	M1b	0	
120200	M2	0	
120300	M3	0	
120400	M4	0	
130000	N Group	0	
130100	N1 Group	0	
130101	N1a	0	
130102	N1b	0	
130103	N1c	0	
130104	N1d	0	
130105	N1e	0	
130200	N2	1	0.1%
130201	N2a	0	
130202	N2b	0	
130300	N3	0	

# u2e1_5: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
130301	N3a	0	
130400	N4	0	
130500	N5	0	
130600	N6	0	
140000	P Group	1	0.1%
140100	P1	0	
140101	P1a	0	
140102	P1b	0	
140200	P2	0	
140300	P3	0	
140400	P4	0	
140500	P5	0	
140501	P5a	0	
140502	P5b	0	
140503	P5c	0	
140600	P6	0	
140601	P6a	0	
140700	P7	0	
140701	P7a	0	
140801	P8a	0	
140802	P8b	1	0.1%
140803	P8c	0	
140804	P8d	0	
140805	P8e	3	0.2%
140806	P8f	0	
140807	P8g	0	
150000	Q Group	0	
150100	Q1	0	
150101	Q1a	0	
150102	Q1b	0	
150103	Q1c	0	
150200	Q2	0	
150201	Q2a	0	
150202	Q2b	0	
150203	Q2c	0	
150204	Q2d	0	
150300	Q3	0	
150301	Q3a	0	
150400	Q4	0	
150500	Q5	0	
150600	Q6	0	
150700	Q7	0	
150800	Q8	0	
150801	Q8a	0	
150900	Q9	0	

# u2e1_5: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
150901	Q9a	0	0.1%
160000	S Group	0	
160100	S1	0	
160200	S2	0	
160300	S3	0	
160400	S4	0	
160500	S5	0	
160600	S6	0	
160601	S6a	0	
160602	S6b	1	
160700	S7	0	
160701	S7a	0	
160702	S7b	0	
160800	S8	0	
160900	S9	0	
161000	S10	0	
161001	S10a	0	
161002	S10b	0	
161100	S11	0	
161101	S12a	0	
161300	S13	0	
161301	S13a	0	
161400	S14	0	
161500	S15	0	
161600	S16	0	
161700	S17	0	
161701	S17a	0	
161800	S18	0	
161801	S18a	0	
161900	S19	0	
162000	S20	0	
162100	S21	0	
999991	Too many, all	0	
999999	Skipped	1677	99.2%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# u2e1_6: The number of the question			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10000-999999] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10000	A Group	0	
10100	A1	0	
10200	A2	0	
10300	A3	0	
10400	A4	0	

# u2e1_6: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10401	A4a	0	
10402	A4b	0	
10403	A4c	0	
20000	B Group	0	
20100	B1	0	
20200	B2	0	
20201	B2a	0	
20202	B2b	0	
20203	B2c	0	
20300	B3	0	
20400	B4	0	
20500	B5	0	
20501	B5a	0	
20600	B6	0	
30000	C Group	0	
30100	C1	0	
30200	C2	0	
30300	C3	0	
40000	D Group	0	
40100	D1	0	
40200	D2	0	
40300	D3	0	
40400	D4	0	
40500	D5	0	
40600	D6	1	0.1%
40700	D7	0	
50000	E Group	0	
50100	E1	0	
50200	E2	0	
50300	E3	0	
50400	E4	0	
50500	E5	0	
50600	E6	0	
50700	E7	0	
50800	E8	0	
60000	F Group	0	
60100	F1	0	
60200	F2	0	
70000	G Group	0	
70100	G1	0	
70200	G2	0	
70300	G3	0	
70400	G4	0	
80000	H Group	0	
80100	H1	0	

# u2e1_6: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
80200	H2	0	
80300	H3	0	
80400	H4	0	
80500	H5	0	
80600	H6	0	
80700	H7	0	
80800	H8	0	
80900	H9	0	
90000	I Group	0	
90100	I1	0	
90200	I2	0	
90300	I3	0	
100000	J Group	0	
100101	J1a	1	0.1%
100102	J1b	0	
100103	J1b1	0	
100104	J1b2	0	
100105	J1c	0	
100106	J1d	0	
100107	J1e	0	
100108	J1f	0	
100109	J1g	0	
100200	J2	0	
100300	J3	0	
100400	J4	0	
100401	J4a	0	
100402	J4a1	0	
100403	J4b	0	
100404	J4b1	0	
100500	J5	0	
100501	J5a	0	
100502	J5b	0	
110000	K Group	0	
110100	K1	0	
110101	K1a	0	
110201	K2a	0	
110202	K2b	0	
110203	K2c	1	0.1%
110301	K3a	1	0.1%
110302	K3b	0	
110303	K3c	0	
110401	K4a	0	
110402	K4b	0	
110403	K4c	0	
110501	K5a	0	

# u2e1_6: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
110502	K5b	0	
110503	K5c	0	
110601	K6a	1	0.1%
110602	K6b	0	
110603	K6c	0	
110700	K7	0	
110701	K7a	0	
110800	K8	0	
110801	K8a	0	
110900	K9	0	
110901	K9a	0	
111000	K10	0	
111100	K11	0	
111200	K12	0	
111300	K13	0	
120000	M Group	0	
120101	M1a	0	
120102	M1b	0	
120200	M2	0	
120300	M3	0	
120400	M4	0	
130000	N Group	0	
130100	N1 Group	0	
130101	N1a	0	
130102	N1b	0	
130103	N1c	0	
130104	N1d	0	
130105	N1e	0	
130200	N2	0	
130201	N2a	0	
130202	N2b	0	
130300	N3	0	
130301	N3a	0	
130400	N4	0	
130500	N5	0	
130600	N6	1	0.1%
140000	P Group	0	
140100	P1	0	
140101	P1a	0	
140102	P1b	0	
140200	P2	0	
140300	P3	0	
140400	P4	0	
140500	P5	0	
140501	P5a	0	

# u2e1_6: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
140502	P5b	0	
140503	P5c	0	
140600	P6	0	
140601	P6a	0	
140700	P7	0	
140701	P7a	0	
140801	P8a	0	
140802	P8b	0	
140803	P8c	0	
140804	P8d	0	
140805	P8e	0	
140806	P8f	2	0.1%
140807	P8g	1	0.1%
150000	Q Group	0	
150100	Q1	0	
150101	Q1a	0	
150102	Q1b	0	
150103	Q1c	0	
150200	Q2	1	0.1%
150201	Q2a	0	
150202	Q2b	0	
150203	Q2c	0	
150204	Q2d	0	
150300	Q3	0	
150301	Q3a	0	
150400	Q4	0	
150500	Q5	0	
150600	Q6	0	
150700	Q7	0	
150800	Q8	0	
150801	Q8a	0	
150900	Q9	0	
150901	Q9a	0	
160000	S Group	0	
160100	S1	0	
160200	S2	0	
160300	S3	0	
160400	S4	0	
160500	S5	0	
160600	S6	0	
160601	S6a	0	
160602	S6b	0	
160700	S7	0	
160701	S7a	0	
160702	S7b	0	

# u2e1_6: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
160800	S8	0	
160900	S9	0	
161000	S10	0	
161001	S10a	0	
161002	S10b	0	
161100	S11	0	
161101	S12a	0	
161300	S13	0	
161301	S13a	0	
161400	S14	0	
161500	S15	0	
161600	S16	0	
161700	S17	0	
161701	S17a	0	
161800	S18	0	
161801	S18a	0	
161900	S19	0	
162000	S20	0	
162100	S21	0	
999991	Too many, all	0	
999999	Skipped	1680	99.4%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# u2e1_7: The number of the question			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10000-999999] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10000	A Group	0	
10100	A1	0	
10200	A2	0	
10300	A3	0	
10400	A4	0	
10401	A4a	0	
10402	A4b	0	
10403	A4c	0	
20000	B Group	0	
20100	B1	0	
20200	B2	0	
20201	B2a	0	
20202	B2b	0	
20203	B2c	0	
20300	B3	0	
20400	B4	0	
20500	B5	0	
20501	B5a	0	

# u2e1_7: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
20600	B6	0	
30000	C Group	0	
30100	C1	0	
30200	C2	0	
30300	C3	0	
40000	D Group	0	
40100	D1	0	
40200	D2	0	
40300	D3	0	
40400	D4	0	
40500	D5	0	
40600	D6	0	
40700	D7	1	
50000	E Group	0	0.1%
50100	E1	0	
50200	E2	0	
50300	E3	0	
50400	E4	0	
50500	E5	0	
50600	E6	0	
50700	E7	0	
50800	E8	0	
60000	F Group	0	
60100	F1	0	
60200	F2	0	
70000	G Group	0	
70100	G1	0	
70200	G2	0	
70300	G3	0	
70400	G4	0	
80000	H Group	0	
80100	H1	0	
80200	H2	0	
80300	H3	0	
80400	H4	0	
80500	H5	0	
80600	H6	0	
80700	H7	0	
80800	H8	0	
80900	H9	0	
90000	I Group	0	
90100	I1	0	
90200	I2	0	
90300	I3	0	
100000	J Group	0	

# u2e1_7: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
100101	J1a	0	0.1%
100102	J1b	1	
100103	J1b1	0	
100104	J1b2	0	
100105	J1c	0	
100106	J1d	0	
100107	J1e	0	
100108	J1f	0	
100109	J1g	0	
100200	J2	0	
100300	J3	0	
100400	J4	0	
100401	J4a	0	
100402	J4a1	0	
100403	J4b	0	
100404	J4b1	0	
100500	J5	0	
100501	J5a	0	
100502	J5b	0	
110000	K Group	0	
110100	K1	0	
110101	K1a	0	
110201	K2a	0	
110202	K2b	0	
110203	K2c	0	
110301	K3a	1	
110302	K3b	1	
110303	K3c	0	
110401	K4a	0	
110402	K4b	0	
110403	K4c	0	
110501	K5a	0	
110502	K5b	0	
110503	K5c	0	
110601	K6a	0	
110602	K6b	1	
110603	K6c	0	
110700	K7	0	
110701	K7a	0	
110800	K8	0	
110801	K8a	0	
110900	K9	0	
110901	K9a	0	
111000	K10	0	
111100	K11	0	

# u2e1_7: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
111200	K12	0	
111300	K13	0	
120000	M Group	0	
120101	M1a	0	
120102	M1b	0	
120200	M2	0	
120300	M3	0	
120400	M4	0	
130000	N Group	0	
130100	N1 Group	0	
130101	N1a	0	
130102	N1b	0	
130103	N1c	0	
130104	N1d	0	
130105	N1e	0	
130200	N2	0	
130201	N2a	0	
130202	N2b	0	
130300	N3	0	
130301	N3a	0	
130400	N4	0	
130500	N5	0	
130600	N6	0	
140000	P Group	0	
140100	P1	0	
140101	P1a	0	
140102	P1b	0	
140200	P2	0	
140300	P3	0	
140400	P4	0	
140500	P5	0	
140501	P5a	0	
140502	P5b	0	
140503	P5c	0	
140600	P6	0	
140601	P6a	0	
140700	P7	0	
140701	P7a	0	
140801	P8a	0	
140802	P8b	0	
140803	P8c	0	
140804	P8d	0	
140805	P8e	0	
140806	P8f	1	0.1%
140807	P8g	2	0.1%

# u2e1_7: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
150000	Q Group	0	
150100	Q1	0	
150101	Q1a	0	
150102	Q1b	0	
150103	Q1c	0	
150200	Q2	0	
150201	Q2a	0	
150202	Q2b	0	
150203	Q2c	0	
150204	Q2d	0	
150300	Q3	0	
150301	Q3a	0	
150400	Q4	0	
150500	Q5	0	
150600	Q6	0	
150700	Q7	0	
150800	Q8	1	
150801	Q8a	0	
150900	Q9	0	
150901	Q9a	0	
160000	S Group	0	
160100	S1	0	
160200	S2	0	
160300	S3	0	
160400	S4	0	
160500	S5	0	
160600	S6	0	
160601	S6a	0	
160602	S6b	0	
160700	S7	0	
160701	S7a	0	
160702	S7b	0	
160800	S8	0	
160900	S9	0	
161000	S10	0	
161001	S10a	0	
161002	S10b	0	
161100	S11	0	
161101	S12a	0	
161300	S13	0	
161301	S13a	0	
161400	S14	0	
161500	S15	0	
161600	S16	0	
161700	S17	0	

# u2e1_7: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
161701	S17a	0	
161800	S18	0	
161801	S18a	0	
161900	S19	0	
162000	S20	0	
162100	S21	0	
999991	Too many, all	0	
999999	Skipped	1681	99.5%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# u2e1_8: The number of the question			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10000-999999] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10000	A Group	0	
10100	A1	0	
10200	A2	0	
10300	A3	0	
10400	A4	0	
10401	A4a	0	
10402	A4b	0	
10403	A4c	0	
20000	B Group	0	
20100	B1	0	
20200	B2	0	
20201	B2a	0	
20202	B2b	0	
20203	B2c	0	
20300	B3	0	
20400	B4	0	
20500	B5	0	
20501	B5a	0	
20600	B6	0	
30000	C Group	0	
30100	C1	0	
30200	C2	0	
30300	C3	0	
40000	D Group	0	
40100	D1	0	
40200	D2	0	
40300	D3	0	
40400	D4	0	
40500	D5	0	
40600	D6	0	
40700	D7	0	

# u2e1_8: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
50000	E Group	0	0.1%
50100	E1	0	
50200	E2	0	
50300	E3	0	
50400	E4	0	
50500	E5	0	
50600	E6	0	
50700	E7	0	
50800	E8	0	
60000	F Group	0	
60100	F1	0	
60200	F2	0	
70000	G Group	1	
70100	G1	0	
70200	G2	0	
70300	G3	0	
70400	G4	0	
80000	H Group	0	
80100	H1	0	
80200	H2	0	
80300	H3	0	
80400	H4	0	
80500	H5	0	
80600	H6	0	
80700	H7	0	
80800	H8	0	
80900	H9	0	
90000	I Group	0	0.1%
90100	I1	0	
90200	I2	0	
90300	I3	0	
100000	J Group	0	
100101	J1a	0	
100102	J1b	0	
100103	J1b1	0	
100104	J1b2	0	
100105	J1c	0	
100106	J1d	0	
100107	J1e	0	
100108	J1f	0	
100109	J1g	0	
100200	J2	0	
100300	J3	0	
100400	J4	0	
100401	J4a	0	

# u2e1_8: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
100402	J4a1	0	
100403	J4b	0	
100404	J4b1	0	
100500	J5	0	
100501	J5a	0	
100502	J5b	0	
110000	K Group	0	
110100	K1	0	
110101	K1a	0	
110201	K2a	0	
110202	K2b	0	
110203	K2c	0	
110301	K3a	0	
110302	K3b	1	0.1%
110303	K3c	1	0.1%
110401	K4a	0	
110402	K4b	0	
110403	K4c	0	
110501	K5a	0	
110502	K5b	0	
110503	K5c	0	
110601	K6a	0	
110602	K6b	0	
110603	K6c	1	0.1%
110700	K7	0	
110701	K7a	0	
110800	K8	0	
110801	K8a	0	
110900	K9	0	
110901	K9a	0	
111000	K10	0	
111100	K11	0	
111200	K12	0	
111300	K13	0	
120000	M Group	0	
120101	M1a	0	
120102	M1b	0	
120200	M2	0	
120300	M3	0	
120400	M4	0	
130000	N Group	0	
130100	N1 Group	0	
130101	N1a	0	
130102	N1b	0	
130103	N1c	0	

# u2e1_8: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
130104	N1d	0	
130105	N1e	0	
130200	N2	0	
130201	N2a	0	
130202	N2b	0	
130300	N3	0	
130301	N3a	0	
130400	N4	0	
130500	N5	0	
130600	N6	0	
140000	P Group	0	
140100	P1	0	
140101	P1a	0	
140102	P1b	0	
140200	P2	0	
140300	P3	0	
140400	P4	0	
140500	P5	0	
140501	P5a	0	
140502	P5b	0	
140503	P5c	0	
140600	P6	0	
140601	P6a	0	
140700	P7	0	
140701	P7a	0	
140801	P8a	0	
140802	P8b	0	
140803	P8c	0	
140804	P8d	0	
140805	P8e	0	
140806	P8f	0	
140807	P8g	0	
150000	Q Group	0	
150100	Q1	0	
150101	Q1a	0	
150102	Q1b	0	
150103	Q1c	0	
150200	Q2	0	
150201	Q2a	0	
150202	Q2b	0	
150203	Q2c	0	
150204	Q2d	0	
150300	Q3	0	
150301	Q3a	0	
150400	Q4	0	

# u2e1_8: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
150500	Q5	0	0.1%
150600	Q6	0	
150700	Q7	0	
150800	Q8	0	
150801	Q8a	0	
150900	Q9	1	
150901	Q9a	0	
160000	S Group	0	
160100	S1	0	
160200	S2	0	
160300	S3	0	
160400	S4	0	
160500	S5	0	
160600	S6	0	
160601	S6a	0	
160602	S6b	0	
160700	S7	0	
160701	S7a	0	
160702	S7b	0	
160800	S8	0	
160900	S9	0	
161000	S10	0	
161001	S10a	0	
161002	S10b	0	
161100	S11	0	
161101	S12a	0	
161300	S13	0	
161301	S13a	0	
161400	S14	0	
161500	S15	0	
161600	S16	0	
161700	S17	0	
161701	S17a	0	
161800	S18	0	
161801	S18a	0	
161900	S19	0	
162000	S20	0	
162100	S21	0	
999991	Too many, all	0	
999999	Skipped	1685	99.7%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# u2e1_9: The number of the question			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10000-999999] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		

# u2e1_9: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10000	A Group	0	
10100	A1	0	
10200	A2	0	
10300	A3	0	
10400	A4	0	
10401	A4a	0	
10402	A4b	0	
10403	A4c	0	
20000	B Group	0	
20100	B1	0	
20200	B2	0	
20201	B2a	0	
20202	B2b	0	
20203	B2c	0	
20300	B3	0	
20400	B4	0	
20500	B5	0	
20501	B5a	0	
20600	B6	0	
30000	C Group	0	
30100	C1	0	
30200	C2	0	
30300	C3	0	
40000	D Group	0	
40100	D1	0	
40200	D2	0	
40300	D3	0	
40400	D4	0	
40500	D5	0	
40600	D6	0	
40700	D7	0	
50000	E Group	0	
50100	E1	0	
50200	E2	0	
50300	E3	0	
50400	E4	0	
50500	E5	0	
50600	E6	0	
50700	E7	0	
50800	E8	0	
60000	F Group	0	
60100	F1	0	
60200	F2	0	
70000	G Group	0	
70100	G1	0	

# u2e1_9: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
70200	G2	0	
70300	G3	0	
70400	G4	0	
80000	H Group	0	
80100	H1	0	
80200	H2	0	
80300	H3	0	
80400	H4	0	
80500	H5	0	
80600	H6	0	
80700	H7	0	
80800	H8	0	
80900	H9	0	
90000	I Group	0	
90100	I1	0	
90200	I2	0	
90300	I3	0	
100000	J Group	0	
100101	J1a	0	
100102	J1b	0	
100103	J1b1	0	
100104	J1b2	0	
100105	J1c	0	
100106	J1d	0	
100107	J1e	0	
100108	J1f	0	
100109	J1g	0	
100200	J2	0	
100300	J3	0	
100400	J4	0	
100401	J4a	0	
100402	J4a1	0	
100403	J4b	0	
100404	J4b1	0	
100500	J5	0	
100501	J5a	0	
100502	J5b	0	
110000	K Group	0	
110100	K1	0	
110101	K1a	0	
110201	K2a	0	
110202	K2b	0	
110203	K2c	0	
110301	K3a	0	
110302	K3b	0	

# u2e1_9: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
110303	K3c	1	0.1%
110401	K4a	1	0.1%
110402	K4b	0	
110403	K4c	0	
110501	K5a	0	
110502	K5b	0	
110503	K5c	0	
110601	K6a	0	
110602	K6b	0	
110603	K6c	0	
110700	K7	0	
110701	K7a	0	
110800	K8	0	
110801	K8a	0	
110900	K9	0	
110901	K9a	0	
111000	K10	0	
111100	K11	0	
111200	K12	0	
111300	K13	0	
120000	M Group	0	
120101	M1a	0	
120102	M1b	0	
120200	M2	0	
120300	M3	0	
120400	M4	0	
130000	N Group	0	
130100	N1 Group	0	
130101	N1a	0	
130102	N1b	0	
130103	N1c	0	
130104	N1d	0	
130105	N1e	0	
130200	N2	0	
130201	N2a	0	
130202	N2b	0	
130300	N3	0	
130301	N3a	0	
130400	N4	0	
130500	N5	0	
130600	N6	0	
140000	P Group	0	
140100	P1	0	
140101	P1a	0	
140102	P1b	0	

# u2e1_9: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
140200	P2	0	
140300	P3	0	
140400	P4	0	
140500	P5	0	
140501	P5a	0	
140502	P5b	0	
140503	P5c	0	
140600	P6	0	
140601	P6a	0	
140700	P7	0	
140701	P7a	0	
140801	P8a	0	
140802	P8b	0	
140803	P8c	0	
140804	P8d	0	
140805	P8e	0	
140806	P8f	0	
140807	P8g	0	
150000	Q Group	0	
150100	Q1	0	
150101	Q1a	0	
150102	Q1b	0	
150103	Q1c	0	
150200	Q2	0	
150201	Q2a	0	
150202	Q2b	0	
150203	Q2c	0	
150204	Q2d	0	
150300	Q3	0	
150301	Q3a	0	
150400	Q4	0	
150500	Q5	0	
150600	Q6	0	
150700	Q7	0	
150800	Q8	0	
150801	Q8a	0	
150900	Q9	0	
150901	Q9a	0	
160000	S Group	0	
160100	S1	0	
160200	S2	0	
160300	S3	0	
160400	S4	0	
160500	S5	0	
160600	S6	0	

# u2e1_9: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
160601	S6a	0	
160602	S6b	0	
160700	S7	0	
160701	S7a	0	
160702	S7b	0	
160800	S8	0	
160900	S9	0	
161000	S10	0	
161001	S10a	0	
161002	S10b	0	
161100	S11	0	
161101	S12a	0	
161300	S13	0	
161301	S13a	0	
161400	S14	0	
161500	S15	0	
161600	S16	0	
161700	S17	0	
161701	S17a	0	
161800	S18	0	
161801	S18a	0	
161900	S19	0	
162000	S20	0	
162100	S21	0	
999991	Too many, all	0	
999999	Skipped	1688	99.9%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# u2f: Did the R think the questions were too hard?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	93	5.5%
2	No	1597	94.5%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# u2f1_1: The number of the question			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10000-999999] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10000	A Group	0	
10100	A1	0	
10200	A2	0	
10300	A3	0	
10400	A4	0	
10401	A4a	0	

# u2f1_1: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10402	A4b	1	0.1%
10403	A4c	0	
20000	B Group	0	
20100	B1	0	
20200	B2	0	
20201	B2a	0	
20202	B2b	0	
20203	B2c	1	0.1%
20300	B3	0	
20400	B4	0	
20500	B5	0	
20501	B5a	0	
20600	B6	0	
30000	C Group	3	0.2%
30100	C1	0	
30200	C2	1	0.1%
30300	C3	0	
40000	D Group	3	0.2%
40100	D1	1	0.1%
40200	D2	0	
40300	D3	0	
40400	D4	1	0.1%
40500	D5	0	
40600	D6	0	
40700	D7	2	0.1%
50000	E Group	0	
50100	E1	0	
50200	E2	0	
50300	E3	0	
50400	E4	0	
50500	E5	0	
50600	E6	0	
50700	E7	0	
50800	E8	0	
60000	F Group	0	
60100	F1	0	
60200	F2	0	
70000	G Group	0	
70100	G1	0	
70200	G2	0	
70300	G3	0	
70400	G4	0	
80000	H Group	1	0.1%
80100	H1	0	
80200	H2	0	

# u2f1_1: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
80300	H3	1	0.1%
80400	H4	0	
80500	H5	0	
80600	H6	0	
80700	H7	0	
80800	H8	0	
80900	H9	0	
90000	I Group	1	0.1%
90100	I1	0	
90200	I2	0	
90300	I3	0	
100000	J Group	3	0.2%
100101	J1a	0	
100102	J1b	0	
100103	J1b1	0	
100104	J1b2	1	0.1%
100105	J1c	0	
100106	J1d	0	
100107	J1e	0	
100108	J1f	0	
100109	J1g	0	
100200	J2	0	
100300	J3	0	
100400	J4	0	
100401	J4a	0	
100402	J4a1	0	
100403	J4b	0	
100404	J4b1	0	
100500	J5	0	
100501	J5a	0	
100502	J5b	0	
110000	K Group	6	0.4%
110100	K1	0	
110101	K1a	1	0.1%
110201	K2a	0	
110202	K2b	0	
110203	K2c	0	
110301	K3a	0	
110302	K3b	0	
110303	K3c	0	
110401	K4a	0	
110402	K4b	0	
110403	K4c	0	
110501	K5a	0	
110502	K5b	0	

# u2f1_1: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
110503	K5c	0	
110601	K6a	0	
110602	K6b	0	
110603	K6c	0	
110700	K7	0	
110701	K7a	0	
110800	K8	0	
110801	K8a	0	
110900	K9	0	
110901	K9a	0	
111000	K10	0	
111100	K11	0	
111200	K12	0	
111300	K13	0	
120000	M Group	1	0.1%
120101	M1a	0	
120102	M1b	0	
120200	M2	0	
120300	M3	0	
120400	M4	0	
130000	N Group	1	0.1%
130100	N1 Group	2	0.1%
130101	N1a	0	
130102	N1b	0	
130103	N1c	0	
130104	N1d	0	
130105	N1e	0	
130200	N2	2	0.1%
130201	N2a	1	0.1%
130202	N2b	0	
130300	N3	0	
130301	N3a	0	
130400	N4	0	
130500	N5	0	
130600	N6	0	
140000	P Group	2	0.1%
140100	P1	0	
140101	P1a	0	
140102	P1b	0	
140200	P2	0	
140300	P3	0	
140400	P4	1	0.1%
140500	P5	0	
140501	P5a	0	
140502	P5b	0	

u2f1_1: The number of the question

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
140503	P5c	0	
140600	P6	0	
140601	P6a	0	
140700	P7	0	
140701	P7a	0	
140801	P8a	0	
140802	P8b	0	
140803	P8c	0	
140804	P8d	0	
140805	P8e	0	
140806	P8f	0	
140807	P8g	0	
150000	Q Group	1	0.1%
150100	Q1	0	
150101	Q1a	0	
150102	Q1b	0	
150103	Q1c	0	
150200	Q2	1	0.1%
150201	Q2a	0	
150202	Q2b	0	
150203	Q2c	0	
150204	Q2d	0	
150300	Q3	0	
150301	Q3a	0	
150400	Q4	0	
150500	Q5	1	0.1%
150600	Q6	0	
150700	Q7	0	
150800	Q8	0	
150801	Q8a	0	
150900	Q9	0	
150901	Q9a	0	
160000	S Group	0	
160100	S1	0	
160200	S2	0	
160300	S3	0	
160400	S4	0	
160500	S5	0	
160600	S6	0	
160601	S6a	0	
160602	S6b	0	
160700	S7	0	
160701	S7a	0	
160702	S7b	0	
160800	S8	0	

# u2f1_1: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
160900	S9	0	
161000	S10	0	
161001	S10a	0	
161002	S10b	0	
161100	S11	0	
161101	S12a	0	
161300	S13	0	
161301	S13a	0	
161400	S14	0	
161500	S15	0	
161600	S16	0	
161700	S17	0	
161701	S17a	0	
161800	S18	0	
161801	S18a	0	
161900	S19	0	
162000	S20	0	
162100	S21	0	
999991	Too many, all	53	3.1%
999999	Skipped	1597	94.5%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# u2f1_2: The number of the question			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10000-999999] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10000	A Group	0	
10100	A1	0	
10200	A2	0	
10300	A3	0	
10400	A4	0	
10401	A4a	1	0.1%
10402	A4b	0	
10403	A4c	0	
20000	B Group	0	
20100	B1	0	
20200	B2	0	
20201	B2a	0	
20202	B2b	0	
20203	B2c	0	
20300	B3	0	
20400	B4	0	
20500	B5	0	
20501	B5a	0	
20600	B6	0	

# u2f1_2: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
30000	C Group	0	
30100	C1	0	
30200	C2	0	
30300	C3	1	0.1%
40000	D Group	1	0.1%
40100	D1	0	
40200	D2	0	
40300	D3	0	
40400	D4	0	
40500	D5	0	
40600	D6	0	
40700	D7	0	
50000	E Group	2	0.1%
50100	E1	0	
50200	E2	0	
50300	E3	0	
50400	E4	1	0.1%
50500	E5	0	
50600	E6	0	
50700	E7	0	
50800	E8	0	
60000	F Group	0	
60100	F1	0	
60200	F2	0	
70000	G Group	1	0.1%
70100	G1	0	
70200	G2	0	
70300	G3	0	
70400	G4	0	
80000	H Group	0	
80100	H1	0	
80200	H2	0	
80300	H3	0	
80400	H4	2	0.1%
80500	H5	0	
80600	H6	0	
80700	H7	0	
80800	H8	0	
80900	H9	0	
90000	I Group	1	0.1%
90100	I1	0	
90200	I2	0	
90300	I3	0	
100000	J Group	2	0.1%
100101	J1a	0	

# u2f1_2: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
100102	J1b	0	
100103	J1b1	0	
100104	J1b2	0	
100105	J1c	0	
100106	J1d	0	
100107	J1e	0	
100108	J1f	0	
100109	J1g	0	
100200	J2	0	
100300	J3	0	
100400	J4	0	
100401	J4a	0	
100402	J4a1	0	
100403	J4b	0	
100404	J4b1	0	
100500	J5	0	
100501	J5a	0	
100502	J5b	0	
110000	K Group	3	0.2%
110100	K1	0	
110101	K1a	0	
110201	K2a	0	
110202	K2b	0	
110203	K2c	0	
110301	K3a	0	
110302	K3b	0	
110303	K3c	0	
110401	K4a	0	
110402	K4b	0	
110403	K4c	0	
110501	K5a	0	
110502	K5b	0	
110503	K5c	0	
110601	K6a	0	
110602	K6b	0	
110603	K6c	0	
110700	K7	0	
110701	K7a	0	
110800	K8	0	
110801	K8a	0	
110900	K9	0	
110901	K9a	0	
111000	K10	0	
111100	K11	0	
111200	K12	0	

# u2f1_2: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
111300	K13	0	
120000	M Group	1	0.1%
120101	M1a	0	
120102	M1b	0	
120200	M2	0	
120300	M3	0	
120400	M4	0	
130000	N Group	1	0.1%
130100	N1 Group	0	
130101	N1a	0	
130102	N1b	0	
130103	N1c	0	
130104	N1d	0	
130105	N1e	0	
130200	N2	1	0.1%
130201	N2a	1	0.1%
130202	N2b	1	0.1%
130300	N3	0	
130301	N3a	0	
130400	N4	0	
130500	N5	0	
130600	N6	0	
140000	P Group	1	0.1%
140100	P1	0	
140101	P1a	0	
140102	P1b	0	
140200	P2	0	
140300	P3	0	
140400	P4	1	0.1%
140500	P5	0	
140501	P5a	0	
140502	P5b	0	
140503	P5c	0	
140600	P6	0	
140601	P6a	0	
140700	P7	0	
140701	P7a	0	
140801	P8a	0	
140802	P8b	0	
140803	P8c	0	
140804	P8d	0	
140805	P8e	0	
140806	P8f	0	
140807	P8g	0	
150000	Q Group	0	

# u2f1_2: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
150100	Q1	0	0.1%
150101	Q1a	0	
150102	Q1b	0	
150103	Q1c	0	
150200	Q2	0	
150201	Q2a	0	
150202	Q2b	0	
150203	Q2c	0	
150204	Q2d	0	
150300	Q3	0	
150301	Q3a	0	
150400	Q4	1	
150500	Q5	0	
150600	Q6	0	
150700	Q7	0	
150800	Q8	0	
150801	Q8a	0	
150900	Q9	0	
150901	Q9a	0	
160000	S Group	0	
160100	S1	0	
160200	S2	0	
160300	S3	0	
160400	S4	0	
160500	S5	0	
160600	S6	0	
160601	S6a	0	
160602	S6b	0	
160700	S7	0	
160701	S7a	0	
160702	S7b	0	
160800	S8	0	
160900	S9	0	
161000	S10	0	
161001	S10a	0	
161002	S10b	0	
161100	S11	0	
161101	S12a	0	
161300	S13	0	
161301	S13a	0	
161400	S14	0	
161500	S15	0	
161600	S16	0	
161700	S17	0	
161701	S17a	0	

# u2f1_2: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
161800	S18	0	
161801	S18a	0	
161900	S19	0	
162000	S20	0	
162100	S21	0	
999991	Too many, all	0	
999999	Skipped	1667	98.6%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# u2f1_3: The number of the question			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10000-999999] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10000	A Group	0	
10100	A1	0	
10200	A2	0	
10300	A3	0	
10400	A4	1	0.1%
10401	A4a	0	
10402	A4b	0	
10403	A4c	0	
20000	B Group	0	
20100	B1	0	
20200	B2	0	
20201	B2a	0	
20202	B2b	0	
20203	B2c	0	
20300	B3	0	
20400	B4	0	
20500	B5	0	
20501	B5a	0	
20600	B6	0	
30000	C Group	0	
30100	C1	0	
30200	C2	0	
30300	C3	0	
40000	D Group	0	
40100	D1	0	
40200	D2	0	
40300	D3	0	
40400	D4	0	
40500	D5	0	
40600	D6	0	
40700	D7	0	
50000	E Group	1	0.1%

# u2f1_3: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
50100	E1	0	
50200	E2	0	
50300	E3	0	
50400	E4	0	
50500	E5	0	
50600	E6	0	
50700	E7	0	
50800	E8	0	
60000	F Group	0	
60100	F1	0	
60200	F2	0	0.1%
70000	G Group	1	
70100	G1	0	
70200	G2	0	
70300	G3	0	
70400	G4	0	
80000	H Group	0	
80100	H1	0	
80200	H2	0	
80300	H3	0	
80400	H4	0	0.1%
80500	H5	2	
80600	H6	0	
80700	H7	0	
80800	H8	0	
80900	H9	0	
90000	I Group	1	
90100	I1	0	
90200	I2	0	
90300	I3	0	
100000	J Group	0	
100101	J1a	0	
100102	J1b	0	
100103	J1b1	0	
100104	J1b2	0	
100105	J1c	0	
100106	J1d	0	
100107	J1e	0	
100108	J1f	0	
100109	J1g	0	
100200	J2	0	
100300	J3	0	
100400	J4	0	
100401	J4a	0	
100402	J4a1	0	

# u2f1_3: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
100403	J4b	0	
100404	J4b1	0	
100500	J5	0	
100501	J5a	0	
100502	J5b	0	
110000	K Group	2	0.1%
110100	K1	1	0.1%
110101	K1a	0	
110201	K2a	0	
110202	K2b	0	
110203	K2c	0	
110301	K3a	0	
110302	K3b	0	
110303	K3c	0	
110401	K4a	0	
110402	K4b	0	
110403	K4c	0	
110501	K5a	0	
110502	K5b	0	
110503	K5c	0	
110601	K6a	0	
110602	K6b	0	
110603	K6c	0	
110700	K7	0	
110701	K7a	0	
110800	K8	0	
110801	K8a	0	
110900	K9	0	
110901	K9a	0	
111000	K10	0	
111100	K11	0	
111200	K12	0	
111300	K13	0	
120000	M Group	0	
120101	M1a	0	
120102	M1b	0	
120200	M2	0	
120300	M3	0	
120400	M4	0	
130000	N Group	3	0.2%
130100	N1 Group	1	0.1%
130101	N1a	0	
130102	N1b	0	
130103	N1c	0	
130104	N1d	0	

# u2f1_3: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
130105	N1e	0	
130200	N2	0	
130201	N2a	1	0.1%
130202	N2b	1	0.1%
130300	N3	0	
130301	N3a	0	
130400	N4	0	
130500	N5	0	
130600	N6	0	
140000	P Group	0	
140100	P1	0	
140101	P1a	0	
140102	P1b	0	
140200	P2	0	
140300	P3	0	
140400	P4	0	
140500	P5	0	
140501	P5a	0	
140502	P5b	0	
140503	P5c	0	
140600	P6	0	
140601	P6a	0	
140700	P7	0	
140701	P7a	0	
140801	P8a	0	
140802	P8b	0	
140803	P8c	0	
140804	P8d	0	
140805	P8e	0	
140806	P8f	0	
140807	P8g	0	
150000	Q Group	1	0.1%
150100	Q1	0	
150101	Q1a	0	
150102	Q1b	0	
150103	Q1c	0	
150200	Q2	0	
150201	Q2a	0	
150202	Q2b	0	
150203	Q2c	0	
150204	Q2d	0	
150300	Q3	0	
150301	Q3a	1	0.1%
150400	Q4	0	
150500	Q5	0	

# u2f1_3: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
150600	Q6	0	
150700	Q7	0	
150800	Q8	0	
150801	Q8a	0	
150900	Q9	0	
150901	Q9a	0	
160000	S Group	0	
160100	S1	0	
160200	S2	0	
160300	S3	0	
160400	S4	0	
160500	S5	0	
160600	S6	0	
160601	S6a	0	
160602	S6b	0	
160700	S7	0	
160701	S7a	0	
160702	S7b	0	
160800	S8	0	
160900	S9	0	
161000	S10	0	
161001	S10a	0	
161002	S10b	0	
161100	S11	0	
161101	S12a	0	
161300	S13	0	
161301	S13a	0	
161400	S14	0	
161500	S15	0	
161600	S16	0	
161700	S17	0	
161701	S17a	0	
161800	S18	0	
161801	S18a	0	
161900	S19	0	
162000	S20	0	
162100	S21	0	
999991	Too many, all	0	
999999	Skipped	1673	99.0%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# u2f1_4: The number of the question			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10000-999999] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10000	A Group	0	0.1%
10100	A1	0	
10200	A2	0	
10300	A3	1	
10400	A4	0	
10401	A4a	0	
10402	A4b	0	
10403	A4c	0	
20000	B Group	0	
20100	B1	0	
20200	B2	0	
20201	B2a	0	
20202	B2b	0	
20203	B2c	0	
20300	B3	0	
20400	B4	0	
20500	B5	0	
20501	B5a	0	
20600	B6	0	
30000	C Group	0	
30100	C1	0	
30200	C2	0	
30300	C3	0	
40000	D Group	0	
40100	D1	0	
40200	D2	0	
40300	D3	0	
40400	D4	0	
40500	D5	0	
40600	D6	0	
40700	D7	0	
50000	E Group	0	
50100	E1	0	
50200	E2	0	
50300	E3	0	
50400	E4	0	
50500	E5	0	
50600	E6	0	
50700	E7	0	
50800	E8	0	
60000	F Group	0	
60100	F1	0	
60200	F2	0	

# u2f1_4: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
70000	G Group	0	
70100	G1	0	
70200	G2	0	
70300	G3	0	
70400	G4	0	
80000	H Group	2	0.1%
80100	H1	0	
80200	H2	0	
80300	H3	0	
80400	H4	0	
80500	H5	0	
80600	H6	1	0.1%
80700	H7	0	
80800	H8	0	
80900	H9	0	
90000	I Group	1	0.1%
90100	I1	0	
90200	I2	0	
90300	I3	0	
100000	J Group	0	
100101	J1a	0	
100102	J1b	0	
100103	J1b1	0	
100104	J1b2	0	
100105	J1c	0	
100106	J1d	0	
100107	J1e	0	
100108	J1f	0	
100109	J1g	0	
100200	J2	0	
100300	J3	0	
100400	J4	0	
100401	J4a	0	
100402	J4a1	0	
100403	J4b	0	
100404	J4b1	0	
100500	J5	0	
100501	J5a	0	
100502	J5b	0	
110000	K Group	0	
110100	K1	0	
110101	K1a	0	
110201	K2a	0	
110202	K2b	0	
110203	K2c	0	

# u2f1_4: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
110301	K3a	0	
110302	K3b	0	
110303	K3c	0	
110401	K4a	0	
110402	K4b	0	
110403	K4c	0	
110501	K5a	0	
110502	K5b	0	
110503	K5c	0	
110601	K6a	0	
110602	K6b	0	
110603	K6c	0	
110700	K7	0	
110701	K7a	0	
110800	K8	0	
110801	K8a	0	
110900	K9	0	
110901	K9a	0	
111000	K10	0	
111100	K11	0	
111200	K12	0	
111300	K13	0	
120000	M Group	0	
120101	M1a	0	
120102	M1b	0	
120200	M2	0	
120300	M3	0	
120400	M4	0	
130000	N Group	2	0.1%
130100	N1 Group	0	
130101	N1a	0	
130102	N1b	0	
130103	N1c	0	
130104	N1d	0	
130105	N1e	0	
130200	N2	0	
130201	N2a	0	
130202	N2b	1	0.1%
130300	N3	0	
130301	N3a	0	
130400	N4	0	
130500	N5	0	
130600	N6	0	
140000	P Group	0	
140100	P1	0	

# u2f1_4: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
140101	P1a	0	0.1%
140102	P1b	0	
140200	P2	0	
140300	P3	0	
140400	P4	0	
140500	P5	1	
140501	P5a	0	
140502	P5b	0	
140503	P5c	0	
140600	P6	0	
140601	P6a	0	0.1%
140700	P7	0	
140701	P7a	0	
140801	P8a	0	
140802	P8b	0	
140803	P8c	0	
140804	P8d	0	
140805	P8e	0	
140806	P8f	0	
140807	P8g	0	
150000	Q Group	1	0.1%
150100	Q1	0	
150101	Q1a	0	
150102	Q1b	0	
150103	Q1c	0	
150200	Q2	0	
150201	Q2a	0	
150202	Q2b	0	
150203	Q2c	0	
150204	Q2d	0	
150300	Q3	1	0.1%
150301	Q3a	0	
150400	Q4	0	
150500	Q5	0	
150600	Q6	0	
150700	Q7	0	
150800	Q8	0	
150801	Q8a	0	
150900	Q9	0	
150901	Q9a	0	
160000	S Group	0	
160100	S1	0	
160200	S2	0	
160300	S3	0	
160400	S4	0	

# u2f1_4: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
160500	S5	0	
160600	S6	0	
160601	S6a	0	
160602	S6b	0	
160700	S7	0	
160701	S7a	0	
160702	S7b	0	
160800	S8	0	
160900	S9	0	
161000	S10	0	
161001	S10a	0	
161002	S10b	0	
161100	S11	0	
161101	S12a	0	
161300	S13	0	
161301	S13a	0	
161400	S14	0	
161500	S15	0	
161600	S16	0	
161700	S17	0	
161701	S17a	0	
161800	S18	0	
161801	S18a	0	
161900	S19	0	
162000	S20	0	
162100	S21	0	
999991	Too many, all	0	
999999	Skipped	1679	99.3%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# u2f1_5: The number of the question			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10000-999999] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10000	A Group	0	
10100	A1	0	
10200	A2	0	
10300	A3	0	
10400	A4	0	
10401	A4a	0	
10402	A4b	0	
10403	A4c	0	
20000	B Group	0	
20100	B1	0	
20200	B2	0	

# u2f1_5: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
20201	B2a	0	
20202	B2b	0	
20203	B2c	0	
20300	B3	0	
20400	B4	0	
20500	B5	0	
20501	B5a	0	
20600	B6	0	
30000	C Group	0	
30100	C1	0	
30200	C2	0	
30300	C3	0	
40000	D Group	0	
40100	D1	0	
40200	D2	0	
40300	D3	0	
40400	D4	0	
40500	D5	0	
40600	D6	0	
40700	D7	0	
50000	E Group	0	
50100	E1	0	
50200	E2	0	
50300	E3	0	
50400	E4	0	
50500	E5	0	
50600	E6	0	
50700	E7	0	
50800	E8	0	
60000	F Group	0	
60100	F1	0	
60200	F2	0	
70000	G Group	0	
70100	G1	0	
70200	G2	0	
70300	G3	0	
70400	G4	0	
80000	H Group	0	
80100	H1	0	
80200	H2	0	
80300	H3	0	
80400	H4	0	
80500	H5	0	
80600	H6	0	
80700	H7	1	0.1%

# u2f1_5: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
80800	H8	0	
80900	H9	0	
90000	I Group	0	
90100	I1	0	
90200	I2	0	
90300	I3	0	
100000	J Group	0	
100101	J1a	0	
100102	J1b	0	
100103	J1b1	0	
100104	J1b2	0	
100105	J1c	0	
100106	J1d	0	
100107	J1e	0	
100108	J1f	0	
100109	J1g	0	
100200	J2	0	
100300	J3	0	
100400	J4	0	
100401	J4a	0	
100402	J4a1	0	
100403	J4b	0	
100404	J4b1	0	
100500	J5	0	
100501	J5a	0	
100502	J5b	0	
110000	K Group	3	
110100	K1	0	
110101	K1a	0	
110201	K2a	0	
110202	K2b	0	
110203	K2c	0	
110301	K3a	0	
110302	K3b	0	
110303	K3c	0	
110401	K4a	0	
110402	K4b	0	
110403	K4c	0	
110501	K5a	0	
110502	K5b	0	
110503	K5c	0	
110601	K6a	0	
110602	K6b	0	
110603	K6c	0	
110700	K7	0	

# u2f1_5: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
110701	K7a	0	
110800	K8	0	
110801	K8a	0	
110900	K9	0	
110901	K9a	0	
111000	K10	0	
111100	K11	0	
111200	K12	0	
111300	K13	0	
120000	M Group	0	
120101	M1a	0	
120102	M1b	0	
120200	M2	0	
120300	M3	0	
120400	M4	0	
130000	N Group	0	
130100	N1 Group	0	
130101	N1a	0	
130102	N1b	0	
130103	N1c	0	
130104	N1d	0	
130105	N1e	0	
130200	N2	0	
130201	N2a	0	
130202	N2b	0	
130300	N3	0	
130301	N3a	0	
130400	N4	0	
130500	N5	0	
130600	N6	0	
140000	P Group	1	0.1%
140100	P1	0	
140101	P1a	0	
140102	P1b	0	
140200	P2	0	
140300	P3	0	
140400	P4	0	
140500	P5	0	
140501	P5a	0	
140502	P5b	0	
140503	P5c	0	
140600	P6	1	0.1%
140601	P6a	0	
140700	P7	0	
140701	P7a	0	

# u2f1_5: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
140801	P8a	0	
140802	P8b	0	
140803	P8c	0	
140804	P8d	0	
140805	P8e	0	
140806	P8f	0	
140807	P8g	0	
150000	Q Group	1	0.1%
150100	Q1	0	
150101	Q1a	0	
150102	Q1b	0	
150103	Q1c	0	
150200	Q2	0	
150201	Q2a	0	
150202	Q2b	0	
150203	Q2c	0	
150204	Q2d	0	
150300	Q3	0	
150301	Q3a	0	
150400	Q4	0	
150500	Q5	0	
150600	Q6	1	0.1%
150700	Q7	0	
150800	Q8	0	
150801	Q8a	0	
150900	Q9	0	
150901	Q9a	0	
160000	S Group	0	
160100	S1	0	
160200	S2	0	
160300	S3	0	
160400	S4	0	
160500	S5	0	
160600	S6	0	
160601	S6a	0	
160602	S6b	0	
160700	S7	0	
160701	S7a	0	
160702	S7b	0	
160800	S8	0	
160900	S9	0	
161000	S10	0	
161001	S10a	0	
161002	S10b	0	
161100	S11	0	

# u2f1_5: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
161101	S12a	0	
161300	S13	0	
161301	S13a	0	
161400	S14	0	
161500	S15	0	
161600	S16	0	
161700	S17	0	
161701	S17a	0	
161800	S18	0	
161801	S18a	0	
161900	S19	0	
162000	S20	0	
162100	S21	0	
999991	Too many, all	0	
999999	Skipped	1682	99.5%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# u2f1_6: The number of the question			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10000-999999] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10000	A Group	0	
10100	A1	0	
10200	A2	0	
10300	A3	0	
10400	A4	0	
10401	A4a	0	
10402	A4b	0	
10403	A4c	0	
20000	B Group	0	
20100	B1	0	
20200	B2	0	
20201	B2a	0	
20202	B2b	0	
20203	B2c	0	
20300	B3	0	
20400	B4	0	
20500	B5	0	
20501	B5a	0	
20600	B6	0	
30000	C Group	0	
30100	C1	0	
30200	C2	0	
30300	C3	0	
40000	D Group	0	

# u2f1_6: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
40100	D1	0	
40200	D2	0	
40300	D3	0	
40400	D4	0	
40500	D5	0	
40600	D6	0	
40700	D7	0	
50000	E Group	0	
50100	E1	0	
50200	E2	0	
50300	E3	0	
50400	E4	0	
50500	E5	0	
50600	E6	0	
50700	E7	0	
50800	E8	0	
60000	F Group	0	
60100	F1	0	
60200	F2	0	
70000	G Group	0	
70100	G1	0	
70200	G2	0	
70300	G3	0	
70400	G4	0	
80000	H Group	0	
80100	H1	0	
80200	H2	0	
80300	H3	0	
80400	H4	0	
80500	H5	0	
80600	H6	0	
80700	H7	0	
80800	H8	1	0.1%
80900	H9	0	
90000	I Group	0	
90100	I1	0	
90200	I2	0	
90300	I3	0	
100000	J Group	1	0.1%
100101	J1a	0	
100102	J1b	0	
100103	J1b1	0	
100104	J1b2	0	
100105	J1c	0	
100106	J1d	0	

# u2f1_6: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
100107	J1e	0	
100108	J1f	0	
100109	J1g	0	
100200	J2	0	
100300	J3	0	
100400	J4	0	
100401	J4a	0	
100402	J4a1	0	
100403	J4b	0	
100404	J4b1	0	
100500	J5	0	
100501	J5a	0	
100502	J5b	0	
110000	K Group	0	
110100	K1	0	
110101	K1a	0	
110201	K2a	0	
110202	K2b	0	
110203	K2c	0	
110301	K3a	0	
110302	K3b	0	
110303	K3c	0	
110401	K4a	0	
110402	K4b	0	
110403	K4c	0	
110501	K5a	0	
110502	K5b	0	
110503	K5c	0	
110601	K6a	0	
110602	K6b	0	
110603	K6c	0	
110700	K7	0	
110701	K7a	0	
110800	K8	0	
110801	K8a	0	
110900	K9	0	
110901	K9a	0	
111000	K10	0	
111100	K11	0	
111200	K12	0	
111300	K13	0	
120000	M Group	1	0.1%
120101	M1a	0	
120102	M1b	0	
120200	M2	0	

# u2f1_6: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
120300	M3	0	0.1%
120400	M4	0	
130000	N Group	1	
130100	N1 Group	0	
130101	N1a	0	
130102	N1b	0	
130103	N1c	0	
130104	N1d	0	
130105	N1e	0	
130200	N2	0	
130201	N2a	0	
130202	N2b	0	
130300	N3	0	
130301	N3a	0	
130400	N4	0	
130500	N5	0	
130600	N6	0	
140000	P Group	0	
140100	P1	0	
140101	P1a	0	
140102	P1b	0	
140200	P2	0	0.1%
140300	P3	0	
140400	P4	0	
140500	P5	0	
140501	P5a	0	
140502	P5b	0	
140503	P5c	0	
140600	P6	0	
140601	P6a	1	
140700	P7	0	
140701	P7a	0	
140801	P8a	0	
140802	P8b	0	
140803	P8c	0	
140804	P8d	0	
140805	P8e	0	
140806	P8f	0	
140807	P8g	0	
150000	Q Group	0	
150100	Q1	0	
150101	Q1a	0	
150102	Q1b	0	
150103	Q1c	0	
150200	Q2	0	

# u2f1_6: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
150201	Q2a	0	
150202	Q2b	0	
150203	Q2c	0	
150204	Q2d	0	
150300	Q3	0	
150301	Q3a	0	
150400	Q4	0	
150500	Q5	0	
150600	Q6	0	
150700	Q7	1	
150800	Q8	1	0.1%
150801	Q8a	0	
150900	Q9	0	
150901	Q9a	0	
160000	S Group	0	
160100	S1	0	
160200	S2	0	
160300	S3	0	
160400	S4	0	
160500	S5	0	
160600	S6	0	
160601	S6a	0	
160602	S6b	0	
160700	S7	0	
160701	S7a	0	
160702	S7b	0	
160800	S8	0	
160900	S9	0	
161000	S10	0	
161001	S10a	0	
161002	S10b	0	
161100	S11	0	
161101	S12a	0	
161300	S13	0	
161301	S13a	0	
161400	S14	0	
161500	S15	0	
161600	S16	0	
161700	S17	0	
161701	S17a	0	
161800	S18	0	
161801	S18a	0	
161900	S19	0	
162000	S20	0	
162100	S21	0	

# u2f1_6: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
999991	Too many, all	0	
999999	Skipped	1683	99.6%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# u2f1_7: The number of the question			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10000-999999] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10000	A Group	0	
10100	A1	0	
10200	A2	0	
10300	A3	0	
10400	A4	0	
10401	A4a	0	
10402	A4b	0	
10403	A4c	0	
20000	B Group	0	
20100	B1	0	
20200	B2	0	
20201	B2a	0	
20202	B2b	0	
20203	B2c	0	
20300	B3	0	
20400	B4	0	
20500	B5	0	
20501	B5a	0	
20600	B6	0	
30000	C Group	0	
30100	C1	0	
30200	C2	0	
30300	C3	0	
40000	D Group	0	
40100	D1	0	
40200	D2	0	
40300	D3	0	
40400	D4	0	
40500	D5	0	
40600	D6	0	
40700	D7	0	
50000	E Group	0	
50100	E1	0	
50200	E2	0	
50300	E3	0	
50400	E4	0	
50500	E5	0	

u2f1_7: The number of the question

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
50600	E6	0	0.1%
50700	E7	0	
50800	E8	0	
60000	F Group	0	
60100	F1	0	
60200	F2	0	
70000	G Group	0	
70100	G1	0	
70200	G2	0	
70300	G3	0	
70400	G4	0	
80000	H Group	0	
80100	H1	0	
80200	H2	0	
80300	H3	0	
80400	H4	0	
80500	H5	0	
80600	H6	0	
80700	H7	0	
80800	H8	0	
80900	H9	1	
90000	I Group	0	
90100	I1	0	
90200	I2	0	
90300	I3	0	
100000	J Group	0	
100101	J1a	0	
100102	J1b	0	
100103	J1b1	0	
100104	J1b2	0	
100105	J1c	0	
100106	J1d	0	
100107	J1e	0	
100108	J1f	0	
100109	J1g	0	
100200	J2	0	0.1%
100300	J3	0	
100400	J4	0	
100401	J4a	0	
100402	J4a1	0	
100403	J4b	0	
100404	J4b1	0	
100500	J5	0	
100501	J5a	0	
100502	J5b	0	

# u2f1_7: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
110000	K Group	0	
110100	K1	0	
110101	K1a	0	
110201	K2a	0	
110202	K2b	0	
110203	K2c	0	
110301	K3a	0	
110302	K3b	0	
110303	K3c	0	
110401	K4a	0	
110402	K4b	0	
110403	K4c	0	
110501	K5a	0	
110502	K5b	0	
110503	K5c	0	
110601	K6a	0	
110602	K6b	0	
110603	K6c	0	
110700	K7	0	
110701	K7a	0	
110800	K8	0	
110801	K8a	0	
110900	K9	0	
110901	K9a	0	
111000	K10	0	
111100	K11	0	
111200	K12	0	
111300	K13	0	
120000	M Group	0	
120101	M1a	0	
120102	M1b	0	
120200	M2	0	
120300	M3	0	
120400	M4	0	
130000	N Group	1	0.1%
130100	N1 Group	0	
130101	N1a	0	
130102	N1b	0	
130103	N1c	0	
130104	N1d	0	
130105	N1e	0	
130200	N2	0	
130201	N2a	0	
130202	N2b	0	
130300	N3	0	

# u2f1_7: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
130301	N3a	0	
130400	N4	0	
130500	N5	0	
130600	N6	0	
140000	P Group	1	0.1%
140100	P1	0	
140101	P1a	0	
140102	P1b	0	
140200	P2	0	
140300	P3	0	
140400	P4	0	
140500	P5	0	
140501	P5a	0	
140502	P5b	0	
140503	P5c	0	
140600	P6	0	
140601	P6a	0	
140700	P7	1	0.1%
140701	P7a	0	
140801	P8a	0	
140802	P8b	0	
140803	P8c	0	
140804	P8d	0	
140805	P8e	0	
140806	P8f	0	
140807	P8g	0	
150000	Q Group	0	
150100	Q1	0	
150101	Q1a	0	
150102	Q1b	0	
150103	Q1c	0	
150200	Q2	0	
150201	Q2a	0	
150202	Q2b	0	
150203	Q2c	0	
150204	Q2d	0	
150300	Q3	0	
150301	Q3a	0	
150400	Q4	0	
150500	Q5	0	
150600	Q6	0	
150700	Q7	0	
150800	Q8	1	0.1%
150801	Q8a	0	
150900	Q9	1	0.1%

# u2f1_7: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
150901	Q9a	0	
160000	S Group	0	
160100	S1	0	
160200	S2	0	
160300	S3	0	
160400	S4	0	
160500	S5	0	
160600	S6	0	
160601	S6a	0	
160602	S6b	0	
160700	S7	0	
160701	S7a	0	
160702	S7b	0	
160800	S8	0	
160900	S9	0	
161000	S10	0	
161001	S10a	0	
161002	S10b	0	
161100	S11	0	
161101	S12a	0	
161300	S13	0	
161301	S13a	0	
161400	S14	0	
161500	S15	0	
161600	S16	0	
161700	S17	0	
161701	S17a	0	
161800	S18	0	
161801	S18a	0	
161900	S19	0	
162000	S20	0	
162100	S21	0	
999991	Too many, all	0	
999999	Skipped	1684	99.6%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# u2f1_8: The number of the question			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10000-999999] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10000	A Group	0	
10100	A1	0	
10200	A2	0	
10300	A3	0	
10400	A4	0	

# u2f1_8: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10401	A4a	0	
10402	A4b	0	
10403	A4c	0	
20000	B Group	0	
20100	B1	0	
20200	B2	0	
20201	B2a	0	
20202	B2b	0	
20203	B2c	0	
20300	B3	0	
20400	B4	0	
20500	B5	0	
20501	B5a	0	
20600	B6	0	
30000	C Group	0	
30100	C1	0	
30200	C2	0	
30300	C3	0	
40000	D Group	0	
40100	D1	0	
40200	D2	0	
40300	D3	0	
40400	D4	0	
40500	D5	0	
40600	D6	0	
40700	D7	0	
50000	E Group	0	
50100	E1	0	
50200	E2	0	
50300	E3	0	
50400	E4	0	
50500	E5	0	
50600	E6	0	
50700	E7	0	
50800	E8	0	
60000	F Group	0	
60100	F1	0	
60200	F2	0	
70000	G Group	0	
70100	G1	0	
70200	G2	0	
70300	G3	0	
70400	G4	0	
80000	H Group	0	
80100	H1	0	

# u2f1_8: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
80200	H2	0	
80300	H3	0	
80400	H4	0	
80500	H5	0	
80600	H6	0	
80700	H7	0	
80800	H8	0	
80900	H9	0	
90000	I Group	1	0.1%
90100	I1	0	
90200	I2	0	
90300	I3	0	
100000	J Group	0	
100101	J1a	0	
100102	J1b	0	
100103	J1b1	0	
100104	J1b2	0	
100105	J1c	0	
100106	J1d	0	
100107	J1e	0	
100108	J1f	0	
100109	J1g	0	
100200	J2	0	
100300	J3	0	
100400	J4	0	
100401	J4a	0	
100402	J4a1	0	
100403	J4b	0	
100404	J4b1	0	
100500	J5	0	
100501	J5a	0	
100502	J5b	0	
110000	K Group	0	
110100	K1	0	
110101	K1a	0	
110201	K2a	0	
110202	K2b	0	
110203	K2c	0	
110301	K3a	0	
110302	K3b	0	
110303	K3c	0	
110401	K4a	0	
110402	K4b	0	
110403	K4c	0	
110501	K5a	0	

# u2f1_8: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
110502	K5b	0	
110503	K5c	0	
110601	K6a	0	
110602	K6b	0	
110603	K6c	0	
110700	K7	0	
110701	K7a	0	
110800	K8	0	
110801	K8a	0	
110900	K9	0	
110901	K9a	0	
111000	K10	0	
111100	K11	0	
111200	K12	0	
111300	K13	0	
120000	M Group	0	
120101	M1a	0	
120102	M1b	0	
120200	M2	0	
120300	M3	0	
120400	M4	0	
130000	N Group	0	
130100	N1 Group	0	
130101	N1a	0	
130102	N1b	0	
130103	N1c	0	
130104	N1d	0	
130105	N1e	0	
130200	N2	0	
130201	N2a	0	
130202	N2b	0	
130300	N3	0	
130301	N3a	0	
130400	N4	0	
130500	N5	0	
130600	N6	0	
140000	P Group	1	0.1%
140100	P1	0	
140101	P1a	0	
140102	P1b	0	
140200	P2	0	
140300	P3	0	
140400	P4	0	
140500	P5	0	
140501	P5a	0	

# u2f1_8: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
140502	P5b	0	
140503	P5c	0	
140600	P6	0	
140601	P6a	0	
140700	P7	0	
140701	P7a	1	0.1%
140801	P8a	0	
140802	P8b	0	
140803	P8c	0	
140804	P8d	0	
140805	P8e	0	
140806	P8f	0	
140807	P8g	0	
150000	Q Group	1	0.1%
150100	Q1	0	
150101	Q1a	0	
150102	Q1b	0	
150103	Q1c	0	
150200	Q2	1	0.1%
150201	Q2a	0	
150202	Q2b	0	
150203	Q2c	0	
150204	Q2d	0	
150300	Q3	0	
150301	Q3a	0	
150400	Q4	0	
150500	Q5	0	
150600	Q6	0	
150700	Q7	0	
150800	Q8	0	
150801	Q8a	1	0.1%
150900	Q9	0	
150901	Q9a	0	
160000	S Group	0	
160100	S1	0	
160200	S2	0	
160300	S3	0	
160400	S4	0	
160500	S5	0	
160600	S6	0	
160601	S6a	0	
160602	S6b	0	
160700	S7	0	
160701	S7a	0	
160702	S7b	0	

# u2f1_8: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
160800	S8	0	
160900	S9	0	
161000	S10	0	
161001	S10a	0	
161002	S10b	0	
161100	S11	0	
161101	S12a	0	
161300	S13	0	
161301	S13a	0	
161400	S14	0	
161500	S15	0	
161600	S16	0	
161700	S17	0	
161701	S17a	0	
161800	S18	0	
161801	S18a	0	
161900	S19	0	
162000	S20	0	
162100	S21	0	
999991	Too many, all	0	
999999	Skipped	1684	99.6%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# u2f1_9: The number of the question			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10000-999999] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10000	A Group	0	
10100	A1	0	
10200	A2	0	
10300	A3	0	
10400	A4	0	
10401	A4a	0	
10402	A4b	0	
10403	A4c	0	
20000	B Group	0	
20100	B1	0	
20200	B2	0	
20201	B2a	0	
20202	B2b	0	
20203	B2c	0	
20300	B3	0	
20400	B4	0	
20500	B5	0	
20501	B5a	0	

# u2f1_9: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
20600	B6	0	
30000	C Group	0	
30100	C1	0	
30200	C2	0	
30300	C3	0	
40000	D Group	0	
40100	D1	0	
40200	D2	0	
40300	D3	0	
40400	D4	0	
40500	D5	0	
40600	D6	0	
40700	D7	0	
50000	E Group	0	
50100	E1	0	
50200	E2	0	
50300	E3	0	
50400	E4	0	
50500	E5	0	
50600	E6	0	
50700	E7	0	
50800	E8	0	
60000	F Group	0	
60100	F1	0	
60200	F2	0	
70000	G Group	0	
70100	G1	0	
70200	G2	0	
70300	G3	0	
70400	G4	0	
80000	H Group	0	
80100	H1	0	
80200	H2	0	
80300	H3	0	
80400	H4	0	
80500	H5	0	
80600	H6	0	
80700	H7	0	
80800	H8	0	
80900	H9	0	
90000	I Group	0	
90100	I1	0	
90200	I2	0	
90300	I3	0	
100000	J Group	0	

# u2f1_9: The number of the question				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
100101	J1a	0		
100102	J1b	0		
100103	J1b1	0		
100104	J1b2	0		
100105	J1c	0		
100106	J1d	0		
100107	J1e	0		
100108	J1f	0		
100109	J1g	0		
100200	J2	0		
100300	J3	0		
100400	J4	0		
100401	J4a	0		
100402	J4a1	0		
100403	J4b	0		
100404	J4b1	0		
100500	J5	0		
100501	J5a	0		
100502	J5b	0		
110000	K Group	1		0.1%
110100	K1	0		
110101	K1a	0		
110201	K2a	0		
110202	K2b	0		
110203	K2c	0		
110301	K3a	0		
110302	K3b	0		
110303	K3c	0		
110401	K4a	0		
110402	K4b	0		
110403	K4c	0		
110501	K5a	0		
110502	K5b	0		
110503	K5c	0		
110601	K6a	0		
110602	K6b	0		
110603	K6c	0		
110700	K7	0		
110701	K7a	0		
110800	K8	0		
110801	K8a	0		
110900	K9	0		
110901	K9a	0		
111000	K10	0		
111100	K11	0		

# u2f1_9: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
111200	K12	0	
111300	K13	0	
120000	M Group	0	
120101	M1a	0	
120102	M1b	0	
120200	M2	0	
120300	M3	0	
120400	M4	0	
130000	N Group	0	
130100	N1 Group	0	
130101	N1a	0	
130102	N1b	0	
130103	N1c	0	
130104	N1d	0	
130105	N1e	0	
130200	N2	0	
130201	N2a	0	
130202	N2b	0	
130300	N3	0	
130301	N3a	0	
130400	N4	0	
130500	N5	0	
130600	N6	0	
140000	P Group	0	
140100	P1	0	
140101	P1a	0	
140102	P1b	0	
140200	P2	0	
140300	P3	0	
140400	P4	0	
140500	P5	0	
140501	P5a	0	
140502	P5b	0	
140503	P5c	0	
140600	P6	0	
140601	P6a	0	
140700	P7	0	
140701	P7a	0	
140801	P8a	0	
140802	P8b	0	
140803	P8c	0	
140804	P8d	0	
140805	P8e	0	
140806	P8f	0	
140807	P8g	0	

# u2f1_9: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
150000	Q Group	1	0.1%
150100	Q1	0	
150101	Q1a	0	
150102	Q1b	0	
150103	Q1c	0	
150200	Q2	0	
150201	Q2a	0	
150202	Q2b	0	
150203	Q2c	0	
150204	Q2d	1	0.1%
150300	Q3	0	
150301	Q3a	0	
150400	Q4	0	
150500	Q5	0	
150600	Q6	0	
150700	Q7	0	
150800	Q8	1	0.1%
150801	Q8a	0	
150900	Q9	0	
150901	Q9a	0	
160000	S Group	0	
160100	S1	0	
160200	S2	0	
160300	S3	0	
160400	S4	0	
160500	S5	0	
160600	S6	0	
160601	S6a	0	
160602	S6b	0	
160700	S7	0	
160701	S7a	0	
160702	S7b	0	
160800	S8	0	
160900	S9	0	
161000	S10	0	
161001	S10a	0	
161002	S10b	0	
161100	S11	0	
161101	S12a	0	
161300	S13	0	
161301	S13a	0	
161400	S14	0	
161500	S15	0	
161600	S16	0	
161700	S17	0	

# u2f1_9: The number of the question				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
161701	S17a	0		
161800	S18	0		
161801	S18a	0		
161900	S19	0		
162000	S20	0		
162100	S21	0		
999991	Too many, all	0		
999999	Skipped	1686	<div></div> 99.8%	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# u2g: Did the R think the questions were too sensitive?				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Yes	85	<div></div> 5.0%	
2	No	1605	<div></div> 95.0%	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# u2g1_1: The number of the question				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10000-999999] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
10000	A Group	0		
10100	A1	0		
10200	A2	0		
10300	A3	0		
10400	A4	0		
10401	A4a	0		
10402	A4b	0		
10403	A4c	0		
20000	B Group	0		
20100	B1	1	<div></div> 0.1%	
20200	B2	0		
20201	B2a	0		
20202	B2b	0		
20203	B2c	1		<div></div> 0.1%
20300	B3	0		
20400	B4	0		
20500	B5	0		
20501	B5a	0		
20600	B6	0		
30000	C Group	0		
30100	C1	1	<div></div> 0.1%	
30200	C2	0		
30300	C3	0		
40000	D Group	0		

# u2g1_1: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
40100	D1	0	
40200	D2	0	
40300	D3	0	
40400	D4	0	
40500	D5	0	
40600	D6	0	
40700	D7	0	
50000	E Group	0	
50100	E1	0	
50200	E2	0	
50300	E3	0	
50400	E4	0	
50500	E5	0	
50600	E6	1	0.1%
50700	E7	1	0.1%
50800	E8	0	
60000	F Group	0	
60100	F1	0	
60200	F2	0	
70000	G Group	0	
70100	G1	0	
70200	G2	0	
70300	G3	1	0.1%
70400	G4	0	
80000	H Group	0	
80100	H1	0	
80200	H2	0	
80300	H3	0	
80400	H4	0	
80500	H5	0	
80600	H6	0	
80700	H7	0	
80800	H8	0	
80900	H9	0	
90000	I Group	1	0.1%
90100	I1	0	
90200	I2	1	0.1%
90300	I3	0	
100000	J Group	15	0.9%
100101	J1a	8	0.5%
100102	J1b	0	
100103	J1b1	1	0.1%
100104	J1b2	0	
100105	J1c	0	
100106	J1d	0	

# u2g1_1: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
100107	J1e	0	
100108	J1f	0	
100109	J1g	0	
100200	J2	0	
100300	J3	0	
100400	J4	0	
100401	J4a	1	0.1%
100402	J4a1	0	
100403	J4b	0	
100404	J4b1	1	0.1%
100500	J5	0	
100501	J5a	0	
100502	J5b	0	
110000	K Group	4	0.2%
110100	K1	0	
110101	K1a	0	
110201	K2a	0	
110202	K2b	0	
110203	K2c	0	
110301	K3a	0	
110302	K3b	0	
110303	K3c	0	
110401	K4a	0	
110402	K4b	0	
110403	K4c	0	
110501	K5a	0	
110502	K5b	0	
110503	K5c	0	
110601	K6a	0	
110602	K6b	0	
110603	K6c	0	
110700	K7	0	
110701	K7a	0	
110800	K8	0	
110801	K8a	0	
110900	K9	0	
110901	K9a	0	
111000	K10	0	
111100	K11	0	
111200	K12	0	
111300	K13	0	
120000	M Group	1	0.1%
120101	M1a	0	
120102	M1b	0	
120200	M2	0	

# u2g1_1: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
120300	M3	0	
120400	M4	0	
130000	N Group	0	
130100	N1 Group	0	
130101	N1a	1	0.1%
130102	N1b	0	
130103	N1c	0	
130104	N1d	0	
130105	N1e	1	0.1%
130200	N2	0	
130201	N2a	0	
130202	N2b	0	
130300	N3	0	
130301	N3a	0	
130400	N4	0	
130500	N5	0	
130600	N6	0	
140000	P Group	0	
140100	P1	0	
140101	P1a	0	
140102	P1b	0	
140200	P2	0	
140300	P3	0	
140400	P4	0	
140500	P5	1	0.1%
140501	P5a	0	
140502	P5b	0	
140503	P5c	0	
140600	P6	1	0.1%
140601	P6a	0	
140700	P7	0	
140701	P7a	0	
140801	P8a	0	
140802	P8b	0	
140803	P8c	0	
140804	P8d	0	
140805	P8e	0	
140806	P8f	0	
140807	P8g	0	
150000	Q Group	1	0.1%
150100	Q1	2	0.1%
150101	Q1a	0	
150102	Q1b	0	
150103	Q1c	0	
150200	Q2	0	

# u2g1_1: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
150201	Q2a	0	
150202	Q2b	0	
150203	Q2c	0	
150204	Q2d	0	
150300	Q3	0	
150301	Q3a	0	
150400	Q4	0	
150500	Q5	0	
150600	Q6	0	
150700	Q7	0	
150800	Q8	0	
150801	Q8a	0	
150900	Q9	0	
150901	Q9a	0	
160000	S Group	0	
160100	S1	0	
160200	S2	1	0.1%
160300	S3	0	
160400	S4	0	
160500	S5	0	
160600	S6	0	
160601	S6a	0	
160602	S6b	0	
160700	S7	0	
160701	S7a	0	
160702	S7b	0	
160800	S8	0	
160900	S9	0	
161000	S10	0	
161001	S10a	0	
161002	S10b	0	
161100	S11	0	
161101	S12a	0	
161300	S13	0	
161301	S13a	0	
161400	S14	0	
161500	S15	0	
161600	S16	5	0.3%
161700	S17	0	
161701	S17a	0	
161800	S18	0	
161801	S18a	0	
161900	S19	0	
162000	S20	0	
162100	S21	1	0.1%

# u2g1_1: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
999991	Too many, all	32	1.9%
999999	Skipped	1605	95.0%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# u2g1_2: The number of the question			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10000-999999] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10000	A Group	0	
10100	A1	0	
10200	A2	0	
10300	A3	0	
10400	A4	1	0.1%
10401	A4a	0	
10402	A4b	0	
10403	A4c	0	
20000	B Group	0	
20100	B1	0	
20200	B2	0	
20201	B2a	0	
20202	B2b	0	
20203	B2c	0	
20300	B3	0	
20400	B4	0	
20500	B5	0	
20501	B5a	0	
20600	B6	0	
30000	C Group	0	
30100	C1	1	0.1%
30200	C2	0	
30300	C3	0	
40000	D Group	0	
40100	D1	0	
40200	D2	0	
40300	D3	0	
40400	D4	0	
40500	D5	0	
40600	D6	0	
40700	D7	0	
50000	E Group	0	
50100	E1	0	
50200	E2	0	
50300	E3	0	
50400	E4	0	
50500	E5	0	

# u2g1_2: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
50600	E6	0	
50700	E7	0	
50800	E8	1	0.1%
60000	F Group	0	
60100	F1	0	
60200	F2	0	
70000	G Group	0	
70100	G1	0	
70200	G2	0	
70300	G3	0	
70400	G4	1	0.1%
80000	H Group	0	
80100	H1	0	
80200	H2	0	
80300	H3	0	
80400	H4	0	
80500	H5	0	
80600	H6	0	
80700	H7	0	
80800	H8	0	
80900	H9	0	
90000	I Group	0	
90100	I1	0	
90200	I2	0	
90300	I3	1	0.1%
100000	J Group	1	0.1%
100101	J1a	1	0.1%
100102	J1b	1	0.1%
100103	J1b1	0	
100104	J1b2	1	0.1%
100105	J1c	0	
100106	J1d	0	
100107	J1e	0	
100108	J1f	0	
100109	J1g	0	
100200	J2	1	0.1%
100300	J3	0	
100400	J4	1	0.1%
100401	J4a	0	
100402	J4a1	0	
100403	J4b	2	0.1%
100404	J4b1	0	
100500	J5	0	
100501	J5a	0	
100502	J5b	0	

# u2g1_2: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
110000	K Group	5	0.3%
110100	K1	1	0.1%
110101	K1a	0	
110201	K2a	0	
110202	K2b	0	
110203	K2c	0	
110301	K3a	0	
110302	K3b	0	
110303	K3c	0	
110401	K4a	0	
110402	K4b	0	
110403	K4c	0	
110501	K5a	0	
110502	K5b	0	
110503	K5c	0	
110601	K6a	0	
110602	K6b	0	
110603	K6c	0	
110700	K7	0	
110701	K7a	1	0.1%
110800	K8	0	
110801	K8a	0	
110900	K9	0	
110901	K9a	0	
111000	K10	0	
111100	K11	0	
111200	K12	0	
111300	K13	0	
120000	M Group	0	
120101	M1a	0	
120102	M1b	0	
120200	M2	0	
120300	M3	0	
120400	M4	0	
130000	N Group	0	
130100	N1 Group	1	0.1%
130101	N1a	1	0.1%
130102	N1b	1	0.1%
130103	N1c	0	
130104	N1d	0	
130105	N1e	0	
130200	N2	0	
130201	N2a	0	
130202	N2b	0	
130300	N3	0	

# u2g1_2: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
130301	N3a	0	
130400	N4	0	
130500	N5	0	
130600	N6	0	
140000	P Group	0	
140100	P1	0	
140101	P1a	0	
140102	P1b	0	
140200	P2	0	
140300	P3	0	
140400	P4	0	
140500	P5	0	
140501	P5a	0	
140502	P5b	0	
140503	P5c	0	
140600	P6	0	
140601	P6a	0	
140700	P7	0	
140701	P7a	0	
140801	P8a	0	
140802	P8b	0	
140803	P8c	0	
140804	P8d	0	
140805	P8e	0	
140806	P8f	0	
140807	P8g	0	
150000	Q Group	1	0.1%
150100	Q1	0	
150101	Q1a	2	0.1%
150102	Q1b	0	
150103	Q1c	0	
150200	Q2	0	
150201	Q2a	0	
150202	Q2b	0	
150203	Q2c	0	
150204	Q2d	0	
150300	Q3	0	
150301	Q3a	0	
150400	Q4	0	
150500	Q5	0	
150600	Q6	0	
150700	Q7	0	
150800	Q8	0	
150801	Q8a	0	
150900	Q9	0	

# u2g1_2: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
150901	Q9a	0	
160000	S Group	0	
160100	S1	1	0.1%
160200	S2	0	
160300	S3	1	0.1%
160400	S4	0	
160500	S5	0	
160600	S6	0	
160601	S6a	0	
160602	S6b	0	
160700	S7	0	
160701	S7a	0	
160702	S7b	0	
160800	S8	0	
160900	S9	0	
161000	S10	0	
161001	S10a	0	
161002	S10b	0	
161100	S11	0	
161101	S12a	0	
161300	S13	0	
161301	S13a	0	
161400	S14	0	
161500	S15	0	
161600	S16	2	0.1%
161700	S17	0	
161701	S17a	0	
161800	S18	0	
161801	S18a	0	
161900	S19	1	0.1%
162000	S20	0	
162100	S21	0	
999991	Too many, all	0	
999999	Skipped	1659	98.2%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# u2g1_3: The number of the question			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10000-999999] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10000	A Group	0	
10100	A1	0	
10200	A2	0	
10300	A3	0	
10400	A4	0	

# u2g1_3: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10401	A4a	0	
10402	A4b	0	
10403	A4c	1	0.1%
20000	B Group	0	
20100	B1	0	
20200	B2	0	
20201	B2a	0	
20202	B2b	0	
20203	B2c	0	
20300	B3	0	
20400	B4	0	
20500	B5	0	
20501	B5a	0	
20600	B6	0	
30000	C Group	0	
30100	C1	0	
30200	C2	0	
30300	C3	0	
40000	D Group	0	
40100	D1	0	
40200	D2	0	
40300	D3	0	
40400	D4	0	
40500	D5	0	
40600	D6	0	
40700	D7	0	
50000	E Group	0	
50100	E1	0	
50200	E2	0	
50300	E3	0	
50400	E4	0	
50500	E5	0	
50600	E6	0	
50700	E7	0	
50800	E8	0	
60000	F Group	0	
60100	F1	0	
60200	F2	0	
70000	G Group	0	
70100	G1	0	
70200	G2	0	
70300	G3	0	
70400	G4	0	
80000	H Group	0	
80100	H1	0	

# u2g1_3: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
80200	H2	0	
80300	H3	0	
80400	H4	0	
80500	H5	0	
80600	H6	0	
80700	H7	0	
80800	H8	0	
80900	H9	0	
90000	I Group	0	
90100	I1	0	
90200	I2	0	
90300	I3	0	
100000	J Group	1	0.1%
100101	J1a	1	0.1%
100102	J1b	0	
100103	J1b1	0	
100104	J1b2	0	
100105	J1c	0	
100106	J1d	0	
100107	J1e	0	
100108	J1f	0	
100109	J1g	0	
100200	J2	1	0.1%
100300	J3	1	0.1%
100400	J4	0	
100401	J4a	0	
100402	J4a1	1	0.1%
100403	J4b	0	
100404	J4b1	0	
100500	J5	1	0.1%
100501	J5a	0	
100502	J5b	0	
110000	K Group	2	0.1%
110100	K1	0	
110101	K1a	0	
110201	K2a	0	
110202	K2b	0	
110203	K2c	0	
110301	K3a	0	
110302	K3b	0	
110303	K3c	0	
110401	K4a	0	
110402	K4b	0	
110403	K4c	0	
110501	K5a	0	

# u2g1_3: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
110502	K5b	0	
110503	K5c	0	
110601	K6a	0	
110602	K6b	0	
110603	K6c	0	
110700	K7	0	
110701	K7a	0	
110800	K8	0	
110801	K8a	1	0.1%
110900	K9	0	
110901	K9a	0	
111000	K10	0	
111100	K11	0	
111200	K12	0	
111300	K13	0	
120000	M Group	0	
120101	M1a	0	
120102	M1b	0	
120200	M2	0	
120300	M3	0	
120400	M4	0	
130000	N Group	1	0.1%
130100	N1 Group	1	0.1%
130101	N1a	0	
130102	N1b	0	
130103	N1c	1	0.1%
130104	N1d	0	
130105	N1e	0	
130200	N2	0	
130201	N2a	0	
130202	N2b	0	
130300	N3	0	
130301	N3a	0	
130400	N4	0	
130500	N5	0	
130600	N6	0	
140000	P Group	0	
140100	P1	0	
140101	P1a	0	
140102	P1b	0	
140200	P2	0	
140300	P3	0	
140400	P4	0	
140500	P5	0	
140501	P5a	0	

# u2g1_3: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
140502	P5b	0	0.1%
140503	P5c	0	
140600	P6	0	
140601	P6a	0	
140700	P7	0	
140701	P7a	0	
140801	P8a	0	
140802	P8b	0	
140803	P8c	0	
140804	P8d	0	
140805	P8e	0	
140806	P8f	0	
140807	P8g	0	
150000	Q Group	0	
150100	Q1	0	
150101	Q1a	0	
150102	Q1b	2	
150103	Q1c	0	
150200	Q2	0	
150201	Q2a	0	
150202	Q2b	0	
150203	Q2c	0	
150204	Q2d	0	
150300	Q3	0	
150301	Q3a	0	
150400	Q4	0	
150500	Q5	0	
150600	Q6	0	
150700	Q7	0	
150800	Q8	0	
150801	Q8a	0	
150900	Q9	0	
150901	Q9a	0	
160000	S Group	0	
160100	S1	0	
160200	S2	0	
160300	S3	0	
160400	S4	0	
160500	S5	0	
160600	S6	0	
160601	S6a	0	
160602	S6b	0	
160700	S7	0	
160701	S7a	0	
160702	S7b	0	

# u2g1_3: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
160800	S8	0	
160900	S9	1	0.1%
161000	S10	0	
161001	S10a	0	
161002	S10b	0	
161100	S11	0	
161101	S12a	0	
161300	S13	0	
161301	S13a	0	
161400	S14	0	
161500	S15	0	
161600	S16	0	
161700	S17	0	
161701	S17a	0	
161800	S18	0	
161801	S18a	0	
161900	S19	1	0.1%
162000	S20	0	
162100	S21	0	
999991	Too many, all	0	
999999	Skipped	1673	99.0%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# u2g1_4: The number of the question			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10000-999999] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10000	A Group	0	
10100	A1	0	
10200	A2	0	
10300	A3	0	
10400	A4	0	
10401	A4a	0	
10402	A4b	0	
10403	A4c	0	
20000	B Group	0	
20100	B1	0	
20200	B2	0	
20201	B2a	0	
20202	B2b	0	
20203	B2c	0	
20300	B3	0	
20400	B4	1	0.1%
20500	B5	0	
20501	B5a	0	

# u2g1_4: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
20600	B6	0	
30000	C Group	0	
30100	C1	0	
30200	C2	0	
30300	C3	0	
40000	D Group	0	
40100	D1	0	
40200	D2	0	
40300	D3	0	
40400	D4	0	
40500	D5	0	
40600	D6	0	
40700	D7	0	
50000	E Group	0	
50100	E1	0	
50200	E2	0	
50300	E3	0	
50400	E4	0	
50500	E5	0	
50600	E6	0	
50700	E7	0	
50800	E8	0	
60000	F Group	0	
60100	F1	0	
60200	F2	0	
70000	G Group	0	
70100	G1	0	
70200	G2	0	
70300	G3	0	
70400	G4	0	
80000	H Group	0	
80100	H1	0	
80200	H2	0	
80300	H3	0	
80400	H4	0	
80500	H5	0	
80600	H6	0	
80700	H7	0	
80800	H8	0	
80900	H9	0	
90000	I Group	0	
90100	I1	0	
90200	I2	0	
90300	I3	0	
100000	J Group	1	0.1%

# u2g1_4: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
100101	J1a	0	
100102	J1b	0	
100103	J1b1	0	
100104	J1b2	0	
100105	J1c	0	
100106	J1d	0	
100107	J1e	0	
100108	J1f	0	
100109	J1g	0	
100200	J2	0	
100300	J3	1	0.1%
100400	J4	1	0.1%
100401	J4a	1	0.1%
100402	J4a1	0	
100403	J4b	0	
100404	J4b1	0	
100500	J5	0	
100501	J5a	0	
100502	J5b	0	
110000	K Group	0	
110100	K1	0	
110101	K1a	0	
110201	K2a	0	
110202	K2b	0	
110203	K2c	0	
110301	K3a	0	
110302	K3b	0	
110303	K3c	0	
110401	K4a	0	
110402	K4b	0	
110403	K4c	0	
110501	K5a	0	
110502	K5b	0	
110503	K5c	0	
110601	K6a	0	
110602	K6b	0	
110603	K6c	0	
110700	K7	0	
110701	K7a	0	
110800	K8	0	
110801	K8a	0	
110900	K9	0	
110901	K9a	1	0.1%
111000	K10	0	
111100	K11	0	

# u2g1_4: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
111200	K12	0	
111300	K13	0	
120000	M Group	0	
120101	M1a	0	
120102	M1b	0	
120200	M2	0	
120300	M3	0	
120400	M4	0	
130000	N Group	1	0.1%
130100	N1 Group	0	
130101	N1a	0	
130102	N1b	0	
130103	N1c	0	
130104	N1d	1	0.1%
130105	N1e	0	
130200	N2	0	
130201	N2a	0	
130202	N2b	0	
130300	N3	0	
130301	N3a	0	
130400	N4	0	
130500	N5	0	
130600	N6	0	
140000	P Group	0	
140100	P1	0	
140101	P1a	0	
140102	P1b	0	
140200	P2	0	
140300	P3	0	
140400	P4	0	
140500	P5	0	
140501	P5a	0	
140502	P5b	0	
140503	P5c	0	
140600	P6	0	
140601	P6a	0	
140700	P7	0	
140701	P7a	0	
140801	P8a	0	
140802	P8b	0	
140803	P8c	0	
140804	P8d	0	
140805	P8e	0	
140806	P8f	0	
140807	P8g	0	

# u2g1_4: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
150000	Q Group	1	0.1%
150100	Q1	0	
150101	Q1a	0	
150102	Q1b	0	
150103	Q1c	2	0.1%
150200	Q2	0	
150201	Q2a	0	
150202	Q2b	0	
150203	Q2c	0	
150204	Q2d	0	
150300	Q3	0	
150301	Q3a	0	
150400	Q4	0	
150500	Q5	0	
150600	Q6	0	
150700	Q7	0	
150800	Q8	0	
150801	Q8a	0	
150900	Q9	0	
150901	Q9a	0	
160000	S Group	0	
160100	S1	0	
160200	S2	0	
160300	S3	0	
160400	S4	0	
160500	S5	0	
160600	S6	0	
160601	S6a	0	
160602	S6b	0	
160700	S7	0	
160701	S7a	0	
160702	S7b	0	
160800	S8	0	
160900	S9	0	
161000	S10	0	
161001	S10a	0	
161002	S10b	0	
161100	S11	0	
161101	S12a	0	
161300	S13	0	
161301	S13a	0	
161400	S14	0	
161500	S15	0	
161600	S16	0	
161700	S17	0	

# u2g1_4: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
161701	S17a	0	
161800	S18	0	
161801	S18a	0	
161900	S19	0	
162000	S20	0	
162100	S21	0	
999991	Too many, all	0	
999999	Skipped	1679	99.3%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# u2g1_5: The number of the question			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10000-999999] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10000	A Group	0	
10100	A1	0	
10200	A2	0	
10300	A3	0	
10400	A4	0	
10401	A4a	0	
10402	A4b	0	
10403	A4c	0	
20000	B Group	0	
20100	B1	0	
20200	B2	0	
20201	B2a	0	
20202	B2b	0	
20203	B2c	0	
20300	B3	0	
20400	B4	0	
20500	B5	0	
20501	B5a	0	
20600	B6	0	
30000	C Group	0	
30100	C1	0	
30200	C2	0	
30300	C3	0	
40000	D Group	0	
40100	D1	0	
40200	D2	0	
40300	D3	0	
40400	D4	0	
40500	D5	0	
40600	D6	0	
40700	D7	0	

# u2g1_5: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
50000	E Group	0	
50100	E1	0	
50200	E2	0	
50300	E3	0	
50400	E4	0	
50500	E5	0	
50600	E6	0	
50700	E7	0	
50800	E8	0	
60000	F Group	0	
60100	F1	0	
60200	F2	0	
70000	G Group	0	
70100	G1	0	
70200	G2	0	
70300	G3	0	
70400	G4	0	
80000	H Group	0	
80100	H1	0	
80200	H2	0	
80300	H3	0	
80400	H4	0	
80500	H5	0	
80600	H6	0	
80700	H7	0	
80800	H8	0	
80900	H9	0	
90000	I Group	0	
90100	I1	0	
90200	I2	0	
90300	I3	0	
100000	J Group	0	
100101	J1a	0	
100102	J1b	0	
100103	J1b1	0	
100104	J1b2	0	
100105	J1c	0	
100106	J1d	0	
100107	J1e	0	
100108	J1f	0	
100109	J1g	0	
100200	J2	0	
100300	J3	0	
100400	J4	2	0.1%
100401	J4a	1	0.1%

# u2g1_5: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
100402	J4a1	0	
100403	J4b	0	
100404	J4b1	0	
100500	J5	0	
100501	J5a	0	
100502	J5b	0	
110000	K Group	0	
110100	K1	0	
110101	K1a	0	
110201	K2a	0	
110202	K2b	0	
110203	K2c	0	
110301	K3a	0	
110302	K3b	0	
110303	K3c	0	
110401	K4a	0	
110402	K4b	0	
110403	K4c	0	
110501	K5a	0	
110502	K5b	0	
110503	K5c	0	
110601	K6a	0	
110602	K6b	0	
110603	K6c	0	
110700	K7	0	
110701	K7a	0	
110800	K8	0	
110801	K8a	0	
110900	K9	0	
110901	K9a	0	
111000	K10	1	
111100	K11	0	
111200	K12	0	
111300	K13	0	
120000	M Group	0	
120101	M1a	0	
120102	M1b	0	
120200	M2	0	
120300	M3	0	
120400	M4	0	
130000	N Group	0	
130100	N1 Group	0	
130101	N1a	0	
130102	N1b	0	
130103	N1c	0	

0.1%

# u2g1_5: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
130104	N1d	0	
130105	N1e	1	0.1%
130200	N2	0	
130201	N2a	1	0.1%
130202	N2b	0	
130300	N3	0	
130301	N3a	0	
130400	N4	0	
130500	N5	0	
130600	N6	0	
140000	P Group	0	
140100	P1	0	
140101	P1a	0	
140102	P1b	0	
140200	P2	0	
140300	P3	0	
140400	P4	0	
140500	P5	0	
140501	P5a	0	
140502	P5b	0	
140503	P5c	0	
140600	P6	0	
140601	P6a	0	
140700	P7	0	
140701	P7a	0	
140801	P8a	0	
140802	P8b	0	
140803	P8c	0	
140804	P8d	0	
140805	P8e	0	
140806	P8f	0	
140807	P8g	0	
150000	Q Group	0	
150100	Q1	0	
150101	Q1a	0	
150102	Q1b	0	
150103	Q1c	0	
150200	Q2	2	0.1%
150201	Q2a	0	
150202	Q2b	0	
150203	Q2c	0	
150204	Q2d	0	
150300	Q3	0	
150301	Q3a	0	
150400	Q4	0	

# u2g1_5: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
150500	Q5	0	
150600	Q6	0	
150700	Q7	0	
150800	Q8	0	
150801	Q8a	0	
150900	Q9	0	
150901	Q9a	0	
160000	S Group	0	
160100	S1	0	
160200	S2	0	
160300	S3	0	
160400	S4	0	
160500	S5	0	
160600	S6	0	
160601	S6a	0	
160602	S6b	0	
160700	S7	0	
160701	S7a	0	
160702	S7b	0	
160800	S8	0	
160900	S9	0	
161000	S10	0	
161001	S10a	0	
161002	S10b	0	
161100	S11	0	
161101	S12a	0	
161300	S13	0	
161301	S13a	0	
161400	S14	0	
161500	S15	0	
161600	S16	0	
161700	S17	0	
161701	S17a	0	
161800	S18	0	
161801	S18a	0	
161900	S19	0	
162000	S20	0	
162100	S21	0	
999991	Too many, all	0	
999999	Skipped	1682	99.5%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# u2g1_6: The number of the question			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10000-999999] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		

u2g1_6: The number of the question

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10000	A Group	0	
10100	A1	0	
10200	A2	0	
10300	A3	0	
10400	A4	0	
10401	A4a	0	
10402	A4b	0	
10403	A4c	0	
20000	B Group	0	
20100	B1	0	
20200	B2	0	
20201	B2a	0	
20202	B2b	0	
20203	B2c	0	
20300	B3	0	
20400	B4	0	
20500	B5	0	
20501	B5a	0	
20600	B6	0	
30000	C Group	0	
30100	C1	0	
30200	C2	0	
30300	C3	0	
40000	D Group	0	
40100	D1	0	
40200	D2	0	
40300	D3	0	
40400	D4	0	
40500	D5	0	
40600	D6	0	
40700	D7	0	
50000	E Group	0	
50100	E1	0	
50200	E2	0	
50300	E3	0	
50400	E4	0	
50500	E5	0	
50600	E6	0	
50700	E7	0	
50800	E8	0	
60000	F Group	0	
60100	F1	0	
60200	F2	0	
70000	G Group	0	
70100	G1	0	

# u2g1_6: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
70200	G2	0	
70300	G3	0	
70400	G4	0	
80000	H Group	0	
80100	H1	0	
80200	H2	0	
80300	H3	0	
80400	H4	0	
80500	H5	0	
80600	H6	0	
80700	H7	0	
80800	H8	0	
80900	H9	0	
90000	I Group	0	
90100	I1	0	
90200	I2	0	
90300	I3	0	
100000	J Group	0	
100101	J1a	0	
100102	J1b	0	
100103	J1b1	0	
100104	J1b2	0	
100105	J1c	0	
100106	J1d	0	
100107	J1e	0	
100108	J1f	0	
100109	J1g	0	
100200	J2	0	
100300	J3	1	0.1%
100400	J4	0	
100401	J4a	0	
100402	J4a1	0	
100403	J4b	1	0.1%
100404	J4b1	0	
100500	J5	0	
100501	J5a	0	
100502	J5b	0	
110000	K Group	0	
110100	K1	0	
110101	K1a	0	
110201	K2a	0	
110202	K2b	0	
110203	K2c	0	
110301	K3a	0	
110302	K3b	0	

# u2g1_6: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
110303	K3c	0	
110401	K4a	0	
110402	K4b	0	
110403	K4c	0	
110501	K5a	0	
110502	K5b	0	
110503	K5c	0	
110601	K6a	0	
110602	K6b	0	
110603	K6c	0	
110700	K7	0	
110701	K7a	0	
110800	K8	0	
110801	K8a	0	
110900	K9	0	
110901	K9a	0	
111000	K10	0	
111100	K11	0	
111200	K12	0	
111300	K13	1	0.1%
120000	M Group	0	
120101	M1a	0	
120102	M1b	0	
120200	M2	0	
120300	M3	0	
120400	M4	0	
130000	N Group	0	
130100	N1 Group	0	
130101	N1a	0	
130102	N1b	0	
130103	N1c	0	
130104	N1d	0	
130105	N1e	0	
130200	N2	1	0.1%
130201	N2a	0	
130202	N2b	0	
130300	N3	0	
130301	N3a	0	
130400	N4	0	
130500	N5	0	
130600	N6	0	
140000	P Group	1	0.1%
140100	P1	0	
140101	P1a	0	
140102	P1b	0	

# u2g1_6: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
140200	P2	0	
140300	P3	0	
140400	P4	0	
140500	P5	0	
140501	P5a	0	
140502	P5b	0	
140503	P5c	0	
140600	P6	0	
140601	P6a	0	
140700	P7	0	
140701	P7a	0	
140801	P8a	0	
140802	P8b	0	
140803	P8c	0	
140804	P8d	0	
140805	P8e	0	
140806	P8f	0	
140807	P8g	0	
150000	Q Group	0	
150100	Q1	0	
150101	Q1a	0	
150102	Q1b	0	
150103	Q1c	0	
150200	Q2	0	
150201	Q2a	2	
150202	Q2b	0	
150203	Q2c	0	
150204	Q2d	0	
150300	Q3	0	
150301	Q3a	0	
150400	Q4	0	
150500	Q5	0	
150600	Q6	0	
150700	Q7	0	
150800	Q8	0	
150801	Q8a	0	
150900	Q9	0	
150901	Q9a	0	
160000	S Group	0	0.1%
160100	S1	0	
160200	S2	0	
160300	S3	0	
160400	S4	0	
160500	S5	0	
160600	S6	0	

# u2g1_6: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
160601	S6a	0	
160602	S6b	0	
160700	S7	0	
160701	S7a	0	
160702	S7b	0	
160800	S8	0	
160900	S9	0	
161000	S10	0	
161001	S10a	0	
161002	S10b	0	
161100	S11	0	
161101	S12a	0	
161300	S13	0	
161301	S13a	0	
161400	S14	0	
161500	S15	0	
161600	S16	0	
161700	S17	0	
161701	S17a	0	
161800	S18	0	
161801	S18a	0	
161900	S19	0	
162000	S20	0	
162100	S21	0	
999991	Too many, all	0	
999999	Skipped	1683	99.6%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# u2g1_7: The number of the question			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10000-999999] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10000	A Group	0	
10100	A1	0	
10200	A2	0	
10300	A3	0	
10400	A4	0	
10401	A4a	0	
10402	A4b	0	
10403	A4c	0	
20000	B Group	0	
20100	B1	0	
20200	B2	0	
20201	B2a	0	
20202	B2b	0	

# u2g1_7: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
20203	B2c	0	
20300	B3	0	
20400	B4	0	
20500	B5	0	
20501	B5a	0	
20600	B6	0	
30000	C Group	0	
30100	C1	0	
30200	C2	0	
30300	C3	0	
40000	D Group	0	
40100	D1	0	
40200	D2	0	
40300	D3	0	
40400	D4	0	
40500	D5	0	
40600	D6	0	
40700	D7	0	
50000	E Group	0	
50100	E1	0	
50200	E2	0	
50300	E3	0	
50400	E4	0	
50500	E5	0	
50600	E6	0	
50700	E7	0	
50800	E8	0	
60000	F Group	0	
60100	F1	0	
60200	F2	0	
70000	G Group	0	
70100	G1	0	
70200	G2	0	
70300	G3	0	
70400	G4	0	
80000	H Group	0	
80100	H1	0	
80200	H2	0	
80300	H3	0	
80400	H4	0	
80500	H5	0	
80600	H6	0	
80700	H7	0	
80800	H8	0	
80900	H9	0	

# u2g1_7: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
90000	I Group	0	0.1%
90100	I1	0	
90200	I2	0	
90300	I3	0	
100000	J Group	0	
100101	J1a	0	
100102	J1b	0	
100103	J1b1	0	
100104	J1b2	0	
100105	J1c	0	
100106	J1d	0	
100107	J1e	0	
100108	J1f	0	
100109	J1g	0	
100200	J2	0	
100300	J3	0	
100400	J4	0	
100401	J4a	0	
100402	J4a1	0	
100403	J4b	0	
100404	J4b1	0	
100500	J5	1	
100501	J5a	0	
100502	J5b	0	
110000	K Group	0	
110100	K1	0	
110101	K1a	0	
110201	K2a	0	
110202	K2b	0	
110203	K2c	0	
110301	K3a	0	
110302	K3b	0	
110303	K3c	0	
110401	K4a	0	
110402	K4b	0	
110403	K4c	0	
110501	K5a	0	
110502	K5b	0	
110503	K5c	0	
110601	K6a	0	
110602	K6b	0	
110603	K6c	0	
110700	K7	0	
110701	K7a	0	
110800	K8	0	

# u2g1_7: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
110801	K8a	0	
110900	K9	0	
110901	K9a	0	
111000	K10	0	
111100	K11	0	
111200	K12	1	0.1%
111300	K13	0	
120000	M Group	0	
120101	M1a	0	
120102	M1b	0	
120200	M2	0	
120300	M3	0	
120400	M4	1	0.1%
130000	N Group	0	
130100	N1 Group	0	
130101	N1a	0	
130102	N1b	0	
130103	N1c	0	
130104	N1d	0	
130105	N1e	0	
130200	N2	0	
130201	N2a	0	
130202	N2b	0	
130300	N3	0	
130301	N3a	0	
130400	N4	0	
130500	N5	0	
130600	N6	0	
140000	P Group	0	
140100	P1	0	
140101	P1a	0	
140102	P1b	0	
140200	P2	0	
140300	P3	0	
140400	P4	0	
140500	P5	0	
140501	P5a	0	
140502	P5b	0	
140503	P5c	0	
140600	P6	0	
140601	P6a	0	
140700	P7	0	
140701	P7a	0	
140801	P8a	0	
140802	P8b	0	

# u2g1_7: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
140803	P8c	0	
140804	P8d	0	
140805	P8e	0	
140806	P8f	0	
140807	P8g	0	
150000	Q Group	0	
150100	Q1	0	
150101	Q1a	0	
150102	Q1b	0	
150103	Q1c	0	
150200	Q2	0	
150201	Q2a	0	
150202	Q2b	2	
150203	Q2c	0	
150204	Q2d	0	
150300	Q3	0	
150301	Q3a	0	
150400	Q4	0	
150500	Q5	0	
150600	Q6	0	
150700	Q7	0	
150800	Q8	0	0.1%
150801	Q8a	0	
150900	Q9	0	
150901	Q9a	0	
160000	S Group	0	
160100	S1	0	
160200	S2	0	
160300	S3	0	
160400	S4	0	
160500	S5	0	
160600	S6	0	
160601	S6a	0	
160602	S6b	0	
160700	S7	0	
160701	S7a	0	
160702	S7b	0	
160800	S8	0	
160900	S9	0	
161000	S10	0	
161001	S10a	0	
161002	S10b	0	
161100	S11	0	
161101	S12a	0	
161300	S13	0	

# u2g1_7: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
161301	S13a	0	0.1%
161400	S14	0	
161500	S15	0	
161600	S16	1	
161700	S17	0	
161701	S17a	0	
161800	S18	0	
161801	S18a	0	
161900	S19	0	
162000	S20	0	
162100	S21	0	
999991	Too many, all	0	
999999	Skipped	1684	
			99.6%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# u2g1_8: The number of the question			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10000-999999] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10000	A Group	0	
10100	A1	0	
10200	A2	0	
10300	A3	0	
10400	A4	0	
10401	A4a	0	
10402	A4b	0	
10403	A4c	0	
20000	B Group	0	
20100	B1	0	
20200	B2	0	
20201	B2a	0	
20202	B2b	0	
20203	B2c	0	
20300	B3	0	
20400	B4	0	
20500	B5	0	
20501	B5a	0	
20600	B6	0	
30000	C Group	0	
30100	C1	0	
30200	C2	0	
30300	C3	0	
40000	D Group	0	
40100	D1	0	
40200	D2	0	

# u2g1_8: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
40300	D3	0	
40400	D4	0	
40500	D5	0	
40600	D6	0	
40700	D7	0	
50000	E Group	0	
50100	E1	0	
50200	E2	0	
50300	E3	0	
50400	E4	0	
50500	E5	0	
50600	E6	0	
50700	E7	0	
50800	E8	0	
60000	F Group	0	
60100	F1	0	
60200	F2	0	
70000	G Group	0	
70100	G1	0	
70200	G2	0	
70300	G3	0	
70400	G4	0	
80000	H Group	0	
80100	H1	0	
80200	H2	0	
80300	H3	0	
80400	H4	0	
80500	H5	0	
80600	H6	0	
80700	H7	0	
80800	H8	0	
80900	H9	0	
90000	I Group	0	
90100	I1	0	
90200	I2	0	
90300	I3	0	
100000	J Group	0	
100101	J1a	0	
100102	J1b	0	
100103	J1b1	0	
100104	J1b2	0	
100105	J1c	0	
100106	J1d	0	
100107	J1e	0	
100108	J1f	0	

# u2g1_8: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
100109	J1g	0	
100200	J2	0	
100300	J3	0	
100400	J4	0	
100401	J4a	0	
100402	J4a1	0	
100403	J4b	0	
100404	J4b1	0	
100500	J5	0	
100501	J5a	0	
100502	J5b	1	0.1%
110000	K Group	0	
110100	K1	0	
110101	K1a	0	
110201	K2a	0	
110202	K2b	0	
110203	K2c	0	
110301	K3a	0	
110302	K3b	0	
110303	K3c	0	
110401	K4a	0	
110402	K4b	0	
110403	K4c	0	
110501	K5a	0	
110502	K5b	0	
110503	K5c	0	
110601	K6a	0	
110602	K6b	0	
110603	K6c	0	
110700	K7	0	
110701	K7a	0	
110800	K8	0	
110801	K8a	0	
110900	K9	0	
110901	K9a	0	
111000	K10	0	
111100	K11	1	0.1%
111200	K12	0	
111300	K13	0	
120000	M Group	0	
120101	M1a	0	
120102	M1b	0	
120200	M2	0	
120300	M3	0	
120400	M4	0	

# u2g1_8: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
130000	N Group	0	0.1%
130100	N1 Group	0	
130101	N1a	0	
130102	N1b	0	
130103	N1c	0	
130104	N1d	0	
130105	N1e	0	
130200	N2	0	
130201	N2a	0	
130202	N2b	0	
130300	N3	0	
130301	N3a	0	
130400	N4	0	
130500	N5	1	
130600	N6	0	
140000	P Group	0	
140100	P1	0	
140101	P1a	0	
140102	P1b	0	
140200	P2	0	
140300	P3	0	
140400	P4	0	
140500	P5	0	
140501	P5a	0	
140502	P5b	0	
140503	P5c	0	
140600	P6	0	
140601	P6a	0	
140700	P7	0	
140701	P7a	0	
140801	P8a	0	
140802	P8b	0	
140803	P8c	0	
140804	P8d	0	
140805	P8e	0	
140806	P8f	0	
140807	P8g	0	
150000	Q Group	0	
150100	Q1	0	
150101	Q1a	0	
150102	Q1b	0	
150103	Q1c	0	
150200	Q2	0	
150201	Q2a	0	
150202	Q2b	0	

# u2g1_8: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
150203	Q2c	2	0.1%
150204	Q2d	0	
150300	Q3	0	
150301	Q3a	0	
150400	Q4	0	
150500	Q5	0	
150600	Q6	0	
150700	Q7	0	
150800	Q8	0	
150801	Q8a	0	
150900	Q9	0	
150901	Q9a	0	
160000	S Group	0	
160100	S1	0	
160200	S2	0	
160300	S3	0	
160400	S4	0	
160500	S5	0	
160600	S6	0	
160601	S6a	0	
160602	S6b	0	
160700	S7	0	
160701	S7a	0	
160702	S7b	0	
160800	S8	0	
160900	S9	0	
161000	S10	0	
161001	S10a	0	
161002	S10b	0	
161100	S11	0	
161101	S12a	0	
161300	S13	0	
161301	S13a	0	
161400	S14	0	
161500	S15	0	
161600	S16	0	
161700	S17	0	
161701	S17a	0	
161800	S18	0	
161801	S18a	0	
161900	S19	1	0.1%
162000	S20	0	
162100	S21	0	
999991	Too many, all	0	
999999	Skipped	1684	99.6%

# u2g1_8: The number of the question			
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# u2g1_9: The number of the question			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10000-999999] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10000	A Group	0	
10100	A1	0	
10200	A2	0	
10300	A3	0	
10400	A4	0	
10401	A4a	0	
10402	A4b	0	
10403	A4c	0	
20000	B Group	0	
20100	B1	0	
20200	B2	0	
20201	B2a	0	
20202	B2b	0	
20203	B2c	0	
20300	B3	0	
20400	B4	0	
20500	B5	0	
20501	B5a	0	
20600	B6	0	
30000	C Group	0	
30100	C1	0	
30200	C2	0	
30300	C3	0	
40000	D Group	0	
40100	D1	0	
40200	D2	0	
40300	D3	0	
40400	D4	0	
40500	D5	0	
40600	D6	0	
40700	D7	0	
50000	E Group	0	
50100	E1	0	
50200	E2	0	
50300	E3	0	
50400	E4	0	
50500	E5	0	
50600	E6	0	
50700	E7	0	
50800	E8	0	

# u2g1_9: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
60000	F Group	0	
60100	F1	0	
60200	F2	0	
70000	G Group	0	
70100	G1	0	
70200	G2	0	
70300	G3	0	
70400	G4	0	
80000	H Group	0	
80100	H1	0	
80200	H2	0	
80300	H3	0	
80400	H4	0	
80500	H5	0	
80600	H6	0	
80700	H7	0	
80800	H8	0	
80900	H9	0	
90000	I Group	0	
90100	I1	0	
90200	I2	0	
90300	I3	0	
100000	J Group	0	
100101	J1a	0	
100102	J1b	0	
100103	J1b1	0	
100104	J1b2	0	
100105	J1c	0	
100106	J1d	0	
100107	J1e	0	
100108	J1f	0	
100109	J1g	0	
100200	J2	0	
100300	J3	0	
100400	J4	0	
100401	J4a	0	
100402	J4a1	0	
100403	J4b	0	
100404	J4b1	0	
100500	J5	0	
100501	J5a	0	
100502	J5b	0	
110000	K Group	0	
110100	K1	0	
110101	K1a	0	

# u2g1_9: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
110201	K2a	0	
110202	K2b	0	
110203	K2c	0	
110301	K3a	0	
110302	K3b	0	
110303	K3c	0	
110401	K4a	0	
110402	K4b	0	
110403	K4c	0	
110501	K5a	0	
110502	K5b	0	
110503	K5c	0	
110601	K6a	0	
110602	K6b	0	
110603	K6c	0	
110700	K7	0	
110701	K7a	0	
110800	K8	0	
110801	K8a	0	
110900	K9	0	
110901	K9a	0	
111000	K10	0	
111100	K11	0	
111200	K12	0	
111300	K13	0	
120000	M Group	0	
120101	M1a	0	
120102	M1b	0	
120200	M2	0	
120300	M3	0	
120400	M4	0	
130000	N Group	0	
130100	N1 Group	0	
130101	N1a	0	
130102	N1b	0	
130103	N1c	0	
130104	N1d	0	
130105	N1e	0	
130200	N2	0	
130201	N2a	0	
130202	N2b	0	
130300	N3	0	
130301	N3a	0	
130400	N4	0	
130500	N5	0	

# u2g1_9: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
130600	N6	0	
140000	P Group	0	
140100	P1	0	
140101	P1a	0	
140102	P1b	0	
140200	P2	0	
140300	P3	0	
140400	P4	0	
140500	P5	0	
140501	P5a	0	
140502	P5b	0	
140503	P5c	0	
140600	P6	0	
140601	P6a	0	
140700	P7	0	
140701	P7a	0	
140801	P8a	0	
140802	P8b	0	
140803	P8c	0	
140804	P8d	0	
140805	P8e	0	
140806	P8f	0	
140807	P8g	0	
150000	Q Group	0	
150100	Q1	0	
150101	Q1a	0	
150102	Q1b	0	
150103	Q1c	0	
150200	Q2	0	
150201	Q2a	0	
150202	Q2b	0	
150203	Q2c	0	
150204	Q2d	2	
150300	Q3	0	
150301	Q3a	0	
150400	Q4	0	
150500	Q5	0	
150600	Q6	0	
150700	Q7	0	
150800	Q8	0	
150801	Q8a	0	
150900	Q9	0	
150901	Q9a	0	
160000	S Group	0	
160100	S1	0	

# u2g1_9: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
160200	S2	0	
160300	S3	0	
160400	S4	0	
160500	S5	0	
160600	S6	0	
160601	S6a	0	
160602	S6b	0	
160700	S7	0	
160701	S7a	0	
160702	S7b	0	
160800	S8	0	
160900	S9	0	
161000	S10	0	
161001	S10a	0	
161002	S10b	0	
161100	S11	0	
161101	S12a	0	
161300	S13	0	
161301	S13a	0	
161400	S14	0	
161500	S15	0	
161600	S16	0	
161700	S17	0	
161701	S17a	0	
161800	S18	0	
161801	S18a	0	
161900	S19	0	
162000	S20	0	
162100	S21	0	
999991	Too many, all	0	
999999	Skipped	1688	99.9%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# u3: How cooperative was the R?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very cooperative	868	51.4%
2	Fairly cooperative	625	37.0%
3	A little cooperative	154	9.1%
4	A little uncooperative	34	2.0%
5	Fairly uncooperative	6	0.4%
6	Very uncooperative	3	0.2%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# u4: How well do you think the R understood the questions?				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Understood very well	341	<div><div></div></div>	20.2%
2	Understood fairly well	669	<div><div></div></div>	39.6%
3	Understood a little	426	<div><div></div></div>	25.2%
4	Didn't understand a little	130	<div><div></div></div>	7.7%
5	Didn't understand a fair amount	73	<div><div></div></div>	4.3%
6	Didn't understand much at all	51	<div><div></div></div>	3.0%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# u5: How sensitive do you think the R thought the questions were?				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Very sensitive	45	<div><div></div></div>	2.7%
2	Fairly sensitive	116	<div><div></div></div>	6.9%
3	A little sensitive	383	<div><div></div></div>	22.7%
4	A little insensitive	438	<div><div></div></div>	25.9%
5	Fairly insensitive	358	<div><div></div></div>	21.2%
6	Very insensitive	350	<div><div></div></div>	20.7%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# u6: How interested do you think the R was in the contents of the survey?				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Very interested	141	<div><div></div></div>	8.3%
2	Fairly interested	291	<div><div></div></div>	17.2%
3	A little interested	712	<div><div></div></div>	42.1%
4	A little uninterested	408	<div><div></div></div>	24.1%
5	Fairly uninterested	77	<div><div></div></div>	4.6%
6	Very uninterested	61	<div><div></div></div>	3.6%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# u7: How trustworthy do you think the R's answers are?				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Most are untrustworthy	28	<div><div></div></div>	1.7%
2	Some are untrustworthy	135	<div><div></div></div>	8.0%
3	Most are trustworthy	899	<div><div></div></div>	53.2%
4	All are trustworthy	628	<div><div></div></div>	37.2%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# u8: What do you think is the R's level of knowledge about general political matters?				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		

# u8: What do you think is the R's level of knowledge about general political matters?			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very low	150	<div><div></div></div> 8.9%
2	Low	494	<div><div></div></div> 29.2%
3	High	810	<div><div></div></div> 47.9%
4	Very high	236	<div><div></div></div> 14.0%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# u9_1: The R answered questions:			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	By him or herself	988	<div><div></div></div> 58.5%
2	With his or her spouse	316	<div><div></div></div> 18.7%
3	A child also participated	142	<div><div></div></div> 8.4%
4	With his or her entire family	158	<div><div></div></div> 9.3%
6	Colleagues	8	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
7	Relatives	9	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
8	Servant (housekeeper)	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
9	Grandchildren	8	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
10	Customer	9	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
11	Brothers or sisters	12	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
12	Friends	14	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
13	Neighbors	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
14	Administrator	6	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
15	Students	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
16	Employees	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
17	Chiefs of village	8	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
18	Customer in the convenient store	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
99	Skipped	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# u9_2: The R answered questions:			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	By him or herself	0	
2	With his or her spouse	11	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
3	A child also participated	62	<div><div></div></div> 3.7%
4	With his or her entire family	10	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
6	Colleagues	0	
7	Relatives	6	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
8	Servant (housekeeper)	0	
9	Grandchildren	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
10	Customer	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
11	Brothers or sisters	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%

# u9_2: The R answered questions:			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
12	Friends	2	0.1%
13	Neighbors	0	
14	Administrator	0	
15	Students	0	
16	Employees	0	
17	Chiefs of village	0	
18	Customer in the convenient store	0	
99	Skipped	1594	94.3%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# u9_3: The R answered questions:			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	By him or herself	0	
2	With his or her spouse	1	0.1%
3	A child also participated	3	0.2%
4	With his or her entire family	14	0.8%
6	Colleagues	1	0.1%
7	Relatives	0	
8	Servant (housekeeper)	0	
9	Grandchildren	1	0.1%
10	Customer	0	
11	Brothers or sisters	1	0.1%
12	Friends	1	0.1%
13	Neighbors	0	
14	Administrator	0	
15	Students	0	
16	Employees	0	
17	Chiefs of village	0	
18	Customer in the convenient store	0	
99	Skipped	1668	98.7%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# u9_4: The R answered questions:			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	By him or herself	0	
2	With his or her spouse	0	
3	A child also participated	0	
4	With his or her entire family	0	
6	Colleagues	0	
7	Relatives	0	
8	Servant (housekeeper)	0	
9	Grandchildren	0	

# u9_4: The R answered questions:			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	Customer	0	
11	Brothers or sisters	0	
12	Friends	0	
13	Neighbors	0	
14	Administrator	0	
15	Students	0	
16	Employees	0	
17	Chiefs of village	0	
18	Customer in the convenient store	0	
99	Skipped	1690	100.0%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# u9_5: The R answered questions:			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	By him or herself	0	
2	With his or her spouse	0	
3	A child also participated	0	
4	With his or her entire family	0	
6	Colleagues	0	
7	Relatives	0	
8	Servant (housekeeper)	0	
9	Grandchildren	0	
10	Customer	0	
11	Brothers or sisters	0	
12	Friends	0	
13	Neighbors	0	
14	Administrator	0	
15	Students	0	
16	Employees	0	
17	Chiefs of village	0	
18	Customer in the convenient store	0	
99	Skipped	1690	100.0%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# u9a: Child's age is about:			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		3	0.2%
2		2	0.1%
3		7	0.4%
4		5	0.3%
5		7	0.4%
6		1	0.1%

# u9a: Child's age is about:			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7		2	0.1%
8		2	0.1%
9		1	0.1%
10		6	0.4%
12		5	0.3%
13		1	0.1%
15		4	0.2%
16		1	0.1%
18		3	0.2%
20		2	0.1%
22		2	0.1%
23		2	0.1%
24		1	0.1%
25		6	0.4%
26		1	0.1%
28		1	0.1%
30		11	0.7%
35		3	0.2%
37		1	0.1%
38		2	0.1%
40		11	0.7%
45		7	0.4%
48		1	0.1%
50		3	0.2%
52		1	0.1%
55		5	0.3%
60		3	0.2%
65		1	0.1%
98	Don't know	93	5.5%
99	Skipped	1483	87.8%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# u10: Did a third person present at the interview do any of the following?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Keep busy, but listen to the conversation between I and R	294	17.4%
2	Be present part of the time, but not express opinion or othe	70	4.1%
3	Be present all of the time, but not express opinion or other	111	6.6%
4	During interview, express opinion or otherwise interfere wit	174	10.3%
5	None of the above	53	3.1%
99	Skipped	988	58.5%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# district: District			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 201-6806] [Missing=*]		

# district: District			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
201	Yi Lan County Single District	55	<div></div> 3.3%
401	Hsinchu County Single District	55	<div></div> 3.3%
501	Miaoli County 1st District	0	
502	Miaoli County 2nd District	49	<div></div> 2.9%
701	Changhua County 1st District	37	<div></div> 2.2%
702	Changhua County 2nd District	0	
703	Changhua County 3rd District	37	<div></div> 2.2%
704	Changhua County 4th District	39	<div></div> 2.3%
801	Nantou County 1st District	0	
802	Nantou County 2nd District	0	
901	Yunlin County 1st District	47	<div></div> 2.8%
902	Yunlin County 2nd District	0	
1001	Chiayi County 1st District	0	
1002	Chiayi County 2nd District	48	<div></div> 2.8%
1301	Pingtung City 1st District	0	
1302	Pingtung City 2nd District	0	
1303	Pingtung City 3rd District	49	<div></div> 2.9%
1401	Taitung County Single District	21	<div></div> 1.2%
1501	Hualien County Single District	22	<div></div> 1.3%
1601	Penghu County Single District	0	
1701	Keelung City Single District	60	<div></div> 3.6%
1801	Hsinchu City Single District	48	<div></div> 2.8%
2001	Chiayi City Single District	0	
2801	Kinmen County Single District	0	
3001	Lienchiang County Single District	0	
6301	Taipei City 1st District	54	<div></div> 3.2%
6302	Taipei City 2nd District	57	<div></div> 3.4%
6303	Taipei City 3rd District	54	<div></div> 3.2%
6304	Taipei City 4th District	0	
6305	Taipei City 5th District	0	
6306	Taipei City 6th District	0	
6307	Taipei City 7th District	0	
6308	Taipei City 8th District	0	
6401	Kaohsiung City 1st District	0	
6402	Kaohsiung City 2nd District	0	
6403	Kaohsiung City 3rd District	42	<div></div> 2.5%
6404	Kaohsiung City 4th District	0	
6405	Kaohsiung City 5th District	42	<div></div> 2.5%
6406	Kaohsiung City 6th District	42	<div></div> 2.5%
6407	Kaohsiung City 7th District	44	<div></div> 2.6%
6408	Kaohsiung City 8th District	49	<div></div> 2.9%
6409	Kaohsiung City 9th District	0	
6501	New Taipei City 1st District	0	
6502	New Taipei City 2nd District	0	

# district: District			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6503	New Taipei City 3rd District	54	<div></div> 3.2%
6504	New Taipei City 4th District	0	
6505	New Taipei City 5th District	55	<div></div> 3.3%
6506	New Taipei City 6th District	0	
6507	New Taipei City 7th District	54	<div></div> 3.2%
6508	New Taipei City 8th District	55	<div></div> 3.3%
6509	New Taipei City 9th District	59	<div></div> 3.5%
6510	New Taipei City 10th District	0	
6511	New Taipei City 11th District	0	
6512	New Taipei City 12th District	0	
6601	Taichung City 1st District	0	
6602	Taichung City 2nd District	0	
6603	Taichung City 3rd District	38	<div></div> 2.2%
6604	Taichung City 4th District	38	<div></div> 2.2%
6605	Taichung City 5th District	36	<div></div> 2.1%
6606	Taichung City 6th District	36	<div></div> 2.1%
6607	Taichung City 7th District	0	
6608	Taichung City 8th District	36	<div></div> 2.1%
6701	Tainan City 1st District	44	<div></div> 2.6%
6702	Tainan City 2nd District	42	<div></div> 2.5%
6703	Tainan City 3rd District	42	<div></div> 2.5%
6704	Tainan City 4th District	48	<div></div> 2.8%
6705	Tainan City 5th District	0	
6801	Taoyuan City 1st District	0	
6802	Taoyuan City 2nd District	48	<div></div> 2.8%
6803	Taoyuan City 3rd District	0	
6804	Taoyuan City 4th District	0	
6805	Taoyuan City 5th District	0	
6806	Taoyuan City 6th District	54	<div></div> 3.2%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# sex: Sex			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Male	868	<div></div> 51.4%
2	Female	822	<div></div> 48.6%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# age: Age			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	20-29	264	<div></div> 15.6%
2	30-39	282	<div></div> 16.7%
3	40-49	317	<div></div> 18.8%

# age: Age			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	50-59	337	<div><div></div></div> 19.9%
5	Above 60	490	<div><div></div></div> 29.0%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# edu: Education			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Below elementary school	322	<div><div></div></div> 19.1%
2	Junior high school	161	<div><div></div></div> 9.5%
3	Senior high school	449	<div><div></div></div> 26.6%
4	College	186	<div><div></div></div> 11.0%
5	Above university	562	<div><div></div></div> 33.3%
9	Nonresponse	10	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# arear: Area			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Taipei, New Taipei, Keelung and Yi Lan	557	<div><div></div></div> 33.0%
2	Taoyuan, Hsinchu and Miaoli	254	<div><div></div></div> 15.0%
3	Taichung, Changhua and Nantou	297	<div><div></div></div> 17.6%
4	Yunlin, Chiayi and Tainan	271	<div><div></div></div> 16.0%
5	Kaohsiung, Pingtung and Penghu	268	<div><div></div></div> 15.9%
6	Hualien, Taitung and Offshore Islands	43	<div><div></div></div> 2.5%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# career: Occupations5			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Hight-class WHITE COLLAR	499	<div><div></div></div> 29.5%
2	Low-class WHITE COLLAR	409	<div><div></div></div> 24.2%
3	FARMER	96	<div><div></div></div> 5.7%
4	WORKER	501	<div><div></div></div> 29.6%
5	Others	185	<div><div></div></div> 10.9%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# career8: Occupation8			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Civil servants	205	<div><div></div></div> 12.1%
2	Managers and Professionals (Priv.)	269	<div><div></div></div> 15.9%
3	CLERKS (Priv.)	333	<div><div></div></div> 19.7%

# career8: Occupation8			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Labor (Priv.)	440	<div><div></div></div> 26.0%
5	FARMER	76	<div><div></div></div> 4.5%
6	Student or currently in job training	70	<div><div></div></div> 4.1%
7	Housekeeping	238	<div><div></div></div> 14.1%
8	Others	59	<div><div></div></div> 3.5%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# ethnic: Ethnic			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Taiwanese	1060	<div><div></div></div> 62.7%
2	Both	502	<div><div></div></div> 29.7%
3	Chinese	69	<div><div></div></div> 4.1%
9	Noresponse	59	<div><div></div></div> 3.5%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# party: Party Preference			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-26] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly support KMT	84	<div><div></div></div> 5.0%
2	Somewhat support KMT	154	<div><div></div></div> 9.1%
3	Lean to KMT	60	<div><div></div></div> 3.6%
4	Somewhat lean to KMT	90	<div><div></div></div> 5.3%
5	Strongly support DPP	136	<div><div></div></div> 8.0%
6	Somewhat support DPP	237	<div><div></div></div> 14.0%
7	Lean to the DPP	93	<div><div></div></div> 5.5%
8	Somewhat lean to DPP	125	<div><div></div></div> 7.4%
9	Strongly support NP	0	
10	Somewhat support NP	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
11	Lean to the NP	0	
12	Somewhat lean to the NP	0	
13	Strongly support PFP	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
14	Somewhat support PFP	7	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
15	Lean to the PFP	6	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
16	Somewhat lean to the PFP	17	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%
17	Strongly support TSU	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
18	Somewhat support TSU	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
19	Lean to the TSU	0	
20	Somewhat lean to the TSU	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
21	Strongly support NPP	5	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
22	Somewhat support NPP	13	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
23	Lean to NPP	12	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
24	Somewhat lean to NPP	13	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
25	Neutral and noresponse	600	<div><div></div></div> 35.5%

# party: Party Preference			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
26	Other parties	28	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# partyid: Party Identification			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	KMT	388	<div><div></div></div> 23.0%
2	DPP	591	<div><div></div></div> 35.0%
3	NP	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
4	PFP	32	<div><div></div></div> 1.9%
5	TSU	5	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
6	NPP	43	<div><div></div></div> 2.5%
9	Others, neutral and nonresponse	628	<div><div></div></div> 37.2%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# tondu: Position on unification and independence			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Immediate unification	27	<div><div></div></div> 1.6%
2	Maintain the status quo,move toward unification	180	<div><div></div></div> 10.7%
3	Maintain the status quo, decide either unification or indepe	546	<div><div></div></div> 32.3%
4	Maintain the status quo forever	328	<div><div></div></div> 19.4%
5	Maintain the status quo, move toward independence	380	<div><div></div></div> 22.5%
6	Immediate independence	108	<div><div></div></div> 6.4%
9	Nonresponse	121	<div><div></div></div> 7.2%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# tondu3: 3 categories of TONDU			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Unification	207	<div><div></div></div> 12.2%
2	Maintain the status quo	874	<div><div></div></div> 51.7%
3	Independence	488	<div><div></div></div> 28.9%
9	Nonresponse	121	<div><div></div></div> 7.2%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# ni2: Who is the current the premier of our country?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Correct	466	<div><div></div></div> 27.6%
2	Incorrect	343	<div><div></div></div> 20.3%
3	I know but can't remember the name	296	<div><div></div></div> 17.5%

# ni2: Who is the current the premier of our country?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	585	<div></div> 34.6%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# w: Weight			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0.599109143-2.214809044] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0.233 /-]		

File : TEDS2016E_panC

id: Case ID

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1030200700214-14630400501405] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=2913673197510.88 /-] [StdDev=1706479769262.99 /-]

tau: Set

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-14] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Tau 01	748	32.6%
2	Tau 02	708	30.9%
3	Tau 03	457	19.9%
4	Tau 04	178	7.8%
5	Tau 05	77	3.4%
6	Tau 06	45	2.0%
7	Tau 07	31	1.4%
8	Tau 08	21	0.9%
9	Tau 09	10	0.4%
10	Tau 10	8	0.3%
11	Tau 11	4	0.2%
12	Tau 12	1	0.0%
13	Tau 13	3	0.1%
14	Tau 14	1	0.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

city: City, County

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 2-68] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Yilan County	0	
3	Taoyuan City	155	6.8%
4	Hsinchu County	84	3.7%
5	Miaoli County	28	1.2%
7	Changhua County	96	4.2%
9	Yunlin County	90	3.9%
10	Chiayi County	32	1.4%
13	Pingtung County	64	2.8%
14	Taitung County	32	1.4%
15	Hualien County	29	1.3%
17	Keelung City	32	1.4%
18	Hsinchu City	0	
20	Chiayi City	32	1.4%
63	Taipei City	295	12.9%
64	Kaohsiung City	302	13.2%
65	New Taipei City	277	12.1%
66	Taichung City	449	19.6%
67	Tainan City	295	12.9%

File : TEDS2016E_panC

city: City, County

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
68	Taoyuan City	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

town: Township, City, District

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 202-6811] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
202	Luodong Township, Yilan County	0	
205	Jiaoxi Township, Yilan County	0	
209	Wujie Township, Yilan County	0	
302	Zhongli Distric, Taoyuan City	28	<div></div> 1.2%
303	Daxi District, Taoyuan City	33	<div></div> 1.4%
307	Guishan District, Taoyuan City	66	<div></div> 2.9%
310	Pingzhen District, Taoyuan City	28	<div></div> 1.2%
401	Zhubei City, Hsinchu County	28	<div></div> 1.2%
402	Zhudong Township, Hsinchu County	28	<div></div> 1.2%
403	Xinpu Township, Hsinchu County	0	
406	Xinfeng Township, Hsinchu County	28	<div></div> 1.2%
501	Miaoli City, Miaoli County	0	
508	Dahu Township , Miaoli County	28	<div></div> 1.2%
516	Sanwan Township, Miaoli County	0	
701	Changhua City, Changhua County	33	<div></div> 1.4%
703	Hemei Township, Changhua County	0	
706	Fuxing Township, Changhua County	0	
709	Fenyuan Township, Changhua County	29	<div></div> 1.3%
710	Yuanlin Township, Changhua County	0	
714	Puyan Township, Changhua County	0	
716	Yongjing Township, Changhua County	0	
718	Ershui Township, Changhua County	34	<div></div> 1.5%
719	Beidou Township, Changhua County	0	
721	Tianwei Township, Changhua County	0	
722	Pitou Township, Changhua County	0	
905	Tuku Township, Yunlin County	0	
906	Beigang Township, Yunlin County	29	<div></div> 1.3%
909	Citong Township, Yunlin County	32	<div></div> 1.4%
917	YuanchangTownshi, Yunlin County	0	
920	Shuilin Township, Yunlin County	29	<div></div> 1.3%
1004	Dalin Township, Chiayi County	0	
1005	Minxiong Township, Chiayi County	32	<div></div> 1.4%
1013	Zhongpu Township, Chiayi County	0	
1301	Pingtung City, Pingtung County	32	<div></div> 1.4%
1316	Fangliao Township, Pingtung County	32	<div></div> 1.4%
1317	Xinyuan Township, Pingtung County	0	
1321	Jiadong Township, Pingtung County	0	

File : TEDS2016E_panC

town: Township, City, District

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1401	Taitung City, Taitung County	32	1.4%
1402	Chenggong Township, Taitung County	0	
1404	Beinan Township, Taitung County	0	
1501	HualienCity, Hualien County	0	
1503	Yuli Township, Hualien County	0	
1509	Ruisui Township, Hualien County	29	1.3%
1704	Renai District, Keelung City	0	
1705	Zhongshan District, Keelung City	0	
1706	Anle District, Keelung City	32	1.4%
1801	East District, Hsinchu City	0	
1802	North District, Hsinchu City	0	
1803	Xiangshan District, Hsinchu City	0	
2002	West District, Chiayi City	32	1.4%
6301	Songshan District, Taipei City	0	
6303	Daan District, Taipei City	98	4.3%
6304	Zhongshan District, Taipei City	100	4.4%
6306	Datong District , Taipei City	0	
6308	Wenshan District, Taipei City	97	4.2%
6311	Shilin District, Taipei City	0	
6312	Beitou District, Taipei City	0	
6402	Gushan District, Kaohsiung City	0	
6403	Zuoying District, Kaohsiung City	0	
6404	Nanzi District, Kaohsiung City	43	1.9%
6405	Sanmin District, Kaohsiung City	41	1.8%
6406	XinxingDistrict, Kaohsiung City	0	
6408	Lingya District, Kaohsiung City	40	1.7%
6410	Qianzhen District, Kaohsiung City	0	
6412	Fengshan District, Kaohsiung City	46	2.0%
6416	Dashe District, Kaohsiung City	33	1.4%
6424	Luzhu District, Kaohsiung City	33	1.4%
6430	Qishan District, Kaohsiung City	35	1.5%
6431	Meinong District, Kaohsiung City	31	1.4%
6501	Banqiao District, New Taipei City	109	4.8%
6502	Sanchong District, New Taipei City	0	
6503	Zhonghe District, New Taipei City	0	
6504	Yonghe District, New Taipei City	0	
6505	Xinzhuang District, New Taipei City	0	
6507	Shulin District, New Taipei City	0	
6512	Ruifang District, New Taipei City	32	1.4%
6515	Wugu District, New Taipei City	68	3.0%
6517	Linkou District, New Taipei City	40	1.7%
6520	Pinglin District, New Taipei City	28	1.2%
6602	East District, Taichung City	0	

File : TEDS2016E_panC

town: Township, City, District

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6603	South Distric, Taichung City	0	
6604	West District, Taichung City	101	4.4%
6605	North District, Taichung City	97	4.2%
6606	Xitun Distric, Taichung City	40	1.7%
6607	Nantun District, Taichung City	41	1.8%
6608	Beitun District, Taichung City	40	1.7%
6609	Fengyuan District, Taichung City	0	
6610	Dongshi District, Taichung City	0	
6615	Houli District, Taichung City	0	
6616	Shengang District, Taichung City	0	
6618	Daya District, Taichung City	0	
6621	Waipu District, Taichung City	64	2.8%
6623	Wuri District, Taichung City	66	2.9%
6701	Xinying District, Tainan City	0	
6706	Dongshan District, Tainan City	33	1.4%
6707	Madou District, Tainan City	0	
6712	Jiali District, Tainan City	35	1.5%
6716	Jiangjun District, Tainan City	0	
6718	Xinhua District, Tainan City	0	
6719	Shanhua District, Tainan City	45	2.0%
6731	Yongkang District, Tainan City	73	3.2%
6732	East District, Tainan City	0	
6733	South District, Tainan City	40	1.7%
6734	North District, Tainan City	0	
6735	Annan District, Tainan City	69	3.0%
6736	Anping District, Tainan City	0	
6737	West Central District, Tainan City	0	
6802	Zhongli Distric, Taoyuan City	0	
6803	Daxi District, Taoyuan City	0	
6804	Yangmei District, Taoyuan City	0	
6808	Bade District, Taoyuan City	0	
6811	Xinwu District, Taoyuan City	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

village: Village

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 202026-6811020] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
202026	Guohua Village, Luodong Township, Yilan County	0	
205007	Erlong Village, Jiaoxi Township, Yilan County	0	
209006	Sijie Village, Wujie Township, Yilan County	0	
302007	Guangming Village, Zhongli Distric, Taoyuan City	14	0.6%
302031	Shuiwei Village, Zhongli Distric, Taoyuan City	14	0.6%
303012	Yihe Village, Daxi District, Taoyuan City	16	0.7%

File : TEDS2016E_panC

village: Village

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
303018	Renai Village, Daxi District, Taoyuan City	17	0.7%
307004	Datong Village, Guishan District, Taoyuan City	16	0.7%
307007	Luguang Village, Guishan District, Taoyuan City	16	0.7%
307017	Longshou Village, Guishan District, Taoyuan City	17	0.7%
307022	Xingfu Village, Guishan District, Taoyuan City	17	0.7%
310026	Xinan Village, Pingzhen District, Taoyuan City	14	0.6%
310046	Pingnan Village, Pingzhen District, Taoyuan City	14	0.6%
401004	Zhongxing Village, Zhubei City, Hsinchu County	14	0.6%
401008	Zhuren Village, Zhubei City, Hsinchu County	14	0.6%
401021	Shixing Village, Zhubei City, Hsinchu County	0	
402016	Touchong Village, Zhudong Township, Hsinchu County	14	0.6%
402019	Erchong Village, Zhudong Township, Hsinchu County	14	0.6%
403003	Wenshan Village, Xipu Township, Hsinchu County	0	
406004	Puhe Village, Xinfeng Township, Hsinchu County	14	0.6%
406008	Chongxing Village, Xinfeng Township, Hsinchu County	14	0.6%
406009	Xinfeng Village, Xinfeng Township, Hsinchu County	0	
501017	Qinghua Village, Miaoli City, Miaoli County	0	
501027	Nanshi Village, Miaoli City, Miaoli County	0	
508003	Jinghu Village, Dahu Township , Miaoli County	14	0.6%
508012	Xinkai Village, Dahu Township , Miaoli County	14	0.6%
516006	Dahe Village, Sanwan Township, Miaoli County	0	
701024	Wuquan Village, Changhua City, Changhua County	16	0.7%
701070	Kuaguan Village, Changhua City, Changhua County	17	0.7%
703030	Yuemei Village, Hemei Township, Changhua County	0	
706008	Wanfeng Village, Fuxing Township, Changhua County	0	
706015	Xianian Village, Fuxing Township, Changhua County	0	
709001	Jiushe Village, Fenyuan Township, Changhua County	14	0.6%
709008	Xianzhuang village, Fenyuan Township, Changhua County	15	0.7%
710012	Xinsheng Village, Yuanlin Township, Changhua County	0	
714001	Puyan Village, Puyan Township, Changhua County	0	
716004	Yongbei Village, Yongjing Township, Changhua County	0	
718010	Huimin Village, Ershui Township, Changhua County	16	0.7%
718014	Yuanquan Village, Ershui Township, Changhua County	18	0.8%
719009	Zhonghe Village, Beidou Township, Changhua County	0	
721009	Xinsheng Village, Tianwei Township, Changhua County	0	
722007	Hexing Village, Pitou Township, Changhua County	0	
905002	Shuntian Village, Tuku Township, Yunlin County	0	
906005	Zhonghe Village, Beigang Township, Yunlin County	14	0.6%
906015	Guangfu Village, Beigang Township, Yunlin County	15	0.7%
906025	Shujiao Village, Beigang Township, Yunlin County	0	
909007	Damei Village, Citong Township, Yunlin County	16	0.7%
909012	Mayuan Village, Citong Township, Yunlin County	16	0.7%
917013	Xialiao Village, YuanchangTownshi, Yunlin County	0	

File : TEDS2016E_panC

village: Village

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
920002	Shuinan Village, Shuilin Township, Yunlin County	14	0.6%
920011	Wanxing Village, Shuilin Township, Yunlin County	15	0.7%
1004005	Minghua Village, Dalin Township, Chiayi County	0	
1004016	Sanhe Village, Dalin Township, Chiayi County	0	
1005003	Xian Village, Minxiong Township, Chiayi County	16	0.7%
1005006	Donghu Village, Minxiong Township, Chiayi County	16	0.7%
1013008	Hemei Village, Zhongpu Township, Chiayi County	0	
1301055	Qingxi Village, Pingtung City, Pingtung County	16	0.7%
1301073	Chonglan Village, Pingtung City, Pingtung County	16	0.7%
1316004	Zhongliao Village, Fangliao Township, Pingtung County	0	
1316009	Renhe Village, Fangliao Township, Pingtung County	17	0.7%
1316010	Neiliao Village, Fangliao Township, Pingtung County	15	0.7%
1317007	Xinyuan Village, Xinyuan Township, Pingtung County	0	
1321002	Liugen Village, Jiadong Township, Pingtung County	0	
1401008	Minsheng Village, Taitung City, Taitung County	16	0.7%
1401045	Zhiben Village, Taitung City, Taitung County	16	0.7%
1402005	Zhongzhi Village, Chenggong Township, Taitung County	0	
1404009	Taian Village, Beinan Township, Taitung County	0	
1501014	Zhunong Village, Hualien City, Hualien County	0	
1503014	Sanmin Village, Yuli Township, Hualien County	0	
1509001	Ruisui Village, Ruisui Township, Hualien County	14	0.6%
1509007	Hegang Village, Ruisui Township, Hualien County	15	0.7%
1704006	Heming Village, Renai District, Keelung City	0	
1705020	Dehe Village, Zhongshan District, Keelung City	0	
1706008	Jiaren Village, Anle District, Keelung City	16	0.7%
1706014	Xinlun Village, Anle District, Keelung City	0	
1706016	Siwei Village, Anle District, Keelung City	16	0.7%
1801035	Ligong Village, East District, Hsinchu City	0	
1802030	Keya Village, North District, Hsinchu City	0	
1803010	Dongxiang Village, Xiangshan District, Hsinchu City	0	
2002005	Chongxing Village, West District, Chiayi City	16	0.7%
2002069	Baosheng Village, West District, Chiayi City	16	0.7%
6301020	Pengcheng Village, Songshan District, Taipei City	0	
6303004	Minzhao Village, Daan District, Taipei City	16	0.7%
6303007	Minhui Village, Daan District, Taipei City	17	0.7%
6303013	Gufeng Village, Daan District, Taipei City	16	0.7%
6303017	Fuzhu Village, Daan District, Taipei City	16	0.7%
6303031	Tonghua Village, Daan District, Taipei City	17	0.7%
6303049	Jianan Village, Daan District, Taipei City	16	0.7%
6304005	Kangle Village, Zhongshan District, Taipei City	16	0.7%
6304007	Jusheng Village, Zhongshan District, Taipei City	16	0.7%
6304010	Hengan Village, Zhongshan District, Taipei City	0	
6304018	Xinxi Village, Zhongshan District, Taipei City	20	0.9%

File : TEDS2016E_panC

village: Village

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
6304021	Songjiang Village, Zhongshan District, Taipei City	16		0.7%
6304024	Xingzheng Village, Zhongshan District, Taipei City	16		0.7%
6304025	Xingren Village, Zhongshan District, Taipei City	0		
6304031	Zhongyuan Village, Zhongshan District, Taipei City	16		0.7%
6306007	Xingming Village, Datong District , Taipei City	0		
6306017	Guoshun Village, Datong District , Taipei City	0		
6308005	Jingren Village, Wenshan District, Taipei City	16		0.7%
6308015	Xingjia Village, Wenshan District, Taipei City	16		0.7%
6308021	Xingtai Village, Wenshan District, Taipei City	16		0.7%
6308027	Muzha Village, Wenshan District, Taipei City	17		0.7%
6308032	Zhangjiao Village, Wenshan District, Taipei City	16		0.7%
6308041	Zhangwen Village, Wenshan District, Taipei City	16		0.7%
6311011	Bailing Village, Shilin District, Taipei City	0		
6311030	Zhongcheng Village, Shilin District, Taipei City	0		
6311040	Tianshan Village, Shilin District, Taipei City	0		
6312038	Guandu Village, Beitou District, Taipei City	0		
6402004	Guangrong Village, Gushan District, Kaohsiung City	0		
6403001	Jinxue Village, Zuoying District, Kaohsiung City	0		
6403043	Caigong Village, Zuoying District, Kaohsiung City	0		
6404003	Wuchang Village, Nanzi District, Kaohsiung City	0		
6404005	Zhongyang Village, Nanzi District, Kaohsiung City	22		1.0%
6404022	Shengchang Village, Nanzi District, Kaohsiung City	21		0.9%
6405005	Dingxi Village, Sanmin District, Kaohsiung City	20		0.9%
6405017	Baode Village, Sanmin District, Kaohsiung City	0		
6405021	Baohua Village, Sanmin District, Kaohsiung City	0		
6405030	Baoyu Village, Sanmin District, Kaohsiung City	0		
6405053	Dezhi Village, Sanmin District, Kaohsiung City	21		0.9%
6405066	Licheng Village, Sanmin District, Kaohsiung City	0		
6406006	Dezheng Village, XinxingDistrict, Kaohsiung City	0		
6408015	Guangze Village, Lingya District, Kaohsiung City	0		
6408022	Putian Village, Lingya District, Kaohsiung City	20		0.9%
6408052	Fukang Village, Lingya District, Kaohsiung City	20		0.9%
6408060	Zhengyan Village, Lingya District, Kaohsiung City	0		
6410003	Zhenxing Village, Qianzhen District, Kaohsiung City	0		
6412018	Ruizhu Village, Fengshan District, Kaohsiung City	26		1.1%
6412027	Zhengyi Village, Fengshan District, Kaohsiung City	0		
6412063	Guofu Village, Fengshan District, Kaohsiung City	20		0.9%
6412071	Zhongmin Village, Fengshan District, Kaohsiung City	0		
6412077	Fuxiang Village, Fengshan District, Kaohsiung City	0		
6416006	Guanyin Village, Dashe District, Kaohsiung City	16		0.7%
6416008	Zhongli Village, Dashe District, Kaohsiung City	17		0.7%
6424014	Wennan Village, Luzhu District, Kaohsiung City	16		0.7%
6424020	Shenan Village, Luzhu District, Kaohsiung City	17		0.7%

File : TEDS2016E_panC

village: Village

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6430002	Dade Village, Qishan District, Kaohsiung City	19	0.8%
6430003	Meizhou Village, Qishan District, Kaohsiung City	16	0.7%
6431010	Xinglong Village, Meinong District, Kaohsiung City	16	0.7%
6431016	Jiyang Village, Meinong District, Kaohsiung City	15	0.7%
6501014	Guoguang Village, Banqiao District, New Taipei City	16	0.7%
6501020	Hansheng Village, Banqiao District, New Taipei City	17	0.7%
6501045	Lancui Village, Banqiao District, New Taipei City	16	0.7%
6501060	Guangren Village, Banqiao District, New Taipei City	0	
6501062	Yongan Village, Banqiao District, New Taipei City	18	0.8%
6501065	Shenqiu Village, Banqiao District, New Taipei City	20	0.9%
6501087	Huadong Village, Banqiao District, New Taipei City	0	
6501089	Fuzhou Village, Banqiao District, New Taipei City	0	
6501096	Juan Village, Banqiao District, New Taipei City	22	1.0%
6502014	Guangyang Village, Sanchong District, New Taipei City	0	
6502039	Qinghe Village, Sanchong District, New Taipei City	0	
6502066	Wanshou Village, Sanchong District, New Taipei City	0	
6503040	Jingnan Village, Zhonghe District, New Taipei City	0	
6503045	Fuxing Village, Zhonghe District, New Taipei City	0	
6503080	Funan Village, Zhonghe District, New Taipei City	0	
6504013	Baoan Village, Yonghe District, New Taipei City	0	
6504039	Dehe Village, Yonghe District, New Taipei City	0	
6504048	Hebin Village, Yonghe District, New Taipei City	0	
6505041	Guangrong Village, Xinzhuang District, New Taipei City	0	
6507019	Dongyang Village, Shulin District, New Taipei City	0	
6507021	Leshan Village, Shulin District, New Taipei City	0	
6512029	Haibin Village, Ruifang District, New Taipei City	16	0.7%
6512034	Xinfeng Village, Ruifang District, New Taipei City	16	0.7%
6515003	Jifu Village, Wugu District, New Taipei City	17	0.7%
6515005	Chengzhou Village, Wugu District, New Taipei City	19	0.8%
6515010	Deyin Village, Wugu District, New Taipei City	16	0.7%
6515014	Chengde Village, Wugu District, New Taipei City	16	0.7%
6517006	Hubei Village, Linkou District, New Taipei City	20	0.9%
6517016	Lilin Village, Linkou District, New Taipei City	20	0.9%
6520003	Dalin Village, Pinglin District, New Taipei City	14	0.6%
6520006	Shuide Village, Pinglin District, New Taipei City	14	0.6%
6602005	Gancheng Village, East District, Taichung City	0	
6603014	Xichuan Village, South District, Taichung City	0	
6603019	Nanhe Village, South District, Taichung City	0	
6604002	Limin Village, West District, Taichung City	16	0.7%
6604007	Gongmin Village, West District, Taichung City	16	0.7%
6604008	Lanxing Village, West District, Taichung City	16	0.7%
6604009	Gongguan Village, West District, Taichung City	20	0.9%
6604015	Shengping Village, West District, Taichung City	16	0.7%

File : TEDS2016E_panC

village: Village

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6604020	Gongyi Village, West District, Taichung City	17	0.7%
6605013	Jianxing Village, North District, Taichung City	17	0.7%
6605018	Dangou Village, North District, Taichung City	16	0.7%
6605025	Laixing Village, North District, Taichung City	16	0.7%
6605027	Liuhe Village, North District, Taichung City	16	0.7%
6605035	Meichuan Village, North District, Taichung City	16	0.7%
6605036	Laifu Village, North District, Taichung City	16	0.7%
6606016	Guangfu Village, Xitun Distric, Taichung City	20	0.9%
6606028	Shangde Village, Xitun Distric, Taichung City	0	
6606031	Hecheng Village, Xitun Distric, Taichung City	20	0.9%
6607002	Fengle Village, Nantun District, Taichung City	0	
6607012	Tianxin Village, Nantun District, Taichung City	0	
6607016	Wenxin Village, Nantun District, Taichung City	21	0.9%
6607025	Sanyi Village, Nantun District, Taichung City	20	0.9%
6608008	Shuijing Village, Beitun District, Taichung City	0	
6608018	Renmei Village, Beitun District, Taichung City	20	0.9%
6608021	Tongrong Village, Beitun District, Taichung City	0	
6608024	Chenping Village, Beitun District, Taichung City	0	
6608042	Zhongping Village, Beitun District, Taichung City	20	0.9%
6609001	Fengyuan Village, Fengyuan District, Taichung City	0	
6609028	Zhongyang Village, Fengyuan District, Taichung City	0	
6610002	Zhongning Village, Dongshi District, Taichung City	0	
6615017	Yuemei Village, Houli District, Taichung City	0	
6616009	Dashe Village, Shengang District, Taichung City	0	
6618013	Wenya Village, Daya District, Taichung City	0	
6621001	Yongfeng Village, Waipu District, Taichung City	16	0.7%
6621003	Sankan Village, Waipu District, Taichung City	16	0.7%
6621005	Shuimei Village, Waipu District, Taichung City	16	0.7%
6621006	Dadong Village, Waipu District, Taichung City	16	0.7%
6623002	Huri Village, Wuri District, Taichung City	16	0.7%
6623006	Jiude Village, Wuri District, Taichung City	16	0.7%
6623007	Rende Village, Wuri District, Taichung City	17	0.7%
6623009	Wuguang Village, Wuri District, Taichung City	17	0.7%
6701005	Yanping Village, Xinying District, Tainan City	0	
6701029	Xindong Village, Xinying District, Tainan City	0	
6706002	Dongzhong Village, Dongshan District, Tainan City	16	0.7%
6706006	Keli Village, Dongshan District, Tainan City	17	0.7%
6707004	Jinjiang Village, Madou District, Tainan City	0	
6712004	Jiannan Village, Jiali District, Tainan City	16	0.7%
6712005	Anxi Village, Jiali District, Tainan City	0	
6712019	Minan Village, Jiali District, Tainan City	19	0.8%
6716017	Kunshen Village, Jiangjun District, Tainan City	0	
6718011	Beishi Village, Xinhua District, Tainan City	0	

File : TEDS2016E_panC

village: Village

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6719006	Xiguan Village, Shanhua District, Tainan City	24	1.0%
6719012	Ximei Village, Shanhua District, Tainan City	21	0.9%
6731002	Wangliao Village, Yongkang District, Tainan City	22	1.0%
6731030	Erwang Village, Yongkang District, Tainan City	17	0.7%
6731032	Sanhe Village, Yongkang District, Tainan City	18	0.8%
6731037	Fuhua Village, Yongkang District, Tainan City	16	0.7%
6732007	Houjia Village, East District, Tainan City	0	
6732029	Zhongxiao Village, East District, Tainan City	0	
6733007	Xinxing Village, South District, Tainan City	20	0.9%
6733035	Wennan Village, South District, Tainan City	20	0.9%
6734005	Lixing Village, North District, Tainan City	0	
6735002	Anshun Village, Annan District, Tainan City	16	0.7%
6735006	Andong Village, Annan District, Tainan City	17	0.7%
6735015	Haidong Village, Annan District, Tainan City	20	0.9%
6735032	Qingcao Village, Annan District, Tainan City	16	0.7%
6735039	Xiding Village, Annan District, Tainan City	0	
6736001	Ximen Village, Anping District, Tainan City	0	
6737030	Zhongzheng Village, West Central District, Tainan City	0	
6802071	Huaxuen Village, Zhongli Distric, Taoyuan City	0	
6803027	Renhe Village, Daxi District, Taoyuan City	0	
6804023	Ruiyuan Village, Yangmei District, Taoyuan City	0	
6804026	Zhongshan Village, Yangmei District, Taoyuan City	0	
6808003	Ruifeng Village, Bade District, Taoyuan City	0	
6811020	Kanglang Village, Xinwu District, Taoyuan City	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

t01: First contact-result

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-31] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Completion	679	29.6%
2	No one can be reached in the household	671	29.3%
3	R is temporarily not at home	341	14.9%
4	Cannot take the interview right away	115	5.0%
5	Leave, after interview started	2	0.1%
6	Refusal by the R	162	7.1%
7	Break off, after interview started	4	0.2%
8	Refusal by the family	36	1.6%
9	R works, studies, or travels elsewhere	60	2.6%
10	R works, studies, or travels overseas	13	0.6%
11	R is registered in the household, but does not lives here	34	1.5%
12	R unavailable at the address	19	0.8%
13	R moves out	39	1.7%
14	Unable to locate the address	4	0.2%

File : TEDS2016E_panC

t01: First contact-result

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
15	Empty housing unit	3	0.1%
16	Unable to gain the access to the housing unit	23	1.0%
17	Renovation of the housing unit	5	0.2%
18	Refusal for unknown reasons	12	0.5%
19	R is physically or mentally unable to be interviewed	11	0.5%
20	Language problems	0	
21	R missing	2	0.1%
22	Emigration	1	0.0%
23	Serve in the military	3	0.1%
24	Get married and move out	6	0.3%
25	In jail	0	
26	Become a monk or nun	0	
27	Death	24	1.0%
28	Unfriendly circumstances	4	0.2%
29	R refuse the interview on the phone	11	0.5%
30	R refuse to sign interview agreement	6	0.3%
31	Fail due to standardized interview principle	2	0.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

t02: First contact-time

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10501171640-10503281939] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=10501520995.36 /-] [StdDev=451732.501 /-]

t03: Contact1

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-89] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Doorman	201	8.8%
2	Door phone	268	11.7%
3	Ring door bell or knock the door	692	30.2%
4	Go into the house after ask the household	725	31.6%
6	Contact on the telephone	67	2.9%
7	Ask neighbors, relatives or friends	202	8.8%
8	Ask the head of a neighborhood	11	0.5%
9	Communicate on the internet	1	0.0%
10	Communicate by letter or email	0	
11	Shout out at the door	113	4.9%
12	Visit R in jail	0	
89	R refuse the interview on the phone	12	0.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

t04: Second contact-result

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

File : TEDS2016E_panC

t04: Second contact-result

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Completion	353	15.4%
2	No one can be reached in the household	373	16.3%
3	R is temporarily not at home	148	6.5%
4	Cannot take the interview right away	51	2.2%
5	Leave, after interview started	1	0.0%
6	Refusal by the R	73	3.2%
7	Break off, after interview started	3	0.1%
8	Refusal by the family	29	1.3%
9	R works, studies, or travels elsewhere	29	1.3%
10	R works, studies, or travels overseas	6	0.3%
11	R is registered in the household, but does not lives here	18	0.8%
12	R unavailable at the address	6	0.3%
13	R moves out	9	0.4%
14	Unable to locate the address	0	
15	Empty housing unit	1	0.0%
16	Unable to gain the access to the housing unit	5	0.2%
17	Renovation of the housing unit	0	
18	Refusal for unknown reasons	5	0.2%
19	R is physically or mentally unable to be interviewed	4	0.2%
20	Language problems	1	0.0%
21	R missing	1	0.0%
22	Emigration	0	
23	Serve in the military	0	
24	Get married and move out	3	0.1%
25	In jail	0	
26	Become a monk or nun	0	
27	Death	1	0.0%
28	Unfriendly circumstances	1	0.0%
29	R refuse the interview on the phone	6	0.3%
30	R refuse to sign interview agreement	2	0.1%
31	Fail due to standardized interview principle	0	
99	Skipped	1163	50.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

t05: Second contact-time

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10501181522-99999999999] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
99999999999	Skipped		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

t06: Contact2

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

File : TEDS2016E_panC

t06: Contact2

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Doorman	93	4.1%
2	Door phone	129	5.6%
3	Ring door bell or knock the door	375	16.4%
4	Go into the house after ask the household	298	13.0%
6	Contact on the telephone	93	4.1%
7	Ask neighbors, relatives or friends	72	3.1%
8	Ask the head of a neighborhood	0	
9	Communicate on the internet	0	
10	Communicate by letter or email	0	
11	Shout out at the door	63	2.7%
12	Visit R in jail	0	
89	R refuse the interview on the phone	6	0.3%
99	Skipped	1163	50.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

t07: Third contact-result

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Completion	209	9.1%
2	No one can be reached in the household	196	8.6%
3	R is temporarily not at home	42	1.8%
4	Cannot take the interview right away	10	0.4%
5	Leave, after interview started	0	
6	Refusal by the R	57	2.5%
7	Break off, after interview started	2	0.1%
8	Refusal by the family	13	0.6%
9	R works, studies, or travels elsewhere	18	0.8%
10	R works, studies, or travels overseas	3	0.1%
11	R is registered in the household, but does not lives here	2	0.1%
12	R unavailable at the address	1	0.0%
13	R moves out	7	0.3%
14	Unable to locate the address	0	
15	Empty housing unit	0	
16	Unable to gain the access to the housing unit	1	0.0%
17	Renovation of the housing unit	0	
18	Refusal for unknown reasons	0	
19	R is physically or mentally unable to be interviewed	1	0.0%
20	Language problems	0	
21	R missing	1	0.0%
22	Emigration	0	
23	Serve in the military	0	
24	Get married and move out	2	0.1%

File : TEDS2016E_panC

t07: Third contact-result

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
25	In jail	0	
26	Become a monk or nun	0	
27	Death	2	0.1%
28	Unfriendly circumstances	0	
29	R refuse the interview on the phone	5	0.2%
30	R refuse to sign interview agreement	0	
31	Fail due to standardized interview principle	1	0.0%
99	Skipped	1719	75.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

t08: Third contact-time

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10501201600-99999999999] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10501201600		1	0.0%
10501201740		1	0.0%
10501210936		1	0.0%
10501211508		1	0.0%
10501211552		1	0.0%
10501212012		1	0.0%
10501212019		1	0.0%
10501212056		1	0.0%
10501220935		1	0.0%
10501221738		1	0.0%
10501221910		1	0.0%
10501221924		1	0.0%
10501231053		1	0.0%
10501231058		1	0.0%
10501231102		1	0.0%
10501231103		1	0.0%
10501231147		1	0.0%
10501231231		1	0.0%
10501231237		1	0.0%
10501231322		1	0.0%
10501231356		1	0.0%
10501231448		1	0.0%
10501231503		1	0.0%
10501231518		1	0.0%
10501231543		1	0.0%
10501231603		1	0.0%
10501231615		1	0.0%
10501231623		1	0.0%
10501231726		1	0.0%
10501231740		1	0.0%

File : TEDS2016E_panC

t08: Third contact-time

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10501231749		1	0.0%
10501231751		1	0.0%
10501231759		1	0.0%
10501231813		2	0.1%
10501231819		1	0.0%
10501231830		1	0.0%
10501231833		1	0.0%
10501231835		1	0.0%
10501231849		1	0.0%
10501241018		1	0.0%
10501241024		1	0.0%
10501241038		1	0.0%
10501241040		1	0.0%
10501241101		1	0.0%
10501241105		1	0.0%
10501241331		1	0.0%
10501241337		1	0.0%
10501241343		1	0.0%
10501241423		1	0.0%
10501241457		1	0.0%
10501241523		1	0.0%
10501241647		1	0.0%
10501241725		1	0.0%
10501241825		1	0.0%
10501251243		1	0.0%
10501251247		1	0.0%
10501251256		1	0.0%
10501251305		1	0.0%
10501251306		1	0.0%
10501251800		1	0.0%
10501251918		1	0.0%
10501252039		1	0.0%
10501252214		1	0.0%
10501252321		1	0.0%
10501261801		1	0.0%
10501261811		1	0.0%
10501261923		1	0.0%
10501261951		1	0.0%
10501262004		1	0.0%
10501262012		1	0.0%
10501262043		1	0.0%
10501262057		1	0.0%
10501262123		1	0.0%

File : TEDS2016E_panC

t08: Third contact-time

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10501262149		1	0.0%
10501270950		1	0.0%
10501271037		1	0.0%
10501271100		1	0.0%
10501271113		1	0.0%
10501271125		1	0.0%
10501271132		1	0.0%
10501271138		1	0.0%
10501271143		1	0.0%
10501271147		1	0.0%
10501271153		1	0.0%
10501271249		1	0.0%
10501271439		1	0.0%
10501271448		1	0.0%
10501271509		1	0.0%
10501271710		1	0.0%
10501271720		1	0.0%
10501271805		1	0.0%
10501271900		1	0.0%
10501271927		1	0.0%
10501271939		1	0.0%
10501271946		1	0.0%
10501272103		1	0.0%
10501281146		1	0.0%
10501281211		1	0.0%
10501281438		1	0.0%
10501281726		1	0.0%
10501281733		1	0.0%
10501281742		1	0.0%
10501281743		1	0.0%
10501281754		1	0.0%
10501281804		1	0.0%
10501281818		1	0.0%
10501281837		1	0.0%
10501282052		1	0.0%
10501291029		1	0.0%
10501291258		1	0.0%
10501291441		1	0.0%
10501291928		1	0.0%
10501292021		1	0.0%
10501292045		1	0.0%
10501300914		1	0.0%
10501300927		1	0.0%

File : TEDS2016E_panC

t08: Third contact-time

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10501300932		1	0.0%
10501301014		1	0.0%
10501301017		1	0.0%
10501301018		2	0.1%
10501301019		1	0.0%
10501301028		1	0.0%
10501301122		1	0.0%
10501301154		1	0.0%
10501301210		1	0.0%
10501301222		1	0.0%
10501301228		1	0.0%
10501301245		1	0.0%
10501301303		1	0.0%
10501301312		1	0.0%
10501301320		1	0.0%
10501301337		1	0.0%
10501301426		1	0.0%
10501301512		1	0.0%
10501301528		1	0.0%
10501301543		1	0.0%
10501301552		1	0.0%
10501301626		1	0.0%
10501301639		1	0.0%
10501301745		1	0.0%
10501301757		1	0.0%
10501301807		1	0.0%
10501301831		1	0.0%
10501301833		1	0.0%
10501301938		1	0.0%
10501302045		1	0.0%
10501302119		1	0.0%
10501311106		1	0.0%
10501311117		1	0.0%
10501311136		1	0.0%
10501311200		1	0.0%
10501311237		1	0.0%
10501311238		1	0.0%
10501311439		1	0.0%
10501311454		1	0.0%
10501311501		1	0.0%
10501311543		1	0.0%
10501311626		1	0.0%
10501311631		1	0.0%

File : TEDS2016E_panC

t08: Third contact-time

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10501311715		1	0.0%
10501311716		1	0.0%
10501311718		1	0.0%
10501311720		1	0.0%
10501311725		1	0.0%
10501311742		1	0.0%
10501311806		1	0.0%
10501311816		1	0.0%
10501311821		1	0.0%
10501311915		1	0.0%
10501311931		1	0.0%
10501311933		1	0.0%
10501311937		1	0.0%
10501312003		1	0.0%
10501312010		1	0.0%
10501312114		1	0.0%
10501312115		1	0.0%
10501312116		1	0.0%
10502011043		1	0.0%
10502011152		1	0.0%
10502011348		1	0.0%
10502011851		1	0.0%
10502011903		1	0.0%
10502011940		1	0.0%
10502011941		1	0.0%
10502012017		1	0.0%
10502012018		1	0.0%
10502012027		1	0.0%
10502012117		1	0.0%
10502012132		1	0.0%
10502012303		1	0.0%
10502020919		1	0.0%
10502021220		1	0.0%
10502021241		1	0.0%
10502021315		1	0.0%
10502021447		1	0.0%
10502021930		1	0.0%
10502021936		1	0.0%
10502021949		1	0.0%
10502021959		1	0.0%
10502022013		1	0.0%
10502022017		1	0.0%
10502022023		1	0.0%

File : TEDS2016E_panC

t08: Third contact-time

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10502022044		1	0.0%
10502022102		1	0.0%
10502022136		1	0.0%
10502022146		1	0.0%
10502030951		1	0.0%
10502030958		1	0.0%
10502031008		1	0.0%
10502031015		1	0.0%
10502031112		1	0.0%
10502031135		1	0.0%
10502031242		1	0.0%
10502031447		1	0.0%
10502031556		1	0.0%
10502031602		1	0.0%
10502031648		1	0.0%
10502031833		1	0.0%
10502031931		1	0.0%
10502031932		1	0.0%
10502031933		1	0.0%
10502031934		1	0.0%
10502031943		1	0.0%
10502032047		1	0.0%
10502032054		1	0.0%
10502032111		1	0.0%
10502032114		1	0.0%
10502032120		1	0.0%
10502041000		1	0.0%
10502041136		1	0.0%
10502041149		1	0.0%
10502041306		1	0.0%
10502041329		1	0.0%
10502041827		1	0.0%
10502041859		1	0.0%
10502041957		1	0.0%
10502042000		1	0.0%
10502042007		1	0.0%
10502042059		1	0.0%
10502042105		1	0.0%
10502050919		1	0.0%
10502051000		1	0.0%
10502051518		1	0.0%
10502051523		1	0.0%
10502051612		1	0.0%

File : TEDS2016E_panC

t08: Third contact-time

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10502051623		1	0.0%
10502051644		1	0.0%
10502051723		1	0.0%
10502051759		1	0.0%
10502051808		1	0.0%
10502051836		1	0.0%
10502060915		1	0.0%
10502060924		1	0.0%
10502060945		1	0.0%
10502061004		1	0.0%
10502061012		1	0.0%
10502061037		1	0.0%
10502061050		2	0.1%
10502061051		1	0.0%
10502061052		1	0.0%
10502061059		1	0.0%
10502061113		1	0.0%
10502061132		1	0.0%
10502061135		1	0.0%
10502061144		1	0.0%
10502061150		1	0.0%
10502061159		1	0.0%
10502061201		1	0.0%
10502061247		1	0.0%
10502061326		1	0.0%
10502061343		1	0.0%
10502061404		1	0.0%
10502061410		1	0.0%
10502061432		1	0.0%
10502061503		1	0.0%
10502061508		1	0.0%
10502061515		1	0.0%
10502061834		1	0.0%
10502061951		1	0.0%
10502061954		1	0.0%
10502062024		1	0.0%
10502062145		1	0.0%
10502070835		1	0.0%
10502070903		1	0.0%
10502070910		1	0.0%
10502070958		1	0.0%
10502071138		1	0.0%
10502071148		1	0.0%

File : TEDS2016E_panC

t08: Third contact-time

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10502071223		1	0.0%
10502071304		1	0.0%
10502100900		1	0.0%
10502101858		1	0.0%
10502111331		1	0.0%
10502111345		1	0.0%
10502111400		1	0.0%
10502111502		1	0.0%
10502111610		1	0.0%
10502111611		1	0.0%
10502111622		1	0.0%
10502111753		1	0.0%
10502111803		1	0.0%
10502111831		1	0.0%
10502121204		1	0.0%
10502121422		1	0.0%
10502121451		1	0.0%
10502121542		1	0.0%
10502121552		1	0.0%
10502121928		1	0.0%
10502122006		1	0.0%
10502122013		1	0.0%
10502122047		1	0.0%
10502130930		1	0.0%
10502131045		1	0.0%
10502131101		1	0.0%
10502131110		1	0.0%
10502131142		1	0.0%
10502131143		1	0.0%
10502131155		1	0.0%
10502131315		1	0.0%
10502131357		1	0.0%
10502131429		1	0.0%
10502131436		1	0.0%
10502131632		1	0.0%
10502131636		1	0.0%
10502131645		1	0.0%
10502131646		1	0.0%
10502131647		1	0.0%
10502131659		1	0.0%
10502131700		1	0.0%
10502131959		1	0.0%
10502132000		1	0.0%

File : TEDS2016E_panC

t08: Third contact-time

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10502132007		1	0.0%
10502132104		1	0.0%
10502132150		1	0.0%
10502141053		1	0.0%
10502141215		1	0.0%
10502141445		1	0.0%
10502141607		1	0.0%
10502141627		2	0.1%
10502141637		1	0.0%
10502141914		1	0.0%
10502142024		1	0.0%
10502142051		1	0.0%
10502142109		1	0.0%
10502151410		1	0.0%
10502151631		1	0.0%
10502151634		1	0.0%
10502151716		1	0.0%
10502151800		1	0.0%
10502151910		1	0.0%
10502151916		1	0.0%
10502151921		1	0.0%
10502151924		1	0.0%
10502151927		1	0.0%
10502151947		1	0.0%
10502151955		1	0.0%
10502152002		1	0.0%
10502152041		1	0.0%
10502161208		1	0.0%
10502162015		1	0.0%
10502162058		1	0.0%
10502162105		1	0.0%
10502171133		1	0.0%
10502171242		1	0.0%
10502171307		1	0.0%
10502171459		1	0.0%
10502171751		1	0.0%
10502171831		1	0.0%
10502171834		1	0.0%
10502171954		1	0.0%
10502171959		1	0.0%
10502181349		1	0.0%
10502181351		1	0.0%
10502181652		1	0.0%

File : TEDS2016E_panC

t08: Third contact-time

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10502181929		1	0.0%
10502181930		1	0.0%
10502182002		2	0.1%
10502191048		1	0.0%
10502191632		1	0.0%
10502191744		1	0.0%
10502191923		1	0.0%
10502191944		1	0.0%
10502192030		1	0.0%
10502192045		1	0.0%
10502192310		1	0.0%
10502201000		1	0.0%
10502201005		1	0.0%
10502201021		1	0.0%
10502201108		1	0.0%
10502201120		1	0.0%
10502201239		1	0.0%
10502201255		1	0.0%
10502201259		1	0.0%
10502201303		2	0.1%
10502201311		1	0.0%
10502201319		1	0.0%
10502201324		1	0.0%
10502201330		1	0.0%
10502201336		1	0.0%
10502201350		1	0.0%
10502201408		1	0.0%
10502201415		1	0.0%
10502201447		1	0.0%
10502201458		1	0.0%
10502201517		2	0.1%
10502201521		1	0.0%
10502201527		1	0.0%
10502201601		1	0.0%
10502201622		1	0.0%
10502201631		1	0.0%
10502201714		1	0.0%
10502201805		1	0.0%
10502201828		1	0.0%
10502201832		1	0.0%
10502201842		1	0.0%
10502201846		1	0.0%
10502201847		1	0.0%

File : TEDS2016E_panC

t08: Third contact-time

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10502201849		1	0.0%
10502201851		1	0.0%
10502201901		1	0.0%
10502201908		1	0.0%
10502201913		1	0.0%
10502201953		1	0.0%
10502201956		1	0.0%
10502201957		1	0.0%
10502202007		1	0.0%
10502202025		1	0.0%
10502202034		1	0.0%
10502202047		1	0.0%
10502202058		1	0.0%
10502202116		1	0.0%
10502202127		1	0.0%
10502210925		1	0.0%
10502211022		1	0.0%
10502211023		1	0.0%
10502211024		1	0.0%
10502211031		1	0.0%
10502211122		1	0.0%
10502211150		1	0.0%
10502211218		1	0.0%
10502211319		1	0.0%
10502211326		1	0.0%
10502211359		1	0.0%
10502211429		1	0.0%
10502211447		1	0.0%
10502211616		1	0.0%
10502211627		1	0.0%
10502211837		1	0.0%
10502211838		1	0.0%
10502211842		1	0.0%
10502211843		1	0.0%
10502211844		1	0.0%
10502211850		1	0.0%
10502211915		1	0.0%
10502211916		1	0.0%
10502211917		1	0.0%
10502212009		1	0.0%
10502212010		1	0.0%
10502212013		1	0.0%
10502220955		1	0.0%

File : TEDS2016E_panC

t08: Third contact-time

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10502221009		1	0.0%
10502221102		1	0.0%
10502221133		1	0.0%
10502221423		1	0.0%
10502221447		1	0.0%
10502221515		1	0.0%
10502221751		1	0.0%
10502221839		1	0.0%
10502221907		1	0.0%
10502221945		1	0.0%
10502221946		1	0.0%
10502222105		1	0.0%
10502231115		1	0.0%
10502231644		1	0.0%
10502231645		1	0.0%
10502231646		2	0.1%
10502231648		1	0.0%
10502231656		1	0.0%
10502231856		1	0.0%
10502241305		1	0.0%
10502241427		1	0.0%
10502241850		1	0.0%
10502251142		1	0.0%
10502261429		1	0.0%
10502262018		1	0.0%
10502262055		1	0.0%
10502262104		1	0.0%
10502262123		1	0.0%
10502271018		1	0.0%
10502271111		1	0.0%
10502271118		1	0.0%
10502271127		1	0.0%
10502271334		1	0.0%
10502271458		1	0.0%
10502271507		2	0.1%
10502271518		1	0.0%
10502271527		1	0.0%
10502271536		1	0.0%
10502271600		1	0.0%
10502271608		1	0.0%
10502271726		1	0.0%
10502271827		1	0.0%
10502272029		1	0.0%

File : TEDS2016E_panC

t08: Third contact-time

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10502272030		1	0.0%
10502272055		1	0.0%
10502281146		1	0.0%
10502281148		1	0.0%
10502281444		1	0.0%
10502281553		1	0.0%
10502281654		1	0.0%
10502281657		1	0.0%
10502281708		1	0.0%
10502281710		1	0.0%
10502281800		1	0.0%
10502281909		1	0.0%
10502281912		1	0.0%
10502281924		1	0.0%
10502281936		1	0.0%
10502282015		1	0.0%
10502282016		1	0.0%
10502282103		1	0.0%
10502282108		1	0.0%
10502282111		1	0.0%
10502282113		1	0.0%
10503011412		1	0.0%
10503012003		1	0.0%
10503021012		1	0.0%
10503021406		1	0.0%
10503021747		1	0.0%
10503021914		1	0.0%
10503021916		1	0.0%
10503021917		2	0.1%
10503021931		1	0.0%
10503021932		1	0.0%
10503021933		1	0.0%
10503041739		1	0.0%
10503041838		1	0.0%
10503042021		1	0.0%
10503042040		1	0.0%
10503042044		1	0.0%
10503042105		1	0.0%
10503042211		1	0.0%
10503051427		1	0.0%
10503051626		1	0.0%
10503051727		1	0.0%
10503051919		1	0.0%

File : TEDS2016E_panC

t08: Third contact-time

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10503061914		1	0.0%
10503061925		1	0.0%
10503062003		1	0.0%
10503081748		1	0.0%
10503082042		1	0.0%
10503101445		1	0.0%
10503111205		1	0.0%
10503112019		1	0.0%
10503122104		1	0.0%
10503131648		1	0.0%
10503131732		1	0.0%
10503151004		1	0.0%
10503192111		1	0.0%
10503192112		1	0.0%
10503211214		1	0.0%
10503261101		1	0.0%
10503261511		1	0.0%
99999999999	Skipped	1719	75.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

t09: Contact3

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Doorman	58	2.5%
2	Door phone	64	2.8%
3	Ring door bell or knock the door	177	7.7%
4	Go into the house after ask the household	134	5.8%
6	Contact on the telephone	82	3.6%
7	Ask neighbors, relatives or friends	28	1.2%
8	Ask the head of a neighborhood	0	
9	Communicate on the internet	0	
10	Communicate by letter or email	0	
11	Shout out at the door	26	1.1%
12	Visit R in jail	0	
89	R refuse the interview on the phone	5	0.2%
99	Skipped	1718	75.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

t10: Community of the housing unit

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Rural area	312	13.6%

File : TEDS2016E_panC

t10: Community of the housing unit

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Veteran community	49	2.1%
3	Citizen building	21	0.9%
4	Civil servant community	1	0.0%
5	Residence area	1478	64.5%
6	Mixed residence and business area	319	13.9%
7	Industry area	7	0.3%
9	Village in mountainous area	69	3.0%
10	Nursing home	0	
11	Fishing village	13	0.6%
12	Private house	0	
99	Skipped	23	1.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

t11: Appearance of the housing unit

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Apartment	382	16.7%
2	Building with elevator	376	16.4%
3	Townhouse	1173	51.2%
5	Metal-sheet house	28	1.2%
6	Single-story house	234	10.2%
7	Villa	16	0.7%
8	Factory	8	0.3%
9	Three-section compound	42	1.8%
11	Unable to locate the address	3	0.1%
12	Housing unit being torn down	3	0.1%
13	Ruins	1	0.0%
14	Temple, church or other religions buildings	2	0.1%
15	Jail	0	
16	Hospital	1	0.0%
99	Skipped	23	1.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

t12: I's ID

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 161103-1605028] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1491092.449 /-] [StdDev=384778.677 /-]

t13: Gender of I

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Male	1014	44.2%
2	Female	1278	55.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

File : TEDS2016E_panC

final_result: Last contact result

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-31] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Completion	1241	54.1%
2	No one can be reached in the household	196	8.6%
3	R is temporarily not at home	42	1.8%
4	Cannot take the interview right away	10	0.4%
5	Leave, after interview started	0	
6	Refusal by the R	292	12.7%
7	Break off, after interview started	9	0.4%
8	Refusal by the family	78	3.4%
9	R works, studies, or travels elsewhere	107	4.7%
10	R works, studies, or travels overseas	22	1.0%
11	R is registered in the household, but does not lives here	54	2.4%
12	R unavailable at the address	26	1.1%
13	R moves out	55	2.4%
14	Unable to locate the address	4	0.2%
15	Empty housing unit	4	0.2%
16	Unable to gain the access to the housing unit	29	1.3%
17	Renovation of the housing unit	5	0.2%
18	Refusal for unknown reasons	17	0.7%
19	R is physically or mentally unable to be interviewed	16	0.7%
20	Language problems	1	0.0%
21	R missing	4	0.2%
22	Emigration	1	0.0%
23	Serve in the military	3	0.1%
24	Get married and move out	11	0.5%
25	In jail	0	
26	Become a monk or nun	0	
27	Death	27	1.2%
28	Unfriendly circumstances	5	0.2%
29	R refuse the interview on the phone	22	1.0%
30	R refuse to sign interview agreement	8	0.3%
31	Fail due to standardized interview principle	3	0.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.



File : TEDS2016E_panQ

id: ID

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1030200700214-14630400501405] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=2913673197510.88 /-] [StdDev=1706479769262.99 /-]

sample: Sample















Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	TEDS2013 Independendcd	1051	 45.9%
2	TEDS2016 Panel	1241	 54.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

tau: Tau

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-29] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Tau 01	748	 32.6%
2	Tau 02	708	 30.9%
3	Tau 03	457	 19.9%
4	Tau 04	178	 7.8%
5	Tau 05	77	 3.4%
6	Tau 06	45	 2.0%
7	Tau 07	31	 1.4%
8	Tau 08	21	 0.9%
9	Tau 09	10	 0.4%
10	Tau 10	8	 0.3%
11	Tau 11	4	 0.2%
12	Tau 12	1	 0.0%
13	Tau 13	3	 0.1%
14	Tau 14	1	 0.0%
15	Tau 15	0	
16	Tau 16	0	
22	Tau 22	0	
23	Tau 23	0	
24	Tau 24	0	
25	Tau 25	0	
26	Tau 26	0	
27	Tau 27	0	
28	Tau 28	0	
29	Tau 29	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

city: City

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 2-67] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

File : TEDS2016E_panQ

city: City

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Yilan County	0	
3	Taoyuan County	155	<div></div> 6.8%
4	Hsinchu County	84	<div></div> 3.7%
5	Miaoli County	28	<div></div> 1.2%
7	Changhua County	96	<div></div> 4.2%
8	Nantou County	0	
9	Yunlin County	90	<div></div> 3.9%
10	Chiayi County	32	<div></div> 1.4%
13	Pingtung County	64	<div></div> 2.8%
14	Taitung County	32	<div></div> 1.4%
15	Hualien County	29	<div></div> 1.3%
16	Penghu County	0	
17	Keelung City	32	<div></div> 1.4%
18	Hsinchu City	0	
20	Chiayi City	32	<div></div> 1.4%
63	Taipei City	295	<div></div> 12.9%
64	Kaohsiung City	302	<div></div> 13.2%
65	New Taipei City	277	<div></div> 12.1%
66	Taichung City	449	<div></div> 19.6%
67	Tainan City	295	<div></div> 12.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

town: Town

Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 201-6737] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2292 +/-] [Invalid=0 +/-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
201	Yilan County Yilan City	0	
208	Yilan County Dongshan Township	0	
301	Taoyuan County Taoyuan City	0	
302	Taoyuan County Zhongli City	28	<div></div> 1.2%
303	Taoyuan County Daxi Township	33	<div></div> 1.4%
305	Taoyuan County Luzhu Township	0	
307	Taoyuan County Guishan Township	66	
309	Taoyuan County Longtan Township	0	
310	Taoyuan County Pingzhen City	28	<div></div> 1.2%
401	Hsinchu County Zhubei City	28	<div></div> 1.2%
402	Hsinchu County Zhudong Township	28	<div></div> 1.2%
406	Hsinchu County Xinfeng Township	28	<div></div> 1.2%
410	Hsinchu County Baoshan Township	0	
501	Miaoli County Miaoli City	0	
502	Miaoli County Yuanli Township	0	
504	Miaoli County Zhunan Township	0	
505	Miaoli County Toufen Township	0	

File : TEDS2016E_panQ

town: Town

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
507	Miaoli County Zhuolan Township	0	
508	Miaoli County Dahu Township	28	<div></div> 1.2%
513	Miaoli County Sanyi Township	0	
701	Changhua County Changhua City	33	<div></div> 1.4%
703	Changhua County Hemei Township	0	
705	Changhua County Shengang Township	0	
706	Changhua County Fuxing Township	0	
707	Changhua County Xiushui Township	0	
709	Changhua County Fenyuan Township	29	<div></div> 1.3%
715	Changhua County Puxin Township	0	
718	Changhua County Ershui Township	34	<div></div> 1.5%
725	Changhua County Zhutang Township	0	
726	Changhua County Xizhou Township	0	
802	Nantou County Puli Township	0	
803	Nantou County Caotun Township	0	
902	Yunlin County Dounan Township	0	
906	Yunlin County Beigang Township	29	<div></div> 1.3%
908	Yunlin County Dapi Township	0	
909	Yunlin County Citong Township	32	<div></div> 1.4%
911	Yunlin County Tianwei Village	0	
912	Yunlin County Lunbei Township	0	
920	Yunlin County Shuilin Township	29	<div></div> 1.3%
1002	Chiayi County Puzi City	0	
1005	Chiayi County Minxiong Township	32	<div></div> 1.4%
1009	Chiayi County Dongshi Township	0	
1012	Chiayi County Shuishang Township	0	
1301	Pingtung County Pingtung City	32	<div></div> 1.4%
1316	Pingtung County Fangliao Township	32	<div></div> 1.4%
1320	Pingtung County Nanzhou Township	0	
1321	Pingtung County Jiadong Township	0	
1401	Taitung County Taitung City	32	<div></div> 1.4%
1404	Taitung County Beinan Township	0	
1501	Hualien County Hualien City	0	
1505	Hualien County Ji'an Township	0	
1509	Hualien County Ruisui Township	29	<div></div> 1.3%
1706	Keelung City Anle District	32	<div></div> 1.4%
2001	Chiayi City East District	0	
2002	Chiayi City West District	32	<div></div> 1.4%
6301	Taipei City Songshan District	0	
6302	Taipei City Xinyi District	0	
6303	Taipei City Da'an District	98	<div></div> 4.3%
6304	Taipei City Zhongshan District	100	<div></div> 4.4%
6305	Taipei City Zhongzheng District	0	










File : TEDS2016E_panQ

town: Town

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6306	Taipei City Datong District	0	
6307	Taipei City Wanhua District	0	
6308	Taipei City Wenshan Disttrict	97	4.2%
6309	Taipei City Nangang District	0	
6310	Taipei City Neihs District	0	
6311	Taipei City Shilin District	0	
6312	Taipei City Beitou District	0	
6403	Kaohsiung City Zuoying District	0	
6404	Kaohsiung City Nanzi District	43	1.9%
6405	Kaohsiung City Sanmin District	41	1.8%
6406	Kaohsiung City Xinxing District	0	
6408	Kaohsiung City Lingya District	40	1.7%
6409	Kaohsiung City Qianzhen District	0	
6411	Kaohsiung City Xiaogang District	0	
6412	Kaohsiung City Fengshan District	46	2.0%
6413	Kaohsiung City Linyuan District	0	
6414	Kaohsiung City Daliao District	0	
6416	Kaohsiung City Dashe District	33	1.4%
6417	Kaohsiung City Renwu District	0	
6421	Kaohsiung City Yanchao District	0	
6424	Kaohsiung City Luzhu District	33	1.4%
6430	Kaohsiung City Qishan District	35	1.5%
6431	Kaohsiung City Meinong District	31	1.4%
6433	Kaohsiung City Jiashian District	0	
6501	New Taipei City Banqiao District	109	4.8%
6502	New Taipei City Sanchong District	0	
6503	New Taipei City Zhonghe District	0	
6504	New Taipei City Yonghe District	0	
6506	New Taipei City Xindian District	0	
6511	New Taipei City Xizhi District	0	
6512	New Taipei City Ruifang District	32	1.4%
6513	New Taipei City Tucheng District	0	
6514	New Taipei City Luzhou District	0	
6515	New Taipei City Wugu District	68	3.0%
6517	New Taipei City Linkou District	40	1.7%
6520	New Taipei City Pinglin District	28	1.2%
6526	New Taipei City Gongliao District	0	
6527	New Taipei City Jinshan District	0	
6602	Taichung City East District	0	
6603	Taichung City South District	0	
6604	Taichung City West District	101	4.4%
6605	Taichung City North District	97	4.2%
6606	Taichung City Xitun District	40	1.7%

File : TEDS2016E_panQ

town: Town

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6607	Taichung City Nantun District	41	 1.8%
6608	Taichung City Beitun District	40	 1.7%
6613	Taichung City Shalu District	0	
6615	Taichung City Houli District	0	
6617	Taichung City Tanzi District	0	
6618	Taichung City Daya District	0	
6621	Taichung City Waipu District	64	 2.8%
6623	Taichung City Wuri District	66	 2.9%
6625	Taichung City Longjing District	0	
6701	Tainan City Xinying District	0	
6703	Tainan City Baihe District	0	
6706	Tainan City Dongshan Dist	33	 1.4%
6707	Tainan City Madou District	0	
6709	Tainan City Liujia District	0	
6712	Tainan City Jiali District	35	 1.5%
6713	Tainan City Xuejia District	0	
6719	Tainan City Shanhua District	45	 2.0%
6720	Tainan City Xinshi District	0	
6723	Tainan City Yujing District	0	
6731	Tainan City Yongkang District	73	 3.2%
6732	Tainan City East District	0	
6733	Tainan City South District	40	 1.7%
6734	Tainan City North District	0	
6735	Tainan City Annan District	69	 3.0%
6736	Tainan City Anping District	0	
6737	Tainan City West Central Distric	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

village: Village

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 302007-6735032] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
302007	Taoyuan County Zhongli City Guangming Village	14	<div></div> 0.6%
302031	Taoyuan County Zhongli City Shuiwei Village	14	<div></div> 0.6%
303012	Taoyuan County Daxi Township Yihe Village	16	<div></div> 0.7%
303018	Taoyuan County Daxi Township Ren'ai Village	17	<div></div> 0.7%
307004	Taoyuan County Daxi Township Datong Village	16	<div></div> 0.7%
307007	Taoyuan County Daxi Township Luguang Village	16	<div></div> 0.7%
307017	Taoyuan County Daxi Township Longshou Village	17	<div></div> 0.7%
307022	Taoyuan County Daxi Township Xingfu Village	17	<div></div> 0.7%
310026	Taoyuan County Pingzhen City Xin'an Village	14	<div></div> 0.6%
310046	Taoyuan County Pingzhen City Pingnan Village	14	<div></div> 0.6%
401004	Hsinchu County Zhubei City Zhongxing Village	14	<div></div> 0.6%
401008	Hsinchu County Zhubei City Zhuren Village	14	<div></div> 0.6%

File : TEDS2016E_panQ

village: Village

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
402016	Hsinchu County Zhudong Township Touchong Village	14	0.6%
402019	Hsinchu County Zhudong Township Erchong Village	14	0.6%
406004	Hsinchu County Xinfeng Township Puhe Village	14	0.6%
406008	Hsinchu County Xinfeng Township Chongxing Village	14	0.6%
508003	Miaoli County Dahu Township Jinghu Village	14	0.6%
508012	Miaoli County Dahu Township Xinkai Village	14	0.6%
701024	Changhua County Changhua City Wuquan Village	16	0.7%
701070	Changhua County Changhua City Kuaiguan Village	17	0.7%
709001	Changhua County Fenyuan Township Jiushe Village	14	0.6%
709008	Changhua County Fenyuan Township Xianzhuang Village	15	0.7%
718010	Changhua County Ershui Township Huimin Village	16	0.7%
718014	Changhua County Ershui Township Yuanquan Village	18	0.8%
906005	Yunlin County Beigang Township Zhonghe Village	14	0.6%
906015	Yunlin County Beigang Township Guangfu Village	15	0.7%
909007	Yunlin County Citong Township Damei Village	16	0.7%
909012	Yunlin County Citong Township Mayuan Village	16	0.7%
920002	Yunlin County Shuilin Township Shuinan Village	14	0.6%
920011	Yunlin County Shuilin Township Wanxing Village	15	0.7%
1005003	Chiayi County Minxiong Township Xi'an Village	16	0.7%
1005006	Chiayi County Minxiong Township Donghu Village	16	0.7%
1301055	Pingtung County Pingtung City Qingxi Village	16	0.7%
1301073	Pingtung County Pingtung City Chonglan Village	16	0.7%
1316009	Pingtung County Fangliao Township Renhe Village	17	0.7%
1316010	Pingtung County Fangliao Township Neiliau Village	15	0.7%
1401008	Taitung County Taitung City Minsheng Village	16	0.7%
1401045	Taitung County Taitung City Zhiben Village	16	0.7%
1509001	Hualien County Ruisui Township Ruisui Village	14	0.6%
1509007	Hualien County Ruisui Township Hegang Village	15	0.7%
1706008	Keelung City Anle District Jiaren Village	16	0.7%
1706016	Keelung City Anle District Siwei Village	16	0.7%
2002005	Chiayi City West District Chongxing Village	16	0.7%
2002069	Chiayi City West District Bausheng Village	16	0.7%
6303004	Taipei City Da'an District Minzhao Village	16	0.7%
6303007	Taipei City Da'an District Minhui Village	17	0.7%
6303013	Taipei City Da'an District Gufeng Village	16	0.7%
6303017	Taipei City Da'an District Fuzhu Village	16	0.7%
6303031	Taipei City Da'an District Tonghua Village	17	0.7%
6303049	Taipei City Da'an District Jian'an Village	16	0.7%
6304005	Taipei City Zhongshan District Kangle Village	16	0.7%
6304007	Taipei City Zhongshan District Jusheng Village	16	0.7%
6304018	Taipei City Zhongshan District Xinxi Village	20	0.9%
6304021	Taipei City Zhongshan District Songjiang Village	16	0.7%
6304024	Taipei City Zhongshan District Xingzheng Village	16	0.7%

File : TEDS2016E_panQ

village: Village

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6304031	Taipei City Zhongshan District Zhongyuan Village	16	0.7%
6308005	Taipei City Wenshan District Jingren Village	16	0.7%
6308015	Taipei City Wenshan District Xingjia Village	16	0.7%
6308021	Taipei City Wenshan District Xingtai Village	16	0.7%
6308027	Taipei City Wenshan District Muzha Village	17	0.7%
6308032	Taipei City Wenshan District Zhangjiao Village	16	0.7%
6308041	Taipei City Wenshan District Zhangwen Village	16	0.7%
6404005	Kaohsiung City Nanzi District Zhongyang Village	22	1.0%
6404022	Kaohsiung City Nanzi District Shengchang Village	21	0.9%
6405005	Kaohsiung City Sanmin District Dingxi Village	20	0.9%
6405053	Kaohsiung City Sanmin District Dezhi Village	21	0.9%
6408022	Kaohsiung City Lingya District Putian Village	20	0.9%
6408052	Kaohsiung City Lingya District Fukang Village	20	0.9%
6412018	Kaohsiung City Fengshan District Ruizhu Village	26	1.1%
6412063	Kaohsiung City Fengshan District Guofu Village	20	0.9%
6416006	Kaohsiung City Dashe District Guanyin Village	16	0.7%
6416008	Kaohsiung City Dashe District Zhongli Village	17	0.7%
6424014	Kaohsiung City Luzhu District Wennan Village	16	0.7%
6424020	Kaohsiung City Luzhu District Shenan Village	17	0.7%
6430002	Kaohsiung City Qishan District Dade Village	19	0.8%
6430003	Kaohsiung City Qishan District Meizhou Village	16	0.7%
6431010	Kaohsiung City Meinong District Xinglong Village	16	0.7%
6431016	Kaohsiung City Meinong District Jiyang Village	15	0.7%
6501014	New Taipei City Banqiao District Guoguang Village	16	0.7%
6501020	New Taipei City Banqiao District Hansheng Village	17	0.7%
6501045	New Taipei City Banqiao District Lancui Village	16	0.7%
6501062	New Taipei City Banqiao District Yong'an Village	18	0.8%
6501065	New Taipei City Banqiao District Shenqiu Village	20	0.9%
6501096	New Taipei City Banqiao District Ju'an Village	22	1.0%
6512029	New Taipei City Ruifang District Haibin Village	16	0.7%
6512034	New Taipei City Ruifang District Xinfeng Village	16	0.7%
6515003	New Taipei City Wugu District Jifu Village	17	0.7%
6515005	New Taipei City Wugu District Chengzhou Village	19	0.8%
6515010	New Taipei City Wugu District Deyin Village	16	0.7%
6515014	New Taipei City Wugu District Chengde Village	16	0.7%
6517006	New Taipei City Linkou District Hubei Village	20	0.9%
6517016	New Taipei City Linkou District Lilin Village	20	0.9%
6520003	New Taipei City Pinglin District Dalin Village	14	0.6%
6520006	New Taipei City Pinglin District Shuide Village	14	0.6%
6604002	Taichung City West District Limin Village	16	0.7%
6604007	Taichung City West District Gongmin Village	16	0.7%
6604008	Taichung City West District Lanxing Village	16	0.7%
6604009	Taichung City West District Gongguan Village	20	0.9%

File : TEDS2016E_panQ

village: Village

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6604015	Taichung City West District Shengping Village	16	0.7%
6604020	Taichung City West District Gongyi Village	17	0.7%
6605013	Taichung City North District Jianxing Village	17	0.7%
6605018	Taichung City North District Dangou Village	16	0.7%
6605025	Taichung City North District Laixing Village	16	0.7%
6605027	Taichung City North District Liuhe Village	16	0.7%
6605035	Taichung City North District Meichuan Village	16	0.7%
6605036	Taichung City North District Laifu Village	16	0.7%
6606016	Taichung City Xitun District Guangfu Village	20	0.9%
6606031	Taichung City Xitun District Hecheng Village	20	0.9%
6607016	Taichung City Nantun District Wenxin Village	21	0.9%
6607025	Taichung City Nantun District Sanyi Village	20	0.9%
6608018	Taichung City Beitun District Renmei Village	20	0.9%
6608042	Taichung City Beitun District Zhongping Village	20	0.9%
6621001	Taichung City Waipu District Yongfeng Village	16	0.7%
6621003	Taichung City Waipu District Sankan Village	16	0.7%
6621005	Taichung City Waipu District Shuimei Village	16	0.7%
6621006	Taichung City Waipu District Dadong Village	16	0.7%
6623002	Taichung City Wuri District Huri Village	16	0.7%
6623006	Taichung City Wuri District Jiude Village	16	0.7%
6623007	Taichung City Wuri District Rende Village	17	0.7%
6623009	Taichung City Wuri District Wuguang Village	17	0.7%
6706002	Tainan City Dongshan Dist Dongzhong Village	16	0.7%
6706006	Tainan City Dongshan Dist Keli Village	17	0.7%
6712004	Tainan City Jiali District Jiannan Village	16	0.7%
6712019	Tainan City Jiali District Min'an Village	19	0.8%
6719006	Tainan City Shanhua District Xiguan Village	24	1.0%
6719012	Tainan City Shanhua District Ximei Village	21	0.9%
6731002	Tainan City Yongkang District Wangliau Village	22	1.0%
6731030	Tainan City Yongkang District Erwang Village	17	0.7%
6731032	Tainan City Yongkang District Sanhe Village	18	0.8%
6731037	Tainan City Yongkang District Fuhua Village	16	0.7%
6733007	Tainan City South District Xinxing Village	20	0.9%
6733035	Tainan City South District Wennan Village	20	0.9%
6735002	Tainan City Annan District Anshun Village	16	0.7%
6735006	Tainan City Annan District Andong Village	17	0.7%
6735015	Tainan City Annan District Haidong Village	20	0.9%
6735032	Tainan City Annan District Qingcao Village	16	0.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

a1: Which TV news did you watch most often?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

File : TEDS2016E_panQ

a1: Which TV news did you watch most often?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Taiwan Television	70	3.1%
2	China Television	156	6.8%
3	Chinese Television	49	2.1%
4	Formosa Television	408	17.8%
5	TVBS	460	20.1%
6	Sanlih E-Television	248	10.8%
7	Eastern Television	229	10.0%
8	CTi Television	198	8.6%
9	Era Television	87	3.8%
10	Gala Television	8	0.3%
11	Unique Satellite Television	48	2.1%
12	Da-Ai Television	63	2.7%
13	Taiwan Public Television	50	2.2%
15	Hakka Television	2	0.1%
16	Taiwan Indigenous Television	2	0.1%
17	Local News Television Channel	5	0.2%
18	Next TV	38	1.7%
19	CNN	3	0.1%
20	NHK	1	0.0%
21	WTV	1	0.0%
90	Other television channel	0	
92	Never	111	4.8%
95	Refuse to answer	1	0.0%
96	It's hard to say	32	1.4%
98	Don't know	22	1.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

a2: Which newspaper did you read most often?

Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	China Times	160	<div><div></div></div> 7.0%
2	United Daily News	309	<div><div></div></div> 13.5%
4	Commercial Times	12	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
5	The Commons Daily	4	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
7	Economic Daily News	33	<div><div></div></div> 1.4%
8	Youth Daily News	0	
9	Taiwan Times	12	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
10	Liberty Times	491	<div><div></div></div> 21.4%
11	United Evening News	22	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%
13	Merit Times	13	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
14	China Daily	22	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%
16	Taiwan News	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%

File : TEDS2016E_panQ

a2: Which newspaper did you read most often?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
17	Apple Daily	573	25.0%
18	Want Daily	0	
19	Sharp Daily	1	0.0%
20	Christian Tribune	1	0.0%
21	Epoch Times	3	0.1%
22	Min Sheng Ri Bao	1	0.0%
23	Keng Sheng Daily News	2	0.1%
90	other newspaper	0	
92	Don't read any newspaper	616	26.9%
95	Refuse to answer	1	0.0%
96	It's hard to say	1	0.0%
98	Don't know	13	0.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

a3: With what kind of media do you often obtain political news?

Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	TV	1437	<div></div> 62.7%
2	Newspaper	181	<div></div> 7.9%
3	Radio	62	<div></div> 2.7%
4	Internet	493	<div></div> 21.5%
90	Others	0	
92	Don't use	77	<div></div> 3.4%
95	Refuse to answer	5	<div></div> 0.2%
96	It depends	3	<div></div> 0.1%
98	Don't know	34	<div></div> 1.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

a4: On average, how many days do you spend every week on the Internet? (With PC or mobile phone) (Including all the time on the Internet)

Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Don't use [Skip to A7]	838	<div></div> 36.6%
1	1 day	66	<div></div> 2.9%
2	2 days	63	<div></div> 2.7%
3	3 days	50	<div></div> 2.2%
4	4 days	32	<div></div> 1.4%
5	5 days	80	<div></div> 3.5%
6	6 days	33	<div></div> 1.4%
7	every day	1106	<div></div> 48.3%
90	Others	0	
95	Refuse to answer [Skip to A7]	0	

File : TEDS2016E_panQ

a4: On average, how many days do you spend every week on the Internet? (With PC or mobile phone) (Including all the time on the Internet)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
96	It's hard to say	22	1.0%
98	Don't know [Skip to A7]	2	0.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

a4a: On average, how much time do you spend on the Internet every day?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1 hour	312	13.6%
2	2 hours	270	11.8%
3	3 hours	230	10.0%
4	4 hours	132	5.8%
5	5 hours	108	4.7%
6	6 hours	77	3.4%
7	7 hours	23	1.0%
8	8 hours	92	4.0%
9	9 hours	14	0.6%
10	10 hours	70	3.1%
11	11 hours	2	0.1%
12	12 hours	46	2.0%
13	13 hours	4	0.2%
14	14 hours	6	0.3%
15	15 hours	6	0.3%
16	16 hours	8	0.3%
17	17 hours	2	0.1%
18	18 hours	0	
19	19 hours	1	0.0%
20	20 hours	3	0.1%
21	21 hours	0	
22	22 hours	0	
23	23 hours	0	
24	24 hours	7	0.3%
90	Others	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
96	It depends	39	1.7%
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skip	840	36.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

a4b: Except working and learning time, how much time do you spend every day doing other things on the Internet? (Other things: entertainment, leisure or games, etc.)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

File : TEDS2016E_panQ

a4b: Except working and learning time, how much time do you spend every day doing other things on the Internet?
(Other things: entertainment, leisure or games, etc.)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	none	148	6.5%
1	1 hour	516	22.5%
2	2 hours	330	14.4%
3	3 hours	167	7.3%
4	4 hours	84	3.7%
5	5 hours	51	2.2%
6	6 hours	31	1.4%
7	7 hours	6	0.3%
8	8 hours	19	0.8%
9	9 hours	1	0.0%
10	10 hours	12	0.5%
11	11 hours	0	
12	12 hours	4	0.2%
13	13 hours	0	
14	14 hours	0	
15	15 hours	1	0.0%
16	16 hours	1	0.0%
17	17 hours	0	
18	18 hours	0	
19	19 hours	0	
20	20 hours	0	
21	21 hours	0	
22	22 hours	0	
23	23 hours	0	
24	24 hours	0	
90	Others	0	
95	Refuse to answer	2	0.1%
96	It depends	76	3.3%
98	Don't know	3	0.1%
99	Skip	840	36.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

a5_1: What is your main purpose of using internet?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Send and receive e-mail	716	31.2%
2	Browse political news	68	3.0%
3	Browse other (non-political) news	141	6.2%
4	Collect or download information	95	4.1%
5	Browse or edit blog (e.g., Wretch.cc or Pixnet)	15	0.7%
6	Shopping on the Internet	71	3.1%
7	Online games	78	3.4%

File : TEDS2016E_panQ

a5_1: What is your main purpose of using internet?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8	Communicate on the Internet (e.g., Line or Skype)	37	1.6%
9	Share information on internet platforms (e.g., BBS or intern	21	0.9%
10	Watch video channel (e.g., YouTube, online video or Hichanne	71	3.1%
11	Social network (e.g., Facebook, Plurk or Twitter)	121	5.3%
12	Online investing	0	
13	Official documents	0	
95	Refuse to answer	2	0.1%
96	It's hard to say	16	0.7%
97	No opinion	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	skip	840	36.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

a5_2: What is your main purpose of using internet?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Send and receive e-mail	57	2.5%
2	Browse political news	217	9.5%
3	Browse other (non-political) news	280	12.2%
4	Collect or download information	173	7.5%
5	Browse or edit blog (e.g., Wretch.cc or Pixnet)	38	1.7%
6	Shopping on the Internet	120	5.2%
7	Online games	65	2.8%
8	Communicate on the Internet (e.g., Line or Skype)	75	3.3%
9	Share information on internet platforms (e.g., BBS or intern	20	0.9%
10	Watch video channel (e.g., YouTube, online video or Hichanne	101	4.4%
11	Social network (e.g., Facebook, Plurk or Twitter)	118	5.1%
12	Online investing	1	0.0%
13	Official documents	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
96	It's hard to say	0	
97	No opinion	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	skip	1027	44.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

a5_3: What is your main purpose of using internet?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Send and receive e-mail	30	1.3%
2	Browse political news	45	2.0%
3	Browse other (non-political) news	219	9.6%

File : TEDS2016E_panQ

a5_3: What is your main purpose of using internet?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Collect or download information	155	6.8%
5	Browse or edit blog (e.g., Wretch.cc or Pixnet)	56	2.4%
6	Shopping on the Internet	91	4.0%
7	Online games	54	2.4%
8	Communicate on the Internet (e.g., Line or Skype)	108	4.7%
9	Share information on internet platforms (e.g., BBS or intern	33	1.4%
10	Watch video channel (e.g., YouTube, online video or Hichanne	94	4.1%
11	Social network (e.g., Facebook, Plurk or Twitter)	113	4.9%
12	Online investing	2	0.1%
13	Official documents	1	0.0%
95	Refuse to answer	0	
96	It's hard to say	0	
97	No opinion	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	skip	1291	56.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

a5_4: What is your main purpose of using internet?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Send and receive e-mail	18	0.8%
2	Browse political news	19	0.8%
3	Browse other (non-political) news	27	1.2%
4	Collect or download information	141	6.2%
5	Browse or edit blog (e.g., Wretch.cc or Pixnet)	59	2.6%
6	Shopping on the Internet	59	2.6%
7	Online games	44	1.9%
8	Communicate on the Internet (e.g., Line or Skype)	89	3.9%
9	Share information on internet platforms (e.g., BBS or intern	28	1.2%
10	Watch video channel (e.g., YouTube, online video or Hichanne	107	4.7%
11	Social network (e.g., Facebook, Plurk or Twitter)	135	5.9%
12	Online investing	0	
13	Official documents	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
96	It's hard to say	0	
97	No opinion	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	skip	1566	68.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

a5_5: What is your main purpose of using internet?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

File : TEDS2016E_panQ

a5_5: What is your main purpose of using internet?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Send and receive e-mail	4	0.2%
2	Browse political news	14	0.6%
3	Browse other (non-political) news	9	0.4%
4	Collect or download information	10	0.4%
5	Browse or edit blog (e.g., Wretch.cc or Pixnet)	68	3.0%
6	Shopping on the Internet	61	2.7%
7	Online games	26	1.1%
8	Communicate on the Internet (e.g., Line or Skype)	64	2.8%
9	Share information on internet platforms (e.g., BBS or intern	33	1.4%
10	Watch video channel (e.g., YouTube, online video or Hichanne	86	3.8%
11	Social network (e.g., Facebook, Plurk or Twitter)	91	4.0%
12	Online investing	0	
13	Official documents	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
96	It's hard to say	0	
97	No opinion	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	skip	1826	79.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

a5_6: What is your main purpose of using internet?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Send and receive e-mail	4	0.2%
2	Browse political news	3	0.1%
3	Browse other (non-political) news	9	0.4%
4	Collect or download information	9	0.4%
5	Browse or edit blog (e.g., Wretch.cc or Pixnet)	7	0.3%
6	Shopping on the Internet	45	2.0%
7	Online games	17	0.7%
8	Communicate on the Internet (e.g., Line or Skype)	62	2.7%
9	Share information on internet platforms (e.g., BBS or intern	26	1.1%
10	Watch video channel (e.g., YouTube, online video or Hichanne	47	2.1%
11	Social network (e.g., Facebook, Plurk or Twitter)	72	3.1%
12	Online investing	0	
13	Official documents	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
96	It's hard to say	0	
97	No opinion	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	skip	1991	86.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

File : TEDS2016E_panQ

a5_7: What is your main purpose of using internet?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Send and receive e-mail	1	0.0%
2	Browse political news	3	0.1%
3	Browse other (non-political) news	3	0.1%
4	Collect or download information	3	0.1%
5	Browse or edit blog (e.g., Wretch.cc or Pixnet)	6	0.3%
6	Shopping on the Internet	5	0.2%
7	Online games	15	0.7%
8	Communicate on the Internet (e.g., Line or Skype)	44	1.9%
9	Share information on internet platforms (e.g., BBS or intern	27	1.2%
10	Watch video channel (e.g., YouTube, online video or Hichanne	37	1.6%
11	Social network (e.g., Facebook, Plurk or Twitter)	42	1.8%
12	Online investing	0	
13	Official documents	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
96	It's hard to say	0	
97	No opinion	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	skip	2106	91.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

a5_8: What is your main purpose of using internet?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Send and receive e-mail	0	
2	Browse political news	1	0.0%
3	Browse other (non-political) news	2	0.1%
4	Collect or download information	0	
5	Browse or edit blog (e.g., Wretch.cc or Pixnet)	4	0.2%
6	Shopping on the Internet	1	0.0%
7	Online games	2	0.1%
8	Communicate on the Internet (e.g., Line or Skype)	14	0.6%
9	Share information on internet platforms (e.g., BBS or intern	25	1.1%
10	Watch video channel (e.g., YouTube, online video or Hichanne	33	1.4%
11	Social network (e.g., Facebook, Plurk or Twitter)	34	1.5%
12	Online investing	0	
13	Official documents	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
96	It's hard to say	0	
97	No opinion	0	
98	Don't know	0	

File : TEDS2016E_panQ

a5_8: What is your main purpose of using internet?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
99	skip	2176	94.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

a5_9: What is your main purpose of using internet?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Send and receive e-mail	0	
2	Browse political news	0	
3	Browse other (non-political) news	0	
4	Collect or download information	3	0.1%
5	Browse or edit blog (e.g., Wretch.cc or Pixnet)	1	0.0%
6	Shopping on the Internet	0	
7	Online games	0	
8	Communicate on the Internet (e.g., Line or Skype)	0	
9	Share information on internet platforms (e.g., BBS or intern	12	0.5%
10	Watch video channel (e.g., YouTube, online video or Hichanne	28	1.2%
11	Social network (e.g., Facebook, Plurk or Twitter)	33	1.4%
12	Online investing	0	
13	Official documents	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
96	It's hard to say	0	
97	No opinion	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	skip	2215	96.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

a5_10: What is your main purpose of using internet?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Send and receive e-mail	0	
2	Browse political news	0	
3	Browse other (non-political) news	0	
4	Collect or download information	0	
5	Browse or edit blog (e.g., Wretch.cc or Pixnet)	2	0.1%
6	Shopping on the Internet	0	
7	Online games	0	
8	Communicate on the Internet (e.g., Line or Skype)	2	0.1%
9	Share information on internet platforms (e.g., BBS or intern	6	0.3%
10	Watch video channel (e.g., YouTube, online video or Hichanne	12	0.5%
11	Social network (e.g., Facebook, Plurk or Twitter)	18	0.8%
12	Online investing	0	
13	Official documents	0	










File : TEDS2016E_panQ

a5_10: What is your main purpose of using internet?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
95	Refuse to answer	0	
96	It's hard to say	0	
97	No opinion	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	skip	2252	 98.3%









Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

a6: Considering all the websites you browse, how many of them are governmental institutions'?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Almost all of them	17	 0.7%
2	Most of them	33	 1.4%
3	Half of them	97	 4.2%
4	Some of them	397	 17.3%
5	Almost none of them	901	 39.3%
90	Others	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
96	It's hard to say	5	 0.2%
97	No opinion	1	 0.0%
98	Don't know	1	 0.0%
99	Skip	840	 36.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

a7: More generally, would you say that you are very, somewhat, not very or not at all interested in politics?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all interested	482	 21.0%
2	Much little interested	321	 14.0%
3	Not very much interested	803	 35.0%
4	Somewhat interested	554	 24.2%
5	Very interested	113	 4.9%
90	Others	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
96	It's hard to say	10	 0.4%
97	No opinion	4	 0.2%
98	Don't know	5	 0.2%
99	skip	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

b1: Concerning Ma Ying-jeou's overall performance during his presidency, are you very satisfied, somewhat satisfied, somewhat dissatisfied, or very dissatisfied?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		

File : TEDS2016E_panQ

b1: Concerning Ma Ying-jeou's overall performance during his presidency, are you very satisfied, somewhat satisfied, somewhat dissatisfied, or very dissatisfied?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	34	1.5%
2	Somewhat satisfied	496	21.6%
3	Somewhat dissatisfied	954	41.6%
4	Very dissatisfied	687	30.0%
90	Others	0	
95	Refuse to answer	9	0.4%
96	It depends	12	0.5%
97	No opinion	68	3.0%
98	Don't know	32	1.4%
99	skip	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

b2: Are you satisfied with Ma Ying-jeou's performance of Cross-Strait relations?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	71	3.1%
2	Somewhat satisfied	703	30.7%
3	Somewhat dissatisfied	758	33.1%
4	Very dissatisfied	447	19.5%
90	Others	0	
95	Refuse to answer	12	0.5%
96	It depends	32	1.4%
97	No opinion	114	5.0%
98	Don't know	155	6.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

b3: Are you satisfied with Ma Ying-jeou's performance of economic development?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	32	1.4%
2	Somewhat satisfied	374	16.3%
3	Somewhat dissatisfied	1034	45.1%
4	Very dissatisfied	684	29.8%
90	Others	0	
95	Refuse to answer	6	0.3%
96	It depends	30	1.3%
97	No opinion	65	2.8%
98	Don't know	67	2.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

b4: Are you satisfied with Ma Ying-jeou's performance of national sovereignty?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
-------------	---

File : TEDS2016E_panQ

b4: Are you satisfied with Ma Ying-jeou's performance of national sovereignty?

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	42	1.8%
2	Somewhat satisfied	547	23.9%
3	Somewhat dissatisfied	854	37.3%
4	Very dissatisfied	611	26.7%
90	Others	0	
95	Refuse to answer	12	0.5%
96	It depends	26	1.1%
97	No opinion	84	3.7%
98	Don't know	116	5.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

c1: On a scale from 0 to 10, where 0 represents very bad and 10 represents very good, how would you rate the Executive Yuan's overall performance?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Very bad	208	9.1%
1		109	4.8%
2		178	7.8%
3		312	13.6%
4		291	12.7%
5		610	26.6%
6		202	8.8%
7		94	4.1%
8		64	2.8%
9		4	0.2%
10	Very good	26	1.1%
95	Refuse to answer	10	0.4%
98	Don't know	184	8.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

c2: On a scale from 0 to 10, where 0 represents very bad and 10 represents very good, how would you rate the Legislative Yuan's overall performance?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Very bad	250	10.9%
1		126	5.5%
2		220	9.6%
3		377	16.4%
4		277	12.1%
5		548	23.9%
6		166	7.2%

File : TEDS2016E_panQ

c2: On a scale from 0 to 10, where 0 represents very bad and 10 represents very good, how would you rate the Legislative Yuan's overall performance?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7		65	2.8%
8		44	1.9%
9		6	0.3%
10	Very good	17	0.7%
95	Refuse to answer	11	0.5%
98	Don't know	185	8.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

c3: On a scale from 0 to 10, where 0 means that you feel very hostile towards this person, and 10 means that you feel very favorable towards this person, how would you rate MA Ying-jeou?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Very hostile	357	15.6%
1		150	6.5%
2		197	8.6%
3		309	13.5%
4		229	10.0%
5		466	20.3%
6		199	8.7%
7		124	5.4%
8		104	4.5%
9		27	1.2%
10	Very favorable	35	1.5%
95	Refuse to answer	13	0.6%
98	Don't know	82	3.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

d1: Some people say: "People like me don't have any say about what the government does."

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	169	7.4%
2	Agree	673	29.4%
3	Disagree	1158	50.5%
4	Strongly disagree	148	6.5%
90	Others	0	
95	Refuse to answer	14	0.6%
96	It depends	22	1.0%
97	No opinion	31	1.4%
98	Don't know	77	3.4%









Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

File : TEDS2016E_panQ

d2: Some people say: “Public officials do not care much about what people like me think.”

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]









Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	286	 12.5%
2	Agree	992	 43.3%
3	Disagree	804	 35.1%
4	Strongly disagree	53	 2.3%
90	Others	0	
95	Refuse to answer	7	 0.3%
96	It depends	52	 2.3%
97	No opinion	28	 1.2%
98	Don't know	70	 3.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

d3: Some people say: “Sometimes politics seems so complicated that a person like me cannot really understand what is going on.”

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]









Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	343	 15.0%
2	Agree	1317	 57.5%
3	Disagree	483	 21.1%
4	Strongly disagree	55	 2.4%
90	Others	0	
95	Refuse to answer	5	 0.2%
96	It depends	13	 0.6%
97	No opinion	18	 0.8%
98	Don't know	58	 2.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

e1: Some people say: “Most decisions made by the government are correct.”

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	22	 1.0%
2	Agree	297	 13.0%
3	Disagree	1496	 65.3%
4	Strongly disagree	332	 14.5%
90	Others	0	
95	Refuse to answer	8	 0.3%
96	It depends	49	 2.1%
97	No opinion	22	 1.0%
98	Don't know	66	 2.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

File : TEDS2016E_panQ

e2: Some people say: “Government officials often waste a lot of money we pay in taxes.”

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	745	32.5%
2	Agree	1150	50.2%
3	Disagree	246	10.7%
4	Strongly disagree	26	1.1%
90	Others	0	
95	Refuse to answer	5	0.2%
96	It depends	41	1.8%
97	No opinion	16	0.7%
98	Don't know	63	2.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

e3: When the government decides important policies, do you think “public welfare” is its first priority?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Often	114	5.0%
2	Sometimes	760	33.2%
3	Seldom	969	42.3%
4	Never	318	13.9%
90	Others	0	
95	Refuse to answer	5	0.2%
96	It depends	22	1.0%
97	No opinion	21	0.9%
98	Don't know	83	3.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

e4: Do you believe what government officials say on TV or in newspapers?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly believe	37	1.6%
2	Believe	603	26.3%
3	Don't believe	1147	50.0%
4	Not believe at all	387	16.9%
90	Others	0	
95	Refuse to answer	3	0.1%
96	It depends	42	1.8%
97	No opinion	18	0.8%
98	Don't know	55	2.4%





Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

File : TEDS2016E_panQ

f1: Would you say that over the past year, the state of the economy of Taiwan has gotten better, stayed about the same, or gotten worse?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]







Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Better	167	 7.3%
2	Worse	1153	 50.3%
3	About the same	914	 39.9%
90	Others	0	
95	Refuse to answer	1	0.0%
96	It depends	5	0.2%
97	No opinion	9	0.4%
98	Don't know	43	 1.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

f2: Would you say that in the forthcoming year, the state of the economy of Taiwan will get better, stay about the same, or get worse?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]





Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Better	178	 7.8%
2	Worse	804	 35.1%
3	About the same	1062	 46.3%
90	Others	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
96	It depends	57	 2.5%
97	No opinion	15	 0.7%
98	Don't know	176	 7.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

f3: Would you say that over the past year, your own household's economic condition has gotten better, stayed about the same, or gotten worse?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Better	140	 6.1%
2	Worse	752	 32.8%
3	About the same	1364	 59.5%
90	Others	0	
95	Refuse to answer	3	0.1%
96	It depends	3	0.1%
97	No opinion	6	0.3%
98	Don't know	24	 1.0%








Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

File : TEDS2016E_panQ

f4: Would you say that in the forthcoming year, your own household's economic condition will get better, stay about the same, or get worse?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]








Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Better	286	 12.5%
2	Worse	477	 20.8%
3	About the same	1326	 57.9%
90	Others	0	
95	Refuse to answer	5	 0.2%
96	It depends	71	 3.1%
97	No opinion	11	 0.5%
98	Don't know	116	 5.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

f5: After signing the cross-Strait “Economic Cooperation Framework Agreement”(ECFA), do you think Taiwan's economy has gotten better, worse or is about the same?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]








Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Better	366	 16.0%
2	Worse	485	 21.2%
3	About the same	996	 43.5%
90	Others	0	
95	Refuse to answer	1	 0.0%
96	It's hard to say	63	 2.7%
97	No opinion	29	 1.3%
98	Don't know	352	 15.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

f6: Thinking about your own economic situation, do you think it has gotten better or gotten worse as a result of ECFA, or stayed about the same?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Better	46	 2.0%
2	Worse	245	 10.7%
3	About the same	1722	 75.1%
90	Others	0	
95	Refuse to answer	2	 0.1%
96	It's hard to say	21	 0.9%
97	No opinion	19	 0.8%
98	Don't know	237	 10.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

File : TEDS2016E_panQ

f7: “It is the government's responsibility to our unemployment problem.”; “Employment is each individual's personal problem, and the government shouldn't have anything to do with it.” Which one do you agree with more?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	It is the government's responsibility to reduce unemployment	1011	44.1%
2	Employed or not, it is a personal thing	1023	44.6%
90	Others	0	
95	Refuse to answer	1	0.0%
96	It's hard to say	198	8.6%
97	No opinion	14	0.6%
98	Don't know	45	2.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

f8: “It is the government's responsibility to reduce economic inequality.”; “Becoming rich or not depends on one's personal effort.” Which one do you agree with more?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	It is the government's responsibility to reduce economic ine	1193	52.1%
2	Rich or not, it depends on how hard a person works	910	39.7%
90	Others	0	
95	Refuse to answer	1	0.0%
96	It's hard to say	139	6.1%
97	No opinion	14	0.6%
98	Don't know	35	1.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

g1: Do you think that voting is a responsibility, or do you think that it is all right to vote or not to vote?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Voting is a responsibility [Continue to G2]	1553	67.8%
2	It is all right to vote or not to vote [Skip to G3]	683	29.8%
90	Others	0	
95	Refuse to answer [Skip to G3]	6	0.3%
96	It depends [Skip to G3]	27	1.2%
97	No opinion [Skip to G3]	7	0.3%
98	Don't know [Skip to G3]	16	0.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

g2: Do you believe very strongly, somewhat strongly or only a little that voting is a responsibility?







Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very strongly	554	24.2%

File : TEDS2016E_panQ








g2: Do you believe very strongly, somewhat strongly or only a little that voting is a responsibility?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Somewhat strongly	670	 29.2%
3	Only a little	307	 13.4%
90	Others	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
96	It depends	7	 0.3%
97	No opinion	4	 0.2%
98	Don't know	11	 0.5%
99	skip	739	 32.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

g3: Which of these three statements is closest to your own opinion?









Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Democracy is preferable to any other kind of regime	1028	 44.9%
2	In some circumstances, an authoritarian regime – a dictator	584	 25.5%
3	For someone like me, it doesn't matter what kind of regime w	517	 22.6%
90	Others	0	
95	Refuse to answer	6	 0.3%
96	It depends	36	 1.6%
97	No opinion	20	 0.9%
98	Don't know	101	 4.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

g4: On the whole, are you very satisfied, somewhat satisfied, somewhat dissatisfied, or very dissatisfied with the way democracy works in Taiwan?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	89	 3.9%
2	Somewhat satisfied	1134	 49.5%
3	Somewhat dissatisfied	792	 34.6%
4	Very Dissatisfied	159	 6.9%
90	Others	0	
95	Refuse to answer	3	 0.1%
96	It depends	15	 0.7%
97	No opinion	27	 1.2%
98	Don't know	73	 3.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

g5: Some people say that it makes a difference whoever is in power. Others say that it doesn't make a difference whoever is in power. where would you place yourself?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	it doesn't make a difference who is in power	407	17.8%
2	2	203	8.9%
3	3	457	19.9%
4	4	456	19.9%
5	it makes a difference who is in power	674	29.4%
95	Refuse to answer	5	0.2%
97	No opinion	0	
98	Don't know	90	3.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

g6: Which of the following two statements do you agree with more? (1) The opposition parties should have a majority of the seats in the legislature so that they can provide checks and balances on the government.(2) The president's party should have a majority

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	The opposition parties should have a majority of the seats i	1399	61.0%
2	The president's party should have a majority of seats in the	636	27.7%
90	Others	0	
95	Refuse to answer	13	0.6%
96	It's hard to say	100	4.4%
97	No opinion	31	1.4%
98	Don't know	113	4.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

g7: Currently in Taiwan, how much do you think that individual liberties and human rights are respected? Are they very well respected, somewhat respected, not very respected, or not respected at all?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very well respected	227	9.9%
2	Somewhat respected	1060	46.2%
3	Not very respected	666	29.1%
4	Not respected at all	205	8.9%
90	Others	0	
95	Refuse to answer	3	0.1%
96	It depends	37	1.6%
97	No opinion	15	0.7%
98	Don't know	79	3.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

h1: Who is the current president of the United States?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
--------------------	---

# h1: Who is the current president of the United States?			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Barack Obama	1824	<div><div></div></div> 79.6%
2	Wrong answer	29	<div><div></div></div> 1.3%
3	I know but can't remember the name	202	<div><div></div></div> 8.8%
90	Others	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	237	<div><div></div></div> 10.3%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# h2: Who is the current president of South Korea?			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Park Geun-hye	551	<div><div></div></div> 24.0%
2	Wrong answer	123	<div><div></div></div> 5.4%
3	I know but can't remember the name	872	<div><div></div></div> 38.0%
90	Others	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	746	<div><div></div></div> 32.5%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# h3: Who is the current federal Chancellor of Germany?			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Angela Dorothea Merkel	217	<div><div></div></div> 9.5%
2	Wrong answer	88	<div><div></div></div> 3.8%
3	I know but can't remember the name	268	<div><div></div></div> 11.7%
90	Others	0	
95	Refuse to answer	1	0.0%
98	Don't know	1718	<div><div></div></div> 75.0%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# h4: Who is the current Premier of our country?			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Jian Yi-huah	865	<div><div></div></div> 37.7%
2	Wrong answer	222	<div><div></div></div> 9.7%
3	I know but can't remember the name	414	<div><div></div></div> 18.1%
90	Others	0	
95	Refuse to answer	1	0.0%
98	Don't know	790	<div><div></div></div> 34.5%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# h5: Who or what institution has the power to interpret the constitution?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Grand Justices or Council of Grand Justices	665	<div></div> 29.0%
2	Wrong answer	649	<div></div> 28.3%
3	I know but can't remember the name	33	<div></div> 1.4%
90	Others	0	
95	Refuse to answer	1	0.0%
98	Don't know	944	<div></div> 41.2%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# h6: Who is the current president of Mainland China?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Xi Jinping	834	<div></div> 36.4%
2	Wrong answer	200	<div></div> 8.7%
3	I know but can't remember the name	370	<div></div> 16.1%
90	Others	0	
95	Refuse to answer	1	0.0%
98	Don't know	887	<div></div> 38.7%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# h7: Do you know how many ballots are available to a voter in a legislative election?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		1431	<div></div> 62.4%
2		462	<div></div> 20.2%
3		38	<div></div> 1.7%
4		7	0.3%
7		1	0.0%
20		1	0.0%
95	Refuse to answer	1	0.0%
98	Don't know	351	<div></div> 15.3%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# h8: Do you know how many legislators will be elected in a district?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		817	<div></div> 35.6%
2		199	<div></div> 8.7%
3		109	<div></div> 4.8%
4		31	<div></div> 1.4%
5		26	<div></div> 1.1%

# h8: Do you know how many legislators will be elected in a district?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6		10	0.4%
7		5	0.2%
8		5	0.2%
9		2	0.1%
12		2	0.1%
16		1	0.0%
95	Refuse to answer	2	0.1%
98	Don't know	1083	47.3%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# h9: Do you know the threshold of the list vote that a party must reach in order to participate in allocation of seats by party lists?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		2	0.1%
2		3	0.1%
3		41	1.8%
4		3	0.1%
5		276	12.0%
6		7	0.3%
7		3	0.1%
8		1	0.0%
10		19	0.8%
11		1	0.0%
12		2	0.1%
13		1	0.0%
15		6	0.3%
20		18	0.8%
23		2	0.1%
25		1	0.0%
30		40	1.7%
33		3	0.1%
34		1	0.0%
35		5	0.2%
38		1	0.0%
40		27	1.2%
50		132	5.8%
51		5	0.2%
55		2	0.1%
58		1	0.0%
60		54	2.4%
66		1	0.0%
67		1	0.0%
70		27	1.2%

h9: Do you know the threshold of the list vote that a party must reach in order to participate in allocation of seats by party lists?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
75		3	0.1%
80		16	0.7%
88		1	0.0%
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	1586	69.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

h10: Do you know how long a term of the office is for the new legislators?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		6	0.3%
2		52	2.3%
3		232	10.1%
4		1730	75.5%
5		8	0.3%
6		14	0.6%
8		6	0.3%
12		1	0.0%
95	Refuse to answer	1	0.0%
98	Don't know	242	10.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

h11: Do you know how many legislators will be elected in a session of the Legislative Yuan?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-998] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		1	0.0%
2		7	0.3%
3		6	0.3%
4		5	0.2%
5		6	0.3%
6		4	0.2%
7		2	0.1%
8		4	0.2%
10		6	0.3%
11		2	0.1%
12		3	0.1%
13		1	0.0%
15		2	0.1%
16		2	0.1%
18		2	0.1%
20		8	0.3%
24		2	0.1%
25		1	0.0%

h11: Do you know how many legislators will be elected in a session of the Legislative Yuan?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
30		2	0.1%
32		2	0.1%
34		1	0.0%
35		1	0.0%
36		2	0.1%
37		1	0.0%
40		4	0.2%
45		1	0.0%
50		8	0.3%
53		1	0.0%
56		1	0.0%
60		8	0.3%
61		1	0.0%
65		2	0.1%
67		1	0.0%
68		2	0.1%
70		7	0.3%
72		3	0.1%
73		2	0.1%
75		1	0.0%
76		1	0.0%
78		1	0.0%
80		7	0.3%
84		1	0.0%
85		3	0.1%
86		1	0.0%
87		1	0.0%
88		1	0.0%
90		1	0.0%
95		2	0.1%
96		3	0.1%
98		1	0.0%
99		2	0.1%
100		66	2.9%
101		1	0.0%
102		6	0.3%
103		10	0.4%
105		7	0.3%
106		3	0.1%
107		1	0.0%
108		4	0.2%
109		4	0.2%
110		19	0.8%
111		2	0.1%
112		8	0.3%

h11: Do you know how many legislators will be elected in a session of the Legislative Yuan?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
113		127	5.5%
114		6	0.3%
115		14	0.6%
116		3	0.1%
117		2	0.1%
118		3	0.1%
119		2	0.1%
120		44	1.9%
121		4	0.2%
122		4	0.2%
123		15	0.7%
124		3	0.1%
125		23	1.0%
126		9	0.4%
127		1	0.0%
128		7	0.3%
129		4	0.2%
130		25	1.1%
131		3	0.1%
132		7	0.3%
133		5	0.2%
134		4	0.2%
135		5	0.2%
136		3	0.1%
138		2	0.1%
140		8	0.3%
142		2	0.1%
143		1	0.0%
144		1	0.0%
145		1	0.0%
146		1	0.0%
150		20	0.9%
151		1	0.0%
153		1	0.0%
156		1	0.0%
160		9	0.4%
161		2	0.1%
162		1	0.0%
165		2	0.1%
167		1	0.0%
168		1	0.0%
169		2	0.1%
170		2	0.1%
175		2	0.1%
180		2	0.1%

h11: Do you know how many legislators will be elected in a session of the Legislative Yuan?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
182		1	0.0%
186		1	0.0%
190		2	0.1%
200		20	0.9%
204		1	0.0%
205		1	0.0%
210		1	0.0%
211		1	0.0%
213		2	0.1%
215		1	0.0%
220		4	0.2%
224		1	0.0%
225		3	0.1%
226		1	0.0%
228		1	0.0%
229		1	0.0%
230		1	0.0%
240		1	0.0%
250		3	0.1%
255		1	0.0%
256		2	0.1%
280		1	0.0%
300		2	0.1%
312		1	0.0%
340		1	0.0%
995	Refuse to answer	1	0.0%
998	Don't know	1579	68.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

h12: Do you think the current election system of Legislative Yuan is favorable to small parties, or favorable to large parties?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	It is favorable to small parties	102	4.5%
2	It is favorable to large parties	1756	76.6%
90	Others	0	
95	Refuse to answer	1	0.0%
96	It depends	41	1.8%
97	No opinion	38	1.7%
98	Don't know	354	15.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

h13: Do you think an increase of proportion of seats allocated by party lists would be favorable or unfavorable to small parties?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
--------------------	---

h13: Do you think an increase of proportion of seats allocated by party lists would be favorable or unfavorable to small parties?

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Favorable	690	30.1%
2	Unfavorable	974	42.5%
90	Others	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
96	It depends	40	1.7%
97	No opinion	47	2.1%
98	Don't know	541	23.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

j1: Which presidential candidate did you vote for in the presidential election last year (2012)?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	TSAI Ing-wen and SU Jia-chyuan	737	32.2%
2	MA Ying-jeou and WU Den-yih	1004	43.8%
3	James SOONG and LIN Ruey-shiung	59	2.6%
89	Didn't pick up the ballot [Skip to K1]	3	0.1%
90	Others	0	
91	Forgot	75	3.3%
92	Not eligible to vote [Skip to K1]	53	2.3%
93	Didn't vote [Skip to K1]	238	10.4%
94	Cast an invalid vote	22	1.0%
95	Refuse to answer	93	4.1%
98	Don't know	8	0.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

j2: In the last (2012) Legislative Election, which candidate did you vote for?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 20101-999999] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
20101	Lin, Chien-Rong	0	
20102	Chen, Ou-Po	0	
30101	Zheng, Wen-Can	14	0.6%
30102	Chen, Ken-Te	34	1.5%
30201	Liao, Cheng-Ching	0	
30202	Kuo, Rong-Tsung	1	0.0%
30301	Chen, Hsueh-Sheng	14	0.6%
30302	Lu, Zhao-Ren	0	
30303	Huang, Ren-Zhu	4	0.2%
30304	Liu, Wen-Hsiung	0	
30401	Huang, Xing-Guo	0	
30402	Chen, Rui-De	0	
30403	Huang, Shi-Zhuo	0	

j2: In the last (2012) Legislative Election, which candidate did you vote for?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
30404	Yang, Li-Huan	0	
30501	Huang, Jia-Hua	0	
30502	Huang, Guo-Hua	0	
30503	Wu,Ping-E	0	
30504	Liu,Bang-Xuan	2	0.1%
30505	Lu,Yu-Ling	14	0.6%
30506	Chen,Zhen-Wei	0	
30507	Peng, Tien-Fu	2	0.1%
30601	Zheng,Zhen-Yuan	0	
30602	Sun,Sunny T.C.	12	0.5%
30603	Hu,Zhen-Bu	3	0.1%
40101	Fu,Jia-Xian	0	
40102	Perng, Shaw-Jiin	18	0.8%
40103	Xu, Xin-Ying	37	1.6%
40104	Dai,Guo-Liang	0	
50101	Chen, Chao-Ming	0	
50102	Li,Zhao-Xiong	0	
50103	Tu, Wen-Ching	0	
50104	Huang, Yu-Ya	0	
50201	Yang,Chang-Zhen	3	0.1%
50202	Hsu, Yao-Chang	20	0.9%
70101	Chen, Chin-Ting	0	
70102	Shi,Yue-Ying	0	
70103	Lin,Yi-Bang	0	
70104	Wang,Hui-Mei	0	
70201	Huang,Xiu-Fang	15	0.7%
70202	Lin, Cang-Min	28	1.2%
70301	Cheng, Ru-Fen	0	
70302	Chiang, Chao-I	0	
70401	Hsiao, Ching-Tien	9	0.4%
70402	Wei, Ming-Ku	12	0.5%
80101	Zhang,Guo-Xin	0	
80102	Ma,Wen-Jun	0	
80103	He,Guo-Long	0	
80201	Lai,Yan-Xue	0	
80202	Lin, Ming-Chen	0	
90101	Li,Jin-Yong	18	0.8%
90102	Chang, Chia-Chun	19	0.8%
90201	Hsu, Shu-Po	5	0.2%
90202	Wang,Mei-Xin	0	
90203	Liu, Chien-Kuo	13	0.6%
100101	Cai,Yi-Yu	0	
100102	Wong, Chung-Chun	0	
100201	Chen,Ming-Wen	14	0.6%
100202	Chen,Yi-Zhen	13	0.6%

j2: In the last (2012) Legislative Election, which candidate did you vote for?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
130101	Chen,Jun-Hao	0	
130102	Su, Chen-Ching	0	
130103	Wu,Liang-Qing	0	
130104	Lo, Chih-Ming	0	
130201	Li, Shi-Bin	7	0.3%
130202	Wang, Chin-Shih	14	0.6%
130301	Gong,Rui-Wei	7	0.3%
130302	Pan, Meng-An	16	0.7%
130303	Pan,Zheng-Zhi	0	
140101	Xie,Wen-Han	0	
140102	Wu,Jun-Li	2	0.1%
140103	Lin,Zheng-Jie	0	
140104	Rao,Qing-Ling	9	0.4%
140105	Liu,Zhao-Hao	14	0.6%
150101	Wang, Ting-Sheng	11	0.5%
150102	Shi-Yang,Wu-Kong	0	
150103	Lai,Kun-Cheng	3	0.1%
150104	Zhang,Zhi-Chao	0	
160101	Lin, Pin-Kun	0	
160102	Yang,Yao	0	
170101	Lin,You-Chang	11	0.5%
170102	Huang,Xiao-Ling	0	
170103	Hsieh, Kuo-Liang	14	0.6%
170104	Zhang,Geng-Hui	0	
170105	Zhou,Yi-Cheng	0	
180101	Wang,Xuan	0	
180102	Deng, Xiu-Bao	0	
180103	Lu, Xue-Chang	0	
180104	Wang,Rong-De	0	
180105	Chang, Hsueh-Shun	0	
180106	Zhao, Fu-Long	0	
200101	Chen,Jian-Hao	0	
200102	Chiang, Yi-Xiong	9	0.4%
200103	Li,Jun-Yi	10	0.4%
280101	Chen, Fu-Hai	0	
280102	Wu, Cheng-Tien	0	
280103	Xu,Nai-Quan	0	
280104	Gau, Xian-Teng	0	
280105	Yang,Ying-Xiong	0	
290101	Chen,Xue-Sheng	0	
290102	Chao, Erh-Chang	0	
290103	Chen,Cai-Neng	0	
630101	Zhang,Yu-Jing	0	
630102	Zhang,Zhi-Jie	0	
630103	Zheng,Guang-Zhao	0	

j2: In the last (2012) Legislative Election, which candidate did you vote for?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
630104	Ting, Shou-Chung	0	
630105	Yang,Lie	0	
630201	Zhou,Shou-Xun	0	
630202	Wang,Mei-Wei	0	
630203	Yao, Wen-Zhi	0	
630204	Xia,Lin-Qing	0	
630205	Fu,Wen-Zhong	0	
630301	Chien,Yu-Yan	28	1.2%
630302	Yang,Chang-Yan	2	0.1%
630303	Lo, Shu-Lei	47	2.1%
630401	Zang,Jia-Yi	0	
630402	Huang,Shan-Shan	0	
630403	Li,Jian-Chang	0	
630404	Tsai, Cheng-Yuan	0	
630501	Chen,Yan-Fu	0	
630502	Yang,Jiong-Huang	0	
630503	Liu,Mei-Juan	0	
630504	Yan,Sheng-Guan	0	
630505	Ye, Mei	0	
630506	Zhou,Zhi-Wen	0	
630507	Lin, Yu-Fang	0	
630601	Ye,Bang-Zong	0	
630602	Zhou,Pei-Min	0	
630603	Chen, Chen-Sheng	1	0.0%
630604	Zhao,Yan-Qing	0	
630605	Wang,Yun-Yi	1	0.0%
630606	Chen,Fu-Min	1	0.0%
630607	Zhao,Shi-Qiang	16	0.7%
630608	Jiang,Nai-Xin	49	2.1%
630609	Wang,Xiu-Zhu	0	
630701	Pan, Han-Sheng	0	
630702	Hu,Guang-Ci	0	
630703	Huo,Wen-Lin	0	
630704	Li,Jun-Ting	0	
630705	Fai, Hrong-Tai	0	
630801	Ruan,Zhao-Xiong	16	0.7%
630802	Fang,Jing-Jun	0	
630803	Lee, Ao	1	0.0%
630804	Yu,Wan-Ru	2	0.1%
630805	Lai, Shyh-Bao	58	2.5%
640101	Ciou, Yi-Ying	46	2.0%
640102	Chung, Chao-Ho	27	1.2%
640201	Qiu,Zhi-Wei	11	0.5%
640202	Xie,Chang-Li	0	
640203	Lin, Yi-Shih	10	0.4%

j2: In the last (2012) Legislative Election, which candidate did you vote for?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
640301	Lin,Ying-Rong	14	0.6%
640302	Li,Jian-Nan	0	
640303	Lin,Zhen-Yang	0	
640304	Huang, Chao-Shun	18	0.8%
640401	Huang, Xian-Nan	0	
640402	Lin, Tai-Hua	1	0.0%
640403	Qiu,Yu-Xuan	0	
640501	Lin,Hong-Ming	0	
640502	Dong,Gui-Ru	0	
640503	Lwo, Shih-Hsiung	5	0.2%
640504	Kuan, Bi-Ling	10	0.4%
640601	Ho, Tsai-Feng	6	0.3%
640602	Lee, Kun-Ze	10	0.4%
640701	Chiu, Yi	8	0.3%
640702	Zhong,Pei-Xuan	1	0.0%
640703	Zhao,Tian-Lin	23	1.0%
640704	Zhao,Zhen-Di	0	
640801	Lin, Jing-Yuan	0	
640802	Zheng,Fu-Hua	0	
640803	Huang,Qian-Fu	0	
640804	Xu,Zhi-Jie	17	0.7%
640805	Chiang, Lin-Chun	13	0.6%
640901	Chen,Zhi-Zhong	0	
640902	Cai, Ma-Fu	0	
640903	Kuo, Wen-Cheng	0	
640904	Lin, Guo-Zheng	0	
640905	Lin,Jie-Zheng	0	
650101	He,Bo-Wen	9	0.4%
650102	Yu,Zong-Pei	0	
650103	Wang,Zhong-Ming	1	0.0%
650104	Wu, Yu-Sheng	21	0.9%
650201	Wang,An-Na	0	
650202	Qian,Wei-Juan	15	0.7%
650203	Lin, Shu-Fen	31	1.4%
650204	Gong,You-Qian	0	
650205	Ji,Jing-Sheng	0	
650301	Li,Qian-Long	0	
650302	Wu,Qing	0	
650303	Kao, Jyh-Peng	0	
650304	Xiao,Bing-Xian	0	
650401	Cheng, Yu-Cheng	0	
650402	Lin, Cho-Shui	0	
650403	Lee, Hung-Chun	0	
650404	Lin, Li-Rong	0	
650501	Huang, Chih-Hsiung	0	

j2: In the last (2012) Legislative Election, which candidate did you vote for?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
650502	Huang, Mao	0	
650503	Liao, Pen-Yen	0	
650504	Jiang,Ding-Qian	0	
650601	Lin, Hung-Chih	28	1.2%
650602	Chou, Ya-Shu	10	0.4%
650603	Yang,Cheng-Qin	0	
650701	Jiang,Hui-Zhen	16	0.7%
650702	Luo,Zhi-Zheng	8	0.3%
650703	Zeng,Wen-Zhen	2	0.1%
650801	Chen,Guan-Teng	0	
650802	Chang, Ching-Chung	0	
650803	Qiu,Pei-Lin	0	
650804	Jiang,Yong-Chang	0	
650901	Xu,You-Ming	0	
650902	Lei, Cian	0	
650903	Lin, Te-Fu	0	
650904	Zeng, Wen-Sheng	0	
651001	Yang,Mu-Wan	0	
651002	Chuang, Suo-Hang	0	
651003	Lu, Chia-Chen	0	
651004	You,Jun-Wen	0	
651005	Wang,Jin-Fen	0	
651101	Kao, Chien-Chih	3	0.1%
651102	Lo, Ming-Tsai	16	0.7%
651201	Lee, Ching-Hua	15	0.7%
651202	Shen, Fa-Hui	4	0.2%
651203	Shi,Yi-Jing	0	
651204	Lo, Fu-Chu	2	0.1%
660101	Chen,Tian-Wang	16	0.7%
660102	Wang,Xian-Tang	1	0.0%
660103	Tsai, Chi-Chang	26	1.1%
660201	Li, Shun-Liang	14	0.6%
660202	Yen, Chin-Piao	24	1.0%
660301	Tong,Rui-Yang	0	
660302	Liu,Kun-Li	0	
660303	Yang, Chiung-Ying	0	
660401	Zhang-Liao,Wan-Jian	32	1.4%
660402	Tsai, Chin-Lung	26	1.1%
660403	Yang,Shu-Ming	0	
660404	Cai,Zhi-Hao	1	0.0%
660501	Lu, Shiow-Yen	71	3.1%
660502	Ji,Zhao-Chuan	0	
660503	Hsieh, Ming-Yuan	24	1.0%
660601	Huang, Yi-Chiao	31	1.4%
660602	Wang, Ming-Jiang	0	

# j2: In the last (2012) Legislative Election, which candidate did you vote for?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
660603	Zeng,Kun-Bing	0	
660604	Lin,Jia-Long	37	<div></div> 1.6%
660605	He, Zi-Hua	0	
660606	Lin,Xing-Jie	0	
660607	Cai,He-Xing	0	
660701	He,Xin-Chun	0	
660702	Cheng, Li-Wun	1	<div></div> 0.0%
660703	Duan,Wei-Yu	0	
660704	Guo,Ting-Yi	0	
660801	Kuo, Chun-Ming	0	
660802	Che,Shu-Juan	0	
660803	Qi,Lian-Sheng	0	
660804	Chen,Qing-Long	0	
660805	Jiang,Qi-Chen	0	
670101	Yeh, Yi-Ching	18	<div></div> 0.8%
670102	Li,Zong-Zhi	1	<div></div> 0.0%
670103	Ou,Chong-Jing	6	<div></div> 0.3%
670201	Huang, Wei-Cher	37	<div></div> 1.6%
670202	Zhan,Qiu-Xian	0	
670203	Yang,Shi-Chang	0	
670204	Zhou,Si-Hai	10	<div></div> 0.4%
670301	Xie,Long-Jie	8	<div></div> 0.3%
670302	Chen, Ting-Fei	39	<div></div> 1.7%
670303	Chen, Yuan-Qi	0	
670401	Su,Jun-Bin	15	<div></div> 0.7%
670402	Xu,Tian-Cai	15	<div></div> 0.7%
670501	Lin,Min-Chang	0	
670502	Chen,Tang-Shan	27	<div></div> 1.2%
670503	Lee, Chuan-Chiao	18	<div></div> 0.8%
999988	Plains aboriginal candidates	19	<div></div> 0.8%
999989	Gaoshan aboriginal candidates	2	<div></div> 0.1%
999990	Others	0	
999991	Forgot	230	<div></div> 10.0%
999992	Not eligible to vote	2	<div></div> 0.1%
999993	Didn't pick up the ballot	69	<div></div> 3.0%
999994	Cast an invalid vote	31	<div></div> 1.4%
999995	Refuse to answer	60	<div></div> 2.6%
999998	Don't know	16	<div></div> 0.7%
999999	skip	291	<div></div> 12.7%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# j2a: In the last (2012) Legislative Election, which candidate did you vote for? [Plains aboriginal candidates]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 680101-999999] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		

j2a: In the last (2012) Legislative Election, which candidate did you vote for? [Plains aboriginal candidates]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
680101	Liao, Kuo-Tung	6	0.3%
680102	Hong, Guo-Zhi	1	0.0%
680103	Zhong-Ren, Da-Lu-Si	0	
680104	Lin, Jin-Ying	0	
680105	Zhan, Jin-Fu	0	
680106	Lin, Cheng-Erh	1	0.0%
680107	Chen, Lian-Shun	0	
680108	Mayaw-Biho	1	0.0%
680109	Zheng, Tian-Cai (Sra-Kacaw)	8	0.3%
680110	Yi-Lai, Di-Bu-Si	1	0.0%
999990	Others	0	
999991	Forgot	0	
999992	Not eligible to vote	0	
999993	Didn't pick up the ballot	0	
999994	Cast an invalid vote	0	
999995	Refuse to answer	1	0.0%
999998	Don't know	0	
999999	skip	2273	99.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

j2aa: In the last (2012) Legislative Election, which candidate did you vote for? [Gaoshan aboriginal candidates]













Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 690101-999999] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
690101	Zeng, Zhi-Yong	0	
690102	Kao-Chin, Su-Mei	1	0.0%
690103	Qiu, Wen-Sheng	0	
690104	Kung, Wen-Chi	0	
690105	Walis#Perin	0	
690106	Chien, Tung-Ming (Uliw#Qaljupayare)	1	0.0%
999990	Others	0	
999991	Forgot	0	
999992	Not eligible to vote	0	
999993	Didn't pick up the ballot	0	
999994	Cast an invalid vote	0	
999995	Refuse to answer	0	
999998	Don't know	0	
999999	skip	2290	99.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

j2b: Here is a list of all the parties that contested in this legislative election. Which party did you cast your at-large vote for?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	KMT	787	34.3%





j2b: Here is a list of all the parties that contested in this legislative election. Which party did you cast your at-large vote for?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	DPP	657	 28.7%
3	NP	17	 0.7%
4	PFP	86	 3.8%
6	TSU	74	 3.2%
9	Green Party Taiwan	8	 0.3%
10	National Health Service Alliance	0	
11	Republic of China The Basic Laws of Taiwan Corporation	0	
12	Taiwan National Congress	0	
13	Taiwanism Party	0	
14	People Largest Party	1	 0.0%
89	Didn't pick up the ballot	82	 3.6%
90	Others	0	
91	Forgot	181	 7.9%
94	Cast an invalid vote	22	 1.0%
95	Refuse to answer	60	 2.6%
98	Don't know	26	 1.1%
99	Skip	291	 12.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

k1a: Contacted a government official, elected representative, or political party to express your opinion or ask for something?





Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	190	 8.3%
2	No	2093	 91.3%
90	Others	0	
95	Refuse to answer	1	 0.0%
98	Don't know	8	 0.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

k1b: Contacted the media to protest something or express an opinion?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	77	 3.4%
2	No	2207	 96.3%
90	Others	0	
95	Refuse to answer	2	 0.1%
98	Don't know	6	 0.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

k1c: Used the Internet to protest something or express an opinion?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

# k1c: Used the Internet to protest something or express an opinion?				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Yes	245	<div><div></div></div>	10.7%
2	No	2045	<div><div></div></div>	89.2%
90	Others	0		
95	Refuse to answer	0		
98	Don't know	2	<div><div></div></div>	0.1%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# k1d: Attended a village meeting?				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Yes	236	<div><div></div></div>	10.3%
2	No	2051	<div><div></div></div>	89.5%
90	Others	0		
95	Refuse to answer	0		
98	Don't know	5	<div><div></div></div>	0.2%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# k1e: Signed petitions?				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Yes	209	<div><div></div></div>	9.1%
2	No	2070	<div><div></div></div>	90.3%
90	Others	0		
95	Refuse to answer	1	<div><div></div></div>	0.0%
98	Don't know	12	<div><div></div></div>	0.5%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# k1f: Participated in a march, protest, sit-in or some other type of demonstration?				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Yes	137	<div><div></div></div>	6.0%
2	No	2147	<div><div></div></div>	93.7%
90	Others	0		
95	Refuse to answer	2	<div><div></div></div>	0.1%
98	Don't know	6	<div><div></div></div>	0.3%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# k1g: Went on strike?				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Yes	13	<div><div></div></div>	0.6%
2	No	2275	<div><div></div></div>	99.3%

# k1g: Went on strike?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
90	Others	0	
95	Refuse to answer	2	0.1%
98	Don't know	2	0.1%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# m1: Currently, what would you say are the most important problems facing the country?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-998] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	economic development	441	19.2%
2	Cross-strait problem	78	3.4%
3	independence/reunification issue	13	0.6%
4	national identity	11	0.5%
5	alternation of power	0	
6	political stability	17	0.7%
7	diplomatic and international status issues	26	1.1%
8	judicial reform issues	9	0.4%
9	educational reform	68	3.0%
10	environmental protection	10	0.4%
11	gap between the rich and the poor	91	4.0%
12	unemployment issues	356	15.5%
13	Aboriginal issues	1	0.0%
14	social welfare	14	0.6%
15	crime	24	1.0%
16	government administration	42	1.8%
17	candidate's personal morality	4	0.2%
18	candidate's ability	7	0.3%
19	national defense security problem	4	0.2%
20	one-China market	9	0.4%
21	people's livelihood	172	7.5%
22	ethnic groups problem	4	0.2%
23	political corruption	12	0.5%
24	state of road surface	0	
25	sovereignty problem	71	3.1%
26	tax reform	16	0.7%
27	1992 Consensus	0	
28	housing justice	15	0.7%
29	democratic reform	9	0.4%
30	Lin Yi-shih case	0	
31	ECFA	5	0.2%
32	agricultural Problem	19	0.8%
33	wage issues	183	8.0%
34	nuclear issue	19	0.8%
35	unfair elections	1	0.0%
36	financial issues	14	0.6%

m1: Currently, what would you say are the most important problems facing the country?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
37	global financial crisis	1	0.0%
38	senior citizen stipend	2	0.1%
39	policy direction	29	1.3%
40	constitution	5	0.2%
41	Internet policy reform	0	
42	social equality and justice	1	0.0%
43	North-South gap	0	
44	the relationship between government and business	6	0.3%
45	international trade and economic exchange	39	1.7%
46	labor retirement system	4	0.2%
47	ten-year policy platform	0	
48	political battles	52	2.3%
49	old-age farmer allowance	0	
50	labor issues	16	0.7%
51	urban environment	1	0.0%
53	chaotic media	5	0.2%
54	public health	1	0.0%
55	rule by scholars	1	0.0%
56	KMT party assets	1	0.0%
57	health insurance	0	
58	clean politics	4	0.2%
59	administrative neutrality	0	
60	unpaid leave	0	
61	issues of war and peace	1	0.0%
62	who is able to represent the people	2	0.1%
63	population problem	9	0.4%
64	youth unemployment	4	0.2%
65	European debt crisis	0	
66	industrial transfer	19	0.8%
67	election system	1	0.0%
68	LAISU-RU scandal	0	
69	vote-buying problem	0	
70	each problem is important	5	0.2%
71	party funding system	0	
72	local industry under-developed	2	0.1%
73	opening import of US beef	0	
74	candidate staff appointment after election	1	0.0%
75	welfare of the people	13	0.6%
76	capability of disaster relief	1	0.0%
77	one party dominance	2	0.1%
78	Taipei International Flora Exposition issues	0	
79	policy opening telecommunications policy	0	
80	human rights protections	8	0.3%
81	Philippines–Taiwan relations	4	0.2%
82	fishing boats protection issue	1	0.0%

m1: Currently, what would you say are the most important problems facing the country?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
83	human environment	1	0.0%
84	human resource problems in Taiwan	9	0.4%
85	food safety	2	0.1%
86	populism	3	0.1%
87	government integrity	4	0.2%
88	drug issue	1	0.0%
89	Chen Shui-bian case	1	0.0%
91	government institutional reform	1	0.0%
92	Hong Zhong-Qiu case	4	0.2%
93	social solidarity	4	0.2%
94	presidential performance	10	0.4%
100	the Cross-Strait on Trade in Services	2	0.1%
101	military issues	3	0.1%
102	people's confidence	4	0.2%
103	global perspective	2	0.1%
104	groups of government officials	2	0.1%
105	survival issues of small and medium scale enterprises	3	0.1%
106	land expropriation	1	0.0%
107	trust issues among people	1	0.0%
108	powerless people	3	0.1%
109	quality of citizenship	2	0.1%
110	drunk driving	0	
990	Ohters	0	
992	none	11	0.5%
995	refuse to answer	11	0.5%
997	no opinion	0	
998	don't know	201	8.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

m2: The position that emphasizes environmental protection is at 0 on a scale from 0 to 10, and the position that emphasizes economic development is at 10. About where on this scale does your own view lie?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	environmental protection	195	8.5%
1		43	1.9%
2		93	4.1%
3		186	8.1%
4		136	5.9%
5		710	31.0%
6		181	7.9%
7		180	7.9%
8		168	7.3%
9		46	2.0%
10	economic development	262	11.4%

m2: The position that emphasizes environmental protection is at 0 on a scale from 0 to 10, and the position that emphasizes economic development is at 10. About where on this scale does your own view lie?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
95	Refuse to answer	7	0.3%
98	Don't know	85	3.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

n1a: Thinking about public expenditure on HEALTH, should there be much more than now, somewhat more than now, the same as now, somewhat less than now, or much less than now?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much more than now	259	11.3%
2	Somewhat more than now	895	39.0%
3	The same as now	749	32.7%
4	Somewhat less than now	183	8.0%
5	Much less than now	49	2.1%
90	Others	0	
95	Refuse to answer	7	0.3%
96	It depends	39	1.7%
97	No opinion	12	0.5%
98	Don't know	99	4.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

n1b: Thinking about public expenditure on EDUCATION, should there be much more than now, somewhat more than now, the same as now, somewhat less than now, or much less than now?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much more than now	367	16.0%
2	Somewhat more than now	817	35.6%
3	The same as now	681	29.7%
4	Somewhat less than now	214	9.3%
5	Much less than now	36	1.6%
90	Others	0	
95	Refuse to answer	5	0.2%
96	It depends	49	2.1%
97	No opinion	16	0.7%
98	Don't know	107	4.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

n1c: What about public expenditure on UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much more than now	249	10.9%
2	Somewhat more than now	673	29.4%
3	The same as now	826	36.0%

# n1c: What about public expenditure on UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Somewhat less than now	256	<div><div></div></div> 11.2%
5	Much less than now	83	<div><div></div></div> 3.6%
90	Others	0	
95	Refuse to answer	8	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
96	It depends	65	<div><div></div></div> 2.8%
97	No opinion	20	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
98	Don't know	112	<div><div></div></div> 4.9%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# n1d: What about public expenditure on DEFENSE?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much more than now	137	<div><div></div></div> 6.0%
2	Somewhat more than now	382	<div><div></div></div> 16.7%
3	The same as now	784	<div><div></div></div> 34.2%
4	Somewhat less than now	441	<div><div></div></div> 19.2%
5	Much less than now	293	<div><div></div></div> 12.8%
90	Others	0	
95	Refuse to answer	11	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
96	It depends	32	<div><div></div></div> 1.4%
97	No opinion	32	<div><div></div></div> 1.4%
98	Don't know	180	<div><div></div></div> 7.9%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# n1e: What about public expenditure on OLD-AGE PENSIONS?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much more than now	302	<div><div></div></div> 13.2%
2	Somewhat more than now	772	<div><div></div></div> 33.7%
3	The same as now	939	<div><div></div></div> 41.0%
4	Somewhat less than now	121	<div><div></div></div> 5.3%
5	Much less than now	25	<div><div></div></div> 1.1%
90	Others	0	
95	Refuse to answer	7	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
96	It depends	62	<div><div></div></div> 2.7%
97	No opinion	14	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
98	Don't know	50	<div><div></div></div> 2.2%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# n1f: What about public expenditure on BUSINESS AND INDUSTRY?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much more than now	264	<div><div></div></div> 11.5%

# n1f: What about public expenditure on BUSINESS AND INDUSTRY?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Somewhat more than now	704	<div><div></div></div> 30.7%
3	The same as now	800	<div><div></div></div> 34.9%
4	Somewhat less than now	179	<div><div></div></div> 7.8%
5	Much less than now	48	<div><div></div></div> 2.1%
90	Others	0	
95	Refuse to answer	10	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
96	It depends	54	<div><div></div></div> 2.4%
97	No opinion	38	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%
98	Don't know	195	<div><div></div></div> 8.5%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# n1g: What about public expenditure on POLICE AND LAW ENFORCEMENT?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much more than now	428	<div><div></div></div> 18.7%
2	Somewhat more than now	840	<div><div></div></div> 36.6%
3	The same as now	800	<div><div></div></div> 34.9%
4	Somewhat less than now	60	<div><div></div></div> 2.6%
5	Much less than now	23	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%
90	Others	0	
95	Refuse to answer	4	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
96	It depends	35	<div><div></div></div> 1.5%
97	No opinion	16	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
98	Don't know	86	<div><div></div></div> 3.8%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# n1h: What about public expenditure on WELFARE BENEFITS?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much more than now	317	<div><div></div></div> 13.8%
2	Somewhat more than now	930	<div><div></div></div> 40.6%
3	The same as now	770	<div><div></div></div> 33.6%
4	Somewhat less than now	94	<div><div></div></div> 4.1%
5	Much less than now	16	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
90	Others	0	
95	Refuse to answer	7	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
96	It depends	45	<div><div></div></div> 2.0%
97	No opinion	17	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
98	Don't know	96	<div><div></div></div> 4.2%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# n1i: What about public expenditure on ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTIONS?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		

n1i: What about public expenditure on ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTIONS?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much more than now	391	17.1%
2	Somewhat more than now	1026	44.8%
3	The same as now	670	29.2%
4	Somewhat less than now	52	2.3%
5	Much less than now	16	0.7%
90	Others	0	
95	Refuse to answer	4	0.2%
96	It depends	32	1.4%
97	No opinion	21	0.9%
98	Don't know	80	3.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

n2: There are debates on whether the construction of Longmen Nuclear Power Plant should be continued or not. Are you for or against continuing the construction of Longmen Nuclear Power Plant?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly for	113	4.9%
2	For	542	23.6%
3	Against	841	36.7%
4	Strongly against	501	21.9%
90	Others	0	
95	Refuse to answer	15	0.7%
96	It depends	57	2.5%
97	No opinion	84	3.7%
98	Don't know	139	6.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

n2a: If the discontinued construction of Longmen Nuclear Power Plant will cause the electricity fee to rise 15% higher, are you for or against discontinuing the construction of Longmen Nuclear Power Plant?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly for	275	12.0%
2	For	893	39.0%
3	Against	713	31.1%
4	Strongly against	131	5.7%
90	Others	0	
95	Refuse to answer	30	1.3%
96	It depends	55	2.4%
97	No opinion	58	2.5%
98	Don't know	137	6.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

n2b: If Longmen Nuclear Power Plant's safety and security could be approved by nuclear experts abroad, are you for or against continuing the construction of Longmen Nuclear Power Plant?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
-------------	---

n2b: If Longmen Nuclear Power Plant's safety and security could be approved by nuclear experts abroad, are you for or against continuing the construction of Longmen Nuclear Power Plant?

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly for	187	8.2%
2	For	904	39.4%
3	Against	685	29.9%
4	Strongly against	273	11.9%
90	Others	0	
95	Refuse to answer	15	0.7%
96	It depends	47	2.1%
97	No opinion	48	2.1%
98	Don't know	133	5.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

n2c: Then, if a referendum on the construction of Longmen Nuclear Power Plant is to be held, would you go to vote?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1495	65.2%
2	No [Skip to P1]	599	26.1%
90	Others	0	
95	Refuse to answer [Skip to P1]	4	0.2%
96	It depends [Skip to P1]	118	5.1%
97	No opinion [Skip to P1]	8	0.3%
98	Don't know [Skip to P1]	68	3.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

n2d: Would you vote “For” or “Against” discontinuing the construction of Longmen Nuclear Power Plant?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	For	892	38.9%
2	Against	488	21.3%
90	Others	0	
95	Refuse to answer	6	0.3%
96	It depends	81	3.5%
97	No opinion	7	0.3%
98	Don't know	21	0.9%
99	skip	797	34.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

p1: In Taiwan, some people think they are Taiwanese. There are also some people who think that they are Chinese. Do you consider yourself as Taiwanese, Chinese or both?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Taiwanese	1317	57.5%

p1: In Taiwan, some people think they are Taiwanese. There are also some people who think that they are Chinese. Do you consider yourself as Taiwanese, Chinese or both?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Both	808	35.3%
3	Chinese	99	4.3%
90	Others	0	
95	Refuse to answer	22	1.0%
98	Don't know	46	2.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

p2: When it comes to the contents of Taiwan culture and Mainland China culture, do you think that all of them, most of them, about half and half, little of them or none of them are the same?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	All of them are the same	121	5.3%
2	Most of them are the same	1091	47.6%
3	About half and half	77	3.4%
4	Little of them are the same	596	26.0%
5	None of them are the same	268	11.7%
90	Others	0	
95	Refuse to answer	7	0.3%
98	Don't know	132	5.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

p3: If the political systems in Taiwan and Mainland China are both democratic, how would you be inclined to unify with Mainland China?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very much inclined	148	6.5%
2	Inclined	747	32.6%
3	Not inclined	821	35.8%
4	Not inclined at all	344	15.0%
90	Others	0	
95	Refuse to answer	29	1.3%
96	It depends	54	2.4%
97	No opinion	64	2.8%
98	Don't know	85	3.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q1: Regarding the issue of Cross-Strait negotiation, some people say that we should continue using the 1992 Consensus as the basis of Cross-Strait negotiation while other people say we should reject the 1992 Consensus. Which do you agree with?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Continue using the 1992 Consensus	871	38.0%
2	Reject the 1992 Consensus	642	28.0%

q1: Regarding the issue of Cross-Strait negotiation, some people say that we should continue using the 1992 Consensus as the basis of Cross-Strait negotiation while other people say we should reject the 1992 Consensus. Which do you agree with?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	There is no "92 Consensus"	131	5.7%
4	Both support	27	1.2%
5	Both don't support	40	1.7%
90	Others	0	
95	Refuse to answer	31	1.4%
96	It depends	32	1.4%
97	No opinion	87	3.8%
98	Don't know	431	18.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q2: How trustworthy do you think the Mainland China's government is? Rating 0 means that you think the Mainland China's government is very untrustworthy, while rating 10 means that you think the Mainland China's government is very trustworthy.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Very untrustworthy	608	26.5%
1		150	6.5%
2		264	11.5%
3		355	15.5%
4		172	7.5%
5		419	18.3%
6		70	3.1%
7		50	2.2%
8		26	1.1%
9		3	0.1%
10	Very trustworthy	17	0.7%
90	Others	0	
95	Refuse to answer	15	0.7%
98	Don't know	143	6.2%



Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q3: If Taiwan and the Mainland sign an agreement in which the Mainland agrees not to use military force against Taiwan and Taiwan agrees not to declare independence, would you approve of this agreement?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly approve	254	11.1%
2	Approve	1163	50.7%
3	Disapprove	514	22.4%
4	Strongly disapprove	144	6.3%
90	Others	0	
95	Refuse to answer	24	1.0%
96	It depends	32	1.4%

q3: If Taiwan and the Mainland sign an agreement in which the Mainland agrees not to use military force against Taiwan and Taiwan agrees not to declare independence, would you approve of this agreement?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	No opinion	55	 2.4%
98	Don't know	106	 4.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q4: Concerning the relationship between Taiwan and mainland China. Which opinion do you agree with more.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Immediate unification	47	2.1%
2	Immediate independence	110	4.8%
3	Maintain the status quo, and move toward unification in the	355	15.5%
4	Maintain the status quo, and move toward independence in the	336	14.7%
5	Maintain the status quo, decide either unification or indepe	737	32.2%
6	Maintain the status quo forever	566	24.7%
90	Others	0	
95	Refuse to answer [Skip to Q6]	22	1.0%
96	It depends [Skip to Q6]	11	0.5%
97	No opinion [Skip to Q6]	19	0.8%
98	Don't know [Skip to Q6]	89	3.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q5: Except the one you have chosen, which opinion do you agree with more.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Immediate unification	45	2.0%
2	Immediate independence	86	3.8%
3	Maintain the status quo, and move toward unification in the	274	12.0%
4	Maintain the status quo, and move toward independence in the	370	16.1%
5	Maintain the status quo, decide either unification or indepe	643	28.1%
6	Maintain the status quo forever	567	24.7%
90	Others	0	
95	Refuse to answer	39	1.7%
96	It depends	17	0.7%
97	No opinion	56	2.4%
98	Don't know	54	2.4%
99	Skip	141	6.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q6: Then, which opinion do you disagree with most?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Immediate unification	1232	53.8%
2	Immediate independence	585	25.5%
3	Maintain the status quo, and move toward unification in the	27	1.2%
4	Maintain the status quo, and move toward independence in the	47	2.1%
5	Maintain the status quo, decide either unification or indepe	31	1.4%
6	Maintain the status quo forever	131	5.7%
90	Others	0	
95	Refuse to answer	30	1.3%

# q6: Then, which opinion do you disagree with most?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
96	It depends	25	<div><div></div></div> 1.1%
97	No opinion	50	<div><div></div></div> 2.2%
98	Don't know	134	<div><div></div></div> 5.8%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# q7: Some people say,“If Taiwan could still maintain peaceful relations with the PRC after declaring independence, then Taiwan should establish a new, independent country.” Do you agree or disagree with this statement?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	315	<div><div></div></div> 13.7%
2	Agree	1000	<div><div></div></div> 43.6%
3	Disagree	563	<div><div></div></div> 24.6%
4	Strongly disagree	173	<div><div></div></div> 7.5%
90	Others	0	
95	Refuse to answer	31	<div><div></div></div> 1.4%
96	It depends	27	<div><div></div></div> 1.2%
97	No opinion	45	<div><div></div></div> 2.0%
98	Don't know	138	<div><div></div></div> 6.0%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# q8: Some people say,“Even if PRC decides to attack Taiwan after Taiwan declares independence, Taiwan should still become a new country.” Do you agree or disagree with this statement?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	101	<div><div></div></div> 4.4%
2	Agree	646	<div><div></div></div> 28.2%
3	Disagree	1013	<div><div></div></div> 44.2%
4	Strongly disagree	261	<div><div></div></div> 11.4%
90	Others	0	
95	Refuse to answer	27	<div><div></div></div> 1.2%
96	It depends	46	<div><div></div></div> 2.0%
97	No opinion	48	<div><div></div></div> 2.1%
98	Don't know	150	<div><div></div></div> 6.5%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# q9: Some people say,“If the economic, social, and political conditions were about the same in both mainland China and Taiwan, then the two sides should unify.” Do you agree or disagree with this statement?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	79	<div><div></div></div> 3.4%
2	Agree	738	<div><div></div></div> 32.2%
3	Disagree	1017	<div><div></div></div> 44.4%
4	Strongly disagree	194	<div><div></div></div> 8.5%

q9: Some people say, “If the economic, social, and political conditions were about the same in both mainland China and Taiwan, then the two sides should unify.” Do you agree or disagree with this statement?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
90	Others	0	
95	Refuse to answer	18	0.8%
96	It depends	59	2.6%
97	No opinion	48	2.1%
98	Don't know	139	6.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q10: Some people say, “Even if the gap between the economic, social, and political conditions in mainland China and Taiwan is quite large, the two sides should still unify.” Do you agree or disagree with this statement?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	15	0.7%
2	Agree	207	9.0%
3	Disagree	1375	60.0%
4	Strongly disagree	451	19.7%
90	Others	0	
95	Refuse to answer	21	0.9%
96	It depends	45	2.0%
97	No opinion	36	1.6%
98	Don't know	142	6.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q11: From the cultural perspective, do you consider yourself as Taiwanese, Chinese or both?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Taiwanese	1246	54.4%
2	Both	817	35.6%
3	Chinese	133	5.8%
90	Others	0	
95	Refuse to answer	28	1.2%
98	Don't know	68	3.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q12: To this day, do you have any experience of interacting or chatting with people from Mainland China (students, sightseers and ordinary ones included.) in Taiwan?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Have experience of face-to-face conversations	1034	45.1%
2	Have experience of encountering them (have seen them or have	424	18.5%
3	Have heard about them from relatives	101	4.4%
4	Have heard about them in the media	157	6.8%
5	Never contact or interact with them	563	24.6%

q12: To this day, do you have any experience of interacting or chatting with people from Mainland China (students, sightseers and ordinary ones included.) in Taiwan?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
90	Others	0	
95	Refuse to answer	1	0.0%
96	It's hard to say	1	0.0%
98	Don't know	11	0.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q13: Over all, considering the difference between Taiwan people and those visitors who come from Mainland China for communication, do you think that the latter ones are

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not different from Taiwan people at all	227	9.9%
2	Very much the same with Taiwan people; little different from	558	24.3%
3	Much less the same with Taiwan people; much different from t	865	37.7%
4	Totally different from Taiwan people	452	19.7%
90	Others	0	
95	Refuse to answer	9	0.4%
96	It depends	24	1.0%
97	No opinion	13	0.6%
98	Don't know	144	6.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r1: How would you rate Mainland China?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	not fond of the country at all	190	8.3%
1		54	2.4%
2		144	6.3%
3		329	14.4%
4		271	11.8%
5		703	30.7%
6		197	8.6%
7		134	5.8%
8		88	3.8%
9		5	0.2%
10	fond of the country very much	29	1.3%
95	Refuse to answer	17	0.7%
98	Don't know	131	5.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r2: How would you rate the United States of America?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

r2: How would you rate the United States of America?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	not fond of the country at all	64	2.8%
1		36	1.6%
2		71	3.1%
3		174	7.6%
4		168	7.3%
5		651	28.4%
6		306	13.4%
7		281	12.3%
8		242	10.6%
9		55	2.4%
10	fond of the country very much	52	2.3%
95	Refuse to answer	16	0.7%
98	Don't know	176	7.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r3: How would you rate Japan?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	not fond of the country at all	61	2.7%
1		28	1.2%
2		54	2.4%
3		140	6.1%
4		123	5.4%
5		466	20.3%
6		341	14.9%
7		323	14.1%
8		338	14.7%
9		145	6.3%
10	fond of the country very much	101	4.4%
95	Refuse to answer	13	0.6%
98	Don't know	159	6.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r4: How would you rate South Korea?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	not fond of the country at all	174	7.6%
1		99	4.3%
2		171	7.5%
3		310	13.5%
4		259	11.3%
5		542	23.6%
6		233	10.2%

r4: How would you rate South Korea?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7		145	6.3%
8		85	3.7%
9		11	0.5%
10	fond of the country very much	19	0.8%
95	Refuse to answer	17	0.7%
98	Don't know	227	9.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r5: How would you rate Vietnam?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	not fond of the country at all	71	3.1%
1		72	3.1%
2		159	6.9%
3		307	13.4%
4		326	14.2%
5		721	31.5%
6		195	8.5%
7		98	4.3%
8		32	1.4%
9		5	0.2%
10	fond of the country very much	9	0.4%
95	Refuse to answer	18	0.8%
98	Don't know	279	12.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r6: How would you rate Indonesia?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	not fond of the country at all	74	3.2%
1		62	2.7%
2		164	7.2%
3		329	14.4%
4		339	14.8%
5		684	29.8%
6		206	9.0%
7		83	3.6%
8		37	1.6%
9		5	0.2%
10	fond of the country very much	10	0.4%
95	Refuse to answer	19	0.8%
98	Don't know	280	12.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r7: How would you rate the Philippines?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	not fond of the country at all	395	<div><div></div></div> 17.2%
1		192	<div><div></div></div> 8.4%
2		280	<div><div></div></div> 12.2%
3		426	<div><div></div></div> 18.6%
4		270	<div><div></div></div> 11.8%
5		351	<div><div></div></div> 15.3%
6		83	<div><div></div></div> 3.6%
7		40	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%
8		9	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
9		2	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
10	fond of the country very much	4	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
95	Refuse to answer	18	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
98	Don't know	222	<div><div></div></div> 9.7%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# s1: Among the main political parties in our country, including the KMT, DPP, NP, PFP, and TSU, do you think of yourself as leaning toward any particular party?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes [Skip to S1b]	1047	<div><div></div></div> 45.7%
2	No [Continue to S1a]	1190	<div><div></div></div> 51.9%
90	Others	0	
95	Refuse to answer [Continue to S1a]	19	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
98	Don't know [Continue to S1a]	36	<div><div></div></div> 1.6%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# s1a: Do you feel yourself leaning a little more to one of the political parties than the others?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes [Continue to S1b]	427	<div><div></div></div> 18.6%
2	No [Skip to S2]	765	<div><div></div></div> 33.4%
90	Others	0	
95	Refuse to answer [Skip to S2]	18	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
98	Don't know [Skip to S2]	35	<div><div></div></div> 1.5%
99	Skip	1047	<div><div></div></div> 45.7%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# s1b: Which party is that?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	KMT	745	<div><div></div></div> 32.5%

# s1b: Which party is that?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	DPP	642	<div><div></div></div> 28.0%
3	NP	8	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
4	PFP	45	<div><div></div></div> 2.0%
6	TSU	17	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
7	Green Party Taiwan (GPT)	4	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
9	Always the opposition party	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
10	KMT and PFP	0	
90	Other parties	0	
95	Refuse to answer [Skip to S2]	7	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
98	Don't know [Skip to S2]	5	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
99	Skip	818	<div><div></div></div> 35.7%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# s1c: Do you lean very strongly, somewhat, or just a little to this party?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very strongly	235	<div><div></div></div> 10.3%
2	Somewhat	723	<div><div></div></div> 31.5%
3	Just a little	488	<div><div></div></div> 21.3%
90	Others	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
96	It depends	8	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
97	No opinion	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
98	Don't know	6	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
99	Skip	830	<div><div></div></div> 36.2%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# s2: Now we'd like to understand your opinions about each of the political parties. If 0 means you dislike a party very much, and 10 means you like that party very much, what number would you give the KMT?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Dislike very much	177	<div><div></div></div> 7.7%
1		78	<div><div></div></div> 3.4%
2		125	<div><div></div></div> 5.5%
3		271	<div><div></div></div> 11.8%
4		228	<div><div></div></div> 9.9%
5		630	<div><div></div></div> 27.5%
6		300	<div><div></div></div> 13.1%
7		156	<div><div></div></div> 6.8%
8		103	<div><div></div></div> 4.5%
9		35	<div><div></div></div> 1.5%
10	Like very much	50	<div><div></div></div> 2.2%
95	Refuse to answer	32	<div><div></div></div> 1.4%
96	Never heard before	0	

s2: Now we'd like to understand your opinions about each of the political parties. If 0 means you dislike a party very much, and 10 means you like that party very much, what number would you give the KMT?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Don't know	107	4.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

s2a: The DPP?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Dislike very much	111	4.8%
1		60	2.6%
2		133	5.8%
3		256	11.2%
4		289	12.6%
5		680	29.7%
6		251	11.0%
7		159	6.9%
8		109	4.8%
9		28	1.2%
10	Like very much	65	2.8%
95	Refuse to answer	34	1.5%
96	Never heard before	0	
98	Don't know	117	5.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

s3: On democratic reform, how do the KMT and the DPP compare?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	KMT is much better	86	3.8%
2	KMT is a little better	321	14.0%
3	DPP is a little better	635	27.7%
4	DPP is much better	242	10.6%
5	Both parties are pretty good	303	13.2%
6	Neither party is very good	525	22.9%
90	Others	0	
95	Refuse to answer	13	0.6%
96	It depends	19	0.8%
97	No opinion	31	1.4%
98	Don't know	117	5.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

s3a: On economic development, how do the two parties compare?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	KMT is much better	118	5.1%

s3a: On economic development, how do the two parties compare?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	KMT is a little better	582	25.4%
3	DPP is a little better	377	16.4%
4	DPP is much better	103	4.5%
5	Both parties are pretty good	188	8.2%
6	Neither party is very good	743	32.4%
90	Others	0	
95	Refuse to answer	10	0.4%
96	It depends	23	1.0%
97	No opinion	26	1.1%
98	Don't know	122	5.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

s3b: On environmental protection, how do the two parties compare?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	KMT is much better	56	2.4%
2	KMT is a little better	278	12.1%
3	DPP is a little better	568	24.8%
4	DPP is much better	117	5.1%
5	Both parties are pretty good	422	18.4%
6	Neither party is very good	591	25.8%
90	Others	0	
95	Refuse to answer	13	0.6%
96	It depends	23	1.0%
97	No opinion	45	2.0%
98	Don't know	179	7.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

s3c: On cross-Straits relations, how do you think the two parties compare?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	KMT is much better	302	13.2%
2	KMT is a little better	935	40.8%
3	DPP is a little better	217	9.5%
4	DPP is much better	69	3.0%
5	Both parties are pretty good	137	6.0%
6	Neither party is very good	365	15.9%
90	Others	0	
95	Refuse to answer	21	0.9%
96	It depends	20	0.9%
97	No opinion	38	1.7%
98	Don't know	188	8.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# s3d: On maintaining the national sovereignty, how do you think the two parties compare?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	KMT is much better	99	<div><div></div></div> 4.3%
2	KMT is a little better	335	<div><div></div></div> 14.6%
3	DPP is a little better	680	<div><div></div></div> 29.7%
4	DPP is much better	291	<div><div></div></div> 12.7%
5	Both parties are pretty good	233	<div><div></div></div> 10.2%
6	Neither party is very good	396	<div><div></div></div> 17.3%
90	Others	0	
95	Refuse to answer	14	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
96	It depends	15	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
97	No opinion	42	<div><div></div></div> 1.8%
98	Don't know	187	<div><div></div></div> 8.2%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# s3e: On reducing economic inequality, how do you think the two parties compare?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	KMT is much better	37	<div><div></div></div> 1.6%
2	KMT is a little better	211	<div><div></div></div> 9.2%
3	DPP is a little better	445	<div><div></div></div> 19.4%
4	DPP is much better	119	<div><div></div></div> 5.2%
5	Both parties are pretty good	181	<div><div></div></div> 7.9%
6	Neither party is very good	1033	<div><div></div></div> 45.1%
90	Others	0	
95	Refuse to answer	16	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
96	It depends	19	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
97	No opinion	52	<div><div></div></div> 2.3%
98	Don't know	179	<div><div></div></div> 7.8%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# v1: Year of birth.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-95] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
11		2	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
12		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
13		5	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
14		3	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
15		4	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
16		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
17		2	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
18		7	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%

v1: Year of birth.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
19		9	0.4%
20		8	0.3%
21		9	0.4%
22		11	0.5%
23		16	0.7%
24		13	0.6%
25		18	0.8%
26		20	0.9%
27		19	0.8%
28		21	0.9%
29		15	0.7%
30		36	1.6%
31		17	0.7%
32		24	1.0%
33		23	1.0%
34		21	0.9%
35		23	1.0%
36		24	1.0%
37		25	1.1%
38		19	0.8%
39		29	1.3%
40		41	1.8%
41		36	1.6%
42		46	2.0%
43		53	2.3%
44		46	2.0%
45		43	1.9%
46		51	2.2%
47		52	2.3%
48		50	2.2%
49		53	2.3%
50		54	2.4%
51		43	1.9%
52		52	2.3%
53		47	2.1%
54		43	1.9%
55		44	1.9%
56		54	2.4%
57		43	1.9%
58		55	2.4%
59		48	2.1%
60		45	2.0%
61		30	1.3%
62		47	2.1%
63		33	1.4%

# v1: Year of birth.			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
64		33	<div><div></div></div> 1.4%
65		51	<div><div></div></div> 2.2%
66		39	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%
67		55	<div><div></div></div> 2.4%
68		44	<div><div></div></div> 1.9%
69		42	<div><div></div></div> 1.8%
70		40	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%
71		61	<div><div></div></div> 2.7%
72		52	<div><div></div></div> 2.3%
73		43	<div><div></div></div> 1.9%
74		51	<div><div></div></div> 2.2%
75		32	<div><div></div></div> 1.4%
76		37	<div><div></div></div> 1.6%
77		26	<div><div></div></div> 1.1%
78		28	<div><div></div></div> 1.2%
79		36	<div><div></div></div> 1.6%
80		34	<div><div></div></div> 1.5%
81		32	<div><div></div></div> 1.4%
82		21	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
95	Refuse to answer	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# v2: Father's ethnic background			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Taiwanese Hakka	260	<div><div></div></div> 11.3%
2	Taiwanese Min-Nan	1712	<div><div></div></div> 74.7%
3	Mainlander	241	<div><div></div></div> 10.5%
4	Aboriginal	33	<div><div></div></div> 1.4%
5	Taiwanese – benshengren	0	
6	Malaysian	0	
7	Filipino	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
8	Vietnamese	8	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
9	Singaporean	0	
10	Matsu	0	
11	Indonesian	0	
12	Kinmen	0	
13	Taiwanese	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
14	Burmese	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
15	Overseas Chinese	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
16	Hong Kong	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
18	Khmer	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
19	Japanese	0	
90	Others	0	

# v2: Father's ethnic background			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
95	Refuse to answer	4	0.2%
98	Don't know	23	1.0%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# v3: Mother's ethnic background			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Taiwanese Hakka	282	12.3%
2	Taiwanese Min-Nan	1791	78.1%
3	Mainlander	131	5.7%
4	Aboriginal	39	1.7%
5	Taiwanese – benshengren	1	0.0%
6	Malaysian	0	
7	Filipino	3	0.1%
8	Vietnamese	9	0.4%
9	Singaporean	0	
10	Matsu	0	
11	Indonesian	0	
12	Kinmen	1	0.0%
13	Taiwanese	4	0.2%
14	Burmese	1	0.0%
15	Overseas Chinese	3	0.1%
16	Hong Kong	1	0.0%
18	Khmer	1	0.0%
19	Japanese	2	0.1%
20	Taiwan-Japanese	1	0.0%
21	Thailand	1	0.0%
90	Others	0	
95	Refuse to answer	4	0.2%
98	Don't know	17	0.7%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# v4: Educational level?			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-95] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Illiterate	97	4.2%
2	Literate but no formal schooling	8	0.3%
3	Some primary school	50	2.2%
4	Primary school graduate	246	10.7%
5	Some junior high school	25	1.1%
6	Junior high school graduate	243	10.6%
7	Some high school or vocational school	49	2.1%
8	High school or vocational school graduate	517	22.6%
9	Some technical college	8	0.3%

# v4: Educational level?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	Technical college graduate	269	<div><div></div></div> 11.7%
11	Some university	76	<div><div></div></div> 3.3%
12	University graduate	526	<div><div></div></div> 22.9%
13	Post-graduate education	170	<div><div></div></div> 7.4%
90	Others	0	
95	Refuse to answer	8	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# v5: Religious belief			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	None	677	<div><div></div></div> 29.5%
2	Buddhism	830	<div><div></div></div> 36.2%
3	Taoism	565	<div><div></div></div> 24.7%
4	Catholic [Skip to V6]	22	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%
5	Protestant [Skip to V6]	104	<div><div></div></div> 4.5%
6	Muslim [Skip to V6]	0	
7	I-Kuan-Tao [Skip to V6]	38	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%
8	Traditional folk belief	7	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
9	Buddhism and Taoism	26	<div><div></div></div> 1.1%
10	Vajrayana Buddhism	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
11	Confucian	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
12	Mormonism	0	
13	Shinto	0	
14	Scientology	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
15	White Lotus	0	
16	Falun Gong	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
17	S?ka Gakkai	5	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
18	Unification Church	0	
19	The Lord of Universe Church	0	
20	New Age	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
21	Baha'i Faith	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
90	Others [Continue to V5a]	0	
95	Refuse to answer [Continue to V5a]	0	
98	Don't know [Continue to V5a]	11	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
99	Skip	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# v5a: Do you burn incense for the Earth God, Matsu, or some other spirits?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1818	<div><div></div></div> 79.3%
2	No	309	<div><div></div></div> 13.5%
90	Others	0	

# v5a: Do you burn incense for the Earth God, Matsu, or some other spirits?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
95	Refuse to answer	1	0.0%
99	Skip	164	<div><div></div></div> 7.2%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# v6: Current employment status of R			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-95] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Employed full time (32 hours or more a week)	1257	<div><div></div></div> 54.8%
2	Employed part time (15 to 32 hours a week)	98	<div><div></div></div> 4.3%
3	Employed less than part time (less than 15 hours a week)	64	<div><div></div></div> 2.8%
4	Help out at home	133	<div><div></div></div> 5.8%
5	Currently looking for employment [Skip to V6b]	77	<div><div></div></div> 3.4%
6	Student or currently in job training [Skip to V7]	78	<div><div></div></div> 3.4%
7	Retired [Skip to V6b]	311	<div><div></div></div> 13.6%
8	Home duties	241	<div><div></div></div> 10.5%
9	Disabled or unable to work [Skip to V7]	22	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%
10	Unemployed	1	0.0%
90	Others	0	
95	Refuse to answer [Skip to V7]	10	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# v6a: Main occupation of R			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-9999] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	Servicemen	20	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
31	Housekeeping, without domestic crafting	229	<div><div></div></div> 10.0%
32	Housekeeping, with domestic crafting	28	<div><div></div></div> 1.2%
33	Housekeeping, helping familial business without pay	72	<div><div></div></div> 3.1%
34	Housekeeping, helping familial business with pay	27	<div><div></div></div> 1.2%
40	Never worked before	1	0.0%
1010	Legislators and Government Administrators	4	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
1021	Directors and Chief Executives (Pub.)	4	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
1022	Directors and Chief Executives (Priv.)	100	<div><div></div></div> 4.4%
1031	Production and Operations Managers (Pub.)	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
1032	Production and Operations Managers (Priv.)	25	<div><div></div></div> 1.1%
1091	Other Managers (Pub.)	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
1092	Other Managers (Priv.)	37	<div><div></div></div> 1.6%
2011	Phys., Math. and Eng. Science Professionals (Pub.)	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
2012	Phys., Math. and Eng. Science Professionals (Priv.)	24	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%
2021	Biol. Science and Health Professionals (Pub.)	1	0.0%
2022	Biol. Science and Health Professionals (Priv.)	13	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
2031	Teachers (Pub.)	28	<div><div></div></div> 1.2%
2032	Teachers (Priv.)	28	<div><div></div></div> 1.2%
2041	Accountants and Business Professionals (Pub.)	0	

v6a: Main occupation of R

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2042	Accountants and Business Professionals (Priv.)	8	0.3%
2051	Lawyers and Legal Professionals (Pub.)	1	0.0%
2052	Lawyers and Legal Professionals (Priv.)	1	0.0%
2061	Social Science and Related Professionals (Pub.)	1	0.0%
2062	Social Science and Related Professionals (Priv.)	0	
2091	Other Professionals (Pub.)	19	0.8%
2092	Other Professionals (Priv.)	62	2.7%
3011	Phys. and Eng. Science Associate Professionals (Pub.)	1	0.0%
3012	Phys. and Eng. Science Associate Professionals (Priv.)	9	0.4%
3021	Biol. Science and Health Associate Professionals (Pub.)	3	0.1%
3022	Biol. Science and Health Associate Professionals (Priv.)	16	0.7%
3031	Teaching and Related Associate Professionals (Pub.)	5	0.2%
3032	Teaching and Related Associate Professionals (Priv.)	14	0.6%
3041	Fin. and Business Services Associate Professionals (Pub.)	3	0.1%
3042	Fin. and Business Services Associate Professionals (Priv.)	62	2.7%
3051	Gov. Admin. Officials and Bus. Clerical Supervisors (Pub.)	1	0.0%
3052	Gov. Admin. Officials and Bus. Clerical Supervisors (Priv.)	3	0.1%
3061	Administrative Associate Professionals (Pub.)	13	0.6%
3062	Administrative Associate Professionals (Priv.)	25	1.1%
3071	Customs, Tax and Related Gov. Associate Professionals (Pub.)	2	0.1%
3072	Customs, Tax and Related Gov. Associate Professionals (Priv.)	3	0.1%
3091	Other Associate Professionals (Pub.)	3	0.1%
3092	Other Associate Professionals (Priv.)	26	1.1%
4011	Office Clerks (Pub.)	34	1.5%
4012	Office Clerks (Priv.)	55	2.4%
4021	Customer Services Clerks (Pub.)	7	0.3%
4022	Customer Services Clerks (Priv.)	36	1.6%
5011	Personal Services Workers (Pub.)	2	0.1%
5012	Personal Services Workers (Priv.)	109	4.8%
5021	Protective Services Workers (Pub.)	7	0.3%
5022	Protective Services Workers (Priv.)	6	0.3%
5031	Models, Salespersons and Demonstrators (Pub.)	0	
5032	Models, Salespersons and Demonstrators (Priv.)	26	1.1%
6011	Agr., Animal Husbandry, Forestry and Fishing Workers (Pub.)	8	0.3%
6012	Agr., Animal Husbandry, Forestry and Fishing Workers (Priv.)	92	4.0%
7011	Extraction and Building Trades Workers (Pub.)	0	
7012	Extraction and Building Trades Workers (Priv.)	16	0.7%
7021	Metal, Machinery and Rel. Trades Workers (Pub.)	3	0.1%
7022	Metal, Machinery and Rel. Trades Workers (Priv.)	42	1.8%
7031	Precision, Handicraft, Print. and Rel. Trades Workers (Pub.)	2	0.1%
7032	Precision, Handicraft, Print. and Rel. Trades Workers (Priv.)	22	1.0%
7091	Other Craft and Rel. Trades Workers (Pub.)	2	0.1%
7092	Other Craft and Rel. Trades Workers (Priv.)	104	4.5%
8011	Stationary-plant and Rel. Operators (Pub.)	2	0.1%
8012	Stationary-plant and Rel. Operators (Priv.)	12	0.5%

# v6a: Main occupation of R			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8021	Machine Operators (Pub.)	1	0.0%
8022	Machine Operators (Priv.)	15	0.7%
8031	Assemblers (Pub.)	0	
8032	Assemblers (Priv.)	32	1.4%
8041	Drivers and Mobile-plant Operators (Pub.)	2	0.1%
8042	Drivers and Mobile-plant Operators (Priv.)	29	1.3%
9011	Sales and Services Elementary Occupations (Pub.)	2	0.1%
9012	Sales and Services Elementary Occupations (Priv.)	90	3.9%
9021	Production Laborers and Rel. Workers (Pub.)	3	0.1%
9022	Production Laborers and Rel. Workers (Priv.)	30	1.3%
9091	Other Elementary Occupations (Pub.)	4	0.2%
9092	Other Elementary Occupations (Priv.)	56	2.4%
9990	Others	0	
9995	Refuse to answer	13	0.6%
9999	Skip	498	21.7%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# v6b: Main occupation of R before retirement or unemployment			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-9999] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	Servicemen	17	0.7%
20	Student	13	0.6%
1010	Legislators and Government Administrators	9	0.4%
1021	Directors and Chief Executives (Pub.)	6	0.3%
1022	Directors and Chief Executives (Priv.)	27	1.2%
1031	Production and Operations Managers (Pub.)	1	0.0%
1032	Production and Operations Managers (Priv.)	9	0.4%
1091	Other Managers (Pub.)	3	0.1%
1092	Other Managers (Priv.)	9	0.4%
2011	Phys., Math. and Eng. Science Professionals (Pub.)	0	
2012	Phys., Math. and Eng. Science Professionals (Priv.)	1	0.0%
2021	Biol. Science and Health Professionals (Pub.)	2	0.1%
2022	Biol. Science and Health Professionals (Priv.)	5	0.2%
2031	Teachers (Pub.)	14	0.6%
2032	Teachers (Priv.)	5	0.2%
2041	Accountants and Business Professionals (Pub.)	0	
2042	Accountants and Business Professionals (Priv.)	4	0.2%
2051	Lawyers and Legal Professionals (Pub.)	0	
2052	Lawyers and Legal Professionals (Priv.)	1	0.0%
2061	Social Science and Related Professionals (Pub.)	0	
2062	Social Science and Related Professionals (Priv.)	1	0.0%
2091	Other Professionals (Pub.)	5	0.2%
2092	Other Professionals (Priv.)	9	0.4%
3011	Phys. and Eng. Science Associate Professionals (Pub.)	0	

v6b: Main occupation of R before retirement or unemployment

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3012	Phys. and Eng. Science Associate Professionals (Priv.)	0	
3021	Biol. Science and Health Associate Professionals (Pub.)	0	
3022	Biol. Science and Health Associate Professionals (Priv.)	0	
3031	Teaching and Related Associate Professionals (Pub.)	5	0.2%
3032	Teaching and Related Associate Professionals (Priv.)	1	0.0%
3041	Fin. and Business Services Associate Professionals (Pub.)	1	0.0%
3042	Fin. and Business Services Associate Professionals (Priv.)	4	0.2%
3051	Gov. Admin. Officials and Bus. Clerical Supervisors (Pub.)	1	0.0%
3052	Gov. Admin. Officials and Bus. Clerical Supervisors (Priv.)	0	
3061	Administrative Associate Professionals (Pub.)	3	0.1%
3062	Administrative Associate Professionals (Priv.)	2	0.1%
3071	Customs, Tax and Related Gov. Associate Professionals (Pub.)	0	
3072	Customs, Tax and Related Gov. Associate Professionals (Priv.)	0	
3091	Other Associate Professionals (Pub.)	2	0.1%
3092	Other Associate Professionals (Priv.)	2	0.1%
4011	Office Clerks (Pub.)	9	0.4%
4012	Office Clerks (Priv.)	8	0.3%
4021	Customer Services Clerks (Pub.)	1	0.0%
4022	Customer Services Clerks (Priv.)	3	0.1%
5011	Personal Services Workers (Pub.)	1	0.0%
5012	Personal Services Workers (Priv.)	12	0.5%
5021	Protective Services Workers (Pub.)	5	0.2%
5022	Protective Services Workers (Priv.)	1	0.0%
5031	Models, Salespersons and Demonstrators (Pub.)	0	
5032	Models, Salespersons and Demonstrators (Priv.)	2	0.1%
6011	Agr., Animal Husbandry, Forestry and Fishing Workers (Pub.)	0	
6012	Agr., Animal Husbandry, Forestry and Fishing Workers (Priv.)	44	1.9%
7011	Extraction and Building Trades Workers (Pub.)	0	
7012	Extraction and Building Trades Workers (Priv.)	4	0.2%
7021	Metal, Machinery and Rel. Trades Workers (Pub.)	1	0.0%
7022	Metal, Machinery and Rel. Trades Workers (Priv.)	10	0.4%
7031	Precision, Handicraft, Print. and Rel. Trades Workers (Pub.)	0	
7032	Precision, Handicraft, Print. and Rel. Trades Workers (Priv.)	7	0.3%
7091	Other Craft and Rel. Trades Workers (Pub.)	4	0.2%
7092	Other Craft and Rel. Trades Workers (Priv.)	17	0.7%
8011	Stationary-plant and Rel. Operators (Pub.)	0	
8012	Stationary-plant and Rel. Operators (Priv.)	4	0.2%
8021	Machine Operators (Pub.)	1	0.0%
8022	Machine Operators (Priv.)	3	0.1%
8031	Assemblers (Pub.)	0	
8032	Assemblers (Priv.)	7	0.3%
8041	Drivers and Mobile-plant Operators (Pub.)	3	0.1%
8042	Drivers and Mobile-plant Operators (Priv.)	12	0.5%
9011	Sales and Services Elementary Occupations (Pub.)	1	0.0%
9012	Sales and Services Elementary Occupations (Priv.)	16	0.7%

# v6b: Main occupation of R before retirement or unemployment			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
9021	Production Laborers and Rel. Workers (Pub.)	0	
9022	Production Laborers and Rel. Workers (Priv.)	24	1.0%
9091	Other Elementary Occupations (Pub.)	2	0.1%
9092	Other Elementary Occupations (Priv.)	15	0.7%
9990	Others	0	
9995	Refuse to answer	9	0.4%
9999	Skip	1904	83.1%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# v7: Marital status			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-95] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Married or live as married	1490	65.0%
2	Separated	13	0.6%
3	Widowed	109	4.8%
4	Cohabit without legally marrying	25	1.1%
5	Divorced [Skip to V10]	84	3.7%
6	Single [Skip to V10]	565	24.7%
90	Others	0	
95	Refuse to answer [Skip to V10]	6	0.3%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# v8: Spouse's ethnic background			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Taiwanese Hakka	201	8.8%
2	Taiwanese Min-Nan	1222	53.3%
3	Mainlander	157	6.8%
4	Aboriginal	15	0.7%
5	Taiwanese – benshengren	9	0.4%
6	Malaysian	14	0.6%
7	Filipino	0	
8	Vietnamese	0	
9	Singaporean	0	
10	Matsu	0	
11	Indonesian	1	0.0%
12	Kinmen	0	
13	Taiwanese	3	0.1%
14	Burmese	1	0.0%
15	Overseas Chinese	1	0.0%
16	Hong Kong	0	
18	Khmer	0	
19	Japanese	1	0.0%
90	Others	0	

# v8: Spouse's ethnic background			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
95	Refuse to answer	4	0.2%
98	Don't know	8	0.3%
99	Skip	655	28.6%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# v9: Current employment status of R's spouse			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Employed full time (32 hours or more a week)	870	38.0%
2	Employed part time (15 to 32 hours a week)	67	2.9%
3	Employed less than part time (less than 15 hours a week)	23	1.0%
4	Help out at home	82	3.6%
5	Currently looking for employment [Skip to V9b]	24	1.0%
6	Student or currently in job training [Skip to V10]	2	0.1%
7	Retired [Skip to V9b]	247	10.8%
8	Home duties	280	12.2%
9	Disabled or unable to work [Skip to V10]	19	0.8%
10	Unemployed	1	0.0%
90	Others	0	
95	Refuse to answer [Skip to V10]	22	1.0%
99	Skip	655	28.6%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# v9a: Main occupation of R's spouse			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-9999] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	Servicemen	18	0.8%
31	Housekeeping, without domestic crafting	268	11.7%
32	Housekeeping, with domestic crafting	18	0.8%
33	Housekeeping, helping familial business without pay	40	1.7%
34	Housekeeping, helping familial business with pay	24	1.0%
40	Never worked before	1	0.0%
1010	Legislators and Government Administrators	10	0.4%
1021	Directors and Chief Executives (Pub.)	3	0.1%
1022	Directors and Chief Executives (Priv.)	79	3.4%
1031	Production and Operations Managers (Pub.)	1	0.0%
1032	Production and Operations Managers (Priv.)	17	0.7%
1091	Other Managers (Pub.)	3	0.1%
1092	Other Managers (Priv.)	43	1.9%
2011	Phys., Math. and Eng. Science Professionals (Pub.)	1	0.0%
2012	Phys., Math. and Eng. Science Professionals (Priv.)	16	0.7%
2021	Biol. Science and Health Professionals (Pub.)	1	0.0%
2022	Biol. Science and Health Professionals (Priv.)	21	0.9%
2031	Teachers (Pub.)	24	1.0%

v9a: Main occupation of R's spouse

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2032	Teachers (Priv.)	10	0.4%
2041	Accountants and Business Professionals (Pub.)	0	
2042	Accountants and Business Professionals (Priv.)	8	0.3%
2051	Lawyers and Legal Professionals (Pub.)	1	0.0%
2052	Lawyers and Legal Professionals (Priv.)	2	0.1%
2061	Social Science and Related Professionals (Pub.)	0	
2062	Social Science and Related Professionals (Priv.)	0	
2091	Other Professionals (Pub.)	10	0.4%
2092	Other Professionals (Priv.)	40	1.7%
3011	Phys. and Eng. Science Associate Professionals (Pub.)	1	0.0%
3012	Phys. and Eng. Science Associate Professionals (Priv.)	8	0.3%
3021	Biol. Science and Health Associate Professionals (Pub.)	3	0.1%
3022	Biol. Science and Health Associate Professionals (Priv.)	10	0.4%
3031	Teaching and Related Associate Professionals (Pub.)	1	0.0%
3032	Teaching and Related Associate Professionals (Priv.)	5	0.2%
3041	Fin. and Business Services Associate Professionals (Pub.)	1	0.0%
3042	Fin. and Business Services Associate Professionals (Priv.)	25	1.1%
3051	Gov. Admin. Officials and Bus. Clerical Supervisors (Pub.)	1	0.0%
3052	Gov. Admin. Officials and Bus. Clerical Supervisors (Priv.)	0	
3061	Administrative Associate Professionals (Pub.)	4	0.2%
3062	Administrative Associate Professionals (Priv.)	12	0.5%
3071	Customs, Tax and Related Gov. Associate Professionals (Pub.)	2	0.1%
3072	Customs, Tax and Related Gov. Associate Professionals (Priv.)	0	
3091	Other Associate Professionals (Pub.)	7	0.3%
3092	Other Associate Professionals (Priv.)	10	0.4%
4011	Office Clerks (Pub.)	19	0.8%
4012	Office Clerks (Priv.)	31	1.4%
4021	Customer Services Clerks (Pub.)	0	
4022	Customer Services Clerks (Priv.)	23	1.0%
5011	Personal Services Workers (Pub.)	2	0.1%
5012	Personal Services Workers (Priv.)	38	1.7%
5021	Protective Services Workers (Pub.)	5	0.2%
5022	Protective Services Workers (Priv.)	8	0.3%
5031	Models, Salespersons and Demonstrators (Pub.)	0	
5032	Models, Salespersons and Demonstrators (Priv.)	18	0.8%
6011	Agr., Animal Husbandry, Forestry and Fishing Workers (Pub.)	5	0.2%
6012	Agr., Animal Husbandry, Forestry and Fishing Workers (Priv.)	66	2.9%
7011	Extraction and Building Trades Workers (Pub.)	1	0.0%
7012	Extraction and Building Trades Workers (Priv.)	21	0.9%
7021	Metal, Machinery and Rel. Trades Workers (Pub.)	3	0.1%
7022	Metal, Machinery and Rel. Trades Workers (Priv.)	35	1.5%
7031	Precision, Handicraft, Print. and Rel. Trades Workers (Pub.)	1	0.0%
7032	Precision, Handicraft, Print. and Rel. Trades Workers (Priv.)	17	0.7%
7091	Other Craft and Rel. Trades Workers (Pub.)	2	0.1%
7092	Other Craft and Rel. Trades Workers (Priv.)	69	3.0%

# v9a: Main occupation of R's spouse			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8011	Stationary-plant and Rel. Operators (Pub.)	0	
8012	Stationary-plant and Rel. Operators (Priv.)	4	0.2%
8021	Machine Operators (Pub.)	0	
8022	Machine Operators (Priv.)	11	0.5%
8031	Assemblers (Pub.)	0	
8032	Assemblers (Priv.)	17	0.7%
8041	Drivers and Mobile-plant Operators (Pub.)	2	0.1%
8042	Drivers and Mobile-plant Operators (Priv.)	22	1.0%
9011	Sales and Services Elementary Occupations (Pub.)	4	0.2%
9012	Sales and Services Elementary Occupations (Priv.)	70	3.1%
9021	Production Laborers and Rel. Workers (Pub.)	1	0.0%
9022	Production Laborers and Rel. Workers (Priv.)	34	1.5%
9091	Other Elementary Occupations (Pub.)	4	0.2%
9092	Other Elementary Occupations (Priv.)	30	1.3%
9990	Others	0	
9995	Refuse to answer	11	0.5%
9999	Skip	969	42.3%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# v9b: Main occupation of R's spouse before his/her retirement or unemployment			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-9999] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	Servicemen	18	0.8%
20	Student	0	
1010	Legislators and Government Administrators	3	0.1%
1021	Directors and Chief Executives (Pub.)	9	0.4%
1022	Directors and Chief Executives (Priv.)	23	1.0%
1031	Production and Operations Managers (Pub.)	2	0.1%
1032	Production and Operations Managers (Priv.)	2	0.1%
1091	Other Managers (Pub.)	0	
1092	Other Managers (Priv.)	4	0.2%
2011	Phys., Math. and Eng. Science Professionals (Pub.)	0	
2012	Phys., Math. and Eng. Science Professionals (Priv.)	0	
2021	Biol. Science and Health Professionals (Pub.)	0	
2022	Biol. Science and Health Professionals (Priv.)	1	0.0%
2031	Teachers (Pub.)	12	0.5%
2032	Teachers (Priv.)	1	0.0%
2041	Accountants and Business Professionals (Pub.)	0	
2042	Accountants and Business Professionals (Priv.)	2	0.1%
2051	Lawyers and Legal Professionals (Pub.)	1	0.0%
2052	Lawyers and Legal Professionals (Priv.)	0	
2061	Social Science and Related Professionals (Pub.)	0	
2062	Social Science and Related Professionals (Priv.)	0	
2091	Other Professionals (Pub.)	2	0.1%

v9b: Main occupation of R's spouse before his/her retirement or unemployment

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2092	Other Professionals (Priv.)	8	0.3%
3011	Phys. and Eng. Science Associate Professionals (Pub.)	2	0.1%
3012	Phys. and Eng. Science Associate Professionals (Priv.)	1	0.0%
3021	Biol. Science and Health Associate Professionals (Pub.)	2	0.1%
3022	Biol. Science and Health Associate Professionals (Priv.)	0	
3031	Teaching and Related Associate Professionals (Pub.)	4	0.2%
3032	Teaching and Related Associate Professionals (Priv.)	1	0.0%
3041	Fin. and Business Services Associate Professionals (Pub.)	0	
3042	Fin. and Business Services Associate Professionals (Priv.)	3	0.1%
3051	Gov. Admin. Officials and Bus. Clerical Supervisors (Pub.)	0	
3052	Gov. Admin. Officials and Bus. Clerical Supervisors (Priv.)	0	
3061	Administrative Associate Professionals (Pub.)	3	0.1%
3062	Administrative Associate Professionals (Priv.)	0	
3071	Customs, Tax and Related Gov. Associate Professionals (Pub.)	0	
3072	Customs, Tax and Related Gov. Associate Professionals (Priv.)	0	
3091	Other Associate Professionals (Pub.)	0	
3092	Other Associate Professionals (Priv.)	5	0.2%
4011	Office Clerks (Pub.)	8	0.3%
4012	Office Clerks (Priv.)	4	0.2%
4021	Customer Services Clerks (Pub.)	1	0.0%
4022	Customer Services Clerks (Priv.)	3	0.1%
5011	Personal Services Workers (Pub.)	0	
5012	Personal Services Workers (Priv.)	6	0.3%
5021	Protective Services Workers (Pub.)	2	0.1%
5022	Protective Services Workers (Priv.)	1	0.0%
5031	Models, Salespersons and Demonstrators (Pub.)	0	
5032	Models, Salespersons and Demonstrators (Priv.)	0	
6011	Agr., Animal Husbandry, Forestry and Fishing Workers (Pub.)	2	0.1%
6012	Agr., Animal Husbandry, Forestry and Fishing Workers (Priv.)	39	1.7%
7011	Extraction and Building Trades Workers (Pub.)	0	
7012	Extraction and Building Trades Workers (Priv.)	5	0.2%
7021	Metal, Machinery and Rel. Trades Workers (Pub.)	3	0.1%
7022	Metal, Machinery and Rel. Trades Workers (Priv.)	5	0.2%
7031	Precision, Handicraft, Print. and Rel. Trades Workers (Pub.)	0	
7032	Precision, Handicraft, Print. and Rel. Trades Workers (Priv.)	1	0.0%
7091	Other Craft and Rel. Trades Workers (Pub.)	1	0.0%
7092	Other Craft and Rel. Trades Workers (Priv.)	14	0.6%
8011	Stationary-plant and Rel. Operators (Pub.)	1	0.0%
8012	Stationary-plant and Rel. Operators (Priv.)	3	0.1%
8021	Machine Operators (Pub.)	0	
8022	Machine Operators (Priv.)	2	0.1%
8031	Assemblers (Pub.)	0	
8032	Assemblers (Priv.)	3	0.1%
8041	Drivers and Mobile-plant Operators (Pub.)	1	0.0%
8042	Drivers and Mobile-plant Operators (Priv.)	6	0.3%

# v9b: Main occupation of R's spouse before his/her retirement or unemployment			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
9011	Sales and Services Elementary Occupations (Pub.)	1	0.0%
9012	Sales and Services Elementary Occupations (Priv.)	10	0.4%
9021	Production Laborers and Rel. Workers (Pub.)	2	0.1%
9022	Production Laborers and Rel. Workers (Priv.)	20	0.9%
9091	Other Elementary Occupations (Pub.)	1	0.0%
9092	Other Elementary Occupations (Priv.)	8	0.3%
9990	Others	0	
9995	Refuse to answer	9	0.4%
9999	Skip	2021	88.2%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# v10: Language usually spoken at home			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-95] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Mandarin	743	32.4%
2	Taiwanese	964	42.1%
3	Hakka	59	2.6%
4	Aboriginal language	4	0.2%
5	Other Chinese dialect	1	0.0%
6	Both Mandarin and Taiwanese	461	20.1%
7	Bothe Mandarin and Hakka	37	1.6%
8	Both Taiwanese and Hakka	5	0.2%
9	Both Mandarin and other Chinese dialect	7	0.3%
10	both Mandarin and Japanese	0	
11	Mandarin, Taiwanese and Japanese	0	
12	Japanese and Taiwanese	0	
13	Mandarin and Aboriginal language	2	0.1%
14	Mandarin, Taiwanese and Hakka	4	0.2%
15	English	2	0.1%
16	Burmese language	0	
17	Mandarin, Taiwanese and English	0	
18	both Mandarin and English	1	0.0%
19	Vietnamese	1	0.0%
90	Others	0	
95	Refuse to answer	1	0.0%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# v11: Number of people in household			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-95] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1 person	75	3.3%
2	2 people	207	9.0%
3	3 people	311	13.6%
4	4 people	605	26.4%

# v11: Number of people in household			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	5 people	436	<div><div></div></div> 19.0%
6	6 people	250	<div><div></div></div> 10.9%
7	7 people	154	<div><div></div></div> 6.7%
8	8 people	80	<div><div></div></div> 3.5%
9	9 people	47	<div><div></div></div> 2.1%
10	10 people	47	<div><div></div></div> 2.1%
11	11 people	20	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
12	12 people	15	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
13	13 people	5	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
14	14 people	12	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
15	15 people	4	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
16	16 people	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
17	17 people	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
18	18 people	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
19	19 people	0	
20	20 people	0	
21	21 people	0	
22	22 people	0	
23	23 people	0	
24	24 people	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
25	25 people	0	
26	26 people	0	
27	27 people	0	
28	28 people	0	
29	29 people	0	
30	30 people	0	
90	Others	0	
95	Refuse to answer	17	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# v11a: Number of children under age 18 in household			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	None	1209	<div><div></div></div> 52.7%
1	1 person	443	<div><div></div></div> 19.3%
2	2 people	439	<div><div></div></div> 19.2%
3	3 people	106	<div><div></div></div> 4.6%
4	4 people	38	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%
5	5 people	20	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
6	6 people	8	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
7	7 people	8	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
8	8 people	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
9	9 people	0	
10	10 people	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%

# v11a: Number of children under age 18 in household			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
11	11 people	0	
12	12 people	0	
13	13 people	0	
14	14 people	0	
15	15 people	0	
16	16 people	0	
17	17 people	0	
18	18 people	0	
19	19 people	0	
20	20 people	0	
21	21 people	0	
22	22 people	0	
23	23 people	0	
24	24 people	0	
25	25 people	0	
26	26 people	0	
27	27 people	0	
28	28 people	0	
29	29 people	0	
30	30 people	0	
90	Others	0	
95	Refuse to answer	2	0.1%
99	Skip	17	0.7%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# v12: In the past five years, how many foreign trips have you taken (including trips to Hong Kong, and Macau but not including trips to Mainland China)?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1418	<div></div> 61.9%
1		324	<div></div> 14.1%
2		187	<div></div> 8.2%
3		126	<div></div> 5.5%
4		46	<div></div> 2.0%
5		78	<div></div> 3.4%
6		22	<div></div> 1.0%
7		10	<div></div> 0.4%
8		12	<div></div> 0.5%
9		2	<div></div> 0.1%
10		31	<div></div> 1.4%
12		4	<div></div> 0.2%
13		3	<div></div> 0.1%
15		4	<div></div> 0.2%
16		1	<div></div> 0.0%

v12: In the past five years, how many foreign trips have you taken (including trips to Hong Kong, and Macau but not including trips to Mainland China)?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
20		2	0.1%
25		2	0.1%
30		1	0.0%
35		1	0.0%
40		1	0.0%
50		1	0.0%
88	Too many times to count	0	
89	Forgot	6	0.3%
95	Refuse to answer	6	0.3%
98	Don't know	4	0.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

v12a: In the past five years, how many trips have you taken to Mainland China (not including Hong Kong or Macau)?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1714	74.8%
1		264	11.5%
2		119	5.2%
3		68	3.0%
4		22	1.0%
5		38	1.7%
6		7	0.3%
7		8	0.3%
8		4	0.2%
9		1	0.0%
10		16	0.7%
13		1	0.0%
16		1	0.0%
20		6	0.3%
25		1	0.0%
30		2	0.1%
50		3	0.1%
60		3	0.1%
88	Too many times to count	3	0.1%
89	Forgot	4	0.2%
95	Refuse to answer	6	0.3%
98	Don't know	1	0.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

v13: Have you or any member of your family made investments, conducted business, held employment, settled down or studied in Mainland China?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

v13: Have you or any member of your family made investments, conducted business, held employment, settled down or studied in Mainland China?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes, at present	260	11.3%
2	Yes, in the past	139	6.1%
3	No, never	1884	82.2%
90	Others	0	
95	Refuse to answer	3	0.1%
98	Don't know	6	0.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

v14: If we divide social class to upper, upper middle, middle, lower middle and lower, which do you think you are?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Upper	6	0.3%
2	Upper middle	139	6.1%
3	Middle	1076	46.9%
4	Lower middle	644	28.1%
5	Lower	309	13.5%
90	Others	0	
95	Refuse to answer	13	0.6%
96	It depends	3	0.1%
97	No opinion	7	0.3%
98	Don't know	95	4.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# v15: Monthly household income			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Under 25000	323	<div><div></div></div> 14.1%
2	25001-36000	177	<div><div></div></div> 7.7%
3	36001-45000	171	<div><div></div></div> 7.5%
4	45001-55000	180	<div><div></div></div> 7.9%
5	55001-64000	170	<div><div></div></div> 7.4%
6	64001-75000	141	<div><div></div></div> 6.2%
7	75001-87000	143	<div><div></div></div> 6.2%
8	87001-100000	182	<div><div></div></div> 7.9%
9	100001-130000	163	<div><div></div></div> 7.1%
10	Over 130001	207	<div><div></div></div> 9.0%
90	Others	0	
95	Refuse to answer	118	<div><div></div></div> 5.1%
96	It's hard to say	115	<div><div></div></div> 5.0%
98	Don't know	202	<div><div></div></div> 8.8%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# v16a: Do you or a member of your household own a home or an apartment?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	2030	<div><div></div></div> 88.6%
2	No	252	<div><div></div></div> 11.0%
90	Others	0	
95	Refuse to answer	7	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
98	Don't know	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# v16b: Do you or a member of your household own stocks or bonds?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	920	<div><div></div></div> 40.1%
2	No	1325	<div><div></div></div> 57.8%
90	Others	0	
95	Refuse to answer	9	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
98	Don't know	38	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# v16c: Do you or a member of your household own savings?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1711	<div><div></div></div> 74.7%

# v16c: Do you or a member of your household own savings?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	No	527	<div><div></div></div> 23.0%
90	Others	0	
95	Refuse to answer	18	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
98	Don't know	36	<div><div></div></div> 1.6%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# v17: Finally, our teacher is interested in the Internet usage in Taiwan, may you provide us your most frequently used e-mail?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-95] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	e-mail	853	<div><div></div></div> 37.2%
2	No	1137	<div><div></div></div> 49.6%
95	Refuse to answer	302	<div><div></div></div> 13.2%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# v18: Are you a resident of where your household is registered?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-95] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes [Skip to V19]	2088	<div><div></div></div> 91.1%
2	No [Continue to V18a]	203	<div><div></div></div> 8.9%
95	Refuse to answer [Skip to V19]	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# v18a: In which county/city and which town do you live?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 2-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Yi Lan County	0	
3	Taoyuan County	12	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
4	Hsinchu County	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
5	Miao Li County	4	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
7	Changhua County	5	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
8	Nantou County	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
9	Yunlin County	9	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
10	Chiayi County	9	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
13	Pingtung County	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
14	Taitung County	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
15	Hualien County	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
16	Peng Hu County	0	
17	Keelung City	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
18	Hsinchu City	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
20	Chiayi City	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
28	Kinmen County	0	
29	Lienchiang County	0	

# v18a: In which county/city and which town do you live?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
63	Taipei City	30	1.3%
64	Kaoshiung City	23	1.0%
65	New Taipei City	38	1.7%
66	Taichung City	31	1.4%
67	Tainan City	21	0.9%
95	Refuse to answer	2	0.1%
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skip	2089	91.1%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# v18b: Which township or city in Yi Lan County?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yilan City	0	
2	Luodong Township	0	
3	Su'ao Township	0	
4	Toucheng Township	0	
5	Jiaoxi Township	0	
6	Zhuangwei Township	0	
7	Yuanshan Township	0	
8	Dongshan Township	0	
9	Wujie Township	0	
10	Sanxing Township	0	
11	Datong Township	0	
12	Nan'ao Township	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skip	2292	100.0%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# v18c: Which township or city in Taoyuan County?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Taoyuan City	2	0.1%
2	Zhongli City	3	0.1%
3	Daxi Township	1	0.0%
4	Yangmei City	0	
5	Luzhu Township	1	0.0%
6	Dayuan Township	0	
7	Guishan Township	4	0.2%
8	Bade City	1	0.0%
9	Longtan Township	0	
10	Pingzhen City	0	
11	Xinwu Township	0	

# v18c: Which township or city in Taoyuan County?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
12	Guanyin Township	0	
13	Fuxing Township	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skip	2280	99.5%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# v18d: Which township or city in Hsinchu County?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Zhubei City	2	0.1%
2	Zhudong Township	0	
3	Xinpu Township	0	
4	Guanxi Township	0	
5	Hukou Township	0	
6	Xinfeng Township	0	
7	Qionglin Township	0	
8	Hengshan Township	0	
9	Beipu Township	0	
10	Baoshan Township	1	0.0%
11	Emei Township	0	
12	Jianshi Township	0	
13	Wufeng Township	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skip	2289	99.9%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# v18e: Which township or city in Miaoli County?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Miaoli City	1	0.0%
2	Yuanli Township	0	
3	Tongxiao Township	0	
4	Zhunan Township	0	
5	Toufen Township	0	
6	Houlong Township	0	
7	Zhuolan Township	1	0.0%
8	Dahu Township	1	0.0%
9	Gongguan Township	0	
10	Tongluo Township	0	
11	Nanzhuang Township	0	
12	Touwu Township	0	
13	Sanyi Township	1	0.0%

# v18e: Which township or city in Miaoli County?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
14	Xihu Township	0	
15	Zaoqiao Township	0	
16	Sanwan Township	0	
17	Shitan Township	0	
18	Tai'an Township	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skip	2288	99.8%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# v18f: Which township or city in Changhua County?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Changhua City	3	0.1%
2	Lugang Township	0	
3	Hemei Township	0	
4	Xianxi Township	0	
5	Shengang Township	0	
6	Fuxing Township	0	
7	Xiushui Township	0	
8	Huatan Township	1	0.0%
9	Fenyuan Township	0	
10	Yuanlin Township	0	
11	Xihu Township	0	
12	Tianzhong Township	0	
13	Dacun Township	0	
14	Puyan Township	0	
15	Puxin Township	0	
16	Yongjing Township	0	
17	Shetou Township	0	
18	Ershui Township	0	
19	Beidou Township	0	
20	Erlin Township	0	
21	Tianwei Township	1	0.0%
22	Pitou Township	0	
23	Fangyuan Township	0	
24	Dacheng Township	0	
25	Zhutang Township	0	
26	Xizhou Township	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skip	2287	99.8%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# v18g: Which township or city in Nantou County?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Nantou City	0	
2	Puli Township	2	0.1%
3	Caotun Township	0	
4	Zhushan Township	1	0.0%
5	Jiji Township	0	
6	Mingjian Township	0	
7	Lugu Township	0	
8	Zhongliao Township	0	
9	Yuchi Township	0	
10	Guoxing Township	0	
11	Shuili Township	0	
12	Xinyi Township	0	
13	Ren'ai Township	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skip	2289	99.9%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# v18h: Which township or city in Yunlin County?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Douliu City	1	0.0%
2	Dounan Township	0	
3	Huwei Township	1	0.0%
4	Xiluo Township	0	
5	Tuku Township	0	
6	Beigang Township	3	0.1%
7	Gukeng Township	0	
8	Dapi Township	0	
9	Citong Township	0	
10	Linnei Township	0	
11	Erlun Township	0	
12	Lunbei Township	1	0.0%
13	Mailiao Township	0	
14	Dongshi Township	0	
15	Baozhong Township	0	
16	Taixi Township	0	
17	Yuanchang Township	0	
18	Sihu Township	0	
19	Kouhu Township	0	
20	Shuilin Township	2	0.1%
95	Refuse to answer	1	0.0%

# v18h: Which township or city in Yunlin County?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skip	2283	99.6%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# v18i: Which township or city in Chiayi County?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Taibao City	0	
2	Puzi City	0	
3	Budai Township	1	0.0%
4	Dalin Township	1	0.0%
5	Minxiong Township	5	0.2%
6	Xikou Township	0	
7	Xingang Township	0	
8	Liujiao Township	0	
9	Dongshi Township	0	
10	Yizhu Township	0	
11	Lucao Township	0	
12	Shuishang Township	0	
13	Zhongpu Township	2	0.1%
14	Zhuqi Township	0	
15	Meishan Township	0	
16	Fanlu Township	0	
17	Dapu Township	0	
18	Alishan Township	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skip	2283	99.6%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# v18j: Which township or city in Pingtung County?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Pingtung City	0	
2	Chaozhou Township	0	
3	Donggang Township	0	
4	Hengchun Township	0	
5	Wandan Township	0	
6	Changzhi Township	0	
7	Linluo Township	0	
8	Jiuru Township	0	
9	Ligang Township	0	
10	Yanpu Township	0	
11	Gaoshu Township	1	0.0%

# v18j: Which township or city in Pingtung County?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
12	Wanluan Township	1	0.0%
13	Neipu Township	0	
14	Zhutian Township	0	
15	Xinpi Township	0	
16	Fangliao Township	0	
17	Xinyuan Township	0	
18	Kanding Township	0	
19	Linbian Township	0	
20	Nanzhou Township	0	
21	Jiadong Township	0	
22	Liuqiu Township	0	
23	Checheng Townshi	0	
24	Manzhou Townshi	0	
25	Fangshan Township	0	
26	Sandimen Township	0	
27	Wutai Township	0	
28	Majia Township	0	
29	Taiwu Townshi	0	
30	Laiyi Township	0	
31	Chunri Townshi	0	
32	Shizi Township	0	
33	Mudan Township	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skip	2290	99.9%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# v18k: Which township or city in Taitung County?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Taitung City	3	0.1%
2	Chenggong Township	0	
3	Guanshan Township	0	
4	Beinan Township	0	
5	Luye Township	0	
6	Chishang Township	0	
7	Donghe Township	0	
8	Changbin Township	0	
9	Taimali Township	0	
10	Dawu Township	0	
11	Lyudao Township	0	
12	Haiduan Township	0	
13	Yanping Townshi	0	
14	Jinfeng Township	0	

# v18k: Which township or city in Taitung County?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
15	Daren Township	0	
16	Lanyu Township	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skip	2289	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# v18l: Which township or city in Hualien County?			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Hualien City	1	0.0%
2	Fenglin Township	0	
3	Yuli Township	1	
4	Xincheng Townshi	0	
5	Ji'an Township	0	
6	Shoufeng Township	0	
7	Guangfu Township	0	
8	Fengbin Township	0	
9	Ruisui Township	1	
10	Fuli Township	0	
11	Xiulin Townshi	0	
12	Wanrong Township	0	
13	Zhuoxi Township	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skip	2289	99.9%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# v18m: Which township or city in Penghu County?			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Magong City	0	
2	Huxi Township	0	
3	Baisha Township	0	
4	Xiyu Township	0	
5	Wang'an Township	0	
6	Qimei Township	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skip	2292	100.0%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# v18n: Which area in Keelung City?			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]	

# v18n: Which area in Keelung City?			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Zhongzheng District	0	
2	Qidu District	0	
3	Nuannuan District	0	
4	Ren'ai District	0	
5	Zhongshan District	0	
6	Anle District	0	
7	Xinyi District	1	0.0%
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skip	2291	100.0%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# v18o: Which area in Hsinchu City?			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	East District	1	0.0%
2	North District	1	0.0%
3	Xiangshan District	1	0.0%
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skip	2289	99.9%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# v18p: Which area in Chiayi City?			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	East District	1	0.0%
2	West District	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skip	2291	100.0%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# v18q: Which area in Taipei City?			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Songshan District	2	0.1%
2	Xinyi District	1	0.0%
3	Da'an District	6	0.3%
4	Zhongshan District	6	0.3%
5	Zhongzheng District	1	0.0%
6	Datong District	3	0.1%

v18q: Which area in Taipei City?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7	Wanhua District	1	0.0%
8	Wenshan District	7	0.3%
9	Nangang District	1	0.0%
10	Neihu District	1	0.0%
11	Shilin District	0	
12	Beitou District	1	0.0%
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skip	2262	98.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

v18r: Which area in Kaohsiung City?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yancheng District	2	0.1%
2	Gushan District	0	
3	Zuoying District	1	0.0%
4	Nanzi District	1	0.0%
5	Sanmin District	3	0.1%
6	Xinxing District	0	
7	Qianjin District	0	
8	Lingya District	1	0.0%
9	Qianzhen District	1	0.0%
10	Qijin District	0	
11	Xiaogang District	1	0.0%
12	Fengshan District	3	0.1%
13	Linyuan District	0	
14	Daliao District	0	
15	Dashu District	1	0.0%
16	Dashe District	1	0.0%
17	Renwu District	0	
18	Niaosong District	0	
19	Gangshan District	0	
20	Qiaotou District	0	
21	Yanchao District	0	
22	Tianliao District	0	
23	Alian District	0	
24	Luzhu District	3	0.1%
25	Hunei District	0	
26	Qieding District	0	
27	Yong'an District	0	
28	Mituo District	0	
29	Ziguan District	0	
30	Qishan District	3	0.1%

# v18r: Which area in Kaohsiung City?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
31	Meinong District	2	0.1%
32	Liugui District	0	
33	Jiaxian District	0	
34	Shanlin District	0	
35	Neimen District	0	
36	Maolin District	0	
37	Taoyuan District	0	
38	Namaxia District	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skip	2269	99.0%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# v18s: Which area in New Taipei City?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Banqiao District	6	0.3%
2	Sanchong District	1	0.0%
3	Zhonghe District	3	0.1%
4	Yonghe District	3	0.1%
5	Xinzhuang District	3	0.1%
6	Xindian District	4	0.2%
7	Shulin District	0	
8	Yingge District	0	
9	Sanxia District	1	0.0%
10	Danshui District	2	0.1%
11	Xizhi District	1	0.0%
12	Ruifang District	1	0.0%
13	Tucheng District	0	
14	Luzhou District	0	
15	Wugu District	2	0.1%
16	Taishan District	2	0.1%
17	Linkou District	4	0.2%
18	Shenkeng District	0	
19	Shiding District	0	
20	Pinglin District	4	0.2%
21	Sanzhi District	0	
22	Shimen District	0	
23	Bali District	0	
24	Pingxi District	0	
25	Shuangxi District	0	
26	Gongliao District	1	0.0%
27	Jinshan District	0	
28	Wanli District	0	

# v18s: Which area in New Taipei City?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
29	Wulai District	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skip	2254	98.3%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# v18t: Which area in Taichung City?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Central District	0	
2	East District	1	0.0%
3	South District	3	0.1%
4	West District	3	0.1%
5	North District	7	0.3%
6	Xitun District	4	0.2%
7	Nantun District	0	
8	Beitun District	4	0.2%
9	Fengyuan District	0	
10	Dongshi District	0	
11	Dajia District	0	
12	Qingshui District	0	
13	Shalu District	0	
14	Wuqi District	0	
15	Houli District	0	
16	Shengang District	0	
17	Tanzi District	0	
18	Daya District	0	
19	Xinshe District	0	
20	Shigang District	0	
21	Waipu District	4	0.2%
22	Da'an District	0	
23	Wuri District	2	0.1%
24	Dadu District	0	
25	Longjing District	0	
26	Wufeng District	1	0.0%
27	Taiping District	0	
28	Dali District	0	
29	Heping District	0	
95	Refuse to answer	2	0.1%
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skip	2261	98.6%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# v18u: Which area in Tainan City?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		

# v18u: Which area in Tainan City?			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Xinying District	0	
2	Yanshui District	1	0.0%
3	Baihe District	0	
4	Liuying District	0	
5	Houbi District	0	
6	Dongshan District	1	0.0%
7	Madou District	0	
8	Xiaying District	0	
9	Liujia District	0	
10	Guantian District	0	
11	Danei District	0	
12	Jiali District	0	
13	Xuejia District	0	
14	Shanhua District	0	
15	Qigu District	0	
16	Jiangjun District	0	
17	Beimen District	0	
18	Xinhua District	0	
19	Xinhua District	4	0.2%
20	Xinshi District	1	0.0%
21	Anding District	0	
22	Shanshang District	0	
23	Yujing District	0	
24	Nanxi District	0	
25	Nanhua District	0	
26	Zuozhen District	0	
27	Rende District	0	
28	Guiren District	0	
29	Guanmiao District	0	
30	Longqi District	0	
31	Yongkang District	1	0.0%
32	East District	4	0.2%
33	South District	1	0.0%
34	North District	3	0.1%
35	Annan District	3	0.1%
36	Anping District	0	
37	West Central District	2	0.1%
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skip	2271	99.1%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# v19: How many telephone lines does your household have? (only those used for voice communications)			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]		

# v19: How many telephone lines does your household have? (only those used for voice communications)			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		130	<div><div></div></div> 5.7%
1		1629	<div><div></div></div> 71.1%
2		444	<div><div></div></div> 19.4%
3		64	<div><div></div></div> 2.8%
4		12	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
5		2	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
6		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
95	Refuse to answer	10	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
98	Don't know	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# v19a: How many mobile phone numbers do you own?			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-95] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		246	<div><div></div></div> 10.7%
1		1744	<div><div></div></div> 76.1%
2		252	<div><div></div></div> 11.0%
3		18	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
4		3	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
5		7	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
6		2	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
15		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
95	Refuse to answer	19	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# v19b: Does the R leave his/her telephone number and mobile phone number to I?			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-95] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Telephone	2130	<div><div></div></div> 92.9%
95	Refuse to answer	162	<div><div></div></div> 7.1%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# v19c: How do you use your land lines and mobile phones at work or at home?			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-95] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Land lines only	233	<div><div></div></div> 10.2%
2	Land lines mostly	393	<div><div></div></div> 17.1%
3	Half and half	468	<div><div></div></div> 20.4%
4	Mobile phones mostly	684	<div><div></div></div> 29.8%
5	Mobile phones only; some calls are transferred from land lin	61	<div><div></div></div> 2.7%
6	Mobile phones only	335	<div><div></div></div> 14.6%
7	Don't use land lines and mobile phones	18	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%

# v19c: How do you use your land lines and mobile phones at work or at home?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
90	Others	0	
95	Refuse to answer	100	<div></div> 4.4%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# v20: What time do you usually go to bed?			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-96] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		564	<div></div> 24.6%
1		170	<div></div> 7.4%
2		101	<div></div> 4.4%
3		34	<div></div> 1.5%
4		9	<div></div> 0.4%
5		3	<div></div> 0.1%
6		2	<div></div> 0.1%
7		2	<div></div> 0.1%
8		2	<div></div> 0.1%
9		3	<div></div> 0.1%
10		3	<div></div> 0.1%
11		1	<div></div> 0.0%
12		3	<div></div> 0.1%
14		2	<div></div> 0.1%
15		1	<div></div> 0.0%
17		1	<div></div> 0.0%
18		4	<div></div> 0.2%
19		16	<div></div> 0.7%
20		68	<div></div> 3.0%
21		153	<div></div> 6.7%
22		454	<div></div> 19.8%
23		586	<div></div> 25.6%
95	Refuse to answer	7	<div></div> 0.3%
96	It depends	103	<div></div> 4.5%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# v21: What time do you usually get up?			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-96] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		3	<div></div> 0.1%
1		1	<div></div> 0.0%
2		2	<div></div> 0.1%
3		19	<div></div> 0.8%
4		89	<div></div> 3.9%
5		346	<div></div> 15.1%
6		642	<div></div> 28.0%
7		588	<div></div> 25.7%

# v21: What time do you usually get up?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8		283	<div></div> 12.3%
9		117	<div></div> 5.1%
10		51	<div></div> 2.2%
11		18	<div></div> 0.8%
12		21	<div></div> 0.9%
13		11	<div></div> 0.5%
14		5	<div></div> 0.2%
15		4	<div></div> 0.2%
16		2	<div></div> 0.1%
17		3	<div></div> 0.1%
18		1	<div></div> 0.0%
19		1	<div></div> 0.0%
20		1	<div></div> 0.0%
95	Refuse to answer	4	<div></div> 0.2%
96	It depends	80	<div></div> 3.5%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# v22: R's gender			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Male	1132	<div></div> 49.4%
2	Female	1160	<div></div> 50.6%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# v23: Language used to conduct interview			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-95] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Mandarin	1802	<div></div> 78.6%
2	Taiwanese	204	<div></div> 8.9%
3	Hakka	3	<div></div> 0.1%
4	Mandarin and Taiwanese	279	<div></div> 12.2%
5	Mandarin and Hakka	3	<div></div> 0.1%
6	Taiwanese and Hakka	1	<div></div> 0.0%
90	Others	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# v24: The interview ended at:			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-10208231914] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1			
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# x1_1: During the interview, did the R do any of the following? [multiple response permitted]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Claim he or she was too busy to be interviewed	157	<div><div></div></div> 6.8%
2	Claim his or her family was too busy for him to be interview	60	<div><div></div></div> 2.6%
3	Claim to be uninterested in surveys	225	<div><div></div></div> 9.8%
4	Claim not to trust opinion surveys	29	<div><div></div></div> 1.3%
5	Express doubt about how the survey would be used	43	<div><div></div></div> 1.9%
6	Express doubt about whether R's opinions or personal informa	67	<div><div></div></div> 2.9%
7	Express doubt about the surveying organization or project di	26	<div><div></div></div> 1.1%
8	Express doubt about the identity of the I	16	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
9	A family member opposed the R being interviewed	26	<div><div></div></div> 1.1%
10	None of the above	1643	<div><div></div></div> 71.7%
90	Others	0	
99	Skip	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# x1_2: During the interview, did the R do any of the following? [multiple response permitted]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Claim he or she was too busy to be interviewed	12	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
2	Claim his or her family was too busy for him to be interview	9	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
3	Claim to be uninterested in surveys	18	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
4	Claim not to trust opinion surveys	9	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
5	Express doubt about how the survey would be used	32	<div><div></div></div> 1.4%
6	Express doubt about whether R's opinions or personal informa	20	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
7	Express doubt about the surveying organization or project di	15	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
8	Express doubt about the identity of the I	12	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
9	A family member opposed the R being interviewed	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
10	None of the above	0	
90	Others	0	
99	Skip	2163	<div><div></div></div> 94.4%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# x1_3: During the interview, did the R do any of the following? [multiple response permitted]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Claim he or she was too busy to be interviewed	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
2	Claim his or her family was too busy for him to be interview	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
3	Claim to be uninterested in surveys	5	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
4	Claim not to trust opinion surveys	5	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
5	Express doubt about how the survey would be used	12	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
6	Express doubt about whether R's opinions or personal informa	12	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
7	Express doubt about the surveying organization or project di	7	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%

# x1_3: During the interview, did the R do any of the following? [multiple response permitted]			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8	Express doubt about the identity of the I	5	0.2%
9	A family member opposed the R being interviewed	2	0.1%
10	None of the above	0	
90	Others	0	
99	Skip	2239	97.7%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# x1_4: During the interview, did the R do any of the following? [multiple response permitted]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Claim he or she was too busy to be interviewed	2	0.1%
2	Claim his or her family was too busy for him to be interview	3	0.1%
3	Claim to be uninterested in surveys	3	0.1%
4	Claim not to trust opinion surveys	4	0.2%
5	Express doubt about how the survey would be used	8	0.3%
6	Express doubt about whether R's opinions or personal informa	6	0.3%
7	Express doubt about the surveying organization or project di	6	0.3%
8	Express doubt about the identity of the I	1	0.0%
9	A family member opposed the R being interviewed	2	0.1%
10	None of the above	0	
90	Others	0	
99	Skip	2257	98.5%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# x1_5: During the interview, did the R do any of the following? [multiple response permitted]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Claim he or she was too busy to be interviewed	0	
2	Claim his or her family was too busy for him to be interview	1	0.0%
3	Claim to be uninterested in surveys	2	0.1%
4	Claim not to trust opinion surveys	3	0.1%
5	Express doubt about how the survey would be used	2	0.1%
6	Express doubt about whether R's opinions or personal informa	3	0.1%
7	Express doubt about the surveying organization or project di	0	
8	Express doubt about the identity of the I	3	0.1%
9	A family member opposed the R being interviewed	0	
10	None of the above	0	
90	Others	0	
99	Skip	2278	99.4%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# x1_6: During the interview, did the R do any of the following? [multiple response permitted]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		

# x1_6: During the interview, did the R do any of the following? [multiple response permitted]			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Claim he or she was too busy to be interviewed	1	0.0%
2	Claim his or her family was too busy for him to be interview	0	
3	Claim to be uninterested in surveys	0	
4	Claim not to trust opinion surveys	0	
5	Express doubt about how the survey would be used	1	0.0%
6	Express doubt about whether R's opinions or personal informa	0	
7	Express doubt about the surveying organization or project di	0	
8	Express doubt about the identity of the I	1	0.0%
9	A family member opposed the R being interviewed	2	0.1%
10	None of the above	0	
90	Others	0	
99	Skip	2287	99.8%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# x1_7: During the interview, did the R do any of the following? [multiple response permitted]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Claim he or she was too busy to be interviewed	0	
2	Claim his or her family was too busy for him to be interview	2	0.1%
3	Claim to be uninterested in surveys	0	
4	Claim not to trust opinion surveys	0	
5	Express doubt about how the survey would be used	0	
6	Express doubt about whether R's opinions or personal informa	0	
7	Express doubt about the surveying organization or project di	0	
8	Express doubt about the identity of the I	0	
9	A family member opposed the R being interviewed	0	
10	None of the above	0	
90	Others	0	
99	Skip	2290	99.9%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# x1_8: During the interview, did the R do any of the following? [multiple response permitted]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Claim he or she was too busy to be interviewed	0	
2	Claim his or her family was too busy for him to be interview	0	
3	Claim to be uninterested in surveys	0	
4	Claim not to trust opinion surveys	0	
5	Express doubt about how the survey would be used	0	
6	Express doubt about whether R's opinions or personal informa	0	
7	Express doubt about the surveying organization or project di	0	
8	Express doubt about the identity of the I	0	
9	A family member opposed the R being interviewed	0	

# x1_8: During the interview, did the R do any of the following? [multiple response permitted]			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	None of the above	0	
90	Others	0	
99	Skip	2292	<div><div></div></div> 100.0%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# x1_9: During the interview, did the R do any of the following? [multiple response permitted]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Claim he or she was too busy to be interviewed	0	
2	Claim his or her family was too busy for him to be interview	0	
3	Claim to be uninterested in surveys	0	
4	Claim not to trust opinion surveys	0	
5	Express doubt about how the survey would be used	0	
6	Express doubt about whether R's opinions or personal informa	0	
7	Express doubt about the surveying organization or project di	0	
8	Express doubt about the identity of the I	0	
9	A family member opposed the R being interviewed	0	
10	None of the above	0	
90	Others	0	
99	Skip	2292	<div><div></div></div> 100.0%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# x2a: Did the R ask to fill out the questionnaire him or herself?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	40	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%
2	No	2252	<div><div></div></div> 98.3%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# x2b: Did the R ask to see the questionnaire?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	41	<div><div></div></div> 1.8%
2	No	2251	<div><div></div></div> 98.2%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# x2c: Did the R seem to want to quit during the interview?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	104	<div><div></div></div> 4.5%
2	No	2188	<div><div></div></div> 95.5%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# x2d: Did the R say that there were too many questions?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	341	<div></div> 14.9%
2	No	1951	<div></div> 85.1%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# x2e: Did the R say that a question was unclear or something was not defined well?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	152	<div></div> 6.6%
2	No	2140	<div></div> 93.4%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# x2e1_1: The number of the question			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10000-999999] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10000	set A	0	
10100	A1	0	
10200	A2	0	
10300	A3	1	0.0%
10400	A4	0	
10500	A5	0	
10600	A6	1	0.0%
10700	A7	0	
20000	set B	2	0.1%
20100	B1	1	0.0%
20200	B2	0	
20300	B3	0	
30000	set C	0	
30100	C1	0	
30200	C2	0	
30300	C3	0	
40000	set D	6	0.3%
40100	D1	4	0.2%
40200	D2	0	
40300	D3	1	0.0%
50000	set E	0	
50100	E1	0	
50200	E2	0	
50300	E3	1	0.0%
50400	E4	1	0.0%
60000	set F	0	
60100	F1	0	
60200	F2	0	
60300	F3	0	
60400	F4	0	
60500	F5	5	0.2%
60600	F6	0	
60700	F7	4	0.2%
60800	F8	2	0.1%
70000	set G	2	0.1%
70100	G1	0	
70200	G2	0	
70300	G3	4	0.2%
70400	G4	0	
70500	G5	1	0.0%
70600	G6	3	0.1%
70700	G7	0	
80000	set H	1	0.0%

# x2e1_1: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
80100	H1	0	
80200	H2	0	
80300	H3	0	
80400	H4	0	
80500	H5	1	0.0%
80600	H6	0	
80700	H7	3	0.1%
80800	H8	0	
80900	H9	2	0.1%
81000	H10	0	
81100	H11	1	0.0%
90000	set J	0	
90100	J1	0	
90200	J2	0	
90201	J2b	0	
100000	set K	0	
100101	K1a	0	
100102	K1b	0	
100103	K1c	1	0.0%
100104	K1d	0	
100105	K1e	0	
100106	K1f	0	
100107	K1g	0	
120000	set M	0	
120100	M1	0	
120200	M2	0	
130000	set N	1	0.0%
130100	set N1	17	0.7%
130101	N1a	1	0.0%
130102	N1b	0	
130103	N1c	0	
130104	N1d	2	0.1%
130105	N1e	3	0.1%
130106	N1f	2	0.1%
130107	N1g	0	
130108	N1h	0	
130109	N1i	1	0.0%
130200	N2	3	0.1%
130201	N2a	6	0.3%
130202	N2b	2	0.1%
130203	N2c	1	0.0%
130204	N2d	1	0.0%
140000	set P	4	0.2%
140100	P1	1	0.0%
140200	P2	2	0.1%

# x2e1_1: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
140300	P3	2	0.1%
150000	set Q	2	0.1%
150100	Q1	6	0.3%
150200	Q2	1	0.0%
150300	Q3	0	
150400	Q4	1	0.0%
150500	Q5	2	0.1%
150600	Q6	0	
150700	Q7	3	0.1%
150800	Q8	1	0.0%
150900	Q9	1	0.0%
151000	Q10	0	
151100	Q11	0	
151200	Q12	1	0.0%
151300	Q13	0	
160000	set R	0	
160100	R1	0	
160200	R2	0	
160300	R3	0	
160400	R4	0	
160500	R5	0	
160600	R6	0	
160700	R7	0	
170000	set S	2	0.1%
170100	S1	0	
170101	S1a	0	
170102	S1b	0	
170103	S1c	0	
170200	S2	0	
170201	S2a	0	
170300	S3	1	0.0%
170301	S3a	0	
170302	S3b	0	
170303	S3c	0	
170304	S3d	0	
170305	S3e	0	
180000	set V	0	
180100	V1	0	
180200	V2	0	
180300	V3	0	
180400	V4	0	
180500	V5	0	
180600	V6	0	
180601	V6a	0	
180602	V6b	0	

# x2e1_1: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
180700	V7	1	0.0%
180800	V8	0	
180900	V9	0	
180901	V9a	0	
180902	V9b	0	
181000	V10	0	
181100	V11	0	
181101	V11a	0	
181200	V12	0	
181201	V12a	0	
181300	V13	1	0.0%
181400	V14	3	0.1%
181500	V15	0	
181600	set V16	0	
181601	V16a	0	
181602	V16b	0	
181603	V16c	1	0.0%
181700	V17	0	
181800	V18	0	
181801	V18a	0	
181900	V19	0	
181901	V19a	0	
181902	V19b	0	
181903	V19c	0	
182000	V20	0	
182100	V21	0	
182200	V22	0	
999991	too much, all	28	1.2%
999999	Skip	2140	93.4%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# x2e1_2: The number of the question			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10000-999999] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10000	set A	0	
10100	A1	0	
10200	A2	0	
10300	A3	0	
10400	A4	0	
10500	A5	0	
10600	A6	0	
10700	A7	0	
20000	set B	1	0.0%
20100	B1	0	

# x2e1_2: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
20200	B2	0	
20300	B3	0	
30000	set C	0	
30100	C1	0	
30200	C2	0	
30300	C3	0	
40000	set D	0	
40100	D1	1	0.0%
40200	D2	3	0.1%
40300	D3	0	
50000	set E	1	0.0%
50100	E1	0	
50200	E2	0	
50300	E3	0	
50400	E4	0	
60000	set F	0	
60100	F1	0	
60200	F2	0	
60300	F3	0	
60400	F4	0	
60500	F5	2	0.1%
60600	F6	4	0.2%
60700	F7	1	0.0%
60800	F8	2	0.1%
70000	set G	0	
70100	G1	1	0.0%
70200	G2	0	
70300	G3	0	
70400	G4	0	
70500	G5	0	
70600	G6	0	
70700	G7	1	0.0%
80000	set H	1	0.0%
80100	H1	0	
80200	H2	0	
80300	H3	0	
80400	H4	0	
80500	H5	0	
80600	H6	0	
80700	H7	0	
80800	H8	0	
80900	H9	0	
81000	H10	0	
81100	H11	0	
90000	set J	0	

# x2e1_2: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
90100	J1	0	
90200	J2	0	
90201	J2b	0	
100000	set K	0	
100101	K1a	1	0.0%
100102	K1b	0	
100103	K1c	0	
100104	K1d	0	
100105	K1e	0	
100106	K1f	0	
100107	K1g	0	
120000	set M	0	
120100	M1	0	
120200	M2	2	0.1%
130000	set N	0	
130100	set N1	2	0.1%
130101	N1a	0	
130102	N1b	0	
130103	N1c	0	
130104	N1d	0	
130105	N1e	2	0.1%
130106	N1f	1	0.0%
130107	N1g	0	
130108	N1h	1	0.0%
130109	N1i	0	
130200	N2	2	0.1%
130201	N2a	0	
130202	N2b	1	0.0%
130203	N2c	2	0.1%
130204	N2d	1	0.0%
140000	set P	1	0.0%
140100	P1	0	
140200	P2	0	
140300	P3	0	
150000	set Q	3	0.1%
150100	Q1	3	0.1%
150200	Q2	0	
150300	Q3	1	0.0%
150400	Q4	1	0.0%
150500	Q5	0	
150600	Q6	0	
150700	Q7	3	0.1%
150800	Q8	2	0.1%
150900	Q9	0	
151000	Q10	0	

# x2e1_2: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
151100	Q11	0	
151200	Q12	0	
151300	Q13	2	0.1%
160000	set R	1	0.0%
160100	R1	0	
160200	R2	0	
160300	R3	0	
160400	R4	0	
160500	R5	0	
160600	R6	0	
160700	R7	0	
170000	set S	0	
170100	S1	0	
170101	S1a	0	
170102	S1b	0	
170103	S1c	0	
170200	S2	0	
170201	S2a	0	
170300	S3	0	
170301	S3a	1	0.0%
170302	S3b	1	0.0%
170303	S3c	0	
170304	S3d	0	
170305	S3e	0	
180000	set V	0	
180100	V1	0	
180200	V2	0	
180300	V3	0	
180400	V4	0	
180500	V5	0	
180600	V6	0	
180601	V6a	0	
180602	V6b	0	
180700	V7	0	
180800	V8	0	
180900	V9	0	
180901	V9a	0	
180902	V9b	0	
181000	V10	0	
181100	V11	0	
181101	V11a	0	
181200	V12	0	
181201	V12a	0	
181300	V13	0	
181400	V14	0	

# x2e1_2: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
181500	V15	0	
181600	set V16	0	
181601	V16a	0	
181602	V16b	0	
181603	V16c	0	
181700	V17	0	
181800	V18	0	
181801	V18a	0	
181900	V19	0	
181901	V19a	0	
181902	V19b	0	
181903	V19c	0	
182000	V20	0	
182100	V21	0	
182200	V22	0	
999991	too much, all	0	
999999	Skip	2240	97.7%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# x2e1_3: The number of the question			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10000-999999] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10000	set A	0	
10100	A1	0	
10200	A2	0	
10300	A3	0	
10400	A4	0	
10500	A5	0	
10600	A6	0	
10700	A7	0	
20000	set B	1	0.0%
20100	B1	0	
20200	B2	0	
20300	B3	0	
30000	set C	0	
30100	C1	0	
30200	C2	0	
30300	C3	0	
40000	set D	1	0.0%
40100	D1	0	
40200	D2	0	
40300	D3	1	0.0%
50000	set E	1	0.0%
50100	E1	1	0.0%

# x2e1_3: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
50200	E2	0	
50300	E3	0	
50400	E4	0	
60000	set F	0	
60100	F1	0	
60200	F2	0	
60300	F3	0	
60400	F4	0	
60500	F5	0	
60600	F6	2	0.1%
60700	F7	0	
60800	F8	0	
70000	set G	0	
70100	G1	1	0.0%
70200	G2	0	
70300	G3	1	0.0%
70400	G4	0	
70500	G5	0	
70600	G6	1	0.0%
70700	G7	0	
80000	set H	0	
80100	H1	0	
80200	H2	0	
80300	H3	0	
80400	H4	0	
80500	H5	0	
80600	H6	0	
80700	H7	0	
80800	H8	0	
80900	H9	0	
81000	H10	0	
81100	H11	0	
90000	set J	0	
90100	J1	0	
90200	J2	0	
90201	J2b	0	
100000	set K	0	
100101	K1a	0	
100102	K1b	1	0.0%
100103	K1c	0	
100104	K1d	0	
100105	K1e	0	
100106	K1f	0	
100107	K1g	0	
120000	set M	0	

# x2e1_3: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
120100	M1	0	
120200	M2	0	
130000	set N	0	
130100	set N1	0	
130101	N1a	1	0.0%
130102	N1b	0	
130103	N1c	1	0.0%
130104	N1d	0	
130105	N1e	0	
130106	N1f	3	0.1%
130107	N1g	0	
130108	N1h	2	0.1%
130109	N1i	0	
130200	N2	0	
130201	N2a	0	
130202	N2b	0	
130203	N2c	0	
130204	N2d	0	
140000	set P	0	
140100	P1	0	
140200	P2	2	0.1%
140300	P3	1	0.0%
150000	set Q	1	0.0%
150100	Q1	3	0.1%
150200	Q2	0	
150300	Q3	0	
150400	Q4	0	
150500	Q5	0	
150600	Q6	0	
150700	Q7	0	
150800	Q8	1	0.0%
150900	Q9	0	
151000	Q10	0	
151100	Q11	0	
151200	Q12	0	
151300	Q13	1	0.0%
160000	set R	1	0.0%
160100	R1	0	
160200	R2	0	
160300	R3	0	
160400	R4	0	
160500	R5	0	
160600	R6	0	
160700	R7	0	
170000	set S	0	

# x2e1_3: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
170100	S1	0	
170101	S1a	0	
170102	S1b	0	
170103	S1c	0	
170200	S2	0	
170201	S2a	0	
170300	S3	1	0.0%
170301	S3a	0	
170302	S3b	0	
170303	S3c	0	
170304	S3d	0	
170305	S3e	0	
180000	set V	0	
180100	V1	0	
180200	V2	2	0.1%
180300	V3	0	
180400	V4	0	
180500	V5	0	
180600	V6	0	
180601	V6a	1	0.0%
180602	V6b	0	
180700	V7	1	0.0%
180800	V8	0	
180900	V9	0	
180901	V9a	0	
180902	V9b	0	
181000	V10	0	
181100	V11	0	
181101	V11a	0	
181200	V12	0	
181201	V12a	0	
181300	V13	0	
181400	V14	0	
181500	V15	0	
181600	set V16	0	
181601	V16a	0	
181602	V16b	0	
181603	V16c	0	
181700	V17	0	
181800	V18	0	
181801	V18a	0	
181900	V19	0	
181901	V19a	0	
181902	V19b	0	
181903	V19c	0	

# x2e1_3: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
182000	V20	0	
182100	V21	0	
182200	V22	0	
999991	too much, all	0	
999999	Skip	2259	
			98.6%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# x2e1_4: The number of the question			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10000-999999] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10000	set A	0	
10100	A1	0	
10200	A2	0	
10300	A3	0	
10400	A4	0	
10500	A5	0	
10600	A6	0	
10700	A7	0	
20000	set B	0	
20100	B1	0	
20200	B2	0	
20300	B3	0	
30000	set C	0	
30100	C1	0	
30200	C2	0	
30300	C3	0	
40000	set D	0	
40100	D1	0	
40200	D2	0	
40300	D3	0	
50000	set E	0	
50100	E1	0	
50200	E2	1	
50300	E3	0	
50400	E4	0	
60000	set F	0	
60100	F1	0	
60200	F2	0	
60300	F3	0	
60400	F4	0	
60500	F5	1	
60600	F6	0	
60700	F7	0	
60800	F8	0	

# x2e1_4: The number of the question				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
70000	set G	0	0.0%	
70100	G1	0		
70200	G2	0		
70300	G3	0		
70400	G4	0		
70500	G5	1		
70600	G6	0		
70700	G7	0		
80000	set H	0		
80100	H1	0		
80200	H2	0		
80300	H3	0		
80400	H4	0		
80500	H5	0		
80600	H6	0		
80700	H7	0		
80800	H8	0		
80900	H9	0		
81000	H10	0		
81100	H11	0		
90000	set J	0		
90100	J1	0		
90200	J2	0		
90201	J2b	0		
100000	set K	0		
100101	K1a	0		
100102	K1b	0		
100103	K1c	1	0.0%	
100104	K1d	0		
100105	K1e	0		
100106	K1f	0		
100107	K1g	0		
120000	set M	0		
120100	M1	0		
120200	M2	0		
130000	set N	0		
130100	set N1	1		0.0%
130101	N1a	0		
130102	N1b	0		
130103	N1c	0		
130104	N1d	1		0.0%
130105	N1e	0		
130106	N1f	0	0.0%	
130107	N1g	1		
130108	N1h	0		

x2e1_4: The number of the question

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
130109	N1i	1	0.0%
130200	N2	0	
130201	N2a	0	
130202	N2b	0	
130203	N2c	0	
130204	N2d	0	
140000	set P	0	
140100	P1	0	
140200	P2	0	
140300	P3	1	0.0%
150000	set Q	0	
150100	Q1	1	0.0%
150200	Q2	0	
150300	Q3	0	
150400	Q4	0	
150500	Q5	0	
150600	Q6	0	
150700	Q7	0	
150800	Q8	0	
150900	Q9	0	
151000	Q10	0	
151100	Q11	0	
151200	Q12	0	
151300	Q13	2	0.1%
160000	set R	0	
160100	R1	0	
160200	R2	0	
160300	R3	0	
160400	R4	0	
160500	R5	0	
160600	R6	0	
160700	R7	0	
170000	set S	0	
170100	S1	0	
170101	S1a	0	
170102	S1b	0	
170103	S1c	0	
170200	S2	0	
170201	S2a	0	
170300	S3	0	
170301	S3a	0	
170302	S3b	0	
170303	S3c	0	
170304	S3d	0	
170305	S3e	0	

# x2e1_4: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
180000	set V	0	
180100	V1	0	
180200	V2	0	
180300	V3	2	
180400	V4	0	
180500	V5	0	
180600	V6	0	
180601	V6a	0	
180602	V6b	0	
180700	V7	0	
180800	V8	0	
180900	V9	0	
180901	V9a	0	
180902	V9b	0	
181000	V10	0	
181100	V11	0	
181101	V11a	0	
181200	V12	0	
181201	V12a	0	
181300	V13	0	
181400	V14	0	
181500	V15	0	
181600	set V16	0	
181601	V16a	0	
181602	V16b	0	
181603	V16c	0	
181700	V17	0	
181800	V18	0	
181801	V18a	0	
181900	V19	0	
181901	V19a	0	
181902	V19b	0	
181903	V19c	0	
182000	V20	0	
182100	V21	0	
182200	V22	0	
999991	too much, all	0	
999999	Skip	2278	99.4%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# x2e1_5: The number of the question			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10000-999999] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10000	set A	0	

x2e1_5: The number of the question

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10100	A1	0	
10200	A2	0	
10300	A3	0	
10400	A4	0	
10500	A5	0	
10600	A6	0	
10700	A7	0	
20000	set B	0	
20100	B1	0	
20200	B2	0	
20300	B3	0	
30000	set C	0	
30100	C1	0	
30200	C2	0	
30300	C3	0	
40000	set D	0	
40100	D1	0	
40200	D2	0	
40300	D3	0	
50000	set E	0	
50100	E1	0	
50200	E2	0	
50300	E3	0	
50400	E4	0	
60000	set F	0	
60100	F1	0	
60200	F2	0	
60300	F3	0	
60400	F4	0	
60500	F5	0	
60600	F6	0	
60700	F7	0	
60800	F8	0	
70000	set G	0	
70100	G1	0	
70200	G2	0	
70300	G3	0	
70400	G4	0	
70500	G5	0	
70600	G6	0	
70700	G7	0	
80000	set H	0	
80100	H1	0	
80200	H2	0	
80300	H3	0	

# x2e1_5: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
80400	H4	0	
80500	H5	0	
80600	H6	0	
80700	H7	0	
80800	H8	0	
80900	H9	0	
81000	H10	0	
81100	H11	0	
90000	set J	0	
90100	J1	0	
90200	J2	0	
90201	J2b	0	
100000	set K	0	
100101	K1a	0	
100102	K1b	0	
100103	K1c	0	
100104	K1d	1	0.0%
100105	K1e	0	
100106	K1f	0	
100107	K1g	0	
120000	set M	0	
120100	M1	0	
120200	M2	1	0.0%
130000	set N	0	
130100	set N1	0	
130101	N1a	0	
130102	N1b	0	
130103	N1c	0	
130104	N1d	0	
130105	N1e	0	
130106	N1f	1	0.0%
130107	N1g	0	
130108	N1h	1	0.0%
130109	N1i	0	
130200	N2	0	
130201	N2a	1	0.0%
130202	N2b	0	
130203	N2c	0	
130204	N2d	0	
140000	set P	0	
140100	P1	0	
140200	P2	1	0.0%
140300	P3	0	
150000	set Q	0	
150100	Q1	1	0.0%

x2e1_5: The number of the question

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
150200	Q2	1	0.0%
150300	Q3	0	
150400	Q4	0	
150500	Q5	0	
150600	Q6	0	
150700	Q7	0	
150800	Q8	0	
150900	Q9	0	
151000	Q10	0	
151100	Q11	1	0.0%
151200	Q12	0	
151300	Q13	0	
160000	set R	0	
160100	R1	0	
160200	R2	0	
160300	R3	0	
160400	R4	0	
160500	R5	0	
160600	R6	0	
160700	R7	0	
170000	set S	0	
170100	S1	0	
170101	S1a	0	
170102	S1b	0	
170103	S1c	0	
170200	S2	0	
170201	S2a	0	
170300	S3	0	
170301	S3a	0	
170302	S3b	0	
170303	S3c	0	
170304	S3d	0	
170305	S3e	0	
180000	set V	0	
180100	V1	0	
180200	V2	0	
180300	V3	0	
180400	V4	0	
180500	V5	0	
180600	V6	0	
180601	V6a	0	
180602	V6b	0	
180700	V7	0	
180800	V8	0	
180900	V9	0	

# x2e1_5: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
180901	V9a	0	
180902	V9b	0	
181000	V10	0	
181100	V11	0	
181101	V11a	0	
181200	V12	0	
181201	V12a	0	
181300	V13	0	
181400	V14	0	
181500	V15	0	
181600	set V16	0	
181601	V16a	0	
181602	V16b	0	
181603	V16c	0	
181700	V17	0	
181800	V18	0	
181801	V18a	0	
181900	V19	0	
181901	V19a	0	
181902	V19b	0	
181903	V19c	0	
182000	V20	0	
182100	V21	0	
182200	V22	0	
999991	too much, all	0	
999999	Skip	2283	99.6%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# x2e1_6: The number of the question			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10000-999999] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10000	set A	0	
10100	A1	0	
10200	A2	0	
10300	A3	0	
10400	A4	0	
10500	A5	0	
10600	A6	0	
10700	A7	0	
20000	set B	0	
20100	B1	0	
20200	B2	0	
20300	B3	0	
30000	set C	0	

# x2e1_6: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
30100	C1	0	
30200	C2	0	
30300	C3	0	
40000	set D	0	
40100	D1	0	
40200	D2	0	
40300	D3	0	
50000	set E	0	
50100	E1	0	
50200	E2	0	
50300	E3	0	
50400	E4	0	
60000	set F	0	
60100	F1	0	
60200	F2	0	
60300	F3	0	
60400	F4	0	
60500	F5	0	
60600	F6	0	
60700	F7	0	
60800	F8	0	
70000	set G	0	
70100	G1	0	
70200	G2	0	
70300	G3	0	
70400	G4	0	
70500	G5	0	
70600	G6	0	
70700	G7	0	
80000	set H	0	
80100	H1	0	
80200	H2	0	
80300	H3	0	
80400	H4	0	
80500	H5	0	
80600	H6	0	
80700	H7	0	
80800	H8	0	
80900	H9	0	
81000	H10	0	
81100	H11	0	
90000	set J	0	
90100	J1	0	
90200	J2	0	
90201	J2b	0	

# x2e1_6: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
100000	set K	0	
100101	K1a	0	
100102	K1b	0	
100103	K1c	0	
100104	K1d	0	
100105	K1e	1	0.0%
100106	K1f	0	
100107	K1g	0	
120000	set M	0	
120100	M1	0	
120200	M2	0	
130000	set N	0	
130100	set N1	1	0.0%
130101	N1a	0	
130102	N1b	0	
130103	N1c	0	
130104	N1d	0	
130105	N1e	0	
130106	N1f	0	
130107	N1g	1	0.0%
130108	N1h	0	
130109	N1i	1	0.0%
130200	N2	0	
130201	N2a	0	
130202	N2b	0	
130203	N2c	0	
130204	N2d	0	
140000	set P	0	
140100	P1	0	
140200	P2	0	
140300	P3	0	
150000	set Q	0	
150100	Q1	0	
150200	Q2	0	
150300	Q3	0	
150400	Q4	0	
150500	Q5	0	
150600	Q6	0	
150700	Q7	1	0.0%
150800	Q8	0	
150900	Q9	0	
151000	Q10	0	
151100	Q11	0	
151200	Q12	0	
151300	Q13	0	

x2e1_6: The number of the question

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
160000	set R	0	
160100	R1	0	
160200	R2	0	
160300	R3	0	
160400	R4	0	
160500	R5	0	
160600	R6	0	
160700	R7	0	
170000	set S	0	
170100	S1	0	
170101	S1a	0	
170102	S1b	0	
170103	S1c	0	
170200	S2	0	
170201	S2a	0	
170300	S3	0	
170301	S3a	0	
170302	S3b	2	
170303	S3c	0	
170304	S3d	0	
170305	S3e	0	
180000	set V	0	0.1%
180100	V1	0	
180200	V2	0	
180300	V3	0	
180400	V4	0	
180500	V5	0	
180600	V6	0	
180601	V6a	0	
180602	V6b	0	
180700	V7	0	
180800	V8	0	
180900	V9	0	
180901	V9a	0	
180902	V9b	0	
181000	V10	0	
181100	V11	0	
181101	V11a	0	
181200	V12	0	
181201	V12a	0	
181300	V13	0	
181400	V14	0	
181500	V15	0	
181600	set V16	0	
181601	V16a	0	

# x2e1_6: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
181602	V16b	0	
181603	V16c	0	
181700	V17	0	
181800	V18	0	
181801	V18a	0	
181900	V19	0	
181901	V19a	0	
181902	V19b	0	
181903	V19c	0	
182000	V20	0	
182100	V21	0	
182200	V22	0	
999991	too much, all	0	
999999	Skip	2285	99.7%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# x2e1_7: The number of the question			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10000-999999] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10000	set A	0	
10100	A1	0	
10200	A2	0	
10300	A3	0	
10400	A4	0	
10500	A5	0	
10600	A6	0	
10700	A7	0	
20000	set B	0	
20100	B1	0	
20200	B2	0	
20300	B3	0	
30000	set C	0	
30100	C1	0	
30200	C2	0	
30300	C3	0	
40000	set D	0	
40100	D1	0	
40200	D2	0	
40300	D3	0	
50000	set E	0	
50100	E1	0	
50200	E2	0	
50300	E3	0	
50400	E4	0	

# x2e1_7: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
60000	set F	0	0.0%
60100	F1	0	
60200	F2	0	
60300	F3	0	
60400	F4	0	
60500	F5	0	
60600	F6	0	
60700	F7	0	
60800	F8	0	
70000	set G	0	
70100	G1	0	
70200	G2	0	
70300	G3	0	
70400	G4	0	
70500	G5	0	
70600	G6	0	
70700	G7	0	
80000	set H	0	
80100	H1	0	
80200	H2	0	
80300	H3	0	
80400	H4	0	
80500	H5	0	
80600	H6	0	
80700	H7	0	
80800	H8	0	
80900	H9	0	
81000	H10	0	
81100	H11	0	
90000	set J	0	
90100	J1	0	
90200	J2	0	
90201	J2b	0	
100000	set K	0	
100101	K1a	0	
100102	K1b	0	
100103	K1c	0	
100104	K1d	0	
100105	K1e	0	
100106	K1f	1	
100107	K1g	0	
120000	set M	0	
120100	M1	0	
120200	M2	0	
130000	set N	0	

x2e1_7: The number of the question

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
130100	set N1	0	
130101	N1a	0	
130102	N1b	0	
130103	N1c	0	
130104	N1d	0	
130105	N1e	0	
130106	N1f	0	
130107	N1g	0	
130108	N1h	1	0.0%
130109	N1i	0	
130200	N2	0	
130201	N2a	1	0.0%
130202	N2b	0	
130203	N2c	0	
130204	N2d	0	
140000	set P	0	
140100	P1	0	
140200	P2	0	
140300	P3	0	
150000	set Q	0	
150100	Q1	0	
150200	Q2	0	
150300	Q3	0	
150400	Q4	0	
150500	Q5	0	
150600	Q6	0	
150700	Q7	0	
150800	Q8	1	0.0%
150900	Q9	0	
151000	Q10	0	
151100	Q11	0	
151200	Q12	0	
151300	Q13	0	
160000	set R	0	
160100	R1	0	
160200	R2	0	
160300	R3	0	
160400	R4	0	
160500	R5	0	
160600	R6	0	
160700	R7	0	
170000	set S	0	
170100	S1	0	
170101	S1a	0	
170102	S1b	0	

x2e1_7: The number of the question

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
170103	S1c	0	0.0%
170200	S2	0	
170201	S2a	0	
170300	S3	0	
170301	S3a	0	
170302	S3b	0	
170303	S3c	0	
170304	S3d	1	
170305	S3e	0	0.0%
180000	set V	0	
180100	V1	0	
180200	V2	0	
180300	V3	0	
180400	V4	0	
180500	V5	0	
180600	V6	0	
180601	V6a	0	
180602	V6b	0	
180700	V7	0	
180800	V8	0	
180900	V9	0	
180901	V9a	0	
180902	V9b	0	
181000	V10	0	
181100	V11	0	
181101	V11a	0	
181200	V12	0	
181201	V12a	0	
181300	V13	0	
181400	V14	0	
181500	V15	0	
181600	set V16	0	
181601	V16a	0	
181602	V16b	0	
181603	V16c	0	
181700	V17	0	
181800	V18	0	
181801	V18a	0	
181900	V19	0	
181901	V19a	0	
181902	V19b	0	
181903	V19c	0	
182000	V20	0	
182100	V21	0	
182200	V22	0	

# x2e1_7: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
999991	too much, all	0	
999999	Skip	2287	99.8%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# x2e1_8: The number of the question			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10000-999999] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10000	set A	0	
10100	A1	0	
10200	A2	0	
10300	A3	0	
10400	A4	0	
10500	A5	0	
10600	A6	0	
10700	A7	0	
20000	set B	0	
20100	B1	0	
20200	B2	0	
20300	B3	0	
30000	set C	0	
30100	C1	0	
30200	C2	0	
30300	C3	0	
40000	set D	0	
40100	D1	0	
40200	D2	0	
40300	D3	0	
50000	set E	0	
50100	E1	0	
50200	E2	0	
50300	E3	0	
50400	E4	0	
60000	set F	0	
60100	F1	0	
60200	F2	0	
60300	F3	0	
60400	F4	0	
60500	F5	0	
60600	F6	0	
60700	F7	0	
60800	F8	0	
70000	set G	0	
70100	G1	0	
70200	G2	0	

# x2e1_8: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
70300	G3	0	
70400	G4	0	
70500	G5	0	
70600	G6	0	
70700	G7	0	
80000	set H	0	
80100	H1	0	
80200	H2	0	
80300	H3	0	
80400	H4	0	
80500	H5	0	
80600	H6	0	
80700	H7	0	
80800	H8	0	
80900	H9	0	
81000	H10	0	
81100	H11	0	
90000	set J	0	
90100	J1	0	
90200	J2	0	
90201	J2b	0	
100000	set K	0	
100101	K1a	0	
100102	K1b	0	
100103	K1c	0	
100104	K1d	0	
100105	K1e	0	
100106	K1f	0	
100107	K1g	1	0.0%
120000	set M	0	
120100	M1	0	
120200	M2	0	
130000	set N	0	
130100	set N1	0	
130101	N1a	0	
130102	N1b	0	
130103	N1c	0	
130104	N1d	1	0.0%
130105	N1e	0	
130106	N1f	0	
130107	N1g	0	
130108	N1h	0	
130109	N1i	1	
130200	N2	0	
130201	N2a	0	

x2e1_8: The number of the question

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
130202	N2b	1	0.0%
130203	N2c	0	
130204	N2d	0	
140000	set P	0	
140100	P1	0	
140200	P2	0	
140300	P3	0	
150000	set Q	0	
150100	Q1	0	
150200	Q2	0	
150300	Q3	0	
150400	Q4	0	
150500	Q5	0	
150600	Q6	0	
150700	Q7	0	
150800	Q8	0	
150900	Q9	0	
151000	Q10	0	
151100	Q11	0	
151200	Q12	0	
151300	Q13	0	
160000	set R	0	
160100	R1	0	
160200	R2	0	
160300	R3	0	
160400	R4	0	
160500	R5	0	
160600	R6	0	
160700	R7	0	
170000	set S	0	
170100	S1	0	
170101	S1a	0	
170102	S1b	0	
170103	S1c	0	
170200	S2	0	
170201	S2a	0	
170300	S3	0	
170301	S3a	0	
170302	S3b	0	
170303	S3c	0	
170304	S3d	0	
170305	S3e	0	
180000	set V	0	
180100	V1	0	
180200	V2	0	

# x2e1_8: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
180300	V3	0	
180400	V4	0	
180500	V5	0	
180600	V6	0	
180601	V6a	0	
180602	V6b	0	
180700	V7	0	
180800	V8	0	
180900	V9	0	
180901	V9a	0	
180902	V9b	0	
181000	V10	0	
181100	V11	0	
181101	V11a	0	
181200	V12	0	
181201	V12a	0	
181300	V13	0	
181400	V14	0	
181500	V15	0	
181600	set V16	0	
181601	V16a	0	
181602	V16b	0	
181603	V16c	0	
181700	V17	0	
181800	V18	0	
181801	V18a	0	
181900	V19	0	
181901	V19a	0	
181902	V19b	0	
181903	V19c	0	
182000	V20	0	
182100	V21	0	
182200	V22	0	
999991	too much, all	0	
999999	Skip	2288	99.8%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# x2e1_9: The number of the question			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10000-999999] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10000	set A	0	
10100	A1	0	
10200	A2	0	
10300	A3	0	

x2e1_9: The number of the question

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10400	A4	0	
10500	A5	0	
10600	A6	0	
10700	A7	0	
20000	set B	0	
20100	B1	0	
20200	B2	0	
20300	B3	0	
30000	set C	0	
30100	C1	0	
30200	C2	0	
30300	C3	0	
40000	set D	0	
40100	D1	0	
40200	D2	0	
40300	D3	0	
50000	set E	0	
50100	E1	0	
50200	E2	0	
50300	E3	0	
50400	E4	0	
60000	set F	0	
60100	F1	0	
60200	F2	0	
60300	F3	0	
60400	F4	0	
60500	F5	0	
60600	F6	0	
60700	F7	0	
60800	F8	0	
70000	set G	0	
70100	G1	0	
70200	G2	0	
70300	G3	0	
70400	G4	0	
70500	G5	0	
70600	G6	0	
70700	G7	0	
80000	set H	0	
80100	H1	0	
80200	H2	0	
80300	H3	0	
80400	H4	0	
80500	H5	0	
80600	H6	0	

x2e1_9: The number of the question

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
80700	H7	0	
80800	H8	0	
80900	H9	0	
81000	H10	0	
81100	H11	0	
90000	set J	0	
90100	J1	0	
90200	J2	0	
90201	J2b	0	
100000	set K	0	
100101	K1a	0	
100102	K1b	0	
100103	K1c	0	
100104	K1d	0	
100105	K1e	0	
100106	K1f	0	
100107	K1g	0	
120000	set M	0	
120100	M1	0	
120200	M2	0	
130000	set N	0	
130100	set N1	0	
130101	N1a	0	
130102	N1b	0	
130103	N1c	0	
130104	N1d	0	
130105	N1e	1	0.0%
130106	N1f	0	
130107	N1g	0	
130108	N1h	0	
130109	N1i	0	
130200	N2	0	
130201	N2a	0	
130202	N2b	0	
130203	N2c	0	
130204	N2d	0	
140000	set P	0	
140100	P1	0	
140200	P2	0	
140300	P3	0	
150000	set Q	0	
150100	Q1	0	
150200	Q2	0	
150300	Q3	0	
150400	Q4	0	

x2e1_9: The number of the question

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
150500	Q5	0	
150600	Q6	0	
150700	Q7	0	
150800	Q8	0	
150900	Q9	0	
151000	Q10	0	
151100	Q11	0	
151200	Q12	0	
151300	Q13	0	
160000	set R	0	
160100	R1	0	
160200	R2	0	
160300	R3	0	
160400	R4	0	
160500	R5	0	
160600	R6	0	
160700	R7	0	
170000	set S	0	
170100	S1	0	
170101	S1a	0	
170102	S1b	0	
170103	S1c	0	
170200	S2	0	
170201	S2a	0	
170300	S3	0	
170301	S3a	0	
170302	S3b	0	
170303	S3c	0	
170304	S3d	0	
170305	S3e	0	
180000	set V	0	
180100	V1	0	
180200	V2	0	
180300	V3	0	
180400	V4	0	
180500	V5	0	
180600	V6	0	
180601	V6a	0	
180602	V6b	0	
180700	V7	0	
180800	V8	0	
180900	V9	0	
180901	V9a	0	
180902	V9b	0	
181000	V10	0	

# x2e1_9: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
181100	V11	0	
181101	V11a	0	
181200	V12	0	
181201	V12a	0	
181300	V13	0	
181400	V14	0	
181500	V15	0	
181600	set V16	0	
181601	V16a	0	
181602	V16b	0	
181603	V16c	0	
181700	V17	0	
181800	V18	0	
181801	V18a	0	
181900	V19	0	
181901	V19a	0	
181902	V19b	0	
181903	V19c	0	
182000	V20	0	
182100	V21	0	
182200	V22	0	
999991	too much, all	1	0.0%
999999	Skip	2290	99.9%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# x2f: Did the R think the questions were too hard?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	150	6.5%
2	No	2142	93.5%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# x2f1_1: The number of the question			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10000-999999] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10000	set A	0	
10100	A1	0	
10200	A2	0	
10300	A3	0	
10400	A4	0	
10500	A5	0	
10600	A6	0	
10700	A7	0	
20000	set B	4	0.2%

x2f1_1: The number of the question

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
20100	B1	0	
20200	B2	1	0.0%
20300	B3	0	
30000	set C	4	0.2%
30100	C1	0	
30200	C2	0	
30300	C3	0	
40000	set D	1	0.0%
40100	D1	1	0.0%
40200	D2	0	
40300	D3	0	
50000	set E	1	0.0%
50100	E1	1	0.0%
50200	E2	0	
50300	E3	0	
50400	E4	0	
60000	set F	0	
60100	F1	0	
60200	F2	0	
60300	F3	0	
60400	F4	0	
60500	F5	4	0.2%
60600	F6	0	
60700	F7	1	0.0%
60800	F8	0	
70000	set G	0	
70100	G1	0	
70200	G2	0	
70300	G3	0	
70400	G4	0	
70500	G5	0	
70600	G6	3	0.1%
70700	G7	0	
80000	set H	25	1.1%
80100	H1	2	0.1%
80200	H2	6	0.3%
80300	H3	3	0.1%
80400	H4	0	
80500	H5	2	0.1%
80600	H6	0	
80700	H7	1	0.0%
80800	H8	0	
80900	H9	1	0.0%
81000	H10	0	
81100	H11	0	

# x2f1_1: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
90000	set J	0	
90100	J1	0	
90200	J2	0	
90201	J2b	0	
100000	set K	0	
100101	K1a	0	
100102	K1b	0	
100103	K1c	0	
100104	K1d	0	
100105	K1e	0	
100106	K1f	0	
100107	K1g	0	
120000	set M	0	
120100	M1	0	
120200	M2	1	0.0%
130000	set N	2	0.1%
130100	set N1	4	0.2%
130101	N1a	0	
130102	N1b	1	0.0%
130103	N1c	0	
130104	N1d	0	
130105	N1e	0	
130106	N1f	0	
130107	N1g	0	
130108	N1h	0	
130109	N1i	0	
130200	N2	1	0.0%
130201	N2a	1	0.0%
130202	N2b	0	
130203	N2c	0	
130204	N2d	0	
140000	set P	2	0.1%
140100	P1	0	
140200	P2	1	0.0%
140300	P3	0	
150000	set Q	3	0.1%
150100	Q1	2	0.1%
150200	Q2	0	
150300	Q3	0	
150400	Q4	0	
150500	Q5	0	
150600	Q6	0	
150700	Q7	0	
150800	Q8	2	0.1%
150900	Q9	0	

# x2f1_1: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
151000	Q10	0	
151100	Q11	0	
151200	Q12	0	
151300	Q13	1	0.0%
160000	set R	1	0.0%
160100	R1	0	
160200	R2	0	
160300	R3	0	
160400	R4	0	
160500	R5	1	0.0%
160600	R6	0	
160700	R7	0	
170000	set S	1	0.0%
170100	S1	0	
170101	S1a	0	
170102	S1b	0	
170103	S1c	0	
170200	S2	0	
170201	S2a	0	
170300	S3	1	0.0%
170301	S3a	0	
170302	S3b	0	
170303	S3c	0	
170304	S3d	2	0.1%
170305	S3e	0	
180000	set V	0	
180100	V1	0	
180200	V2	0	
180300	V3	0	
180400	V4	0	
180500	V5	0	
180600	V6	0	
180601	V6a	0	
180602	V6b	0	
180700	V7	0	
180800	V8	0	
180900	V9	0	
180901	V9a	0	
180902	V9b	0	
181000	V10	0	
181100	V11	0	
181101	V11a	0	
181200	V12	0	
181201	V12a	0	
181300	V13	0	

# x2f1_1: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
181400	V14	0	
181500	V15	0	
181600	set V16	0	
181601	V16a	0	
181602	V16b	0	
181603	V16c	0	
181700	V17	0	
181800	V18	0	
181801	V18a	0	
181900	V19	0	
181901	V19a	0	
181902	V19b	0	
181903	V19c	0	
182000	V20	0	
182100	V21	0	
182200	V22	0	
999991	too much, all	62	2.7%
999999	Skip	2142	93.5%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# x2f1_2: The number of the question			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10000-999999] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10000	set A	0	
10100	A1	0	
10200	A2	0	
10300	A3	0	
10400	A4	0	
10500	A5	0	
10600	A6	0	
10700	A7	0	
20000	set B	0	
20100	B1	0	
20200	B2	0	
20300	B3	0	
30000	set C	1	0.0%
30100	C1	0	
30200	C2	0	
30300	C3	0	
40000	set D	2	0.1%
40100	D1	0	
40200	D2	1	0.0%
40300	D3	0	
50000	set E	0	

x2f1_2: The number of the question

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
50100	E1	0	
50200	E2	0	
50300	E3	0	
50400	E4	0	
60000	set F	0	
60100	F1	0	
60200	F2	1	0.0%
60300	F3	0	
60400	F4	0	
60500	F5	0	
60600	F6	4	0.2%
60700	F7	0	
60800	F8	0	
70000	set G	1	0.0%
70100	G1	0	
70200	G2	0	
70300	G3	0	
70400	G4	0	
70500	G5	2	0.1%
70600	G6	0	
70700	G7	0	
80000	set H	3	0.1%
80100	H1	0	
80200	H2	2	0.1%
80300	H3	6	0.3%
80400	H4	0	
80500	H5	0	
80600	H6	1	0.0%
80700	H7	0	
80800	H8	1	0.0%
80900	H9	2	0.1%
81000	H10	0	
81100	H11	1	0.0%
90000	set J	0	
90100	J1	0	
90200	J2	0	
90201	J2b	0	
100000	set K	0	
100101	K1a	0	
100102	K1b	0	
100103	K1c	0	
100104	K1d	0	
100105	K1e	0	
100106	K1f	0	
100107	K1g	0	

x2f1_2: The number of the question

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
120000	set M	1	0.0%
120100	M1	0	
120200	M2	0	
130000	set N	0	
130100	set N1	3	0.1%
130101	N1a	0	
130102	N1b	0	
130103	N1c	1	0.0%
130104	N1d	0	
130105	N1e	0	
130106	N1f	0	
130107	N1g	0	
130108	N1h	0	
130109	N1i	0	
130200	N2	1	0.0%
130201	N2a	1	0.0%
130202	N2b	0	
130203	N2c	0	
130204	N2d	0	
140000	set P	0	
140100	P1	0	
140200	P2	0	
140300	P3	0	
150000	set Q	1	0.0%
150100	Q1	0	
150200	Q2	0	
150300	Q3	0	
150400	Q4	0	
150500	Q5	0	
150600	Q6	0	
150700	Q7	0	
150800	Q8	0	
150900	Q9	1	0.0%
151000	Q10	0	
151100	Q11	0	
151200	Q12	0	
151300	Q13	0	
160000	set R	0	
160100	R1	0	
160200	R2	0	
160300	R3	0	
160400	R4	0	
160500	R5	0	
160600	R6	1	0.0%
160700	R7	0	

# x2f1_2: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
170000	set S	0	
170100	S1	0	
170101	S1a	0	
170102	S1b	0	
170103	S1c	0	
170200	S2	0	
170201	S2a	0	
170300	S3	0	
170301	S3a	0	
170302	S3b	0	
170303	S3c	0	
170304	S3d	1	0.0%
170305	S3e	1	0.0%
180000	set V	0	
180100	V1	0	
180200	V2	0	
180300	V3	0	
180400	V4	0	
180500	V5	0	
180600	V6	0	
180601	V6a	0	
180602	V6b	0	
180700	V7	0	
180800	V8	0	
180900	V9	0	
180901	V9a	0	
180902	V9b	0	
181000	V10	0	
181100	V11	0	
181101	V11a	0	
181200	V12	0	
181201	V12a	0	
181300	V13	0	
181400	V14	0	
181500	V15	0	
181600	set V16	0	
181601	V16a	0	
181602	V16b	0	
181603	V16c	0	
181700	V17	0	
181800	V18	0	
181801	V18a	0	
181900	V19	0	
181901	V19a	0	
181902	V19b	0	

# x2f1_2: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
181903	V19c	0	
182000	V20	0	
182100	V21	0	
182200	V22	0	
999991	too much, all	0	
999999	Skip	2252	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# x2f1_3: The number of the question			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10000-999999] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10000	set A	0	
10100	A1	0	
10200	A2	0	
10300	A3	0	
10400	A4	0	
10500	A5	0	
10600	A6	0	
10700	A7	0	
20000	set B	0	
20100	B1	0	
20200	B2	0	
20300	B3	0	
30000	set C	0	
30100	C1	0	
30200	C2	0	
30300	C3	0	
40000	set D	0	
40100	D1	0	
40200	D2	0	
40300	D3	0	
50000	set E	1	0.0%
50100	E1	0	
50200	E2	0	
50300	E3	0	
50400	E4	0	
60000	set F	1	0.0%
60100	F1	0	
60200	F2	0	
60300	F3	0	
60400	F4	0	
60500	F5	0	
60600	F6	0	
60700	F7	0	

x2f1_3: The number of the question

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
60800	F8	0	
70000	set G	1	0.0%
70100	G1	0	
70200	G2	0	
70300	G3	0	
70400	G4	0	
70500	G5	0	
70600	G6	0	
70700	G7	0	
80000	set H	2	0.1%
80100	H1	0	
80200	H2	1	0.0%
80300	H3	2	0.1%
80400	H4	4	0.2%
80500	H5	2	0.1%
80600	H6	0	
80700	H7	0	
80800	H8	0	
80900	H9	1	0.0%
81000	H10	0	
81100	H11	1	0.0%
90000	set J	0	
90100	J1	0	
90200	J2	0	
90201	J2b	0	
100000	set K	0	
100101	K1a	0	
100102	K1b	0	
100103	K1c	0	
100104	K1d	0	
100105	K1e	0	
100106	K1f	0	
100107	K1g	0	
120000	set M	0	
120100	M1	2	0.1%
120200	M2	0	
130000	set N	0	
130100	set N1	2	0.1%
130101	N1a	0	
130102	N1b	0	
130103	N1c	0	
130104	N1d	0	
130105	N1e	0	
130106	N1f	0	
130107	N1g	0	

# x2f1_3: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
130108	N1h	1	0.0%
130109	N1i	0	
130200	N2	0	
130201	N2a	1	0.0%
130202	N2b	1	0.0%
130203	N2c	0	
130204	N2d	0	
140000	set P	0	
140100	P1	0	
140200	P2	0	
140300	P3	0	
150000	set Q	1	0.0%
150100	Q1	0	
150200	Q2	0	
150300	Q3	0	
150400	Q4	0	
150500	Q5	0	
150600	Q6	0	
150700	Q7	0	
150800	Q8	0	
150900	Q9	0	
151000	Q10	1	0.0%
151100	Q11	0	
151200	Q12	0	
151300	Q13	0	
160000	set R	2	0.1%
160100	R1	0	
160200	R2	0	
160300	R3	0	
160400	R4	0	
160500	R5	0	
160600	R6	0	
160700	R7	1	0.0%
170000	set S	0	
170100	S1	0	
170101	S1a	0	
170102	S1b	0	
170103	S1c	0	
170200	S2	0	
170201	S2a	0	
170300	S3	0	
170301	S3a	0	
170302	S3b	0	
170303	S3c	0	
170304	S3d	0	

# x2f1_3: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
170305	S3e	0	
180000	set V	0	
180100	V1	0	
180200	V2	0	
180300	V3	0	
180400	V4	0	
180500	V5	0	
180600	V6	0	
180601	V6a	0	
180602	V6b	0	
180700	V7	0	
180800	V8	0	
180900	V9	0	
180901	V9a	0	
180902	V9b	0	
181000	V10	0	
181100	V11	0	
181101	V11a	0	
181200	V12	0	
181201	V12a	0	
181300	V13	0	
181400	V14	0	
181500	V15	0	
181600	set V16	0	
181601	V16a	0	
181602	V16b	0	
181603	V16c	0	
181700	V17	0	
181800	V18	0	
181801	V18a	0	
181900	V19	0	
181901	V19a	0	
181902	V19b	0	
181903	V19c	0	
182000	V20	0	
182100	V21	0	
182200	V22	0	
999991	too much, all	0	
999999	Skip	2264	98.8%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# x2f1_4: The number of the question?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10000-999999] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		

x2f1_4: The number of the question?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10000	set A	0	
10100	A1	0	
10200	A2	0	
10300	A3	0	
10400	A4	0	
10500	A5	0	
10600	A6	0	
10700	A7	0	
20000	set B	0	
20100	B1	0	
20200	B2	0	
20300	B3	0	
30000	set C	0	
30100	C1	0	
30200	C2	0	
30300	C3	0	
40000	set D	0	
40100	D1	0	
40200	D2	0	
40300	D3	0	
50000	set E	0	
50100	E1	0	
50200	E2	0	
50300	E3	0	
50400	E4	0	
60000	set F	0	
60100	F1	0	
60200	F2	0	
60300	F3	0	
60400	F4	0	
60500	F5	0	
60600	F6	0	
60700	F7	0	
60800	F8	0	
70000	set G	1	0.0%
70100	G1	0	
70200	G2	0	
70300	G3	0	
70400	G4	0	
70500	G5	0	
70600	G6	0	
70700	G7	0	
80000	set H	0	
80100	H1	0	
80200	H2	0	

x2f1_4: The number of the question?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
80300	H3	1	0.0%
80400	H4	2	0.1%
80500	H5	4	0.2%
80600	H6	1	0.0%
80700	H7	0	
80800	H8	0	
80900	H9	1	0.0%
81000	H10	0	
81100	H11	1	0.0%
90000	set J	0	
90100	J1	0	
90200	J2	0	
90201	J2b	0	
100000	set K	1	0.0%
100101	K1a	0	
100102	K1b	0	
100103	K1c	0	
100104	K1d	0	
100105	K1e	0	
100106	K1f	0	
100107	K1g	0	
120000	set M	2	0.1%
120100	M1	1	0.0%
120200	M2	0	
130000	set N	0	
130100	set N1	2	0.1%
130101	N1a	0	
130102	N1b	0	
130103	N1c	0	
130104	N1d	0	
130105	N1e	0	
130106	N1f	0	
130107	N1g	0	
130108	N1h	0	
130109	N1i	0	
130200	N2	1	0.0%
130201	N2a	0	
130202	N2b	1	0.0%
130203	N2c	1	0.0%
130204	N2d	1	0.0%
140000	set P	0	
140100	P1	0	
140200	P2	0	
140300	P3	0	
150000	set Q	0	

x2f1_4: The number of the question?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
150100	Q1	0	
150200	Q2	0	
150300	Q3	0	
150400	Q4	0	
150500	Q5	0	
150600	Q6	0	
150700	Q7	0	
150800	Q8	0	
150900	Q9	0	
151000	Q10	0	
151100	Q11	0	
151200	Q12	0	
151300	Q13	0	
160000	set R	0	
160100	R1	0	
160200	R2	0	
160300	R3	0	
160400	R4	0	
160500	R5	0	
160600	R6	0	
160700	R7	0	
170000	set S	2	0.1%
170100	S1	0	
170101	S1a	0	
170102	S1b	0	
170103	S1c	0	
170200	S2	0	
170201	S2a	0	
170300	S3	0	
170301	S3a	0	
170302	S3b	0	
170303	S3c	0	
170304	S3d	0	
170305	S3e	0	
180000	set V	0	
180100	V1	0	
180200	V2	0	
180300	V3	0	
180400	V4	0	
180500	V5	0	
180600	V6	0	
180601	V6a	0	
180602	V6b	0	
180700	V7	0	
180800	V8	0	

# x2f1_4: The number of the question?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
180900	V9	0	
180901	V9a	0	
180902	V9b	0	
181000	V10	0	
181100	V11	0	
181101	V11a	0	
181200	V12	0	
181201	V12a	0	
181300	V13	0	
181400	V14	0	
181500	V15	0	
181600	set V16	0	
181601	V16a	0	
181602	V16b	0	
181603	V16c	0	
181700	V17	0	
181800	V18	0	
181801	V18a	0	
181900	V19	0	
181901	V19a	0	
181902	V19b	0	
181903	V19c	0	
182000	V20	0	
182100	V21	0	
182200	V22	0	
999991	too much, all	0	
999999	Skip	2269	99.0%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# x2f1_5: The number of the question			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10000-999999] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10000	set A	0	
10100	A1	0	
10200	A2	0	
10300	A3	0	
10400	A4	0	
10500	A5	0	
10600	A6	0	
10700	A7	0	
20000	set B	0	
20100	B1	0	
20200	B2	0	
20300	B3	0	

x2f1_5: The number of the question

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
30000	set C	0	
30100	C1	0	
30200	C2	0	
30300	C3	0	
40000	set D	0	
40100	D1	0	
40200	D2	0	
40300	D3	0	
50000	set E	0	
50100	E1	0	
50200	E2	0	
50300	E3	0	
50400	E4	0	
60000	set F	0	
60100	F1	0	
60200	F2	0	
60300	F3	0	
60400	F4	0	
60500	F5	0	
60600	F6	0	
60700	F7	0	
60800	F8	0	
70000	set G	0	
70100	G1	0	
70200	G2	0	
70300	G3	0	
70400	G4	0	
70500	G5	0	
70600	G6	0	
70700	G7	0	
80000	set H	1	0.0%
80100	H1	0	
80200	H2	0	
80300	H3	0	
80400	H4	1	0.0%
80500	H5	2	0.1%
80600	H6	2	0.1%
80700	H7	0	
80800	H8	0	
80900	H9	2	0.1%
81000	H10	0	
81100	H11	1	0.0%
90000	set J	0	
90100	J1	0	
90200	J2	0	

x2f1_5: The number of the question

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
90201	J2b	0	
100000	set K	0	
100101	K1a	0	
100102	K1b	0	
100103	K1c	0	
100104	K1d	0	
100105	K1e	0	
100106	K1f	0	
100107	K1g	0	
120000	set M	0	
120100	M1	0	
120200	M2	0	
130000	set N	0	
130100	set N1	3	0.1%
130101	N1a	0	
130102	N1b	0	
130103	N1c	0	
130104	N1d	0	
130105	N1e	0	
130106	N1f	0	
130107	N1g	0	
130108	N1h	0	
130109	N1i	0	
130200	N2	0	
130201	N2a	1	0.0%
130202	N2b	0	
130203	N2c	1	0.0%
130204	N2d	1	0.0%
140000	set P	0	
140100	P1	0	
140200	P2	0	
140300	P3	0	
150000	set Q	0	
150100	Q1	0	
150200	Q2	0	
150300	Q3	0	
150400	Q4	0	
150500	Q5	0	
150600	Q6	0	
150700	Q7	0	
150800	Q8	0	
150900	Q9	0	
151000	Q10	0	
151100	Q11	0	
151200	Q12	0	

# x2f1_5: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
151300	Q13	0	0.1%
160000	set R	2	
160100	R1	0	
160200	R2	0	
160300	R3	0	
160400	R4	0	
160500	R5	0	
160600	R6	0	0.0%
160700	R7	1	
170000	set S	0	
170100	S1	0	
170101	S1a	0	
170102	S1b	0	
170103	S1c	0	
170200	S2	0	
170201	S2a	0	
170300	S3	0	
170301	S3a	0	
170302	S3b	0	
170303	S3c	0	
170304	S3d	0	
170305	S3e	0	
180000	set V	0	
180100	V1	0	
180200	V2	0	
180300	V3	0	
180400	V4	0	
180500	V5	0	
180600	V6	0	
180601	V6a	0	
180602	V6b	0	
180700	V7	0	
180800	V8	0	
180900	V9	0	
180901	V9a	0	
180902	V9b	0	
181000	V10	0	
181100	V11	0	
181101	V11a	0	
181200	V12	0	
181201	V12a	0	
181300	V13	0	
181400	V14	0	
181500	V15	0	
181600	set V16	0	

# x2f1_5: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
181601	V16a	0	
181602	V16b	0	
181603	V16c	0	
181700	V17	0	
181800	V18	0	
181801	V18a	0	
181900	V19	0	
181901	V19a	0	
181902	V19b	0	
181903	V19c	0	
182000	V20	0	
182100	V21	0	
182200	V22	0	
999991	too much, all	0	
999999	Skip	2274	99.2%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# x2f1_6: The number of the question			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10000-999999] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10000	set A	0	
10100	A1	0	
10200	A2	0	
10300	A3	0	
10400	A4	0	
10500	A5	0	
10600	A6	0	
10700	A7	0	
20000	set B	0	
20100	B1	0	
20200	B2	0	
20300	B3	0	
30000	set C	0	
30100	C1	0	
30200	C2	0	
30300	C3	0	
40000	set D	0	
40100	D1	0	
40200	D2	0	
40300	D3	0	
50000	set E	0	
50100	E1	0	
50200	E2	0	
50300	E3	0	

x2f1_6: The number of the question

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
50400	E4	0	
60000	set F	0	
60100	F1	0	
60200	F2	0	
60300	F3	0	
60400	F4	0	
60500	F5	0	
60600	F6	0	
60700	F7	0	
60800	F8	0	
70000	set G	0	
70100	G1	0	
70200	G2	0	
70300	G3	0	
70400	G4	0	
70500	G5	0	
70600	G6	0	
70700	G7	0	
80000	set H	0	
80100	H1	0	
80200	H2	0	
80300	H3	0	
80400	H4	0	
80500	H5	0	
80600	H6	1	0.0%
80700	H7	0	
80800	H8	0	
80900	H9	0	
81000	H10	1	0.0%
81100	H11	1	0.0%
90000	set J	0	
90100	J1	0	
90200	J2	0	
90201	J2b	0	
100000	set K	0	
100101	K1a	0	
100102	K1b	0	
100103	K1c	0	
100104	K1d	0	
100105	K1e	0	
100106	K1f	0	
100107	K1g	0	
120000	set M	0	
120100	M1	1	0.0%
120200	M2	0	

x2f1_6: The number of the question

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
130000	set N	0	
130100	set N1	1	0.0%
130101	N1a	0	
130102	N1b	0	
130103	N1c	0	
130104	N1d	0	
130105	N1e	0	
130106	N1f	0	
130107	N1g	0	
130108	N1h	0	
130109	N1i	0	
130200	N2	1	0.0%
130201	N2a	0	
130202	N2b	1	0.0%
130203	N2c	0	
130204	N2d	1	0.0%
140000	set P	2	0.1%
140100	P1	0	
140200	P2	0	
140300	P3	0	
150000	set Q	1	0.0%
150100	Q1	0	
150200	Q2	0	
150300	Q3	0	
150400	Q4	0	
150500	Q5	0	
150600	Q6	0	
150700	Q7	1	0.0%
150800	Q8	0	
150900	Q9	0	
151000	Q10	0	
151100	Q11	0	
151200	Q12	0	
151300	Q13	0	
160000	set R	0	
160100	R1	0	
160200	R2	0	
160300	R3	0	
160400	R4	0	
160500	R5	0	
160600	R6	0	
160700	R7	0	
170000	set S	0	
170100	S1	0	
170101	S1a	0	

# x2f1_6: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
170102	S1b	0	0.1%
170103	S1c	0	
170200	S2	0	
170201	S2a	0	
170300	S3	2	
170301	S3a	0	
170302	S3b	0	
170303	S3c	0	
170304	S3d	0	
170305	S3e	0	
180000	set V	0	
180100	V1	0	
180200	V2	0	
180300	V3	0	
180400	V4	0	
180500	V5	0	
180600	V6	0	
180601	V6a	0	
180602	V6b	0	
180700	V7	0	
180800	V8	0	
180900	V9	0	
180901	V9a	0	
180902	V9b	0	
181000	V10	0	
181100	V11	0	
181101	V11a	0	
181200	V12	0	
181201	V12a	0	
181300	V13	0	
181400	V14	0	
181500	V15	0	
181600	set V16	0	
181601	V16a	0	
181602	V16b	0	
181603	V16c	0	
181700	V17	0	
181800	V18	0	
181801	V18a	0	
181900	V19	0	
181901	V19a	0	
181902	V19b	0	
181903	V19c	0	
182000	V20	0	
182100	V21	0	

# x2f1_6: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
182200	V22	0	
999991	too much, all	0	
999999	Skip	2278	99.4%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# x2f1_7: The number of the question			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10000-999999] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10000	set A	0	
10100	A1	0	
10200	A2	0	
10300	A3	0	
10400	A4	0	
10500	A5	0	
10600	A6	0	
10700	A7	0	
20000	set B	0	
20100	B1	0	
20200	B2	0	
20300	B3	0	
30000	set C	0	
30100	C1	0	
30200	C2	0	
30300	C3	0	
40000	set D	0	
40100	D1	0	
40200	D2	0	
40300	D3	0	
50000	set E	0	
50100	E1	0	
50200	E2	0	
50300	E3	0	
50400	E4	0	
60000	set F	0	
60100	F1	0	
60200	F2	0	
60300	F3	0	
60400	F4	0	
60500	F5	1	0.0%
60600	F6	0	
60700	F7	0	
60800	F8	0	
70000	set G	0	
70100	G1	0	

x2f1_7: The number of the question

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
70200	G2	0	
70300	G3	0	
70400	G4	0	
70500	G5	0	
70600	G6	0	
70700	G7	0	
80000	set H	0	
80100	H1	0	
80200	H2	0	
80300	H3	0	
80400	H4	0	
80500	H5	0	
80600	H6	0	
80700	H7	0	
80800	H8	1	0.0%
80900	H9	0	
81000	H10	0	
81100	H11	1	0.0%
90000	set J	0	
90100	J1	0	
90200	J2	0	
90201	J2b	0	
100000	set K	0	
100101	K1a	0	
100102	K1b	0	
100103	K1c	0	
100104	K1d	0	
100105	K1e	0	
100106	K1f	0	
100107	K1g	0	
120000	set M	0	
120100	M1	0	
120200	M2	0	
130000	set N	0	
130100	set N1	0	
130101	N1a	0	
130102	N1b	0	
130103	N1c	0	
130104	N1d	0	
130105	N1e	0	
130106	N1f	0	
130107	N1g	0	
130108	N1h	0	
130109	N1i	0	
130200	N2	0	

x2f1_7: The number of the question

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
130201	N2a	1	0.0%
130202	N2b	0	
130203	N2c	1	0.0%
130204	N2d	0	
140000	set P	0	
140100	P1	0	
140200	P2	0	
140300	P3	0	
150000	set Q	2	0.1%
150100	Q1	0	
150200	Q2	0	
150300	Q3	0	
150400	Q4	0	
150500	Q5	0	
150600	Q6	0	
150700	Q7	0	
150800	Q8	1	0.0%
150900	Q9	0	
151000	Q10	0	
151100	Q11	0	
151200	Q12	0	
151300	Q13	0	
160000	set R	1	0.0%
160100	R1	0	
160200	R2	0	
160300	R3	0	
160400	R4	0	
160500	R5	0	
160600	R6	0	
160700	R7	0	
170000	set S	1	0.0%
170100	S1	0	
170101	S1a	0	
170102	S1b	0	
170103	S1c	0	
170200	S2	0	
170201	S2a	0	
170300	S3	0	
170301	S3a	0	
170302	S3b	0	
170303	S3c	0	
170304	S3d	1	0.0%
170305	S3e	0	
180000	set V	0	
180100	V1	0	

# x2f1_7: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
180200	V2	0	
180300	V3	0	
180400	V4	0	
180500	V5	0	
180600	V6	0	
180601	V6a	0	
180602	V6b	0	
180700	V7	0	
180800	V8	0	
180900	V9	0	
180901	V9a	0	
180902	V9b	0	
181000	V10	0	
181100	V11	0	
181101	V11a	0	
181200	V12	0	
181201	V12a	0	
181300	V13	0	
181400	V14	0	
181500	V15	0	
181600	set V16	0	
181601	V16a	0	
181602	V16b	0	
181603	V16c	0	
181700	V17	0	
181800	V18	0	
181801	V18a	0	
181900	V19	0	
181901	V19a	0	
181902	V19b	0	
181903	V19c	0	
182000	V20	0	
182100	V21	0	
182200	V22	0	
999991	too much, all	0	
999999	Skip	2281	99.5%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# x2f1_8: The number of the question			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10000-999999] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10000	set A	0	
10100	A1	0	
10200	A2	0	

x2f1_8: The number of the question

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10300	A3	0	0.0%
10400	A4	0	
10500	A5	0	
10600	A6	0	
10700	A7	0	
20000	set B	0	
20100	B1	0	
20200	B2	0	
20300	B3	0	
30000	set C	0	
30100	C1	0	
30200	C2	0	
30300	C3	0	
40000	set D	0	
40100	D1	0	
40200	D2	0	
40300	D3	0	
50000	set E	0	
50100	E1	0	
50200	E2	0	
50300	E3	0	
50400	E4	0	
60000	set F	0	
60100	F1	0	
60200	F2	0	
60300	F3	0	
60400	F4	0	
60500	F5	0	
60600	F6	1	
60700	F7	0	
60800	F8	0	
70000	set G	0	
70100	G1	0	
70200	G2	0	
70300	G3	0	
70400	G4	0	
70500	G5	0	
70600	G6	0	
70700	G7	0	
80000	set H	0	
80100	H1	0	
80200	H2	0	
80300	H3	0	
80400	H4	0	
80500	H5	0	

# x2f1_8: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
80600	H6	0	0.0%
80700	H7	0	
80800	H8	0	
80900	H9	1	
81000	H10	0	
81100	H11	0	
90000	set J	0	
90100	J1	0	
90200	J2	0	
90201	J2b	0	
100000	set K	0	
100101	K1a	0	
100102	K1b	0	
100103	K1c	0	
100104	K1d	0	
100105	K1e	0	
100106	K1f	0	
100107	K1g	0	
120000	set M	0	
120100	M1	0	
120200	M2	0	
130000	set N	0	
130100	set N1	0	
130101	N1a	0	
130102	N1b	0	
130103	N1c	0	
130104	N1d	0	
130105	N1e	0	
130106	N1f	0	
130107	N1g	0	
130108	N1h	0	
130109	N1i	0	
130200	N2	0	
130201	N2a	0	
130202	N2b	1	
130203	N2c	0	
130204	N2d	1	
140000	set P	0	
140100	P1	0	
140200	P2	0	
140300	P3	0	
150000	set Q	0	
150100	Q1	0	
150200	Q2	0	
150300	Q3	0	

# x2f1_8: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
150400	Q4	0	
150500	Q5	0	
150600	Q6	0	
150700	Q7	0	
150800	Q8	0	
150900	Q9	1	0.0%
151000	Q10	0	
151100	Q11	0	
151200	Q12	0	
151300	Q13	0	
160000	set R	1	0.0%
160100	R1	0	
160200	R2	0	
160300	R3	0	
160400	R4	0	
160500	R5	0	
160600	R6	0	
160700	R7	0	
170000	set S	2	0.1%
170100	S1	0	
170101	S1a	0	
170102	S1b	0	
170103	S1c	0	
170200	S2	0	
170201	S2a	0	
170300	S3	0	
170301	S3a	0	
170302	S3b	0	
170303	S3c	1	0.0%
170304	S3d	0	
170305	S3e	0	
180000	set V	0	
180100	V1	0	
180200	V2	0	
180300	V3	0	
180400	V4	0	
180500	V5	0	
180600	V6	0	
180601	V6a	0	
180602	V6b	0	
180700	V7	0	
180800	V8	0	
180900	V9	0	
180901	V9a	0	
180902	V9b	0	

# x2f1_8: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
181000	V10	0	
181100	V11	0	
181101	V11a	0	
181200	V12	0	
181201	V12a	0	
181300	V13	0	
181400	V14	0	
181500	V15	0	
181600	set V16	0	
181601	V16a	0	
181602	V16b	0	
181603	V16c	0	
181700	V17	0	
181800	V18	0	
181801	V18a	0	
181900	V19	0	
181901	V19a	0	
181902	V19b	0	
181903	V19c	0	
182000	V20	0	
182100	V21	0	
182200	V22	0	
999991	too much, all	0	
999999	Skip	2283	99.6%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# x2f1_9: The number of the question			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10000-999999] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10000	set A	0	
10100	A1	0	
10200	A2	0	
10300	A3	0	
10400	A4	0	
10500	A5	0	
10600	A6	0	
10700	A7	0	
20000	set B	0	
20100	B1	0	
20200	B2	0	
20300	B3	0	
30000	set C	0	
30100	C1	0	
30200	C2	0	

# x2f1_9: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
30300	C3	0	
40000	set D	0	
40100	D1	0	
40200	D2	0	
40300	D3	0	
50000	set E	0	
50100	E1	0	
50200	E2	0	
50300	E3	0	
50400	E4	0	
60000	set F	0	
60100	F1	0	
60200	F2	0	
60300	F3	0	
60400	F4	0	
60500	F5	0	
60600	F6	0	
60700	F7	0	
60800	F8	0	
70000	set G	0	
70100	G1	0	
70200	G2	0	
70300	G3	0	
70400	G4	0	
70500	G5	0	
70600	G6	0	
70700	G7	0	
80000	set H	1	0.0%
80100	H1	0	
80200	H2	0	
80300	H3	0	
80400	H4	0	
80500	H5	0	
80600	H6	0	
80700	H7	0	
80800	H8	0	
80900	H9	0	
81000	H10	0	
81100	H11	1	0.0%
90000	set J	0	
90100	J1	0	
90200	J2	0	
90201	J2b	0	
100000	set K	0	
100101	K1a	0	

x2f1_9: The number of the question

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
100102	K1b	0	
100103	K1c	0	
100104	K1d	0	
100105	K1e	0	
100106	K1f	0	
100107	K1g	0	
120000	set M	0	
120100	M1	0	
120200	M2	0	
130000	set N	0	
130100	set N1	0	
130101	N1a	0	
130102	N1b	0	
130103	N1c	0	
130104	N1d	0	
130105	N1e	0	
130106	N1f	0	
130107	N1g	0	
130108	N1h	0	
130109	N1i	0	
130200	N2	0	
130201	N2a	0	
130202	N2b	0	
130203	N2c	0	
130204	N2d	0	
140000	set P	1	0.0%
140100	P1	0	
140200	P2	0	
140300	P3	0	
150000	set Q	0	
150100	Q1	1	0.0%
150200	Q2	0	
150300	Q3	0	
150400	Q4	0	
150500	Q5	0	
150600	Q6	0	
150700	Q7	0	
150800	Q8	0	
150900	Q9	0	
151000	Q10	1	0.0%
151100	Q11	0	
151200	Q12	0	
151300	Q13	0	
160000	set R	0	
160100	R1	0	

# x2f1_9: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
160200	R2	0	
160300	R3	0	
160400	R4	0	
160500	R5	0	
160600	R6	0	
160700	R7	0	
170000	set S	0	
170100	S1	0	
170101	S1a	0	
170102	S1b	0	
170103	S1c	0	0.0%
170200	S2	1	
170201	S2a	0	
170300	S3	0	
170301	S3a	0	
170302	S3b	0	
170303	S3c	0	
170304	S3d	0	
170305	S3e	1	
180000	set V	0	
180100	V1	0	
180200	V2	0	
180300	V3	0	
180400	V4	0	
180500	V5	0	
180600	V6	0	
180601	V6a	0	
180602	V6b	0	
180700	V7	0	
180800	V8	0	
180900	V9	0	
180901	V9a	0	
180902	V9b	0	
181000	V10	0	
181100	V11	0	
181101	V11a	0	
181200	V12	0	
181201	V12a	0	
181300	V13	0	
181400	V14	0	
181500	V15	0	
181600	set V16	0	
181601	V16a	0	
181602	V16b	0	
181603	V16c	0	

# x2f1_9: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
181700	V17	0	
181800	V18	0	
181801	V18a	0	
181900	V19	0	
181901	V19a	0	
181902	V19b	0	
181903	V19c	0	
182000	V20	0	
182100	V21	0	
182200	V22	0	
999991	too much, all	0	
999999	Skip	2285	99.7%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# x2g: Did the R think the questions were too sensitive?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	109	4.8%
2	No	2183	95.2%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# x2g1_1: The number of the question			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10000-999999] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10000	set A	1	0.0%
10100	A1	0	
10200	A2	0	
10300	A3	0	
10400	A4	0	
10500	A5	0	
10600	A6	0	
10700	A7	0	
20000	set B	2	0.1%
20100	B1	1	0.0%
20200	B2	1	0.0%
20300	B3	0	
30000	set C	1	0.0%
30100	C1	0	
30200	C2	0	
30300	C3	0	
40000	set D	0	
40100	D1	0	
40200	D2	0	
40300	D3	0	

# x2g1_1: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
50000	set E	0	
50100	E1	0	
50200	E2	0	
50300	E3	0	
50400	E4	0	
60000	set F	0	
60100	F1	0	
60200	F2	0	
60300	F3	0	
60400	F4	0	
60500	F5	0	
60600	F6	0	
60700	F7	1	0.0%
60800	F8	0	
70000	set G	0	
70100	G1	1	0.0%
70200	G2	0	
70300	G3	0	
70400	G4	0	
70500	G5	0	
70600	G6	0	
70700	G7	1	0.0%
80000	set H	1	0.0%
80100	H1	0	
80200	H2	0	
80300	H3	0	
80400	H4	0	
80500	H5	0	
80600	H6	0	
80700	H7	0	
80800	H8	0	
80900	H9	0	
81000	H10	0	
81100	H11	0	
90000	set J	28	1.2%
90100	J1	11	0.5%
90200	J2	0	
90201	J2b	0	
100000	set K	0	
100101	K1a	0	
100102	K1b	0	
100103	K1c	0	
100104	K1d	0	
100105	K1e	0	
100106	K1f	0	

# x2g1_1: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
100107	K1g	0	
120000	set M	0	
120100	M1	0	
120200	M2	0	
130000	set N	2	0.1%
130100	set N1	0	
130101	N1a	1	0.0%
130102	N1b	0	
130103	N1c	0	
130104	N1d	0	
130105	N1e	0	
130106	N1f	0	
130107	N1g	0	
130108	N1h	0	
130109	N1i	0	
130200	N2	2	0.1%
130201	N2a	0	
130202	N2b	0	
130203	N2c	0	
130204	N2d	0	
140000	set P	1	0.0%
140100	P1	0	
140200	P2	0	
140300	P3	1	0.0%
150000	set Q	2	0.1%
150100	Q1	0	
150200	Q2	1	0.0%
150300	Q3	0	
150400	Q4	0	
150500	Q5	0	
150600	Q6	1	0.0%
150700	Q7	0	
150800	Q8	0	
150900	Q9	0	
151000	Q10	0	
151100	Q11	0	
151200	Q12	0	
151300	Q13	0	
160000	set R	1	0.0%
160100	R1	0	
160200	R2	0	
160300	R3	0	
160400	R4	0	
160500	R5	0	
160600	R6	0	

# x2g1_1: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
160700	R7	1	0.0%
170000	set S	6	0.3%
170100	S1	1	0.0%
170101	S1a	1	0.0%
170102	S1b	0	
170103	S1c	0	
170200	S2	2	0.1%
170201	S2a	0	
170300	S3	0	
170301	S3a	0	
170302	S3b	0	
170303	S3c	0	
170304	S3d	0	
170305	S3e	0	
180000	set V	2	0.1%
180100	V1	0	
180200	V2	0	
180300	V3	0	
180400	V4	0	
180500	V5	0	
180600	V6	0	
180601	V6a	0	
180602	V6b	0	
180700	V7	0	
180800	V8	0	
180900	V9	1	0.0%
180901	V9a	0	
180902	V9b	0	
181000	V10	0	
181100	V11	0	
181101	V11a	0	
181200	V12	0	
181201	V12a	0	
181300	V13	0	
181400	V14	2	0.1%
181500	V15	12	0.5%
181600	set V16	0	
181601	V16a	0	
181602	V16b	0	
181603	V16c	1	0.0%
181700	V17	0	
181800	V18	0	
181801	V18a	0	
181900	V19	0	
181901	V19a	0	

# x2g1_1: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
181902	V19b	0	
181903	V19c	0	
182000	V20	0	
182100	V21	0	
182200	V22	1	0.0%
999991	too much, all	18	0.8%
999999	Skip	2183	95.2%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# x2g1_2: The number of the question			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10000-999999] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10000	set A	0	
10100	A1	0	
10200	A2	0	
10300	A3	0	
10400	A4	0	
10500	A5	0	
10600	A6	0	
10700	A7	0	
20000	set B	1	0.0%
20100	B1	1	0.0%
20200	B2	0	
20300	B3	0	
30000	set C	1	0.0%
30100	C1	0	
30200	C2	0	
30300	C3	0	
40000	set D	0	
40100	D1	0	
40200	D2	0	
40300	D3	0	
50000	set E	1	0.0%
50100	E1	0	
50200	E2	0	
50300	E3	0	
50400	E4	0	
60000	set F	1	0.0%
60100	F1	0	
60200	F2	0	
60300	F3	0	
60400	F4	0	
60500	F5	0	
60600	F6	0	

# x2g1_2: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
60700	F7	0	
60800	F8	1	0.0%
70000	set G	0	
70100	G1	0	
70200	G2	0	
70300	G3	0	
70400	G4	0	
70500	G5	0	
70600	G6	0	
70700	G7	0	
80000	set H	0	
80100	H1	0	
80200	H2	0	
80300	H3	0	
80400	H4	0	
80500	H5	0	
80600	H6	0	
80700	H7	0	
80800	H8	0	
80900	H9	0	
81000	H10	0	
81100	H11	0	
90000	set J	1	0.0%
90100	J1	3	0.1%
90200	J2	1	0.0%
90201	J2b	1	0.0%
100000	set K	0	
100101	K1a	0	
100102	K1b	0	
100103	K1c	0	
100104	K1d	0	
100105	K1e	0	
100106	K1f	0	
100107	K1g	0	
120000	set M	0	
120100	M1	0	
120200	M2	0	
130000	set N	0	
130100	set N1	1	0.0%
130101	N1a	0	
130102	N1b	1	0.0%
130103	N1c	0	
130104	N1d	0	
130105	N1e	0	
130106	N1f	0	

# x2g1_2: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
130107	N1g	0	
130108	N1h	0	
130109	N1i	0	
130200	N2	0	
130201	N2a	1	0.0%
130202	N2b	0	
130203	N2c	0	
130204	N2d	0	
140000	set P	0	
140100	P1	0	
140200	P2	0	
140300	P3	0	
150000	set Q	2	0.1%
150100	Q1	0	
150200	Q2	1	0.0%
150300	Q3	0	
150400	Q4	1	0.0%
150500	Q5	0	
150600	Q6	0	
150700	Q7	0	
150800	Q8	0	
150900	Q9	0	
151000	Q10	0	
151100	Q11	0	
151200	Q12	0	
151300	Q13	0	
160000	set R	2	0.1%
160100	R1	0	
160200	R2	0	
160300	R3	0	
160400	R4	0	
160500	R5	0	
160600	R6	0	
160700	R7	0	
170000	set S	2	0.1%
170100	S1	0	
170101	S1a	0	
170102	S1b	0	
170103	S1c	0	
170200	S2	0	
170201	S2a	1	0.0%
170300	S3	0	
170301	S3a	0	
170302	S3b	0	
170303	S3c	0	

# x2g1_2: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
170304	S3d	0	
170305	S3e	0	
180000	set V	1	0.0%
180100	V1	0	
180200	V2	0	
180300	V3	0	
180400	V4	0	
180500	V5	0	
180600	V6	1	0.0%
180601	V6a	0	
180602	V6b	0	
180700	V7	0	
180800	V8	0	
180900	V9	0	
180901	V9a	0	
180902	V9b	0	
181000	V10	1	0.0%
181100	V11	0	
181101	V11a	0	
181200	V12	0	
181201	V12a	0	
181300	V13	0	
181400	V14	0	
181500	V15	2	0.1%
181600	set V16	1	0.0%
181601	V16a	0	
181602	V16b	1	0.0%
181603	V16c	0	
181700	V17	0	
181800	V18	0	
181801	V18a	0	
181900	V19	0	
181901	V19a	0	
181902	V19b	0	
181903	V19c	0	
182000	V20	0	
182100	V21	1	0.0%
182200	V22	0	
999991	too much, all	0	
999999	Skip	2260	98.6%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# x2g1_3: The number of the question			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10000-999999] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		

x2g1_3: The number of the question

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10000	set A	0	0.0%
10100	A1	0	
10200	A2	0	
10300	A3	0	
10400	A4	0	
10500	A5	0	
10600	A6	0	
10700	A7	0	
20000	set B	0	
20100	B1	0	
20200	B2	0	
20300	B3	0	
30000	set C	1	
30100	C1	0	
30200	C2	0	
30300	C3	0	
40000	set D	0	
40100	D1	0	
40200	D2	0	
40300	D3	0	
50000	set E	0	
50100	E1	0	
50200	E2	0	
50300	E3	0	
50400	E4	0	
60000	set F	0	
60100	F1	0	
60200	F2	0	
60300	F3	0	
60400	F4	0	
60500	F5	0	
60600	F6	0	
60700	F7	0	
60800	F8	0	
70000	set G	0	0.0%
70100	G1	0	
70200	G2	0	
70300	G3	0	
70400	G4	0	
70500	G5	0	
70600	G6	0	
70700	G7	0	
80000	set H	1	
80100	H1	0	
80200	H2	0	

# x2g1_3: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
80300	H3	0	
80400	H4	0	
80500	H5	0	
80600	H6	0	
80700	H7	0	
80800	H8	0	
80900	H9	0	
81000	H10	0	
81100	H11	0	
90000	set J	1	0.0%
90100	J1	1	0.0%
90200	J2	2	0.1%
90201	J2b	3	0.1%
100000	set K	0	
100101	K1a	0	
100102	K1b	0	
100103	K1c	0	
100104	K1d	0	
100105	K1e	0	
100106	K1f	0	
100107	K1g	0	
120000	set M	0	
120100	M1	0	
120200	M2	0	
130000	set N	0	
130100	set N1	0	
130101	N1a	0	
130102	N1b	0	
130103	N1c	0	
130104	N1d	0	
130105	N1e	0	
130106	N1f	0	
130107	N1g	0	
130108	N1h	0	
130109	N1i	0	
130200	N2	0	
130201	N2a	0	
130202	N2b	1	0.0%
130203	N2c	0	
130204	N2d	0	
140000	set P	0	
140100	P1	0	
140200	P2	0	
140300	P3	0	
150000	set Q	0	

# x2g1_3: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
150100	Q1	0	
150200	Q2	0	
150300	Q3	0	
150400	Q4	1	0.0%
150500	Q5	1	0.0%
150600	Q6	1	0.0%
150700	Q7	0	
150800	Q8	0	
150900	Q9	0	
151000	Q10	0	
151100	Q11	0	
151200	Q12	0	
151300	Q13	0	
160000	set R	0	
160100	R1	0	
160200	R2	0	
160300	R3	0	
160400	R4	0	
160500	R5	0	
160600	R6	0	
160700	R7	0	
170000	set S	1	0.0%
170100	S1	0	
170101	S1a	0	
170102	S1b	0	
170103	S1c	0	
170200	S2	0	
170201	S2a	0	
170300	S3	1	0.0%
170301	S3a	0	
170302	S3b	0	
170303	S3c	0	
170304	S3d	0	
170305	S3e	0	
180000	set V	1	0.0%
180100	V1	0	
180200	V2	0	
180300	V3	0	
180400	V4	0	
180500	V5	0	
180600	V6	0	
180601	V6a	0	
180602	V6b	0	
180700	V7	0	
180800	V8	0	

# x2g1_3: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
180900	V9	0	
180901	V9a	0	
180902	V9b	0	
181000	V10	0	
181100	V11	1	0.0%
181101	V11a	0	
181200	V12	0	
181201	V12a	0	
181300	V13	0	
181400	V14	0	
181500	V15	1	0.0%
181600	set V16	0	
181601	V16a	0	
181602	V16b	0	
181603	V16c	0	
181700	V17	0	
181800	V18	0	
181801	V18a	0	
181900	V19	1	0.0%
181901	V19a	0	
181902	V19b	0	
181903	V19c	0	
182000	V20	0	
182100	V21	0	
182200	V22	0	
999991	too much, all	0	
999999	Skip	2273	99.2%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# x2g1_4: The number of the question			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10000-999999] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10000	set A	0	
10100	A1	0	
10200	A2	0	
10300	A3	0	
10400	A4	0	
10500	A5	0	
10600	A6	0	
10700	A7	0	
20000	set B	0	
20100	B1	0	
20200	B2	0	
20300	B3	0	

# x2g1_4: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
30000	set C	0	
30100	C1	0	
30200	C2	0	
30300	C3	0	
40000	set D	0	
40100	D1	0	
40200	D2	0	
40300	D3	0	
50000	set E	0	
50100	E1	0	
50200	E2	0	
50300	E3	0	
50400	E4	0	
60000	set F	0	
60100	F1	0	
60200	F2	0	
60300	F3	0	
60400	F4	0	
60500	F5	0	
60600	F6	0	
60700	F7	0	
60800	F8	0	
70000	set G	0	
70100	G1	0	
70200	G2	0	
70300	G3	0	
70400	G4	0	
70500	G5	0	
70600	G6	0	
70700	G7	0	
80000	set H	0	
80100	H1	0	
80200	H2	0	
80300	H3	0	
80400	H4	0	
80500	H5	0	
80600	H6	0	
80700	H7	0	
80800	H8	0	
80900	H9	0	
81000	H10	0	
81100	H11	0	
90000	set J	0	
90100	J1	1	0.0%
90200	J2	1	0.0%

# x2g1_4: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
90201	J2b	0	
100000	set K	0	
100101	K1a	0	
100102	K1b	0	
100103	K1c	0	
100104	K1d	0	
100105	K1e	0	
100106	K1f	0	
100107	K1g	0	
120000	set M	0	
120100	M1	0	
120200	M2	0	
130000	set N	0	
130100	set N1	0	
130101	N1a	0	
130102	N1b	0	
130103	N1c	0	
130104	N1d	0	
130105	N1e	0	
130106	N1f	0	
130107	N1g	0	
130108	N1h	0	
130109	N1i	0	
130200	N2	0	
130201	N2a	0	
130202	N2b	1	0.0%
130203	N2c	0	
130204	N2d	0	
140000	set P	0	
140100	P1	0	
140200	P2	0	
140300	P3	0	
150000	set Q	1	0.0%
150100	Q1	0	
150200	Q2	0	
150300	Q3	0	
150400	Q4	1	0.0%
150500	Q5	1	0.0%
150600	Q6	1	0.0%
150700	Q7	1	0.0%
150800	Q8	0	
150900	Q9	0	
151000	Q10	0	
151100	Q11	0	
151200	Q12	0	

# x2g1_4: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
151300	Q13	0	
160000	set R	0	
160100	R1	0	
160200	R2	0	
160300	R3	0	
160400	R4	0	
160500	R5	0	
160600	R6	0	
160700	R7	0	
170000	set S	1	0.0%
170100	S1	0	
170101	S1a	0	
170102	S1b	0	
170103	S1c	0	
170200	S2	0	
170201	S2a	0	
170300	S3	0	
170301	S3a	1	0.0%
170302	S3b	0	
170303	S3c	0	
170304	S3d	0	
170305	S3e	0	
180000	set V	0	
180100	V1	0	
180200	V2	0	
180300	V3	0	
180400	V4	0	
180500	V5	0	
180600	V6	0	
180601	V6a	1	0.0%
180602	V6b	0	
180700	V7	0	
180800	V8	0	
180900	V9	0	
180901	V9a	0	
180902	V9b	0	
181000	V10	0	
181100	V11	0	
181101	V11a	0	
181200	V12	0	
181201	V12a	0	
181300	V13	1	0.0%
181400	V14	0	
181500	V15	0	
181600	set V16	0	

# x2g1_4: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
181601	V16a	0	
181602	V16b	0	
181603	V16c	1	0.0%
181700	V17	0	
181800	V18	0	
181801	V18a	0	
181900	V19	0	
181901	V19a	1	0.0%
181902	V19b	0	
181903	V19c	0	
182000	V20	0	
182100	V21	0	
182200	V22	0	
999991	too much, all	0	
999999	Skip	2278	99.4%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# x2g1_5: The number of the question			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10000-999999] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10000	set A	0	
10100	A1	0	
10200	A2	0	
10300	A3	0	
10400	A4	0	
10500	A5	0	
10600	A6	0	
10700	A7	0	
20000	set B	0	
20100	B1	0	
20200	B2	0	
20300	B3	0	
30000	set C	0	
30100	C1	0	
30200	C2	0	
30300	C3	0	
40000	set D	0	
40100	D1	0	
40200	D2	0	
40300	D3	0	
50000	set E	0	
50100	E1	0	
50200	E2	0	
50300	E3	0	

# x2g1_5: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
50400	E4	0	
60000	set F	0	
60100	F1	0	
60200	F2	0	
60300	F3	0	
60400	F4	0	
60500	F5	0	
60600	F6	0	
60700	F7	0	
60800	F8	0	
70000	set G	0	
70100	G1	0	
70200	G2	0	
70300	G3	0	
70400	G4	0	
70500	G5	0	
70600	G6	0	
70700	G7	0	
80000	set H	0	
80100	H1	0	
80200	H2	0	
80300	H3	0	
80400	H4	0	
80500	H5	0	
80600	H6	0	
80700	H7	0	
80800	H8	0	
80900	H9	0	
81000	H10	0	
81100	H11	0	
90000	set J	0	
90100	J1	0	
90200	J2	0	
90201	J2b	1	0.0%
100000	set K	0	
100101	K1a	0	
100102	K1b	0	
100103	K1c	0	
100104	K1d	0	
100105	K1e	0	
100106	K1f	0	
100107	K1g	0	
120000	set M	0	
120100	M1	0	
120200	M2	1	0.0%

x2g1_5: The number of the question

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
130000	set N	0	
130100	set N1	0	
130101	N1a	0	
130102	N1b	0	
130103	N1c	0	
130104	N1d	0	
130105	N1e	0	
130106	N1f	0	
130107	N1g	0	
130108	N1h	0	
130109	N1i	0	
130200	N2	0	
130201	N2a	0	
130202	N2b	0	
130203	N2c	0	
130204	N2d	0	
140000	set P	0	
140100	P1	1	0.0%
140200	P2	0	
140300	P3	0	
150000	set Q	0	
150100	Q1	0	
150200	Q2	0	
150300	Q3	0	
150400	Q4	0	
150500	Q5	1	0.0%
150600	Q6	1	0.0%
150700	Q7	0	
150800	Q8	1	0.0%
150900	Q9	0	
151000	Q10	0	
151100	Q11	0	
151200	Q12	0	
151300	Q13	0	
160000	set R	0	
160100	R1	0	
160200	R2	0	
160300	R3	0	
160400	R4	0	
160500	R5	0	
160600	R6	0	
160700	R7	0	
170000	set S	1	0.0%
170100	S1	0	
170101	S1a	0	

# x2g1_5: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
170102	S1b	0	0.0%
170103	S1c	0	
170200	S2	0	
170201	S2a	0	
170300	S3	0	
170301	S3a	0	
170302	S3b	1	
170303	S3c	0	
170304	S3d	0	
170305	S3e	0	
180000	set V	0	0.0%
180100	V1	0	
180200	V2	0	
180300	V3	0	
180400	V4	0	
180500	V5	0	
180600	V6	0	
180601	V6a	0	
180602	V6b	0	
180700	V7	0	
180800	V8	0	0.0%
180900	V9	0	
180901	V9a	0	
180902	V9b	0	
181000	V10	0	
181100	V11	0	
181101	V11a	0	
181200	V12	0	
181201	V12a	0	
181300	V13	0	
181400	V14	1	0.0%
181500	V15	1	0.0%
181600	set V16	0	0.0%
181601	V16a	0	
181602	V16b	0	
181603	V16c	0	
181700	V17	0	
181800	V18	0	
181801	V18a	0	
181900	V19	0	
181901	V19a	0	
181902	V19b	0	
181903	V19c	0	0.0%
182000	V20	0	
182100	V21	0	

# x2g1_5: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
182200	V22	0	
999991	too much, all	0	
999999	Skip	2282	99.6%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# x2g1_6: The number of the question			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10000-999999] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10000	set A	0	
10100	A1	0	
10200	A2	0	
10300	A3	0	
10400	A4	0	
10500	A5	0	
10600	A6	0	
10700	A7	0	
20000	set B	0	
20100	B1	0	
20200	B2	0	
20300	B3	0	
30000	set C	0	
30100	C1	0	
30200	C2	0	
30300	C3	0	
40000	set D	0	
40100	D1	0	
40200	D2	0	
40300	D3	0	
50000	set E	0	
50100	E1	0	
50200	E2	0	
50300	E3	0	
50400	E4	0	
60000	set F	0	
60100	F1	0	
60200	F2	0	
60300	F3	0	
60400	F4	0	
60500	F5	0	
60600	F6	0	
60700	F7	0	
60800	F8	0	
70000	set G	0	
70100	G1	0	

# x2g1_6: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
70200	G2	0	
70300	G3	0	
70400	G4	0	
70500	G5	0	
70600	G6	0	
70700	G7	0	
80000	set H	0	
80100	H1	0	
80200	H2	0	
80300	H3	0	
80400	H4	0	
80500	H5	0	
80600	H6	0	
80700	H7	0	
80800	H8	0	
80900	H9	0	
81000	H10	0	
81100	H11	0	
90000	set J	0	
90100	J1	0	
90200	J2	0	
90201	J2b	0	
100000	set K	0	
100101	K1a	0	
100102	K1b	0	
100103	K1c	0	
100104	K1d	0	
100105	K1e	0	
100106	K1f	0	
100107	K1g	0	
120000	set M	0	
120100	M1	0	
120200	M2	0	
130000	set N	0	
130100	set N1	0	
130101	N1a	0	
130102	N1b	0	
130103	N1c	0	
130104	N1d	0	
130105	N1e	0	
130106	N1f	0	
130107	N1g	0	
130108	N1h	0	
130109	N1i	0	
130200	N2	0	

x2g1_6: The number of the question

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
130201	N2a	0	
130202	N2b	0	
130203	N2c	0	
130204	N2d	0	
140000	set P	0	
140100	P1	1	0.0%
140200	P2	1	0.0%
140300	P3	0	
150000	set Q	0	
150100	Q1	0	
150200	Q2	0	
150300	Q3	0	
150400	Q4	0	
150500	Q5	0	
150600	Q6	1	0.0%
150700	Q7	1	0.0%
150800	Q8	0	
150900	Q9	1	0.0%
151000	Q10	0	
151100	Q11	0	
151200	Q12	0	
151300	Q13	0	
160000	set R	0	
160100	R1	0	
160200	R2	0	
160300	R3	0	
160400	R4	0	
160500	R5	0	
160600	R6	0	
160700	R7	0	
170000	set S	0	
170100	S1	0	
170101	S1a	0	
170102	S1b	0	
170103	S1c	0	
170200	S2	0	
170201	S2a	0	
170300	S3	0	
170301	S3a	0	
170302	S3b	0	
170303	S3c	1	0.0%
170304	S3d	0	
170305	S3e	0	
180000	set V	0	
180100	V1	0	

# x2g1_6: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
180200	V2	0	
180300	V3	0	
180400	V4	0	
180500	V5	0	
180600	V6	0	
180601	V6a	0	
180602	V6b	0	
180700	V7	0	
180800	V8	0	
180900	V9	0	
180901	V9a	0	
180902	V9b	0	
181000	V10	0	
181100	V11	0	
181101	V11a	0	
181200	V12	0	
181201	V12a	0	
181300	V13	0	
181400	V14	1	0.0%
181500	V15	1	0.0%
181600	set V16	0	
181601	V16a	0	
181602	V16b	0	
181603	V16c	0	
181700	V17	0	
181800	V18	0	
181801	V18a	0	
181900	V19	0	
181901	V19a	0	
181902	V19b	0	
181903	V19c	0	
182000	V20	0	
182100	V21	0	
182200	V22	0	
999991	too much, all	0	
999999	Skip	2284	99.7%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# x2g1_7: The number of the question			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10000-999999] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10000	set A	0	
10100	A1	0	
10200	A2	0	

x2g1_7: The number of the question

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10300	A3	0	
10400	A4	0	
10500	A5	0	
10600	A6	0	
10700	A7	0	
20000	set B	0	
20100	B1	0	
20200	B2	0	
20300	B3	0	
30000	set C	0	
30100	C1	0	
30200	C2	0	
30300	C3	0	
40000	set D	0	
40100	D1	0	
40200	D2	0	
40300	D3	0	
50000	set E	0	
50100	E1	0	
50200	E2	0	
50300	E3	0	
50400	E4	0	
60000	set F	0	
60100	F1	0	
60200	F2	0	
60300	F3	0	
60400	F4	0	
60500	F5	0	
60600	F6	0	
60700	F7	0	
60800	F8	0	
70000	set G	0	
70100	G1	0	
70200	G2	0	
70300	G3	0	
70400	G4	0	
70500	G5	0	
70600	G6	0	
70700	G7	0	
80000	set H	0	
80100	H1	0	
80200	H2	0	
80300	H3	0	
80400	H4	0	
80500	H5	0	

# x2g1_7: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
80600	H6	0	
80700	H7	0	
80800	H8	0	
80900	H9	0	
81000	H10	0	
81100	H11	0	
90000	set J	0	
90100	J1	0	
90200	J2	0	
90201	J2b	0	
100000	set K	0	
100101	K1a	0	
100102	K1b	0	
100103	K1c	0	
100104	K1d	0	
100105	K1e	0	
100106	K1f	0	
100107	K1g	0	
120000	set M	0	
120100	M1	0	
120200	M2	0	
130000	set N	0	
130100	set N1	0	
130101	N1a	0	
130102	N1b	0	
130103	N1c	0	
130104	N1d	0	
130105	N1e	0	
130106	N1f	0	
130107	N1g	0	
130108	N1h	0	
130109	N1i	0	
130200	N2	0	
130201	N2a	0	
130202	N2b	0	
130203	N2c	0	
130204	N2d	0	
140000	set P	0	
140100	P1	0	
140200	P2	1	0.0%
140300	P3	1	0.0%
150000	set Q	0	
150100	Q1	0	
150200	Q2	0	
150300	Q3	0	

x2g1_7: The number of the question

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
150400	Q4	0	
150500	Q5	0	
150600	Q6	0	
150700	Q7	1	0.0%
150800	Q8	1	0.0%
150900	Q9	0	
151000	Q10	1	0.0%
151100	Q11	0	
151200	Q12	0	
151300	Q13	0	
160000	set R	0	
160100	R1	0	
160200	R2	0	
160300	R3	0	
160400	R4	0	
160500	R5	0	
160600	R6	0	
160700	R7	0	
170000	set S	0	
170100	S1	0	
170101	S1a	0	
170102	S1b	0	
170103	S1c	0	
170200	S2	0	
170201	S2a	0	
170300	S3	0	
170301	S3a	0	
170302	S3b	0	
170303	S3c	0	
170304	S3d	1	0.0%
170305	S3e	0	
180000	set V	0	
180100	V1	0	
180200	V2	0	
180300	V3	0	
180400	V4	0	
180500	V5	0	
180600	V6	0	
180601	V6a	0	
180602	V6b	0	
180700	V7	0	
180800	V8	0	
180900	V9	0	
180901	V9a	0	
180902	V9b	0	

# x2g1_7: The number of the question				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
181000	V10	0		
181100	V11	0		
181101	V11a	0		
181200	V12	0		
181201	V12a	0		
181300	V13	0		
181400	V14	0		
181500	V15	0		
181600	set V16	1		0.0%
181601	V16a	0		
181602	V16b	0		
181603	V16c	0		
181700	V17	0		
181800	V18	0		
181801	V18a	0		
181900	V19	0		
181901	V19a	0		
181902	V19b	0		
181903	V19c	0		
182000	V20	0		
182100	V21	0		
182200	V22	0		
999991	too much, all	0		
999999	Skip	2285	99.7%	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# x2g1_8: The number of the question				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10000-999999] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
10000	set A	0		
10100	A1	0		
10200	A2	0		
10300	A3	0		
10400	A4	0		
10500	A5	0		
10600	A6	0		
10700	A7	0		
20000	set B	0		
20100	B1	0		
20200	B2	0		
20300	B3	0		
30000	set C	0		
30100	C1	0		
30200	C2	0		

# x2g1_8: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
30300	C3	0	
40000	set D	0	
40100	D1	0	
40200	D2	0	
40300	D3	0	
50000	set E	0	
50100	E1	0	
50200	E2	0	
50300	E3	0	
50400	E4	0	
60000	set F	0	
60100	F1	0	
60200	F2	0	
60300	F3	0	
60400	F4	0	
60500	F5	0	
60600	F6	0	
60700	F7	0	
60800	F8	0	
70000	set G	0	
70100	G1	0	
70200	G2	0	
70300	G3	0	
70400	G4	0	
70500	G5	0	
70600	G6	0	
70700	G7	0	
80000	set H	0	
80100	H1	0	
80200	H2	0	
80300	H3	0	
80400	H4	0	
80500	H5	0	
80600	H6	0	
80700	H7	0	
80800	H8	0	
80900	H9	0	
81000	H10	0	
81100	H11	0	
90000	set J	0	
90100	J1	0	
90200	J2	0	
90201	J2b	0	
100000	set K	0	
100101	K1a	0	

# x2g1_8: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
100102	K1b	0	
100103	K1c	0	
100104	K1d	0	
100105	K1e	0	
100106	K1f	0	
100107	K1g	0	
120000	set M	0	
120100	M1	0	
120200	M2	0	
130000	set N	0	
130100	set N1	0	
130101	N1a	0	
130102	N1b	0	
130103	N1c	0	
130104	N1d	0	
130105	N1e	0	
130106	N1f	0	
130107	N1g	0	
130108	N1h	0	
130109	N1i	0	
130200	N2	0	
130201	N2a	0	
130202	N2b	0	
130203	N2c	0	
130204	N2d	0	
140000	set P	0	
140100	P1	0	
140200	P2	0	
140300	P3	0	
150000	set Q	0	
150100	Q1	1	0.0%
150200	Q2	0	
150300	Q3	0	
150400	Q4	1	0.0%
150500	Q5	0	
150600	Q6	0	
150700	Q7	0	
150800	Q8	0	
150900	Q9	1	0.0%
151000	Q10	0	
151100	Q11	0	
151200	Q12	0	
151300	Q13	0	
160000	set R	1	0.0%
160100	R1	0	

# x2g1_8: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
160200	R2	0	
160300	R3	0	
160400	R4	0	
160500	R5	0	
160600	R6	0	
160700	R7	0	
170000	set S	1	0.0%
170100	S1	0	
170101	S1a	0	
170102	S1b	0	
170103	S1c	0	
170200	S2	0	
170201	S2a	0	
170300	S3	0	
170301	S3a	0	
170302	S3b	0	
170303	S3c	0	
170304	S3d	0	
170305	S3e	1	0.0%
180000	set V	0	
180100	V1	0	
180200	V2	0	
180300	V3	0	
180400	V4	0	
180500	V5	0	
180600	V6	0	
180601	V6a	0	
180602	V6b	0	
180700	V7	0	
180800	V8	0	
180900	V9	0	
180901	V9a	0	
180902	V9b	0	
181000	V10	0	
181100	V11	0	
181101	V11a	0	
181200	V12	0	
181201	V12a	0	
181300	V13	0	
181400	V14	0	
181500	V15	0	
181600	set V16	0	
181601	V16a	0	
181602	V16b	0	
181603	V16c	0	
























# x2g1_8: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
181700	V17	1	0.0%
181800	V18	0	
181801	V18a	0	
181900	V19	0	
181901	V19a	0	
181902	V19b	0	
181903	V19c	0	
182000	V20	0	
182100	V21	0	
182200	V22	0	
999991	too much, all	0	
999999	Skip	2285	
			99.7%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# x2g1_9: The number of the question			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10000-999999] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10000	set A	0	
10100	A1	0	
10200	A2	0	
10300	A3	0	
10400	A4	0	
10500	A5	0	
10600	A6	0	
10700	A7	0	
20000	set B	0	
20100	B1	0	
20200	B2	0	
20300	B3	0	
30000	set C	0	
30100	C1	0	
30200	C2	0	
30300	C3	0	
40000	set D	0	
40100	D1	0	
40200	D2	0	
40300	D3	0	
50000	set E	0	
50100	E1	0	
50200	E2	0	
50300	E3	0	
50400	E4	0	
60000	set F	0	
60100	F1	0	

# x2g1_9: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
60200	F2	0	
60300	F3	0	
60400	F4	0	
60500	F5	0	
60600	F6	0	
60700	F7	0	
60800	F8	0	
70000	set G	0	
70100	G1	0	
70200	G2	0	
70300	G3	0	
70400	G4	0	
70500	G5	0	
70600	G6	0	
70700	G7	0	
80000	set H	0	
80100	H1	0	
80200	H2	0	
80300	H3	0	
80400	H4	0	
80500	H5	0	
80600	H6	0	
80700	H7	0	
80800	H8	0	
80900	H9	0	
81000	H10	0	
81100	H11	0	
90000	set J	0	
90100	J1	0	
90200	J2	0	
90201	J2b	0	
100000	set K	0	
100101	K1a	0	
100102	K1b	0	
100103	K1c	0	
100104	K1d	0	
100105	K1e	0	
100106	K1f	0	
100107	K1g	0	
120000	set M	0	
120100	M1	0	
120200	M2	0	
130000	set N	0	
130100	set N1	0	
130101	N1a	0	

x2g1_9: The number of the question

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
130102	N1b	0	
130103	N1c	0	
130104	N1d	0	
130105	N1e	0	
130106	N1f	0	
130107	N1g	0	
130108	N1h	0	
130109	N1i	0	
130200	N2	0	
130201	N2a	0	
130202	N2b	0	
130203	N2c	0	
130204	N2d	0	
140000	set P	0	
140100	P1	0	
140200	P2	0	
140300	P3	0	
150000	set Q	0	
150100	Q1	0	
150200	Q2	1	0.0%
150300	Q3	0	
150400	Q4	0	
150500	Q5	1	0.0%
150600	Q6	0	
150700	Q7	0	
150800	Q8	0	
150900	Q9	0	
151000	Q10	1	0.0%
151100	Q11	0	
151200	Q12	0	
151300	Q13	0	
160000	set R	0	
160100	R1	0	
160200	R2	0	
160300	R3	0	
160400	R4	0	
160500	R5	0	
160600	R6	0	
160700	R7	0	
170000	set S	1	0.0%
170100	S1	0	
170101	S1a	0	
170102	S1b	0	
170103	S1c	0	
170200	S2	0	

# x2g1_9: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
170201	S2a	0	
170300	S3	0	
170301	S3a	0	
170302	S3b	0	
170303	S3c	0	
170304	S3d	0	
170305	S3e	0	
180000	set V	0	
180100	V1	0	
180200	V2	0	
180300	V3	0	
180400	V4	0	
180500	V5	0	
180600	V6	0	
180601	V6a	0	
180602	V6b	0	
180700	V7	0	
180800	V8	0	
180900	V9	0	
180901	V9a	0	
180902	V9b	0	
181000	V10	0	
181100	V11	0	
181101	V11a	0	
181200	V12	0	
181201	V12a	0	
181300	V13	0	
181400	V14	0	
181500	V15	0	
181600	set V16	0	
181601	V16a	0	
181602	V16b	0	
181603	V16c	0	
181700	V17	0	
181800	V18	0	
181801	V18a	0	
181900	V19	0	
181901	V19a	0	
181902	V19b	0	
181903	V19c	0	
182000	V20	0	
182100	V21	0	
182200	V22	0	
999991	too much, all	0	
999999	Skip	2288	99.8%

# x2g1_9: The number of the question			
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# x3: How cooperative was the R?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very cooperative	1210	 52.8%
2	Fairly cooperative	799	 34.9%
3	A little cooperative	211	 9.2%
4	A little uncooperative	58	 2.5%
5	Fairly uncooperative	13	 0.6%
6	Very uncooperative	1	 0.0%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# x4: How well do you think the R understood the questions?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Understood very well	403	 17.6%
2	Understood fairly well	890	 38.8%
3	Understood a little	614	 26.8%
4	Didn't understand a little	229	 10.0%
5	Didn't understand a fair mount	96	 4.2%
6	Didn't understand much at all	60	 2.6%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# x5: How sensitive do you think the R thought the questions were?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very sensitive	66	 2.9%
2	Fairly sensitive	132	 5.8%
3	A little sensitive	644	 28.1%
4	A little insensitive	658	 28.7%
5	Fairly insensitive	464	 20.2%
6	Very insensitive	328	 14.3%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# x6: How interested do you think the R was in the contents of the survey?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very interested	116	 5.1%
2	Fairly interested	340	 14.8%
3	A little interested	987	 43.1%
4	A little uninterested	602	 26.3%
5	Fairly uninterested	139	 6.1%

# x6: How interested do you think the R was in the contents of the survey?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6	Very uninterested	108	<div></div> 4.7%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# x7: How trustworthy do you think the R's answers are?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Most are untrustworthy	29	<div></div> 1.3%
2	Some are untrustworthy	176	<div></div> 7.7%
3	Most are trustworthy	1180	<div></div> 51.5%
4	All are trustworthy	907	<div></div> 39.6%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# x8: What do you think is the R's level of knowledge about general political matters?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very low	226	<div></div> 9.9%
2	Low	914	<div></div> 39.9%
3	High	944	<div></div> 41.2%
4	Very high	208	<div></div> 9.1%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# x9_1: The R answered questions:			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	By him or herself	1234	<div></div> 53.8%
2	With his or her spouse	425	<div></div> 18.5%
3	A child also participated	264	<div></div> 11.5%
4	With his or her entire family	224	<div></div> 9.8%
5	With other people present	0	
6	colleagues	15	<div></div> 0.7%
7	relatives	14	<div></div> 0.6%
8	servant (housekeeper)	1	<div></div> 0.0%
9	grandchildren	17	<div></div> 0.7%
10	customer	14	<div></div> 0.6%
11	brothers or sisters	21	<div></div> 0.9%
12	friends	31	<div></div> 1.4%
13	neighbors	6	<div></div> 0.3%
14	administrator	4	<div></div> 0.2%
15	student	5	<div></div> 0.2%
16	employees	8	<div></div> 0.3%
17	head of neighborhood (village head)	6	<div></div> 0.3%
18	families	3	<div></div> 0.1%
90	With other people present_____	0	

# x9_1: The R answered questions:			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
99	Skip	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# x9_2: The R answered questions:			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	By him or herself	0	
2	With his or her spouse	16	0.7%
3	A child also participated	94	4.1%
4	With his or her entire family	27	1.2%
5	With other people present	0	
6	colleagues	0	
7	relatives	3	0.1%
8	servant (housekeeper)	0	
9	grandchildren	6	0.3%
10	customer	3	0.1%
11	brothers or sisters	8	0.3%
12	friends	4	0.2%
13	neighbors	0	
14	administrator	0	
15	student	0	
16	employees	1	0.0%
17	head of neighborhood (village head)	2	0.1%
18	families	0	
90	With other people present_____	0	
99	Skip	2128	92.8%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# x9_3: The R answered questions:			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	By him or herself	0	
2	With his or her spouse	2	0.1%
3	A child also participated	4	0.2%
4	With his or her entire family	13	0.6%
5	With other people present	0	
6	colleagues	0	
7	relatives	1	0.0%
8	servant (housekeeper)	0	
9	grandchildren	2	0.1%
10	customer	1	0.0%
11	brothers or sisters	2	0.1%
12	friends	0	
13	neighbors	1	0.0%

# x9_3: The R answered questions:			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
14	administrator	0	
15	student	0	
16	employees	0	
17	head of neighborhood (village head)	0	
18	families	0	
90	With other people present_____	0	
99	Skip	2266	98.9%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# x9_4: The R answered questions:			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	By him or herself	0	
2	With his or her spouse	0	
3	A child also participated	0	
4	With his or her entire family	0	
5	With other people present	0	
6	colleagues	0	
7	relatives	0	
8	servant (housekeeper)	0	
9	grandchildren	0	
10	customer	0	
11	brothers or sisters	0	
12	friends	0	
13	neighbors	0	
14	administrator	0	
15	student	0	
16	employees	0	
17	head of neighborhood (village head)	0	
18	families	0	
90	With other people present_____	0	
99	Skip	2292	100.0%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# x9_5: The R answered questions:			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	By him or herself	0	
2	With his or her spouse	0	
3	A child also participated	0	
4	With his or her entire family	0	
5	With other people present	0	
6	colleagues	0	
7	relatives	0	

# x9_5: The R answered questions:			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8	servant (housekeeper)	0	
9	grandchildren	0	
10	customer	0	
11	brothers or sisters	0	
12	friends	0	
13	neighbors	0	
14	administrator	0	
15	student	0	
16	employees	0	
17	head of neighborhood (village head)	0	
18	families	0	
90	With other people present _____	0	
99	Skip	2292	100.0%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# x9a: Child's age is about _____			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		3	0.1%
2		5	0.2%
3		4	0.2%
4		6	0.3%
5		10	0.4%
6		5	0.2%
7		5	0.2%
8		10	0.4%
9		6	0.3%
10		15	0.7%
11		3	0.1%
12		9	0.4%
13		5	0.2%
14		3	0.1%
15		6	0.3%
16		8	0.3%
17		3	0.1%
18		8	0.3%
19		3	0.1%
20		13	0.6%
21		1	0.0%
22		4	0.2%
24		1	0.0%
25		4	0.2%
28		1	0.0%
30		25	1.1%

# x9a: Child's age is about _____			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
32		1	0.0%
35		8	0.3%
39		1	0.0%
40		11	0.5%
45		6	0.3%
47		1	0.0%
48		1	0.0%
50		10	0.4%
55		3	0.1%
90	Missing	141	6.2%
98	Don't know	13	0.6%
99	Skip	1930	84.2%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# x9b_1: Did a third person present at the interview do any of the following?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Keep busy, but listen to the conversation between I and R	391	17.1%
2	Be present part of the time, but not express opinion or othe	139	6.1%
3	Be present all of the time, but not express opinion or other	176	7.7%
4	During interview, express opinion or otherwise interfere wit	287	12.5%
5	None of the above	65	2.8%
90	Other	0	
99	Skip	1234	53.8%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# x9b_2: Did a third person present at the interview do any of the following?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Keep busy, but listen to the conversation between I and R	5	0.2%
2	Be present part of the time, but not express opinion or othe	14	0.6%
3	Be present all of the time, but not express opinion or other	13	0.6%
4	During interview, express opinion or otherwise interfere wit	7	0.3%
5	None of the above	0	
90	Other	0	
99	Skip	2253	98.3%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# x9b_3: Did a third person present at the interview do any of the following?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Keep busy, but listen to the conversation between I and R	1	0.0%
2	Be present part of the time, but not express opinion or othe	1	0.0%

# x9b_3: Did a third person present at the interview do any of the following?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Be present all of the time, but not express opinion or other	0	
4	During interview, express opinion or otherwise interfere wit	2	0.1%
5	None of the above	0	
90	Other	0	
99	Skip	2288	99.8%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# x9b_4: Did a third person present at the interview do any of the following?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Keep busy, but listen to the conversation between I and R	0	
2	Be present part of the time, but not express opinion or othe	0	
3	Be present all of the time, but not express opinion or other	0	
4	During interview, express opinion or otherwise interfere wit	0	
5	None of the above	0	
90	Other	0	
99	Skip	2292	100.0%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# x9b_5: Did a third person present at the interview do any of the following?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Keep busy, but listen to the conversation between I and R	0	
2	Be present part of the time, but not express opinion or othe	0	
3	Be present all of the time, but not express opinion or other	0	
4	During interview, express opinion or otherwise interfere wit	0	
5	None of the above	0	
90	Other	0	
99	Skip	2292	100.0%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# sex: sex			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	male	1132	49.4%
2	female	1160	50.6%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# age: age			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	20-29	340	14.8%

# age: age			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	30-39	450	<div></div> 19.6%
3	40-49	456	<div></div> 19.9%
4	50-59	497	<div></div> 21.7%
5	above 60	549	<div></div> 24.0%
9	nonresponse	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# edu: education			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2284 /-] [Invalid=8 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Below elementary school	401	<div></div> 17.6%
2	Junior high school	268	<div></div> 11.7%
3	senior high school	566	<div></div> 24.8%
4	college	277	<div></div> 12.1%
5	Above university	772	<div></div> 33.8%
9	nonresponse	8	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# arear: region			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Taipei, New Taipei, Keelung and Ilan	604	<div></div> 26.4%
2	Taoyuan, Hsinchu and Miaoly	267	<div></div> 11.6%
3	Taichung, Changhua and Nantou	545	<div></div> 23.8%
4	Yunlin, Chiayi and Tainan	449	<div></div> 19.6%
5	Kaoshiung, Pingtung and Penghu	366	<div></div> 16.0%
6	Hualien, Taitung and Offshore Islands	61	<div></div> 2.7%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# w: weight			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0.381355237-2.585164346] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0.335 /-]		
# career: Occupations5			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Hight-class WHITE COLLAR	672	<div><div></div></div> 29.3%
2	Low-class WHITE COLLAR	559	<div><div></div></div> 24.4%
3	FARMER	167	<div><div></div></div> 7.3%
4	WORKER	689	<div><div></div></div> 30.1%
5	Others	205	<div><div></div></div> 8.9%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# career8: Occupation8			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Civil servants	326	<div><div></div></div> 14.2%
2	Managers and Professionals (Priv.)	336	<div><div></div></div> 14.7%
3	CLERKS (Priv.)	425	<div><div></div></div> 18.5%
4	Labor (Priv.)	567	<div><div></div></div> 24.7%
5	FARMER	136	<div><div></div></div> 5.9%
6	student or currently in job training	91	<div><div></div></div> 4.0%
7	Housekeeping	356	<div><div></div></div> 15.5%
8	Others	55	<div><div></div></div> 2.4%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# ethnic: Ethnic			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Taiwanese	1317	<div><div></div></div> 57.5%
2	Both	808	<div><div></div></div> 35.3%
3	Chinese	99	<div><div></div></div> 4.3%
9	Nonresponse	68	<div><div></div></div> 3.0%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# party: Party Preference			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-22] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly support KMT	118	<div><div></div></div> 5.1%
2	Somewhat support KMT	275	<div><div></div></div> 12.0%
3	Lean to KMT	128	<div><div></div></div> 5.6%
4	somewhat lean to KMT	224	<div><div></div></div> 9.8%
5	Strongly support DPP	99	<div><div></div></div> 4.3%

# party: Party Preference			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6	Somewhat support DPP	259	<div><div></div></div> 11.3%
7	Lean to the DPP	109	<div><div></div></div> 4.8%
8	somewhat lean to DPP	175	<div><div></div></div> 7.6%
9	Strongly support NP	4	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
10	Somewhat support NP	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
11	Lean to the NP	0	
12	somewhat lean to the NP	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
13	Strongly support PFP	4	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
14	Somewhat support PFP	18	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
15	Lean to the PFP	9	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
16	somewhat lean to the PFP	14	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
17	Strongly support TSU	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
18	Somewhat support TSU	5	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
19	Lean to the TSU	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
20	somewhat lean to the TSU	6	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
21	Neutral and noresponse	830	<div><div></div></div> 36.2%
22	other parties	5	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# partyid: Party Identification			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	KMT	745	<div><div></div></div> 32.5%
2	DPP	642	<div><div></div></div> 28.0%
3	NP	8	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
4	PFP	45	<div><div></div></div> 2.0%
5	TSU	17	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
9	Neutral and Nonresponse	835	<div><div></div></div> 36.4%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# tondu: Position on unification and independence			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Immediate unification	47	<div><div></div></div> 2.1%
2	Maintain the status quo,move toward unification	355	<div><div></div></div> 15.5%
3	Maintain the status quo, decide either unification or indepe	737	<div><div></div></div> 32.2%
4	Maintain the status quo forever	566	<div><div></div></div> 24.7%
5	Maintain the status quo,move toward independence	336	<div><div></div></div> 14.7%
6	Immediate independence	110	<div><div></div></div> 4.8%
9	Nonresponse	141	<div><div></div></div> 6.2%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# tondu3: 3 categories of TONDU			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]		

# tondu3: 3 categories of TONDU			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	unification	402	<div><div></div></div> 17.5%
2	Maintain the status quo	1303	<div><div></div></div> 56.8%
3	independence	446	<div><div></div></div> 19.5%
9	Nonresponse	141	<div><div></div></div> 6.2%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# ptau: Set			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-31] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Tau 01	390	<div><div></div></div> 31.4%
2	Tau 02	388	<div><div></div></div> 31.3%
3	Tau 03	245	<div><div></div></div> 19.7%
4	Tau 04	105	<div><div></div></div> 8.5%
5	Tau 05	38	<div><div></div></div> 3.1%
6	Tau 06	28	<div><div></div></div> 2.3%
7	Tau 07	18	<div><div></div></div> 1.5%
8	Tau 08	16	<div><div></div></div> 1.3%
9	Tau 09	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
10	Tau 10	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
11	Tau 11	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
12	Tau 12	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
13	Tau 13	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
14	Tau 14	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
15	Tau 15	0	
16	Tau 16	0	
17	Tau 17	0	
18	Tau 18	0	
19	Tau 19	0	
20	Tau 20	0	
21	Tau 21	0	
22	Tau 22	0	
23	Tau 23	0	
24	Tau 24	0	
25	Tau 25	0	
26	Tau 26	0	
27	Tau 27	0	
28	Tau 28	0	
29	Tau 29	0	
30	Tau 30	0	
31	Tau 31	0	
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# pcity: City, County			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 2-68] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Yilan County	0	
3	Taoyuan City	98	<div></div> 7.9%
4	Hsinchu County	46	<div></div> 3.7%
5	Miaoli County	13	<div></div> 1.0%
7	Changhua County	45	<div></div> 3.6%
9	Yunlin County	58	<div></div> 4.7%
10	Chiayi County	17	<div></div> 1.4%
13	Pingtung County	48	<div></div> 3.9%
14	Taitung County	26	<div></div> 2.1%
15	Hualien County	15	<div></div> 1.2%
17	Keelung City	13	<div></div> 1.0%
18	Hsinchu City	0	
20	Chiayi City	17	<div></div> 1.4%
63	Taipei City	128	<div></div> 10.3%
64	Kaohsiung City	158	<div></div> 12.7%
65	New Taipei City	152	<div></div> 12.2%
66	Taichung City	222	<div></div> 17.9%
67	Tainan City	185	<div></div> 14.9%
68	Taoyuan City	0	
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# ptown: Township, City, District			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 202-6811] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
202	Luodong Township, Yilan County	0	
205	Jiaoxi Township, Yilan County	0	
209	Wujie Township, Yilan County	0	
302	Zhongli Distric, Taoyuan City	22	<div></div> 1.8%
303	Daxi District, Taoyuan City	16	<div></div> 1.3%
307	Guishan District, Taoyuan City	36	<div></div> 2.9%
310	Pingzhen District, Taoyuan City	24	<div></div> 1.9%
401	Zhubei City, Hsinchu County	11	<div></div> 0.9%
402	Zhudong Township, Hsinchu County	17	<div></div> 1.4%
403	Xinpu Township, Hsinchu County	0	
406	Xinfeng Township, Hsinchu County	18	<div></div> 1.5%
501	Miaoli City, Miaoli County	0	
508	Dahu Township , Miaoli County	13	<div></div> 1.0%
516	Sanwan Township, Miaoli County	0	
701	Changhua City, Changhua County	8	<div></div> 0.6%
703	Hemei Township, Changhua County	0	
706	Fuxing Township, Changhua County	0	

# ptown: Township, City, District			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
709	Fenyuan Township, Changhua County	23	<div></div> 1.9%
710	Yuanlin Township, Changhua County	0	
714	Puyan Township, Changhua County	0	
716	Yongjing Township, Changhua County	0	
718	Ershui Township, Changhua County	14	<div></div> 1.1%
719	Beidou Township, Changhua County	0	
721	Tianwei Township, Changhua County	0	
722	Pitou Township, Changhua County	0	
905	Tuku Township, Yunlin County	0	
906	Beigang Township, Yunlin County	21	<div></div> 1.7%
909	Citong Township, Yunlin County	19	<div></div> 1.5%
917	YuanchangTownshi, Yunlin County	0	
920	Shuilin Township, Yunlin County	18	<div></div> 1.5%
1004	Dalin Township, Chiayi County	0	
1005	Minxiong Township, Chiayi County	17	<div></div> 1.4%
1013	Zhongpu Township, Chiayi County	0	
1301	Pingtung City, Pingtung County	22	<div></div> 1.8%
1316	Fangliao Township, Pingtung County	26	<div></div> 2.1%
1317	Xinyuan Township, Pingtung County	0	
1321	Jiandong Township, Pingtung County	0	
1401	Taitung City, Taitung County	26	<div></div> 2.1%
1402	Chenggong Township, Taitung County	0	
1404	Beinan Township, Taitung County	0	
1501	HualienCity, Hualien County	0	
1503	Yuli Township, Hualien County	0	
1509	Ruisui Township, Hualien County	15	<div></div> 1.2%
1704	Renai District, Keelung City	0	
1705	Zhongshan District, Keelung City	0	
1706	Anle District, Keelung City	13	<div></div> 1.0%
1801	East District, Hsinchu City	0	
1802	North District, Hsinchu City	0	
1803	Xiangshan District, Hsinchu City	0	
2002	West District, Chiayi City	17	<div></div> 1.4%
6301	Songshan District, Taipei City	0	
6303	Daan District, Taipei City	40	<div></div> 3.2%
6304	Zhongshan District, Taipei City	44	<div></div> 3.5%
6306	Datong District , Taipei City	0	
6308	Wenshan District, Taipei City	44	<div></div> 3.5%
6311	Shilin District, Taipei City	0	
6312	Beitou District, Taipei City	0	
6402	Gushan District, Kaohsiung City	0	
6403	Zuoying District, Kaohsiung City	0	
6404	Nanzi District, Kaohsiung City	19	<div></div> 1.5%
6405	Sanmin District, Kaohsiung City	23	<div></div> 1.9%
6406	XinxingDistrict, Kaohsiung City	0	

ptown: Township, City, District

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6408	Lingya District, Kaohsiung City	18	<div></div> 1.5%
6410	Qianzhen District, Kaohsiung City	0	
6412	Fengshan District, Kaohsiung City	24	<div></div> 1.9%
6416	Dashe District, Kaohsiung City	21	<div></div> 1.7%
6424	Luzhu District, Kaohsiung City	17	<div></div> 1.4%
6430	Qishan District, Kaohsiung City	20	<div></div> 1.6%
6431	Meinong District, Kaohsiung City	16	<div></div> 1.3%
6501	Banqiao District, New Taipei City	67	<div></div> 5.4%
6502	Sanchong District, New Taipei City	0	
6503	Zhonghe District, New Taipei City	0	
6504	Yonghe District, New Taipei City	0	
6505	Xinzhuang District, New Taipei City	0	
6507	Shulin District, New Taipei City	0	
6512	Ruifang District, New Taipei City	11	<div></div> 0.9%
6515	Wugu District, New Taipei City	43	<div></div> 3.5%
6517	Linkou District, New Taipei City	19	<div></div> 1.5%
6520	Pinglin District, New Taipei City	12	<div></div> 1.0%
6602	East District, Taichung City	0	
6603	South Distric, Taichung City	0	
6604	West District, Taichung City	49	<div></div> 3.9%
6605	North District, Taichung City	48	<div></div> 3.9%
6606	Xitun Distric, Taichung City	18	<div></div> 1.5%
6607	Nantun District, Taichung City	22	<div></div> 1.8%
6608	Beitun District, Taichung City	20	<div></div> 1.6%
6609	Fengyuan District, Taichung City	0	
6610	Dongshi District, Taichung City	0	
6615	Houli District, Taichung City	0	
6616	Shengang District, Taichung City	0	
6618	Daya District, Taichung City	0	
6621	Waipu District, Taichung City	32	<div></div> 2.6%
6623	Wuri District, Taichung City	33	<div></div> 2.7%
6701	Xinying District, Tainan City	0	
6706	Dongshan District, Tainan City	20	<div></div> 1.6%
6707	Madou District, Tainan City	0	
6712	Jiali District, Tainan City	17	<div></div> 1.4%
6716	Jiangjun District, Tainan City	0	
6718	Xinhua District, Tainan City	0	
6719	Shanhua District, Tainan City	34	<div></div> 2.7%
6731	Yongkang District, Tainan City	52	<div></div> 4.2%
6732	East District, Tainan City	0	
6733	South District, Tainan City	29	<div></div> 2.3%
6734	North District, Tainan City	0	
6735	Annan District, Tainan City	33	<div></div> 2.7%
6736	Anping District, Tainan City	0	
6737	West Central District, Tainan City	0	

# ptown: Township, City, District			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6802	Zhongli Distric, Taoyuan City	0	
6803	Daxi District, Taoyuan City	0	
6804	Yangmei District, Taoyuan City	0	
6808	Bade District, Taoyuan City	0	
6811	Xinwu District, Taoyuan City	0	
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pvillage: Village			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 202026-6811020] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
202026	Guohua Village, Luodong Township, Yilan County	0	
205007	Erlong Village, Jiaoxi Township, Yilan County	0	
209006	Sijie Village, Wujie Township, Yilan County	0	
302007	Guangming Village, Zhongli Distric, Taoyuan City	12	1.0%
302031	Shuiwei Village, Zhongli Distric, Taoyuan City	10	0.8%
303012	Yihe Village, Daxi District, Taoyuan City	9	0.7%
303018	Renai Village, Daxi District, Taoyuan City	7	0.6%
307004	Datong Village, Guishan District, Taoyuan City	6	0.5%
307007	Luguang Village, Guishan District, Taoyuan City	9	0.7%
307017	Longshou Village, Guishan District, Taoyuan City	11	0.9%
307022	Xingfu Village, Guishan District, Taoyuan City	10	0.8%
310026	Xinan Village, Pingzhen District, Taoyuan City	12	1.0%
310046	Pingnan Village, Pingzhen District, Taoyuan City	12	1.0%
401004	Zhongxing Village, Zhubei City, Hsinchu County	5	0.4%
401008	Zhuren Village, Zhubei City, Hsinchu County	6	0.5%
401021	Shixing Village, Zhubei City, Hsinchu County	0	
402016	Touchong Village, Zhudong Township, Hsinchu County	10	0.8%
402019	Erchong Village, Zhudong Township, Hsinchu County	7	0.6%
403003	Wenshan Village, Xinpu Township, Hsinchu County	0	
406004	Puhe Village, Xinfeng Township, Hsinchu County	9	0.7%
406008	Chongxing Village, Xinfeng Township, Hsinchu County	9	0.7%
406009	Xinfeng Village, Xinfeng Township, Hsinchu County	0	
501017	Qinghua Village, Miaoli City, Miaoli County	0	
501027	Nanshi Village, Miaoli City, Miaoli County	0	
508003	Jinghu Village, Dahu Township , Miaoli County	6	0.5%
508012	Xinkai Village, Dahu Township , Miaoli County	7	0.6%
516006	Dahe Village, Sanwan Township, Miaoli County	0	
701024	Wuquan Village, Changhua City, Changhua County	3	0.2%
701070	Kuaiguan Village, Changhua City, Changhua County	5	0.4%
703030	Yuemei Village, Hemei Township, Changhua County	0	
706008	Wanfeng Village, Fuxing Township, Changhua County	0	
706015	Xianian Village, Fuxing Township, Changhua County	0	
709001	Jiushe Village, Fenyuan Township, Changhua County	13	1.0%

# pvillage: Village			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
709008	Xianzhuang village, Fenyuan Township, Changhua County	10	0.8%
710012	Xinsheng Village, Yuanlin Township, Changhua County	0	
714001	Puyan Village, Puyan Township, Changhua County	0	
716004	Yongbei Village, Yongjing Township, Changhua County	0	
718010	Huimin Village, Ershui Township, Changhua County	7	0.6%
718014	Yuanquan Village, Ershui Township, Changhua County	7	0.6%
719009	Zhonghe Village, Beidou Township, Changhua County	0	
721009	Xinsheng Village, Tianwei Township, Changhua County	0	
722007	Hexing Village, Pitou Township, Changhua County	0	
905002	Shuntian Village, Tuku Township, Yunlin County	0	
906005	Zhonghe Village, Beigang Township, Yunlin County	11	0.9%
906015	Guangfu Village, Beigang Township, Yunlin County	10	0.8%
906025	Shujiao Village, Beigang Township, Yunlin County	0	
909007	Damei Village, Citong Township, Yunlin County	8	0.6%
909012	Mayuan Village, Citong Township, Yunlin County	11	0.9%
917013	Xialiao Village, YuanchangTownshi, Yunlin County	0	
920002	Shuinan Village, Shuilin Township, Yunlin County	6	0.5%
920011	Wanxing Village, Shuilin Township, Yunlin County	12	1.0%
1004005	Minghua Village, Dalin Township, Chiayi County	0	
1004016	Sanhe Village, Dalin Township, Chiayi County	0	
1005003	Xian Village, Minxiong Township, Chiayi County	11	0.9%
1005006	Donghu Village, Minxiong Township, Chiayi County	6	0.5%
1013008	Hemei Village, Zhongpu Township, Chiayi County	0	
1301055	Qingxi Village, Pingtung City, Pingtung County	13	1.0%
1301073	Chonglan Village, Pingtung City, Pingtung County	9	0.7%
1316004	Zhongliao Village, Fangliao Township, Pingtung County	0	
1316009	Renhe Village, Fangliao Township, Pingtung County	15	1.2%
1316010	Neiliao Village, Fangliao Township, Pingtung County	11	0.9%
1317007	Xinyuan Village, Xinyuan Township, Pingtung County	0	
1321002	Liugen Village, Jiadong Township, Pingtung County	0	
1401008	Minsheng Village, Taitung City, Taitung County	12	1.0%
1401045	Zhiben Village, Taitung City, Taitung County	14	1.1%
1402005	Zhongzhi Village, Chenggong Township, Taitung County	0	
1404009	Taian Village, Beinan Township, Taitung County	0	
1501014	Zhunong Village, HualienCity, Hualien County	0	
1503014	Sanmin Village, Yuli Township, Hualien County	0	
1509001	Ruisui Village, Ruisui Township, Hualien County	8	0.6%
1509007	Hegang Village, Ruisui Township, Hualien County	7	0.6%
1704006	Heming Village, Renai District, Keelung City	0	
1705020	Dehe Village, Zhongshan District, Keelung City	0	
1706008	Jiaren Village, Anle District, Keelung City	7	0.6%
1706014	Xinlun Village, Anle District, Keelung City	0	
1706016	Siwei Village, Anle District, Keelung City	6	0.5%
1801035	Ligong Village, East District, Hsinchu City	0	
1802030	Keya Village, North District, Hsinchu City	0	

# pvillage: Village			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1803010	Dongxiang Village, Xiangshan District, Hsinchu City	0	
2002005	Chongxing Village, West District, Chiayi City	8	0.6%
2002069	Baosheng Village, West District, Chiayi City	9	0.7%
6301020	Pengcheng Village, Songshan District, Taipei City	0	
6303004	Minzhao Village, Daan District, Taipei City	6	0.5%
6303007	Minhui Village, Daan District, Taipei City	7	0.6%
6303013	Gufeng Village, Daan District, Taipei City	11	0.9%
6303017	Fuzhu Village, Daan District, Taipei City	6	0.5%
6303031	Tonghua Village, Daan District, Taipei City	5	0.4%
6303049	Jianan Village, Daan District, Taipei City	5	0.4%
6304005	Kangle Village, Zhongshan District, Taipei City	12	1.0%
6304007	Jusheng Village, Zhongshan District, Taipei City	11	0.9%
6304010	Hengan Village, Zhongshan District, Taipei City	0	
6304018	Xinxi Village, Zhongshan District, Taipei City	7	0.6%
6304021	Songjiang Village, Zhongshan District, Taipei City	4	0.3%
6304024	Xingzheng Village, Zhongshan District, Taipei City	2	0.2%
6304025	Xingren Village, Zhongshan District, Taipei City	0	
6304031	Zhongyuan Village, Zhongshan District, Taipei City	8	0.6%
6306007	Xingming Village, Datong District , Taipei City	0	
6306017	Guoshun Village, Datong District , Taipei City	0	
6308005	Jingren Village, Wenshan District, Taipei City	8	0.6%
6308015	Xingjia Village, Wenshan District, Taipei City	7	0.6%
6308021	Xingtai Village, Wenshan District, Taipei City	6	0.5%
6308027	Muzha Village, Wenshan District, Taipei City	10	0.8%
6308032	Zhangjiao Village, Wenshan District, Taipei City	8	0.6%
6308041	Zhangwen Village, Wenshan District, Taipei City	5	0.4%
6311011	Bailing Village, Shilin District, Taipei City	0	
6311030	Zhongcheng Village, Shilin District, Taipei City	0	
6311040	Tianshan Village, Shilin District, Taipei City	0	
6312038	Guandu Village, Beitou District, Taipei City	0	
6402004	Guangrong Village, Gushan District, Kaohsiung City	0	
6403001	Jinxue Village, Zuoying District, Kaohsiung City	0	
6403043	Caigong Village, Zuoying District, Kaohsiung City	0	
6404003	Wuchang Village, Nanzi District, Kaohsiung City	0	
6404005	Zhongyang Village, Nanzi District, Kaohsiung City	7	0.6%
6404022	Shengchang Village, Nanzi District, Kaohsiung City	12	1.0%
6405005	Dingxi Village, Sanmin District, Kaohsiung City	10	0.8%
6405017	Baode Village, Sanmin District, Kaohsiung City	0	
6405021	Baohua Village, Sanmin District, Kaohsiung City	0	
6405030	Baoyu Village, Sanmin District, Kaohsiung City	0	
6405053	Dezhi Village, Sanmin District, Kaohsiung City	13	1.0%
6405066	Licheng Village, Sanmin District, Kaohsiung City	0	
6406006	Dezheng Village, XinxingDistrict, Kaohsiung City	0	
6408015	Guangze Village, Lingya District, Kaohsiung City	0	
6408022	Putian Village, Lingya District, Kaohsiung City	9	0.7%

# pvillage: Village			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6408052	Fukang Village, Lingya District, Kaohsiung City	9	0.7%
6408060	Zhengyan Village, Lingya District, Kaohsiung City	0	
6410003	Zhenxing Village, Qianzhen District, Kaohsiung City	0	
6412018	Ruizhu Village, Fengshan District, Kaohsiung City	16	1.3%
6412027	Zhengyi Village, Fengshan District, Kaohsiung City	0	
6412063	Guofu Village, Fengshan District, Kaohsiung City	8	0.6%
6412071	Zhongmin Village, Fengshan District, Kaohsiung City	0	
6412077	Fuxiang Village, Fengshan District, Kaohsiung City	0	
6416006	Guanyin Village, Dashe District, Kaohsiung City	11	0.9%
6416008	Zhongli Village, Dashe District, Kaohsiung City	10	0.8%
6424014	Wennan Village, Luzhu District, Kaohsiung City	8	0.6%
6424020	Shenan Village, Luzhu District, Kaohsiung City	9	0.7%
6430002	Dade Village, Qishan District, Kaohsiung City	11	0.9%
6430003	Meizhou Village, Qishan District, Kaohsiung City	9	0.7%
6431010	Xinglong Village, Meinong District, Kaohsiung City	6	0.5%
6431016	Jiyang Village, Meinong District, Kaohsiung City	10	0.8%
6501014	Guoguang Village, Banqiao District, New Taipei City	9	0.7%
6501020	Hansheng Village, Banqiao District, New Taipei City	13	1.0%
6501045	Lancui Village, Banqiao District, New Taipei City	8	0.6%
6501060	Guangren Village, Banqiao District, New Taipei City	0	
6501062	Yongan Village, Banqiao District, New Taipei City	13	1.0%
6501065	Shenqiu Village, Banqiao District, New Taipei City	11	0.9%
6501087	Huadong Village, Banqiao District, New Taipei City	0	
6501089	Fuzhou Village, Banqiao District, New Taipei City	0	
6501096	Juan Village, Banqiao District, New Taipei City	13	1.0%
6502014	Guangyang Village, Sanchong District, New Taipei City	0	
6502039	Qinghe Village, Sanchong District, New Taipei City	0	
6502066	Wanshou Village, Sanchong District, New Taipei City	0	
6503040	Jingnan Village, Zhonghe District, New Taipei City	0	
6503045	Fuxing Village, Zhonghe District, New Taipei City	0	
6503080	Funan Village, Zhonghe District, New Taipei City	0	
6504013	Baoan Village, Yonghe District, New Taipei City	0	
6504039	Dehe Village, Yonghe District, New Taipei City	0	
6504048	Hebin Village, Yonghe District, New Taipei City	0	
6505041	Guangrong Village, Xinzhuang District, New Taipei City	0	
6507019	Dongyang Village, Shulin District, New Taipei City	0	
6507021	Leshan Village, Shulin District, New Taipei City	0	
6512029	Haibin Village, Ruifang District, New Taipei City	3	0.2%
6512034	Xinfeng Village, Ruifang District, New Taipei City	8	0.6%
6515003	Jifu Village, Wugu District, New Taipei City	10	0.8%
6515005	Chengzhou Village, Wugu District, New Taipei City	13	1.0%
6515010	Deyin Village, Wugu District, New Taipei City	14	1.1%
6515014	Chengde Village, Wugu District, New Taipei City	6	0.5%
6517006	Hubei Village, Linkou District, New Taipei City	9	0.7%
6517016	Lilin Village, Linkou District, New Taipei City	10	0.8%

# pvillage: Village			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6520003	Dalin Village, Pinglin District, New Taipei City	7	0.6%
6520006	Shuide Village, Pinglin District, New Taipei City	5	0.4%
6602005	Gancheng Village, East District, Taichung City	0	
6603014	Xichuan Village, South District, Taichung City	0	
6603019	Nanhe Village, South District, Taichung City	0	
6604002	Limin Village, West District, Taichung City	8	0.6%
6604007	Gongmin Village, West District, Taichung City	8	0.6%
6604008	Lanxing Village, West District, Taichung City	8	0.6%
6604009	Gongguan Village, West District, Taichung City	8	0.6%
6604015	Shengping Village, West District, Taichung City	8	0.6%
6604020	Gongyi Village, West District, Taichung City	9	0.7%
6605013	Jianxing Village, North District, Taichung City	9	0.7%
6605018	Dangou Village, North District, Taichung City	8	0.6%
6605025	Laixing Village, North District, Taichung City	7	0.6%
6605027	Liuhe Village, North District, Taichung City	8	0.6%
6605035	Meichuan Village, North District, Taichung City	9	0.7%
6605036	Laifu Village, North District, Taichung City	7	0.6%
6606016	Guangfu Village, Xitun District, Taichung City	10	0.8%
6606028	Shangde Village, Xitun District, Taichung City	0	
6606031	Hecheng Village, Xitun District, Taichung City	8	0.6%
6607002	Fengle Village, Nantun District, Taichung City	0	
6607012	Tianxin Village, Nantun District, Taichung City	0	
6607016	Wenxin Village, Nantun District, Taichung City	12	1.0%
6607025	Sanyi Village, Nantun District, Taichung City	10	0.8%
6608008	Shuijing Village, Beitun District, Taichung City	0	
6608018	Renmei Village, Beitun District, Taichung City	10	0.8%
6608021	Tongrong Village, Beitun District, Taichung City	0	
6608024	Chenping Village, Beitun District, Taichung City	0	
6608042	Zhongping Village, Beitun District, Taichung City	10	0.8%
6609001	Fengyuan Village, Fengyuan District, Taichung City	0	
6609028	Zhongyang Village, Fengyuan District, Taichung City	0	
6610002	Zhongning Village, Dongshi District, Taichung City	0	
6615017	Yuemei Village, Houli District, Taichung City	0	
6616009	Dashe Village, Shengang District, Taichung City	0	
6618013	Wenya Village, Daya District, Taichung City	0	
6621001	Yongfeng Village, Waipu District, Taichung City	9	0.7%
6621003	Sankan Village, Waipu District, Taichung City	8	0.6%
6621005	Shuimei Village, Waipu District, Taichung City	8	0.6%
6621006	Dadong Village, Waipu District, Taichung City	7	0.6%
6623002	Huri Village, Wuri District, Taichung City	8	0.6%
6623006	Jiude Village, Wuri District, Taichung City	8	0.6%
6623007	Rende Village, Wuri District, Taichung City	8	0.6%
6623009	Wuguang Village, Wuri District, Taichung City	9	0.7%
6701005	Yanping Village, Xinying District, Tainan City	0	
6701029	Xindong Village, Xinying District, Tainan City	0	

# pvillage: Village			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6706002	Dongzhong Village, Dongshan District, Tainan City	11	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
6706006	Keli Village, Dongshan District, Tainan City	9	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
6707004	Jinjiang Village, Madou District, Tainan City	0	
6712004	Jiannan Village, Jiali District, Tainan City	7	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
6712005	Anxi Village, Jiali District, Tainan City	0	
6712019	Minan Village, Jiali District, Tainan City	10	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
6716017	Kunshen Village, Jiangjun District, Tainan City	0	
6718011	Beishi Village, Xinhua District, Tainan City	0	
6719006	Xiguan Village, Shanhua District, Tainan City	17	<div><div></div></div> 1.4%
6719012	Ximei Village, Shanhua District, Tainan City	17	<div><div></div></div> 1.4%
6731002	Wangliao Village, Yongkang District, Tainan City	18	<div><div></div></div> 1.5%
6731030	Erwang Village, Yongkang District, Tainan City	12	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%
6731032	Sanhe Village, Yongkang District, Tainan City	13	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%
6731037	Fuhua Village, Yongkang District, Tainan City	9	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
6732007	Houjia Village, East District, Tainan City	0	
6732029	Zhongxiao Village, East District, Tainan City	0	
6733007	Xinxing Village, South District, Tainan City	14	<div><div></div></div> 1.1%
6733035	Wennan Village, South District, Tainan City	15	<div><div></div></div> 1.2%
6734005	Lixing Village, North District, Tainan City	0	
6735002	Anshun Village, Annan District, Tainan City	7	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
6735006	Andong Village, Annan District, Tainan City	8	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
6735015	Haidong Village, Annan District, Tainan City	11	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
6735032	Qingcao Village, Annan District, Tainan City	7	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
6735039	Xiding Village, Annan District, Tainan City	0	
6736001	Ximen Village, Anping District, Tainan City	0	
6737030	Zhongzheng Village, West Central District, Tainan City	0	
6802071	Huaxuen Village, Zhongli Distric, Taoyuan City	0	
6803027	Renhe Village, Daxi District, Taoyuan City	0	
6804023	Ruiyuan Village, Yangmei District, Taoyuan City	0	
6804026	Zhongshan Village, Yangmei District, Taoyuan City	0	
6808003	Ruifeng Village, Bade District, Taoyuan City	0	
6811020	Kanglang Village, Xinwu District, Taoyuan City	0	
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pa1: How closely do you follow politics on TV, radio, newspapers, or the Internet? Very closely, fairly closely, not very closely, or not at all?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very closely	241	<div><div></div></div> 19.4%
2	Fairly closely	719	<div><div></div></div> 57.9%
3	Not very closely	188	<div><div></div></div> 15.1%
4	Not at all	85	<div><div></div></div> 6.8%
95	Refuse to answer	0	

pa1: How closely do you follow politics on TV, radio, newspapers, or the Internet? Very closely, fairly closely, not very closely, or not at all?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
96	It depends	4	0.3%
97	No opinion	1	0.1%
98	Don't know	3	0.2%
Sysmiss		1051	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pa2: Which newspaper did you read most often?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	China Times	55	4.4%
2	United Daily News	143	11.5%
4	Commercial Times	3	0.2%
5	The Commons Daily	0	
7	Economic Daily News	13	1.0%
8	Youth Daily News	0	
9	Taiwan Times	6	0.5%
10	Liberty Times	238	19.2%
11	United Evening News	6	0.5%
13	Merit Times	10	0.8%
14	China Daily	7	0.6%
16	Taiwan News	1	0.1%
17	Apple Daily	217	17.5%
18	Want Daily	0	
21	EPOCH Times	1	0.1%
25	U-paper	0	
35	Sharp Daily	0	
36	Mandarin Daily News	1	0.1%
89	Local newspaper	1	0.1%
92	Never	526	42.4%
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	13	1.0%
Sysmiss		1051	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pa3: Which TV news did you watch most often?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Taiwan Television	36	2.9%
2	China Television	77	6.2%
3	Chinese Television	31	2.5%
4	Formosa Television	214	17.2%
5	TVBS	250	20.1%
6	Sanlih E-Television	139	11.2%

# pa3: Which TV news did you watch most often?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7	Eastern Television	95	<div></div> 7.7%
8	CTi Television	79	<div></div> 6.4%
9	Era Television	63	<div></div> 5.1%
10	Gala Television	0	
11	Unique Satellite Television	16	<div></div> 1.3%
12	Da-Ai Television	7	<div></div> 0.6%
13	Taiwan Public Television	15	<div></div> 1.2%
15	Hakka Television	0	
16	Taiwan Indigenous Television	0	
17	Local News Television Channel	3	<div></div> 0.2%
18	Next TV	60	<div></div> 4.8%
19	NHK	0	
20	UDN	0	
22	EBC Financial News	1	<div></div> 0.1%
24	CNN	1	<div></div> 0.1%
25	Global News (MOD)	2	<div></div> 0.2%
26	Beautiful Life Television	1	<div></div> 0.1%
27	Global TV	0	
92	Never	102	<div></div> 8.2%
95	Refuse to answer	1	<div></div> 0.1%
98	Don't know	48	<div></div> 3.9%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pa4: On average, how much time did you spend each day on election campaign news on TV?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Less than 30 minutes	439	<div></div> 35.4%
2	31-60 minutes	265	<div></div> 21.4%
3	60-90 minutes	104	<div></div> 8.4%
4	90 minutes to 2 hours	53	<div></div> 4.3%
5	More than 2 hours	71	<div></div> 5.7%
6	Every once in a while	166	<div></div> 13.4%
7	None at all	123	<div></div> 9.9%
95	Refuse to answer	0	
96	It depends	16	<div></div> 1.3%
98	Don't know	4	<div></div> 0.3%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pa4a: What about election news on the radio?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Less than 30 minutes	175	<div></div> 14.1%

pa4a: What about election news on the radio?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	31-60 minutes	45	3.6%
3	60-90 minutes	19	1.5%
4	90 minutes to 2 hours	15	1.2%
5	More than 2 hours	24	1.9%
6	Every once in a while	143	11.5%
7	None at all	794	64.0%
95	Refuse to answer	0	
96	It depends	14	1.1%
98	Don't know	12	1.0%
Sysmiss		1051	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pa4b: What about election news on the internet?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Less than 30 minutes	237	19.1%
2	31-60 minutes	107	8.6%
3	60-90 minutes	36	2.9%
4	90 minutes to 2 hours	16	1.3%
5	More than 2 hours	14	1.1%
6	Every once in a while	185	14.9%
7	None at all	410	33.0%
92	Can't use or never use internet	225	18.1%
95	Refuse to answer	0	
96	It depends	7	0.6%
98	Don't know	4	0.3%
Sysmiss		1051	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pa4c: What about election news in the newspapers?








Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Less than 30 minutes	290	23.4%
2	31-60 minutes	102	8.2%
3	60-90 minutes	34	2.7%
4	90 minutes to 2 hours	9	0.7%
5	More than 2 hours	10	0.8%
6	Every once in a while	197	15.9%
7	None at all	580	46.7%
95	Refuse to answer	0	
96	It depends	11	0.9%
98	Don't know	8	0.6%
Sysmiss		1051	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pb1: How interested would you say you are in politics? Are you very interested, somewhat interested, not very interested, or not at all interested?





Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very interested	109	 8.8%
2	Somewhat interested	465	 37.5%
3	Not very interested	483	 38.9%
4	Not at all interested	171	 13.8%
95	Refuse to answer	0	
96	It depends	11	 0.9%
97	No opinion	1	 0.1%
98	Don't know	1	 0.1%
Sysmiss		1051	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pb2: Do you usually talk about politics or elections with other people? Is it often, sometimes, seldom, or never?













Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-95] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Often	74	 6.0%
2	Sometimes	432	 34.8%
3	Seldom	442	 35.6%
4	Never [skip to PB3]	293	 23.6%
95	Refuse to answer [skip to PB3]	0	
Sysmiss		1051	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pb2a_1: Whom do you usually talk about politics or elections with? Family members, relatives, friends, neighbors, colleagues at work, members of an association, classmates, online friends, or others?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Family members	451	 36.3%
2	Relatives	34	 2.7%
3	Friends	268	 21.6%
4	Neighbors	33	 2.7%
5	Colleagues at work	135	 10.9%
6	Members of an association	7	 0.6%
7	Classmates	7	 0.6%
8	Online friends	4	 0.3%
9	Guest	4	 0.3%
10	Students	0	
11	Teachers	0	
95	Refuse to answer	2	 0.2%
96	It's hard to say	3	 0.2%
99	Skipped	293	 23.6%

pb2a_1: Whom do you usually talk about politics or elections with? Family members, relatives, friends, neighbors, colleagues at work, members of an association, classmates, online friends, or others?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		1051	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pb2a_2: Whom do you usually talk about politics or elections with? Family members, relatives, friends, neighbors, colleagues at work, members of an association, classmates, online friends, or others?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Family members	70	5.6%
2	Relatives	88	7.1%
3	Friends	174	14.0%
4	Neighbors	35	2.8%
5	Colleagues at work	111	8.9%
6	Members of an association	12	1.0%
7	Classmates	33	2.7%
8	Online friends	9	0.7%
9	Guest	2	0.2%
10	Students	0	
11	Teachers	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
96	It's hard to say	0	
99	Skipped	707	57.0%
Sysmiss		1051	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pb2a_3: Whom do you usually talk about politics or elections with? Family members, relatives, friends, neighbors, colleagues at work, members of an association, classmates, online friends, or others?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Family members	10	0.8%
2	Relatives	23	1.9%
3	Friends	73	5.9%
4	Neighbors	20	1.6%
5	Colleagues at work	40	3.2%
6	Members of an association	11	0.9%
7	Classmates	13	1.0%
8	Online friends	7	0.6%
9	Guest	1	0.1%
10	Students	0	
11	Teachers	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
96	It's hard to say	0	
99	Skipped	1043	84.0%
Sysmiss		1051	

pb2a_3: Whom do you usually talk about politics or elections with? Family members, relatives, friends, neighbors, colleagues at work, members of an association, classmates, online friends, or others?

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pb2a_4: Whom do you usually talk about politics or elections with? Family members, relatives, friends, neighbors, colleagues at work, members of an association, classmates, online friends, or others?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Family members	6	0.5%
2	Relatives	2	0.2%
3	Friends	8	0.6%
4	Neighbors	27	2.2%
5	Colleagues at work	16	1.3%
6	Members of an association	3	0.2%
7	Classmates	11	0.9%
8	Online friends	2	0.2%
9	Guest	1	0.1%
10	Students	0	
11	Teachers	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
96	It's hard to say	0	
99	Skipped	1165	93.9%
Sysmiss		1051	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pb2a_5: Whom do you usually talk about politics or elections with? Family members, relatives, friends, neighbors, colleagues at work, members of an association, classmates, online friends, or others?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Family members	0	
2	Relatives	0	
3	Friends	0	
4	Neighbors	1	0.1%
5	Colleagues at work	10	0.8%
6	Members of an association	4	0.3%
7	Classmates	6	0.5%
8	Online friends	2	0.2%
9	Guest	0	
10	Students	0	
11	Teachers	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
96	It's hard to say	0	
99	Skipped	1218	98.1%
Sysmiss		1051	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pb2a_6: Whom do you usually talk about politics or elections with? Family members, relatives, friends, neighbors, colleagues at work, members of an association, classmates, online friends, or others?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Family members	0	
2	Relatives	0	
3	Friends	0	
4	Neighbors	1	0.1%
5	Colleagues at work	0	
6	Members of an association	1	0.1%
7	Classmates	5	0.4%
8	Online friends	1	0.1%
9	Guest	0	
10	Students	0	
11	Teachers	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
96	It's hard to say	0	
99	Skipped	1233	99.4%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

pb2a_7: Whom do you usually talk about politics or elections with? Family members, relatives, friends, neighbors, colleagues at work, members of an association, classmates, online friends, or others?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Family members	0	
2	Relatives	0	
3	Friends	0	
4	Neighbors	0	
5	Colleagues at work	0	
6	Members of an association	1	0.1%
7	Classmates	1	0.1%
8	Online friends	3	0.2%
9	Guest	0	
10	Students	0	
11	Teachers	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
96	It's hard to say	0	
99	Skipped	1236	99.6%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

pb2a_8: Whom do you usually talk about politics or elections with? Family members, relatives, friends, neighbors, colleagues at work, members of an association, classmates, online friends, or others?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		

pb2a_8: Whom do you usually talk about politics or elections with? Family members, relatives, friends, neighbors, colleagues at work, members of an association, classmates, online friends, or others?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Family members	0	
2	Relatives	0	
3	Friends	0	
4	Neighbors	0	
5	Colleagues at work	0	
6	Members of an association	0	
7	Classmates	0	
8	Online friends	1	0.1%
9	Guest	0	
10	Students	0	
11	Teachers	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
96	It's hard to say	0	
99	Skipped	1240	99.9%
Sysmiss		1051	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pb2a_9: Whom do you usually talk about politics or elections with? Family members, relatives, friends, neighbors, colleagues at work, members of an association, classmates, online friends, or others?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Family members	0	
2	Relatives	0	
3	Friends	0	
4	Neighbors	0	
5	Colleagues at work	0	
6	Members of an association	0	
7	Classmates	0	
8	Online friends	0	
9	Guest	0	
10	Students	0	
11	Teachers	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
96	It's hard to say	0	
99	Skipped	1241	100.0%
Sysmiss		1051	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pb2b: Do these people who you commonly discuss politics or elections with support the same party?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	All support the same party [continue to PB2c]	128	10.3%
2	Most of them support the same party [continue to PB2c]	440	35.5%

# pb2b: Do these people who you commonly discuss politics or elections with support the same party?				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
3	About half and half [skip to PB3]	115	<div><div></div></div>	9.3%
4	Only a few support the same party [skip to PB3]	177	<div><div></div></div>	14.3%
5	None support the same party [skip to PB3]	58	<div><div></div></div>	4.7%
6	Discuss politics or elections with the only person [continue	4	<div><div></div></div>	0.3%
95	Refuse to answer [skip to PB3]	4	<div><div></div></div>	0.3%
98	Don't know [skip to PB3]	22	<div><div></div></div>	1.8%
99	Skipped	293	<div><div></div></div>	23.6%
Sysmiss		1051		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# pb2c: Which party is that?				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Kuomintang(KMT)	157	<div><div></div></div>	12.7%
2	Democratic Progressive Party(DPP)	314	<div><div></div></div>	25.3%
3	New Party(NP)	1	<div><div></div></div>	0.1%
4	People First Party(PFP)	5	<div><div></div></div>	0.4%
6	Taiwan Solidarity Union(TSU)	0		
7	Non-Partisan Solidarity Union(NPSU)	0		
9	Green Party	1	<div><div></div></div>	0.1%
15	People Boss Party	0		
18	Trees Party	0		
19	New Power Party(NPP)	5	<div><div></div></div>	0.4%
20	Social Democratic Party(SDP)	1	<div><div></div></div>	0.1%
21	Minkuotang(MKT, Republic Party)	0		
23	Military, Civil, and Faculty Alliance Party(MCFAP)	0		
24	Faith and Hope League	1	<div><div></div></div>	0.1%
91	Don't support any specific party	53	<div><div></div></div>	4.3%
95	Refuse to answer	12	<div><div></div></div>	1.0%
96	It depends	12	<div><div></div></div>	1.0%
97	No opinion	2	<div><div></div></div>	0.2%
98	Don't know	8	<div><div></div></div>	0.6%
99	Skipped	669	<div><div></div></div>	53.9%
Sysmiss		1051		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# pb3: Were you concerned with the outcome of this presidential election? Were you very concerned, somewhat concerned, not too concerned, or not concerned at all?				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Very concerned	243	<div><div></div></div>	19.6%
2	Somewhat concerned	609	<div><div></div></div>	49.1%
3	Not too concerned	293	<div><div></div></div>	23.6%
4	Not concerned at all	87	<div><div></div></div>	7.0%

pb3: Were you concerned with the outcome of this presidential election? Were you very concerned, somewhat concerned, not too concerned, or not concerned at all?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
95	Refuse to answer	0	
96	It depends	1	0.1%
97	No opinion	5	0.4%
98	Don't know	3	0.2%
Sysmiss		1051	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pb4_1: Did a party or presidential candidate seek your vote through any of the following individuals?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Campaign worker or volunteer	215	17.3%
2	Superior at work	5	0.4%
3	Member of local religious association	2	0.2%
4	Prominent local figure or clan member	8	0.6%
5	Village or ward head or executive official	43	3.5%
6	Member of candidate's support organization	31	2.5%
7	Community development association	1	0.1%
8	Cadre of farmers' association, fishers' association or irrig	0	
9	Faction member	0	
10	Member of a local assembly	16	1.3%
11	A recorded telephone message	29	2.3%
12	Classmate or friend	0	
13	Neighbor	1	0.1%
14	Village or ward head or executive official	0	
92	None of them	881	71.0%
95	Refuse to answer	1	0.1%
98	Don't know	8	0.6%
99	Skipped	0	
Sysmiss		1051	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pb4_2: Did a party or presidential candidate seek your vote through any of the following individuals?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Campaign worker or volunteer	10	0.8%
2	Superior at work	3	0.2%
3	Member of local religious association	3	0.2%
4	Prominent local figure or clan member	25	2.0%
5	Village or ward head or executive official	26	2.1%
6	Member of candidate's support organization	27	2.2%
7	Community development association	4	0.3%
8	Cadre of farmers' association, fishers' association or irrig	3	0.2%
9	Faction member	0	

pb4_2: Did a party or presidential candidate seek your vote through any of the following individuals?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	Member of a local assembly	9	0.7%
11	A recorded telephone message	7	0.6%
12	Classmate or friend	0	
13	Neighbor	0	
14	Village or ward head or executive official	0	
92	None of them	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1124	90.6%
Sysmiss		1051	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pb4_3: Did a party or presidential candidate seek your vote through any of the following individuals?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Campaign worker or volunteer	5	0.4%
2	Superior at work	0	
3	Member of local religious association	0	
4	Prominent local figure or clan member	4	0.3%
5	Village or ward head or executive official	10	0.8%
6	Member of candidate's support organization	24	1.9%
7	Community development association	1	0.1%
8	Cadre of farmers' association, fishers' association or irrig	3	0.2%
9	Faction member	1	0.1%
10	Member of a local assembly	3	0.2%
11	A recorded telephone message	1	0.1%
12	Classmate or friend	0	
13	Neighbor	0	
14	Village or ward head or executive official	0	
92	None of them	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1189	95.8%
Sysmiss		1051	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pb4_4: Did a party or presidential candidate seek your vote through any of the following individuals?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Campaign worker or volunteer	0	
2	Superior at work	1	0.1%
3	Member of local religious association	0	
4	Prominent local figure or clan member	1	0.1%
5	Village or ward head or executive official	3	0.2%

# pb4_4: Did a party or presidential candidate seek your vote through any of the following individuals?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6	Member of candidate's support organization	7	0.6%
7	Community development association	5	0.4%
8	Cadre of farmers' association, fishers' association or irrig	1	0.1%
9	Faction member	2	0.2%
10	Member of a local assembly	11	0.9%
11	A recorded telephone message	0	
12	Classmate or friend	0	
13	Neighbor	0	
14	Village or ward head or executive official	0	
92	None of them	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1210	97.5%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pb4_5: Did a party or presidential candidate seek your vote through any of the following individuals?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Campaign worker or volunteer	0	
2	Superior at work	0	
3	Member of local religious association	0	
4	Prominent local figure or clan member	0	
5	Village or ward head or executive official	0	
6	Member of candidate's support organization	3	0.2%
7	Community development association	3	0.2%
8	Cadre of farmers' association, fishers' association or irrig	1	0.1%
9	Faction member	1	0.1%
10	Member of a local assembly	4	0.3%
11	A recorded telephone message	0	
12	Classmate or friend	0	
13	Neighbor	0	
14	Village or ward head or executive official	0	
92	None of them	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1229	99.0%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pb4_6: Did a party or presidential candidate seek your vote through any of the following individuals?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Campaign worker or volunteer	0	

pb4_6: Did a party or presidential candidate seek your vote through any of the following individuals?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Superior at work	0	
3	Member of local religious association	0	
4	Prominent local figure or clan member	0	
5	Village or ward head or executive official	0	
6	Member of candidate's support organization	0	
7	Community development association	1	0.1%
8	Cadre of farmers' association, fishers' association or irrig	0	
9	Faction member	2	0.2%
10	Member of a local assembly	1	0.1%
11	A recorded telephone message	0	
12	Classmate or friend	0	
13	Neighbor	0	
14	Village or ward head or executive official	0	
92	None of them	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1237	99.7%
Sysmiss		1051	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pb4_7: Did a party or presidential candidate seek your vote through any of the following individuals?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Campaign worker or volunteer	0	
2	Superior at work	0	
3	Member of local religious association	0	
4	Prominent local figure or clan member	0	
5	Village or ward head or executive official	0	
6	Member of candidate's support organization	0	
7	Community development association	0	
8	Cadre of farmers' association, fishers' association or irrig	0	
9	Faction member	0	
10	Member of a local assembly	3	0.2%
11	A recorded telephone message	0	
12	Classmate or friend	0	
13	Neighbor	0	
14	Village or ward head or executive official	0	
92	None of them	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1238	99.8%
Sysmiss		1051	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# pb4_8: Did a party or presidential candidate seek your vote through any of the following individuals?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Campaign worker or volunteer	0	
2	Superior at work	0	
3	Member of local religious association	0	
4	Prominent local figure or clan member	0	
5	Village or ward head or executive official	0	
6	Member of candidate's support organization	0	
7	Community development association	0	
8	Cadre of farmers' association, fishers' association or irrig	0	
9	Faction member	1	0.1%
10	Member of a local assembly	0	
11	A recorded telephone message	0	
12	Classmate or friend	0	
13	Neighbor	0	
14	Village or ward head or executive official	0	
92	None of them	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1240	99.9%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pb4_9: Did a party or presidential candidate seek your vote through any of the following individuals?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Campaign worker or volunteer	0	
2	Superior at work	0	
3	Member of local religious association	0	
4	Prominent local figure or clan member	0	
5	Village or ward head or executive official	0	
6	Member of candidate's support organization	0	
7	Community development association	0	
8	Cadre of farmers' association, fishers' association or irrig	0	
9	Faction member	0	
10	Member of a local assembly	0	
11	A recorded telephone message	0	
12	Classmate or friend	0	
13	Neighbor	0	
14	Village or ward head or executive official	0	
92	None of them	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1241	100.0%

# pb4_9: Did a party or presidential candidate seek your vote through any of the following individuals?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pb4_10: Did a party or presidential candidate seek your vote through any of the following individuals?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Campaign worker or volunteer	0	
2	Superior at work	0	
3	Member of local religious association	0	
4	Prominent local figure or clan member	0	
5	Village or ward head or executive official	0	
6	Member of candidate's support organization	0	
7	Community development association	0	
8	Cadre of farmers' association, fishers' association or irrig	0	
9	Faction member	0	
10	Member of a local assembly	0	
11	A recorded telephone message	0	
12	Classmate or friend	0	
13	Neighbor	0	
14	Village or ward head or executive official	0	
92	None of them	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1241	100.0%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pb4_11: Did a party or presidential candidate seek your vote through any of the following individuals?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Campaign worker or volunteer	0	
2	Superior at work	0	
3	Member of local religious association	0	
4	Prominent local figure or clan member	0	
5	Village or ward head or executive official	0	
6	Member of candidate's support organization	0	
7	Community development association	0	
8	Cadre of farmers' association, fishers' association or irrig	0	
9	Faction member	0	
10	Member of a local assembly	0	
11	A recorded telephone message	0	
12	Classmate or friend	0	
13	Neighbor	0	
14	Village or ward head or executive official	0	

pb4_11: Did a party or presidential candidate seek your vote through any of the following individuals?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
92	None of them	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1241	<div><div></div></div> 100.0%
Sysmiss		1051	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pb5_1: Did a legislative candidate in your District or party personally seek your vote through any of the following individuals?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A recorded telephone message	267	<div><div></div></div> 21.5%
2	The candidate in person	145	<div><div></div></div> 11.7%
3	Campaign worker or volunteer	115	<div><div></div></div> 9.3%
4	Party worker	9	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
5	Superior at work	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
6	Member of your religious, social, or professional associatio	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
7	Prominent local figure or clan member	4	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
8	Village or ward head or executive official	26	<div><div></div></div> 2.1%
9	Neighbor	5	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
10	Classmate or friend	13	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%
11	Relative or family member	13	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%
12	Text message	55	<div><div></div></div> 4.4%
13	Factions	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
14	Member of a local assembly	0	
15	Cadre of farmers' association, fishers' association or irrig	0	
16	Campaign vehicle	0	
92	None of them [skip to PB6]	577	<div><div></div></div> 46.5%
95	Refuse to answer [skip to PB6]	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
98	Don't know [skip to PB6]	6	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
99	Skipped	0	
Sysmiss		1051	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pb5_2: Did a legislative candidate in your District or party personally seek your vote through any of the following individuals?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A recorded telephone message	41	<div><div></div></div> 3.3%
2	The candidate in person	33	<div><div></div></div> 2.7%
3	Campaign worker or volunteer	128	<div><div></div></div> 10.3%
4	Party worker	18	<div><div></div></div> 1.5%
5	Superior at work	0	
6	Member of your religious, social, or professional associatio	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%

pb5_2: Did a legislative candidate in your District or party personally seek your vote through any of the following individuals?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7	Prominent local figure or clan member	2	0.2%
8	Village or ward head or executive official	17	1.4%
9	Neighbor	5	0.4%
10	Classmate or friend	8	0.6%
11	Relative or family member	9	0.7%
12	Text message	40	3.2%
13	Factions	0	
14	Member of a local assembly	0	
15	Cadre of farmers' association, fishers' association or irrig	0	
16	Campaign vehicle	0	
92	None of them [skip to PB6]	0	
95	Refuse to answer [skip to PB6]	0	
98	Don't know [skip to PB6]	0	
99	Skipped	938	75.6%
Sysmiss		1051	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pb5_3: Did a legislative candidate in your District or party personally seek your vote through any of the following individuals?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A recorded telephone message	25	2.0%
2	The candidate in person	9	0.7%
3	Campaign worker or volunteer	19	1.5%
4	Party worker	33	2.7%
5	Superior at work	0	
6	Member of your religious, social, or professional associatio	6	0.5%
7	Prominent local figure or clan member	4	0.3%
8	Village or ward head or executive official	14	1.1%
9	Neighbor	8	0.6%
10	Classmate or friend	8	0.6%
11	Relative or family member	10	0.8%
12	Text message	15	1.2%
13	Factions	0	
14	Member of a local assembly	0	
15	Cadre of farmers' association, fishers' association or irrig	1	0.1%
16	Campaign vehicle	0	
92	None of them [skip to PB6]	0	
95	Refuse to answer [skip to PB6]	0	
98	Don't know [skip to PB6]	0	
99	Skipped	1089	87.8%
Sysmiss		1051	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pb5_4: Did a legislative candidate in your District or party personally seek your vote through any of the following individuals?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A recorded telephone message	13	1.0%
2	The candidate in person	1	0.1%
3	Campaign worker or volunteer	7	0.6%
4	Party worker	2	0.2%
5	Superior at work	3	0.2%
6	Member of your religious, social, or professional associatio	2	0.2%
7	Prominent local figure or clan member	6	0.5%
8	Village or ward head or executive official	11	0.9%
9	Neighbor	2	0.2%
10	Classmate or friend	8	0.6%
11	Relative or family member	9	0.7%
12	Text message	10	0.8%
13	Factions	1	0.1%
14	Member of a local assembly	1	0.1%
15	Cadre of farmers' association, fishers' association or irrig	0	
16	Campaign vehicle	0	
92	None of them [skip to PB6]	0	
95	Refuse to answer [skip to PB6]	0	
98	Don't know [skip to PB6]	0	
99	Skipped	1165	93.9%
Sysmiss		1051	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pb5_5: Did a legislative candidate in your District or party personally seek your vote through any of the following individuals?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A recorded telephone message	6	0.5%
2	The candidate in person	1	0.1%
3	Campaign worker or volunteer	1	0.1%
4	Party worker	1	0.1%
5	Superior at work	1	0.1%
6	Member of your religious, social, or professional associatio	0	
7	Prominent local figure or clan member	5	0.4%
8	Village or ward head or executive official	4	0.3%
9	Neighbor	0	
10	Classmate or friend	4	0.3%
11	Relative or family member	6	0.5%
12	Text message	9	0.7%
13	Factions	0	
14	Member of a local assembly	0	

pb5_5: Did a legislative candidate in your District or party personally seek your vote through any of the following individuals?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
15	Cadre of farmers' association, fishers' association or irrig	3	0.2%
16	Campaign vehicle	0	
92	None of them [skip to PB6]	0	
95	Refuse to answer [skip to PB6]	0	
98	Don't know [skip to PB6]	0	
99	Skipped	1200	96.7%
Sysmiss		1051	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pb5_6: Did a legislative candidate in your District or party personally seek your vote through any of the following individuals?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A recorded telephone message	4	0.3%
2	The candidate in person	0	
3	Campaign worker or volunteer	0	
4	Party worker	0	
5	Superior at work	1	0.1%
6	Member of your religious, social, or professional associatio	0	
7	Prominent local figure or clan member	1	0.1%
8	Village or ward head or executive official	4	0.3%
9	Neighbor	2	0.2%
10	Classmate or friend	4	0.3%
11	Relative or family member	0	
12	Text message	3	0.2%
13	Factions	3	0.2%
14	Member of a local assembly	1	0.1%
15	Cadre of farmers' association, fishers' association or irrig	0	
16	Campaign vehicle	0	
92	None of them [skip to PB6]	0	
95	Refuse to answer [skip to PB6]	0	
98	Don't know [skip to PB6]	0	
99	Skipped	1218	98.1%
Sysmiss		1051	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pb5_7: Did a legislative candidate in your District or party personally seek your vote through any of the following individuals?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A recorded telephone message	4	0.3%
2	The candidate in person	0	
3	Campaign worker or volunteer	0	
4	Party worker	0	
5	Superior at work	0	
6	Member of your religious, social, or professional associatio	0	
7	Prominent local figure or clan member	0	
8	Village or ward head or executive official	2	0.2%
9	Neighbor	2	0.2%
10	Classmate or friend	3	0.2%
11	Relative or family member	1	0.1%
12	Text message	2	0.2%
13	Factions	0	
14	Member of a local assembly	1	0.1%
15	Cadre of farmers' association, fishers' association or irrig	0	
16	Campaign vehicle	0	
92	None of them [skip to PB6]	0	
95	Refuse to answer [skip to PB6]	0	
98	Don't know [skip to PB6]	0	
99	Skipped	1226	98.8%
Sysmiss		1051	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pb5_8: Did a legislative candidate in your District or party personally seek your vote through any of the following individuals?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A recorded telephone message	1	0.1%
2	The candidate in person	0	
3	Campaign worker or volunteer	0	
4	Party worker	0	
5	Superior at work	0	
6	Member of your religious, social, or professional associatio	0	
7	Prominent local figure or clan member	0	
8	Village or ward head or executive official	0	
9	Neighbor	0	
10	Classmate or friend	0	
11	Relative or family member	2	0.2%
12	Text message	1	0.1%
13	Factions	1	0.1%
14	Member of a local assembly	0	

pb5_8: Did a legislative candidate in your District or party personally seek your vote through any of the following individuals?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
15	Cadre of farmers' association, fishers' association or irrig	0	
16	Campaign vehicle	0	
92	None of them [skip to PB6]	0	
95	Refuse to answer [skip to PB6]	0	
98	Don't know [skip to PB6]	0	
99	Skipped	1236	99.6%
Sysmiss		1051	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pb5_9: Did a legislative candidate in your District or party personally seek your vote through any of the following individuals?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A recorded telephone message	1	0.1%
2	The candidate in person	0	
3	Campaign worker or volunteer	0	
4	Party worker	0	
5	Superior at work	0	
6	Member of your religious, social, or professional associatio	0	
7	Prominent local figure or clan member	0	
8	Village or ward head or executive official	0	
9	Neighbor	0	
10	Classmate or friend	0	
11	Relative or family member	0	
12	Text message	1	0.1%
13	Factions	0	
14	Member of a local assembly	2	0.2%
15	Cadre of farmers' association, fishers' association or irrig	0	
16	Campaign vehicle	0	
92	None of them [skip to PB6]	0	
95	Refuse to answer [skip to PB6]	0	
98	Don't know [skip to PB6]	0	
99	Skipped	1237	99.7%
Sysmiss		1051	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pb5_10: Did a legislative candidate in your District or party personally seek your vote through any of the following individuals?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A recorded telephone message	1	0.1%
2	The candidate in person	0	
3	Campaign worker or volunteer	0	

pb5_10: Did a legislative candidate in your District or party personally seek your vote through any of the following individuals?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Party worker	0	
5	Superior at work	0	
6	Member of your religious, social, or professional associatio	0	
7	Prominent local figure or clan member	0	
8	Village or ward head or executive official	0	
9	Neighbor	0	
10	Classmate or friend	0	
11	Relative or family member	0	
12	Text message	0	
13	Factions	1	0.1%
14	Member of a local assembly	0	
15	Cadre of farmers' association, fishers' association or irrig	0	
16	Campaign vehicle	0	
92	None of them [skip to PB6]	0	
95	Refuse to answer [skip to PB6]	0	
98	Don't know [skip to PB6]	0	
99	Skipped	1239	99.8%
Sysmiss		1051	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pb5_11: Did a legislative candidate in your District or party personally seek your vote through any of the following individuals?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A recorded telephone message	1	0.1%
2	The candidate in person	0	
3	Campaign worker or volunteer	0	
4	Party worker	0	
5	Superior at work	0	
6	Member of your religious, social, or professional associatio	0	
7	Prominent local figure or clan member	0	
8	Village or ward head or executive official	0	
9	Neighbor	0	
10	Classmate or friend	0	
11	Relative or family member	0	
12	Text message	0	
13	Factions	0	
14	Member of a local assembly	0	
15	Cadre of farmers' association, fishers' association or irrig	0	
16	Campaign vehicle	0	
92	None of them [skip to PB6]	0	
95	Refuse to answer [skip to PB6]	0	
98	Don't know [skip to PB6]	0	
99	Skipped	1240	99.9%

pb5_11: Did a legislative candidate in your District or party personally seek your vote through any of the following individuals?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		1051	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pb5_12: Did a legislative candidate in your District or party personally seek your vote through any of the following individuals?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A recorded telephone message	0	
2	The candidate in person	0	
3	Campaign worker or volunteer	0	
4	Party worker	0	
5	Superior at work	0	
6	Member of your religious, social, or professional associatio	0	
7	Prominent local figure or clan member	0	
8	Village or ward head or executive official	0	
9	Neighbor	0	
10	Classmate or friend	0	
11	Relative or family member	0	
12	Text message	0	
13	Factions	0	
14	Member of a local assembly	0	
15	Cadre of farmers' association, fishers' association or irrig	0	
16	Campaign vehicle	0	
92	None of them [skip to PB6]	0	
95	Refuse to answer [skip to PB6]	0	
98	Don't know [skip to PB6]	0	
99	Skipped	1241	100.0%
Sysmiss		1051	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pb5_13: Did a legislative candidate in your District or party personally seek your vote through any of the following individuals?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A recorded telephone message	0	
2	The candidate in person	0	
3	Campaign worker or volunteer	0	
4	Party worker	0	
5	Superior at work	0	
6	Member of your religious, social, or professional associatio	0	
7	Prominent local figure or clan member	0	
8	Village or ward head or executive official	0	
9	Neighbor	0	

pb5_13: Did a legislative candidate in your District or party personally seek your vote through any of the following individuals?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	Classmate or friend	0	
11	Relative or family member	0	
12	Text message	0	
13	Factions	0	
14	Member of a local assembly	0	
15	Cadre of farmers' association, fishers' association or irrig	0	
16	Campaign vehicle	0	
92	None of them [skip to PB6]	0	
95	Refuse to answer [skip to PB6]	0	
98	Don't know [skip to PB6]	0	
99	Skipped	1241	100.0%
Sysmiss		1051	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pb5_14: Did a legislative candidate in your District or party personally seek your vote through any of the following individuals?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A recorded telephone message	0	
2	The candidate in person	0	
3	Campaign worker or volunteer	0	
4	Party worker	0	
5	Superior at work	0	
6	Member of your religious, social, or professional associatio	0	
7	Prominent local figure or clan member	0	
8	Village or ward head or executive official	0	
9	Neighbor	0	
10	Classmate or friend	0	
11	Relative or family member	0	
12	Text message	0	
13	Factions	0	
14	Member of a local assembly	0	
15	Cadre of farmers' association, fishers' association or irrig	0	
16	Campaign vehicle	0	
92	None of them [skip to PB6]	0	
95	Refuse to answer [skip to PB6]	0	
98	Don't know [skip to PB6]	0	
99	Skipped	1241	100.0%
Sysmiss		1051	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pb5_15: Did a legislative candidate in your District or party personally seek your vote through any of the following individuals?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
--------------------	---

pb5_15: Did a legislative candidate in your District or party personally seek your vote through any of the following individuals?

Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A recorded telephone message	0	
2	The candidate in person	0	
3	Campaign worker or volunteer	0	
4	Party worker	0	
5	Superior at work	0	
6	Member of your religious, social, or professional associatio	0	
7	Prominent local figure or clan member	0	
8	Village or ward head or executive official	0	
9	Neighbor	0	
10	Classmate or friend	0	
11	Relative or family member	0	
12	Text message	0	
13	Factions	0	
14	Member of a local assembly	0	
15	Cadre of farmers' association, fishers' association or irrig	0	
16	Campaign vehicle	0	
92	None of them [skip to PB6]	0	
95	Refuse to answer [skip to PB6]	0	
98	Don't know [skip to PB6]	0	
99	Skipped	1241	100.0%
Sysmiss		1051	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pb5a: Which candidate were they mainly asking you to support?

Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 20101-999999] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
20101	CHEN, Ou-po(DPP)	0	
20102	SUN, Po-yu(Ind.)	0	
20103	KUO, Ru-jau(MCFAP)	0	
20104	LEE, Chih-yung(KMT)	0	
20105	LIN, Shian-shan(Pan-Pacific Environmental Protect Union Part	0	
20106	WU, Zi-wei(Constitutional Conventions of Taiwan)	0	
20107	WU, Shao-wen(Green Party-Social Democratic Party Alliance)	0	
20108	CHU, Ando(Ind.)	0	
40101	LIN, Wei-chou(KMT)	11	0.9%
40102	HUANG, Xiu-long(Ind.)	0	
40103	CHENG, Yung-chin(Ind.)	9	0.7%
40104	FAN, Chen-kui(Unionist Party)	0	
40105	SU, Wen-ying(NHS Union Party)	0	
40106	LI, Zong-hua(MCFAP)	0	
40107	CHO, An-thung(Faith and Hope League)	0	
40108	CHIU, Ching-ya(MKT)	9	0.7%

pb5a: Which candidate were they mainly asking you to support?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
50101	TU, Wen-ching(DPP)	0	
50102	KANG, Shi-ju(MKT)	0	
50103	HUANG, Yu-yan(Ind.)	0	
50104	CHEN, Chao-ming(KMT)	0	
50105	LIN, Yi-fang(Free Taiwan Party)	0	
50201	TAI, Wen-hsiang(Ind.)	1	0.1%
50202	HSU, Chih-jung(KMT)	3	0.2%
50203	CHOU, Shu-han(Ind.)	0	
50204	WU, Yi-chen(DPP)	2	0.2%
50205	LIU, Wen-chung(Free Taiwan Party)	0	
70101	CHEN, Wen-pin(DPP)	0	
70102	WANG, Hui-mei(KMT)	0	
70201	CHANG, Yao-yuan(MKT)	0	
70202	LIN, Tsang-min(KMT)	5	0.4%
70203	HUANG, Hsiou-fang(DPP)	5	0.4%
70204	HSU, Yung-chin(Ind.)	0	
70205	HUANG, Yu-fen(Ind.)	1	0.1%
70206	LIU, Yung-chun(Ind.)	0	
70207	WEN, Kuo-ming(Labour Party)	0	
70301	ANG, Yu-kang(Free Taiwan Party)	0	
70302	CHENG, Ru-fen(KMT)	0	
70303	CHEN, Chao-rong(PFP)	0	
70304	CHANG, Yi-sheng(NPP)	0	
70305	HUNG, Chun-yi(DPP)	0	
70401	CHEN, Su-yueh(DPP)	1	0.1%
70402	CHANG, Chin-kun(KMT)	6	0.5%
80101	MA, Wen-chun(KMT)	0	
80102	CHANG, Kuor-hsin(DPP)	0	
80201	TSAI, Huang-liang(DPP)	0	
80202	HSU, Shu-hua(KMT)	0	
90101	SU, Chin-feng(DPP)	9	0.7%
90102	CHANG, Jung-chi(KMT)	5	0.4%
90103	LIN, Fu-yuan(Trees Party)	0	
90201	WANG, Wei-ting(NPP)	0	
90202	CHANG, Chia-wei(Trees Party)	0	
90203	WU, Wei-chih(KMT)	2	0.2%
90204	LIU, Chien-kuo(DPP)	5	0.4%
100101	CHIU, Kun-lung(Ind.)	0	
100102	TSAI, Yi-yu(DPP)	0	
100103	LIN, Chiang-chuan(KMT)	0	
100201	LIN, Yu-ling(DPP)	2	0.2%
100202	LAI, Ching-min(Constitutional Conventions of Taiwan)	0	
100203	CHEN, Ming-wen(KMT)	6	0.5%
130101	LIAO, Wan-ju(KMT)	0	
130102	SU, Zhen-qing(DPP)	0	

pb5a: Which candidate were they mainly asking you to support?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
130201	CHUNG, Chia-pin(DPP)	3	0.2%
130202	WANG, Chin-shih(KMT)	7	0.6%
130301	HUANG, Chao-chang(Ind.)	1	0.1%
130302	CHANG, Chao-yang(Unionist Party)	0	
130303	CHUANG, Jui-hsuing(DPP)	6	0.5%
130304	HSU, Chin-ju(KMT)	1	0.1%
130305	DING, Yong-zhi(Free Taiwan Party)	0	
140101	CHEN, Chien-ke(KMT)	1	0.1%
140102	LIU, Chao-hao(DPP)	5	0.4%
150101	HUANG, Shi-peng(Ind.)	0	
150102	XIAO, Mei-qin(DPP)	1	0.1%
150103	YANG, Wu-kong(Pan-Pacific Environmental Protect Union Party)	0	
150104	WANG, Ting-sheng(KMT)	0	
160101	SHENG, I-che(Trees Party)	0	
160102	YANG, Yao(DPP)	0	
160103	HUANG, Han-tung(Taiwan Labor Party)	0	
160104	CHEN, Shuang-chuan(KMT)	0	
170101	YANG, Shi-cheng(MKT)	2	0.2%
170102	LIU, Wen-hsiung(PFP)	1	0.1%
170103	HAU, Lung-bin(KMT)	3	0.2%
170104	TSAI, Shi-ying(DPP)	1	0.1%
180101	KE, Jian-ming(DPP)	0	
180102	ZENG, Yao-cheng(Ind.)	0	
180103	LIN, Chia-yu(Free Taiwan Party)	0	
180104	OU, Chung-ching(PFP)	0	
180105	WEI, Bert(MCFAP)	0	
180106	WU, Shu-min(Ind.)	0	
180107	HUANG , Yuan-fu(Ind.)	0	
180108	WANG, Rong-de(Ind.)	0	
180109	CHENG, Cheng-chien(KMT)	0	
180110	CHIU, Hsieh-chih(NPP)	0	
200101	WU, Yu-jen(KMT)	1	0.1%
200102	WENG, Shou-liang(Ind.)	0	
200103	LEE, Chun-yi(DPP)	0	
200104	HUANG, Hung-chen-taiwan-ah-chen-world-great-person-rich-pres	0	
280101	HONG, Zhi-heng(Ind.)	0	
280102	CHEN, Tsang-chiang(DPP)	0	
280103	KAO, Dan-hwa(MCFAP)	0	
280104	CHANG, Cheng-fa(Ind.)	0	
280105	YANG, Cheng-wu(KMT)	0	
280106	Tony CHEN Ton Lee(Ind.)	0	
280107	CHEN, Te-hui(Taiwan Labor Party)	0	
280108	WU, Cherng-dean(NP)	0	
300101	CHEN, Hsueh-sheng(KMT)	0	
300102	LIN, Chin-kuan(Ind.)	0	

pb5a: Which candidate were they mainly asking you to support?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
300103	SU, Po-hao(Trees Party)	0	
300104	CHANG, Chun-pao(Unionist Party)	0	
630101	TING, Shou-chung(KMT)	0	
630102	WU, Szu-yao(DPP)	0	
630103	HUANG, Ching-yuan(Taiwan Independent Party)	0	
630104	WANG, Ching-ya(MKT)	0	
630105	WU, Zhong-zheng(NHS Union Party)	0	
630201	WANG, Ming-Tzong AarMing(Ind.)	0	
630202	CHEN, Min-chien(Taiwan Independent Party)	0	
630203	WU, Chun-te(Faith and Hope League)	0	
630204	LIN, Xing-rong(NHS Union Party)	0	
630205	PAN, Wynn-HT(NP)	0	
630206	YAO, Wen-chih(DPP)	0	
630207	CHEN, Jian-bin(Free Taiwan Party)	0	
630301	KAO, Shih-en(Constitutional Conventions of Taiwan)	0	
630302	PAN, Billy(Ind.)	6	0.5%
630303	LIM, Sin-khai(Taiwan Independent Party)	0	
630304	CHAO, Yieng-jack(Ind.)	0	
630305	CIOU, Jheng-hao(Peace Pigeon Union)	0	
630306	CHEN, Alf Ke-yin(Ind.)	0	
630307	LEE, Yen-jong(Green Party-Social Democratic Party Alliance)	2	0.2%
630308	LI, Cheng-yueh(MCFAP)	0	
630309	HUANG, Li-hsiang(Ind.)	0	
630310	CHIANG, Wan-an(KMT)	23	1.9%
630401	HO, Wei(Ind.)	0	
630402	CHEN, Shang-chih(Green Party-Social Democratic Party Allianc	0	
630403	HUANG, Shan-shan(PFP)	0	
630404	LI, Yueh-feng(Peace Pigeon Union)	0	
630405	CHEN, Chao-Ming(Taiwan Independent Party)	0	
630406	LEE, Yen-hsiu(KMT)	0	
630407	HSIAO, Ya-tan(TSU)	0	
630408	LIN, Shao-chi(NPP)	0	
630501	LIN, Yu-fang(KMT)	0	
630502	LI, Jia-xing(Taiwan Independent Party)	0	
630503	HUANG, Fui-ching(Ind.)	0	
630504	HONG, Sneng-cheng(Constitutional Conventions of Taiwan)	0	
630505	GONG, Wei-lun(Ind.)	0	
630506	LIM, Freddy(NPP)	0	
630507	YOU, Rui-min(Trees Party)	0	
630601	CHEN, Chia-hung(Trees Party)	0	
630602	FAN, Yun(Green Party-Social Democratic Party Alliance)	2	0.2%
630603	PANG, Wei-liang(Ind.)	0	
630604	ZHAO, Yan-qing(Ind.)	0	
630605	LIN, Zhen-yu(Taiwan Independent Party)	0	
630606	CHOU, Fang-ju(Ind.)	0	

pb5a: Which candidate were they mainly asking you to support?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
630607	CHIANG, Nancy(Ind.)	0	
630608	JENG, Tsuen-chyi(People Boss Party)	0	
630609	TSENG, Hsien-ying(Faith and Hope League)	0	
630610	CHIANG, Nai-shn(KMT)	18	1.5%
630611	KU, Wen-fa(Constitutional Conventions of Taiwan)	0	
630612	WU, Hsu-chih(MKT)	5	0.4%
630701	LIN, Wen-jye(Ind.)	0	
630702	LU, Jennifer(Green Party-Social Democratic Party Alliance)	0	
630703	Peter Curry(Ind.)	0	
630704	SU, Chen-ying(Peace Pigeon Union)	0	
630705	FAN, Yang-lu(Constitutional Conventions of Taiwan)	0	
630706	LIN, Susan(Taiwan Independent Party)	0	
630707	FAI, Hrong-tai(KMT)	0	
630708	YANG, Shih-chiu(Ind.)	0	
630801	LEE, Ching-yuan(Ind.)	10	0.8%
630802	LAI, Shu-shen(Ind.)	0	
630803	MIAO, Poya(Green Party-Social Democratic Party Alliance)	0	
630804	LAI, Shyh-bao(KMT)	16	1.3%
630805	CHEN, Lucent(Taiwan Labor Party)	0	
630806	FANG, Jing-jun(Ind.)	0	
640101	CHIU, Yi-ying(DPP)	11	0.9%
640102	CHUNG, Yi-chung(KMT)	12	1.0%
640103	LIU, Zi-lin(Unionist Party)	0	
640104	CHUANG, Ting-hsin(Peace Pigeon Union)	0	
640201	CHIU, Chih-wei(DPP)	3	0.2%
640202	HUANG, Yun-han(KMT)	2	0.2%
640203	HUANG, Jin-ling(Unionist Party)	0	
640204	LI, Bo-rong(Trees Party)	0	
640205	ZENG, Ying-feng(Free Taiwan Party)	0	
640301	LIANG, Pei-chen(Faith and Hope League)	0	
640302	LYON, Shu-fu(Ind.)	1	0.1%
640303	CHANG, Hsien-yao(KMT)	4	0.3%
640304	LIU, Shyh-fang(DPP)	6	0.5%
640305	ZHUANG, Ming-xian(Constitutional Conventions of Taiwan)	0	
640401	KUO, Lun-hao Lucas(KMT)	0	
640402	LIN, Jun-yang(Unionist Party)	0	
640403	LIN, Tai-hua(DPP)	0	
640501	TSAI, Chin-yen(KMT)	3	0.2%
640502	KUAN, Bi-ling(DPP)	6	0.5%
640503	YANG, Zong-ying(Peace Pigeon Union)	0	
640504	WANG, Hsin-chang(MCFAP)	0	
640601	HUANG, Po-lin(KMT)	1	0.1%
640602	LEE, Kun-tse(DPP)	6	0.5%
640603	YANG, Han-chi(Peace Pigeon Union)	0	
640701	CHAO, Tien-lin(DPP)	3	0.2%

pb5a: Which candidate were they mainly asking you to support?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
640702	CHEN, Su-li(Peace Pigeon Union)	0	
640703	ZHUANG, Qi-wang(KMT)	4	0.3%
640704	CHEN, Hui-min(NPP)	0	
640705	LIN, Jing-yuan(Ind.)	0	
640801	LIU, Yi-xiong(MCFAP)	0	
640802	CHANG, Yu-hwa(People Boss Party)	0	
640803	CHEN, Han-ku(NPP)	0	
640804	HUANG, Hsi-wen(KMT)	7	0.6%
640805	MA, Kai-ni(Social Welfare Party)	0	
640806	WANG, Ting-xuan(Unionist Party)	0	
640807	XU, Zhi-jie(DPP)	9	0.7%
640901	LIN, Tsung-yen(MKT)	0	
640902	LAI, Jui-lung(DPP)	0	
640903	LIN, Guo-zheng(KMT)	0	
640904	TSAI, Ma-fu(Unionist Party)	0	
650101	WU, Yu-sheng(KMT)	8	0.6%
650102	SU, Gideon Tung-ta(Trees Party)	0	
650103	HUNG, Zei-cheng(NHS Union Party)	0	
650104	LU, Sun-ling(DPP)	3	0.2%
650105	CHEN, Li-ji(Ind.)	0	
650106	CHEN, Yun-fen(Peace Pigeon Union)	0	
650201	CHEN, Ming-yi(KMT)	13	1.0%
650202	LIN, Shu-fen(DPP)	8	0.6%
650301	CHEN, Chang-fa(Constitutional Conventions of Taiwan)	0	
650302	SHIAU, Tom(NHS Union Party)	0	
650303	LEE, Chien-lung(KMT)	0	
650304	GAO, Jyh-peng(DPP)	0	
650305	CHANG, Showen(PFP)	0	
650306	LIN, Ji-ying(Social Welfare Party)	0	
650307	YAO, Yin-hung(Trees Party)	0	
650308	HUANG, Mao(Ind.)	0	
650309	SU, Ching-yin(Ind.)	0	
650401	GU, Ba-kai(Green Party-Social Democratic Party Alliance)	0	
650402	WU, Ping-jui(DPP)	0	
650403	WANG, Si-yi(Constitutional Conventions of Taiwan)	0	
650404	CHEN, Mau-jia(KMT)	0	
650405	LIU, Feng-chang(Peace Pigeon Union)	0	
650406	LIN, Li-rong(Righteous Party)	0	
650407	CHIU, Mark(Ind.)	0	
650501	SU, Chiao-hui(DPP)	0	
650502	KUO, Po-yu(NPP)	0	
650503	HUANG, Chih-hsiung(KMT)	0	
650601	YAO, Yu-shism(Taiwan Labor Party)	0	
650602	LI, Jian-ming(Trees Party)	0	
650603	YU, Hsin-yi(Faith and Hope League)	2	0.2%

pb5a: Which candidate were they mainly asking you to support?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
650604	KANG, Hector(PFP)	0	
650605	CHUANG, Feng-ming(Ind.)	0	
650606	LI, Gui-bao(MKT)	0	
650607	HUANG, Jun-min(MCFAP)	0	
650608	LIN, Kuo-chun(KMT)	9	0.7%
650609	CHANG, Hung-lu(DPP)	3	0.2%
650701	WANG, Chen-hwa(NHS Union Party)	0	
650702	LO, Chih-cheng(DPP)	2	0.2%
650703	LEE, Wan-yu(Ind.)	1	0.1%
650704	CHIANG, Huei-chen(KMT)	5	0.4%
650801	CHIANG, Yung-chang(DPP)	0	
650802	CHANG, Hing-Chung(KMT)	0	
650803	TUNG, Cheng-yi(Ind.)	0	
650804	WU, Jin-kui(MCFAP)	0	
650805	LIN, Ken(NHS Union Party)	0	
650806	SHAO, Ba-xiang(Constitutional Conventions of Taiwan)	0	
650901	DONG, Brya(The Motorists Party of ROC)	0	
650902	CHANG, Ching-fang(MKT)	0	
650903	TSENG, Wen-sheng(NHS Union Party)	0	
650904	LIN, Te-fu(KMT)	0	
650905	LEE, Hsin-chang(Ind.)	0	
651001	LU, Chia-chen(KMT)	0	
651002	WU, Chi-ming(DPP)	0	
651003	HUANG, Lu-guang(Free Taiwan Party)	0	
651101	LO, Ming-tsai(KMT)	3	0.2%
651102	TSENG, Poyu(Green Party-Social Democratic Party Alliance)	0	
651103	CHEN, Yung-fu(DPP)	0	
651201	CHUNG, Guo-chih(Peace Pigeon Union)	0	
651202	LEE, Ching-hua(KMT)	1	0.1%
651203	HUANG, Kuo-chang(NPP)	2	0.2%
651204	CHEN, Yung-shun(Faith and Hope League)	0	
660101	HOUNG, Chin-tei(Ind.)	0	
660102	WANG, Shu-fen(Constitutional Conventions of Taiwan)	0	
660103	CHEN, Chun-yuan(Unionist Party)	0	
660104	TSAI, Chi-chang(DPP)	11	0.9%
660105	YEN, Chiou-yue(KMT)	5	0.4%
660201	CHEN, Shih-kai(DPP)	6	0.5%
660202	YEN, Kuan-heng(KMT)	15	1.2%
660203	CHUNG, Wen-long(Ind.)	0	
660204	CHI, Kuo-tung(Ind.)	0	
660301	YANG, Chiung-ying(KMT)	0	
660302	HUANG, Hsin-chi(MCFAP)	0	
660303	HUNG, Tzu-yung(NPP)	0	
660401	WU, Shu-hui(MKT)	0	
660402	CHANG LIAO, Wan-chien(DPP)	8	0.6%

pb5a: Which candidate were they mainly asking you to support?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
660403	TSAI, Chin-lung(KMT)	5	0.4%
660404	YEH, Chun-hsin(Faith and Hope League)	0	
660405	YEN, Hui-li(Ind.)	0	
660406	YOU, Shou-yuan(Free Taiwan Party)	0	
660501	CHIEN, Meng-hsuan(Faith and Hope League)	1	0.1%
660502	MIAO, Feng-lung(Ind.)	0	
660503	LU, Shiow-yen(KMT)	22	1.8%
660504	LIU, Kuo-lung(TSU)	12	1.0%
660601	HUANG, Kuo-shu(DPP)	12	1.0%
660602	SHEN, Chih-hwei(KMT)	15	1.2%
660603	HO, Tzu-hua(Ind.)	0	
660701	HO, Hsin-chun(DPP)	0	
660702	LAI, Yi-huang(KMT)	0	
660703	SHIH, Tatsai(MCFAP)	0	
660801	WANG, Cheng-chi(Ind.)	0	
660802	CHIANG, Chi-chen(KMT)	0	
660803	HSIEH, Chih-chung(DPP)	0	
670101	HUANG, Rui-kun(KMT)	3	0.2%
670102	CHEN, Bo-zhi(Unionist Party)	0	
670103	LIN, De-wang(Ind.)	0	
670104	YE, Yi-jin(DPP)	7	0.6%
670201	HUANG, Wei-zhe(DPP)	15	1.2%
670202	HUANG, Yao-sheng(KMT)	1	0.1%
670203	HUANG, Min-zhen(Unionist Party)	0	
670204	HUANG, Xian-qing(Free Taiwan Party)	0	
670205	WANG, Guo-dong(Ind.)	0	
670301	XIE, Long-jie(KMT)	3	0.2%
670302	DENG, Xiu-bao(MKT)	0	
670303	CHEN, Ting-fei(DPP)	6	0.5%
670304	WENG, Wan-ning(Unionist Party)	0	
670401	LIN, Jun-xian(DPP)	10	0.8%
670402	FU, Jian-feng(Unionist Party)	0	
670403	CHEN, Shu-hui(KMT)	0	
670404	CHEN, Huang-zhou(Constitutional Conventions of Taiwan)	0	
670405	YANG, Zhi-da(Green Party-Social Democratic Party Alliance)	0	
670406	TSAI, Yu-zhi(NPP)	0	
670501	WANG, Ding-yu(DPP)	13	1.0%
670502	YAN, Yang-qing(Taiwan Labor Party)	0	
670503	LIN, Yi-huang(KMT)	2	0.2%
670504	LI, Ying-shi(Unionist Party)	0	
680101	CHENG, Yun-peng(DPP)	5	0.4%
680102	CHEN, Ken-te(KMT)	10	0.8%
680103	WANG, Pao-hsuan(Green Party-Social Democratic Party Alliance)	0	
680201	CHEN, Pei-yu(Trees Party)	0	
680202	WU, Zhen-tuo(Taiwan Independent Party)	0	

# pb5a: Which candidate were they mainly asking you to support?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
680203	LIAO, Cheng-ching(KMT)	0	
680204	CHANG, Kang-yi(NPP)	0	
680205	CHEN LAI, Su-mei(DPP)	0	
680206	HUANG, Wei-chun(Ind.)	0	
680301	CHEN, Hung-jui(MKT)	0	
680302	HSU, Ching-wen(DPP)	1	0.1%
680303	LAI, Li-chu(Ind.)	0	
680304	YU, Neng-sheng(Free Taiwan Party)	0	
680305	CHEN, Shei-saint(KMT)	7	0.6%
680401	YANG, Li-huan(KMT)	0	
680402	CHENG, Pao-ching(DPP)	0	
680501	LU, Yu-ling(KMT)	6	0.5%
680502	HSIAO, Chia-liang(Ind.)	0	
680503	CHANG, Cheng(MKT)	1	0.1%
680504	CHANG, Chao-liang(DPP)	3	0.2%
680505	HUANG, Chih-hao(Ind.)	0	
680506	HUANG, Guo-hua(NHS Union Party)	0	
680601	JUN, Jen-yuan(Taiwan Win Party)	0	
680602	ZHAO, Zheng-yu(Ind.)	4	0.3%
680603	LAN, Da-shan(Taiwan Independent Party)	0	
680604	SUN, Ta-chien(KMT)	3	0.2%
680605	YANG, Jin-xuan(Ind.)	0	
680606	LU, Tung-chieh(Green Party-Social Democratic Party Alliance)	0	
999988	Plain aborigines	2	0.2%
999989	Mountain aborigines	1	0.1%
999991	Forgot	0	
999992	All of them(in the District)	42	3.4%
999995	Refuse to answer	4	0.3%
999998	Don't know	61	4.9%
999999	Skipped	584	47.1%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pb5aa: Which candidate were they mainly asking you to support?(Plain aborigines)			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 690101-999999] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
690101	Tamo Ahsiung(Ind.)	0	
690102	Takiyo-Kacaw(MCFAP)	0	
690103	LIN, Hao-yi(PFP)	0	
690104	Sra-Kacaw(KMT)	0	
690105	Mayaw-Biho(Ind.)	0	
690106	KE, Ren-yao(Ind.)	0	
690107	LIN, Amos(Taiwan First Nations Party)	0	
690108	Rahic Amind(MKT)	0	

# pb5aa: Which candidate were they mainly asking you to support?(Plain aborigines)			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
690109	LIN, Jin-ing(NHS Union Party)	0	
690110	LIN, Tsung-han(KMT)	0	
690111	CHEN, Ying(DPP)	0	
690112	Galahe·Wubai·Hayawan(Ind.)	0	
690113	Sufin·Siluko(KMT)	1	0.1%
999991	Forgot	0	
999992	All of them(in the District)	0	
999995	Refuse to answer	1	0.1%
999998	Don't know	0	
999999	Skipped	1239	99.8%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pb5ab: Which candidate were they mainly asking you to support?(Mountain aborigines)			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 700101-999999] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
700101	LIN, Shi-wei(Ind.)	0	
700102	Yuming Suyan(China Production Party)	0	
700103	TSENG, Hua-te(Ind.)	0	
700104	Walis-Perin(DPP)	0	
700105	Yi Lan-Ming Ji Nu Aa(Faith and Hope League)	0	
700106	KUNG, Wen-chi(KMT)	0	
700107	Uliw-Qaljupayare(KMT)	1	0.1%
700108	QUAN, Cheng-wei(Taiwan Independent Party)	0	
700109	LIN, Xin-yi(Faith and Hope League)	0	
700110	KAO CHI, Su-mei(NPSU)	0	
999991	Forgot	0	
999992	All of them(in the District)	0	
999995	Refuse to answer	0	
999998	Don't know	0	
999999	Skipped	1240	99.9%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pb6_1: During this year's election, did you do any of the following?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Read the official election notice	424	34.2%
2	Read candidates' leaflets, newsletters, or newspaper ads	105	8.5%
3	Watched candidate debates or campaign speeches on TV	196	15.8%
4	Do volunteer work in campaign for either a candidate or part	5	0.4%
5	Attended an election related gathering or banquet	14	1.1%
6	Joined a candidate's support organization	2	0.2%
7	Reminded your friends to watch candidate debates or campaign	7	0.6%

# pb6_1: During this year's election, did you do any of the following?				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
8	Persuaded others to vote for particular candidate or party	7	<div></div>	0.6%
9	Gave money to a political party or candidate	4	<div></div>	0.3%
10	Purchased candidate's souvenirs	1	<div></div>	0.1%
11	Hang or wear a flag or other symbol	1	<div></div>	0.1%
12	Were invited to participate in a candidate's rally	10	<div></div>	0.8%
13	Attended a candidate's rally	3	<div></div>	0.2%
14	Visited a candidate's website	10	<div></div>	0.8%
92	None of these	450	<div></div>	36.3%
95	Refuse to answer	0		
98	Don't know	2	<div></div>	0.2%
99	Skipped	0		
Sysmiss		1051		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# pb6_2: During this year's election, did you do any of the following?				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Read the official election notice	31	<div></div>	2.5%
2	Read candidates' leaflets, newsletters, or newspaper ads	216	<div></div>	17.4%
3	Watched candidate debates or campaign speeches on TV	146	<div></div>	11.8%
4	Do volunteer work in campaign for either a candidate or part	1	<div></div>	0.1%
5	Attended an election related gathering or banquet	14	<div></div>	1.1%
6	Joined a candidate's support organization	5	<div></div>	0.4%
7	Reminded your friends to watch candidate debates or campaign	9	<div></div>	0.7%
8	Persuaded others to vote for particular candidate or party	11	<div></div>	0.9%
9	Gave money to a political party or candidate	2	<div></div>	0.2%
10	Purchased candidate's souvenirs	3	<div></div>	0.2%
11	Hang or wear a flag or other symbol	7	<div></div>	0.6%
12	Were invited to participate in a candidate's rally	10	<div></div>	0.8%
13	Attended a candidate's rally	3	<div></div>	0.2%
14	Visited a candidate's website	16	<div></div>	1.3%
92	None of these	0		
95	Refuse to answer	0		
98	Don't know	0		
99	Skipped	767	<div></div>	61.8%
Sysmiss		1051		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# pb6_3: During this year's election, did you do any of the following?				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Read the official election notice	11	<div></div>	0.9%
2	Read candidates' leaflets, newsletters, or newspaper ads	13	<div></div>	1.0%
3	Watched candidate debates or campaign speeches on TV	121	<div></div>	9.8%

# pb6_3: During this year's election, did you do any of the following?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Do volunteer work in campaign for either a candidate or part	6	0.5%
5	Attended an election related gathering or banquet	15	1.2%
6	Joined a candidate's support organization	9	0.7%
7	Reminded your friends to watch candidate debates or campaign	12	1.0%
8	Persuaded others to vote for particular candidate or party	13	1.0%
9	Gave money to a political party or candidate	4	0.3%
10	Purchased candidate's souvenirs	0	
11	Hang or wear a flag or other symbol	0	
12	Were invited to participate in a candidate's rally	7	0.6%
13	Attended a candidate's rally	2	0.2%
14	Visited a candidate's website	23	1.9%
92	None of these	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1005	81.0%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pb6_4: During this year's election, did you do any of the following?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Read the official election notice	1	0.1%
2	Read candidates' leaflets, newsletters, or newspaper ads	2	0.2%
3	Watched candidate debates or campaign speeches on TV	1	0.1%
4	Do volunteer work in campaign for either a candidate or part	6	0.5%
5	Attended an election related gathering or banquet	18	1.5%
6	Joined a candidate's support organization	6	0.5%
7	Reminded your friends to watch candidate debates or campaign	15	1.2%
8	Persuaded others to vote for particular candidate or party	15	1.2%
9	Gave money to a political party or candidate	4	0.3%
10	Purchased candidate's souvenirs	2	0.2%
11	Hang or wear a flag or other symbol	4	0.3%
12	Were invited to participate in a candidate's rally	9	0.7%
13	Attended a candidate's rally	3	0.2%
14	Visited a candidate's website	24	1.9%
92	None of these	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1131	91.1%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pb6_5: During this year's election, did you do any of the following?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		

pb6_5: During this year's election, did you do any of the following?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Read the official election notice	0	
2	Read candidates' leaflets, newsletters, or newspaper ads	0	
3	Watched candidate debates or campaign speeches on TV	2	0.2%
4	Do volunteer work in campaign for either a candidate or part	2	0.2%
5	Attended an election related gathering or banquet	6	0.5%
6	Joined a candidate's support organization	8	0.6%
7	Reminded your friends to watch candidate debates or campaign	4	0.3%
8	Persuaded others to vote for particular candidate or party	9	0.7%
9	Gave money to a political party or candidate	0	
10	Purchased candidate's souvenirs	0	
11	Hang or wear a flag or other symbol	0	
12	Were invited to participate in a candidate's rally	7	0.6%
13	Attended a candidate's rally	7	0.6%
14	Visited a candidate's website	7	0.6%
92	None of these	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1189	95.8%
Sysmiss		1051	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pb6_6: During this year's election, did you do any of the following?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Read the official election notice	1	0.1%
2	Read candidates' leaflets, newsletters, or newspaper ads	2	0.2%
3	Watched candidate debates or campaign speeches on TV	1	0.1%
4	Do volunteer work in campaign for either a candidate or part	0	
5	Attended an election related gathering or banquet	0	
6	Joined a candidate's support organization	3	0.2%
7	Reminded your friends to watch candidate debates or campaign	4	0.3%
8	Persuaded others to vote for particular candidate or party	4	0.3%
9	Gave money to a political party or candidate	3	0.2%
10	Purchased candidate's souvenirs	0	
11	Hang or wear a flag or other symbol	1	0.1%
12	Were invited to participate in a candidate's rally	7	0.6%
13	Attended a candidate's rally	2	0.2%
14	Visited a candidate's website	5	0.4%
92	None of these	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1208	97.3%
Sysmiss		1051	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# pb6_7: During this year's election, did you do any of the following?			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Read the official election notice	1	0.1%
2	Read candidates' leaflets, newsletters, or newspaper ads	1	0.1%
3	Watched candidate debates or campaign speeches on TV	1	0.1%
4	Do volunteer work in campaign for either a candidate or part	0	
5	Attended an election related gathering or banquet	0	
6	Joined a candidate's support organization	0	
7	Reminded your friends to watch candidate debates or campaign	3	0.2%
8	Persuaded others to vote for particular candidate or party	3	0.2%
9	Gave money to a political party or candidate	0	
10	Purchased candidate's souvenirs	0	
11	Hang or wear a flag or other symbol	1	0.1%
12	Were invited to participate in a candidate's rally	6	0.5%
13	Attended a candidate's rally	0	
14	Visited a candidate's website	0	
92	None of these	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1225	98.7%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pb6_8: During this year's election, did you do any of the following?			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Read the official election notice	0	
2	Read candidates' leaflets, newsletters, or newspaper ads	0	
3	Watched candidate debates or campaign speeches on TV	0	
4	Do volunteer work in campaign for either a candidate or part	0	
5	Attended an election related gathering or banquet	1	0.1%
6	Joined a candidate's support organization	0	
7	Reminded your friends to watch candidate debates or campaign	0	
8	Persuaded others to vote for particular candidate or party	1	0.1%
9	Gave money to a political party or candidate	3	0.2%
10	Purchased candidate's souvenirs	0	
11	Hang or wear a flag or other symbol	1	0.1%
12	Were invited to participate in a candidate's rally	1	0.1%
13	Attended a candidate's rally	2	0.2%
14	Visited a candidate's website	3	0.2%
92	None of these	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1229	99.0%

# pb6_8: During this year's election, did you do any of the following?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pb6_9: During this year's election, did you do any of the following?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Read the official election notice	0	
2	Read candidates' leaflets, newsletters, or newspaper ads	0	
3	Watched candidate debates or campaign speeches on TV	0	
4	Do volunteer work in campaign for either a candidate or part	0	
5	Attended an election related gathering or banquet	0	
6	Joined a candidate's support organization	0	
7	Reminded your friends to watch candidate debates or campaign	0	
8	Persuaded others to vote for particular candidate or party	0	
9	Gave money to a political party or candidate	0	
10	Purchased candidate's souvenirs	1	0.1%
11	Hang or wear a flag or other symbol	4	0.3%
12	Were invited to participate in a candidate's rally	0	
13	Attended a candidate's rally	2	0.2%
14	Visited a candidate's website	0	
92	None of these	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1234	99.4%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pb6_10: During this year's election, did you do any of the following?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Read the official election notice	0	
2	Read candidates' leaflets, newsletters, or newspaper ads	0	
3	Watched candidate debates or campaign speeches on TV	0	
4	Do volunteer work in campaign for either a candidate or part	0	
5	Attended an election related gathering or banquet	0	
6	Joined a candidate's support organization	0	
7	Reminded your friends to watch candidate debates or campaign	0	
8	Persuaded others to vote for particular candidate or party	0	
9	Gave money to a political party or candidate	0	
10	Purchased candidate's souvenirs	0	
11	Hang or wear a flag or other symbol	0	
12	Were invited to participate in a candidate's rally	2	0.2%
13	Attended a candidate's rally	1	0.1%
14	Visited a candidate's website	2	0.2%

# pb6_10: During this year's election, did you do any of the following?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
92	None of these	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1236	99.6%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pb6_11: During this year's election, did you do any of the following?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Read the official election notice	0	
2	Read candidates' leaflets, newsletters, or newspaper ads	0	
3	Watched candidate debates or campaign speeches on TV	0	
4	Do volunteer work in campaign for either a candidate or part	0	
5	Attended an election related gathering or banquet	0	
6	Joined a candidate's support organization	0	
7	Reminded your friends to watch candidate debates or campaign	0	
8	Persuaded others to vote for particular candidate or party	0	
9	Gave money to a political party or candidate	0	
10	Purchased candidate's souvenirs	0	
11	Hang or wear a flag or other symbol	0	
12	Were invited to participate in a candidate's rally	0	
13	Attended a candidate's rally	1	0.1%
14	Visited a candidate's website	2	0.2%
92	None of these	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1238	99.8%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pb6_12: During this year's election, did you do any of the following?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Read the official election notice	0	
2	Read candidates' leaflets, newsletters, or newspaper ads	0	
3	Watched candidate debates or campaign speeches on TV	0	
4	Do volunteer work in campaign for either a candidate or part	0	
5	Attended an election related gathering or banquet	0	
6	Joined a candidate's support organization	0	
7	Reminded your friends to watch candidate debates or campaign	0	
8	Persuaded others to vote for particular candidate or party	0	
9	Gave money to a political party or candidate	0	
10	Purchased candidate's souvenirs	0	

# pb6_12: During this year's election, did you do any of the following?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
11	Hang or wear a flag or other symbol	0	
12	Were invited to participate in a candidate's rally	0	
13	Attended a candidate's rally	0	
14	Visited a candidate's website	0	
92	None of these	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1241	
Sysmiss		1051	100.0%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pb6_13: During this year's election, did you do any of the following?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Read the official election notice	0	
2	Read candidates' leaflets, newsletters, or newspaper ads	0	
3	Watched candidate debates or campaign speeches on TV	0	
4	Do volunteer work in campaign for either a candidate or part	0	
5	Attended an election related gathering or banquet	0	
6	Joined a candidate's support organization	0	
7	Reminded your friends to watch candidate debates or campaign	0	
8	Persuaded others to vote for particular candidate or party	0	
9	Gave money to a political party or candidate	0	
10	Purchased candidate's souvenirs	0	
11	Hang or wear a flag or other symbol	0	
12	Were invited to participate in a candidate's rally	0	
13	Attended a candidate's rally	0	
14	Visited a candidate's website	0	
92	None of these	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1241	
Sysmiss		1051	100.0%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pb6_14: During this year's election, did you do any of the following?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Read the official election notice	0	
2	Read candidates' leaflets, newsletters, or newspaper ads	0	
3	Watched candidate debates or campaign speeches on TV	0	
4	Do volunteer work in campaign for either a candidate or part	0	
5	Attended an election related gathering or banquet	0	
6	Joined a candidate's support organization	0	

# pb6_14: During this year's election, did you do any of the following?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7	Reminded your friends to watch candidate debates or campaign	0	
8	Persuaded others to vote for particular candidate or party	0	
9	Gave money to a political party or candidate	0	
10	Purchased candidate's souvenirs	0	
11	Hang or wear a flag or other symbol	0	
12	Were invited to participate in a candidate's rally	0	
13	Attended a candidate's rally	0	
14	Visited a candidate's website	0	
92	None of these	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1241	100.0%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pb6_15: During this year's election, did you do any of the following?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Read the official election notice	0	
2	Read candidates' leaflets, newsletters, or newspaper ads	0	
3	Watched candidate debates or campaign speeches on TV	0	
4	Do volunteer work in campaign for either a candidate or part	0	
5	Attended an election related gathering or banquet	0	
6	Joined a candidate's support organization	0	
7	Reminded your friends to watch candidate debates or campaign	0	
8	Persuaded others to vote for particular candidate or party	0	
9	Gave money to a political party or candidate	0	
10	Purchased candidate's souvenirs	0	
11	Hang or wear a flag or other symbol	0	
12	Were invited to participate in a candidate's rally	0	
13	Attended a candidate's rally	0	
14	Visited a candidate's website	0	
92	None of these	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1241	100.0%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pc1: Now thinking about the performance of the president Ma in general, how good or bad a job do you think the president did over the past 8 years? Has he done a very good job? A good job? A bad job? A very bad job?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good job	21	1.7%

pc1: Now thinking about the performance of the president Ma in general, how good or bad a job do you think the president did over the past 8 years? Has he done a very good job? A good job? A bad job? A very bad job?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Good job	287	23.1%
3	Bad job	482	38.8%
4	Very bad job	384	30.9%
95	Refuse to answer	6	0.5%
96	It depends	7	0.6%
97	No opinion	31	2.5%
98	Don't know	23	1.9%
Sysmiss		1051	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pc2: Overall, during Ma Ying-jeou's first term, in what aspect has he performed best?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Promoting ethnic harmony	19	1.5%
2	Maintaining political stability	45	3.6%
3	Promoting economic development	15	1.2%
4	Eliminating black and gold politics	99	8.0%
5	Raising Taiwan's international status	57	4.6%
6	Promoting democratic reforms	7	0.6%
7	Improving law and order	20	1.6%
8	Promoting peaceful developments in cross-Strait relations	355	28.6%
9	Social welfare	22	1.8%
10	Handling educational reform	9	0.7%
11	Handling financial reform	1	0.1%
12	Public infrastructure	15	1.2%
13	Personnel appointment	5	0.4%
14	Resolution of administration(Gets things done)	11	0.9%
15	Good image of president and first lady	1	0.1%
16	Maintaining price stability	0	
17	Labor rights	0	
18	Policy planning	0	
19	Honesty	0	
20	National security	0	
21	Mitigate the gap between rich and poor	0	
22	Human rights and freedom	0	
23	Foreign countries offer visa-free travel to ROC passport hol	2	0.2%
24	Sports policy	0	
25	Disaster relief	1	0.1%
26	Distribution of the consumer voucher	0	
27	Development of tourism industry	0	
91	Performs well in every area	10	0.8%
92	Performs badly in every area	354	28.5%
95	Refuse to answer	7	0.6%

pc2: Overall, during Ma Ying-jeou's first term, in what aspect has he performed best?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
96	It depends	1	0.1%
97	No opinion	3	0.2%
98	Don't know	182	14.7%
Sysmiss		1051	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pc3: Overall, during Ma Ying-jeou's first term, in what aspect has he performed worst?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Promoting ethnic harmony	27	2.2%
2	Maintaining political stability	45	3.6%
3	Promoting economic development	410	33.0%
4	Eliminating black and gold politics	13	1.0%
5	Raising Taiwan's international status	33	2.7%
6	Promoting democratic reforms	15	1.2%
7	Improving law and order	29	2.3%
8	Promoting peaceful developments in cross-Strait relations	57	4.6%
9	Social welfare	24	1.9%
10	Handling educational reform	38	3.1%
11	Handling financial reform	11	0.9%
12	Public infrastructure	7	0.6%
13	Personnel appointment	66	5.3%
14	Resolution of administration(Gets things done)	167	13.5%
15	Good image of president and first lady	0	
16	Maintaining price stability	4	0.3%
17	Labor rights	0	
18	Policy planning	1	0.1%
19	Honesty	2	0.2%
20	National security	0	
21	Widen the gap between rich and poor	1	0.1%
22	Human rights and freedom	0	
23	Foreign countries offer visa-free travel to ROC passport hol	0	
24	Food safety	6	0.5%
25	Communicate with the public	0	
26	Judicial reform	1	0.1%
27	Policy ideas not implemented	0	
28	Political struggle	1	0.1%
29	Sunflower student movement	0	
91	Performs well in every area	20	1.6%
92	Performs badly in every area	111	8.9%
95	Refuse to answer	7	0.6%
96	It depends	2	0.2%
97	No opinion	2	0.2%
98	Don't know	141	11.4%

# pc3: Overall, during Ma Ying-jeou's first term, in what aspect has he performed worst?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pd1: Some people say: People like me don't have any say about what the government does			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	43	3.5%
2	Agree	330	26.6%
3	Disagree	681	54.9%
4	Strongly disagree	117	9.4%
95	Refuse to answer	0	
96	It depends	9	0.7%
97	No opinion	12	1.0%
98	Don't know	49	3.9%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pd2: Some people say: Public officials do not care much about what people like me think			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	98	7.9%
2	Agree	547	44.1%
3	Disagree	462	37.2%
4	Strongly disagree	34	2.7%
95	Refuse to answer	1	0.1%
96	It depends	30	2.4%
97	No opinion	18	1.5%
98	Don't know	51	4.1%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pd3: Some people say: Sometimes politics seems so complicated that a person like me cannot really understand what is going on			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	136	11.0%
2	Agree	717	57.8%
3	Disagree	295	23.8%
4	Strongly disagree	40	3.2%
95	Refuse to answer	0	
96	It depends	15	1.2%
97	No opinion	11	0.9%
98	Don't know	27	2.2%

pd3: Some people say: Sometimes politics seems so complicated that a person like me cannot really understand what is going on

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		1051	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pd4: Some people say: Most decisions made by the government are correct

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	11	0.9%
2	Agree	193	15.6%
3	Disagree	808	65.1%
4	Strongly disagree	111	8.9%
95	Refuse to answer	1	0.1%
96	It depends	55	4.4%
97	No opinion	12	1.0%
98	Don't know	50	4.0%
Sysmiss		1051	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pd5: Some people say: Government officials often waste a lot of money we pay in taxes

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	293	23.6%
2	Agree	689	55.5%
3	Disagree	151	12.2%
4	Strongly disagree	6	0.5%
95	Refuse to answer	1	0.1%
96	It depends	38	3.1%
97	No opinion	9	0.7%
98	Don't know	54	4.4%
Sysmiss		1051	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pd6: When the government decides important policies, do you think public welfare is its first priority?





Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Often	67	5.4%
2	Sometimes	442	35.6%
3	Seldom	495	39.9%
4	Never	128	10.3%
95	Refuse to answer	0	
96	It depends	32	2.6%
97	No opinion	9	0.7%
98	Don't know	68	5.5%

# pd6: When the government decides important policies, do you think public welfare is its first priority?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pd7: You feel you understand the most important political issues of this country			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	27	<div><div></div></div> 2.2%
2	Agree	338	<div><div></div></div> 27.2%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	113	<div><div></div></div> 9.1%
4	Disagree	634	<div><div></div></div> 51.1%
5	Strongly disagree	46	<div><div></div></div> 3.7%
95	Refuse to answer	5	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
96	It depends	11	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
97	No opinion	0	
98	Don't know	67	<div><div></div></div> 5.4%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pe1: In a democracy it is important to seek compromise among different viewpoints			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	165	<div><div></div></div> 13.3%
2	Agree	874	<div><div></div></div> 70.4%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	44	<div><div></div></div> 3.5%
4	Disagree	88	<div><div></div></div> 7.1%
5	Strongly disagree	8	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
95	Refuse to answer	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
96	It depends	11	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
97	No opinion	0	
98	Don't know	50	<div><div></div></div> 4.0%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pe2: Most politicians do not care about the people.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	77	<div><div></div></div> 6.2%
2	Agree	588	<div><div></div></div> 47.4%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	106	<div><div></div></div> 8.5%
4	Disagree	396	<div><div></div></div> 31.9%
5	Strongly disagree	14	<div><div></div></div> 1.1%
95	Refuse to answer	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
96	It depends	21	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%

# pe2: Most politicians do not care about the people.			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	No opinion	0	
98	Don't know	37	<div><div></div></div> 3.0%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pe3: Most politicians are trustworthy.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
2	Agree	197	<div><div></div></div> 15.9%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	132	<div><div></div></div> 10.6%
4	Disagree	720	<div><div></div></div> 58.0%
5	Strongly disagree	96	<div><div></div></div> 7.7%
95	Refuse to answer	0	
96	It depends	46	<div><div></div></div> 3.7%
97	No opinion	0	
98	Don't know	48	<div><div></div></div> 3.9%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pe4: Politicians are the main problem in our country(i.e. Taiwan).			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	139	<div><div></div></div> 11.2%
2	Agree	756	<div><div></div></div> 60.9%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	81	<div><div></div></div> 6.5%
4	Disagree	162	<div><div></div></div> 13.1%
5	Strongly disagree	7	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
95	Refuse to answer	4	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
96	It depends	20	<div><div></div></div> 1.6%
97	No opinion	0	
98	Don't know	72	<div><div></div></div> 5.8%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pe5: Having a strong leader in government is good for our country even if the leader bends the rules to get things done.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	48	<div><div></div></div> 3.9%
2	Agree	290	<div><div></div></div> 23.4%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	60	<div><div></div></div> 4.8%
4	Disagree	652	<div><div></div></div> 52.5%









pe5: Having a strong leader in government is good for our country even if the leader bends the rules to get things done.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	Strongly disagree	116	 9.3%
95	Refuse to answer	3	 0.2%
96	It depends	20	 1.6%
97	No opinion	0	
98	Don't know	52	 4.2%
Sysmiss		1051	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pe6: The people, and not politicians, should make our most important policy decisions.









Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	84	 6.8%
2	Agree	505	 40.7%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	153	 12.3%
4	Disagree	384	 30.9%
5	Strongly disagree	29	 2.3%
95	Refuse to answer	3	 0.2%
96	It depends	28	 2.3%
97	No opinion	0	
98	Don't know	55	 4.4%
Sysmiss		1051	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pe7: Most politicians care only about the interests of the rich and powerful.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	173	 13.9%
2	Agree	668	 53.8%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	81	 6.5%
4	Disagree	238	 19.2%
5	Strongly disagree	13	 1.0%
95	Refuse to answer	1	 0.1%
96	It depends	20	 1.6%
97	No opinion	0	
98	Don't know	47	 3.8%
Sysmiss		1051	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pe8: Poor people should have a greater voice in politics.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	139	 11.2%

# pe8: Poor people should have a greater voice in politics.			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Agree	718	<div><div></div></div> 57.9%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	114	<div><div></div></div> 9.2%
4	Disagree	185	<div><div></div></div> 14.9%
5	Strongly disagree	10	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
95	Refuse to answer	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
96	It depends	28	<div><div></div></div> 2.3%
97	No opinion	0	
98	Don't know	45	<div><div></div></div> 3.6%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pf1: A man's job is to work and earn money; a woman's job is to look after family.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	32	<div><div></div></div> 2.6%
2	Agree	218	<div><div></div></div> 17.6%
3	Disagree	739	<div><div></div></div> 59.5%
4	Strongly disagree	209	<div><div></div></div> 16.8%
95	Refuse to answer	0	
96	It depends	25	<div><div></div></div> 2.0%
97	No opinion	7	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
98	Don't know	11	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pf2: Males are more suited to working for a position that involves a certain level of management, while females are more suited to working for a first-line position.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	18	<div><div></div></div> 1.5%
2	Agree	120	<div><div></div></div> 9.7%
3	Disagree	797	<div><div></div></div> 64.2%
4	Strongly disagree	238	<div><div></div></div> 19.2%
95	Refuse to answer	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
96	It depends	40	<div><div></div></div> 3.2%
97	No opinion	5	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
98	Don't know	22	<div><div></div></div> 1.8%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pg1: Would you say that over the past twelve months, the state of the economy in Taiwan has gotten much better, gotten somewhat better, stayed about the same, gotten somewhat worse, or gotten much worse?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		

pg1: Would you say that over the past twelve months, the state of the economy in Taiwan has gotten much better, gotten somewhat better, stayed about the same, gotten somewhat worse, or gotten much worse?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Gotten much better	2	0.2%
2	Gotten somewhat better	48	3.9%
3	Stayed the same	457	36.8%
4	Gotten somewhat worse	534	43.0%
5	Gotten much worse	173	13.9%
95	Refuse to answer	2	0.2%
96	It depends	1	0.1%
97	No opinion	3	0.2%
98	Don't know	21	1.7%
Sysmiss		1051	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pg2: Would you say that in the forthcoming year, the state of the economy of Taiwan will get better, stay about the same, or get worse.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Gotten much better	13	1.0%
2	Gotten somewhat better	192	15.5%
3	Stayed the same	528	42.5%
4	Gotten somewhat worse	230	18.5%
5	Gotten much worse	71	5.7%
95	Refuse to answer	1	0.1%
96	It depends	59	4.8%
97	No opinion	2	0.2%
98	Don't know	145	11.7%
Sysmiss		1051	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pg3: Would you say that over the past year, your own household's economic condition has gotten better, stayed about the same, or gotten worse?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Gotten much better	8	0.6%
2	Gotten somewhat better	83	6.7%
3	Stayed the same	831	67.0%
4	Gotten somewhat worse	266	21.4%
5	Gotten much worse	43	3.5%
95	Refuse to answer	3	0.2%
96	It depends	1	0.1%
97	No opinion	1	0.1%
98	Don't know	5	0.4%
Sysmiss		1051	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pg4: Would you say that in the forthcoming year, your own household's economic condition will get better, stay about the same, or get worse?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Gotten much better	17	1.4%
2	Gotten somewhat better	207	16.7%
3	Stayed the same	714	57.5%
4	Gotten somewhat worse	159	12.8%
5	Gotten much worse	17	1.4%
95	Refuse to answer	2	0.2%
96	It depends	35	2.8%
97	No opinion	2	0.2%
98	Don't know	88	7.1%
Sysmiss		1051	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ph1: Do you think that voting is a responsibility, or do you think that it is all right either to vote or not to vote?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Voting is a responsibility [continue to PH2]	944	76.1%
2	It's alright either to vote or not to vote [skip to PH3]	266	21.4%
95	Refuse to answer [skip to PH3]	2	0.2%
96	It depends [skip to PH3]	14	1.1%
97	No opinion [skip to PH3]	5	0.4%
98	Don't know [skip to PH3]	10	0.8%
Sysmiss		1051	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ph2: Do you believe very strongly, somewhat strongly, or only a little that voting is a responsibility?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very strongly	409	33.0%
2	Somewhat strongly	415	33.4%
3	Only a little	112	9.0%
95	Refuse to answer	0	
96	It depends	1	0.1%
97	No opinion	2	0.2%
98	Don't know	5	0.4%
99	Skipped	297	23.9%
Sysmiss		1051	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ph3: Which of these three statements is closest to your own opinion?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]

# ph3: Which of these three statements is closest to your own opinion?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Democracy is preferable to any other kind of regime	553	<div><div></div></div> 44.6%
2	In some circumstances, an authoritarian regime - a dictators	359	<div><div></div></div> 28.9%
3	For someone like me, it doesn't matter what kind of regime w	245	<div><div></div></div> 19.7%
95	Refuse to answer	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
96	It depends	19	<div><div></div></div> 1.5%
97	No opinion	9	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
98	Don't know	54	<div><div></div></div> 4.4%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# ph4: In your opinion how much of a democracy is Taiwan today?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A full democracy	89	<div><div></div></div> 7.2%
2	A democracy, but with minor problems	518	<div><div></div></div> 41.7%
3	A democracy, with major problems	516	<div><div></div></div> 41.6%
4	Not a democracy	42	<div><div></div></div> 3.4%
95	Refuse to answer	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
96	It depends	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
97	No opinion	9	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
98	Don't know	64	<div><div></div></div> 5.2%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# ph5: On the whole, are you very satisfied, fairly satisfied, not very satisfied, or not at all satisfied with the way democracy works in Taiwan?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	42	<div><div></div></div> 3.4%
2	Somewhat satisfied	682	<div><div></div></div> 55.0%
3	Somewhat dissatisfied	373	<div><div></div></div> 30.1%
4	Very dissatisfied	73	<div><div></div></div> 5.9%
95	Refuse to answer	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
96	It depends	6	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
97	No opinion	10	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
98	Don't know	53	<div><div></div></div> 4.3%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# ph6: Using the scale on this card, (where ONE means that voting won't make any difference to what happens and FIVE means that voting can make a big difference), where would you place yourself?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		

ph6: Using the scale on this card, (where ONE means that voting won't make any difference to what happens and FIVE means that voting can make a big difference), where would you place yourself?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	No matter who people vote for, it won't make any difference t	160	<div><div></div></div> 12.9%
2		83	<div><div></div></div> 6.7%
3		215	<div><div></div></div> 17.3%
4		309	<div><div></div></div> 24.9%
5	People vote for can make a big difference to what happens	411	<div><div></div></div> 33.1%
95	Refuse to answer	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
98	Don't know	60	<div><div></div></div> 4.8%
Sysmiss		1051	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ph7: Which of the following two statements do you agree with more?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	The opposition parties should have a majority of the seats i	573	<div><div></div></div> 46.2%
2	The president's party should have a majority of seats in the	532	<div><div></div></div> 42.9%
95	Refuse to answer	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
96	It's hard to say	51	<div><div></div></div> 4.1%
97	No opinion	16	<div><div></div></div> 1.3%
98	Don't know	66	<div><div></div></div> 5.3%
Sysmiss		1051	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ph8: Some people say that legislator elected by District should care about the interests of the whole country. Others say that legislator elected by District should care about the interests of District. Which statement do you agree with?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Interests of whole country	633	<div><div></div></div> 51.0%
2	Interests of District	499	<div><div></div></div> 40.2%
91	Both of them	51	<div><div></div></div> 4.1%
95	Refuse to answer	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
96	It's hard to say	4	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
97	No opinion	6	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
98	Don't know	46	<div><div></div></div> 3.7%
Sysmiss		1051	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ph9: How widespread do you think corruption such as bribe taking is among politicians in our country: very widespread, quite widespread, not very widespread, or it hardly happens at all?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very widespread	342	<div><div></div></div> 27.6%
2	Quite widespread	634	<div><div></div></div> 51.1%

ph9: How widespread do you think corruption such as bribe taking is among politicians in our country: very widespread, quite widespread, not very widespread, or it hardly happens at all?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Not very widespread	156	12.6%
4	It hardly happens at all	9	0.7%
95	Refuse to answer	2	0.2%
96	It depends	6	0.5%
97	No opinion	4	0.3%
98	Don't know	88	7.1%
Sysmiss		1051	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pi1: Who is the current president of the United States?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Obama	1009	81.3%
2	Incorrect	20	1.6%
3	I know but can't remember the name	99	8.0%
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	113	9.1%
Sysmiss		1051	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pi2: Who is the current the premier of our country?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Mao Chi-kuo(until 31 January 2016)	496	40.0%
2	Incorrect	91	7.3%
3	I know but can't remember the name	208	16.8%
4	Chang San-cheng(Since 1 February 2016 until 20 May 2016)	80	6.4%
95	Refuse to answer	1	0.1%
98	Don't know	365	29.4%
Sysmiss		1051	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pi3: What institution has the power to interpret the constitution?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]




Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Grand Justices or Council of Grand Justices	472	38.0%
2	Incorrect	264	21.3%
3	I know but can't remember the name	26	2.1%
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	479	38.6%
Sysmiss		1051	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pj1: In this presidential election on January 16th many people went to vote, while others, for various reasons, did not go to vote. Did you vote?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-95] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]










Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes [continue to PJ1a]	1080	 87.0%
2	No [skip to PJ1e]	159	 12.8%
91	Can't remember [skip to PJ1g]	0	
95	Refuse to answer [skip to PJ1g]	2	 0.2%
Sysmiss		1051	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pj1a: Which ticket did you vote for?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]
















Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	CHU Lil-luan and WANG Ju-hsuan [continue to PJ1b]	278	 22.4%
2	TSAI Ing-wen and CHEN Chien-jen [continue to PJ1b]	555	 44.7%
3	James SOONG and HSU Hsin-ying [continue to PJ1b]	123	 9.9%
91	Can't remembe [skip to PJ2]	8	 0.6%
93	Didn't pick up the ballot [skip to PJ2]	5	 0.4%
94	Cast an invalid vote [skip to PJ2]	12	 1.0%
95	Refuse to answer [skip to PJ2]	92	 7.4%
98	Don't know [skip to PJ2]	7	 0.6%
99	Skipped	161	 13.0%
Sysmiss		1051	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pj1b1: What was the main reason you voted for the candidate?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 101-999] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
101	Support the candidate's party	79	 6.4%
102	Identify with the ideals of the candidate's party	29	 2.3%
103	The candidate's party's ruling team is better	11	 0.9%
104	Trust the candidate's party	10	 0.8%
105	Party's good image	5	 0.4%
106	Distrust in DPP	4	 0.3%
107	Distrust in KMT	13	 1.0%
108	Hate the DPP	3	 0.2%
109	Hate the KMT	14	 1.1%
110	Hate the KMT and DPP	10	 0.8%
111	Don't let the party face a debacle	9	 0.7%
112	The party will introspect itself	1	 0.1%
113	The pary which the presidential candidate belongs to offers	1	 0.1%
201	Candidate's good image	42	 3.4%
202	Takes care of people	12	 1.0%

pj1b1: What was the main reason you voted for the candidate?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
203	Better policies	32	2.6%
204	Resolute	47	3.8%
205	Like him/her	65	5.2%
206	Hate Others	22	1.8%
207	Performed well before	28	2.3%
208	Pick one who is not the worst	20	1.6%
209	Trustworthy	21	1.7%
210	Honest and incorrupt	4	0.3%
211	He/She will be elected	2	0.2%
212	Give him/her one chance	35	2.8%
213	Pick the best	7	0.6%
214	Sympathy	8	0.6%
215	He/She won't be elected	3	0.2%
216	Modest	1	0.1%
217	Do not want to vote for TSAI Ing-wen	1	0.1%
218	Professional competence	5	0.4%
219	Female candidate	9	0.7%
220	Identify with Taiwan	1	0.1%
221	Not Blue nor Green	7	0.6%
222	Has good performance at debates	1	0.1%
223	Don't let him/her face a debacle	2	0.2%
224	Reasonable in politics	0	
225	Unfamiliar with others	2	0.2%
226	Adore him/her	0	
227	Independent candidate	0	
228	Better attitude	0	
229	Has a global perspective	2	0.2%
230	Takes care of the grassroots and labors	0	
231	Like vice president candidate	2	0.2%
301	Alternation of power	159	12.8%
302	Checks and balances	11	0.9%
303	Peace	12	1.0%
304	Do not support Taiwan independence	2	0.2%
305	Do not want to unify with China	3	0.2%
306	Improve economic development	34	2.7%
307	Want to change	37	3.0%
308	Consolidate democracy and freedom	2	0.2%
309	Cross-Strait relations stability	7	0.6%
310	Localization	1	0.1%
311	Takes care of Taiwan	11	0.9%
312	As a public servant	0	
313	Better welfare	0	
314	Tzuyu apologized for waving the ROC national flag	0	
315	National defense	0	
316	Have a promising future	3	0.2%

# pj1b1: What was the main reason you voted for the candidate?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
317	Political stability	2	0.2%
402	Family supports	18	1.5%
403	Celebrity recommend	0	
404	The same home-town with candidate	2	0.2%
405	Friends' persuasion	4	0.3%
406	Someone asks voters for support	1	0.1%
407	As a member of candidate's party	7	0.6%
408	Have known the candidate	1	0.1%
501	Vote randomly	4	0.3%
502	Continuation of political policy	2	0.2%
504	MA Ying-jeou did a bad job	5	0.4%
505	Female have better capability	0	
506	Owe him/her	1	0.1%
507	Dislike MA Ying-jeou	2	0.2%
508	Someone say that the candidate is better	2	0.2%
509	United	2	0.2%
510	Praying to gods	0	
992	No reason	6	0.5%
995	Refuse to answer	22	1.8%
998	Don't know	23	1.9%
999	Skipped	285	23.0%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pj1b2: When did you decide which presidential candidate to vote for?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A long time ago	575	46.3%
2	Around two months before the election	85	6.8%
3	Around one months before the election	95	7.7%
4	Around two weeks before the election	60	4.8%
5	One or two days before the election	76	6.1%
6	On election day	55	4.4%
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	10	0.8%
99	Skipped	285	23.0%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pj1c: Was this the candidate that you originally wanted to vote for?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes [skip to PJ2]	762	61.4%
2	No [continue to PJ1d]	189	15.2%

# pj1c: Was this the candidate that you originally wanted to vote for?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
95	Refuse to answer [continue to PJ1d]	5	0.4%
99	Skipped	285	23.0%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pj1d: Among the three candidates, which one did you originally want to vote for?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	CHU Lil-luan and WANG Ju-hsuan	29	2.3%
2	TSAI Ing-wen and CHEN Chien-jen	23	1.9%
3	James SOONG and HSU Hsin-ying	53	4.3%
92	None of them	72	5.8%
95	Refuse to answer	4	0.3%
98	Don't know	13	1.0%
99	Skipped	1047	84.4%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pj1e: If you had gone to vote, which ticket would you have voted for?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	CHU Lil-luan and WANG Ju-hsuan	29	2.3%
2	TSAI Ing-wen and CHEN Chien-jen	56	4.5%
3	James SOONG and HSU Hsin-ying	34	2.7%
92	None of them [skip to PJ2]	35	2.8%
95	Refuse to answer	2	0.2%
98	Don't know	3	0.2%
99	Skipped	1082	87.2%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pj1f: Why didn't you vote?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-999] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Working	31	2.5%
2	The electoral outcome couldn't be changed	12	1.0%
3	Military service	0	
4	Getting ill	7	0.6%
5	Having no time	14	1.1%
6	Dislike all the candidates	11	0.9%
7	Travelling abroad	14	1.1%
8	Don't know which candidate should I vote for	2	0.2%
9	Too far away from the polling stations	6	0.5%

# pj1f: Why didn't you vote?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	Didn't want to vote	14	1.1%
11	Too late to vote	2	0.2%
12	Lost identity documents	2	0.2%
13	Disappointed with the KMT	3	0.2%
995	Refuse to answer	4	0.3%
998	Don't know	2	0.2%
999	Skipped	1117	90.0%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pj1g: If you had gone to vote, which ticket would you have voted for?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	CHU Lil-luan and WANG Ju-hsuan	0	
2	TSAI Ing-wen and CHEN Chien-jen	0	
3	James SOONG and HSU Hsin-ying	0	
92	None of them	0	
95	Refuse to answer	1	0.1%
98	Don't know	1	0.1%
99	Skipped	1239	99.8%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pj2: Which candidate did you vote for in the 2012 presidential election?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-96] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	TSAI Ing-wen and SU Jia-chyuan	409	33.0%
2	MA Ying-jeou and WU Den-yih	529	42.6%
3	James SOONG and LIN Ruey-shiung	58	4.7%
91	Forgot	71	5.7%
92	Not eligible to vote	28	2.3%
93	Didn't vote	83	6.7%
94	Cast an invalid vote	4	0.3%
95	Refuse to answer	51	4.1%
96	Didn't pick up the ballot	8	0.6%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pj3: Which candidate did you vote for in the 2008 presidential election?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-96] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Frank HSIEH and SU Tseng-chang	311	25.1%
2	MA Ying-jeou and Vincent SIEW	599	48.3%

# pj3: Which candidate did you vote for in the 2008 presidential election?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
91	Forgot	123	<div><div></div></div> 9.9%
92	Not eligible to vote	88	<div><div></div></div> 7.1%
93	Didn't vote	75	<div><div></div></div> 6.0%
94	Cast an invalid vote	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
95	Refuse to answer	44	<div><div></div></div> 3.5%
96	Didn't pick up the ballot	0	
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pj4: In this legislative election, which candidate did you vote for?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 20101-999998] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
20101	CHEN, Ou-po(DPP)	0	
20102	SUN, Po-yu(Ind.)	0	
20103	KUO, Ru-jau(MCFAP)	0	
20104	LEE, Chih-yung(KMT)	0	
20105	LIN, Shian-shan(Pan-Pacific Environmental Protect Union Part	0	
20106	WU, Zi-wei(Constitutional Conventions of Taiwan)	0	
20107	WU, Shao-wen(Green Party-Social Democratic Party Alliance)	0	
20108	CHU, Ando(Ind.)	0	
40101	LIN, Wei-chou(KMT)	15	<div><div></div></div> 1.2%
40102	HUANG, Xiu-long(Ind.)	0	
40103	CHENG, Yung-chin(Ind.)	13	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%
40104	FAN, Chen-kui(Unionist Party)	0	
40105	SU, Wen-ying(NHS Union Party)	0	
40106	LI, Zong-hua(MCFAP)	0	
40107	CHO, An-thung(Faith and Hope League)	0	
40108	CHIU, Ching-ya(MKT)	8	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
50101	TU, Wen-ching(DPP)	0	
50102	KANG, Shi-ju(MKT)	0	
50103	HUANG, Yu-yan(Ind.)	0	
50104	CHEN, Chao-ming(KMT)	0	
50105	LIN, Yi-fang(Free Taiwan Party)	0	
50201	TAI, Wen-hsiang(Ind.)	0	
50202	HSU, Chih-jung(KMT)	4	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
50203	CHOU, Shu-han(Ind.)	0	
50204	WU, Yi-chen(DPP)	7	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
50205	LIU, Wen-chung(Free Taiwan Party)	0	
70101	CHEN, Wen-pin(DPP)	0	
70102	WANG, Hui-mei(KMT)	0	
70201	CHANG, Yao-yuan(MKT)	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
70202	LIN, Tsang-min(KMT)	7	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
70203	HUANG, Hsiou-fang(DPP)	7	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
70204	HSU, Yung-chin(Ind.)	0	

pj4: In this legislative election, which candidate did you vote for?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
70205	HUANG, Yu-fen(Ind.)	1	0.1%
70206	LIU, Yung-chun(Ind.)	0	
70207	WEN, Kuo-ming(Labour Party)	0	
70301	ANG, Yu-kang(Free Taiwan Party)	0	
70302	CHENG, Ru-fen(KMT)	0	
70303	CHEN, Chao-rong(PFP)	0	
70304	CHANG, Yi-sheng(NPP)	0	
70305	HUNG, Chun-yi(DPP)	0	
70401	CHEN, Su-yueh(DPP)	5	0.4%
70402	CHANG, Chin-kun(KMT)	7	0.6%
80101	MA, Wen-chun(KMT)	0	
80102	CHANG, Kuor-hsin(DPP)	0	
80201	TSAI, Huang-liang(DPP)	0	
80202	HSU, Shu-hua(KMT)	0	
90101	SU, Chin-feng(DPP)	16	1.3%
90102	CHANG, Jung-chi(KMT)	8	0.6%
90103	LIN, Fu-yuan(Trees Party)	0	
90201	WANG, Wei-ting(NPP)	1	0.1%
90202	CHANG, Chia-wei(Trees Party)	0	
90203	WU, Wei-chih(KMT)	4	0.3%
90204	LIU, Chien-kuo(DPP)	8	0.6%
100101	CHIU, Kun-lung(Ind.)	0	
100102	TSAI, Yi-yu(DPP)	0	
100103	LIN, Chiang-chuan(KMT)	0	
100201	LIN, Yu-ling(DPP)	6	0.5%
100202	LAI, Ching-min(Constitutional Conventions of Taiwan)	0	
100203	CHEN, Ming-wen(KMT)	10	0.8%
130101	LIAO, Wan-ju(KMT)	0	
130102	SU, Zhen-qing(DPP)	0	
130201	CHUNG, Chia-pin(DPP)	7	0.6%
130202	WANG, Chin-shih(KMT)	11	0.9%
130301	HUANG, Chao-chang(Ind.)	4	0.3%
130302	CHANG, Chao-yang(Unionist Party)	0	
130303	CHUANG, Jui-hsuing(DPP)	13	1.0%
130304	HSU, Chin-ju(KMT)	4	0.3%
130305	DING, Yong-zhi(Free Taiwan Party)	0	
140101	CHEN, Chien-ke(KMT)	6	0.5%
140102	LIU, Chao-hao(DPP)	13	1.0%
150101	HUANG, Shi-peng(Ind.)	0	
150102	XIAO, Mei-qin(DPP)	5	0.4%
150103	YANG, Wu-kong(Pan-Pacific Environmental Protect Union Party)	0	
150104	WANG, Ting-sheng(KMT)	4	0.3%
160101	SHENG, I-che(Trees Party)	0	
160102	YANG, Yao(DPP)	0	
160103	HUANG, Han-tung(Taiwan Labor Party)	0	

pj4: In this legislative election, which candidate did you vote for?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
160104	CHEN, Shuang-chuan(KMT)	0	
170101	YANG, Shi-cheng(MKT)	2	0.2%
170102	LIU, Wen-hsiung(PFP)	0	
170103	HAU, Lung-bin(KMT)	6	0.5%
170104	TSAI, Shi-ying(DPP)	4	0.3%
180101	KE, Jian-ming(DPP)	0	
180102	ZENG, Yao-cheng(Ind.)	0	
180103	LIN, Chia-yu(Free Taiwan Party)	0	
180104	OU, Chung-ching(PFP)	0	
180105	WEI, Bert(MCFAP)	0	
180106	WU, Shu-min(Ind.)	0	
180107	HUANG , Yuan-fu(Ind.)	0	
180108	WANG, Rong-de(Ind.)	0	
180109	CHENG, Cheng-chien(KMT)	0	
180110	CHIU, Hsieh-chih(NPP)	0	
200101	WU, Yu-jen(KMT)	4	0.3%
200102	WENG, Shou-liang(Ind.)	1	0.1%
200103	LEE, Chun-yi(DPP)	8	0.6%
200104	HUANG, Hung-chen-taiwan-ah-chen-world-great-person-rich-pres	1	0.1%
280101	HONG, Zhi-heng(Ind.)	0	
280102	CHEN, Tsang-chiang(DPP)	0	
280103	KAO, Dan-hwa(MCFAP)	0	
280104	CHANG, Cheng-fa(Ind.)	0	
280105	YANG, Cheng-wu(KMT)	0	
280106	Tony CHEN Ton Lee(Ind.)	0	
280107	CHEN, Te-hui(Taiwan Labor Party)	0	
280108	WU, Cherng-dean(NP)	0	
300101	CHEN, Hsueh-sheng(KMT)	0	
300102	LIN, Chin-kuan(Ind.)	0	
300103	SU, Po-hao(Trees Party)	0	
300104	CHANG, Chun-pao(Unionist Party)	0	
630101	TING, Shou-chung(KMT)	0	
630102	WU, Szu-yao(DPP)	0	
630103	HUANG, Ching-yuan(Taiwan Independent Party)	0	
630104	WANG, Ching-ya(MKT)	0	
630105	WU, Zhong-zheng(NHS Union Party)	0	
630201	WANG, Ming-Tzong AarMing(Ind.)	0	
630202	CHEN, Min-chien(Taiwan Independent Party)	0	
630203	WU, Chun-te(Faith and Hope League)	0	
630204	LIN, Xing-rong(NHS Union Party)	0	
630205	PAN, Wynn-HT(NP)	0	
630206	YAO, Wen-chih(DPP)	0	
630207	CHEN, Jian-bin(Free Taiwan Party)	0	
630301	KAO, Shih-en(Constitutional Conventions of Taiwan)	0	
630302	PAN, Billy(Ind.)	15	1.2%

pj4: In this legislative election, which candidate did you vote for?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
630303	LIM, Sin-khai(Taiwan Independent Party)	0	
630304	CHAO, Yieng-jack(Ind.)	0	
630305	CIOU, Jheng-hao(Peace Pigeon Union)	0	
630306	CHEN, Alf Ke-yin(Ind.)	0	
630307	LEE, Yen-jong(Green Party-Social Democratic Party Alliance)	7	0.6%
630308	LI, Cheng-yueh(MCFAP)	0	
630309	HUANG, Li-hsiang(Ind.)	0	
630310	CHIANG, Wan-an(KMT)	14	1.1%
630401	HO, Wei(Ind.)	0	
630402	CHEN, Shang-chih(Green Party-Social Democratic Party Allianc	0	
630403	HUANG, Shan-shan(PFP)	0	
630404	LI, Yueh-feng(Peace Pigeon Union)	0	
630405	CHEN, Chao-Ming(Taiwan Independent Party)	0	
630406	LEE, Yen-hsiu(KMT)	0	
630407	HSIAO, Ya-tan(TSU)	0	
630408	LIN, Shao-chi(NPP)	0	
630501	LIN, Yu-fang(KMT)	0	
630502	LI, Jia-xing(Taiwan Independent Party)	0	
630503	HUANG, Fui-ching(Ind.)	0	
630504	HONG, Sneng-cheng(Constitutional Conventions of Taiwan)	0	
630505	GONG, Wei-lun(Ind.)	0	
630506	LIM, Freddy(NPP)	0	
630507	YOU, Rui-min(Trees Party)	0	
630601	CHEN, Chia-hung(Trees Party)	0	
630602	FAN, Yun(Green Party-Social Democratic Party Alliance)	11	0.9%
630603	PANG, Wei-liang(Ind.)	0	
630604	ZHAO, Yan-qing(Ind.)	3	0.2%
630605	LIN, Zhen-yu(Taiwan Independent Party)	0	
630606	CHOU, Fang-ju(Ind.)	1	0.1%
630607	CHIANG, Nancy(Ind.)	0	
630608	JENG, Tsuen-chyi(People Boss Party)	0	
630609	TSENG, Hsien-ying(Faith and Hope League)	1	0.1%
630610	CHIANG, Nai-shn(KMT)	16	1.3%
630611	KU, Wen-fa(Constitutional Conventions of Taiwan)	0	
630612	WU, Hsu-chih(MKT)	4	0.3%
630701	LIN, Wen-jye(Ind.)	0	
630702	LU, Jennifer(Green Party-Social Democratic Party Alliance)	0	
630703	Peter Curry(Ind.)	0	
630704	SU, Chen-ying(Peace Pigeon Union)	0	
630705	FAN, Yang-lu(Constitutional Conventions of Taiwan)	0	
630706	LIN, Susan(Taiwan Independent Party)	0	
630707	FAI, Hrong-tai(KMT)	0	
630708	YANG, Shih-chiu(Ind.)	0	
630801	LEE, Ching-yuan(Ind.)	11	0.9%
630802	LAI, Shu-shen(Ind.)	0	

pj4: In this legislative election, which candidate did you vote for?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
630803	MIAO, Poya(Green Party-Social Democratic Party Alliance)	2	0.2%
630804	LAI, Shyh-bao(KMT)	20	1.6%
630805	CHEN, Lucent(Taiwan Labor Party)	0	
630806	FANG, Jing-jun(Ind.)	0	
640101	CHIU, Yi-ying(DPP)	34	2.7%
640102	CHUNG, Yi-chung(KMT)	13	1.0%
640103	LIU, Zi-lin(Unionist Party)	0	
640104	CHUANG, Ting-hsin(Peace Pigeon Union)	0	
640201	CHIU, Chih-wei(DPP)	7	0.6%
640202	HUANG, Yun-han(KMT)	4	0.3%
640203	HUANG, Jin-ling(Unionist Party)	0	
640204	LI, Bo-rong(Trees Party)	0	
640205	ZENG, Ying-feng(Free Taiwan Party)	0	
640301	LIANG, Pei-chen(Faith and Hope League)	2	0.2%
640302	LYON, Shu-fu(Ind.)	0	
640303	CHANG, Hsien-yao(KMT)	5	0.4%
640304	LIU, Shyh-fang(DPP)	7	0.6%
640305	ZHUANG, Ming-xian(Constitutional Conventions of Taiwan)	1	0.1%
640401	KUO, Lun-hao Lucas(KMT)	0	
640402	LIN, Jun-yang(Unionist Party)	0	
640403	LIN, Tai-hua(DPP)	0	
640501	TSAI, Chin-yen(KMT)	2	0.2%
640502	KUAN, Bi-ling(DPP)	7	0.6%
640503	YANG, Zong-ying(Peace Pigeon Union)	0	
640504	WANG, Hsin-chang(MCFAP)	0	
640601	HUANG, Po-lin(KMT)	1	0.1%
640602	LEE, Kun-tse(DPP)	8	0.6%
640603	YANG, Han-chi(Peace Pigeon Union)	0	
640701	CHAO, Tien-lin(DPP)	9	0.7%
640702	CHEN, Su-li(Peace Pigeon Union)	0	
640703	ZHUANG, Qi-wang(KMT)	4	0.3%
640704	CHEN, Hui-min(NPP)	0	
640705	LIN, Jing-yuan(Ind.)	0	
640801	LIU, Yi-xiong(MCFAP)	0	
640802	CHANG, Yu-hwa(People Boss Party)	1	0.1%
640803	CHEN, Han-ku(NPP)	0	
640804	HUANG, Hsi-wen(KMT)	5	0.4%
640805	MA, Kai-ni(Social Welfare Party)	0	
640806	WANG, Ting-xuan(Unionist Party)	0	
640807	XU, Zhi-jie(DPP)	13	1.0%
640901	LIN, Tsung-yen(MKT)	0	
640902	LAI, Jui-lung(DPP)	0	
640903	LIN, Guo-zheng(KMT)	0	
640904	TSAI, Ma-fu(Unionist Party)	0	
650101	WU, Yu-sheng(KMT)	4	0.3%

pj4: In this legislative election, which candidate did you vote for?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
650102	SU, Gideon Tung-ta(Trees Party)	0	
650103	HUNG, Zei-cheng(NHS Union Party)	0	
650104	LU, Sun-ling(DPP)	11	0.9%
650105	CHEN, Li-ji(Ind.)	0	
650106	CHEN, Yun-fen(Peace Pigeon Union)	0	
650201	CHEN, Ming-yi(KMT)	13	1.0%
650202	LIN, Shu-fen(DPP)	22	1.8%
650301	CHEN, Chang-fa(Constitutional Conventions of Taiwan)	0	
650302	SHIAU, Tom(NHS Union Party)	0	
650303	LEE, Chien-lung(KMT)	0	
650304	GAO, Jyh-peng(DPP)	0	
650305	CHANG, Showen(PFP)	0	
650306	LIN, Ji-ying(Social Welfare Party)	0	
650307	YAO, Yin-hung(Trees Party)	0	
650308	HUANG, Mao(Ind.)	0	
650309	SU, Ching-yin(Ind.)	0	
650401	GU, Ba-kai(Green Party-Social Democratic Party Alliance)	0	
650402	WU, Ping-jui(DPP)	0	
650403	WANG, Si-yi(Constitutional Conventions of Taiwan)	0	
650404	CHEN, Mau-jia(KMT)	0	
650405	LIU, Feng-chang(Peace Pigeon Union)	0	
650406	LIN, Li-rong(Righteous Party)	0	
650407	CHIU, Mark(Ind.)	0	
650501	SU, Chiao-hui(DPP)	0	
650502	KUO, Po-yu(NPP)	0	
650503	HUANG, Chih-hsiung(KMT)	0	
650601	YAO, Yu-shism(Taiwan Labor Party)	0	
650602	LI, Jian-ming(Trees Party)	0	
650603	YU, Hsin-yi(Faith and Hope League)	0	
650604	KANG, Hector(PFP)	0	
650605	CHUANG, Feng-ming(Ind.)	0	
650606	LI, Gui-bao(MKT)	0	
650607	HUANG, Jun-min(MCFAP)	0	
650608	LIN, Kuo-chun(KMT)	18	1.5%
650609	CHANG, Hung-lu(DPP)	19	1.5%
650701	WANG, Chen-hwa(NHS Union Party)	0	
650702	LO, Chih-cheng(DPP)	12	1.0%
650703	LEE, Wan-yu(Ind.)	0	
650704	CHIANG, Huei-chen(KMT)	7	0.6%
650801	CHIANG, Yung-chang(DPP)	0	
650802	CHANG, Hing-Chung(KMT)	0	
650803	TUNG, Cheng-yi(Ind.)	0	
650804	WU, Jin-kui(MCFAP)	0	
650805	LIN, Ken(NHS Union Party)	0	
650806	SHAO, Ba-xiang(Constitutional Conventions of Taiwan)	0	

pj4: In this legislative election, which candidate did you vote for?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
650901	DONG, Brya(The Motorists Party of ROC)	0	
650902	CHANG, Ching-fang(MKT)	0	
650903	TSENG, Wen-sheng(NHS Union Party)	0	
650904	LIN, Te-fu(KMT)	0	
650905	LEE, Hsin-chang(Ind.)	0	
651001	LU, Chia-chen(KMT)	0	
651002	WU, Chi-ming(DPP)	0	
651003	HUANG, Lu-guang(Free Taiwan Party)	0	
651101	LO, Ming-tsai(KMT)	5	0.4%
651102	TSENG, Poyu(Green Party-Social Democratic Party Alliance)	1	0.1%
651103	CHEN, Yung-fu(DPP)	4	0.3%
651201	CHUNG, Guo-chih(Peace Pigeon Union)	0	
651202	LEE, Ching-hua(KMT)	3	0.2%
651203	HUANG, Kuo-chang(NPP)	4	0.3%
651204	CHEN, Yung-shun(Faith and Hope League)	0	
660101	HOUNG, Chin-tei(Ind.)	0	
660102	WANG, Shu-fen(Constitutional Conventions of Taiwan)	0	
660103	CHEN, Chun-yuan(Unionist Party)	0	
660104	TSAI, Chi-chang(DPP)	19	1.5%
660105	YEN, Chiou-yue(KMT)	9	0.7%
660201	CHEN, Shih-kai(DPP)	17	1.4%
660202	YEN, Kuan-heng(KMT)	6	0.5%
660203	CHUNG, Wen-long(Ind.)	0	
660204	CHI, Kuo-tung(Ind.)	4	0.3%
660301	YANG, Chiung-ying(KMT)	0	
660302	HUANG, Hsin-chi(MCFAP)	0	
660303	HUNG, Tzu-yung(NPP)	0	
660401	WU, Shu-hui(MKT)	1	0.1%
660402	CHANG LIAO, Wan-chien(DPP)	14	1.1%
660403	TSAI, Chin-lung(KMT)	7	0.6%
660404	YEH, Chun-hsin(Faith and Hope League)	2	0.2%
660405	YEN, Hui-li(Ind.)	0	
660406	YOU, Shou-yuan(Free Taiwan Party)	0	
660501	CHIEN, Meng-hsuan(Faith and Hope League)	4	0.3%
660502	MIAO, Feng-lung(Ind.)	0	
660503	LU, Shiow-yen(KMT)	33	2.7%
660504	LIU, Kuo-lung(TSU)	15	1.2%
660601	HUANG, Kuo-shu(DPP)	21	1.7%
660602	SHEN, Chih-hwei(KMT)	15	1.2%
660603	HO, Tzu-hua(Ind.)	0	
660701	HO, Hsin-chun(DPP)	0	
660702	LAI, Yi-huang(KMT)	0	
660703	SHIH, Tatsai(MCFAP)	0	
660801	WANG, Cheng-chi(Ind.)	0	
660802	CHIANG, Chi-chen(KMT)	0	

pj4: In this legislative election, which candidate did you vote for?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
660803	HSIEH, Chih-chung(DPP)	0	
670101	HUANG, Rui-kun(KMT)	3	0.2%
670102	CHEN, Bo-zhi(Unionist Party)	0	
670103	LIN, De-wang(Ind.)	0	
670104	YE, Yi-jin(DPP)	14	1.1%
670201	HUANG, Wei-zhe(DPP)	26	2.1%
670202	HUANG, Yao-sheng(KMT)	7	0.6%
670203	HUANG, Min-zhen(Unionist Party)	0	
670204	HUANG, Xian-qing(Free Taiwan Party)	1	0.1%
670205	WANG, Guo-dong(Ind.)	0	
670301	XIE, Long-jie(KMT)	5	0.4%
670302	DENG, Xiu-bao(MKT)	0	
670303	CHEN, Ting-fei(DPP)	23	1.9%
670304	WENG, Wan-ning(Unionist Party)	0	
670401	LIN, Jun-xian(DPP)	16	1.3%
670402	FU, Jian-feng(Unionist Party)	0	
670403	CHEN, Shu-hui(KMT)	6	0.5%
670404	CHEN, Huang-zhou(Constitutional Conventions of Taiwan)	0	
670405	YANG, Zhi-da(Green Party-Social Democratic Party Alliance)	0	
670406	TSAI, Yu-zhi(NPP)	1	0.1%
670501	WANG, Ding-yu(DPP)	31	2.5%
670502	YAN, Yang-qing(Taiwan Labor Party)	1	0.1%
670503	LIN, Yi-huang(KMT)	5	0.4%
670504	LI, Ying-shi(Unionist Party)	0	
680101	CHENG, Yun-peng(DPP)	13	1.0%
680102	CHEN, Ken-te(KMT)	14	1.1%
680103	WANG, Pao-hsuan(Green Party-Social Democratic Party Alliance)	1	0.1%
680201	CHEN, Pei-yu(Trees Party)	0	
680202	WU, Zhen-tuo(Taiwan Independent Party)	0	
680203	LIAO, Cheng-ching(KMT)	0	
680204	CHANG, Kang-yi(NPP)	0	
680205	CHEN LAI, Su-mei(DPP)	0	
680206	HUANG, Wei-chun(Ind.)	0	
680301	CHEN, Hung-jui(MKT)	1	0.1%
680302	HSU, Ching-wen(DPP)	6	0.5%
680303	LAI, Li-chu(Ind.)	0	
680304	YU, Neng-sheng(Free Taiwan Party)	0	
680305	CHEN, Shei-saint(KMT)	10	0.8%
680401	YANG, Li-huan(KMT)	0	
680402	CHENG, Pao-ching(DPP)	0	
680501	LU, Yu-ling(KMT)	12	1.0%
680502	HSIAO, Chia-liang(Ind.)	0	
680503	CHANG, Cheng(MKT)	2	0.2%
680504	CHANG, Chao-liang(DPP)	4	0.3%
680505	HUANG, Chih-hao(Ind.)	0	

# pj4: In this legislative election, which candidate did you vote for?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
680506	HUANG, Guo-hua(NHS Union Party)	0	
680601	JUN, Jen-yuan(Taiwan Win Party)	0	
680602	ZHAO, Zheng-yu(Ind.)	6	0.5%
680603	LAN, Da-shan(Taiwan Independent Party)	0	
680604	SUN, Ta-chien(KMT)	4	0.3%
680605	YANG, Jin-xuan(Ind.)	0	
680606	LU, Tung-chieh(Green Party-Social Democratic Party Alliance)	2	0.2%
999988	Plain aborigines	11	0.9%
999989	Mountain aborigines	1	0.1%
999991	Forgot [skip to PJ4a]	15	1.2%
999992	Not eligible to vote [skip to PJ4b]	5	0.4%
999993	Didn't vote [skip to PJ5]	159	12.8%
999994	Cast an invalid vote [skip to PJ4b]	17	1.4%
999995	Refuse to answer [skip to PJ4a]	61	4.9%
999996	Didn't pick up the ballot [skip to PJ4b]	5	0.4%
999998	Don't know [skip to PJ4a]	14	1.1%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pj4aa: In this legislative election, which candidate did you vote for?(Plain aborigines)			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 690101-999999] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
690101	Tamo Ahsiung(Ind.)	0	
690102	Takiyo-Kacaw(MCFAP)	1	0.1%
690103	LIN, Hao-yi(PFP)	0	
690104	Sra-Kacaw(KMT)	5	0.4%
690105	Mayaw-Biho(Ind.)	2	0.2%
690106	KE, Ren-yao(Ind.)	0	
690107	LIN, Amos(Taiwan First Nations Party)	0	
690108	Rahic Amind(MKT)	0	
690109	LIN, Jin-ing(NHS Union Party)	0	
690110	LIN, Tsung-han(KMT)	0	
690111	CHEN, Ying(DPP)	0	
690112	Galahe-Wubai-Hayawan(Ind.)	0	
690113	Sufin-Siluko(KMT)	3	0.2%
999991	Forgot	0	
999994	Cast an invalid vote	0	
999995	Refuse to answer	0	
999996	Didn't pick up the ballot	0	
999998	Don't know	0	
999999	Skipped	1230	99.1%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# pj4ab: In this legislative election, which candidate did you vote for?(Mountain aborigines)			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 700101-999999] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
700101	LIN, Shi-wei(Ind.)	0	
700102	Yuming Suyan(China Production Party)	0	
700103	TSENG, Hua-te(Ind.)	0	
700104	Walis-Perin(DPP)	0	
700105	Yi Lan-Ming Ji Nu Aa(Faith and Hope League)	0	
700106	KUNG, Wen-chi(KMT)	0	
700107	Uliw-Qaljupayare(KMT)	0	
700108	QUAN, Cheng-wei(Taiwan Independent Party)	0	
700109	LIN, Xin-yi(Faith and Hope League)	1	0.1%
700110	KAO CHI, Su-mei(NPSU)	0	
999991	Forgot	0	
999994	Cast an invalid vote	0	
999995	Refuse to answer	0	
999996	Didn't pick up the ballot	0	
999998	Don't know	0	
999999	Skipped	1240	99.9%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pj4a: Which party's candidate did you vote for?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Kuomintang(KMT)	4	0.3%
2	Democratic Progressive Party(DPP)	8	0.6%
3	New Party(NP)	1	0.1%
4	People First Party(PFP)	0	
6	Taiwan Solidarity Union(TSU)	0	
7	Non-Partisan Solidarity Union(NPSU)	0	
8	Independent	1	0.1%
9	Green Party-Social Democratic Party Alliance	0	
10	NHS Union Party	0	
15	People Boss Party	0	
16	Righteous Party	0	
18	Trees Party	0	
19	New Power Party(NPP)	0	
21	Minkuotang(MKT, Republic Party)	0	
22	Taiwan Independent Party	0	
23	Military, Civil, and Faculty Alliance Party(MCFAP)	0	
24	Faith and Hope League	0	
25	Unionist Party	0	
26	Free Taiwan Party	0	
27	Constitutional Conventions of Taiwan	0	

# pj4a: Which party's candidate did you vote for?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
28	Peace Pigeon Union	0	
29	The Motorists Party of ROC	0	
30	Taiwan Labor Party	0	
31	Taiwan Win Party	0	
32	Pan-Pacific Environmental Protect Union Party	0	
33	Social Welfare Party	0	
34	Labour Party	0	
35	Taiwan First Nations Party	0	
36	China Production Party	0	
91	Forgot [skip to PJ4b]	15	1.2%
95	Refuse to answer [skip to PJ4b]	49	3.9%
98	Don't know [skip to PJ4b]	12	1.0%
99	Skipped	1151	92.7%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pj4a1: What was the main reason you voted for that candidate?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-999] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	The candidate's constituency service	310	25.0%
2	The candidate's party	208	16.8%
3	The candidate's image or ideas	249	20.1%
4	The candidate's behavior in the legislature	46	3.7%
5	Celebrated than others	5	0.4%
6	Dislike others	21	1.7%
7	Dislike other parties	7	0.6%
8	Family and friends' supports	18	1.5%
9	Alternation	15	1.2%
10	Have known the candidate	11	0.9%
11	Want to change	12	1.0%
12	Performed well before	3	0.2%
13	Like him/her	14	1.1%
14	Younger	1	0.1%
15	Vote randomly	1	0.1%
16	Female candidate	0	
17	He/She asks voters for support	5	0.4%
18	He/She has a higher democracy literacy	0	
19	He/She is local	3	0.2%
20	Get more than half sets	3	0.2%
21	Alternation of generation	1	0.1%
22	Takes care of Taiwanese	0	
23	Someone say that the candidate is better	3	0.2%
24	Better policies	4	0.3%
25	Tzuyu apologized for waving the ROC national flag	0	

# pj4a1: What was the main reason you voted for that candidate?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
26	Group surpport him/her	3	0.2%
27	Check and balance	2	0.2%
28	Celebrity recommend	1	0.1%
29	United	0	
30	The party has much more talented people	0	
31	Performed well at Sunflower Movement	0	
32	He/She is better overall	2	0.2%
33	Pick one which is not the worst	0	
34	Issues position	0	
35	Independent candidate	2	0.2%
992	No reason	0	
995	Refuse to answer	6	0.5%
998	Don't know	23	1.9%
999	Skipped	262	21.1%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pj4b: Here is a list of all the parties that contested this legislative election. Which party did you cast your at-large vote for?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Kuomintang(KMT)	258	20.8%
2	Democratic Progressive Party(DPP)	401	32.3%
3	New Party(NP)	36	2.9%
4	People First Party(PFP)	71	5.7%
6	Taiwan Solidarity Union(TSU)	24	1.9%
7	Non-Partisan Solidarity Union(NPSU)	5	0.4%
9	Green Party-Social Democratic Party Alliance	31	2.5%
10	NHS Union Party	2	0.2%
18	Trees Party	8	0.6%
19	New Power Party(NPP)	85	6.8%
21	Minkuotang(MKT, Republic Party)	10	0.8%
22	Taiwan Independent Party	0	
23	Military, Civil, and Faculty Alliance Party(MCFAP)	2	0.2%
24	Faith and Hope League	18	1.5%
25	Unionist Party	0	
26	Free Taiwan Party	0	
27	Constitutional Conventions of Taiwan	0	
28	Peace Pigeon Union	0	
91	Forgot [skip to PJ5]	38	3.1%
92	Not eligible to vote [skip to PJ5]	0	
94	Cast an invalid vote [skip to PJ5]	15	1.2%
95	Refuse to answer [skip to PJ5]	52	4.2%
96	Didn't pick up the ballot [skip to PJ5]	9	0.7%

pj4b: Here is a list of all the parties that contested this legislative election. Which party did you cast your at-large vote for?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Don't know [skip to PJ5]	17	1.4%
99	Skipped	159	12.8%
Sysmiss		1051	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pj4b1: What was the main reason you voted for that party

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 101-999] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
101	Support the candidate's party	150	12.1%
102	Identify with the ideals of the candidate's party	75	6.0%
103	The candidate's party's ruling team is better	17	1.4%
104	Trust the candidate's party	22	1.8%
105	Party's good image	28	2.3%
106	Like the party list member	15	1.2%
107	Takes care of people	24	1.9%
108	The party's constituency service	14	1.1%
109	Hope economic get better	5	0.4%
110	Support the party's presidential candidate	20	1.6%
111	Neutral position	2	0.2%
112	Idea	2	0.2%
113	The party's legislators have good qualities	4	0.3%
114	United ruling team	1	0.1%
115	Performed well before	4	0.3%
201	Hate the DPP	4	0.3%
202	Hate the KMT	17	1.4%
203	Distrust in KMT	10	0.8%
204	Distrust in DPP	2	0.2%
205	Gets things done	6	0.5%
206	Do not want to vote for others	7	0.6%
207	Unfamiliar with others	1	0.1%
208	They are more likely to obey the law	2	0.2%
209	They are frugal	1	0.1%
210	Stand for youth	3	0.2%
211	Do not let DPP have an excuse	1	0.1%
212	Identify with Taiwan	2	0.2%
213	Takes care of Taiwanese	2	0.2%
214	Takes care of farmers	1	0.1%
215	Both KMT and DPP had done badly	1	0.1%
216	Believe their leadership	1	0.1%
217	Not Blue nor Green	3	0.2%
218	They are moderate	7	0.6%
219	Support Taiwan independence	0	
220	The party's name attracted me	2	0.2%

pj4b1: What was the main reason you voted for that party

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
221	Hate Others	0	
222	Don't let them face a debacle	5	0.4%
223	They has much more talented people	1	0.1%
224	They will introspect themself	3	0.2%
301	Alternation of power	63	5.1%
302	Checks and balances	52	4.2%
303	Give small party one chance	35	2.8%
304	Improve Cross-Strait relations	6	0.5%
305	Bring change	43	3.5%
306	Independent state	2	0.2%
307	Get more than half sets	29	2.3%
308	Let the youth into congress	11	0.9%
309	Give the KMT one more chance	3	0.2%
310	For the sake of Taiwan	8	0.6%
311	Make Taiwan better	9	0.7%
312	Rising in civic movement	0	
313	Multiparty in congress	6	0.5%
314	Implement its policies with the same party's president	10	0.8%
315	Environmental protection	0	
316	Takes care of the vulnerable in society	1	0.1%
317	Give one chance to public servant	0	
318	Death of HUNG Chung-chiu	0	
319	Improve economic development	0	
320	Good for diplomacy	1	0.1%
321	Expect reformation	1	0.1%
322	Political stability	5	0.4%
323	Take care of the grassroots	1	0.1%
401	Family and friends' supports	30	2.4%
402	Unified government	32	2.6%
403	Celebrity recommend	2	0.2%
404	Tzuyu apologized for waving the ROC national flag	1	0.1%
405	Because of HUNG Tzu-yung	1	0.1%
406	Christian	3	0.2%
407	Split-ticket voting	2	0.2%
408	As a member of them	23	1.9%
409	As a volunteer of them	1	0.1%
410	Controversy of KMT assets	0	
412	Recommend by church	2	0.2%
413	Unions Support	1	0.1%
414	Do things conveniently	4	0.3%
415	Contribute to Taiwan in Sunflower Student Movement	2	0.2%
416	Youth have more power	6	0.5%
417	Feel change	1	0.1%
418	Vote allocation by self	0	
419	As a public servant	0	

# pj4b1: What was the main reason you voted for that party			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
420	Depend on the situation	0	
501	Vote randomly	4	0.3%
502	Intuition	0	
503	Wrong voting	1	0.1%
504	MA Ying-jeou did a bad job on economic	0	
505	Heard of better	0	
992	No reason	2	0.2%
995	Refuse to answer	28	2.3%
998	Don't know	54	4.4%
999	Skipped	290	23.4%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pj5: In the 2012 legislative election, which candidate did you vote for?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 12020101-99999998] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
12020101	LIN, Chien-rong(KMT)	0	
12020102	CHEN, Ou-po(DPP)	0	
12040101	FU, Jia-xian(ROC Taiwan Basic Law Party)	0	
12040102	PERNG, Shaw-jiin(DPP)	12	1.0%
12040103	XU, Xin-ying(KMT)	23	1.9%
12040104	DAI, Guo-liang(Taiwan Ideology Party)	0	
12050101	CHEN, Chao-ming(KMT)	0	
12050102	LI, Zhao-xiong(Taiwan Ideology Party)	0	
12050103	TU, Wen-ching(DPP)	0	
12050104	HUANG, Yu-ya(Ind.)	0	
12050201	YANG, Chang-zhen(DPP)	2	0.2%
12050202	HSU, Yao-chang(KMT)	5	0.4%
12070101	CHEN, Chin-ting(DPP)	0	
12070102	SHI, Yue-ying(Green Party)	0	
12070103	LIN, Yi-bang(Ind.)	0	
12070104	WANG, Hui-mei(KMT)	0	
12070201	HUANG, Xiu-fang(DPP)	6	0.5%
12070202	LIN, Cang-min(KMT)	10	0.8%
12070301	CHENG, Ru-fen(KMT)	0	
12070302	CHIANG, Chao-i(DPP)	0	
12070401	HSIAO, Ching-tien(KMT)	3	0.2%
12070402	WEI, Ming-ku(DPP)	5	0.4%
12080101	ZHANG, Guo-xin(DPP)	0	
12080102	MA, Wen-jun(KMT)	0	
12080103	HE, Guo-long(Taiwan Ideology Party)	0	
12080201	LAI, Yan-xue(DPP)	0	
12080202	LIN, Ming-chen(KMT)	0	
12090101	LI, Jin-yong(DPP)	21	1.7%

pj5: In the 2012 legislative election, which candidate did you vote for?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
12090102	CHANG, Chia-chun(KMT)	6	0.5%
12090201	HSU, Shu-po(KMT)	3	0.2%
12090202	WANG, Mei-xin(People Largest Party)	0	
12090203	LIU, Chien-kuo(DPP)	10	0.8%
12100101	CAI, Yi-yu(DPP)	0	
12100102	WONG, Chung-chun(KMT)	0	
12100201	CHEN, Ming-wen(DPP)	6	0.5%
12100202	CHEN, Yi-zhen(KMT)	8	0.6%
12130101	CHEN, Jun-hao(Taiwan Ideology Party)	0	
12130102	SU, Chen-ching(DPP)	0	
12130103	WU, Liang-qing(Ind.)	0	
12130104	LO, Chih-ming(KMT)	0	
12130201	LI, Shi-bin(DPP)	5	0.4%
12130202	WANG, Chin-shih(KMT)	12	1.0%
12130301	GONG, Rui-wei(KMT)	4	0.3%
12130302	PAN, Meng-an(DPP)	17	1.4%
12130303	PAN, Zheng-zhi(Ind.)	0	
12140101	XIE, Wen-han(Green Party)	0	
12140102	WU, Jun-li(Ind.)	2	0.2%
12140103	LIN, Zheng-jie(Ind.)	0	
12140104	RAO, Qing-ling(KMT)	3	0.2%
12140105	LIU, Zhao-hao(DPP)	10	0.8%
12150101	WANG, Ting-sheng(KMT)	7	0.6%
12150102	SHI-YANG, Wu-kong(Ind.)	0	
12150103	LAI, Kun-cheng(DPP)	0	
12150104	ZHANG, Zhi-chao(Ind.)	0	
12160101	LIN, Pin-kun(NPSU)	0	
12160102	YANG, Yao(DPP)	0	
12170101	LIN, You-chang(DPP)	5	0.4%
12170102	HUANG, Xiao-ling(People Boss Party)	0	
12170103	HSIEH, Kuo-liang(KMT)	7	0.6%
12170104	ZHANG, Geng-hui(Ind.)	0	
12170105	ZHOU, Yi-cheng(Taiwan Ideology Party)	0	
12180101	WANG, Xuan(Ind.)	0	
12180102	DENG, Xiu-bao(ROC Taiwan Basic Law Party)	0	
12180103	LU, Xue-chang(KMT)	0	
12180104	WANG, Rong-de(Ind.)	0	
12180105	CHANG, Hsueh-shun(DPP)	0	
12180106	ZHAO, Fu-long(Ind.)	0	
12200101	CHEN, Jian-hao(Taiwan National Congress)	0	
12200102	CHIANG, Yi-xiong(KMT)	8	0.6%
12200103	LI, Jun-yi(DPP)	6	0.5%
12280101	CHEN, Fu-hai(PFP)	0	
12280102	WU, Cheng-tien(NP)	0	
12280103	XU, Nai-quan(Ind.)	0	

pj5: In the 2012 legislative election, which candidate did you vote for?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
12280104	GAU, Xian-teng(NHS Union Party)	0	
12280105	YANG, Ying-xiong(KMT)	0	
12300101	CHEN, Xue-sheng(Ind.)	0	
12300102	CHAO, Erh-chang(KMT)	0	
12300103	CHEN, Cai-neng(Ind.)	0	
12630101	ZHANG, Yu-jing(Green Party)	0	
12630102	ZHANG, Zhi-jie(Taiwan National Congress)	0	
12630103	ZHENG, Guang-zhao(ROC Taiwan Basic Law Party)	0	
12630104	TING, Shou-chung(KMT)	0	
12630105	YANG, Lie(DPP)	0	
12630201	ZHOU, Shou-xun(KMT)	0	
12630202	WANG, Mei-wei(People Largest Party)	0	
12630203	YAO, Wen-zhi(DPP)	0	
12630204	XIA, Lin-qing(People Boss Party)	0	
12630205	FU, Wen-zhong(People Largest Party)	0	
12630301	CHIEN, Yu-yan(DPP)	20	1.6%
12630302	YANG, Chang-yan(Taiwan National Congress)	1	0.1%
12630303	LO, Shu-lei(KMT)	16	1.3%
12630401	ZANG, Jia-yi(People Largest Party)	0	
12630402	HUANG, Shan-shan(PFP)	0	
12630403	LI, Jian-chang(DPP)	0	
12630404	TSAI, Cheng-yuan(KMT)	0	
12630501	CHEN, Yan-fu(NHS Union Party)	0	
12630502	YANG, Jiong-huang(Ind.)	0	
12630503	LIU, Mei-juan(ROC Taiwan Basic Law Party)	0	
12630504	YAN, Sheng-guan(DPP)	0	
12630505	YE, Mei(Taiwan National Congress)	0	
12630506	ZHOU, Zhi-wen(People Boss Party)	0	
12630507	LIN, Yu-fang(KMT)	0	
12630601	YE, Bang-zong(Ind.)	0	
12630602	ZHOU, Pei-min(Taiwan Ideology Party)	0	
12630603	CHEN, Chen-sheng(PFP)	0	
12630604	ZHAO, Yan-qing(Ind.)	0	
12630605	WANG, Yun-yi(Taiwan National Congress)	0	
12630606	CHEN, Fu-min(Ind.)	0	
12630607	ZHAO, Shi-qiang(DPP)	6	0.5%
12630608	JIANG, Nai-xin(KMT)	24	1.9%
12630609	WANG, Xiu-zhu(People Largest Party)	0	
12630701	PAN, Han-sheng(Green Party)	0	
12630702	HU, Guang-ci(People Largest Party)	0	
12630703	HUO, Wen-lin(Taiwan Ideology Party)	0	
12630704	LI, Jun-ting(Ind.)	0	
12630705	FAI, Hrong-tai(KMT)	0	
12630801	RUAN, Zhao-xiong(DPP)	11	0.9%
12630802	FANG, Jing-jun(Ind.)	0	

pj5: In the 2012 legislative election, which candidate did you vote for?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
12630803	LEE, Ao(PFP)	4	0.3%
12630804	YU, Wan-ru(Green Party)	0	
12630805	LAI, Shyh-bao(KMT)	23	1.9%
12640101	CIOU, Yi-ying(DPP)	28	2.3%
12640102	CHUNG, Chao-ho(KMT)	12	1.0%
12640201	QIU, Zhi-wei(DPP)	6	0.5%
12640202	XIE, Chang-li(Ind.)	0	
12640203	LIN, Yi-shih(KMT)	5	0.4%
12640301	LIN, Ying-rong(DPP)	8	0.6%
12640302	LI, Jian-nan(PFP)	0	
12640303	LIN, Zhen-yang(Green Party)	0	
12640304	HUANG, Chao-shun(KMT)	7	0.6%
12640401	HUANG, Xian-nan(Ind.)	0	
12640402	LIN, Tai-hua(DPP)	0	
12640403	QIU, Yu-xuan(KMT)	0	
12640501	LIN, Hong-ming(Ind.)	0	
12640502	DONG, Gui-ru(NHS Union Party)	0	
12640503	LWO, Shih-hsiung(KMT)	2	0.2%
12640504	KUAN, Bi-ling(DPP)	10	0.8%
12640601	HO, Tsai-feng(KMT)	3	0.2%
12640602	LEE, Kun-ze(DPP)	4	0.3%
12640701	CHIU, Yi(KMT)	1	0.1%
12640702	ZHONG, Pei-xuan(NHS Union Party)	0	
12640703	ZHAO, Tian-lin(DPP)	12	1.0%
12640704	ZHAO, Zhen-di(Ind.)	0	
12640801	LIN, Jing-yuan(Ind.)	1	0.1%
12640802	ZHENG, Fu-hua(Ind.)	0	
12640803	HUANG, Qian-fu(People Largest Party)	0	
12640804	XU, Zhi-jie(DPP)	9	0.7%
12640805	CHIANG, Lin-chun(KMT)	8	0.6%
12640901	CHEN, Zhi-zhong(Ind.)	0	
12640902	CAI, Ma-fu(Ind.)	0	
12640903	KUO, Wen-cheng(DPP)	0	
12640904	LIN, Guo-zheng(KMT)	0	
12640905	LIN, Jie-zheng(Taiwan National Congress)	0	
12650101	HE, Bo-wen(DPP)	3	0.2%
12650102	YU, Zong-pei(PFP)	1	0.1%
12650103	WANG, Zhong-ming(Green Party)	0	
12650104	WU, Yu-sheng(KMT)	8	0.6%
12650201	WANG, An-na(Taiwan National Congress)	0	
12650202	QIAN, Wei-juan(KMT)	9	0.7%
12650203	LIN, Shu-fen(DPP)	24	1.9%
12650204	GONG, You-qian(People Boss Party)	0	
12650205	JI, Jing-sheng(ROC Taiwan Basic Law Party)	0	
12650301	LI, Qian-long(KMT)	0	

pj5: In the 2012 legislative election, which candidate did you vote for?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
12650302	WU, Qing(ROC Taiwan Basic Law Party)	0	
12650303	KAO, Jyh-peng(DPP)	0	
12650304	XIAO, Bing-xian(NHS Union Party)	0	
12650401	CHENG, Yu-cheng(NHS Union Party)	0	
12650402	LIN, Cho-shui(DPP)	0	
12650403	LEE, Hung-chun(KMT)	0	
12650404	LIN, Li-rong(Righteous Party)	0	
12650501	HUANG, Chih-hsiung(KMT)	0	
12650502	HUANG, Mao(ROC Taiwan Basic Law Party)	0	
12650503	LIAO, Pen-yen(DPP)	0	
12650504	JIANG, Ding-qian(NHS Union Party)	0	
12650601	LIN, Hung-chih(KMT)	25	<div></div> 2.0%
12650602	CHOU, Ya-shu(DPP)	5	<div></div> 0.4%
12650603	YANG, Cheng-qin(Taiwan National Congress)	0	
12650701	JIANG, Hui-zhen(KMT)	10	<div></div> 0.8%
12650702	LUO, Zhi-zheng(DPP)	9	<div></div> 0.7%
12650703	ZENG, Wen-zhen(Ind.)	1	<div></div> 0.1%
12650801	CHEN, Guan-teng(Ind.)	0	
12650802	CHANG, Ching-chung(KMT)	0	
12650803	QIU, Pei-lin(Ind.)	0	
12650804	JIANG, Yong-chang(DPP)	0	
12650901	XU, You-ming(DPP)	0	
12650902	LEI, Cian(Ind.)	0	
12650903	LIN, Te-fu(KMT)	0	
12650904	ZENG, Wen-sheng(ROC Taiwan Basic Law Party)	0	
12651001	YANG, Mu-wan(Green Party)	0	
12651002	CHUANG, Suo-hang(DPP)	0	
12651003	LU, Chia-chen(KMT)	0	
12651004	YOU, Jun-wen(Taiwan Ideology Party)	0	
12651005	WANG, Jin-fen(Ind.)	0	
12651101	KAO, Chien-chih(DPP)	1	<div></div> 0.1%
12651102	LO, Ming-tsai(KMT)	7	<div></div> 0.6%
12651201	LEE, Ching-hua(KMT)	1	<div></div> 0.1%
12651202	SHEN, Fa-hui(DPP)	1	<div></div> 0.1%
12651203	SHI, Yi-jing(People Largest Party)	0	
12651204	LO, Fu-chu(Ind.)	1	<div></div> 0.1%
12660101	CHEN, Tian-wang(KMT)	7	<div></div> 0.6%
12660102	WANG, Xian-tang(Ind.)	1	<div></div> 0.1%
12660103	TSAI, Chi-chang(DPP)	15	<div></div> 1.2%
12660201	LI, Shun-liang(DPP)	9	<div></div> 0.7%
12660202	YEN, Chin-piao(NPSU)	10	<div></div> 0.8%
12660301	TONG, Rui-yang(DPP)	0	
12660302	LIU, Kun-li(Ind.)	0	
12660303	YANG, Chiung-ying(KMT)	0	
12660401	ZHANG-LIAO, Wan-jian(DPP)	15	<div></div> 1.2%

pj5: In the 2012 legislative election, which candidate did you vote for?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
12660402	TSAI, Chin-lung(KMT)	11	0.9%
12660403	YANG, Shu-ming(People Largest Party)	0	
12660404	CAI, Zhi-hao(Green Party)	1	0.1%
12660501	LU, Shiow-yen(KMT)	43	3.5%
12660502	JI, Zhao-chuan(NHS Union Party)	0	
12660503	HSIEH, Ming-yuan(DPP)	15	1.2%
12660601	HUANG, Yi-chiao(KMT)	21	1.7%
12660602	WANG, Ming-jiang(ROC Taiwan Basic Law Party)	0	
12660603	ZENG, Kun-bing(NHS Union Party)	0	
12660604	LIN, Jia-long(DPP)	12	1.0%
12660605	HE, Zi-hua(Ind.)	0	
12660606	LIN, Xing-jie(Ind.)	0	
12660607	CAI, He-xing(Ind.)	0	
12660701	HE, Xin-chun(DPP)	0	
12660702	CHENG, Li-wun(KMT)	0	
12660703	DUAN, Wei-yu(PFP)	0	
12660704	GUO, Ting-yi(Taiwan Ideology Party)	0	
12660801	KUO, Chun-ming(DPP)	0	
12660802	CHE, Shu-juan(Ind.)	0	
12660803	QI, Lian-sheng(Ind.)	0	
12660804	CHEN, Qing-long(PFP)	0	
12660805	JIANG, Qi-chen(KMT)	0	
12670101	YEH, Yi-ching(DPP)	10	0.8%
12670102	LI, Zong-zhi(PFP)	1	0.1%
12670103	OU, Chong-jing(KMT)	2	0.2%
12670201	HUANG, Wei-cher(DPP)	28	2.3%
12670202	ZHAN, Qiu-xian(Taiwan Global Commercial Party)	0	
12670203	YANG, Shi-chang(Ind.)	0	
12670204	ZHOU, Si-hai(KMT)	7	0.6%
12670301	XIE, Long-jie(KMT)	4	0.3%
12670302	CHEN, Ting-fei(DPP)	19	1.5%
12670303	CHEN, Yuan-qi(NHS Union Party)	0	
12670401	SU, Jun-bin(KMT)	7	0.6%
12670402	XU, Tian-cai(DPP)	14	1.1%
12670501	LIN, Min-chang(Green Party)	0	
12670502	CHEN, Tang-shan(DPP)	22	1.8%
12670503	LEE, Chuan-chiao(KMT)	5	0.4%
12680101	ZHENG, Wen-can(DPP)	10	0.8%
12680102	CHEN, Ken-te(KMT)	16	1.3%
12680201	LIAO, Cheng-ching(KMT)	0	
12680202	KUO, Rong-tsung(DPP)	0	
12680301	CHEN, Hsueh-sheng(KMT)	8	0.6%
12680302	LU, Zhao-ren(Taiwan Ideology Party)	0	
12680303	HUANG, Ren-zhu(DPP)	6	0.5%
12680304	LIU, Wen-hsiung(PFP)	0	

# pj5: In the 2012 legislative election, which candidate did you vote for?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
12680401	HUANG, Xing-guo(Taiwan Ideology Party)	0	
12680402	CHEN, Rui-de(Taiwan National Congress)	0	
12680403	HUANG, Shi-zhuo(DPP)	0	
12680404	YANG, Li-huan(KMT)	0	
12680501	HUANG, Jia-hua(People Largest Party)	0	
12680502	HUANG, Guo-hua(ROC Taiwan Basic Law Party)	0	
12680503	WU, Ping-e(Ind.)	0	
12680504	LIU, Bang-xuan(Ind.)	1	0.1%
12680505	LU, Yu-ling(KMT)	8	0.6%
12680506	CHEN, Zhen-wei(Taiwan National Congress)	0	
12680507	PENG, Tien-fu(DPP)	2	0.2%
12680601	ZHENG, Zhen-yuan(Ind.)	0	
12680602	SUN, Sunny tc(KMT)	11	0.9%
12680603	HU, Zhen-bu(Ind.)	1	0.1%
99999988	Plain aborigines	11	0.9%
99999989	Mountain aborigines	2	0.2%
99999991	Forgot [continue to PJ5a]	152	12.2%
99999992	Not eligible to vote [skip to PJ5b]	30	2.4%
99999993	Didn't vote [skip to PK1]	83	6.7%
99999994	Cast an invalid vote [skip to PJ5b]	16	1.3%
99999995	Refuse to answer [skip to PJ5a]	40	3.2%
99999996	Didn't pick up the ballot [skip to PJ5b]	15	1.2%
99999998	Don't know [skip to PJ5a]	17	1.4%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pj5aa: In the 2012 legislative election, which candidate did you vote for?(Plain aborigines)			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 12690101-99999999] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
12690101	LAIO, Kuo-tung(KMT)	2	0.2%
12690102	HONG, Guo-zhi(Ind.)	0	
12690103	Zhong-Ren-Da-Lu-Si(Ind.)	0	
12690104	LIN, Jin-ying(ROC Taiwan Basic Law Party)	0	
12690105	ZHAN, Jin-fu(Ind.)	0	
12690106	LIN, Cheng-erh(PFP)	0	
12690107	CHEN, Lian-shun(Taiwan Ideology Party)	0	
12690108	Mayaw-Biho(Ind.)	0	
12690109	ZHENG, Tian-cai(Sra-Kacaw)(KMT)	6	0.5%
12690110	Yi-Lai-Di-Bu-Si(Ind.)	0	
99999991	Forgot	2	0.2%
99999993	Didn't pick up the ballot	0	
99999994	Cast an invalid vote	0	
99999995	Refuse to answer	1	0.1%
99999998	Don't know	0	

# pj5aa: In the 2012 legislative election, which candidate did you vote for?(Plain aborigines)			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
99999999	Skipped	1230	<div></div> 99.1%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pj5ab: In the 2012 legislative election, which candidate did you vote for?(Mountain aborigines)			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 12700101-99999999] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
12700101	ZENG, Zhi-yong(DPP)	1	0.1%
12700102	KAO CHI, Su-mei(NPSU)	0	
12700103	QIU, Wen-sheng(Ind.)	0	
12700104	KUNG, Wen-chi(KMT)	0	
12700105	Walis-Perin(PFP)	0	
12700106	CHIEN, Tung-ming(Uliw-Qaljupayare)(KMT)	1	0.1%
99999991	Forgot	0	
99999993	Didn't pick up the ballot	0	
99999994	Cast an invalid vote	0	
99999995	Refuse to answer	0	
99999998	Don't know	0	
99999999	Skipped	1239	<div></div> 99.8%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pj5a: Which party's candidate did you vote for?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Kuomintang(KMT)	10	0.8%
2	Democratic Progressive Party(DPP)	16	1.3%
3	New Party(NP)	0	
4	People First Party(PFP)	1	0.1%
7	Non-Partisan Solidarity Union(NPSU)	0	
8	Independent	1	0.1%
9	Green Party	0	
10	NHS Union Party	0	
11	ROC Taiwan Basic Law Party	0	
12	Taiwan National Congress	0	
13	Taiwan Ideology Party	0	
14	People Largest Party	0	
15	People Boss Party	0	
16	Righteous Party	0	
91	Forgot	135	<div></div> 10.9%
95	Refuse to answer	39	<div></div> 3.1%
98	Don't know	10	0.8%
99	Skipped	1029	<div></div> 82.9%
Sysmiss		1051	

# pj5a: Which party's candidate did you vote for?			
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pj5b: Here is a list of all the parties that contested the last legislative election. Which party did you cast your at-large vote for			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Kuomintang(KMT)	392	<div><div></div></div> 31.6%
2	Democratic Progressive Party(DPP)	335	<div><div></div></div> 27.0%
3	New Party(NP)	11	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
4	People First Party(PFP)	46	<div><div></div></div> 3.7%
6	Taiwan Solidarity Union(TSU)	47	<div><div></div></div> 3.8%
9	Green Party	10	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
10	NHS Union Party	0	
11	ROC Taiwan Basic Law Party	0	
12	Taiwan National Congress	0	
13	Taiwan Ideology Party	0	
14	People Largest Party	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
15	People Boss Party	0	
91	Forgot	199	<div><div></div></div> 16.0%
92	Not eligible to vote	28	<div><div></div></div> 2.3%
93	Didn't pick up the ballot	24	<div><div></div></div> 1.9%
94	Cast an invalid vote	7	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
95	Refuse to answer	37	<div><div></div></div> 3.0%
98	Don't know	21	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%
99	Skipped	83	<div><div></div></div> 6.7%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pk1: During the presidential election campaign, many different problems faced by our country were raised. What do you think is the most important political problem facing Taiwan today?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-998] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Economic development	459	<div><div></div></div> 37.0%
2	Cross-strait problem	202	<div><div></div></div> 16.3%
3	National identity	19	<div><div></div></div> 1.5%
4	Alternation of power	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
5	Political stability	19	<div><div></div></div> 1.5%
6	Diplomatic and international status issues	9	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
7	Judicial reform issues	12	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%
8	Educational reform	17	<div><div></div></div> 1.4%
9	Environmental protection	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
10	Gap between the rich and the poor	9	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
11	Unemployment issues	8	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
12	Aboriginal issues	0	
13	Social welfare	8	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%

pk1: During the presidential election campaign, many different problems faced by our country were raised. What do you think is the most important political problem facing Taiwan today?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
14	Living justice	0	
15	Military apartments	4	0.3%
16	Land speculating	3	0.2%
17	Tzuyu apologized for waving the ROC national flag	2	0.2%
18	Sunflower Student Movement	3	0.2%
19	Low starting wage	13	1.0%
20	Clean politics	7	0.6%
21	Constitution reform	6	0.5%
22	Food safety	27	2.2%
23	Youth employment	0	
24	1992 Consensus	6	0.5%
25	President's leadership ability	6	0.5%
26	Party assets	2	0.2%
27	Pension reform issues	6	0.5%
28	Taiwanese Solidarity	0	
29	Clear away notorious partisan conflicts	9	0.7%
30	KMT candidate replacement	3	0.2%
31	Labor issues	6	0.5%
32	Comfort women issue	0	
33	people's livelihood	24	1.9%
34	Social stability	7	0.6%
35	sovereignty problem	9	0.7%
36	Distribution justice	2	0.2%
37	Crime	5	0.4%
38	Local resource allocation	2	0.2%
39	Ethnic cleavage	4	0.3%
40	Legislative process issues	1	0.1%
41	Ability of government executive	3	0.2%
42	Issues manipulation	1	0.1%
43	Ethnic Fusion	4	0.3%
44	Political attribution	1	0.1%
45	International status	6	0.5%
46	National internal struggle	7	0.6%
47	One-party dominance	1	0.1%
48	Communicate between government and the public	0	
49	Rulers' low Democratic literacy	0	
51	KMT inability	2	0.2%
52	Appointment	1	0.1%
53	Renewable energy	1	0.1%
54	Boycott KMT	1	0.1%
55	Quality of life	0	
57	Individualism	1	0.1%
58	Quality of bureaucracy	0	
59	International trade and economic exchange	2	0.2%

pk1: During the presidential election campaign, many different problems faced by our country were raised. What do you think is the most important political problem facing Taiwan today?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
60	Citizens' engagement	1	0.1%
61	Interpersonal trust	0	
62	Medical system	1	0.1%
63	Freedom of speech	0	
64	Safe nuclear power	0	
65	Clean politics	1	0.1%
66	Finacial issues	1	0.1%
67	Generational alternation	1	0.1%
992	No [skip to PK2a]	51	4.1%
995	Refuse to answer [skip to PK2a]	18	1.5%
998	Don't know [skip to PK2a]	213	17.2%
Sysmiss		1051	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pk1a: Which presidential candidate do you think is most capable of dealing with it?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	CHU Lil-luan and WANG Ju-hsuan	156	12.6%
2	TSAI Ing-wen and CHEN Chien-jen	383	30.9%
3	James SOONG and HSU Hsin-ying	170	13.7%
91	All of them	11	0.9%
92	None of them	134	10.8%
95	Refuse to answer	14	1.1%
97	No opinion	11	0.9%
98	Don't know	80	6.4%
99	Skipped	282	22.7%
Sysmiss		1051	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pk2a: Where 0 means you strongly dislike that candidate and 10 means that you strongly like that candidate. The first is TSAI Ing-wen

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Strongly dislike	54	4.4%
1		20	1.6%
2		33	2.7%
3		64	5.2%
4		53	4.3%
5		259	20.9%
6		136	11.0%
7		147	11.8%
8		170	13.7%
9		69	5.6%

pk2a: Where 0 means you strongly dislike that candidate and 10 means that you strongly like that candidate. The first is TSAI Ing-wen

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	Strongly like	137	11.0%
95	Refuse to answer	11	0.9%
96	It's hard to say	18	1.5%
97	No opinion	21	1.7%
98	Don't know	49	3.9%
Sysmiss		1051	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pk2b: [Like]How would you rate CHU Lil-luan?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Strongly dislike	133	10.7%
1		57	4.6%
2		97	7.8%
3		159	12.8%
4		108	8.7%
5		279	22.5%
6		119	9.6%
7		82	6.6%
8		58	4.7%
9		13	1.0%
10	Strongly like	32	2.6%
95	Refuse to answer	12	1.0%
96	It's hard to say	14	1.1%
97	No opinion	16	1.3%
98	Don't know	62	5.0%
Sysmiss		1051	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pk2c: [Like]How would you rate James SOONG?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Strongly dislike	72	5.8%
1		37	3.0%
2		62	5.0%
3		162	13.1%
4		122	9.8%
5		298	24.0%
6		138	11.1%
7		110	8.9%
8		72	5.8%
9		29	2.3%
10	Strongly like	28	2.3%

# pk2c: [Like]How would you rate James SOONG?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
95	Refuse to answer	14	<div><div></div></div> 1.1%
96	It's hard to say	9	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
97	No opinion	29	<div><div></div></div> 2.3%
98	Don't know	59	<div><div></div></div> 4.8%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pk3a: [Capability]How would you rate TSAI Ing-wen using 0 to 10 scale?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Capability is very poor	16	<div><div></div></div> 1.3%
1		13	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%
2		31	<div><div></div></div> 2.5%
3		76	<div><div></div></div> 6.1%
4		47	<div><div></div></div> 3.8%
5		221	<div><div></div></div> 17.8%
6		148	<div><div></div></div> 11.9%
7		179	<div><div></div></div> 14.4%
8		200	<div><div></div></div> 16.1%
9		75	<div><div></div></div> 6.0%
10	Capability is very good	94	<div><div></div></div> 7.6%
95	Refuse to answer	10	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
96	It's hard to say	21	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%
97	No opinion	12	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%
98	Don't know	98	<div><div></div></div> 7.9%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pk3b: [Capability]How would you rate CHU Lil-luan?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Capability is very poor	79	<div><div></div></div> 6.4%
1		48	<div><div></div></div> 3.9%
2		105	<div><div></div></div> 8.5%
3		106	<div><div></div></div> 8.5%
4		120	<div><div></div></div> 9.7%
5		288	<div><div></div></div> 23.2%
6		142	<div><div></div></div> 11.4%
7		119	<div><div></div></div> 9.6%
8		76	<div><div></div></div> 6.1%
9		11	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
10	Capability is very good	16	<div><div></div></div> 1.3%
95	Refuse to answer	10	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
96	It's hard to say	12	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%

# pk3b: [Capability]How would you rate CHU Lil-luan?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	No opinion	14	<div><div></div></div> 1.1%
98	Don't know	95	<div><div></div></div> 7.7%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pk3c: [Capability]How would you rate James SOONG?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Capability is very poor	34	<div><div></div></div> 2.7%
1		32	<div><div></div></div> 2.6%
2		51	<div><div></div></div> 4.1%
3		115	<div><div></div></div> 9.3%
4		101	<div><div></div></div> 8.1%
5		266	<div><div></div></div> 21.4%
6		171	<div><div></div></div> 13.8%
7		157	<div><div></div></div> 12.7%
8		108	<div><div></div></div> 8.7%
9		48	<div><div></div></div> 3.9%
10	Capability is very good	31	<div><div></div></div> 2.5%
95	Refuse to answer	8	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
96	It's hard to say	12	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%
97	No opinion	22	<div><div></div></div> 1.8%
98	Don't know	85	<div><div></div></div> 6.8%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pk4a: [Understand the needs of people]How would you rate TSAI Ing-wen using 0 to 10 scale?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Does not understand at all	19	<div><div></div></div> 1.5%
1		19	<div><div></div></div> 1.5%
2		26	<div><div></div></div> 2.1%
3		56	<div><div></div></div> 4.5%
4		54	<div><div></div></div> 4.4%
5		200	<div><div></div></div> 16.1%
6		155	<div><div></div></div> 12.5%
7		171	<div><div></div></div> 13.8%
8		214	<div><div></div></div> 17.2%
9		87	<div><div></div></div> 7.0%
10	Completely understands	96	<div><div></div></div> 7.7%
95	Refuse to answer	10	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
96	It's hard to say	18	<div><div></div></div> 1.5%
97	No opinion	12	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%
98	Don't know	104	<div><div></div></div> 8.4%

# pk4a: [Understand the needs of people]How would you rate TSAI Ing-wen using 0 to 10 scale?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pk4b: [Understand the needs of people]How would you rate CHU Lil-luan?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Does not understand at all	82	6.6%
1		56	4.5%
2		86	6.9%
3		121	9.8%
4		120	9.7%
5		275	22.2%
6		158	12.7%
7		110	8.9%
8		57	4.6%
9		9	0.7%
10	Completely understands	13	1.0%
95	Refuse to answer	11	0.9%
96	It's hard to say	13	1.0%
97	No opinion	12	1.0%
98	Don't know	118	9.5%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pk4c: [Understand the needs of people]How would you rate James SOONG?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Does not understand at all	33	2.7%
1		32	2.6%
2		50	4.0%
3		93	7.5%
4		94	7.6%
5		255	20.5%
6		164	13.2%
7		158	12.7%
8		123	9.9%
9		55	4.4%
10	Completely understands	36	2.9%
95	Refuse to answer	11	0.9%
96	It's hard to say	9	0.7%
97	No opinion	18	1.5%
98	Don't know	110	8.9%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# pk5a: [Protecting Taiwan's interests]How would you rate TSAI Ing-wen using 0 to 10 scale?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Completely incapable	21	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%
1		16	<div><div></div></div> 1.3%
2		27	<div><div></div></div> 2.2%
3		69	<div><div></div></div> 5.6%
4		56	<div><div></div></div> 4.5%
5		207	<div><div></div></div> 16.7%
6		148	<div><div></div></div> 11.9%
7		145	<div><div></div></div> 11.7%
8		201	<div><div></div></div> 16.2%
9		93	<div><div></div></div> 7.5%
10	Completely able	109	<div><div></div></div> 8.8%
95	Refuse to answer	12	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%
96	It's hard to say	16	<div><div></div></div> 1.3%
97	No opinion	12	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%
98	Don't know	109	<div><div></div></div> 8.8%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pk5b: [Protecting Taiwan's interests]How would you rate CHU Lil-luan?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Completely incapable	88	<div><div></div></div> 7.1%
1		54	<div><div></div></div> 4.4%
2		82	<div><div></div></div> 6.6%
3		102	<div><div></div></div> 8.2%
4		92	<div><div></div></div> 7.4%
5		275	<div><div></div></div> 22.2%
6		169	<div><div></div></div> 13.6%
7		124	<div><div></div></div> 10.0%
8		69	<div><div></div></div> 5.6%
9		15	<div><div></div></div> 1.2%
10	Completely able	19	<div><div></div></div> 1.5%
95	Refuse to answer	13	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%
96	It's hard to say	15	<div><div></div></div> 1.2%
97	No opinion	12	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%
98	Don't know	112	<div><div></div></div> 9.0%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pk5c: [Protecting Taiwan's interests]How would you rate James SOONG?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		

pk5c: [Protecting Taiwan's interests]How would you rate James SOONG?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Completely incapable	45	<div><div></div></div> 3.6%
1		35	<div><div></div></div> 2.8%
2		46	<div><div></div></div> 3.7%
3		105	<div><div></div></div> 8.5%
4		108	<div><div></div></div> 8.7%
5		287	<div><div></div></div> 23.1%
6		168	<div><div></div></div> 13.5%
7		140	<div><div></div></div> 11.3%
8		90	<div><div></div></div> 7.3%
9		37	<div><div></div></div> 3.0%
10	Completely able	29	<div><div></div></div> 2.3%
95	Refuse to answer	13	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%
96	It's hard to say	13	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%
97	No opinion	14	<div><div></div></div> 1.1%
98	Don't know	111	<div><div></div></div> 8.9%
Sysmiss		1051	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# pk6a: [Maintain Cross-Strait peace]How would you rate TSAI Ing-wen using 0 to 10 scale?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Completely incapable	39	<div><div></div></div> 3.1%
1		24	<div><div></div></div> 1.9%
2		37	<div><div></div></div> 3.0%
3		94	<div><div></div></div> 7.6%
4		77	<div><div></div></div> 6.2%
5		267	<div><div></div></div> 21.5%
6		144	<div><div></div></div> 11.6%
7		125	<div><div></div></div> 10.1%
8		151	<div><div></div></div> 12.2%
9		49	<div><div></div></div> 3.9%
10	Completely able	80	<div><div></div></div> 6.4%
95	Refuse to answer	14	<div><div></div></div> 1.1%
96	It's hard to say	19	<div><div></div></div> 1.5%
97	No opinion	11	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
98	Don't know	110	<div><div></div></div> 8.9%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pk6b: [Maintain Cross-Strait peace]How would you rate CHU Lil-luan?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Completely incapable	55	<div><div></div></div> 4.4%
1		24	<div><div></div></div> 1.9%
2		46	<div><div></div></div> 3.7%
3		67	<div><div></div></div> 5.4%
4		64	<div><div></div></div> 5.2%
5		260	<div><div></div></div> 21.0%
6		162	<div><div></div></div> 13.1%
7		156	<div><div></div></div> 12.6%
8		173	<div><div></div></div> 13.9%
9		46	<div><div></div></div> 3.7%
10	Completely able	35	<div><div></div></div> 2.8%
95	Refuse to answer	17	<div><div></div></div> 1.4%
96	It's hard to say	12	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%
97	No opinion	13	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%
98	Don't know	111	<div><div></div></div> 8.9%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pk6c: [Maintain Cross-Strait peace]How would you rate James SOONG?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		

pk6c: [Maintain Cross-Strait peace]How would you rate James SOONG?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Completely incapable	43	3.5%
1		27	2.2%
2		31	2.5%
3		71	5.7%
4		61	4.9%
5		297	23.9%
6		162	13.1%
7		148	11.9%
8		151	12.2%
9		43	3.5%
10	Completely able	49	3.9%
95	Refuse to answer	16	1.3%
96	It's hard to say	10	0.8%
97	No opinion	15	1.2%
98	Don't know	117	9.4%
Sysmiss		1051	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pk7: How serious do you think the gap between rich and poor?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very serious	752	60.6%
2	Serious	419	33.8%
3	Not very serious	47	3.8%
4	Not serious at all	2	0.2%
95	Refuse to answer	0	
96	It depends	2	0.2%
97	No opinion	6	0.5%
98	Don't know	13	1.0%
Sysmiss		1051	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pk7a: Which candidate do you think have more ability to solve the problem of the gap between rich and poor?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	CHU Lil-luan and WANG Ju-hsuan	98	7.9%
2	TSAI Ing-wen and CHEN Chien-jen	478	38.5%
3	James SOONG and HSU Hsin-ying	168	13.5%
91	All of them	21	1.7%
92	None of them	267	21.5%
95	Refuse to answer	10	0.8%
97	No opinion	20	1.6%
98	Don't know	179	14.4%

# pk7a: Which candidate do you think have more ability to solve the problem of the gap between rich and poor?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pk8: How serious do you think low income of salaryman?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very serious	643	51.8%
2	Serious	465	37.5%
3	Not very serious	64	5.2%
4	Not serious at all	5	0.4%
95	Refuse to answer	1	0.1%
96	It depends	11	0.9%
97	No opinion	7	0.6%
98	Don't know	45	3.6%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pk8a: Which candidate do you think have more ability to solve the problem of low income?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	CHU Lil-luan and WANG Ju-hsuan	126	10.2%
2	TSAI Ing-wen and CHEN Chien-jen	469	37.8%
3	James SOONG and HSU Hsin-ying	145	11.7%
91	All of them	23	1.9%
92	None of them	252	20.3%
95	Refuse to answer	10	0.8%
97	No opinion	25	2.0%
98	Don't know	191	15.4%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pk9: How serious do you think food safety?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very serious	871	70.2%
2	Serious	295	23.8%
3	Not very serious	49	3.9%
4	Not serious at all	3	0.2%
95	Refuse to answer	0	
96	It depends	2	0.2%
97	No opinion	3	0.2%
98	Don't know	18	1.5%
Sysmiss		1051	

# pk9: How serious do you think food safety?			
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pk9a: Which candidate do you think have more ability to solve the problem of food safety?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	CHU Lil-luan and WANG Ju-hsuan	86	<div><div></div></div> 6.9%
2	TSAI Ing-wen and CHEN Chien-jen	592	<div><div></div></div> 47.7%
3	James SOONG and HSU Hsin-ying	122	<div><div></div></div> 9.8%
91	All of them	44	<div><div></div></div> 3.5%
92	None of them	179	<div><div></div></div> 14.4%
95	Refuse to answer	11	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
97	No opinion	24	<div><div></div></div> 1.9%
98	Don't know	183	<div><div></div></div> 14.7%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pk10: Has any candidate ever made you feel angry?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	CHU Li-luan	548	<div><div></div></div> 44.2%
2	TSAI Ing-wen	140	<div><div></div></div> 11.3%
3	James SOONG	109	<div><div></div></div> 8.8%
91	All of them	13	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%
92	None of them	289	<div><div></div></div> 23.3%
95	Refuse to answer	28	<div><div></div></div> 2.3%
97	No opinion	42	<div><div></div></div> 3.4%
98	Don't know	72	<div><div></div></div> 5.8%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pk11: Has any candidate ever made you feel afraid?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	CHU Li-luan	439	<div><div></div></div> 35.4%
2	TSAI Ing-wen	282	<div><div></div></div> 22.7%
3	James SOONG	175	<div><div></div></div> 14.1%
91	All of them	20	<div><div></div></div> 1.6%
92	None of them	168	<div><div></div></div> 13.5%
95	Refuse to answer	24	<div><div></div></div> 1.9%
97	No opinion	37	<div><div></div></div> 3.0%
98	Don't know	96	<div><div></div></div> 7.7%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# pk12: Has any candidate ever made you feel hopeful?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	CHU Li-luan	144	<div><div></div></div> 11.6%
2	TSAI Ing-wen	580	<div><div></div></div> 46.7%
3	James SOONG	173	<div><div></div></div> 13.9%
91	All of them	15	<div><div></div></div> 1.2%
92	None of them	174	<div><div></div></div> 14.0%
95	Refuse to answer	21	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%
97	No opinion	25	<div><div></div></div> 2.0%
98	Don't know	109	<div><div></div></div> 8.8%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pk13: Has any candidate ever made you feel proud to be a Taiwanese?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	CHU Li-luan	108	<div><div></div></div> 8.7%
2	TSAI Ing-wen	569	<div><div></div></div> 45.9%
3	James SOONG	105	<div><div></div></div> 8.5%
91	All of them	21	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%
92	None of them	286	<div><div></div></div> 23.0%
95	Refuse to answer	26	<div><div></div></div> 2.1%
97	No opinion	25	<div><div></div></div> 2.0%
98	Don't know	101	<div><div></div></div> 8.1%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pm1a: How would you rate the performance of your legislator at constituency service?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Done a very bad job	54	<div><div></div></div> 4.4%
1		17	<div><div></div></div> 1.4%
2		56	<div><div></div></div> 4.5%
3		80	<div><div></div></div> 6.4%
4		81	<div><div></div></div> 6.5%
5		234	<div><div></div></div> 18.9%
6		148	<div><div></div></div> 11.9%
7		145	<div><div></div></div> 11.7%
8		145	<div><div></div></div> 11.7%
9		44	<div><div></div></div> 3.5%
10	Done a very good job	64	<div><div></div></div> 5.2%
95	Refuse to answer	4	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
98	Don't know	169	<div><div></div></div> 13.6%

# pm1a: How would you rate the performance of your legislator at constituency service?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pm1b: How would you rate the performance of your legislator at law-making?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Done a very bad job	53	<div><div></div></div> 4.3%
1		24	<div><div></div></div> 1.9%
2		45	<div><div></div></div> 3.6%
3		94	<div><div></div></div> 7.6%
4		68	<div><div></div></div> 5.5%
5		215	<div><div></div></div> 17.3%
6		146	<div><div></div></div> 11.8%
7		104	<div><div></div></div> 8.4%
8		114	<div><div></div></div> 9.2%
9		40	<div><div></div></div> 3.2%
10	Done a very good job	44	<div><div></div></div> 3.5%
95	Refuse to answer	5	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
98	Don't know	289	<div><div></div></div> 23.3%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pm2: On a scale from 0 to 10, where 0 represents very bad and 10 represents very good, how would you rate the Legislative Yuan's overall performance over the past four years?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Very bad	104	<div><div></div></div> 8.4%
1		53	<div><div></div></div> 4.3%
2		79	<div><div></div></div> 6.4%
3		202	<div><div></div></div> 16.3%
4		148	<div><div></div></div> 11.9%
5		304	<div><div></div></div> 24.5%
6		123	<div><div></div></div> 9.9%
7		58	<div><div></div></div> 4.7%
8		27	<div><div></div></div> 2.2%
9		5	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
10	Very good	9	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
95	Refuse to answer	4	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
98	Don't know	125	<div><div></div></div> 10.1%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pm3: On the same scale, how would you rate the KMT's performance in the Legislative Yuan?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]		

# pm3: On the same scale, how would you rate the KMT's performance in the Legislative Yuan?			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Very bad	129	<div><div></div></div> 10.4%
1		61	<div><div></div></div> 4.9%
2		129	<div><div></div></div> 10.4%
3		208	<div><div></div></div> 16.8%
4		170	<div><div></div></div> 13.7%
5		283	<div><div></div></div> 22.8%
6		80	<div><div></div></div> 6.4%
7		30	<div><div></div></div> 2.4%
8		22	<div><div></div></div> 1.8%
9		2	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
10	Very good	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
95	Refuse to answer	6	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
98	Don't know	118	<div><div></div></div> 9.5%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pm4: On the same scale, how would you rate the DPP's performance in the Legislative Yuan?			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Very bad	58	<div><div></div></div> 4.7%
1		54	<div><div></div></div> 4.4%
2		76	<div><div></div></div> 6.1%
3		151	<div><div></div></div> 12.2%
4		135	<div><div></div></div> 10.9%
5		311	<div><div></div></div> 25.1%
6		157	<div><div></div></div> 12.7%
7		95	<div><div></div></div> 7.7%
8		42	<div><div></div></div> 3.4%
9		11	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
10	Very good	19	<div><div></div></div> 1.5%
95	Refuse to answer	8	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
98	Don't know	124	<div><div></div></div> 10.0%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pn1: This card lists eleven positions from independence (0) to unification (10). Which position do you occupy?			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Independence	99	<div><div></div></div> 8.0%
1		23	<div><div></div></div> 1.9%
2		45	<div><div></div></div> 3.6%
3		82	<div><div></div></div> 6.6%

pn1: This card lists eleven positions from independence (0) to unification (10). Which position do you occupy?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4		67	<div><div></div></div> 5.4%
5		612	<div><div></div></div> 49.3%
6		71	<div><div></div></div> 5.7%
7		38	<div><div></div></div> 3.1%
8		24	<div><div></div></div> 1.9%
9		9	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
10	Unification	45	<div><div></div></div> 3.6%
95	Refuse to answer	14	<div><div></div></div> 1.1%
96	It's hard to say	37	<div><div></div></div> 3.0%
98	Don't know	75	<div><div></div></div> 6.0%
Sysmiss		1051	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pn1a: What position do you think KMT occupies?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Independence	10	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
1		4	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
2		7	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
3		9	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
4		24	<div><div></div></div> 1.9%
5		212	<div><div></div></div> 17.1%
6		123	<div><div></div></div> 9.9%
7		164	<div><div></div></div> 13.2%
8		184	<div><div></div></div> 14.8%
9		78	<div><div></div></div> 6.3%
10	Unification	260	<div><div></div></div> 21.0%
95	Refuse to answer	9	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
96	It's hard to say	17	<div><div></div></div> 1.4%
98	Don't know	140	<div><div></div></div> 11.3%
Sysmiss		1051	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pn1b: What position do you think DPP occupies?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Independence	174	<div><div></div></div> 14.0%
1		65	<div><div></div></div> 5.2%
2		164	<div><div></div></div> 13.2%
3		222	<div><div></div></div> 17.9%
4		123	<div><div></div></div> 9.9%
5		253	<div><div></div></div> 20.4%
6		33	<div><div></div></div> 2.7%
7		11	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%

# pn1b: What position do you think DPP occupies?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8		10	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
9		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
10	Unification	14	<div><div></div></div> 1.1%
95	Refuse to answer	11	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
96	It's hard to say	17	<div><div></div></div> 1.4%
98	Don't know	143	<div><div></div></div> 11.5%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pn1c: What position do you think PFP occupies?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Independence	15	<div><div></div></div> 1.2%
1		5	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
2		12	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%
3		26	<div><div></div></div> 2.1%
4		65	<div><div></div></div> 5.2%
5		399	<div><div></div></div> 32.2%
6		146	<div><div></div></div> 11.8%
7		113	<div><div></div></div> 9.1%
8		72	<div><div></div></div> 5.8%
9		37	<div><div></div></div> 3.0%
10	Unification	59	<div><div></div></div> 4.8%
95	Refuse to answer	11	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
96	It's hard to say	22	<div><div></div></div> 1.8%
98	Don't know	259	<div><div></div></div> 20.9%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pn1d: What position do you think TSU occupies?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Independence	207	<div><div></div></div> 16.7%
1		143	<div><div></div></div> 11.5%
2		137	<div><div></div></div> 11.0%
3		129	<div><div></div></div> 10.4%
4		73	<div><div></div></div> 5.9%
5		169	<div><div></div></div> 13.6%
6		18	<div><div></div></div> 1.5%
7		15	<div><div></div></div> 1.2%
8		9	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
9		5	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
10	Unification	8	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
95	Refuse to answer	10	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%

# pn1d: What position do you think TSU occupies?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
96	It's hard to say	22	<div><div></div></div> 1.8%
98	Don't know	296	<div><div></div></div> 23.9%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pn1e: What position do you think New Power Party (NPP) occupies?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Independence	117	<div><div></div></div> 9.4%
1		84	<div><div></div></div> 6.8%
2		118	<div><div></div></div> 9.5%
3		147	<div><div></div></div> 11.8%
4		87	<div><div></div></div> 7.0%
5		232	<div><div></div></div> 18.7%
6		18	<div><div></div></div> 1.5%
7		5	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
8		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
9		2	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
10	Unification	8	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
95	Refuse to answer	9	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
96	It's hard to say	32	<div><div></div></div> 2.6%
98	Don't know	381	<div><div></div></div> 30.7%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pn2: Where would you place yourself on this scale where 0 means 'Governments should decrease taxes and spend less on services' and 10 means 'Governments should increase taxes and spend more on services'?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Governments should decrease taxes and spend less on services	97	<div><div></div></div> 7.8%
1		28	<div><div></div></div> 2.3%
2		46	<div><div></div></div> 3.7%
3		111	<div><div></div></div> 8.9%
4		89	<div><div></div></div> 7.2%
5		367	<div><div></div></div> 29.6%
6		120	<div><div></div></div> 9.7%
7		117	<div><div></div></div> 9.4%
8		84	<div><div></div></div> 6.8%
9		18	<div><div></div></div> 1.5%
10	Governments should increase taxes and spend more on services	48	<div><div></div></div> 3.9%
95	Refuse to answer	8	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
96	It's hard to say	43	<div><div></div></div> 3.5%
98	Don't know	65	<div><div></div></div> 5.2%
Sysmiss		1051	

pn2: Where would you place yourself on this scale where 0 means 'Governments should decrease taxes and spend less on services' and 10 means 'Governments should increase taxes and spend more on services'?

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pn2a: What position do you think KMT occupies?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Governments should decrease taxes and spend less on services	13	1.0%
1		10	0.8%
2		22	1.8%
3		50	4.0%
4		68	5.5%
5		232	18.7%
6		163	13.1%
7		142	11.4%
8		115	9.3%
9		40	3.2%
10	Governments should increase taxes and spend more on services	102	8.2%
95	Refuse to answer	9	0.7%
96	It's hard to say	46	3.7%
98	Don't know	229	18.5%
Sysmiss		1051	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pn2b: What position do you think DPP occupies?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Governments should decrease taxes and spend less on services	32	2.6%
1		16	1.3%
2		33	2.7%
3		80	6.4%
4		119	9.6%
5		334	26.9%
6		151	12.2%
7		80	6.4%
8		46	3.7%
9		8	0.6%
10	Governments should increase taxes and spend more on services	22	1.8%
95	Refuse to answer	6	0.5%
96	It's hard to say	47	3.8%
98	Don't know	267	21.5%
Sysmiss		1051	








Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pn3: After 2008, the cross-Strait economic interactions have intensified. As a result of this, do you think Taiwan's economy has gotten better, worse or is about the same?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]

pn3: After 2008, the cross-Strait economic interactions have intensified. As a result of this, do you think Taiwan's economy has gotten better, worse or is about the same?

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]








Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Better	264	 21.3%
2	Worse	324	 26.1%
3	About the same	531	 42.8%
95	Refuse to answer	2	 0.2%
96	It's hard to say	33	 2.7%
97	No opinion	6	 0.5%
98	Don't know	81	 6.5%
Sysmiss		1051	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pn3a: Thinking about your own economic situation. As a result of intensification of cross-Strait interactions, do you think it has gotten better, worse, or stayed about the same?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]









Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Better	60	 4.8%
2	Worse	197	 15.9%
3	About the same	942	 75.9%
95	Refuse to answer	2	 0.2%
96	It's hard to say	5	 0.4%
97	No opinion	4	 0.3%
98	Don't know	31	 2.5%
Sysmiss		1051	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pn4: Ethnic minorities should adapt to our country's way of life

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	26	 2.1%
2	Agree	470	 37.9%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	114	 9.2%
4	Disagree	487	 39.2%
5	Strongly disagree	47	 3.8%
95	Refuse to answer	3	 0.2%
96	It depends	21	 1.7%
98	Don't know	73	 5.9%
Sysmiss		1051	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pn5: Immigrants are generally good for our country's economy

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]

# pn5: Immigrants are generally good for our country's economy			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	19	<div><div></div></div> 1.5%
2	Agree	409	<div><div></div></div> 33.0%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	137	<div><div></div></div> 11.0%
4	Disagree	518	<div><div></div></div> 41.7%
5	Strongly disagree	31	<div><div></div></div> 2.5%
95	Refuse to answer	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
96	It depends	29	<div><div></div></div> 2.3%
98	Don't know	97	<div><div></div></div> 7.8%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pn6: Our country's culture is generally harmed by immigrants			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	21	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%
2	Agree	275	<div><div></div></div> 22.2%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	97	<div><div></div></div> 7.8%
4	Disagree	681	<div><div></div></div> 54.9%
5	Strongly disagree	52	<div><div></div></div> 4.2%
95	Refuse to answer	0	
96	It depends	18	<div><div></div></div> 1.5%
98	Don't know	97	<div><div></div></div> 7.8%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pp1: Do you consider yourself as Taiwanese, Chinese or both?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Taiwanese	743	<div><div></div></div> 59.9%
2	Both [skip to PP1b]	400	<div><div></div></div> 32.2%
3	Chinese	57	<div><div></div></div> 4.6%
95	Refuse to answer [skip to PP1b]	13	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%
98	Don't know [skip to PP1b]	28	<div><div></div></div> 2.3%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pp1a: Do you feel very strongly or somewhat strongly that you are Taiwanese/Chinese?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very strongly	527	<div><div></div></div> 42.5%
2	Somewhat	260	<div><div></div></div> 21.0%
95	Refuse to answer	13	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%
99	Skipped	441	<div><div></div></div> 35.5%

# pp1a: Do you feel very strongly or somewhat strongly that you are Taiwanese/Chinese?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pp1b: Do you feel yourself leaning a little more to considering yourself Taiwanese or Chinese?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Lean to Taiwanese	213	17.2%
2	Lean to Chinese	35	2.8%
3	No	177	14.3%
95	Refuse to answer	16	1.3%
99	Skipped	800	64.5%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pp2: Do you believe that cross-Strait relations will become warmer, more tense, or remain unchanged?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much warmer	18	1.5%
2	Warmer	211	17.0%
3	Unchanged	416	33.5%
4	More tense	403	32.5%
5	Much more tense	44	3.5%
95	Refuse to answer	5	0.4%
96	It depends	33	2.7%
97	No opinion	5	0.4%
98	Don't know	106	8.5%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pp3: The leaders of the two sides of Taiwan Strait met in Singapore in November last year. General speaking, do you think this meeting had either a positive or negative impact on the future development of Taiwan?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A positive impact	502	40.5%
2	A negative impact	264	21.3%
3	No impact	272	21.9%
95	Refuse to answer	2	0.2%
96	It's hard to say	18	1.5%
97	No opinion	15	1.2%
98	Don't know	168	13.5%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

pp4: Regarding the issue of Cross-Strait negotiation, some people say that we should continue using the 1992 Consensus as the basis of Cross-Strait negotiation while other people say we should reject the 1992 Consensus. Which do you agree with?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Continue using the 1992 Consensus	482	38.8%
2	Reject the 1992 Consensus	339	27.3%
3	There is no 1992 Consensus	98	7.9%
4	Both support	12	1.0%
5	Both don't support	28	2.3%
95	Refuse to answer	7	0.6%
96	It depends	24	1.9%
97	No opinion	42	3.4%
98	Don't know	209	16.8%
Sysmiss		1051	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pp5: Concerning the relationship between Taiwan and mainland China, which of the following six positions do you agree with

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Immediate unification	24	1.9%
2	Immediate independence	65	5.2%
3	Maintain the status quo, move toward unification in the futu	143	11.5%
4	Maintain the status quo, move toward independence in the fut	259	20.9%
5	Maintain the status quo, decide either unification or indepe	392	31.6%
6	Maintain the status quo forever	270	21.8%
95	Refuse to answer	3	0.2%
96	It depends	11	0.9%
97	No opinion	12	1.0%
98	Don't know	62	5.0%
Sysmiss		1051	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.







pp5a: Which of the following six positions does CHU Li-luan agree with?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Immediate unification	186	15.0%
2	Immediate independence	11	0.9%
3	Maintain the status quo, move toward unification in the futu	505	40.7%
4	Maintain the status quo, move toward independence in the fut	20	1.6%
5	Maintain the status quo, decide either unification or indepe	156	12.6%
6	Maintain the status quo forever	126	10.2%
95	Refuse to answer	9	0.7%
96	It depends	6	0.5%

# pp5a: Which of the following six positions does CHU Li-luan agree with?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	No opinion	11	0.9%
98	Don't know	211	17.0%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pp5b: Which of the following six positions does TSAI Ing-wen agree with?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Immediate unification	7	0.6%
2	Immediate independence	154	12.4%
3	Maintain the status quo, move toward unification in the futu	32	2.6%
4	Maintain the status quo, move toward independence in the fut	522	42.1%
5	Maintain the status quo, decide either unification or indepe	204	16.4%
6	Maintain the status quo forever	120	9.7%
95	Refuse to answer	7	0.6%
96	It depends	7	0.6%
97	No opinion	7	0.6%
98	Don't know	181	14.6%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pp5c: Which of the following six positions does James SOONG agree with?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Immediate unification	104	8.4%
2	Immediate independence	18	1.5%
3	Maintain the status quo, move toward unification in the futu	335	27.0%
4	Maintain the status quo, move toward independence in the fut	39	3.1%
5	Maintain the status quo, decide either unification or indepe	304	24.5%
6	Maintain the status quo forever	155	12.5%
95	Refuse to answer	11	0.9%
96	It depends	6	0.5%
97	No opinion	9	0.7%
98	Don't know	260	21.0%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pp6: Some people say, 'If Taiwan could still maintain peaceful relations with the PRC after declaring independence, then Taiwan should establish a new, independent country'. Do you agree or disagree with this statement?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	143	11.5%
2	Agree	587	47.3%









pp6: Some people say, 'If Taiwan could still maintain peaceful relations with the PRC after declaring independence, then Taiwan should establish a new, independent country'. Do you agree or disagree with this statement?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Disagree	308	 24.8%
4	Strongly disagree	72	 5.8%
95	Refuse to answer	10	 0.8%
96	It depends	12	 1.0%
97	No opinion	16	 1.3%
98	Don't know	93	 7.5%
Sysmiss		1051	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pp6a: Some people say, 'Even if PRC decides to attack Taiwan after Taiwan declares independence, Taiwan should still become a new country' Do you agree or disagree with this statement?









Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	50	 4.0%
2	Agree	349	 28.1%
3	Disagree	556	 44.8%
4	Strongly disagree	136	 11.0%
95	Refuse to answer	10	 0.8%
96	It depends	21	 1.7%
97	No opinion	19	 1.5%
98	Don't know	100	 8.1%
Sysmiss		1051	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pp7: Some people say, 'If the economic, social, and political conditions were about the same in both mainland China and Taiwan, then the two sides should unify' Do you agree or disagree with this statement?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	24	 1.9%
2	Agree	355	 28.6%
3	Disagree	615	 49.6%
4	Strongly disagree	80	 6.4%
95	Refuse to answer	7	 0.6%
96	It depends	28	 2.3%
97	No opinion	18	 1.5%
98	Don't know	114	 9.2%
Sysmiss		1051	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pp7a: Some people say, 'Even if the gap between the economic, social, and political conditions in mainland China and Taiwan is quite large, the two sides should still unify' Do you agree or disagree with this statement?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]

pp7a: Some people say, 'Even if the gap between the economic, social, and political conditions in mainland China and Taiwan is quite large, the two sides should still unify' Do you agree or disagree with this statement?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	8	0.6%
2	Agree	119	9.6%
3	Disagree	772	62.2%
4	Strongly disagree	183	14.7%
95	Refuse to answer	7	0.6%
96	It depends	23	1.9%
97	No opinion	17	1.4%
98	Don't know	112	9.0%
Sysmiss		1051	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pp8a: To have been born in our country

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	219	17.6%
2	Fairly important	584	47.1%
3	Not very important	345	27.8%
4	Not important at all	33	2.7%
95	Refuse to answer	5	0.4%
96	It depends	12	1.0%
97	No opinion	12	1.0%
98	Don't know	31	2.5%
Sysmiss		1051	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pp8b: To have lived in our country for most of one's life

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	172	13.9%
2	Fairly important	663	53.4%
3	Not very important	334	26.9%
4	Not important at all	15	1.2%
95	Refuse to answer	3	0.2%
96	It depends	15	1.2%
97	No opinion	11	0.9%
98	Don't know	28	2.3%
Sysmiss		1051	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pp8c: To be able to speak our languages(i.e. Chinese, Taiwanese, Hakka, or aboriginal language)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]

pp8c: To be able to speak our languages(i.e. Chinese, Taiwanese, Hakka, or aboriginal language)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	132	10.6%
2	Fairly important	685	55.2%
3	Not very important	348	28.0%
4	Not important at all	29	2.3%
95	Refuse to answer	2	0.2%
96	It depends	8	0.6%
97	No opinion	8	0.6%
98	Don't know	29	2.3%
Sysmiss		1051	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pp8d: To be our country's dominant religion

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	47	3.8%
2	Fairly important	361	29.1%
3	Not very important	647	52.1%
4	Not important at all	117	9.4%
95	Refuse to answer	2	0.2%
96	It depends	14	1.1%
97	No opinion	17	1.4%
98	Don't know	36	2.9%
Sysmiss		1051	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# pp8e: To respect our country's political institutions and laws			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	333	<div><div></div></div> 26.8%
2	Fairly important	814	<div><div></div></div> 65.6%
3	Not very important	32	<div><div></div></div> 2.6%
4	Not important at all	0	
95	Refuse to answer	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
96	It depends	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
97	No opinion	9	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
98	Don't know	48	<div><div></div></div> 3.9%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pp8f: To feel our country's nationality			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	346	<div><div></div></div> 27.9%
2	Fairly important	744	<div><div></div></div> 60.0%
3	Not very important	90	<div><div></div></div> 7.3%
4	Not important at all	14	<div><div></div></div> 1.1%
95	Refuse to answer	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
96	It depends	8	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
97	No opinion	8	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
98	Don't know	29	<div><div></div></div> 2.3%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pp8g: To have our country's ancestry			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	85	<div><div></div></div> 6.8%
2	Fairly important	455	<div><div></div></div> 36.7%
3	Not very important	532	<div><div></div></div> 42.9%
4	Not important at all	107	<div><div></div></div> 8.6%
95	Refuse to answer	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
96	It depends	8	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
97	No opinion	13	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%
98	Don't know	38	<div><div></div></div> 3.1%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pq1: Do you usually think of yourself as close to any particular party?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		

# pq1: Do you usually think of yourself as close to any particular party?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes [skip to PQ1b]	606	<div><div></div></div> 48.8%
2	No [continue to PQ1a]	601	<div><div></div></div> 48.4%
95	Refuse to answer [skip to PQ1a]	11	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
98	Don't know [skip to PQ1a]	23	<div><div></div></div> 1.9%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pq1a: Do you feel yourself a little closer to one of the political parties than the others?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes [continue to PQ1b]	206	<div><div></div></div> 16.6%
2	No [skip to PQ2]	397	<div><div></div></div> 32.0%
95	Refuse to answer [skip to PQ2]	10	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
98	Don't know [skip to PQ2]	22	<div><div></div></div> 1.8%
99	Skipped	606	<div><div></div></div> 48.8%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pq1b: Which party do you feel closest to?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Kuomintang(KMT)	315	<div><div></div></div> 25.4%
2	Democratic Progressive Party(DPP)	409	<div><div></div></div> 33.0%
3	New Party(NP)	5	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
4	People First Party(PFP)	26	<div><div></div></div> 2.1%
6	Taiwan Solidarity Union(TSU)	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
7	Non-Partisan Solidarity Union(NPSU)	0	
9	Green Party	10	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
15	People Boss Party	0	
18	Trees Party	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
19	New Power Party(NPP)	29	<div><div></div></div> 2.3%
20	Social Democratic Party(SDP)	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
21	Minkuotang(MKT, Republic Party)	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
23	Military, Civil, and Faculty Alliance Party(MCFAP)	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
24	Faith and Hope League	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
25	Green party and SDP	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
26	KMT and PFP	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
95	Refuse to answer [Skip to PQ2]	5	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
98	Don't know [Skip to PQ2]	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
99	Skipped	429	<div><div></div></div> 34.6%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# pq1c: Do you feel very close to this party, somewhat close, or not very close?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very close	180	<div><div></div></div> 14.5%
2	Somewhat close	367	<div><div></div></div> 29.6%
3	Not very close	252	<div><div></div></div> 20.3%
95	Refuse to answer	0	
96	It depends	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
97	No opinion	0	
98	Don't know	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
99	Skipped	436	<div><div></div></div> 35.1%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pq2: Where 0 means you strongly dislike that party and 10 means that you strongly like that party. If I come to a party you haven heard of or you feel you do not know enough about, just say so. The first party is KMT			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Strongly dislike	124	<div><div></div></div> 10.0%
1		60	<div><div></div></div> 4.8%
2		89	<div><div></div></div> 7.2%
3		170	<div><div></div></div> 13.7%
4		121	<div><div></div></div> 9.8%
5		307	<div><div></div></div> 24.7%
6		137	<div><div></div></div> 11.0%
7		68	<div><div></div></div> 5.5%
8		47	<div><div></div></div> 3.8%
9		11	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
10	Strongly like	23	<div><div></div></div> 1.9%
95	Refuse to answer	17	<div><div></div></div> 1.4%
96	Haven't heard of this party	0	
98	Don't know	67	<div><div></div></div> 5.4%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pq2a: Using the same scale, where would you place, DPP?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Strongly dislike	51	<div><div></div></div> 4.1%
1		26	<div><div></div></div> 2.1%
2		58	<div><div></div></div> 4.7%
3		111	<div><div></div></div> 8.9%
4		111	<div><div></div></div> 8.9%
5		303	<div><div></div></div> 24.4%

# pq2a: Using the same scale, where would you place, DPP?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6		148	<div></div> 11.9%
7		125	<div></div> 10.1%
8		109	<div></div> 8.8%
9		40	<div></div> 3.2%
10	Strongly like	65	<div></div> 5.2%
95	Refuse to answer	18	<div></div> 1.5%
96	Haven't heard of this party	1	<div></div> 0.1%
98	Don't know	75	<div></div> 6.0%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pq2b: Using the same scale, where would you place, PFP?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Strongly dislike	62	<div></div> 5.0%
1		45	<div></div> 3.6%
2		83	<div></div> 6.7%
3		190	<div></div> 15.3%
4		164	<div></div> 13.2%
5		376	<div></div> 30.3%
6		89	<div></div> 7.2%
7		39	<div></div> 3.1%
8		26	<div></div> 2.1%
9		5	<div></div> 0.4%
10	Strongly like	9	<div></div> 0.7%
95	Refuse to answer	20	<div></div> 1.6%
96	Haven't heard of this party	5	<div></div> 0.4%
98	Don't know	128	<div></div> 10.3%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pq2c: Using the same scale, where would you place, TSU?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Strongly dislike	156	<div></div> 12.6%
1		91	<div></div> 7.3%
2		114	<div></div> 9.2%
3		168	<div></div> 13.5%
4		104	<div></div> 8.4%
5		239	<div></div> 19.3%
6		69	<div></div> 5.6%
7		41	<div></div> 3.3%
8		21	<div></div> 1.7%
9		6	<div></div> 0.5%

# pq2c: Using the same scale, where would you place, TSU?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	Strongly like	5	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
95	Refuse to answer	21	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%
96	Haven't heard of this party	14	<div><div></div></div> 1.1%
98	Don't know	192	<div><div></div></div> 15.5%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pq2d: Using the same scale, where would you place, NPP?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Strongly dislike	108	<div><div></div></div> 8.7%
1		60	<div><div></div></div> 4.8%
2		69	<div><div></div></div> 5.6%
3		97	<div><div></div></div> 7.8%
4		80	<div><div></div></div> 6.4%
5		218	<div><div></div></div> 17.6%
6		116	<div><div></div></div> 9.3%
7		88	<div><div></div></div> 7.1%
8		68	<div><div></div></div> 5.5%
9		19	<div><div></div></div> 1.5%
10	Strongly like	13	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%
95	Refuse to answer	20	<div><div></div></div> 1.6%
96	Haven't heard of this party	42	<div><div></div></div> 3.4%
98	Don't know	243	<div><div></div></div> 19.6%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pq3: Would you say that any of the parties in our country represent your views reasonably well?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes [Continue to PQ3a]	470	<div><div></div></div> 37.9%
2	No [skip to PQ4]	681	<div><div></div></div> 54.9%
95	Refuse to answer [skip to PQ4]	13	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%
98	Don't know [skip to PQ4]	77	<div><div></div></div> 6.2%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pq3a: Which party represents your views best?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Kuomintang(KMT)	119	<div><div></div></div> 9.6%
2	Democratic Progressive Party(DPP)	228	<div><div></div></div> 18.4%
3	New Party(NP)	10	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%

# pq3a: Which party represents your views best?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	People First Party(PFP)	34	<div><div></div></div> 2.7%
6	Taiwan Solidarity Union(TSU)	5	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
7	Non-Partisan Solidarity Union(NPSU)	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
9	Green Party	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
15	People Boss Party	0	
18	Trees Party	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
19	New Power Party(NPP)	60	<div><div></div></div> 4.8%
20	Social Democratic Party(SDP)	4	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
21	Minkuotang(MKT, Republic Party)	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
23	Military, Civil, and Faculty Alliance Party(MCFAP)	0	
24	Faith and Hope League	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
25	Free Taiwan Party	0	
26	Green party and SDP	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
95	Refuse to answer	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	771	<div><div></div></div> 62.1%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pq4: On cross-Straits relations, which of the two major parties performed better?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	KMT is much better	157	<div><div></div></div> 12.7%
2	KMT is a little better	406	<div><div></div></div> 32.7%
3	DPP is a little better	171	<div><div></div></div> 13.8%
4	DPP is much better	64	<div><div></div></div> 5.2%
5	Both parties are pretty good	125	<div><div></div></div> 10.1%
6	Neither party is very good	159	<div><div></div></div> 12.8%
95	Refuse to answer	10	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
96	It depends	23	<div><div></div></div> 1.9%
97	No opinion	10	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
98	Don't know	116	<div><div></div></div> 9.3%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pq5: On economic development, which of the two major parties performed better?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	KMT is much better	44	<div><div></div></div> 3.5%
2	KMT is a little better	266	<div><div></div></div> 21.4%
3	DPP is a little better	309	<div><div></div></div> 24.9%
4	DPP is much better	67	<div><div></div></div> 5.4%
5	Both parties are pretty good	82	<div><div></div></div> 6.6%
6	Neither party is very good	270	<div><div></div></div> 21.8%

# pq5: On economic development, which of the two major parties performed better?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
95	Refuse to answer	10	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
96	It depends	26	<div><div></div></div> 2.1%
97	No opinion	13	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%
98	Don't know	154	<div><div></div></div> 12.4%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pq6: On social welfare, which of the two major parties performed better?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	KMT is much better	31	<div><div></div></div> 2.5%
2	KMT is a little better	159	<div><div></div></div> 12.8%
3	DPP is a little better	398	<div><div></div></div> 32.1%
4	DPP is much better	95	<div><div></div></div> 7.7%
5	Both parties are pretty good	166	<div><div></div></div> 13.4%
6	Neither party is very good	194	<div><div></div></div> 15.6%
95	Refuse to answer	10	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
96	It depends	18	<div><div></div></div> 1.5%
97	No opinion	12	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%
98	Don't know	158	<div><div></div></div> 12.7%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pq7: On protecting sovereignty, which of the two major parties performed better?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	KMT is much better	68	<div><div></div></div> 5.5%
2	KMT is a little better	200	<div><div></div></div> 16.1%
3	DPP is a little better	383	<div><div></div></div> 30.9%
4	DPP is much better	177	<div><div></div></div> 14.3%
5	Both parties are pretty good	114	<div><div></div></div> 9.2%
6	Neither party is very good	110	<div><div></div></div> 8.9%
95	Refuse to answer	11	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
96	It depends	14	<div><div></div></div> 1.1%
97	No opinion	12	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%
98	Don't know	152	<div><div></div></div> 12.2%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pq8: If 1 means most unpeaceful and 7 means most peaceful, where would you place Taiwan on the peaceful scale?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Most unpeaceful	5	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%

# pq8: If 1 means most unpeaceful and 7 means most peaceful, where would you place Taiwan on the peaceful scale?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2		4	0.3%
3		15	1.2%
4		71	5.7%
5		198	16.0%
6		328	26.4%
7	Most peaceful	564	45.4%
95	Refuse to answer	2	0.2%
98	Don't know	54	4.4%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pq8a: How about Mainland China?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Most unpeaceful	170	13.7%
2		173	13.9%
3		258	20.8%
4		236	19.0%
5		138	11.1%
6		43	3.5%
7	Most peaceful	55	4.4%
95	Refuse to answer	4	0.3%
98	Don't know	164	13.2%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pq9: If 1 means most intolerant and 7 means most tolerant, where would you place Taiwan on the tolerant-intolerant scale?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Most intolerant	22	1.8%
2		39	3.1%
3		77	6.2%
4		164	13.2%
5		328	26.4%
6		276	22.2%
7	Most tolerant	212	17.1%
95	Refuse to answer	2	0.2%
98	Don't know	121	9.8%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pq9a: How about Mainland China?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		

pq9a: How about Mainland China?

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Most intolerant	303	24.4%
2		272	21.9%
3		242	19.5%
4		136	11.0%
5		67	5.4%
6		16	1.3%
7	Most tolerant	10	0.8%
95	Refuse to answer	4	0.3%
98	Don't know	191	15.4%
Sysmiss		1051	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ps1: Year of birth(The ROC calendar)

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-95] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10		1	0.1%
12		1	0.1%
13		1	0.1%
14		1	0.1%
15		3	0.2%
17		1	0.1%
18		7	0.6%
19		3	0.2%
20		4	0.3%
21		4	0.3%
22		4	0.3%
23		11	0.9%
24		9	0.7%
25		6	0.5%
26		7	0.6%
27		12	1.0%
28		11	0.9%
29		11	0.9%
30		18	1.5%
31		13	1.0%
32		18	1.5%
33		15	1.2%
34		13	1.0%
35		16	1.3%
36		15	1.2%
37		16	1.3%
38		12	1.0%
39		21	1.7%

# ps1: Year of birth(The ROC calendar)			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
40		21	1.7%
41		23	1.9%
42		24	1.9%
43		31	2.5%
44		30	2.4%
45		22	1.8%
46		30	2.4%
47		26	2.1%
48		27	2.2%
49		34	2.7%
50		31	2.5%
51		27	2.2%
52		23	1.9%
53		19	1.5%
54		24	1.9%
55		26	2.1%
56		30	2.4%
57		22	1.8%
58		23	1.9%
59		26	2.1%
60		23	1.9%
61		10	0.8%
62		25	2.0%
63		18	1.5%
64		18	1.5%
65		32	2.6%
66		23	1.9%
67		26	2.1%
68		24	1.9%
69		24	1.9%
70		25	2.0%
71		30	2.4%
72		16	1.3%
73		23	1.9%
74		25	2.0%
75		16	1.3%
76		19	1.5%
77		10	0.8%
78		12	1.0%
79		19	1.5%
80		17	1.4%
81		22	1.8%
82		11	0.9%
95	Refuse to answer	0	
Sysmiss		1051	

# ps1: Year of birth(The ROC calendar)			
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# ps2: Father's ethnic background			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Taiwanese Hakka	149	<div><div></div></div> 12.0%
2	Taiwanese Min-Nan	938	<div><div></div></div> 75.6%
3	Mainlander	124	<div><div></div></div> 10.0%
4	Aboriginal	19	<div><div></div></div> 1.5%
5	Recent mainland immigrant	0	
6	Recent foreign immigrant	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
7	Malaysians	0	
8	Filipino	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
9	Vietnamese	0	
10	Singaporeans	0	
12	Indonesian	0	
13	Burmese	0	
14	Hong Kong	0	
15	Cambodian	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
95	Refuse to answer	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
98	Don't know	5	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# ps3: Mother's ethnic background			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Taiwanese Hakka	160	<div><div></div></div> 12.9%
2	Taiwanese Min-Nan	979	<div><div></div></div> 78.9%
3	Mainlander	70	<div><div></div></div> 5.6%
4	Aboriginal	19	<div><div></div></div> 1.5%
5	Recent mainland immigrant	0	
6	Recent foreign immigrant	6	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
7	Malaysians	0	
8	Filipino	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
9	Vietnamese	0	
10	Singaporeans	0	
12	Indonesian	0	
13	Burmese	0	
14	Hong Kong	0	
15	Cambodian	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
95	Refuse to answer	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
98	Don't know	4	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# ps4: Educational level			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-95] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Illiterate	48	<div><div></div></div> 3.9%
2	Literate but no formal schooling	14	<div><div></div></div> 1.1%
3	Some primary school	20	<div><div></div></div> 1.6%
4	Primary school graduate	137	<div><div></div></div> 11.0%
5	Some junior high school	15	<div><div></div></div> 1.2%
6	Junior high school graduate	144	<div><div></div></div> 11.6%
7	Some high school or vocational school	24	<div><div></div></div> 1.9%
8	High school or vocational school graduate	285	<div><div></div></div> 23.0%
9	Some technical college	5	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
10	Technical college graduate	146	<div><div></div></div> 11.8%
11	Some university	12	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%
12	University graduate	301	<div><div></div></div> 24.3%
13	Post-graduate education	89	<div><div></div></div> 7.2%
95	Refuse to answer	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# ps5: Are you a member of a union?			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	231	<div><div></div></div> 18.6%
2	No	1004	<div><div></div></div> 80.9%
95	Refuse to answer	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
98	Don't know	5	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# ps6: Religious belief			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	None	283	<div><div></div></div> 22.8%
2	Buddhist	414	<div><div></div></div> 33.4%
3	Taoist	333	<div><div></div></div> 26.8%
4	Catholic [skip to PS7]	15	<div><div></div></div> 1.2%
5	Protestant [skip to PS7]	53	<div><div></div></div> 4.3%
6	Muslim [skip to PS7]	0	
7	I-Kuan-Tao [skip to PS7]	16	<div><div></div></div> 1.3%
8	Traditional folk belief	109	<div><div></div></div> 8.8%
9	Buddhist and Taoist	4	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
10	Confucianism, Buddhism and Taoism	0	
11	Falun Gong	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%

# ps6: Religious belief			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
12	Baha'i Faith	1	0.1%
95	Refuse to answer	3	0.2%
98	Don't know	9	0.7%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# ps6a: Do you burn incense for the Earth God, Matsu, or some other spirits?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	990	79.8%
2	No	166	13.4%
95	Refuse to answer	1	0.1%
99	Skipped	84	6.8%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# ps6b: Religious services attendance			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	471	38.0%
2	Once a year	319	25.7%
3	Two to eleven times a year	252	20.3%
4	Once a month	59	4.8%
5	Two or more times a month	56	4.5%
6	Once a week/more than once a week	67	5.4%
95	Refuse to answer	3	0.2%
98	Don't know	14	1.1%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# ps7: Current employment status of R			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-95] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Employed full time (32 hours or more a week)	689	55.5%
2	Employed part time (15 to 32 hours a week)	65	5.2%
3	Employed less than part time (less than 15 hours a week)	21	1.7%
4	Help out at home	51	4.1%
5	Currently looking for employment [skip to PS7b]	24	1.9%
6	Student or currently in job training [skip to PS8]	12	1.0%
7	Retired [skip to PS7b]	213	17.2%
8	Home duties	148	11.9%
9	Disabled or unable to work [skip to PS8]	11	0.9%
10	Unemployed	7	0.6%

# ps7: Current employment status of R			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
95	Refuse to answer [skip to PS8]	0	
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# ps7a: Main occupation of R			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-9999] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	Servicemen	11	0.9%
20	Students	0	
31	housekeeping, without domestic crafting	130	10.5%
32	housekeeping, with domestic crafting	18	1.5%
33	housekeeping, helping familial business without pay	32	2.6%
34	housekeeping, helping familial business with pay	18	1.5%
40	never work before	7	0.6%
1010	Legislators and Government Administrators	3	0.2%
1021	Directors and Chief Executives (Pub.)	3	0.2%
1022	Directors and Chief Executives (Priv.)	49	3.9%
1031	Production and Operations Managers (Pub.)	2	0.2%
1032	Production and Operations Managers (Priv.)	11	0.9%
1091	Other Managers (Pub.)	2	0.2%
1092	Other Managers (Priv.)	12	1.0%
2011	Phys., Math. and Eng. Science Professionals (Pub.)	0	
2012	Phys., Math. and Eng. Science Professionals (Priv.)	22	1.8%
2021	Biol. Science and Health Professionals (Pub.)	2	0.2%
2022	Biol. Science and Health Professionals (Priv.)	8	0.6%
2031	Teachers (Pub.)	24	1.9%
2032	Teachers (Priv.)	14	1.1%
2041	Accountants and Business Professionals (Pub.)	0	
2042	Accountants and Business Professionals (Priv.)	12	1.0%
2051	Lawyers and Legal Professionals (Pub.)	0	
2052	Lawyers and Legal Professionals (Priv.)	2	0.2%
2061	Social Science and Related Professionals (Pub.)	1	0.1%
2062	Social Science and Related Professionals (Priv.)	1	0.1%
2091	Other Professionals (Pub.)	10	0.8%
2092	Other Professionals (Priv.)	39	3.1%
3011	Phys. and Eng. Science Associate Professionals (Pub.)	1	0.1%
3012	Phys. and Eng. Science Associate Professionals (Priv.)	6	0.5%
3021	Biol. Science and Health Associate Professionals (Pub.)	4	0.3%
3022	Biol. Science and Health Associate Professionals (Priv.)	4	0.3%
3031	Teaching and Related Associate Professionals (Pub.)	3	0.2%
3032	Teaching and Related Associate Professionals (Priv.)	3	0.2%
3041	Fin. and Business Services Associate Professionals (Pub.)	1	0.1%
3042	Fin. and Business Services Associate Professionals (Priv.)	28	2.3%
3051	Gov. Admin. Officials and Bus. Clerical Supervisors (Pub.)	5	0.4%

ps7a: Main occupation of R

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3052	Gov. Admin. Officials and Bus. Clerical Supervisors (Priv.)	1	0.1%
3061	Administrative Associate Professionals (Pub.)	4	0.3%
3062	Administrative Associate Professionals (Priv.)	19	1.5%
3071	Customs, Tax and Related Gov. Associate Professionals (Pub.)	2	0.2%
3072	Customs, Tax and Related Gov. Associate Professionals (Priv.)	0	
3091	Other Associate Professionals (Pub.)	2	0.2%
3092	Other Associate Professionals (Pub.)	8	0.6%
4011	Office Clerks (Pub.)	18	1.5%
4012	Office Clerks (Priv.)	17	1.4%
4021	Customer Services Clerks (Pub.)	2	0.2%
4022	Customer Services Clerks (Priv.)	20	1.6%
5011	Personal Services Workers (Pub.)	2	0.2%
5012	Personal Services Workers (Priv.)	23	1.9%
5021	Protective Services Workers (Pub.)	5	0.4%
5022	Protective Services Workers (Priv.)	4	0.3%
5031	Models, Salespersons and Demonstrators (Pub.)	1	0.1%
5032	Models, Salespersons and Demonstrators (Priv.)	43	3.5%
6011	Agr., Animal Husbandry, Forestry and Fishing Workers (Pub.)	0	
6012	Agr., Animal Husbandry, Forestry and Fishing Workers (Priv.)	54	4.4%
7011	Extraction and Building Trades Workers (Pub.)	0	
7012	Extraction and Building Trades Workers (Priv.)	10	0.8%
7021	Metal, Machinery and Rel. Trades Workers (Pub.)	1	0.1%
7022	Metal, Machinery and Rel. Trades Workers (Priv.)	24	1.9%
7031	Precision, Handicraft, Print. and Rel. Trades Workers (Pub.)	1	0.1%
7032	Precision, Handicraft, Print. and Rel. Trades Workers (Priv.)	12	1.0%
7091	Other Craft and Rel. Trades Workers (Pub.)	2	0.2%
7092	Other Craft and Rel. Trades Workers (Priv.)	58	4.7%
8011	Stationary-plant and Rel. Operators (Pub.)	0	
8012	Stationary-plant and Rel. Operators (Priv.)	9	0.7%
8021	Machine Operators (Pub.)	0	
8022	Machine Operators (Priv.)	9	0.7%
8031	Assemblers (Pub.)	0	
8032	Assemblers (Priv.)	10	0.8%
8041	Drivers and Mobile-plant Operators (Pub.)	0	
8042	Drivers and Mobile-plant Operators (Priv.)	22	1.8%
9011	Sales and Services Elementary Occupations (Pub.)	1	0.1%
9012	Sales and Services Elementary Occupations (Priv.)	45	3.6%
9021	Production Laborers and Rel. Workers (Pub.)	1	0.1%
9022	Production Laborers and Rel. Workers (Priv.)	25	2.0%
9091	Other Elementary Occupations (Pub.)	2	0.2%
9092	Other Elementary Occupations (Priv.)	27	2.2%
9995	Refuse to answer	9	0.7%
9999	Skipped	260	21.0%
Sysmiss		1051	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# ps7b: Main occupation of R before retirement or unemployment			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-9999] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	Servicemen	13	1.0%
20	Students	4	0.3%
31	housekeeping, without domestic crafting	0	
32	housekeeping, with domestic crafting	0	
33	housekeeping, helping familial business without pay	0	
34	housekeeping, helping familial business with pay	0	
40	never work before	0	
1010	Legislators and Government Administrators	2	0.2%
1021	Directors and Chief Executives (Pub.)	3	0.2%
1022	Directors and Chief Executives (Priv.)	15	1.2%
1031	Production and Operations Managers (Pub.)	2	0.2%
1032	Production and Operations Managers (Priv.)	4	0.3%
1091	Other Managers (Pub.)	2	0.2%
1092	Other Managers (Priv.)	4	0.3%
2011	Phys., Math. and Eng. Science Professionals (Pub.)	2	0.2%
2012	Phys., Math. and Eng. Science Professionals (Priv.)	3	0.2%
2021	Biol. Science and Health Professionals (Pub.)	1	0.1%
2022	Biol. Science and Health Professionals (Priv.)	0	
2031	Teachers (Pub.)	11	0.9%
2032	Teachers (Priv.)	0	
2041	Accountants and Business Professionals (Pub.)	0	
2042	Accountants and Business Professionals (Priv.)	5	0.4%
2051	Lawyers and Legal Professionals (Pub.)	0	
2052	Lawyers and Legal Professionals (Priv.)	0	
2061	Social Science and Related Professionals (Pub.)	1	0.1%
2062	Social Science and Related Professionals (Priv.)	0	
2091	Other Professionals (Pub.)	3	0.2%
2092	Other Professionals (Priv.)	6	0.5%
3011	Phys. and Eng. Science Associate Professionals (Pub.)	0	
3012	Phys. and Eng. Science Associate Professionals (Priv.)	2	0.2%
3021	Biol. Science and Health Associate Professionals (Pub.)	0	
3022	Biol. Science and Health Associate Professionals (Priv.)	2	0.2%
3031	Teaching and Related Associate Professionals (Pub.)	3	0.2%
3032	Teaching and Related Associate Professionals (Priv.)	0	
3041	Fin. and Business Services Associate Professionals (Pub.)	1	0.1%
3042	Fin. and Business Services Associate Professionals (Priv.)	7	0.6%
3051	Gov. Admin. Officials and Bus. Clerical Supervisors (Pub.)	1	0.1%
3052	Gov. Admin. Officials and Bus. Clerical Supervisors (Priv.)	0	
3061	Administrative Associate Professionals (Pub.)	3	0.2%
3062	Administrative Associate Professionals (Priv.)	1	0.1%
3071	Customs, Tax and Related Gov. Associate Professionals (Pub.)	1	0.1%
3072	Customs, Tax and Related Gov. Associate Professionals (Priv.)	0	
3091	Other Associate Professionals (Pub.)	0	

# ps7b: Main occupation of R before retirement or unemployment			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3092	Other Associate Professionals (Pub.)	2	0.2%
4011	Office Clerks (Pub.)	8	0.6%
4012	Office Clerks (Priv.)	2	0.2%
4021	Customer Services Clerks (Pub.)	1	0.1%
4022	Customer Services Clerks (Priv.)	2	0.2%
5011	Personal Services Workers (Pub.)	0	
5012	Personal Services Workers (Priv.)	8	0.6%
5021	Protective Services Workers (Pub.)	2	0.2%
5022	Protective Services Workers (Priv.)	0	
5031	Models, Salespersons and Demonstrators (Pub.)	0	
5032	Models, Salespersons and Demonstrators (Priv.)	11	0.9%
6011	Agr., Animal Husbandry, Forestry and Fishing Workers (Pub.)	0	
6012	Agr., Animal Husbandry, Forestry and Fishing Workers (Priv.)	27	2.2%
7011	Extraction and Building Trades Workers (Pub.)	0	
7012	Extraction and Building Trades Workers (Priv.)	0	
7021	Metal, Machinery and Rel. Trades Workers (Pub.)	0	
7022	Metal, Machinery and Rel. Trades Workers (Priv.)	7	0.6%
7031	Precision, Handicraft, Print. and Rel. Trades Workers (Pub.)	0	
7032	Precision, Handicraft, Print. and Rel. Trades Workers (Priv.)	6	0.5%
7091	Other Craft and Rel. Trades Workers (Pub.)	1	0.1%
7092	Other Craft and Rel. Trades Workers (Priv.)	11	0.9%
8011	Stationary-plant and Rel. Operators (Pub.)	0	
8012	Stationary-plant and Rel. Operators (Priv.)	0	
8021	Machine Operators (Pub.)	0	
8022	Machine Operators (Priv.)	2	0.2%
8031	Assemblers (Pub.)	0	
8032	Assemblers (Priv.)	3	0.2%
8041	Drivers and Mobile-plant Operators (Pub.)	2	0.2%
8042	Drivers and Mobile-plant Operators (Priv.)	6	0.5%
9011	Sales and Services Elementary Occupations (Pub.)	0	
9012	Sales and Services Elementary Occupations (Priv.)	9	0.7%
9021	Production Laborers and Rel. Workers (Pub.)	0	
9022	Production Laborers and Rel. Workers (Priv.)	11	0.9%
9091	Other Elementary Occupations (Pub.)	2	0.2%
9092	Other Elementary Occupations (Priv.)	9	0.7%
9995	Refuse to answer	3	0.2%
9999	Skipped	1004	80.9%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# ps8: Marital status			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-95] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Married or live as married	848	68.3%

# ps8: Marital status			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Separated	7	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
3	Widowed	74	<div><div></div></div> 6.0%
4	Cohabit without legally marrying	5	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
5	Divorced [skip to PS11]	40	<div><div></div></div> 3.2%
6	Single [skip to PS11]	263	<div><div></div></div> 21.2%
95	Refuse to answer [skip to PS11]	4	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# ps9: Spouse's ethnic background			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Taiwanese Hakka	123	<div><div></div></div> 9.9%
2	Taiwanese Min-Nan	696	<div><div></div></div> 56.1%
3	Mainlander	86	<div><div></div></div> 6.9%
4	Aboriginal	9	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
5	Recent mainland immigrant	4	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
6	Recent foreign immigrant	11	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
95	Refuse to answer	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
98	Don't know	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
99	Skipped	307	<div><div></div></div> 24.7%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# ps10: Current employment status of R's spouse			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Employed full time (32 hours or more a week)	493	<div><div></div></div> 39.7%
2	Employed part time (15 to 32 hours a week)	30	<div><div></div></div> 2.4%
3	Employed less than part time (less than 15 hours a week)	15	<div><div></div></div> 1.2%
4	Help out at home	42	<div><div></div></div> 3.4%
5	Currently looking for employment [skip to PS10b]	5	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
6	Student or currently in job training [skip to PS11]	0	
7	Retired [skip to PS10b]	151	<div><div></div></div> 12.2%
8	Home duties	160	<div><div></div></div> 12.9%
9	Disabled or unable to work [skip to PS11]	12	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%
10	Unemployed	5	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
95	Refuse to answer [skip to PS11]	21	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%
99	Skipped	307	<div><div></div></div> 24.7%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# ps10a: Main occupation of R's spouse			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-9999] [Missing=*]		

ps10a: Main occupation of R's spouse

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	Servicemen	8	0.6%
20	Students	0	
31	housekeeping, without domestic crafting	146	11.8%
32	housekeeping, with domestic crafting	14	1.1%
33	housekeeping, helping familial business without pay	23	1.9%
34	housekeeping, helping familial business with pay	19	1.5%
40	never work before	5	0.4%
1010	Legislators and Government Administrators	2	0.2%
1021	Directors and Chief Executives (Pub.)	3	0.2%
1022	Directors and Chief Executives (Priv.)	44	3.5%
1031	Production and Operations Managers (Pub.)	1	0.1%
1032	Production and Operations Managers (Priv.)	7	0.6%
1091	Other Managers (Pub.)	0	
1092	Other Managers (Priv.)	8	0.6%
2011	Phys., Math. and Eng. Science Professionals (Pub.)	0	
2012	Phys., Math. and Eng. Science Professionals (Priv.)	9	0.7%
2021	Biol. Science and Health Professionals (Pub.)	1	0.1%
2022	Biol. Science and Health Professionals (Priv.)	7	0.6%
2031	Teachers (Pub.)	10	0.8%
2032	Teachers (Priv.)	9	0.7%
2041	Accountants and Business Professionals (Pub.)	0	
2042	Accountants and Business Professionals (Priv.)	7	0.6%
2051	Lawyers and Legal Professionals (Pub.)	0	
2052	Lawyers and Legal Professionals (Priv.)	0	
2061	Social Science and Related Professionals (Pub.)	0	
2062	Social Science and Related Professionals (Priv.)	1	0.1%
2091	Other Professionals (Pub.)	2	0.2%
2092	Other Professionals (Priv.)	27	2.2%
3011	Phys. and Eng. Science Associate Professionals (Pub.)	0	
3012	Phys. and Eng. Science Associate Professionals (Priv.)	4	0.3%
3021	Biol. Science and Health Associate Professionals (Pub.)	0	
3022	Biol. Science and Health Associate Professionals (Priv.)	10	0.8%
3031	Teaching and Related Associate Professionals (Pub.)	3	0.2%
3032	Teaching and Related Associate Professionals (Priv.)	2	0.2%
3041	Fin. and Business Services Associate Professionals (Pub.)	4	0.3%
3042	Fin. and Business Services Associate Professionals (Priv.)	17	1.4%
3051	Gov. Admin. Officials and Bus. Clerical Supervisors (Pub.)	1	0.1%
3052	Gov. Admin. Officials and Bus. Clerical Supervisors (Priv.)	2	0.2%
3061	Administrative Associate Professionals (Pub.)	2	0.2%
3062	Administrative Associate Professionals (Priv.)	1	0.1%
3071	Customs, Tax and Related Gov. Associate Professionals (Pub.)	0	
3072	Customs, Tax and Related Gov. Associate Professionals (Priv.)	0	
3091	Other Associate Professionals (Pub.)	4	0.3%
3092	Other Associate Professionals (Pub.)	12	1.0%

# ps10a: Main occupation of R's spouse			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4011	Office Clerks (Pub.)	7	0.6%
4012	Office Clerks (Priv.)	18	1.5%
4021	Customer Services Clerks (Pub.)	3	0.2%
4022	Customer Services Clerks (Priv.)	12	1.0%
5011	Personal Services Workers (Pub.)	0	
5012	Personal Services Workers (Priv.)	23	1.9%
5021	Protective Services Workers (Pub.)	2	0.2%
5022	Protective Services Workers (Priv.)	4	0.3%
5031	Models, Salespersons and Demonstrators (Pub.)	0	
5032	Models, Salespersons and Demonstrators (Priv.)	29	2.3%
6011	Agr., Animal Husbandry, Forestry and Fishing Workers (Pub.)	2	0.2%
6012	Agr., Animal Husbandry, Forestry and Fishing Workers (Priv.)	44	3.5%
7011	Extraction and Building Trades Workers (Pub.)	0	
7012	Extraction and Building Trades Workers (Priv.)	2	0.2%
7021	Metal, Machinery and Rel. Trades Workers (Pub.)	0	
7022	Metal, Machinery and Rel. Trades Workers (Priv.)	17	1.4%
7031	Precision, Handicraft, Print. and Rel. Trades Workers (Pub.)	0	
7032	Precision, Handicraft, Print. and Rel. Trades Workers (Priv.)	9	0.7%
7091	Other Craft and Rel. Trades Workers (Pub.)	0	
7092	Other Craft and Rel. Trades Workers (Priv.)	51	4.1%
8011	Stationary-plant and Rel. Operators (Pub.)	0	
8012	Stationary-plant and Rel. Operators (Priv.)	6	0.5%
8021	Machine Operators (Pub.)	0	
8022	Machine Operators (Priv.)	7	0.6%
8031	Assemblers (Pub.)	0	
8032	Assemblers (Priv.)	11	0.9%
8041	Drivers and Mobile-plant Operators (Pub.)	2	0.2%
8042	Drivers and Mobile-plant Operators (Priv.)	15	1.2%
9011	Sales and Services Elementary Occupations (Pub.)	0	
9012	Sales and Services Elementary Occupations (Priv.)	32	2.6%
9021	Production Laborers and Rel. Workers (Pub.)	1	0.1%
9022	Production Laborers and Rel. Workers (Priv.)	9	0.7%
9091	Other Elementary Occupations (Pub.)	1	0.1%
9092	Other Elementary Occupations (Priv.)	15	1.2%
9995	Refuse to answer	8	0.6%
9999	Skipped	496	40.0%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# ps10b: Main occupation of R's spouse before his/her retirement or unemployment			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-9999] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	Servicemen	7	0.6%
20	Students	0	

ps10b: Main occupation of R's spouse before his/her retirement or unemployment

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
31	housekeeping, without domestic crafting	0	
32	housekeeping, with domestic crafting	0	
33	housekeeping, helping familial business without pay	0	
34	housekeeping, helping familial business with pay	0	
40	never work before	0	
1010	Legislators and Government Administrators	2	0.2%
1021	Directors and Chief Executives (Pub.)	2	0.2%
1022	Directors and Chief Executives (Priv.)	8	0.6%
1031	Production and Operations Managers (Pub.)	2	0.2%
1032	Production and Operations Managers (Priv.)	1	0.1%
1091	Other Managers (Pub.)	3	0.2%
1092	Other Managers (Priv.)	5	0.4%
2011	Phys., Math. and Eng. Science Professionals (Pub.)	1	0.1%
2012	Phys., Math. and Eng. Science Professionals (Priv.)	0	
2021	Biol. Science and Health Professionals (Pub.)	1	0.1%
2022	Biol. Science and Health Professionals (Priv.)	2	0.2%
2031	Teachers (Pub.)	9	0.7%
2032	Teachers (Priv.)	2	0.2%
2041	Accountants and Business Professionals (Pub.)	1	0.1%
2042	Accountants and Business Professionals (Priv.)	3	0.2%
2051	Lawyers and Legal Professionals (Pub.)	0	
2052	Lawyers and Legal Professionals (Priv.)	1	0.1%
2061	Social Science and Related Professionals (Pub.)	0	
2062	Social Science and Related Professionals (Priv.)	0	
2091	Other Professionals (Pub.)	0	
2092	Other Professionals (Priv.)	6	0.5%
3011	Phys. and Eng. Science Associate Professionals (Pub.)	0	
3012	Phys. and Eng. Science Associate Professionals (Priv.)	2	0.2%
3021	Biol. Science and Health Associate Professionals (Pub.)	0	
3022	Biol. Science and Health Associate Professionals (Priv.)	1	0.1%
3031	Teaching and Related Associate Professionals (Pub.)	0	
3032	Teaching and Related Associate Professionals (Priv.)	0	
3041	Fin. and Business Services Associate Professionals (Pub.)	1	0.1%
3042	Fin. and Business Services Associate Professionals (Priv.)	1	0.1%
3051	Gov. Admin. Officials and Bus. Clerical Supervisors (Pub.)	0	
3052	Gov. Admin. Officials and Bus. Clerical Supervisors (Priv.)	0	
3061	Administrative Associate Professionals (Pub.)	2	0.2%
3062	Administrative Associate Professionals (Priv.)	0	
3071	Customs, Tax and Related Gov. Associate Professionals (Pub.)	0	
3072	Customs, Tax and Related Gov. Associate Professionals (Priv.)	0	
3091	Other Associate Professionals (Pub.)	0	
3092	Other Associate Professionals (Priv.)	0	
4011	Office Clerks (Pub.)	4	0.3%
4012	Office Clerks (Priv.)	2	0.2%
4021	Customer Services Clerks (Pub.)	1	0.1%

# ps10b: Main occupation of R's spouse before his/her retirement or unemployment			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4022	Customer Services Clerks (Priv.)	3	0.2%
5011	Personal Services Workers (Pub.)	0	
5012	Personal Services Workers (Priv.)	3	0.2%
5021	Protective Services Workers (Pub.)	4	0.3%
5022	Protective Services Workers (Priv.)	0	
5031	Models, Salespersons and Demonstrators (Pub.)	0	
5032	Models, Salespersons and Demonstrators (Priv.)	6	0.5%
6011	Agr., Animal Husbandry, Forestry and Fishing Workers (Pub.)	0	
6012	Agr., Animal Husbandry, Forestry and Fishing Workers (Priv.)	22	1.8%
7011	Extraction and Building Trades Workers (Pub.)	0	
7012	Extraction and Building Trades Workers (Priv.)	2	0.2%
7021	Metal, Machinery and Rel. Trades Workers (Pub.)	1	0.1%
7022	Metal, Machinery and Rel. Trades Workers (Priv.)	2	0.2%
7031	Precision, Handicraft, Print. and Rel. Trades Workers (Pub.)	0	
7032	Precision, Handicraft, Print. and Rel. Trades Workers (Priv.)	2	0.2%
7091	Other Craft and Rel. Trades Workers (Pub.)	0	
7092	Other Craft and Rel. Trades Workers (Priv.)	13	1.0%
8011	Stationary-plant and Rel. Operators (Pub.)	0	
8012	Stationary-plant and Rel. Operators (Priv.)	0	
8021	Machine Operators (Pub.)	0	
8022	Machine Operators (Priv.)	2	0.2%
8031	Assemblers (Pub.)	0	
8032	Assemblers (Priv.)	1	0.1%
8041	Drivers and Mobile-plant Operators (Pub.)	1	0.1%
8042	Drivers and Mobile-plant Operators (Priv.)	4	0.3%
9011	Sales and Services Elementary Occupations (Pub.)	0	
9012	Sales and Services Elementary Occupations (Priv.)	3	0.2%
9021	Production Laborers and Rel. Workers (Pub.)	0	
9022	Production Laborers and Rel. Workers (Priv.)	5	0.4%
9091	Other Elementary Occupations (Pub.)	0	
9092	Other Elementary Occupations (Priv.)	4	0.3%
9995	Refuse to answer	8	0.6%
9999	Skipped	1085	87.4%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# ps11: Language usually spoken at home			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-95] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Mandarin	381	30.7%
2	Taiwanese	504	40.6%
3	Hakka	26	2.1%
4	Aboriginal language	6	0.5%
5	Other Chinese dialect	0	

ps11: Language usually spoken at home

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6	Both Mandarin and Taiwanese	290	23.4%
7	Both Mandarin and Hakka	24	1.9%
8	Both Taiwanese and Hakka	2	0.2%
9	Both Mandarin and other Chinese dialect	1	0.1%
10	Both Mandarin and Japanese	1	0.1%
11	Mandarin, Taiwanese and Japanese	1	0.1%
12	Taiwanese and Japanese	0	
13	Both Mandarin and Aboriginal language	0	
14	Mandarin, Taiwanese and Hakka	4	0.3%
15	English	0	
16	Burmese	0	
17	Mandarin, Taiwanese and English	0	
18	Both Mandarin and English	0	
95	Refuse to answer	1	0.1%
Sysmiss		1051	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ps12: Number of people in household


















Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-95] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		42	3.4%
2		138	11.1%
3		180	14.5%
4		292	23.5%
5		223	18.0%
6		132	10.6%
7		88	7.1%
8		40	3.2%
9		28	2.3%
10		24	1.9%
11		16	1.3%
12		10	0.8%
13		6	0.5%
14		5	0.4%
15		6	0.5%
16		2	0.2%
17		1	0.1%
18		1	0.1%
21		1	0.1%
30		1	0.1%
95	Refuse to answer [skip to PS13]	5	0.4%
Sysmiss		1051	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.





# ps12a: Number of children under age 18 in household			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	None	698	<div><div></div></div> 56.2%
1		206	<div><div></div></div> 16.6%
2		226	<div><div></div></div> 18.2%
3		60	<div><div></div></div> 4.8%
4		19	<div><div></div></div> 1.5%
5		17	<div><div></div></div> 1.4%
6		7	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
7		3	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
95	Refuse to answer	0	
99	Skipped	5	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# ps13: In the past five years, how many foreign trips have you taken (including trips to Hong Kong, and Macau but not including trips to Mainland China)?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-95] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		923	<div><div></div></div> 74.4%
1		145	<div><div></div></div> 11.7%
2		52	<div><div></div></div> 4.2%
3		40	<div><div></div></div> 3.2%
4		12	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%
5		25	<div><div></div></div> 2.0%
6		4	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
7		5	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
8		4	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
10		10	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
12		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
15		2	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
20		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
30		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
60		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
89	Too many times to count	13	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%
95	Refuse to answer	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# ps13a: In the past five years, how many trips have you taken to Mainland China (not including Hong Kong or Macau)?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-95] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		

ps13a: In the past five years, how many trips have you taken to Mainland China (not including Hong Kong or Macau)?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		643	 51.8%
1		184	 14.8%
2		131	 10.6%
3		86	 6.9%
4		35	 2.8%
5		57	 4.6%
6		18	 1.5%
7		8	 0.6%
8		16	 1.3%
9		1	 0.1%
10		39	 3.1%
15		2	 0.2%
16		1	 0.1%
20		4	 0.3%
30		1	 0.1%
89	Too many times to count	13	 1.0%
95	Refuse to answer	2	 0.2%
Sysmiss		1051	









Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ps14: Have you or any member of your family made investments, conducted business, held employment, settled down or studied in Mainland China?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes, at present	129	 10.4%
2	Yes, in the past	56	 4.5%
3	No, never	1055	 85.0%
95	Refuse to answer	1	 0.1%
98	Don't know	0	
Sysmiss		1051	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ps15: If we divide social class to upper, upper middle, middle, lower middle and lower, which do you think you are?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Upper	10	 0.8%
2	Upper middle	80	 6.4%
3	Middle	588	 47.4%
4	Lower middle	359	 28.9%
5	Lower	128	 10.3%
95	Refuse to answer	12	 1.0%
96	It depends	2	 0.2%
97	No opinion	3	 0.2%














ps15: If we divide social class to upper, upper middle, middle, lower middle and lower, which do you think you are?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Don't know	59	 4.8%
Sysmiss		1051	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ps16: Monthly household income(NTD)



















Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Under 28,000	180	 14.5%
2	28,001-39,000	90	 7.3%
3	39,001-49,000	73	 5.9%
4	49,001-59,000	86	 6.9%
5	59,001-69,000	94	 7.6%
6	69,001-80,000	97	 7.8%
7	80,001-93,000	78	 6.3%
8	93,001-111,000	98	 7.9%
9	111,001-141,000	96	 7.7%
10	Over 141,001	105	 8.5%
95	Refuse to answer	70	 5.6%
96	It's hard to say	49	 3.9%
98	Don't know	125	 10.1%
Sysmiss		1051	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ps17: Country of birth

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 2-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Yi Lan County	16	 1.3%
4	Hsinchu County	35	 2.8%
5	Miaoli County	38	 3.1%
7	Changhua County	70	 5.6%
8	Nantou County	31	 2.5%
9	Yunlin County	87	 7.0%
10	Chiayi County	52	 4.2%
13	Pingtung City	62	 5.0%
14	Taitung County	32	 2.6%
15	Hualien County	20	 1.6%
16	Penghu County	4	 0.3%
17	Keelung City	18	 1.5%
18	Hsinchu City	9	 0.7%
20	Chiayi City	15	 1.2%
28	Kinmen County	1	 0.1%
30	Lienchiang County	1	 0.1%
63	Taipei City	102	 8.2%
64	Kaohsiung City	138	 11.1%

# ps17: Country of birth			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
65	New Taipei City	84	<div><div></div></div> 6.8%
66	Taichung City	151	<div><div></div></div> 12.2%
67	Tainan City	181	<div><div></div></div> 14.6%
68	Taoyuan City	52	<div><div></div></div> 4.2%
71	China	14	<div><div></div></div> 1.1%
72	Hong Kong	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
73	Macao	0	
74	Indonesia	0	
75	India	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
76	Viet Nam	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
95	Refuse to answer	5	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
98	Don't know	20	<div><div></div></div> 1.6%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# ps17a: Was either or both of your parents born outside of our country?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Both of them	21	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%
2	Only father	13	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%
3	Only mother	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
4	Neither	1198	<div><div></div></div> 96.5%
95	Refuse to answer	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
98	Don't know	7	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# ps18: Are you a resident of where your household is registered?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-95] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes [skip to PS19]	1135	<div><div></div></div> 91.5%
2	No [continue to PS18a]	105	<div><div></div></div> 8.5%
95	Refuse to answer [skip to PS19]	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# ps18a: In which county/city and which township do you live?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 2-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Yi Lan County	0	
4	Hsinchu County	6	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
5	Miaoli County	0	
7	Changhua County	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%


ps18a: In which county/city and which township do you live?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8	Nantou County	0	
9	Yunlin County	5	0.4%
10	Chiayi County	4	0.3%
13	Pingtung City	6	0.5%
14	Taitung County	1	0.1%
15	Hualien County	0	
16	Penghu County	0	
17	Keelung City	0	
18	Hsinchu City	1	0.1%
20	Chiayi City	1	0.1%
28	Kinmen County	0	
30	Lienchiang County	0	
63	Taipei City	10	0.8%
64	Kaohsiung City	8	0.6%
65	New Taipei City	17	1.4%
66	Taichung City	17	1.4%
67	Tainan City	19	1.5%
68	Taoyuan City	8	0.6%
95	Refuse to answer	0	
99	Skipped	1136	91.5%
Sysmiss		1051	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# ps18aa: Which township or city in Yi Lan County?			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Ilan City	0	
2	Luodong Township	0	
3	Suao Township	0	
4	Toucheng Township	0	
5	Jiaoxi Township	0	
6	Zhuangwei Township	0	
7	Yuanshan Township	0	
8	Dongshan Township	0	
9	Wujie Township	0	
10	Sanxing Township	0	
11	Datong Township	0	
12	Nanao Township	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1241	100.0%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# ps18ab: Which township or city in Hsinchu County?			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Zhubei City	3	0.2%
2	Zhudong Township	0	
3	Xinpu Township	0	
4	Guanxi Township	0	
5	Hukou Township	0	
6	Xinfeng Township	3	0.2%
7	Qionglin Township	0	
8	Hengshan Township	0	
9	Beipu Township	0	
10	Baoshan Township	0	
11	Emei Township	0	
12	Jianshi Township	0	
13	Wufeng Township	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1235	99.5%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# ps18ac: Which township or city in Miaoli County?			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]	

# ps18ac: Which township or city in Miaoli County?			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Miaoli City	0	
2	Yuanli Township	0	
3	TongxiaoTownship	0	
4	ZhunanTownship	0	
5	Toufen City	0	
6	Houlong Township	0	
7	ZhuolanTownship	0	
8	Dahu Township	0	
9	Gongguan Township	0	
10	Tongluo Township	0	
11	NanzhuangTownship	0	
12	Touwu Township	0	
13	Sanyi Township	0	
14	XihuTownship	0	
15	ZaoqiaoTownship	0	
16	Sanwan Township	0	
17	Shitan Township	0	
18	Taian Township	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1241	100.0%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# ps18ad: Which township or city in Changhua County?			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Changhua City	1	0.1%
2	LukangTownship	0	
3	Hemei Township	0	
4	XianxiTownship	0	
5	Shengang Township	0	
6	FuxingTownship	0	
7	XiushuiTownship	0	
8	Huatan Township	0	
9	Fenyuan Township	0	
10	Yuanlin City	0	
11	Xihu Township	0	
12	Tianzhong Township	0	
13	Dacun Township	0	
14	Puyan Township	0	
15	Puxin Township	0	
16	Yongjing Township	0	

# ps18ad: Which township or city in Changhua County?				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
17	Shetou Township	0		
18	Ershui Township	0		
19	Beidou Township	0		
20	Erlin Township	0		
21	Tianwei Township	1		
22	Pitou Township	0		
23	Fangyuan Township	0		
24	Dacheng Township	0		
25	Zhutang Township	0		
26	Xizhou Township	0		
95	Refuse to answer	0		
98	Don't know	0		
99	Skipped	1239		
Sysmiss		1051		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# ps18ae: Which township or city in Nantou County?				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Nantou City	0		
2	Puli Township	0		
3	Caotun Township	0		
4	Zhushan Township	0		
5	Jiji Township	0		
6	Mingjian Township	0		
7	Lugu Township	0		
8	Zhongliao Township	0		
9	Yuchi Township	0		
10	Guoxing Township	0		
11	Shuili Township	0		
12	Xinyi Township	0		
13	Renai Township	0		
95	Refuse to answer	0		
98	Don't know	0		
99	Skipped	1241		
Sysmiss		1051		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# ps18af: Which township or city in Yunlin County?				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Douliu City	0		
2	Dounan Township	0		
3	Huwei Township	0		

# ps18af: Which township or city in Yunlin County?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Xiluo Township	0	
5	Tuku Township	0	
6	Beigang Township	0	
7	Gukeng Township	0	
8	Dapi Township	0	
9	Citong Township	1	0.1%
10	Linnei Township	0	
11	Erlun Township	0	
12	Lunbei Township	0	
13	Mailiao Township	0	
14	Dongshi Township	0	
15	Baozhong Township	0	
16	Taixi Township	1	0.1%
17	Yuanchang Township	0	
18	Sihu Township	0	
19	Kouhu Township	0	
20	Shuilin Township	3	0.2%
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1236	99.6%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# ps18ag: Which township or city in Chiayi County?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Taibao City	1	0.1%
2	Puzi City	0	
3	Budai Township	0	
4	Dalin Township	0	
5	Minxiong Township	2	0.2%
6	Xikou Township	0	
7	Xingang Township	0	
8	Liujiao Township	0	
9	Dongshi Township	0	
10	Yizhu Township	0	
11	Lucao Township	0	
12	Shuishang Township	1	0.1%
13	Zhongpu Township	0	
14	Zhuqi Township	0	
15	Meishan Township	0	
16	Fanlu Township	0	
17	Dapu Township	0	
18	Alishan Township	0	

# ps18ag: Which township or city in Chiayi County?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1237	99.7%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# ps18ah: Which township or city in Pingtung County?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Pingtung City	2	0.2%
2	Chaozhou Township	0	
3	Donggang Township	0	
4	Hengchun Township	0	
5	Wandan Township	0	
6	Changzhi Township	0	
7	Linluo Township	0	
8	Jiuru Township	0	
9	Ligang Township	0	
10	Yanpu Township	0	
11	Gaoshu Township	0	
12	Wanluan Township	0	
13	Neipu Township	1	0.1%
14	Zhutian Township	0	
15	Xinpi Township	0	
16	Fangliao Township	3	0.2%
17	Xinyuan Township	0	
18	Kanding Township	0	
19	Linbian Township	0	
20	NanzhouTownship	0	
21	Jiadong Township	0	
22	Liuqiu Township	0	
23	Checheng Township	0	
24	Manzhou Township	0	
25	FangshanTownship	0	
26	Sandimen Township	0	
27	Wutai Township	0	
28	Majia Township	0	
29	Taiwu Township	0	
30	Laiyi Township	0	
31	Chunri Township	0	
32	Shizi Township	0	
33	Mudan Township	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	

# ps18ah: Which township or city in Pingtung County?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
99	Skipped	1235	99.5%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# ps18ai: Which township or city in Taitung County?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Taitung City	1	0.1%
2	Chenggong Township	0	
3	Guanshan Township	0	
4	Beinan Township	0	
5	Luye Township	0	
6	Chishang Township	0	
7	Donghe Township	0	
8	Changbin Township	0	
9	Taimali Township	0	
10	Dawu Township	0	
11	Ludao Township	0	
12	Haiduan Township	0	
13	Yanping Township	0	
14	Jinfeng Township	0	
15	Daren Township	0	
16	Lanyu Township	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1240	99.9%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# ps18aj: Which township or city in Hualien County?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Hualien City	0	
2	Fenglin Township	0	
3	Yuli Township	0	
4	Xincheng Township	0	
5	Jian Township	0	
6	Shoufeng Township	0	
7	Guangfu Township	0	
8	Fengbin Township	0	
9	Ruisui Township	0	
10	Fuli Township	0	
11	Xiulin Township	0	
12	Wanrong Township	0	

# ps18aj: Which township or city in Hualien County?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
13	Zhuoxi Township	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1241	100.0%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# ps18ak: Which township or city in Penghu County?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Magong City	0	
2	Huxi Township	0	
3	Baisha Township	0	
4	Xiyu Township	0	
5	Wangan Township	0	
6	Qimei Township	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1241	100.0%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# ps18al: Which area in Keelung City?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Zhongzheng District	0	
2	Qidu District	0	
3	Nuannuan District	0	
4	Renai District	0	
5	Zhongshan District	0	
6	Anle District	0	
7	Xinyi District	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1241	100.0%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# ps18am: Which area in Hsinchu City?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	East District	1	0.1%
2	North District	0	

# ps18am: Which area in Hsinchu City?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	XiangshanDistrict	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1240	99.9%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# ps18an: Which area in Chiayi City?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	East District	0	
2	West District	1	0.1%
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1240	99.9%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# ps18ao: Which township or city in Kinmen County?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Jincheng Township	0	
2	Jinhu Township	0	
3	Jinsha Township	0	
4	Jinning Township	0	
5	Lieyu Township	0	
6	Wuqiu Township	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1241	100.0%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# ps18ap: Which township or city in Lianjiang County?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Nangan Township	0	
2	Beigan Township	0	
3	Juguang Township	0	
4	Dongyin Township	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1241	100.0%

# ps18ap: Which township or city in Lianjiang County?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# ps18aq: Which area in Taipei City?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Songshan District	0	
2	Xinyi District	0	
3	Daan District	3	0.2%
4	Zhongshan District	3	0.2%
5	Zhongzheng District	0	
6	Datong District	0	
7	Wanhua District	1	0.1%
8	Wenshan District	3	0.2%
9	Nangang District	0	
10	Neihu District	0	
11	Shilin District	0	
12	Beitou District	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1231	99.2%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# ps18ar: Which area in Kaohsiung City?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yancheng District	1	0.1%
2	Gushan District	0	
3	Zuoying District	0	
4	Nanzi District	0	
5	Sanmin District	2	0.2%
6	Xinxing District	0	
7	Qianjin District	0	
8	Lingya District	1	0.1%
9	Qianzhen District	1	0.1%
10	Qijin District	0	
11	Xiaogang District	1	0.1%
12	Fengshan District	1	0.1%
13	Linyuan District	0	
14	Daliao District	0	
15	Dashu District	0	
16	Dashe District	0	
17	Renwu District	0	

# ps18ar: Which area in Kaohsiung City?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
18	Niaosong District	0	
19	Gangshan District	0	
20	Qiaotou District	0	
21	Yanchao District	0	
22	Tianliao District	0	
23	Alian District	0	
24	Luzhu District	1	0.1%
25	Hunei District	0	
26	Qieding District	0	
27	Yongan District	0	
28	Mituo District	0	
29	Ziguan District	0	
30	Qishan District	0	
31	Meinong District	0	
32	Liugui District	0	
33	Jiaxian District	0	
34	Shanlin District	0	
35	Neimen District	0	
36	Maolin District	0	
37	Taoyuan District	0	
38	Namaxia District	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1233	99.4%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# ps18as: Which area in New Taipei City?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Banqiao District	3	0.2%
2	Sanchong District	0	
3	Zhonghe District	3	0.2%
4	Yonghe District	1	0.1%
5	Xinzhuang District	1	0.1%
6	Xindian District	2	0.2%
7	Shulin District	0	
8	Yingge District	0	
9	Sanxia District	0	
10	Tamsui District	1	0.1%
11	Xizhi District	0	
12	Ruifang District	2	0.2%
13	Tucheng District	0	
14	Luzhou District	1	0.1%

# ps18as: Which area in New Taipei City?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
15	Wugu District	1	0.1%
16	Taishan District	1	0.1%
17	Linkou District	1	0.1%
18	Shenkeng District	0	
19	Shiding District	0	
20	Pinglin District	0	
21	Sanzhi District	0	
22	Shimen District	0	
23	Bali District	0	
24	Pingxi District	0	
25	Shuangxi District	0	
26	Gongliao District	0	
27	Jinshan District	0	
28	Wanli District	0	
29	Wulai District	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1224	98.6%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# ps18at: Which area in Taichung City?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Central District	0	
2	East District	0	
3	South District	1	0.1%
4	West District	3	0.2%
5	North District	5	0.4%
6	Xitun District	1	0.1%
7	Nantun District	0	
8	Beitun District	1	0.1%
9	Fengyuan District	0	
10	Dongshi District	1	0.1%
11	Dajia District	0	
12	Qingshui District	0	
13	Shalu District	0	
14	Wuqi District	0	
15	Houli District	0	
16	Shengang District	0	
17	Tanzi District	0	
18	Daya District	0	
19	Xinshe District	0	
20	Shigang District	0	

# ps18at: Which area in Taichung City?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
21	Waipu District	3	0.2%
22	Daan District	0	
23	Wuri District	1	0.1%
24	Dadu District	0	
25	Longjing District	0	
26	Wufeng District	0	
27	Taiping District	0	
28	Dali District	1	0.1%
29	Heping District	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1224	98.6%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# ps18au: Which area in Tainan City?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Xinying District	0	
2	Yanshui District	0	
3	Baihe District	0	
4	Liuying District	0	
5	Houbi District	0	
6	Dongshan District	3	0.2%
7	Madou District	1	0.1%
8	Xiaying District	0	
9	Liujia District	0	
10	Guantian District	0	
11	Danei District	0	
12	Jiali District	0	
13	Xuejia District	0	
14	Xigang District	0	
15	Qigu District	0	
16	Jiangjun District	0	
17	Beimen District	0	
18	Xinhua District	0	
19	Shanhua District	5	0.4%
20	Xinshi District	0	
21	Anding District	0	
22	Shanshang District	0	
23	Yujing District	0	
24	Nanxi District	0	
25	Nanhua District	0	
26	Zuozhen District	0	

# ps18au: Which area in Tainan City?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
27	Rende District	0	
28	Guiren District	0	
29	Guanmiao District	0	
30	Longqi District	0	
31	Yongkang District	6	0.5%
32	East District	1	0.1%
33	South District	1	0.1%
34	North District	1	0.1%
35	Annan District	1	0.1%
36	Anping District	0	
37	West Central District	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1222	98.5%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# ps18av: Which area in Taoyuan City?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Taoyuan District	1	0.1%
2	Zhongli District	0	
3	Daxi District	2	0.2%
4	Yangmei District	0	
5	Luzhu District	0	
6	Dayuan District	0	
7	Guishan District	5	0.4%
8	Bade District	0	
9	Longtan District	0	
10	Pingzhen District	0	
11	Xinwu District	0	
12	Guanyin District	0	
13	Fuxing District	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1233	99.4%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# ps19: Does the R leave his/her telephone number and mobile phone number to I?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-95] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Telephone	1173	94.5%
92	No	4	0.3%

# ps19: Does the R leave his/her telephone number and mobile phone number to I?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
95	Refuse to answer	64	<div><div></div></div> 5.2%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# ps20: How many telephone lines does your household have? (only those used for voice communications)			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-95] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		75	<div><div></div></div> 6.0%
1		981	<div><div></div></div> 79.0%
2		131	<div><div></div></div> 10.6%
3		23	<div><div></div></div> 1.9%
4		7	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
5		5	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
6		5	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
7		4	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
8		2	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
95	Refuse to answer	8	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# ps21: Finally, our teacher is interested in the Internet usage in Taiwan, may you provide us your most frequently used e-mail?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-95] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Email	0	
2	No/R is in panel sample	1241	<div><div></div></div> 100.0%
95	Refuse to answer	0	
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# ps22: Does R agree to participate retest?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	0	
2	No	0	
3	R is in panel sample	1241	<div><div></div></div> 100.0%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# ps23: R's gender			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		

# ps23: R's gender			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Male	635	<div><div></div></div> 51.2%
2	Female	606	<div><div></div></div> 48.8%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# ps24: Language used to conduct interview			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-95] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Mandarin	875	<div><div></div></div> 70.5%
2	Taiwanese	159	<div><div></div></div> 12.8%
3	Hakka	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
4	Mandarin and Taiwanese	182	<div><div></div></div> 14.7%
5	Mandarin and Hakka	16	<div><div></div></div> 1.3%
6	Taiwanese and Hakka	6	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
95	Refuse to answer	0	
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pq230: The interview ended at:			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10501172124-10503261712] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-] [Mean=10501664293.652 /-] [StdDev=514744.574 /-]		
# pu1_1: Before the interview started, did the R do any of the following? [multiple responses permitted]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Claim he or she was too busy to be interviewed	82	<div><div></div></div> 6.6%
2	Claim his or her family was too busy for him or her to be in	43	<div><div></div></div> 3.5%
3	Claim to be uninterested in surveys	70	<div><div></div></div> 5.6%
4	Claim not to trust opinion surveys	8	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
5	Express doubt about how the survey would be used	10	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
6	Express doubt about whether R's opinions or personal informa	19	<div><div></div></div> 1.5%
7	Express doubt about the surveying organization or project di	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
8	Express doubt about the identity of the I	5	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
9	A family member opposed the R being interviewed	11	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
10	None of the above	992	<div><div></div></div> 79.9%
99	Skipped	0	
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pu1_2: Before the interview started, did the R do any of the following? [multiple responses permitted]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Claim he or she was too busy to be interviewed	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%

# pu1_2: Before the interview started, did the R do any of the following? [multiple responses permitted]			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Claim his or her family was too busy for him or her to be in	5	0.4%
3	Claim to be uninterested in surveys	4	0.3%
4	Claim not to trust opinion surveys	2	0.2%
5	Express doubt about how the survey would be used	7	0.6%
6	Express doubt about whether R's opinions or personal informa	2	0.2%
7	Express doubt about the surveying organization or project di	1	0.1%
8	Express doubt about the identity of the I	1	0.1%
9	A family member opposed the R being interviewed	2	0.2%
10	None of the above	0	
99	Skipped	1214	97.8%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pu1_3: Before the interview started, did the R do any of the following? [multiple responses permitted]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Claim he or she was too busy to be interviewed	0	
2	Claim his or her family was too busy for him or her to be in	1	0.1%
3	Claim to be uninterested in surveys	1	0.1%
4	Claim not to trust opinion surveys	2	0.2%
5	Express doubt about how the survey would be used	1	0.1%
6	Express doubt about whether R's opinions or personal informa	3	0.2%
7	Express doubt about the surveying organization or project di	0	
8	Express doubt about the identity of the I	2	0.2%
9	A family member opposed the R being interviewed	0	
10	None of the above	0	
99	Skipped	1231	99.2%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pu1_4: Before the interview started, did the R do any of the following? [multiple responses permitted]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Claim he or she was too busy to be interviewed	1	0.1%
2	Claim his or her family was too busy for him or her to be in	0	
3	Claim to be uninterested in surveys	1	0.1%
4	Claim not to trust opinion surveys	0	
5	Express doubt about how the survey would be used	1	0.1%
6	Express doubt about whether R's opinions or personal informa	0	
7	Express doubt about the surveying organization or project di	0	
8	Express doubt about the identity of the I	0	
9	A family member opposed the R being interviewed	2	0.2%
10	None of the above	0	
99	Skipped	1236	99.6%

# pu1_4: Before the interview started, did the R do any of the following? [multiple responses permitted]			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pu1_5: Before the interview started, did the R do any of the following? [multiple responses permitted]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Claim he or she was too busy to be interviewed	0	
2	Claim his or her family was too busy for him or her to be in	0	
3	Claim to be uninterested in surveys	0	
4	Claim not to trust opinion surveys	0	
5	Express doubt about how the survey would be used	0	
6	Express doubt about whether R's opinions or personal informa	0	
7	Express doubt about the surveying organization or project di	0	
8	Express doubt about the identity of the I	0	
9	A family member opposed the R being interviewed	0	
10	None of the above	0	
99	Skipped	1241	100.0%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pu1_6: Before the interview started, did the R do any of the following? [multiple responses permitted]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Claim he or she was too busy to be interviewed	0	
2	Claim his or her family was too busy for him or her to be in	0	
3	Claim to be uninterested in surveys	0	
4	Claim not to trust opinion surveys	0	
5	Express doubt about how the survey would be used	0	
6	Express doubt about whether R's opinions or personal informa	0	
7	Express doubt about the surveying organization or project di	0	
8	Express doubt about the identity of the I	0	
9	A family member opposed the R being interviewed	0	
10	None of the above	0	
99	Skipped	1241	100.0%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pu1_7: Before the interview started, did the R do any of the following? [multiple responses permitted]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Claim he or she was too busy to be interviewed	0	
2	Claim his or her family was too busy for him or her to be in	0	
3	Claim to be uninterested in surveys	0	

# pu1_7: Before the interview started, did the R do any of the following? [multiple responses permitted]			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Claim not to trust opinion surveys	0	
5	Express doubt about how the survey would be used	0	
6	Express doubt about whether R's opinions or personal informa	0	
7	Express doubt about the surveying organization or project di	0	
8	Express doubt about the identity of the I	0	
9	A family member opposed the R being interviewed	0	
10	None of the above	0	
99	Skipped	1241	100.0%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pu1_8: Before the interview started, did the R do any of the following? [multiple responses permitted]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Claim he or she was too busy to be interviewed	0	
2	Claim his or her family was too busy for him or her to be in	0	
3	Claim to be uninterested in surveys	0	
4	Claim not to trust opinion surveys	0	
5	Express doubt about how the survey would be used	0	
6	Express doubt about whether R's opinions or personal informa	0	
7	Express doubt about the surveying organization or project di	0	
8	Express doubt about the identity of the I	0	
9	A family member opposed the R being interviewed	0	
10	None of the above	0	
99	Skipped	1241	100.0%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pu1_9: Before the interview started, did the R do any of the following? [multiple responses permitted]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Claim he or she was too busy to be interviewed	0	
2	Claim his or her family was too busy for him or her to be in	0	
3	Claim to be uninterested in surveys	0	
4	Claim not to trust opinion surveys	0	
5	Express doubt about how the survey would be used	0	
6	Express doubt about whether R's opinions or personal informa	0	
7	Express doubt about the surveying organization or project di	0	
8	Express doubt about the identity of the I	0	
9	A family member opposed the R being interviewed	0	
10	None of the above	0	
99	Skipped	1241	100.0%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# pu1_10: Before the interview started, did the R do any of the following? [multiple responses permitted]			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Claim he or she was too busy to be interviewed	0	
2	Claim his or her family was too busy for him or her to be in	0	
3	Claim to be uninterested in surveys	0	
4	Claim not to trust opinion surveys	0	
5	Express doubt about how the survey would be used	0	
6	Express doubt about whether R's opinions or personal informa	0	
7	Express doubt about the surveying organization or project di	0	
8	Express doubt about the identity of the I	0	
9	A family member opposed the R being interviewed	0	
10	None of the above	0	
99	Skipped	1241	<div><div></div></div> 100.0%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pu2a: Did the R ask to fill out the questionnaire him or herself?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	18	<div><div></div></div> 1.5%
2	No	1223	<div><div></div></div> 98.5%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pu2b: Did the R ask to see the questionnaire?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	16	<div><div></div></div> 1.3%
2	No	1225	<div><div></div></div> 98.7%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pu2c: Did the R seem to want to quit during the interview?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	53	<div><div></div></div> 4.3%
2	No	1188	<div><div></div></div> 95.7%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pu2d: Did the R say that there were too many questions?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		

# pu2d: Did the R say that there were too many questions?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	262	<div><div></div></div> 21.1%
2	No	979	<div><div></div></div> 78.9%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pu2e: Did the R say that a question was unclear or something was not defined well?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	60	<div><div></div></div> 4.8%
2	No	1181	<div><div></div></div> 95.2%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pu2e1_1: The number of the question			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10000-999999] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10000	A Group	0	
10100	A1	0	
10200	A2	0	
10300	A3	0	
10400	A4	0	
10401	A4a	0	
10402	A4b	0	
10403	A4c	0	
20000	B Group	0	
20100	B1	0	
20200	B2	0	
20201	B2a	0	
20202	B2b	0	
20203	B2c	0	
20300	B3	0	
20400	B4	0	
20500	B5	0	
20501	B5a	0	
20600	B6	0	
30000	C Group	0	
30100	C1	0	
30200	C2	0	
30300	C3	0	
40000	D Group	0	
40100	D1	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
40200	D2	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
40300	D3	0	

# pu2e1_1: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
40400	D4	1	0.1%
40500	D5	0	
40600	D6	1	0.1%
40700	D7	3	0.2%
50000	E Group	0	
50100	E1	0	
50200	E2	1	0.1%
50300	E3	0	
50400	E4	1	0.1%
50500	E5	2	0.2%
50600	E6	1	0.1%
50700	E7	0	
50800	E8	0	
60000	F Group	0	
60100	F1	0	
60200	F2	0	
70000	G Group	0	
70100	G1	0	
70200	G2	0	
70300	G3	0	
70400	G4	0	
80000	H Group	0	
80100	H1	0	
80200	H2	0	
80300	H3	1	0.1%
80400	H4	0	
80500	H5	0	
80600	H6	0	
80700	H7	0	
80800	H8	0	
80900	H9	0	
90000	I Group	0	
90100	I1	0	
90200	I2	0	
90300	I3	0	
100000	J Group	0	
100101	J1a	0	
100102	J1b	0	
100103	J1b1	0	
100104	J1b2	0	
100105	J1c	0	
100106	J1d	0	
100107	J1e	0	
100108	J1f	0	
100109	J1g	0	

# pu2e1_1: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
100200	J2	0	
100300	J3	0	
100400	J4	0	
100401	J4a	0	
100402	J4a1	0	
100403	J4b	0	
100404	J4b1	0	
100500	J5	0	
100501	J5a	0	
100502	J5b	0	
110000	K Group	2	0.2%
110100	K1	0	
110101	K1a	0	
110201	K2a	0	
110202	K2b	0	
110203	K2c	0	
110301	K3a	0	
110302	K3b	0	
110303	K3c	0	
110401	K4a	0	
110402	K4b	0	
110403	K4c	0	
110501	K5a	0	
110502	K5b	0	
110503	K5c	0	
110601	K6a	1	0.1%
110602	K6b	0	
110603	K6c	0	
110700	K7	1	0.1%
110701	K7a	0	
110800	K8	0	
110801	K8a	0	
110900	K9	0	
110901	K9a	0	
111000	K10	0	
111100	K11	0	
111200	K12	0	
111300	K13	0	
120000	M Group	0	
120101	M1a	0	
120102	M1b	0	
120200	M2	0	
120300	M3	0	
120400	M4	0	
130000	N Group	1	0.1%

# pu2e1_1: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
130100	N1 Group	1	0.1%
130101	N1a	0	
130102	N1b	0	
130103	N1c	0	
130104	N1d	0	
130105	N1e	0	
130200	N2	2	0.2%
130201	N2a	0	
130202	N2b	1	0.1%
130300	N3	0	
130301	N3a	0	
130400	N4	1	0.1%
130500	N5	1	0.1%
130600	N6	0	
140000	P Group	1	0.1%
140100	P1	0	
140101	P1a	0	
140102	P1b	0	
140200	P2	0	
140300	P3	0	
140400	P4	0	
140500	P5	0	
140501	P5a	0	
140502	P5b	0	
140503	P5c	0	
140600	P6	1	0.1%
140601	P6a	0	
140700	P7	1	0.1%
140701	P7a	0	
140801	P8a	4	0.3%
140802	P8b	0	
140803	P8c	0	
140804	P8d	0	
140805	P8e	0	
140806	P8f	0	
140807	P8g	0	
150000	Q Group	0	
150100	Q1	0	
150101	Q1a	1	0.1%
150102	Q1b	0	
150103	Q1c	0	
150200	Q2	0	
150201	Q2a	0	
150202	Q2b	0	
150203	Q2c	0	

# pu2e1_1: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
150204	Q2d	0	
150300	Q3	0	
150301	Q3a	0	
150400	Q4	0	
150500	Q5	0	
150600	Q6	0	
150700	Q7	0	
150800	Q8	0	
150801	Q8a	0	
150900	Q9	0	
150901	Q9a	0	
160000	S Group	0	
160100	S1	0	
160200	S2	0	
160300	S3	0	
160400	S4	0	
160500	S5	0	
160600	S6	1	0.1%
160601	S6a	0	
160602	S6b	1	0.1%
160700	S7	0	
160701	S7a	0	
160702	S7b	0	
160800	S8	0	
160900	S9	1	0.1%
161000	S10	0	
161001	S10a	0	
161002	S10b	0	
161100	S11	0	
161101	S12a	0	
161300	S13	0	
161301	S13a	0	
161400	S14	0	
161500	S15	1	0.1%
161600	S16	0	
161700	S17	0	
161701	S17a	0	
161800	S18	0	
161801	S18a	0	
161900	S19	0	
162000	S20	1	0.1%
162100	S21	0	
999991	Too many, all	21	1.7%
999999	Skipped	1181	95.2%
Sysmiss		1051	

# pu2e1_1: The number of the question			
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pu2e1_2: The number of the question			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10000-999999] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10000	A Group	0	
10100	A1	0	
10200	A2	0	
10300	A3	0	
10400	A4	0	
10401	A4a	0	
10402	A4b	0	
10403	A4c	0	
20000	B Group	0	
20100	B1	0	
20200	B2	0	
20201	B2a	0	
20202	B2b	0	
20203	B2c	0	
20300	B3	0	
20400	B4	0	
20500	B5	0	
20501	B5a	0	
20600	B6	0	
30000	C Group	0	
30100	C1	0	
30200	C2	0	
30300	C3	0	
40000	D Group	0	
40100	D1	0	
40200	D2	1	0.1%
40300	D3	0	
40400	D4	0	
40500	D5	1	0.1%
40600	D6	0	
40700	D7	1	0.1%
50000	E Group	0	
50100	E1	0	
50200	E2	0	
50300	E3	0	
50400	E4	3	0.2%
50500	E5	0	
50600	E6	0	
50700	E7	0	
50800	E8	1	0.1%

# pu2e1_2: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
60000	F Group	0	
60100	F1	0	
60200	F2	0	
70000	G Group	0	
70100	G1	0	0.1%
70200	G2	1	
70300	G3	0	
70400	G4	0	
80000	H Group	0	
80100	H1	0	
80200	H2	0	
80300	H3	1	
80400	H4	0	
80500	H5	0	
80600	H6	0	
80700	H7	0	
80800	H8	0	
80900	H9	0	
90000	I Group	0	
90100	I1	0	
90200	I2	0	
90300	I3	0	
100000	J Group	0	
100101	J1a	0	
100102	J1b	0	0.1%
100103	J1b1	1	
100104	J1b2	0	
100105	J1c	0	
100106	J1d	0	
100107	J1e	0	
100108	J1f	0	
100109	J1g	0	
100200	J2	0	
100300	J3	0	
100400	J4	0	
100401	J4a	0	
100402	J4a1	0	
100403	J4b	0	
100404	J4b1	0	
100500	J5	0	
100501	J5a	0	
100502	J5b	0	
110000	K Group	0	
110100	K1	0	
110101	K1a	0	

# pu2e1_2: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
110201	K2a	0	
110202	K2b	0	
110203	K2c	0	
110301	K3a	0	
110302	K3b	0	
110303	K3c	0	
110401	K4a	0	
110402	K4b	0	
110403	K4c	0	
110501	K5a	0	
110502	K5b	0	
110503	K5c	0	
110601	K6a	0	
110602	K6b	0	
110603	K6c	0	
110700	K7	0	
110701	K7a	0	
110800	K8	0	
110801	K8a	0	
110900	K9	0	
110901	K9a	0	
111000	K10	0	
111100	K11	0	
111200	K12	0	
111300	K13	0	
120000	M Group	0	
120101	M1a	0	
120102	M1b	0	
120200	M2	0	
120300	M3	0	
120400	M4	0	
130000	N Group	1	0.1%
130100	N1 Group	0	
130101	N1a	0	
130102	N1b	0	
130103	N1c	0	
130104	N1d	0	
130105	N1e	0	
130200	N2	0	
130201	N2a	2	0.2%
130202	N2b	0	
130300	N3	0	
130301	N3a	0	
130400	N4	0	
130500	N5	0	

# pu2e1_2: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
130600	N6	1	0.1%
140000	P Group	1	0.1%
140100	P1	0	
140101	P1a	0	
140102	P1b	0	
140200	P2	0	
140300	P3	0	
140400	P4	0	
140500	P5	0	
140501	P5a	0	
140502	P5b	0	
140503	P5c	0	
140600	P6	0	
140601	P6a	0	
140700	P7	0	
140701	P7a	0	
140801	P8a	0	
140802	P8b	2	0.2%
140803	P8c	0	
140804	P8d	1	0.1%
140805	P8e	1	0.1%
140806	P8f	0	
140807	P8g	0	
150000	Q Group	0	
150100	Q1	0	
150101	Q1a	0	
150102	Q1b	0	
150103	Q1c	0	
150200	Q2	0	
150201	Q2a	0	
150202	Q2b	0	
150203	Q2c	0	
150204	Q2d	0	
150300	Q3	0	
150301	Q3a	0	
150400	Q4	1	0.1%
150500	Q5	0	
150600	Q6	0	
150700	Q7	0	
150800	Q8	0	
150801	Q8a	0	
150900	Q9	0	
150901	Q9a	0	
160000	S Group	0	
160100	S1	0	

# pu2e1_2: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
160200	S2	0	
160300	S3	0	
160400	S4	0	
160500	S5	0	
160600	S6	0	
160601	S6a	0	
160602	S6b	0	
160700	S7	0	
160701	S7a	0	
160702	S7b	0	
160800	S8	0	
160900	S9	0	
161000	S10	0	
161001	S10a	0	
161002	S10b	0	
161100	S11	0	
161101	S12a	0	
161300	S13	0	
161301	S13a	0	
161400	S14	0	
161500	S15	0	
161600	S16	0	
161700	S17	0	
161701	S17a	0	
161800	S18	0	
161801	S18a	0	
161900	S19	0	
162000	S20	0	
162100	S21	0	
999991	Too many, all	0	
999999	Skipped	1221	98.4%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pu2e1_3: The number of the question			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10000-999999] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10000	A Group	0	
10100	A1	0	
10200	A2	0	
10300	A3	0	
10400	A4	0	
10401	A4a	0	
10402	A4b	0	

# pu2e1_3: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10403	A4c	0	
20000	B Group	0	
20100	B1	0	
20200	B2	0	
20201	B2a	0	
20202	B2b	0	
20203	B2c	0	
20300	B3	0	
20400	B4	0	
20500	B5	0	
20501	B5a	0	
20600	B6	0	
30000	C Group	0	
30100	C1	0	
30200	C2	1	
30300	C3	0	
40000	D Group	0	
40100	D1	0	
40200	D2	0	
40300	D3	0	
40400	D4	0	
40500	D5	0	
40600	D6	0	
40700	D7	0	
50000	E Group	0	
50100	E1	0	
50200	E2	0	
50300	E3	0	
50400	E4	0	
50500	E5	0	
50600	E6	0	
50700	E7	0	
50800	E8	0	
60000	F Group	0	0.1%
60100	F1	0	
60200	F2	1	
70000	G Group	0	
70100	G1	0	
70200	G2	0	
70300	G3	0	
70400	G4	0	
80000	H Group	0	
80100	H1	0	
80200	H2	0	
80300	H3	0	

# pu2e1_3: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
80400	H4	0	
80500	H5	0	
80600	H6	0	
80700	H7	0	
80800	H8	0	
80900	H9	0	
90000	I Group	0	
90100	I1	0	
90200	I2	0	
90300	I3	0	
100000	J Group	0	
100101	J1a	0	
100102	J1b	0	
100103	J1b1	0	
100104	J1b2	0	
100105	J1c	0	
100106	J1d	0	
100107	J1e	0	
100108	J1f	0	
100109	J1g	0	
100200	J2	0	
100300	J3	0	
100400	J4	0	
100401	J4a	0	
100402	J4a1	0	
100403	J4b	0	
100404	J4b1	0	
100500	J5	0	
100501	J5a	0	
100502	J5b	0	
110000	K Group	1	0.1%
110100	K1	0	
110101	K1a	0	
110201	K2a	0	
110202	K2b	0	
110203	K2c	0	
110301	K3a	0	
110302	K3b	0	
110303	K3c	0	
110401	K4a	0	
110402	K4b	0	
110403	K4c	0	
110501	K5a	0	
110502	K5b	0	
110503	K5c	0	

# pu2e1_3: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
110601	K6a	0	
110602	K6b	0	
110603	K6c	0	
110700	K7	0	
110701	K7a	0	
110800	K8	0	
110801	K8a	0	
110900	K9	0	
110901	K9a	0	
111000	K10	0	
111100	K11	0	
111200	K12	0	
111300	K13	1	0.1%
120000	M Group	0	
120101	M1a	0	
120102	M1b	0	
120200	M2	0	
120300	M3	0	
120400	M4	0	
130000	N Group	0	
130100	N1 Group	0	
130101	N1a	0	
130102	N1b	0	
130103	N1c	0	
130104	N1d	0	
130105	N1e	0	
130200	N2	0	
130201	N2a	0	
130202	N2b	3	0.2%
130300	N3	0	
130301	N3a	0	
130400	N4	0	
130500	N5	2	
130600	N6	0	
140000	P Group	1	
140100	P1	0	
140101	P1a	0	
140102	P1b	0	
140200	P2	0	
140300	P3	0	
140400	P4	0	
140500	P5	0	
140501	P5a	0	
140502	P5b	0	
140503	P5c	0	

# pu2e1_3: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
140600	P6	0	
140601	P6a	0	
140700	P7	0	
140701	P7a	0	
140801	P8a	1	0.1%
140802	P8b	0	
140803	P8c	2	0.2%
140804	P8d	2	0.2%
140805	P8e	0	
140806	P8f	0	
140807	P8g	0	
150000	Q Group	0	
150100	Q1	0	
150101	Q1a	0	
150102	Q1b	0	
150103	Q1c	0	
150200	Q2	0	
150201	Q2a	0	
150202	Q2b	0	
150203	Q2c	0	
150204	Q2d	0	
150300	Q3	0	
150301	Q3a	0	
150400	Q4	0	
150500	Q5	0	
150600	Q6	0	
150700	Q7	0	
150800	Q8	0	
150801	Q8a	0	
150900	Q9	0	
150901	Q9a	0	
160000	S Group	0	
160100	S1	0	
160200	S2	0	
160300	S3	0	
160400	S4	0	
160500	S5	0	
160600	S6	0	
160601	S6a	0	
160602	S6b	1	0.1%
160700	S7	0	
160701	S7a	0	
160702	S7b	0	
160800	S8	0	
160900	S9	0	

# pu2e1_3: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
161000	S10	0	
161001	S10a	0	
161002	S10b	0	
161100	S11	0	
161101	S12a	0	
161300	S13	0	
161301	S13a	0	
161400	S14	0	
161500	S15	0	
161600	S16	0	
161700	S17	0	
161701	S17a	0	
161800	S18	0	
161801	S18a	0	
161900	S19	0	
162000	S20	0	
162100	S21	0	
999991	Too many, all	0	
999999	Skipped	1225	98.7%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pu2e1_4: The number of the question			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10000-999999] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10000	A Group	0	
10100	A1	0	
10200	A2	0	
10300	A3	0	
10400	A4	0	
10401	A4a	0	
10402	A4b	0	
10403	A4c	0	
20000	B Group	0	
20100	B1	0	
20200	B2	0	
20201	B2a	0	
20202	B2b	0	
20203	B2c	0	
20300	B3	0	
20400	B4	0	
20500	B5	0	
20501	B5a	0	
20600	B6	0	

# pu2e1_4: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
30000	C Group	0	
30100	C1	0	
30200	C2	0	
30300	C3	0	
40000	D Group	0	
40100	D1	0	
40200	D2	0	
40300	D3	0	
40400	D4	0	
40500	D5	0	
40600	D6	0	
40700	D7	0	
50000	E Group	0	
50100	E1	0	
50200	E2	0	
50300	E3	0	
50400	E4	0	
50500	E5	0	
50600	E6	0	
50700	E7	0	
50800	E8	0	
60000	F Group	0	
60100	F1	0	
60200	F2	0	
70000	G Group	0	
70100	G1	0	
70200	G2	0	
70300	G3	0	
70400	G4	0	
80000	H Group	0	
80100	H1	0	
80200	H2	0	
80300	H3	0	
80400	H4	0	
80500	H5	0	
80600	H6	0	
80700	H7	0	
80800	H8	0	
80900	H9	0	
90000	I Group	0	
90100	I1	0	
90200	I2	0	
90300	I3	0	
100000	J Group	0	
100101	J1a	0	

# pu2e1_4: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
100102	J1b	0	
100103	J1b1	0	
100104	J1b2	0	
100105	J1c	0	
100106	J1d	0	
100107	J1e	0	
100108	J1f	0	
100109	J1g	0	
100200	J2	0	
100300	J3	0	
100400	J4	0	
100401	J4a	0	
100402	J4a1	0	
100403	J4b	0	
100404	J4b1	0	
100500	J5	0	
100501	J5a	0	
100502	J5b	0	
110000	K Group	0	
110100	K1	0	
110101	K1a	0	
110201	K2a	0	
110202	K2b	0	
110203	K2c	0	
110301	K3a	0	
110302	K3b	0	
110303	K3c	0	
110401	K4a	0	
110402	K4b	0	
110403	K4c	0	
110501	K5a	0	
110502	K5b	0	
110503	K5c	0	
110601	K6a	0	
110602	K6b	0	
110603	K6c	0	
110700	K7	0	
110701	K7a	0	
110800	K8	0	
110801	K8a	0	
110900	K9	0	
110901	K9a	0	
111000	K10	0	
111100	K11	0	
111200	K12	0	

# pu2e1_4: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
111300	K13	0	
120000	M Group	0	
120101	M1a	0	
120102	M1b	0	
120200	M2	0	
120300	M3	0	
120400	M4	0	
130000	N Group	0	
130100	N1 Group	0	
130101	N1a	0	
130102	N1b	0	
130103	N1c	0	
130104	N1d	0	
130105	N1e	0	
130200	N2	0	
130201	N2a	1	0.1%
130202	N2b	0	
130300	N3	0	
130301	N3a	0	
130400	N4	0	
130500	N5	0	
130600	N6	1	0.1%
140000	P Group	0	
140100	P1	1	0.1%
140101	P1a	0	
140102	P1b	0	
140200	P2	0	
140300	P3	0	
140400	P4	0	
140500	P5	0	
140501	P5a	0	
140502	P5b	0	
140503	P5c	0	
140600	P6	0	
140601	P6a	0	
140700	P7	0	
140701	P7a	0	
140801	P8a	0	
140802	P8b	1	0.1%
140803	P8c	1	0.1%
140804	P8d	2	0.2%
140805	P8e	0	
140806	P8f	0	
140807	P8g	0	
150000	Q Group	0	

# pu2e1_4: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
150100	Q1	0	
150101	Q1a	0	
150102	Q1b	0	
150103	Q1c	0	
150200	Q2	0	
150201	Q2a	0	
150202	Q2b	0	
150203	Q2c	0	
150204	Q2d	0	
150300	Q3	0	
150301	Q3a	0	0.1%
150400	Q4	1	
150500	Q5	0	
150600	Q6	0	
150700	Q7	0	
150800	Q8	1	
150801	Q8a	0	
150900	Q9	0	
150901	Q9a	0	
160000	S Group	0	
160100	S1	0	
160200	S2	0	
160300	S3	0	
160400	S4	0	
160500	S5	0	
160600	S6	0	
160601	S6a	0	
160602	S6b	0	
160700	S7	0	
160701	S7a	0	
160702	S7b	0	
160800	S8	0	
160900	S9	0	
161000	S10	0	
161001	S10a	0	
161002	S10b	0	
161100	S11	0	
161101	S12a	0	
161300	S13	0	
161301	S13a	0	
161400	S14	0	
161500	S15	0	
161600	S16	0	
161700	S17	0	
161701	S17a	0	

# pu2e1_4: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
161800	S18	0	
161801	S18a	0	
161900	S19	0	
162000	S20	0	
162100	S21	0	
999991	Too many, all	0	
999999	Skipped	1232	
Sysmiss		1051	99.3%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pu2e1_5: The number of the question			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10000-999999] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10000	A Group	0	
10100	A1	0	
10200	A2	0	
10300	A3	0	
10400	A4	0	
10401	A4a	0	
10402	A4b	0	
10403	A4c	0	
20000	B Group	0	
20100	B1	0	
20200	B2	0	
20201	B2a	0	
20202	B2b	0	
20203	B2c	0	
20300	B3	0	
20400	B4	0	
20500	B5	0	
20501	B5a	0	
20600	B6	0	
30000	C Group	0	
30100	C1	0	
30200	C2	0	
30300	C3	0	
40000	D Group	0	
40100	D1	0	
40200	D2	0	
40300	D3	0	
40400	D4	0	
40500	D5	0	
40600	D6	0	
40700	D7	0	

# pu2e1_5: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
50000	E Group	0	
50100	E1	0	
50200	E2	0	
50300	E3	0	
50400	E4	0	
50500	E5	0	
50600	E6	0	
50700	E7	0	
50800	E8	0	
60000	F Group	0	
60100	F1	0	
60200	F2	0	
70000	G Group	0	
70100	G1	0	
70200	G2	0	
70300	G3	0	
70400	G4	0	
80000	H Group	0	
80100	H1	0	
80200	H2	0	
80300	H3	0	
80400	H4	0	
80500	H5	0	
80600	H6	0	
80700	H7	0	
80800	H8	0	
80900	H9	0	
90000	I Group	0	
90100	I1	0	
90200	I2	0	
90300	I3	0	
100000	J Group	0	
100101	J1a	0	
100102	J1b	0	
100103	J1b1	0	
100104	J1b2	0	
100105	J1c	0	
100106	J1d	0	
100107	J1e	0	
100108	J1f	0	
100109	J1g	0	
100200	J2	0	
100300	J3	0	
100400	J4	0	
100401	J4a	0	

# pu2e1_5: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
100402	J4a1	0	
100403	J4b	0	
100404	J4b1	0	
100500	J5	0	
100501	J5a	0	
100502	J5b	0	
110000	K Group	0	
110100	K1	0	
110101	K1a	0	
110201	K2a	0	
110202	K2b	0	
110203	K2c	0	
110301	K3a	0	
110302	K3b	0	
110303	K3c	0	
110401	K4a	0	
110402	K4b	0	
110403	K4c	0	
110501	K5a	0	
110502	K5b	0	
110503	K5c	0	
110601	K6a	0	
110602	K6b	0	
110603	K6c	0	
110700	K7	0	
110701	K7a	0	
110800	K8	0	
110801	K8a	0	
110900	K9	0	
110901	K9a	0	
111000	K10	0	
111100	K11	0	
111200	K12	0	
111300	K13	0	
120000	M Group	0	
120101	M1a	0	
120102	M1b	0	
120200	M2	0	
120300	M3	0	
120400	M4	0	
130000	N Group	0	
130100	N1 Group	0	
130101	N1a	0	
130102	N1b	0	
130103	N1c	0	

# pu2e1_5: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
130104	N1d	0	
130105	N1e	0	
130200	N2	0	
130201	N2a	0	
130202	N2b	0	
130300	N3	0	
130301	N3a	0	
130400	N4	0	
130500	N5	0	
130600	N6	0	
140000	P Group	0	
140100	P1	0	
140101	P1a	0	
140102	P1b	0	
140200	P2	0	
140300	P3	0	
140400	P4	0	
140500	P5	0	
140501	P5a	0	
140502	P5b	0	
140503	P5c	0	
140600	P6	0	
140601	P6a	0	
140700	P7	1	0.1%
140701	P7a	0	
140801	P8a	0	
140802	P8b	1	0.1%
140803	P8c	0	
140804	P8d	0	
140805	P8e	2	0.2%
140806	P8f	1	0.1%
140807	P8g	0	
150000	Q Group	0	
150100	Q1	0	
150101	Q1a	0	
150102	Q1b	0	
150103	Q1c	0	
150200	Q2	0	
150201	Q2a	0	
150202	Q2b	0	
150203	Q2c	0	
150204	Q2d	0	
150300	Q3	0	
150301	Q3a	0	
150400	Q4	0	

# pu2e1_5: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
150500	Q5	1	0.1%
150600	Q6	0	
150700	Q7	0	
150800	Q8	0	
150801	Q8a	0	
150900	Q9	0	
150901	Q9a	0	
160000	S Group	0	
160100	S1	0	
160200	S2	0	
160300	S3	0	
160400	S4	0	
160500	S5	0	
160600	S6	0	
160601	S6a	0	
160602	S6b	0	
160700	S7	0	
160701	S7a	0	
160702	S7b	0	
160800	S8	0	
160900	S9	0	
161000	S10	0	
161001	S10a	0	
161002	S10b	0	
161100	S11	0	
161101	S12a	0	
161300	S13	0	
161301	S13a	0	
161400	S14	0	
161500	S15	0	
161600	S16	0	
161700	S17	0	
161701	S17a	0	
161800	S18	0	
161801	S18a	0	
161900	S19	0	
162000	S20	0	
162100	S21	0	
999991	Too many, all	0	
999999	Skipped	1235	99.5%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pu2e1_6: The number of the question			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10000-999999] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		

pu2e1_6: The number of the question

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10000	A Group	0	
10100	A1	0	
10200	A2	0	
10300	A3	0	
10400	A4	0	
10401	A4a	0	
10402	A4b	0	
10403	A4c	0	
20000	B Group	0	
20100	B1	0	
20200	B2	0	
20201	B2a	0	
20202	B2b	0	
20203	B2c	0	
20300	B3	0	
20400	B4	0	
20500	B5	0	
20501	B5a	0	
20600	B6	0	
30000	C Group	0	
30100	C1	0	
30200	C2	0	
30300	C3	0	
40000	D Group	0	
40100	D1	0	
40200	D2	0	
40300	D3	0	
40400	D4	0	
40500	D5	0	
40600	D6	0	
40700	D7	0	
50000	E Group	0	
50100	E1	0	
50200	E2	0	
50300	E3	0	
50400	E4	0	
50500	E5	0	
50600	E6	0	
50700	E7	0	
50800	E8	0	
60000	F Group	0	
60100	F1	0	
60200	F2	0	
70000	G Group	0	
70100	G1	0	

# pu2e1_6: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
70200	G2	0	
70300	G3	0	
70400	G4	0	
80000	H Group	0	
80100	H1	0	
80200	H2	0	
80300	H3	0	
80400	H4	0	
80500	H5	0	
80600	H6	0	
80700	H7	0	
80800	H8	0	
80900	H9	0	
90000	I Group	0	
90100	I1	0	
90200	I2	0	
90300	I3	0	
100000	J Group	0	
100101	J1a	0	
100102	J1b	0	
100103	J1b1	0	
100104	J1b2	0	
100105	J1c	0	
100106	J1d	0	
100107	J1e	0	
100108	J1f	0	
100109	J1g	0	
100200	J2	0	
100300	J3	0	
100400	J4	0	
100401	J4a	0	
100402	J4a1	0	
100403	J4b	0	
100404	J4b1	0	
100500	J5	0	
100501	J5a	0	
100502	J5b	0	
110000	K Group	0	
110100	K1	0	
110101	K1a	0	
110201	K2a	0	
110202	K2b	0	
110203	K2c	0	
110301	K3a	0	
110302	K3b	0	

# pu2e1_6: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
110303	K3c	0	
110401	K4a	0	
110402	K4b	0	
110403	K4c	0	
110501	K5a	0	
110502	K5b	0	
110503	K5c	0	
110601	K6a	0	
110602	K6b	0	
110603	K6c	0	
110700	K7	0	
110701	K7a	0	
110800	K8	0	
110801	K8a	0	
110900	K9	0	
110901	K9a	0	
111000	K10	0	
111100	K11	0	
111200	K12	0	
111300	K13	0	
120000	M Group	0	
120101	M1a	0	
120102	M1b	0	
120200	M2	0	
120300	M3	0	
120400	M4	0	
130000	N Group	0	
130100	N1 Group	0	
130101	N1a	0	
130102	N1b	0	
130103	N1c	0	
130104	N1d	0	
130105	N1e	0	
130200	N2	0	
130201	N2a	0	
130202	N2b	0	
130300	N3	0	
130301	N3a	0	
130400	N4	0	
130500	N5	0	
130600	N6	0	
140000	P Group	0	
140100	P1	0	
140101	P1a	0	
140102	P1b	0	

# pu2e1_6: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
140200	P2	0	
140300	P3	0	
140400	P4	0	
140500	P5	0	
140501	P5a	0	
140502	P5b	0	
140503	P5c	0	
140600	P6	0	
140601	P6a	0	
140700	P7	0	
140701	P7a	0	
140801	P8a	0	
140802	P8b	0	
140803	P8c	0	
140804	P8d	0	
140805	P8e	0	
140806	P8f	3	0.2%
140807	P8g	1	0.1%
150000	Q Group	0	
150100	Q1	0	
150101	Q1a	0	
150102	Q1b	0	
150103	Q1c	0	
150200	Q2	0	
150201	Q2a	0	
150202	Q2b	0	
150203	Q2c	0	
150204	Q2d	0	
150300	Q3	0	
150301	Q3a	0	
150400	Q4	0	
150500	Q5	0	
150600	Q6	1	0.1%
150700	Q7	0	
150800	Q8	0	
150801	Q8a	0	
150900	Q9	0	
150901	Q9a	0	
160000	S Group	0	
160100	S1	0	
160200	S2	0	
160300	S3	0	
160400	S4	0	
160500	S5	0	
160600	S6	0	

# pu2e1_6: The number of the question				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
160601	S6a	0		
160602	S6b	0		
160700	S7	0		
160701	S7a	0		
160702	S7b	0		
160800	S8	0		
160900	S9	0		
161000	S10	0		
161001	S10a	0		
161002	S10b	0		
161100	S11	0		
161101	S12a	0		
161300	S13	0		
161301	S13a	0		
161400	S14	0		
161500	S15	0		
161600	S16	0		
161700	S17	0		
161701	S17a	0		
161800	S18	0		
161801	S18a	0		
161900	S19	0		
162000	S20	0		
162100	S21	0		
999991	Too many, all	0		
999999	Skipped	1236		
Sysmiss		1051		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				

# pu2e1_7: The number of the question			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10000-999999] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10000	A Group	0	
10100	A1	0	
10200	A2	0	
10300	A3	0	
10400	A4	0	
10401	A4a	0	
10402	A4b	0	
10403	A4c	0	
20000	B Group	0	
20100	B1	0	
20200	B2	0	
20201	B2a	0	
20202	B2b	0	
20203	B2c	0	
20300	B3	0	
20400	B4	0	
20500	B5	0	
20501	B5a	0	
20600	B6	0	
30000	C Group	0	
30100	C1	0	
30200	C2	0	
30300	C3	0	
40000	D Group	0	
40100	D1	0	
40200	D2	0	
40300	D3	0	
40400	D4	0	
40500	D5	0	
40600	D6	0	
40700	D7	0	
50000	E Group	0	
50100	E1	0	
50200	E2	0	
50300	E3	0	
50400	E4	0	
50500	E5	0	
50600	E6	0	
50700	E7	0	
50800	E8	0	
60000	F Group	0	
60100	F1	0	
60200	F2	0	

# pu2e1_7: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
70000	G Group	0	
70100	G1	0	
70200	G2	0	
70300	G3	0	
70400	G4	0	
80000	H Group	0	
80100	H1	0	
80200	H2	0	
80300	H3	0	
80400	H4	0	
80500	H5	0	
80600	H6	0	
80700	H7	0	
80800	H8	0	
80900	H9	0	
90000	I Group	0	
90100	I1	0	
90200	I2	0	
90300	I3	0	
100000	J Group	0	
100101	J1a	0	
100102	J1b	0	
100103	J1b1	0	
100104	J1b2	0	
100105	J1c	0	
100106	J1d	0	
100107	J1e	0	
100108	J1f	0	
100109	J1g	0	
100200	J2	0	
100300	J3	0	
100400	J4	0	
100401	J4a	0	
100402	J4a1	0	
100403	J4b	0	
100404	J4b1	0	
100500	J5	0	
100501	J5a	0	
100502	J5b	0	
110000	K Group	0	
110100	K1	0	
110101	K1a	0	
110201	K2a	0	
110202	K2b	0	
110203	K2c	0	

# pu2e1_7: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
110301	K3a	0	
110302	K3b	0	
110303	K3c	0	
110401	K4a	0	
110402	K4b	0	
110403	K4c	0	
110501	K5a	0	
110502	K5b	0	
110503	K5c	0	
110601	K6a	0	
110602	K6b	0	
110603	K6c	0	
110700	K7	0	
110701	K7a	0	
110800	K8	0	
110801	K8a	0	
110900	K9	0	
110901	K9a	0	
111000	K10	0	
111100	K11	0	
111200	K12	0	
111300	K13	0	
120000	M Group	0	
120101	M1a	0	
120102	M1b	0	
120200	M2	0	
120300	M3	0	
120400	M4	0	
130000	N Group	0	
130100	N1 Group	0	
130101	N1a	0	
130102	N1b	0	
130103	N1c	0	
130104	N1d	0	
130105	N1e	0	
130200	N2	0	
130201	N2a	0	
130202	N2b	0	
130300	N3	0	
130301	N3a	0	
130400	N4	0	
130500	N5	0	
130600	N6	0	
140000	P Group	0	
140100	P1	0	

# pu2e1_7: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
140101	P1a	0	
140102	P1b	0	
140200	P2	0	
140300	P3	0	
140400	P4	0	
140500	P5	0	
140501	P5a	0	
140502	P5b	0	
140503	P5c	0	
140600	P6	0	
140601	P6a	0	
140700	P7	0	
140701	P7a	0	
140801	P8a	0	
140802	P8b	0	
140803	P8c	1	0.1%
140804	P8d	0	
140805	P8e	0	
140806	P8f	0	
140807	P8g	2	0.2%
150000	Q Group	0	
150100	Q1	0	
150101	Q1a	0	
150102	Q1b	0	
150103	Q1c	0	
150200	Q2	0	
150201	Q2a	0	
150202	Q2b	0	
150203	Q2c	0	
150204	Q2d	0	
150300	Q3	0	
150301	Q3a	0	
150400	Q4	0	
150500	Q5	0	
150600	Q6	0	
150700	Q7	1	0.1%
150800	Q8	0	
150801	Q8a	0	
150900	Q9	0	
150901	Q9a	0	
160000	S Group	0	
160100	S1	0	
160200	S2	0	
160300	S3	0	
160400	S4	0	

# pu2e1_7: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
160500	S5	0	
160600	S6	0	
160601	S6a	0	
160602	S6b	0	
160700	S7	0	
160701	S7a	0	
160702	S7b	0	
160800	S8	0	
160900	S9	0	
161000	S10	0	
161001	S10a	0	
161002	S10b	0	
161100	S11	0	
161101	S12a	0	
161300	S13	0	
161301	S13a	0	
161400	S14	0	
161500	S15	0	
161600	S16	0	
161700	S17	0	
161701	S17a	0	
161800	S18	0	
161801	S18a	0	
161900	S19	0	
162000	S20	0	
162100	S21	0	
999991	Too many, all	0	
999999	Skipped	1237	<div></div> 99.7%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pu2e1_8: The number of the question			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10000-999999] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10000	A Group	0	
10100	A1	0	
10200	A2	0	
10300	A3	0	
10400	A4	0	
10401	A4a	0	
10402	A4b	0	
10403	A4c	0	
20000	B Group	0	
20100	B1	0	

# pu2e1_8: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
20200	B2	0	
20201	B2a	0	
20202	B2b	0	
20203	B2c	0	
20300	B3	0	
20400	B4	0	
20500	B5	0	
20501	B5a	0	
20600	B6	0	
30000	C Group	0	
30100	C1	0	
30200	C2	0	
30300	C3	0	
40000	D Group	0	
40100	D1	0	
40200	D2	0	
40300	D3	0	
40400	D4	0	
40500	D5	0	
40600	D6	0	
40700	D7	0	
50000	E Group	0	
50100	E1	0	
50200	E2	0	
50300	E3	0	
50400	E4	0	
50500	E5	0	
50600	E6	0	
50700	E7	0	
50800	E8	0	
60000	F Group	0	
60100	F1	0	
60200	F2	0	
70000	G Group	0	
70100	G1	0	
70200	G2	0	
70300	G3	0	
70400	G4	0	
80000	H Group	0	
80100	H1	0	
80200	H2	0	
80300	H3	0	
80400	H4	0	
80500	H5	0	
80600	H6	0	

# pu2e1_8: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
80700	H7	0	
80800	H8	0	
80900	H9	0	
90000	I Group	0	
90100	I1	0	
90200	I2	0	
90300	I3	0	
100000	J Group	0	
100101	J1a	0	
100102	J1b	0	
100103	J1b1	0	
100104	J1b2	0	
100105	J1c	0	
100106	J1d	0	
100107	J1e	0	
100108	J1f	0	
100109	J1g	0	
100200	J2	0	
100300	J3	0	
100400	J4	0	
100401	J4a	0	
100402	J4a1	0	
100403	J4b	0	
100404	J4b1	0	
100500	J5	0	
100501	J5a	0	
100502	J5b	0	
110000	K Group	0	
110100	K1	0	
110101	K1a	0	
110201	K2a	0	
110202	K2b	0	
110203	K2c	0	
110301	K3a	0	
110302	K3b	0	
110303	K3c	0	
110401	K4a	0	
110402	K4b	0	
110403	K4c	0	
110501	K5a	0	
110502	K5b	0	
110503	K5c	0	
110601	K6a	0	
110602	K6b	0	
110603	K6c	0	

# pu2e1_8: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
110700	K7	0	
110701	K7a	0	
110800	K8	0	
110801	K8a	0	
110900	K9	0	
110901	K9a	0	
111000	K10	0	
111100	K11	0	
111200	K12	0	
111300	K13	0	
120000	M Group	0	
120101	M1a	0	
120102	M1b	0	
120200	M2	0	
120300	M3	0	
120400	M4	0	
130000	N Group	0	
130100	N1 Group	0	
130101	N1a	0	
130102	N1b	0	
130103	N1c	0	
130104	N1d	0	
130105	N1e	0	
130200	N2	0	
130201	N2a	0	
130202	N2b	0	
130300	N3	0	
130301	N3a	0	
130400	N4	0	
130500	N5	0	
130600	N6	0	
140000	P Group	0	
140100	P1	0	
140101	P1a	0	
140102	P1b	0	
140200	P2	0	
140300	P3	0	
140400	P4	0	
140500	P5	0	
140501	P5a	0	
140502	P5b	0	
140503	P5c	0	
140600	P6	0	
140601	P6a	0	
140700	P7	0	

# pu2e1_8: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
140701	P7a	0	0.1%
140801	P8a	0	
140802	P8b	0	
140803	P8c	0	
140804	P8d	1	
140805	P8e	0	
140806	P8f	0	
140807	P8g	0	
150000	Q Group	0	
150100	Q1	0	
150101	Q1a	0	
150102	Q1b	0	
150103	Q1c	0	
150200	Q2	0	
150201	Q2a	0	
150202	Q2b	0	
150203	Q2c	0	
150204	Q2d	0	
150300	Q3	0	
150301	Q3a	0	
150400	Q4	0	
150500	Q5	0	
150600	Q6	0	
150700	Q7	0	
150800	Q8	0	
150801	Q8a	0	
150900	Q9	0	
150901	Q9a	0	
160000	S Group	0	
160100	S1	0	
160200	S2	0	
160300	S3	0	
160400	S4	0	
160500	S5	0	
160600	S6	0	
160601	S6a	0	
160602	S6b	0	
160700	S7	0	
160701	S7a	0	
160702	S7b	0	
160800	S8	0	
160900	S9	0	
161000	S10	0	
161001	S10a	0	
161002	S10b	0	

# pu2e1_8: The number of the question				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
161100	S11	0	<div></div>	
161101	S12a	0		
161300	S13	0		
161301	S13a	0		
161400	S14	0		
161500	S15	0		
161600	S16	0		
161700	S17	0		
161701	S17a	0		
161800	S18	0		
161801	S18a	0		
161900	S19	0		
162000	S20	0		
162100	S21	0		
999991	Too many, all	0		
999999	Skipped	1240		<div></div> 99.9%
Sysmiss		1051		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# pu2e1_9: The number of the question				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10000-999999] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
10000	A Group	0	<div></div>	
10100	A1	0		
10200	A2	0		
10300	A3	0		
10400	A4	0		
10401	A4a	0		
10402	A4b	0		
10403	A4c	0		
20000	B Group	0		
20100	B1	0		
20200	B2	0		
20201	B2a	0		
20202	B2b	0		
20203	B2c	0		
20300	B3	0		
20400	B4	0		
20500	B5	0		
20501	B5a	0		
20600	B6	0		
30000	C Group	0		
30100	C1	0		
30200	C2	0		

# pu2e1_9: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
30300	C3	0	
40000	D Group	0	
40100	D1	0	
40200	D2	0	
40300	D3	0	
40400	D4	0	
40500	D5	0	
40600	D6	0	
40700	D7	0	
50000	E Group	0	
50100	E1	0	
50200	E2	0	
50300	E3	0	
50400	E4	0	
50500	E5	0	
50600	E6	0	
50700	E7	0	
50800	E8	0	
60000	F Group	0	
60100	F1	0	
60200	F2	0	
70000	G Group	0	
70100	G1	0	
70200	G2	0	
70300	G3	0	
70400	G4	0	
80000	H Group	0	
80100	H1	0	
80200	H2	0	
80300	H3	0	
80400	H4	0	
80500	H5	0	
80600	H6	0	
80700	H7	0	
80800	H8	0	
80900	H9	0	
90000	I Group	0	
90100	I1	0	
90200	I2	0	
90300	I3	0	
100000	J Group	0	
100101	J1a	0	
100102	J1b	0	
100103	J1b1	0	
100104	J1b2	0	

# pu2e1_9: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
100105	J1c	0	
100106	J1d	0	
100107	J1e	0	
100108	J1f	0	
100109	J1g	0	
100200	J2	0	
100300	J3	0	
100400	J4	0	
100401	J4a	0	
100402	J4a1	0	
100403	J4b	0	
100404	J4b1	0	
100500	J5	0	
100501	J5a	0	
100502	J5b	0	
110000	K Group	0	
110100	K1	0	
110101	K1a	0	
110201	K2a	0	
110202	K2b	0	
110203	K2c	0	
110301	K3a	0	
110302	K3b	0	
110303	K3c	0	
110401	K4a	0	
110402	K4b	0	
110403	K4c	0	
110501	K5a	0	
110502	K5b	0	
110503	K5c	0	
110601	K6a	0	
110602	K6b	0	
110603	K6c	0	
110700	K7	0	
110701	K7a	0	
110800	K8	0	
110801	K8a	0	
110900	K9	0	
110901	K9a	0	
111000	K10	0	
111100	K11	0	
111200	K12	0	
111300	K13	0	
120000	M Group	0	
120101	M1a	0	

# pu2e1_9: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
120102	M1b	0	
120200	M2	0	
120300	M3	0	
120400	M4	0	
130000	N Group	0	
130100	N1 Group	0	
130101	N1a	0	
130102	N1b	0	
130103	N1c	0	
130104	N1d	0	
130105	N1e	0	
130200	N2	0	
130201	N2a	0	
130202	N2b	0	
130300	N3	0	
130301	N3a	0	
130400	N4	0	
130500	N5	0	
130600	N6	0	
140000	P Group	0	
140100	P1	0	
140101	P1a	0	
140102	P1b	0	
140200	P2	0	
140300	P3	0	
140400	P4	0	
140500	P5	0	
140501	P5a	0	
140502	P5b	0	
140503	P5c	0	
140600	P6	0	
140601	P6a	0	
140700	P7	0	
140701	P7a	0	
140801	P8a	0	
140802	P8b	0	
140803	P8c	0	
140804	P8d	0	
140805	P8e	1	
140806	P8f	0	
140807	P8g	0	
150000	Q Group	0	
150100	Q1	0	
150101	Q1a	0	
150102	Q1b	0	

# pu2e1_9: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
150103	Q1c	0	
150200	Q2	0	
150201	Q2a	0	
150202	Q2b	0	
150203	Q2c	0	
150204	Q2d	0	
150300	Q3	0	
150301	Q3a	0	
150400	Q4	0	
150500	Q5	0	
150600	Q6	0	
150700	Q7	0	
150800	Q8	0	
150801	Q8a	0	
150900	Q9	0	
150901	Q9a	0	
160000	S Group	0	
160100	S1	0	
160200	S2	0	
160300	S3	0	
160400	S4	0	
160500	S5	0	
160600	S6	0	
160601	S6a	0	
160602	S6b	0	
160700	S7	0	
160701	S7a	0	
160702	S7b	0	
160800	S8	0	
160900	S9	0	
161000	S10	0	
161001	S10a	0	
161002	S10b	0	
161100	S11	0	
161101	S12a	0	
161300	S13	0	
161301	S13a	0	
161400	S14	0	
161500	S15	0	
161600	S16	0	
161700	S17	0	
161701	S17a	0	
161800	S18	0	
161801	S18a	0	
161900	S19	0	

# pu2e1_9: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
162000	S20	0	
162100	S21	0	
999991	Too many, all	0	
999999	Skipped	1240	99.9%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pu2f: Did the R think the questions were too hard?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	46	3.7%
2	No	1195	96.3%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pu2f1_1: The number of the question			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10000-999999] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10000	A Group	0	
10100	A1	0	
10200	A2	0	
10300	A3	0	
10400	A4	0	
10401	A4a	0	
10402	A4b	0	
10403	A4c	0	
20000	B Group	0	
20100	B1	1	0.1%
20200	B2	0	
20201	B2a	0	
20202	B2b	0	
20203	B2c	0	
20300	B3	0	
20400	B4	0	
20500	B5	0	
20501	B5a	0	
20600	B6	0	
30000	C Group	0	
30100	C1	0	
30200	C2	0	
30300	C3	0	
40000	D Group	1	0.1%
40100	D1	0	
40200	D2	0	

# pu2f1_1: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
40300	D3	1	0.1%
40400	D4	0	
40500	D5	0	
40600	D6	0	
40700	D7	0	
50000	E Group	0	
50100	E1	0	
50200	E2	0	
50300	E3	0	
50400	E4	0	
50500	E5	0	
50600	E6	0	
50700	E7	0	
50800	E8	0	
60000	F Group	0	
60100	F1	0	
60200	F2	0	
70000	G Group	0	
70100	G1	0	
70200	G2	0	
70300	G3	0	
70400	G4	0	
80000	H Group	0	
80100	H1	0	
80200	H2	0	
80300	H3	0	
80400	H4	0	
80500	H5	0	
80600	H6	0	
80700	H7	0	
80800	H8	0	
80900	H9	0	
90000	I Group	1	0.1%
90100	I1	0	
90200	I2	0	
90300	I3	0	
100000	J Group	0	
100101	J1a	0	
100102	J1b	0	
100103	J1b1	0	
100104	J1b2	0	
100105	J1c	0	
100106	J1d	0	
100107	J1e	0	
100108	J1f	0	

# pu2f1_1: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
100109	J1g	0	
100200	J2	0	
100300	J3	0	
100400	J4	0	
100401	J4a	0	
100402	J4a1	0	
100403	J4b	0	
100404	J4b1	0	
100500	J5	0	
100501	J5a	0	
100502	J5b	0	
110000	K Group	2	0.2%
110100	K1	0	
110101	K1a	1	0.1%
110201	K2a	0	
110202	K2b	1	0.1%
110203	K2c	0	
110301	K3a	0	
110302	K3b	0	
110303	K3c	0	
110401	K4a	0	
110402	K4b	0	
110403	K4c	0	
110501	K5a	0	
110502	K5b	0	
110503	K5c	0	
110601	K6a	0	
110602	K6b	0	
110603	K6c	0	
110700	K7	0	
110701	K7a	0	
110800	K8	0	
110801	K8a	0	
110900	K9	0	
110901	K9a	0	
111000	K10	0	
111100	K11	0	
111200	K12	0	
111300	K13	0	
120000	M Group	1	0.1%
120101	M1a	0	
120102	M1b	0	
120200	M2	0	
120300	M3	0	
120400	M4	0	

# pu2f1_1: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
130000	N Group	2	0.2%
130100	N1 Group	0	
130101	N1a	0	
130102	N1b	0	
130103	N1c	0	
130104	N1d	0	
130105	N1e	0	
130200	N2	0	
130201	N2a	0	
130202	N2b	1	0.1%
130300	N3	0	
130301	N3a	0	
130400	N4	0	
130500	N5	0	
130600	N6	0	
140000	P Group	2	0.2%
140100	P1	0	
140101	P1a	0	
140102	P1b	0	
140200	P2	0	
140300	P3	1	0.1%
140400	P4	0	
140500	P5	0	
140501	P5a	0	
140502	P5b	0	
140503	P5c	0	
140600	P6	0	
140601	P6a	0	
140700	P7	0	
140701	P7a	0	
140801	P8a	0	
140802	P8b	0	
140803	P8c	0	
140804	P8d	0	
140805	P8e	0	
140806	P8f	0	
140807	P8g	0	
150000	Q Group	0	
150100	Q1	0	
150101	Q1a	0	
150102	Q1b	0	
150103	Q1c	0	
150200	Q2	0	
150201	Q2a	1	0.1%
150202	Q2b	0	

# pu2f1_1: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
150203	Q2c	0	
150204	Q2d	0	
150300	Q3	0	
150301	Q3a	0	
150400	Q4	0	
150500	Q5	0	
150600	Q6	0	
150700	Q7	0	
150800	Q8	0	
150801	Q8a	0	
150900	Q9	0	
150901	Q9a	0	
160000	S Group	0	
160100	S1	0	
160200	S2	0	
160300	S3	0	
160400	S4	0	
160500	S5	0	
160600	S6	0	
160601	S6a	0	
160602	S6b	0	
160700	S7	0	
160701	S7a	0	
160702	S7b	0	
160800	S8	0	
160900	S9	0	
161000	S10	0	
161001	S10a	0	
161002	S10b	0	
161100	S11	0	
161101	S12a	0	
161300	S13	0	
161301	S13a	0	
161400	S14	0	
161500	S15	0	
161600	S16	0	
161700	S17	0	
161701	S17a	0	
161800	S18	0	
161801	S18a	0	
161900	S19	0	
162000	S20	0	
162100	S21	0	
999991	Too many, all	30	2.4%
999999	Skipped	1195	96.3%

# pu2f1_1: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		1051	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# pu2f1_2: The number of the question			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10000-999999] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10000	A Group	0	
10100	A1	0	
10200	A2	0	
10300	A3	0	
10400	A4	0	
10401	A4a	0	
10402	A4b	0	
10403	A4c	0	
20000	B Group	0	
20100	B1	0	
20200	B2	0	
20201	B2a	0	
20202	B2b	0	
20203	B2c	0	
20300	B3	0	
20400	B4	0	
20500	B5	0	
20501	B5a	0	
20600	B6	0	
30000	C Group	0	
30100	C1	0	
30200	C2	0	
30300	C3	0	
40000	D Group	0	
40100	D1	0	
40200	D2	0	
40300	D3	0	
40400	D4	0	
40500	D5	0	
40600	D6	0	
40700	D7	0	
50000	E Group	0	
50100	E1	0	
50200	E2	0	
50300	E3	0	
50400	E4	0	
50500	E5	0	
50600	E6	0	

# pu2f1_2: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
50700	E7	0	0.1%
50800	E8	0	
60000	F Group	0	
60100	F1	0	
60200	F2	0	
70000	G Group	0	
70100	G1	0	
70200	G2	0	
70300	G3	0	
70400	G4	0	
80000	H Group	0	
80100	H1	0	
80200	H2	0	
80300	H3	0	
80400	H4	0	
80500	H5	0	
80600	H6	0	
80700	H7	1	
80800	H8	0	
80900	H9	0	
90000	I Group	0	
90100	I1	0	
90200	I2	0	
90300	I3	0	
100000	J Group	0	
100101	J1a	0	
100102	J1b	0	
100103	J1b1	0	
100104	J1b2	0	
100105	J1c	0	
100106	J1d	0	
100107	J1e	0	
100108	J1f	0	
100109	J1g	0	
100200	J2	0	
100300	J3	0	
100400	J4	0	
100401	J4a	0	
100402	J4a1	0	
100403	J4b	0	
100404	J4b1	0	
100500	J5	0	
100501	J5a	0	
100502	J5b	0	
110000	K Group	0	

# pu2f1_2: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
110100	K1	0	
110101	K1a	0	
110201	K2a	0	
110202	K2b	0	
110203	K2c	0	
110301	K3a	0	
110302	K3b	0	
110303	K3c	0	
110401	K4a	0	
110402	K4b	0	
110403	K4c	0	
110501	K5a	0	
110502	K5b	0	
110503	K5c	0	
110601	K6a	0	
110602	K6b	0	
110603	K6c	0	
110700	K7	0	
110701	K7a	0	
110800	K8	0	
110801	K8a	0	
110900	K9	0	
110901	K9a	0	
111000	K10	0	
111100	K11	0	
111200	K12	0	
111300	K13	0	
120000	M Group	0	
120101	M1a	1	
120102	M1b	0	
120200	M2	0	
120300	M3	0	
120400	M4	0	
130000	N Group	1	
130100	N1 Group	0	
130101	N1a	0	
130102	N1b	0	
130103	N1c	0	
130104	N1d	0	
130105	N1e	0	
130200	N2	0	
130201	N2a	0	
130202	N2b	0	
130300	N3	0	
130301	N3a	0	

# pu2f1_2: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
130400	N4	0	
130500	N5	0	
130600	N6	0	
140000	P Group	1	0.1%
140100	P1	0	
140101	P1a	0	
140102	P1b	0	
140200	P2	0	
140300	P3	0	
140400	P4	1	0.1%
140500	P5	0	
140501	P5a	0	
140502	P5b	0	
140503	P5c	0	
140600	P6	0	
140601	P6a	0	
140700	P7	0	
140701	P7a	0	
140801	P8a	0	
140802	P8b	0	
140803	P8c	0	
140804	P8d	0	
140805	P8e	0	
140806	P8f	0	
140807	P8g	0	
150000	Q Group	0	
150100	Q1	0	
150101	Q1a	0	
150102	Q1b	0	
150103	Q1c	0	
150200	Q2	0	
150201	Q2a	0	
150202	Q2b	0	
150203	Q2c	0	
150204	Q2d	0	
150300	Q3	0	
150301	Q3a	0	
150400	Q4	0	
150500	Q5	0	
150600	Q6	0	
150700	Q7	0	
150800	Q8	0	
150801	Q8a	0	
150900	Q9	0	
150901	Q9a	0	

# pu2f1_2: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
160000	S Group	0	
160100	S1	0	
160200	S2	0	
160300	S3	0	
160400	S4	0	
160500	S5	0	
160600	S6	0	
160601	S6a	0	
160602	S6b	0	
160700	S7	0	
160701	S7a	0	
160702	S7b	0	
160800	S8	0	
160900	S9	0	
161000	S10	0	
161001	S10a	0	
161002	S10b	0	
161100	S11	0	
161101	S12a	0	
161300	S13	0	
161301	S13a	0	
161400	S14	0	
161500	S15	0	
161600	S16	0	
161700	S17	0	
161701	S17a	0	
161800	S18	0	
161801	S18a	0	
161900	S19	0	
162000	S20	0	
162100	S21	0	
999991	Too many, all	0	
999999	Skipped	1236	99.6%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pu2f1_3: The number of the question			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10000-999999] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10000	A Group	0	
10100	A1	0	
10200	A2	0	
10300	A3	0	
10400	A4	0	

# pu2f1_3: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10401	A4a	0	
10402	A4b	0	
10403	A4c	0	
20000	B Group	0	
20100	B1	0	
20200	B2	0	
20201	B2a	0	
20202	B2b	0	
20203	B2c	0	
20300	B3	0	
20400	B4	0	
20500	B5	0	
20501	B5a	0	
20600	B6	0	
30000	C Group	0	
30100	C1	0	
30200	C2	0	
30300	C3	0	
40000	D Group	0	
40100	D1	0	
40200	D2	0	
40300	D3	0	
40400	D4	0	
40500	D5	0	
40600	D6	0	
40700	D7	0	
50000	E Group	0	
50100	E1	0	
50200	E2	0	
50300	E3	0	
50400	E4	0	
50500	E5	0	
50600	E6	0	
50700	E7	0	
50800	E8	0	
60000	F Group	0	
60100	F1	0	
60200	F2	0	
70000	G Group	0	
70100	G1	0	
70200	G2	0	
70300	G3	0	
70400	G4	0	
80000	H Group	0	
80100	H1	0	

# pu2f1_3: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
80200	H2	0	0.1%
80300	H3	0	
80400	H4	0	
80500	H5	0	
80600	H6	1	
80700	H7	0	
80800	H8	0	
80900	H9	0	
90000	I Group	0	
90100	I1	0	
90200	I2	0	
90300	I3	0	
100000	J Group	0	
100101	J1a	0	
100102	J1b	0	
100103	J1b1	0	
100104	J1b2	0	
100105	J1c	0	
100106	J1d	0	
100107	J1e	0	
100108	J1f	0	
100109	J1g	0	
100200	J2	0	
100300	J3	0	
100400	J4	0	
100401	J4a	0	
100402	J4a1	0	
100403	J4b	0	
100404	J4b1	0	
100500	J5	0	
100501	J5a	0	
100502	J5b	0	
110000	K Group	0	
110100	K1	0	
110101	K1a	0	
110201	K2a	0	
110202	K2b	0	
110203	K2c	0	
110301	K3a	0	
110302	K3b	0	
110303	K3c	0	
110401	K4a	0	
110402	K4b	0	
110403	K4c	0	
110501	K5a	0	

# pu2f1_3: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
110502	K5b	0	
110503	K5c	0	
110601	K6a	0	
110602	K6b	0	
110603	K6c	0	
110700	K7	0	
110701	K7a	0	
110800	K8	0	
110801	K8a	0	
110900	K9	0	
110901	K9a	0	
111000	K10	0	
111100	K11	0	
111200	K12	0	
111300	K13	0	
120000	M Group	0	
120101	M1a	0	
120102	M1b	1	
120200	M2	0	
120300	M3	0	
120400	M4	0	
130000	N Group	0	
130100	N1 Group	0	
130101	N1a	0	
130102	N1b	0	
130103	N1c	0	
130104	N1d	0	
130105	N1e	0	
130200	N2	0	
130201	N2a	0	
130202	N2b	0	
130300	N3	0	
130301	N3a	0	
130400	N4	0	
130500	N5	0	
130600	N6	0	
140000	P Group	0	0.1%
140100	P1	0	
140101	P1a	0	
140102	P1b	0	
140200	P2	0	
140300	P3	0	
140400	P4	0	
140500	P5	0	
140501	P5a	0	

# pu2f1_3: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
140502	P5b	0	
140503	P5c	0	
140600	P6	0	
140601	P6a	0	
140700	P7	0	
140701	P7a	0	
140801	P8a	0	
140802	P8b	0	
140803	P8c	0	
140804	P8d	0	
140805	P8e	0	
140806	P8f	0	
140807	P8g	0	
150000	Q Group	1	0.1%
150100	Q1	0	
150101	Q1a	0	
150102	Q1b	0	
150103	Q1c	0	
150200	Q2	0	
150201	Q2a	0	
150202	Q2b	0	
150203	Q2c	0	
150204	Q2d	0	
150300	Q3	0	
150301	Q3a	0	
150400	Q4	0	
150500	Q5	0	
150600	Q6	0	
150700	Q7	0	
150800	Q8	0	
150801	Q8a	0	
150900	Q9	0	
150901	Q9a	0	
160000	S Group	0	
160100	S1	0	
160200	S2	0	
160300	S3	0	
160400	S4	0	
160500	S5	0	
160600	S6	0	
160601	S6a	0	
160602	S6b	0	
160700	S7	0	
160701	S7a	0	
160702	S7b	0	

# pu2f1_3: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
160800	S8	0	
160900	S9	0	
161000	S10	0	
161001	S10a	0	
161002	S10b	0	
161100	S11	0	
161101	S12a	0	
161300	S13	0	
161301	S13a	0	
161400	S14	0	
161500	S15	0	
161600	S16	0	
161700	S17	0	
161701	S17a	0	
161800	S18	0	
161801	S18a	0	
161900	S19	0	
162000	S20	0	
162100	S21	0	
999991	Too many, all	0	
999999	Skipped	1238	99.8%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pu2f1_4: The number of the question			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10000-999999] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10000	A Group	0	
10100	A1	0	
10200	A2	0	
10300	A3	0	
10400	A4	0	
10401	A4a	0	
10402	A4b	0	
10403	A4c	0	
20000	B Group	0	
20100	B1	0	
20200	B2	0	
20201	B2a	0	
20202	B2b	0	
20203	B2c	0	
20300	B3	0	
20400	B4	0	
20500	B5	0	

# pu2f1_4: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
20501	B5a	0	
20600	B6	0	
30000	C Group	0	
30100	C1	0	
30200	C2	0	
30300	C3	0	
40000	D Group	0	
40100	D1	0	
40200	D2	0	
40300	D3	0	
40400	D4	0	
40500	D5	0	
40600	D6	0	
40700	D7	0	
50000	E Group	0	
50100	E1	0	
50200	E2	0	
50300	E3	0	
50400	E4	0	
50500	E5	0	
50600	E6	0	
50700	E7	0	
50800	E8	0	
60000	F Group	0	
60100	F1	0	
60200	F2	0	
70000	G Group	0	
70100	G1	0	
70200	G2	0	
70300	G3	0	
70400	G4	0	
80000	H Group	0	
80100	H1	0	
80200	H2	0	
80300	H3	0	
80400	H4	0	
80500	H5	0	
80600	H6	0	
80700	H7	0	
80800	H8	0	
80900	H9	0	
90000	I Group	0	
90100	I1	0	
90200	I2	0	
90300	I3	0	

# pu2f1_4: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
100000	J Group	0	
100101	J1a	0	
100102	J1b	0	
100103	J1b1	0	
100104	J1b2	0	
100105	J1c	0	
100106	J1d	0	
100107	J1e	0	
100108	J1f	0	
100109	J1g	0	
100200	J2	0	
100300	J3	0	
100400	J4	0	
100401	J4a	0	
100402	J4a1	0	
100403	J4b	0	
100404	J4b1	0	
100500	J5	0	
100501	J5a	0	
100502	J5b	0	
110000	K Group	0	
110100	K1	0	
110101	K1a	0	
110201	K2a	0	
110202	K2b	0	
110203	K2c	0	
110301	K3a	0	
110302	K3b	0	
110303	K3c	0	
110401	K4a	0	
110402	K4b	0	
110403	K4c	0	
110501	K5a	0	
110502	K5b	0	
110503	K5c	0	
110601	K6a	0	
110602	K6b	0	
110603	K6c	0	
110700	K7	0	
110701	K7a	0	
110800	K8	0	
110801	K8a	0	
110900	K9	0	
110901	K9a	0	
111000	K10	0	

# pu2f1_4: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
111100	K11	0	0.1%
111200	K12	0	
111300	K13	0	
120000	M Group	0	
120101	M1a	0	
120102	M1b	0	
120200	M2	1	
120300	M3	0	
120400	M4	0	
130000	N Group	0	
130100	N1 Group	0	
130101	N1a	0	
130102	N1b	0	
130103	N1c	0	
130104	N1d	0	
130105	N1e	0	
130200	N2	0	
130201	N2a	0	
130202	N2b	0	
130300	N3	0	
130301	N3a	0	
130400	N4	0	
130500	N5	0	
130600	N6	0	
140000	P Group	0	
140100	P1	0	
140101	P1a	0	
140102	P1b	0	
140200	P2	0	
140300	P3	0	
140400	P4	0	
140500	P5	0	
140501	P5a	0	
140502	P5b	0	
140503	P5c	0	
140600	P6	0	
140601	P6a	0	
140700	P7	0	
140701	P7a	0	
140801	P8a	0	
140802	P8b	0	
140803	P8c	0	
140804	P8d	0	
140805	P8e	0	
140806	P8f	0	

# pu2f1_4: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
140807	P8g	0	
150000	Q Group	0	
150100	Q1	0	
150101	Q1a	0	
150102	Q1b	0	
150103	Q1c	0	
150200	Q2	0	
150201	Q2a	0	
150202	Q2b	0	
150203	Q2c	0	
150204	Q2d	0	
150300	Q3	0	
150301	Q3a	0	
150400	Q4	0	
150500	Q5	0	
150600	Q6	0	
150700	Q7	0	
150800	Q8	0	
150801	Q8a	0	
150900	Q9	0	
150901	Q9a	0	
160000	S Group	0	
160100	S1	0	
160200	S2	0	
160300	S3	0	
160400	S4	0	
160500	S5	0	
160600	S6	0	
160601	S6a	0	
160602	S6b	0	
160700	S7	0	
160701	S7a	0	
160702	S7b	0	
160800	S8	0	
160900	S9	0	
161000	S10	0	
161001	S10a	0	
161002	S10b	0	
161100	S11	0	
161101	S12a	0	
161300	S13	0	
161301	S13a	0	
161400	S14	0	
161500	S15	0	
161600	S16	0	

# pu2f1_4: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
161700	S17	0	
161701	S17a	0	
161800	S18	0	
161801	S18a	0	
161900	S19	0	
162000	S20	0	
162100	S21	0	
999991	Too many, all	0	
999999	Skipped	1240	
Sysmiss		1051	99.9%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pu2f1_5: The number of the question			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10000-999999] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10000	A Group	0	
10100	A1	0	
10200	A2	0	
10300	A3	0	
10400	A4	0	
10401	A4a	0	
10402	A4b	0	
10403	A4c	0	
20000	B Group	0	
20100	B1	0	
20200	B2	0	
20201	B2a	0	
20202	B2b	0	
20203	B2c	0	
20300	B3	0	
20400	B4	0	
20500	B5	0	
20501	B5a	0	
20600	B6	0	
30000	C Group	0	
30100	C1	0	
30200	C2	0	
30300	C3	0	
40000	D Group	0	
40100	D1	0	
40200	D2	0	
40300	D3	0	
40400	D4	0	
40500	D5	0	

# pu2f1_5: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
40600	D6	0	
40700	D7	0	
50000	E Group	0	
50100	E1	0	
50200	E2	0	
50300	E3	0	
50400	E4	0	
50500	E5	0	
50600	E6	0	
50700	E7	0	
50800	E8	0	
60000	F Group	0	
60100	F1	0	
60200	F2	0	
70000	G Group	0	
70100	G1	0	
70200	G2	0	
70300	G3	0	
70400	G4	0	
80000	H Group	0	
80100	H1	0	
80200	H2	0	
80300	H3	0	
80400	H4	0	
80500	H5	0	
80600	H6	0	
80700	H7	0	
80800	H8	0	
80900	H9	0	
90000	I Group	0	
90100	I1	0	
90200	I2	0	
90300	I3	0	
100000	J Group	0	
100101	J1a	0	
100102	J1b	0	
100103	J1b1	0	
100104	J1b2	0	
100105	J1c	0	
100106	J1d	0	
100107	J1e	0	
100108	J1f	0	
100109	J1g	0	
100200	J2	0	
100300	J3	0	

# pu2f1_5: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
100400	J4	0	
100401	J4a	0	
100402	J4a1	0	
100403	J4b	0	
100404	J4b1	0	
100500	J5	0	
100501	J5a	0	
100502	J5b	0	
110000	K Group	0	
110100	K1	0	
110101	K1a	0	
110201	K2a	0	
110202	K2b	0	
110203	K2c	0	
110301	K3a	0	
110302	K3b	0	
110303	K3c	0	
110401	K4a	0	
110402	K4b	0	
110403	K4c	0	
110501	K5a	0	
110502	K5b	0	
110503	K5c	0	
110601	K6a	0	
110602	K6b	0	
110603	K6c	0	
110700	K7	0	
110701	K7a	0	
110800	K8	0	
110801	K8a	0	
110900	K9	0	
110901	K9a	0	
111000	K10	0	
111100	K11	0	
111200	K12	0	
111300	K13	0	
120000	M Group	0	
120101	M1a	0	
120102	M1b	0	
120200	M2	0	
120300	M3	1	
120400	M4	0	
130000	N Group	0	
130100	N1 Group	0	
130101	N1a	0	

# pu2f1_5: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
130102	N1b	0	
130103	N1c	0	
130104	N1d	0	
130105	N1e	0	
130200	N2	0	
130201	N2a	0	
130202	N2b	0	
130300	N3	0	
130301	N3a	0	
130400	N4	0	
130500	N5	0	
130600	N6	0	
140000	P Group	0	
140100	P1	0	
140101	P1a	0	
140102	P1b	0	
140200	P2	0	
140300	P3	0	
140400	P4	0	
140500	P5	0	
140501	P5a	0	
140502	P5b	0	
140503	P5c	0	
140600	P6	0	
140601	P6a	0	
140700	P7	0	
140701	P7a	0	
140801	P8a	0	
140802	P8b	0	
140803	P8c	0	
140804	P8d	0	
140805	P8e	0	
140806	P8f	0	
140807	P8g	0	
150000	Q Group	0	
150100	Q1	0	
150101	Q1a	0	
150102	Q1b	0	
150103	Q1c	0	
150200	Q2	0	
150201	Q2a	0	
150202	Q2b	0	
150203	Q2c	0	
150204	Q2d	0	
150300	Q3	0	

# pu2f1_5: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
150301	Q3a	0	
150400	Q4	0	
150500	Q5	0	
150600	Q6	0	
150700	Q7	0	
150800	Q8	0	
150801	Q8a	0	
150900	Q9	0	
150901	Q9a	0	
160000	S Group	0	
160100	S1	0	
160200	S2	0	
160300	S3	0	
160400	S4	0	
160500	S5	0	
160600	S6	0	
160601	S6a	0	
160602	S6b	0	
160700	S7	0	
160701	S7a	0	
160702	S7b	0	
160800	S8	0	
160900	S9	0	
161000	S10	0	
161001	S10a	0	
161002	S10b	0	
161100	S11	0	
161101	S12a	0	
161300	S13	0	
161301	S13a	0	
161400	S14	0	
161500	S15	0	
161600	S16	0	
161700	S17	0	
161701	S17a	0	
161800	S18	0	
161801	S18a	0	
161900	S19	0	
162000	S20	0	
162100	S21	0	
999991	Too many, all	0	
999999	Skipped	1240	99.9%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# pu2f1_6: The number of the question			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10000-999999] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10000	A Group	0	
10100	A1	0	
10200	A2	0	
10300	A3	0	
10400	A4	0	
10401	A4a	0	
10402	A4b	0	
10403	A4c	0	
20000	B Group	0	
20100	B1	0	
20200	B2	0	
20201	B2a	0	
20202	B2b	0	
20203	B2c	0	
20300	B3	0	
20400	B4	0	
20500	B5	0	
20501	B5a	0	
20600	B6	0	
30000	C Group	0	
30100	C1	0	
30200	C2	0	
30300	C3	0	
40000	D Group	0	
40100	D1	0	
40200	D2	0	
40300	D3	0	
40400	D4	0	
40500	D5	0	
40600	D6	0	
40700	D7	0	
50000	E Group	0	
50100	E1	0	
50200	E2	0	
50300	E3	0	
50400	E4	0	
50500	E5	0	
50600	E6	0	
50700	E7	0	
50800	E8	0	
60000	F Group	0	
60100	F1	0	
60200	F2	0	

# pu2f1_6: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
70000	G Group	0	
70100	G1	0	
70200	G2	0	
70300	G3	0	
70400	G4	0	
80000	H Group	0	
80100	H1	0	
80200	H2	0	
80300	H3	0	
80400	H4	0	
80500	H5	0	
80600	H6	0	
80700	H7	0	
80800	H8	0	
80900	H9	0	
90000	I Group	0	
90100	I1	0	
90200	I2	0	
90300	I3	0	
100000	J Group	0	
100101	J1a	0	
100102	J1b	0	
100103	J1b1	0	
100104	J1b2	0	
100105	J1c	0	
100106	J1d	0	
100107	J1e	0	
100108	J1f	0	
100109	J1g	0	
100200	J2	0	
100300	J3	0	
100400	J4	0	
100401	J4a	0	
100402	J4a1	0	
100403	J4b	0	
100404	J4b1	0	
100500	J5	0	
100501	J5a	0	
100502	J5b	0	
110000	K Group	0	
110100	K1	0	
110101	K1a	0	
110201	K2a	0	
110202	K2b	0	
110203	K2c	0	

# pu2f1_6: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
110301	K3a	0	0.1%
110302	K3b	0	
110303	K3c	0	
110401	K4a	0	
110402	K4b	0	
110403	K4c	0	
110501	K5a	0	
110502	K5b	0	
110503	K5c	0	
110601	K6a	0	
110602	K6b	0	
110603	K6c	0	
110700	K7	0	
110701	K7a	0	
110800	K8	0	
110801	K8a	0	
110900	K9	0	
110901	K9a	0	
111000	K10	0	
111100	K11	0	
111200	K12	0	
111300	K13	0	
120000	M Group	0	
120101	M1a	0	
120102	M1b	0	
120200	M2	0	
120300	M3	0	
120400	M4	1	
130000	N Group	0	
130100	N1 Group	0	
130101	N1a	0	
130102	N1b	0	
130103	N1c	0	
130104	N1d	0	
130105	N1e	0	
130200	N2	0	
130201	N2a	0	
130202	N2b	0	
130300	N3	0	
130301	N3a	0	
130400	N4	0	
130500	N5	0	
130600	N6	0	
140000	P Group	0	
140100	P1	0	

# pu2f1_6: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
140101	P1a	0	
140102	P1b	0	
140200	P2	0	
140300	P3	0	
140400	P4	0	
140500	P5	0	
140501	P5a	0	
140502	P5b	0	
140503	P5c	0	
140600	P6	0	
140601	P6a	0	
140700	P7	0	
140701	P7a	0	
140801	P8a	0	
140802	P8b	0	
140803	P8c	0	
140804	P8d	0	
140805	P8e	0	
140806	P8f	0	
140807	P8g	0	
150000	Q Group	0	
150100	Q1	0	
150101	Q1a	0	
150102	Q1b	0	
150103	Q1c	0	
150200	Q2	0	
150201	Q2a	0	
150202	Q2b	0	
150203	Q2c	0	
150204	Q2d	0	
150300	Q3	0	
150301	Q3a	0	
150400	Q4	0	
150500	Q5	0	
150600	Q6	0	
150700	Q7	0	
150800	Q8	0	
150801	Q8a	0	
150900	Q9	0	
150901	Q9a	0	
160000	S Group	0	
160100	S1	0	
160200	S2	0	
160300	S3	0	
160400	S4	0	

# pu2f1_6: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
160500	S5	0	
160600	S6	0	
160601	S6a	0	
160602	S6b	0	
160700	S7	0	
160701	S7a	0	
160702	S7b	0	
160800	S8	0	
160900	S9	0	
161000	S10	0	
161001	S10a	0	
161002	S10b	0	
161100	S11	0	
161101	S12a	0	
161300	S13	0	
161301	S13a	0	
161400	S14	0	
161500	S15	0	
161600	S16	0	
161700	S17	0	
161701	S17a	0	
161800	S18	0	
161801	S18a	0	
161900	S19	0	
162000	S20	0	
162100	S21	0	
999991	Too many, all	0	
999999	Skipped	1240	99.9%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pu2f1_7: The number of the question			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10000-999999] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10000	A Group	0	
10100	A1	0	
10200	A2	0	
10300	A3	0	
10400	A4	0	
10401	A4a	0	
10402	A4b	0	
10403	A4c	0	
20000	B Group	0	
20100	B1	0	

# pu2f1_7: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
20200	B2	0	
20201	B2a	0	
20202	B2b	0	
20203	B2c	0	
20300	B3	0	
20400	B4	0	
20500	B5	0	
20501	B5a	0	
20600	B6	0	
30000	C Group	0	
30100	C1	0	
30200	C2	0	
30300	C3	0	
40000	D Group	0	
40100	D1	0	
40200	D2	0	
40300	D3	0	
40400	D4	0	
40500	D5	0	
40600	D6	0	
40700	D7	0	
50000	E Group	0	
50100	E1	0	
50200	E2	0	
50300	E3	0	
50400	E4	0	
50500	E5	0	
50600	E6	0	
50700	E7	0	
50800	E8	0	
60000	F Group	0	
60100	F1	0	
60200	F2	0	
70000	G Group	0	
70100	G1	0	
70200	G2	0	
70300	G3	0	
70400	G4	0	
80000	H Group	0	
80100	H1	0	
80200	H2	0	
80300	H3	0	
80400	H4	0	
80500	H5	0	
80600	H6	0	

# pu2f1_7: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
80700	H7	0	
80800	H8	0	
80900	H9	0	
90000	I Group	0	
90100	I1	0	
90200	I2	0	
90300	I3	0	
100000	J Group	0	
100101	J1a	0	
100102	J1b	0	
100103	J1b1	0	
100104	J1b2	0	
100105	J1c	0	
100106	J1d	0	
100107	J1e	0	
100108	J1f	0	
100109	J1g	0	
100200	J2	0	
100300	J3	0	
100400	J4	0	
100401	J4a	0	
100402	J4a1	0	
100403	J4b	0	
100404	J4b1	0	
100500	J5	0	
100501	J5a	0	
100502	J5b	0	
110000	K Group	0	
110100	K1	0	
110101	K1a	0	
110201	K2a	0	
110202	K2b	0	
110203	K2c	0	
110301	K3a	0	
110302	K3b	0	
110303	K3c	0	
110401	K4a	0	
110402	K4b	0	
110403	K4c	0	
110501	K5a	0	
110502	K5b	0	
110503	K5c	0	
110601	K6a	0	
110602	K6b	0	
110603	K6c	0	

# pu2f1_7: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
110700	K7	0	
110701	K7a	0	
110800	K8	0	
110801	K8a	0	
110900	K9	0	
110901	K9a	0	
111000	K10	0	
111100	K11	0	
111200	K12	0	
111300	K13	0	
120000	M Group	0	
120101	M1a	0	
120102	M1b	0	
120200	M2	0	
120300	M3	0	
120400	M4	0	
130000	N Group	0	
130100	N1 Group	0	
130101	N1a	0	
130102	N1b	0	
130103	N1c	0	
130104	N1d	0	
130105	N1e	0	
130200	N2	0	
130201	N2a	0	
130202	N2b	0	
130300	N3	0	
130301	N3a	0	
130400	N4	0	
130500	N5	0	
130600	N6	0	
140000	P Group	0	
140100	P1	0	
140101	P1a	0	
140102	P1b	0	
140200	P2	0	
140300	P3	0	
140400	P4	0	
140500	P5	0	
140501	P5a	0	
140502	P5b	0	
140503	P5c	0	
140600	P6	0	
140601	P6a	0	
140700	P7	0	

# pu2f1_7: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
140701	P7a	0	
140801	P8a	0	
140802	P8b	0	
140803	P8c	0	
140804	P8d	0	
140805	P8e	0	
140806	P8f	0	
140807	P8g	0	
150000	Q Group	0	
150100	Q1	0	
150101	Q1a	0	
150102	Q1b	0	
150103	Q1c	0	
150200	Q2	0	
150201	Q2a	0	
150202	Q2b	0	
150203	Q2c	0	
150204	Q2d	0	
150300	Q3	0	
150301	Q3a	0	
150400	Q4	0	
150500	Q5	0	
150600	Q6	0	
150700	Q7	0	
150800	Q8	0	
150801	Q8a	0	
150900	Q9	0	
150901	Q9a	0	
160000	S Group	0	
160100	S1	0	
160200	S2	0	
160300	S3	0	
160400	S4	0	
160500	S5	0	
160600	S6	0	
160601	S6a	0	
160602	S6b	0	
160700	S7	0	
160701	S7a	0	
160702	S7b	0	
160800	S8	0	
160900	S9	0	
161000	S10	0	
161001	S10a	0	
161002	S10b	0	

# pu2f1_7: The number of the question				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
161100	S11	0	<div></div>	
161101	S12a	0		
161300	S13	0		
161301	S13a	0		
161400	S14	0		
161500	S15	0		
161600	S16	0		
161700	S17	0		
161701	S17a	0		
161800	S18	0		
161801	S18a	0		
161900	S19	0		
162000	S20	0		
162100	S21	0		
999991	Too many, all	0		
999999	Skipped	1241		<div></div> 100.0%
Sysmiss		1051		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# pu2f1_8: The number of the question				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10000-999999] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
10000	A Group	0	<div></div>	
10100	A1	0		
10200	A2	0		
10300	A3	0		
10400	A4	0		
10401	A4a	0		
10402	A4b	0		
10403	A4c	0		
20000	B Group	0		
20100	B1	0		
20200	B2	0		
20201	B2a	0		
20202	B2b	0		
20203	B2c	0		
20300	B3	0		
20400	B4	0		
20500	B5	0		
20501	B5a	0		
20600	B6	0		
30000	C Group	0		
30100	C1	0		
30200	C2	0		

# pu2f1_8: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
30300	C3	0	
40000	D Group	0	
40100	D1	0	
40200	D2	0	
40300	D3	0	
40400	D4	0	
40500	D5	0	
40600	D6	0	
40700	D7	0	
50000	E Group	0	
50100	E1	0	
50200	E2	0	
50300	E3	0	
50400	E4	0	
50500	E5	0	
50600	E6	0	
50700	E7	0	
50800	E8	0	
60000	F Group	0	
60100	F1	0	
60200	F2	0	
70000	G Group	0	
70100	G1	0	
70200	G2	0	
70300	G3	0	
70400	G4	0	
80000	H Group	0	
80100	H1	0	
80200	H2	0	
80300	H3	0	
80400	H4	0	
80500	H5	0	
80600	H6	0	
80700	H7	0	
80800	H8	0	
80900	H9	0	
90000	I Group	0	
90100	I1	0	
90200	I2	0	
90300	I3	0	
100000	J Group	0	
100101	J1a	0	
100102	J1b	0	
100103	J1b1	0	
100104	J1b2	0	

# pu2f1_8: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
100105	J1c	0	
100106	J1d	0	
100107	J1e	0	
100108	J1f	0	
100109	J1g	0	
100200	J2	0	
100300	J3	0	
100400	J4	0	
100401	J4a	0	
100402	J4a1	0	
100403	J4b	0	
100404	J4b1	0	
100500	J5	0	
100501	J5a	0	
100502	J5b	0	
110000	K Group	0	
110100	K1	0	
110101	K1a	0	
110201	K2a	0	
110202	K2b	0	
110203	K2c	0	
110301	K3a	0	
110302	K3b	0	
110303	K3c	0	
110401	K4a	0	
110402	K4b	0	
110403	K4c	0	
110501	K5a	0	
110502	K5b	0	
110503	K5c	0	
110601	K6a	0	
110602	K6b	0	
110603	K6c	0	
110700	K7	0	
110701	K7a	0	
110800	K8	0	
110801	K8a	0	
110900	K9	0	
110901	K9a	0	
111000	K10	0	
111100	K11	0	
111200	K12	0	
111300	K13	0	
120000	M Group	0	
120101	M1a	0	

# pu2f1_8: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
120102	M1b	0	
120200	M2	0	
120300	M3	0	
120400	M4	0	
130000	N Group	0	
130100	N1 Group	0	
130101	N1a	0	
130102	N1b	0	
130103	N1c	0	
130104	N1d	0	
130105	N1e	0	
130200	N2	0	
130201	N2a	0	
130202	N2b	0	
130300	N3	0	
130301	N3a	0	
130400	N4	0	
130500	N5	0	
130600	N6	0	
140000	P Group	0	
140100	P1	0	
140101	P1a	0	
140102	P1b	0	
140200	P2	0	
140300	P3	0	
140400	P4	0	
140500	P5	0	
140501	P5a	0	
140502	P5b	0	
140503	P5c	0	
140600	P6	0	
140601	P6a	0	
140700	P7	0	
140701	P7a	0	
140801	P8a	0	
140802	P8b	0	
140803	P8c	0	
140804	P8d	0	
140805	P8e	0	
140806	P8f	0	
140807	P8g	0	
150000	Q Group	0	
150100	Q1	0	
150101	Q1a	0	
150102	Q1b	0	

# pu2f1_8: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
150103	Q1c	0	
150200	Q2	0	
150201	Q2a	0	
150202	Q2b	0	
150203	Q2c	0	
150204	Q2d	0	
150300	Q3	0	
150301	Q3a	0	
150400	Q4	0	
150500	Q5	0	
150600	Q6	0	
150700	Q7	0	
150800	Q8	0	
150801	Q8a	0	
150900	Q9	0	
150901	Q9a	0	
160000	S Group	0	
160100	S1	0	
160200	S2	0	
160300	S3	0	
160400	S4	0	
160500	S5	0	
160600	S6	0	
160601	S6a	0	
160602	S6b	0	
160700	S7	0	
160701	S7a	0	
160702	S7b	0	
160800	S8	0	
160900	S9	0	
161000	S10	0	
161001	S10a	0	
161002	S10b	0	
161100	S11	0	
161101	S12a	0	
161300	S13	0	
161301	S13a	0	
161400	S14	0	
161500	S15	0	
161600	S16	0	
161700	S17	0	
161701	S17a	0	
161800	S18	0	
161801	S18a	0	
161900	S19	0	

# pu2f1_8: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
162000	S20	0	
162100	S21	0	
999991	Too many, all	0	
999999	Skipped	1241	100.0%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pu2f1_9: The number of the question			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10000-999999] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10000	A Group	0	
10100	A1	0	
10200	A2	0	
10300	A3	0	
10400	A4	0	
10401	A4a	0	
10402	A4b	0	
10403	A4c	0	
20000	B Group	0	
20100	B1	0	
20200	B2	0	
20201	B2a	0	
20202	B2b	0	
20203	B2c	0	
20300	B3	0	
20400	B4	0	
20500	B5	0	
20501	B5a	0	
20600	B6	0	
30000	C Group	0	
30100	C1	0	
30200	C2	0	
30300	C3	0	
40000	D Group	0	
40100	D1	0	
40200	D2	0	
40300	D3	0	
40400	D4	0	
40500	D5	0	
40600	D6	0	
40700	D7	0	
50000	E Group	0	
50100	E1	0	
50200	E2	0	

# pu2f1_9: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
50300	E3	0	
50400	E4	0	
50500	E5	0	
50600	E6	0	
50700	E7	0	
50800	E8	0	
60000	F Group	0	
60100	F1	0	
60200	F2	0	
70000	G Group	0	
70100	G1	0	
70200	G2	0	
70300	G3	0	
70400	G4	0	
80000	H Group	0	
80100	H1	0	
80200	H2	0	
80300	H3	0	
80400	H4	0	
80500	H5	0	
80600	H6	0	
80700	H7	0	
80800	H8	0	
80900	H9	0	
90000	I Group	0	
90100	I1	0	
90200	I2	0	
90300	I3	0	
100000	J Group	0	
100101	J1a	0	
100102	J1b	0	
100103	J1b1	0	
100104	J1b2	0	
100105	J1c	0	
100106	J1d	0	
100107	J1e	0	
100108	J1f	0	
100109	J1g	0	
100200	J2	0	
100300	J3	0	
100400	J4	0	
100401	J4a	0	
100402	J4a1	0	
100403	J4b	0	
100404	J4b1	0	

# pu2f1_9: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
100500	J5	0	
100501	J5a	0	
100502	J5b	0	
110000	K Group	0	
110100	K1	0	
110101	K1a	0	
110201	K2a	0	
110202	K2b	0	
110203	K2c	0	
110301	K3a	0	
110302	K3b	0	
110303	K3c	0	
110401	K4a	0	
110402	K4b	0	
110403	K4c	0	
110501	K5a	0	
110502	K5b	0	
110503	K5c	0	
110601	K6a	0	
110602	K6b	0	
110603	K6c	0	
110700	K7	0	
110701	K7a	0	
110800	K8	0	
110801	K8a	0	
110900	K9	0	
110901	K9a	0	
111000	K10	0	
111100	K11	0	
111200	K12	0	
111300	K13	0	
120000	M Group	0	
120101	M1a	0	
120102	M1b	0	
120200	M2	0	
120300	M3	0	
120400	M4	0	
130000	N Group	0	
130100	N1 Group	0	
130101	N1a	0	
130102	N1b	0	
130103	N1c	0	
130104	N1d	0	
130105	N1e	0	
130200	N2	0	

# pu2f1_9: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
130201	N2a	0	
130202	N2b	0	
130300	N3	0	
130301	N3a	0	
130400	N4	0	
130500	N5	0	
130600	N6	0	
140000	P Group	0	
140100	P1	0	
140101	P1a	0	
140102	P1b	0	
140200	P2	0	
140300	P3	0	
140400	P4	0	
140500	P5	0	
140501	P5a	0	
140502	P5b	0	
140503	P5c	0	
140600	P6	0	
140601	P6a	0	
140700	P7	0	
140701	P7a	0	
140801	P8a	0	
140802	P8b	0	
140803	P8c	0	
140804	P8d	0	
140805	P8e	0	
140806	P8f	0	
140807	P8g	0	
150000	Q Group	0	
150100	Q1	0	
150101	Q1a	0	
150102	Q1b	0	
150103	Q1c	0	
150200	Q2	0	
150201	Q2a	0	
150202	Q2b	0	
150203	Q2c	0	
150204	Q2d	0	
150300	Q3	0	
150301	Q3a	0	
150400	Q4	0	
150500	Q5	0	
150600	Q6	0	
150700	Q7	0	

# pu2f1_9: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
150800	Q8	0	
150801	Q8a	0	
150900	Q9	0	
150901	Q9a	0	
160000	S Group	0	
160100	S1	0	
160200	S2	0	
160300	S3	0	
160400	S4	0	
160500	S5	0	
160600	S6	0	
160601	S6a	0	
160602	S6b	0	
160700	S7	0	
160701	S7a	0	
160702	S7b	0	
160800	S8	0	
160900	S9	0	
161000	S10	0	
161001	S10a	0	
161002	S10b	0	
161100	S11	0	
161101	S12a	0	
161300	S13	0	
161301	S13a	0	
161400	S14	0	
161500	S15	0	
161600	S16	0	
161700	S17	0	
161701	S17a	0	
161800	S18	0	
161801	S18a	0	
161900	S19	0	
162000	S20	0	
162100	S21	0	
999991	Too many, all	0	
999999	Skipped	1241	100.0%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pu2g: Did the R think the questions were too sensitive?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	48	3.9%

# pu2g: Did the R think the questions were too sensitive?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	No	1193	96.1%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pu2g1_1: The number of the question			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10000-999999] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10000	A Group	1	0.1%
10100	A1	0	
10200	A2	0	
10300	A3	0	
10400	A4	0	
10401	A4a	0	
10402	A4b	0	
10403	A4c	0	
20000	B Group	2	0.2%
20100	B1	0	
20200	B2	0	
20201	B2a	0	
20202	B2b	0	
20203	B2c	1	0.1%
20300	B3	0	
20400	B4	0	
20500	B5	0	
20501	B5a	0	
20600	B6	0	
30000	C Group	0	
30100	C1	0	
30200	C2	0	
30300	C3	0	
40000	D Group	0	
40100	D1	0	
40200	D2	0	
40300	D3	0	
40400	D4	0	
40500	D5	0	
40600	D6	0	
40700	D7	0	
50000	E Group	0	
50100	E1	0	
50200	E2	0	
50300	E3	0	
50400	E4	0	
50500	E5	0	

# pu2g1_1: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
50600	E6	0	
50700	E7	0	
50800	E8	0	
60000	F Group	0	
60100	F1	0	
60200	F2	0	
70000	G Group	0	
70100	G1	0	
70200	G2	0	
70300	G3	0	
70400	G4	0	
80000	H Group	0	
80100	H1	0	
80200	H2	0	
80300	H3	0	
80400	H4	0	
80500	H5	0	
80600	H6	0	
80700	H7	0	
80800	H8	0	
80900	H9	0	
90000	I Group	1	0.1%
90100	I1	0	
90200	I2	0	
90300	I3	0	
100000	J Group	5	0.4%
100101	J1a	7	0.6%
100102	J1b	0	
100103	J1b1	0	
100104	J1b2	0	
100105	J1c	0	
100106	J1d	0	
100107	J1e	0	
100108	J1f	0	
100109	J1g	0	
100200	J2	0	
100300	J3	0	
100400	J4	0	
100401	J4a	0	
100402	J4a1	0	
100403	J4b	0	
100404	J4b1	0	
100500	J5	0	
100501	J5a	0	
100502	J5b	0	

# pu2g1_1: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
110000	K Group	1	0.1%
110100	K1	0	
110101	K1a	0	
110201	K2a	0	
110202	K2b	0	
110203	K2c	0	
110301	K3a	0	
110302	K3b	0	
110303	K3c	0	
110401	K4a	0	
110402	K4b	0	
110403	K4c	0	
110501	K5a	0	
110502	K5b	0	
110503	K5c	0	
110601	K6a	0	
110602	K6b	0	
110603	K6c	0	
110700	K7	0	
110701	K7a	0	
110800	K8	0	
110801	K8a	0	
110900	K9	0	
110901	K9a	0	
111000	K10	1	0.1%
111100	K11	0	
111200	K12	0	
111300	K13	0	
120000	M Group	0	
120101	M1a	0	
120102	M1b	0	
120200	M2	0	
120300	M3	0	
120400	M4	0	
130000	N Group	0	
130100	N1 Group	1	0.1%
130101	N1a	0	
130102	N1b	0	
130103	N1c	0	
130104	N1d	0	
130105	N1e	0	
130200	N2	0	
130201	N2a	0	
130202	N2b	0	
130300	N3	0	

# pu2g1_1: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
130301	N3a	0	
130400	N4	0	
130500	N5	0	
130600	N6	0	
140000	P Group	0	
140100	P1	0	
140101	P1a	0	
140102	P1b	0	
140200	P2	0	
140300	P3	0	
140400	P4	0	
140500	P5	0	
140501	P5a	0	
140502	P5b	0	
140503	P5c	0	
140600	P6	0	
140601	P6a	0	
140700	P7	1	0.1%
140701	P7a	0	
140801	P8a	0	
140802	P8b	0	
140803	P8c	0	
140804	P8d	0	
140805	P8e	0	
140806	P8f	0	
140807	P8g	0	
150000	Q Group	1	0.1%
150100	Q1	0	
150101	Q1a	0	
150102	Q1b	0	
150103	Q1c	0	
150200	Q2	0	
150201	Q2a	0	
150202	Q2b	1	0.1%
150203	Q2c	0	
150204	Q2d	0	
150300	Q3	0	
150301	Q3a	0	
150400	Q4	0	
150500	Q5	0	
150600	Q6	0	
150700	Q7	0	
150800	Q8	0	
150801	Q8a	0	
150900	Q9	0	

# pu2g1_1: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
150901	Q9a	0	
160000	S Group	0	
160100	S1	0	
160200	S2	0	
160300	S3	0	
160400	S4	0	
160500	S5	0	
160600	S6	0	
160601	S6a	0	
160602	S6b	0	
160700	S7	0	
160701	S7a	0	
160702	S7b	0	
160800	S8	1	0.1%
160900	S9	0	
161000	S10	0	
161001	S10a	0	
161002	S10b	0	
161100	S11	0	
161101	S12a	0	
161300	S13	0	
161301	S13a	0	
161400	S14	0	
161500	S15	0	
161600	S16	0	
161700	S17	0	
161701	S17a	0	
161800	S18	0	
161801	S18a	0	
161900	S19	0	
162000	S20	0	
162100	S21	0	
999991	Too many, all	24	1.9%
999999	Skipped	1193	96.1%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pu2g1_2: The number of the question			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10000-999999] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10000	A Group	0	
10100	A1	0	
10200	A2	0	
10300	A3	0	

# pu2g1_2: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10400	A4	0	
10401	A4a	0	
10402	A4b	0	
10403	A4c	0	
20000	B Group	0	
20100	B1	0	
20200	B2	0	
20201	B2a	0	
20202	B2b	0	
20203	B2c	0	
20300	B3	0	
20400	B4	0	
20500	B5	0	
20501	B5a	0	
20600	B6	0	
30000	C Group	1	
30100	C1	0	
30200	C2	0	
30300	C3	0	
40000	D Group	0	
40100	D1	0	
40200	D2	0	
40300	D3	0	
40400	D4	0	
40500	D5	0	
40600	D6	0	
40700	D7	0	
50000	E Group	0	
50100	E1	0	
50200	E2	0	
50300	E3	0	
50400	E4	0	
50500	E5	0	
50600	E6	0	
50700	E7	0	
50800	E8	0	
60000	F Group	0	0.1%
60100	F1	0	
60200	F2	0	
70000	G Group	0	
70100	G1	0	
70200	G2	0	
70300	G3	0	
70400	G4	0	
80000	H Group	0	

# pu2g1_2: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
80100	H1	0	
80200	H2	0	
80300	H3	0	
80400	H4	0	
80500	H5	0	
80600	H6	0	
80700	H7	0	
80800	H8	0	
80900	H9	0	
90000	I Group	0	
90100	I1	0	
90200	I2	0	
90300	I3	0	
100000	J Group	1	0.1%
100101	J1a	1	0.1%
100102	J1b	0	
100103	J1b1	0	
100104	J1b2	0	
100105	J1c	0	
100106	J1d	0	
100107	J1e	0	
100108	J1f	0	
100109	J1g	0	
100200	J2	2	0.2%
100300	J3	0	
100400	J4	1	0.1%
100401	J4a	0	
100402	J4a1	0	
100403	J4b	0	
100404	J4b1	0	
100500	J5	0	
100501	J5a	0	
100502	J5b	0	
110000	K Group	1	0.1%
110100	K1	0	
110101	K1a	0	
110201	K2a	0	
110202	K2b	0	
110203	K2c	0	
110301	K3a	0	
110302	K3b	0	
110303	K3c	0	
110401	K4a	0	
110402	K4b	0	
110403	K4c	0	

# pu2g1_2: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
110501	K5a	0	0.1%
110502	K5b	0	
110503	K5c	0	
110601	K6a	0	
110602	K6b	0	
110603	K6c	0	
110700	K7	0	
110701	K7a	0	
110800	K8	0	
110801	K8a	0	
110900	K9	0	
110901	K9a	0	
111000	K10	0	
111100	K11	1	
111200	K12	0	
111300	K13	0	
120000	M Group	0	
120101	M1a	0	
120102	M1b	0	
120200	M2	0	
120300	M3	0	
120400	M4	0	
130000	N Group	0	0.1%
130100	N1 Group	0	
130101	N1a	0	
130102	N1b	0	
130103	N1c	0	
130104	N1d	0	
130105	N1e	0	
130200	N2	0	
130201	N2a	0	
130202	N2b	0	
130300	N3	0	
130301	N3a	0	
130400	N4	0	
130500	N5	0	
130600	N6	0	
140000	P Group	0	
140100	P1	0	
140101	P1a	0	
140102	P1b	0	
140200	P2	0	
140300	P3	0	
140400	P4	0	
140500	P5	0	

# pu2g1_2: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
140501	P5a	0	0.1%
140502	P5b	0	
140503	P5c	0	
140600	P6	0	
140601	P6a	1	
140700	P7	0	
140701	P7a	0	
140801	P8a	0	
140802	P8b	0	
140803	P8c	0	
140804	P8d	0	
140805	P8e	0	
140806	P8f	0	
140807	P8g	0	
150000	Q Group	0	0.1%
150100	Q1	0	
150101	Q1a	0	
150102	Q1b	0	
150103	Q1c	0	
150200	Q2	0	
150201	Q2a	0	
150202	Q2b	0	
150203	Q2c	1	
150204	Q2d	0	
150300	Q3	0	
150301	Q3a	0	
150400	Q4	0	
150500	Q5	0	
150600	Q6	0	
150700	Q7	0	
150800	Q8	0	
150801	Q8a	0	
150900	Q9	0	
150901	Q9a	0	
160000	S Group	0	
160100	S1	0	
160200	S2	0	
160300	S3	0	
160400	S4	0	
160500	S5	0	
160600	S6	0	
160601	S6a	0	
160602	S6b	0	
160700	S7	0	
160701	S7a	0	

# pu2g1_2: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
160702	S7b	0	
160800	S8	0	
160900	S9	0	
161000	S10	0	
161001	S10a	0	
161002	S10b	0	
161100	S11	0	
161101	S12a	0	
161300	S13	0	
161301	S13a	0	
161400	S14	0	
161500	S15	0	
161600	S16	1	0.1%
161700	S17	0	
161701	S17a	0	
161800	S18	0	
161801	S18a	0	
161900	S19	0	
162000	S20	0	
162100	S21	0	
999991	Too many, all	0	
999999	Skipped	1230	99.1%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pu2g1_3: The number of the question			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10000-999999] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10000	A Group	0	
10100	A1	0	
10200	A2	0	
10300	A3	0	
10400	A4	0	
10401	A4a	0	
10402	A4b	0	
10403	A4c	0	
20000	B Group	0	
20100	B1	0	
20200	B2	0	
20201	B2a	0	
20202	B2b	0	
20203	B2c	0	
20300	B3	0	
20400	B4	0	

# pu2g1_3: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
20500	B5	0	
20501	B5a	0	
20600	B6	0	
30000	C Group	0	
30100	C1	0	
30200	C2	0	
30300	C3	0	
40000	D Group	0	
40100	D1	0	
40200	D2	0	
40300	D3	0	
40400	D4	0	
40500	D5	0	
40600	D6	0	
40700	D7	0	
50000	E Group	0	
50100	E1	0	
50200	E2	0	
50300	E3	0	
50400	E4	0	
50500	E5	0	
50600	E6	0	
50700	E7	0	
50800	E8	0	
60000	F Group	0	
60100	F1	0	
60200	F2	0	
70000	G Group	0	
70100	G1	0	
70200	G2	0	
70300	G3	0	
70400	G4	0	
80000	H Group	0	
80100	H1	0	
80200	H2	0	
80300	H3	0	
80400	H4	0	
80500	H5	0	
80600	H6	0	
80700	H7	0	
80800	H8	0	
80900	H9	0	
90000	I Group	0	
90100	I1	0	
90200	I2	0	

# pu2g1_3: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
90300	I3	0	0.1%
100000	J Group	0	
100101	J1a	0	
100102	J1b	1	
100103	J1b1	0	
100104	J1b2	0	
100105	J1c	0	
100106	J1d	0	
100107	J1e	0	
100108	J1f	0	
100109	J1g	0	
100200	J2	0	
100300	J3	2	0.2%
100400	J4	0	0.1%
100401	J4a	0	
100402	J4a1	0	
100403	J4b	1	
100404	J4b1	0	
100500	J5	0	
100501	J5a	0	
100502	J5b	0	
110000	K Group	0	
110100	K1	0	
110101	K1a	0	
110201	K2a	0	
110202	K2b	0	
110203	K2c	0	
110301	K3a	0	
110302	K3b	0	
110303	K3c	0	
110401	K4a	0	
110402	K4b	0	
110403	K4c	0	
110501	K5a	0	
110502	K5b	0	
110503	K5c	0	
110601	K6a	0	
110602	K6b	0	
110603	K6c	0	
110700	K7	0	
110701	K7a	0	
110800	K8	0	
110801	K8a	0	
110900	K9	0	
110901	K9a	0	

# pu2g1_3: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
111000	K10	0	
111100	K11	0	
111200	K12	1	0.1%
111300	K13	0	
120000	M Group	0	
120101	M1a	0	
120102	M1b	0	
120200	M2	0	
120300	M3	0	
120400	M4	0	
130000	N Group	0	
130100	N1 Group	0	
130101	N1a	0	
130102	N1b	0	
130103	N1c	0	
130104	N1d	0	
130105	N1e	0	
130200	N2	0	
130201	N2a	0	
130202	N2b	0	
130300	N3	0	
130301	N3a	0	
130400	N4	0	
130500	N5	0	
130600	N6	0	
140000	P Group	0	
140100	P1	0	
140101	P1a	0	
140102	P1b	0	
140200	P2	0	
140300	P3	0	
140400	P4	0	
140500	P5	0	
140501	P5a	1	0.1%
140502	P5b	0	
140503	P5c	0	
140600	P6	0	
140601	P6a	0	
140700	P7	0	
140701	P7a	0	
140801	P8a	0	
140802	P8b	0	
140803	P8c	0	
140804	P8d	0	
140805	P8e	0	

# pu2g1_3: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
140806	P8f	0	
140807	P8g	0	
150000	Q Group	0	
150100	Q1	0	
150101	Q1a	0	
150102	Q1b	0	
150103	Q1c	0	
150200	Q2	0	
150201	Q2a	0	
150202	Q2b	0	
150203	Q2c	0	
150204	Q2d	1	0.1%
150300	Q3	0	
150301	Q3a	0	
150400	Q4	0	
150500	Q5	0	
150600	Q6	0	
150700	Q7	0	
150800	Q8	0	
150801	Q8a	0	
150900	Q9	0	
150901	Q9a	0	
160000	S Group	0	
160100	S1	0	
160200	S2	0	
160300	S3	0	
160400	S4	0	
160500	S5	0	
160600	S6	0	
160601	S6a	0	
160602	S6b	0	
160700	S7	0	
160701	S7a	0	
160702	S7b	0	
160800	S8	0	
160900	S9	0	
161000	S10	0	
161001	S10a	0	
161002	S10b	0	
161100	S11	0	
161101	S12a	0	
161300	S13	0	
161301	S13a	0	
161400	S14	0	
161500	S15	0	

# pu2g1_3: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
161600	S16	0	
161700	S17	0	
161701	S17a	0	
161800	S18	0	
161801	S18a	0	
161900	S19	0	
162000	S20	0	
162100	S21	0	
999991	Too many, all	0	
999999	Skipped	1234	
Sysmiss		1051	99.4%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pu2g1_4: The number of the question			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10000-999999] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10000	A Group	0	
10100	A1	0	
10200	A2	0	
10300	A3	0	
10400	A4	0	
10401	A4a	0	
10402	A4b	0	
10403	A4c	0	
20000	B Group	0	
20100	B1	0	
20200	B2	0	
20201	B2a	0	
20202	B2b	0	
20203	B2c	0	
20300	B3	0	
20400	B4	0	
20500	B5	0	
20501	B5a	0	
20600	B6	0	
30000	C Group	0	
30100	C1	0	
30200	C2	0	
30300	C3	0	
40000	D Group	0	
40100	D1	0	
40200	D2	0	
40300	D3	0	
40400	D4	0	

# pu2g1_4: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
40500	D5	0	
40600	D6	0	
40700	D7	0	
50000	E Group	0	
50100	E1	0	
50200	E2	0	
50300	E3	0	
50400	E4	0	
50500	E5	0	
50600	E6	0	
50700	E7	0	
50800	E8	0	
60000	F Group	0	
60100	F1	0	
60200	F2	0	
70000	G Group	0	
70100	G1	0	
70200	G2	0	
70300	G3	0	
70400	G4	0	
80000	H Group	0	
80100	H1	0	
80200	H2	0	
80300	H3	0	
80400	H4	0	
80500	H5	0	
80600	H6	0	
80700	H7	0	
80800	H8	0	
80900	H9	0	
90000	I Group	0	0.1%
90100	I1	0	
90200	I2	0	
90300	I3	0	
100000	J Group	0	
100101	J1a	0	
100102	J1b	0	
100103	J1b1	1	
100104	J1b2	0	
100105	J1c	0	
100106	J1d	0	
100107	J1e	0	
100108	J1f	0	
100109	J1g	0	
100200	J2	0	

# pu2g1_4: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
100300	J3	0	0.2%
100400	J4	2	
100401	J4a	0	
100402	J4a1	0	
100403	J4b	0	
100404	J4b1	0	
100500	J5	0	
100501	J5a	0	
100502	J5b	0	
110000	K Group	0	
110100	K1	0	0.1%
110101	K1a	0	
110201	K2a	0	
110202	K2b	0	
110203	K2c	0	
110301	K3a	0	
110302	K3b	0	
110303	K3c	0	
110401	K4a	0	
110402	K4b	0	
110403	K4c	0	
110501	K5a	0	
110502	K5b	0	
110503	K5c	0	
110601	K6a	0	
110602	K6b	0	
110603	K6c	0	
110700	K7	0	
110701	K7a	0	
110800	K8	0	
110801	K8a	0	
110900	K9	0	
110901	K9a	0	
111000	K10	0	
111100	K11	0	
111200	K12	0	
111300	K13	1	
120000	M Group	0	
120101	M1a	0	
120102	M1b	0	
120200	M2	0	
120300	M3	0	
120400	M4	0	
130000	N Group	0	
130100	N1 Group	0	

# pu2g1_4: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
130101	N1a	0	
130102	N1b	0	
130103	N1c	0	
130104	N1d	0	
130105	N1e	0	
130200	N2	0	
130201	N2a	0	
130202	N2b	0	
130300	N3	0	
130301	N3a	0	
130400	N4	0	
130500	N5	0	
130600	N6	0	
140000	P Group	0	
140100	P1	0	
140101	P1a	0	
140102	P1b	0	
140200	P2	0	
140300	P3	0	
140400	P4	0	
140500	P5	0	
140501	P5a	0	
140502	P5b	1	0.1%
140503	P5c	0	
140600	P6	0	
140601	P6a	0	
140700	P7	0	
140701	P7a	0	
140801	P8a	0	
140802	P8b	0	
140803	P8c	0	
140804	P8d	0	
140805	P8e	0	
140806	P8f	0	
140807	P8g	0	
150000	Q Group	0	
150100	Q1	0	
150101	Q1a	0	
150102	Q1b	0	
150103	Q1c	0	
150200	Q2	0	
150201	Q2a	0	
150202	Q2b	0	
150203	Q2c	0	
150204	Q2d	0	

# pu2g1_4: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
150300	Q3	1	0.1%
150301	Q3a	0	
150400	Q4	0	
150500	Q5	0	
150600	Q6	0	
150700	Q7	0	
150800	Q8	0	
150801	Q8a	0	
150900	Q9	0	
150901	Q9a	0	
160000	S Group	0	
160100	S1	0	
160200	S2	0	
160300	S3	0	
160400	S4	0	
160500	S5	0	
160600	S6	0	
160601	S6a	0	
160602	S6b	0	
160700	S7	0	
160701	S7a	0	
160702	S7b	0	
160800	S8	0	
160900	S9	0	
161000	S10	0	
161001	S10a	0	
161002	S10b	0	
161100	S11	0	
161101	S12a	0	
161300	S13	0	
161301	S13a	0	
161400	S14	0	
161500	S15	0	
161600	S16	0	
161700	S17	0	
161701	S17a	0	
161800	S18	0	
161801	S18a	0	
161900	S19	0	
162000	S20	0	
162100	S21	0	
999991	Too many, all	0	
999999	Skipped	1235	99.5%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# pu2g1_5: The number of the question			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10000-999999] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10000	A Group	0	
10100	A1	0	
10200	A2	0	
10300	A3	0	
10400	A4	0	
10401	A4a	0	
10402	A4b	0	
10403	A4c	0	
20000	B Group	0	
20100	B1	0	
20200	B2	0	
20201	B2a	0	
20202	B2b	0	
20203	B2c	0	
20300	B3	0	
20400	B4	0	
20500	B5	0	
20501	B5a	0	
20600	B6	0	
30000	C Group	0	
30100	C1	0	
30200	C2	0	
30300	C3	0	
40000	D Group	0	
40100	D1	0	
40200	D2	0	
40300	D3	0	
40400	D4	0	
40500	D5	0	
40600	D6	0	
40700	D7	0	
50000	E Group	0	
50100	E1	0	
50200	E2	0	
50300	E3	0	
50400	E4	0	
50500	E5	0	
50600	E6	0	
50700	E7	0	
50800	E8	0	
60000	F Group	0	
60100	F1	0	
60200	F2	0	

# pu2g1_5: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
70000	G Group	0	
70100	G1	0	
70200	G2	0	
70300	G3	0	
70400	G4	0	
80000	H Group	0	
80100	H1	0	
80200	H2	0	
80300	H3	0	
80400	H4	0	
80500	H5	0	
80600	H6	0	
80700	H7	0	
80800	H8	0	
80900	H9	0	
90000	I Group	0	
90100	I1	0	
90200	I2	0	
90300	I3	0	
100000	J Group	0	
100101	J1a	1	0.1%
100102	J1b	0	
100103	J1b1	0	
100104	J1b2	1	0.1%
100105	J1c	0	
100106	J1d	0	
100107	J1e	0	
100108	J1f	0	
100109	J1g	0	
100200	J2	0	
100300	J3	0	
100400	J4	0	
100401	J4a	1	0.1%
100402	J4a1	0	
100403	J4b	0	
100404	J4b1	0	
100500	J5	1	0.1%
100501	J5a	0	
100502	J5b	0	
110000	K Group	0	
110100	K1	0	
110101	K1a	0	
110201	K2a	0	
110202	K2b	0	
110203	K2c	0	

# pu2g1_5: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
110301	K3a	0	
110302	K3b	0	
110303	K3c	0	
110401	K4a	0	
110402	K4b	0	
110403	K4c	0	
110501	K5a	0	
110502	K5b	0	
110503	K5c	0	
110601	K6a	0	
110602	K6b	0	
110603	K6c	0	
110700	K7	0	
110701	K7a	0	
110800	K8	0	
110801	K8a	0	
110900	K9	0	
110901	K9a	0	
111000	K10	0	
111100	K11	0	
111200	K12	0	
111300	K13	0	
120000	M Group	0	
120101	M1a	0	
120102	M1b	0	
120200	M2	0	
120300	M3	0	
120400	M4	0	
130000	N Group	0	
130100	N1 Group	0	
130101	N1a	0	
130102	N1b	0	
130103	N1c	0	
130104	N1d	0	
130105	N1e	0	
130200	N2	0	
130201	N2a	0	
130202	N2b	0	
130300	N3	0	
130301	N3a	0	
130400	N4	0	
130500	N5	0	
130600	N6	0	
140000	P Group	0	
140100	P1	0	

# pu2g1_5: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
140101	P1a	0	0.1%
140102	P1b	0	
140200	P2	0	
140300	P3	0	
140400	P4	0	
140500	P5	0	
140501	P5a	0	
140502	P5b	0	
140503	P5c	1	
140600	P6	0	
140601	P6a	0	
140700	P7	0	
140701	P7a	0	
140801	P8a	0	
140802	P8b	0	
140803	P8c	0	
140804	P8d	0	0.1%
140805	P8e	0	
140806	P8f	0	
140807	P8g	0	
150000	Q Group	0	
150100	Q1	0	
150101	Q1a	0	
150102	Q1b	0	
150103	Q1c	0	
150200	Q2	0	
150201	Q2a	0	
150202	Q2b	0	
150203	Q2c	0	
150204	Q2d	0	
150300	Q3	0	
150301	Q3a	1	
150400	Q4	0	0.1%
150500	Q5	0	
150600	Q6	0	
150700	Q7	0	
150800	Q8	0	
150801	Q8a	0	
150900	Q9	0	
150901	Q9a	0	
160000	S Group	0	
160100	S1	0	
160200	S2	0	
160300	S3	0	
160400	S4	0	

# pu2g1_5: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
160500	S5	0	
160600	S6	0	
160601	S6a	0	
160602	S6b	0	
160700	S7	0	
160701	S7a	0	
160702	S7b	0	
160800	S8	0	
160900	S9	0	
161000	S10	0	
161001	S10a	0	
161002	S10b	0	
161100	S11	0	
161101	S12a	0	
161300	S13	0	
161301	S13a	0	
161400	S14	0	
161500	S15	0	
161600	S16	0	
161700	S17	0	
161701	S17a	0	
161800	S18	0	
161801	S18a	0	
161900	S19	0	
162000	S20	0	
162100	S21	0	
999991	Too many, all	0	
999999	Skipped	1235	<div></div> 99.5%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pu2g1_6: The number of the question			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10000-999999] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10000	A Group	0	
10100	A1	0	
10200	A2	0	
10300	A3	0	
10400	A4	0	
10401	A4a	0	
10402	A4b	0	
10403	A4c	0	
20000	B Group	0	
20100	B1	0	

# pu2g1_6: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
20200	B2	0	
20201	B2a	0	
20202	B2b	0	
20203	B2c	0	
20300	B3	0	
20400	B4	0	
20500	B5	0	
20501	B5a	0	
20600	B6	0	
30000	C Group	0	
30100	C1	0	
30200	C2	0	
30300	C3	0	
40000	D Group	0	
40100	D1	0	
40200	D2	0	
40300	D3	0	
40400	D4	0	
40500	D5	0	
40600	D6	0	
40700	D7	0	
50000	E Group	0	
50100	E1	0	
50200	E2	0	
50300	E3	0	
50400	E4	0	
50500	E5	0	
50600	E6	0	
50700	E7	0	
50800	E8	0	
60000	F Group	0	
60100	F1	0	
60200	F2	0	
70000	G Group	0	
70100	G1	0	
70200	G2	0	
70300	G3	0	
70400	G4	0	
80000	H Group	0	
80100	H1	0	
80200	H2	0	
80300	H3	0	
80400	H4	0	
80500	H5	0	
80600	H6	0	

# pu2g1_6: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
80700	H7	0	
80800	H8	0	
80900	H9	0	
90000	I Group	0	
90100	I1	0	
90200	I2	0	
90300	I3	0	
100000	J Group	0	
100101	J1a	0	
100102	J1b	0	
100103	J1b1	0	
100104	J1b2	0	
100105	J1c	0	
100106	J1d	0	
100107	J1e	0	
100108	J1f	0	
100109	J1g	0	
100200	J2	1	0.1%
100300	J3	0	
100400	J4	0	
100401	J4a	0	
100402	J4a1	0	
100403	J4b	1	0.1%
100404	J4b1	0	
100500	J5	0	
100501	J5a	0	
100502	J5b	1	0.1%
110000	K Group	0	
110100	K1	0	
110101	K1a	0	
110201	K2a	0	
110202	K2b	0	
110203	K2c	0	
110301	K3a	0	
110302	K3b	0	
110303	K3c	0	
110401	K4a	0	
110402	K4b	0	
110403	K4c	0	
110501	K5a	0	
110502	K5b	0	
110503	K5c	0	
110601	K6a	0	
110602	K6b	0	
110603	K6c	0	

# pu2g1_6: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
110700	K7	0	
110701	K7a	0	
110800	K8	0	
110801	K8a	0	
110900	K9	0	
110901	K9a	0	
111000	K10	0	
111100	K11	0	
111200	K12	0	
111300	K13	0	
120000	M Group	0	
120101	M1a	0	
120102	M1b	0	
120200	M2	0	
120300	M3	0	
120400	M4	0	
130000	N Group	0	
130100	N1 Group	0	
130101	N1a	0	
130102	N1b	0	
130103	N1c	0	
130104	N1d	0	
130105	N1e	0	
130200	N2	0	
130201	N2a	0	
130202	N2b	0	
130300	N3	0	
130301	N3a	0	
130400	N4	0	
130500	N5	0	
130600	N6	0	
140000	P Group	0	
140100	P1	0	
140101	P1a	0	
140102	P1b	0	
140200	P2	0	
140300	P3	0	
140400	P4	0	
140500	P5	0	
140501	P5a	0	
140502	P5b	0	
140503	P5c	0	
140600	P6	0	
140601	P6a	0	
140700	P7	0	

# pu2g1_6: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
140701	P7a	1	0.1%
140801	P8a	0	
140802	P8b	0	
140803	P8c	0	
140804	P8d	0	
140805	P8e	0	
140806	P8f	0	
140807	P8g	0	
150000	Q Group	0	
150100	Q1	0	
150101	Q1a	0	
150102	Q1b	0	
150103	Q1c	0	
150200	Q2	0	
150201	Q2a	0	
150202	Q2b	0	
150203	Q2c	0	
150204	Q2d	0	
150300	Q3	0	
150301	Q3a	0	
150400	Q4	1	0.1%
150500	Q5	0	
150600	Q6	0	
150700	Q7	0	
150800	Q8	0	
150801	Q8a	0	
150900	Q9	0	
150901	Q9a	0	
160000	S Group	0	
160100	S1	0	
160200	S2	0	
160300	S3	0	
160400	S4	0	
160500	S5	0	
160600	S6	0	
160601	S6a	0	
160602	S6b	0	
160700	S7	0	
160701	S7a	0	
160702	S7b	0	
160800	S8	0	
160900	S9	0	
161000	S10	0	
161001	S10a	0	
161002	S10b	0	

# pu2g1_6: The number of the question				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
161100	S11	0	<div></div>	
161101	S12a	0		
161300	S13	0		
161301	S13a	0		
161400	S14	0		
161500	S15	0		
161600	S16	0		
161700	S17	0		
161701	S17a	0		
161800	S18	0		
161801	S18a	0		
161900	S19	0		
162000	S20	0		
162100	S21	0		
999991	Too many, all	0		
999999	Skipped	1236		<div></div> 99.6%
Sysmiss		1051		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# pu2g1_7: The number of the question				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10000-999999] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
10000	A Group	0	<div></div>	
10100	A1	0		
10200	A2	0		
10300	A3	0		
10400	A4	0		
10401	A4a	0		
10402	A4b	0		
10403	A4c	0		
20000	B Group	0		
20100	B1	0		
20200	B2	0		
20201	B2a	0		
20202	B2b	0		
20203	B2c	0		
20300	B3	0		
20400	B4	0		
20500	B5	0		
20501	B5a	0		
20600	B6	0		
30000	C Group	0		
30100	C1	0		
30200	C2	0		

# pu2g1_7: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
30300	C3	0	
40000	D Group	0	
40100	D1	0	
40200	D2	0	
40300	D3	0	
40400	D4	0	
40500	D5	0	
40600	D6	0	
40700	D7	0	
50000	E Group	0	
50100	E1	0	
50200	E2	0	
50300	E3	0	
50400	E4	0	
50500	E5	0	
50600	E6	0	
50700	E7	0	
50800	E8	0	
60000	F Group	0	
60100	F1	0	
60200	F2	0	
70000	G Group	0	
70100	G1	0	
70200	G2	0	
70300	G3	0	
70400	G4	0	
80000	H Group	0	
80100	H1	0	
80200	H2	0	
80300	H3	0	
80400	H4	0	
80500	H5	0	
80600	H6	0	
80700	H7	0	
80800	H8	0	
80900	H9	0	
90000	I Group	0	
90100	I1	0	
90200	I2	0	
90300	I3	0	
100000	J Group	0	
100101	J1a	0	
100102	J1b	0	
100103	J1b1	0	
100104	J1b2	0	

# pu2g1_7: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
100105	J1c	0	
100106	J1d	0	
100107	J1e	0	
100108	J1f	0	
100109	J1g	0	
100200	J2	0	
100300	J3	1	0.1%
100400	J4	0	
100401	J4a	0	
100402	J4a1	0	
100403	J4b	0	
100404	J4b1	0	
100500	J5	1	0.1%
100501	J5a	0	
100502	J5b	0	
110000	K Group	0	
110100	K1	0	
110101	K1a	0	
110201	K2a	0	
110202	K2b	0	
110203	K2c	0	
110301	K3a	0	
110302	K3b	0	
110303	K3c	0	
110401	K4a	0	
110402	K4b	0	
110403	K4c	0	
110501	K5a	0	
110502	K5b	0	
110503	K5c	0	
110601	K6a	0	
110602	K6b	0	
110603	K6c	0	
110700	K7	0	
110701	K7a	0	
110800	K8	0	
110801	K8a	0	
110900	K9	0	
110901	K9a	0	
111000	K10	0	
111100	K11	0	
111200	K12	0	
111300	K13	0	
120000	M Group	0	
120101	M1a	0	

# pu2g1_7: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
120102	M1b	0	
120200	M2	0	
120300	M3	0	
120400	M4	0	
130000	N Group	0	
130100	N1 Group	0	
130101	N1a	0	
130102	N1b	0	
130103	N1c	0	
130104	N1d	0	
130105	N1e	0	
130200	N2	0	
130201	N2a	0	
130202	N2b	0	
130300	N3	0	
130301	N3a	0	
130400	N4	0	
130500	N5	0	
130600	N6	0	
140000	P Group	0	
140100	P1	0	
140101	P1a	0	
140102	P1b	0	
140200	P2	0	
140300	P3	0	
140400	P4	0	
140500	P5	0	
140501	P5a	0	
140502	P5b	0	
140503	P5c	0	
140600	P6	1	
140601	P6a	0	
140700	P7	0	
140701	P7a	0	
140801	P8a	0	
140802	P8b	0	
140803	P8c	0	
140804	P8d	0	
140805	P8e	0	
140806	P8f	0	
140807	P8g	0	
150000	Q Group	0	
150100	Q1	0	
150101	Q1a	0	
150102	Q1b	0	

# pu2g1_7: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
150103	Q1c	0	
150200	Q2	0	
150201	Q2a	0	
150202	Q2b	0	
150203	Q2c	0	
150204	Q2d	0	
150300	Q3	0	
150301	Q3a	0	
150400	Q4	0	
150500	Q5	1	
150600	Q6	0	0.1%
150700	Q7	0	
150800	Q8	0	
150801	Q8a	0	
150900	Q9	0	
150901	Q9a	0	
160000	S Group	0	
160100	S1	0	
160200	S2	0	
160300	S3	0	
160400	S4	0	
160500	S5	0	
160600	S6	0	
160601	S6a	0	
160602	S6b	0	
160700	S7	0	
160701	S7a	0	
160702	S7b	0	
160800	S8	0	
160900	S9	0	
161000	S10	0	
161001	S10a	0	
161002	S10b	0	
161100	S11	0	
161101	S12a	0	
161300	S13	0	
161301	S13a	0	
161400	S14	0	
161500	S15	0	
161600	S16	0	
161700	S17	0	
161701	S17a	0	
161800	S18	0	
161801	S18a	0	
161900	S19	0	

# pu2g1_7: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
162000	S20	0	
162100	S21	0	
999991	Too many, all	0	
999999	Skipped	1237	99.7%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pu2g1_8: The number of the question			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10000-999999] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10000	A Group	0	
10100	A1	0	
10200	A2	0	
10300	A3	0	
10400	A4	0	
10401	A4a	0	
10402	A4b	0	
10403	A4c	0	
20000	B Group	0	
20100	B1	0	
20200	B2	0	
20201	B2a	0	
20202	B2b	0	
20203	B2c	0	
20300	B3	0	
20400	B4	0	
20500	B5	0	
20501	B5a	0	
20600	B6	0	
30000	C Group	0	
30100	C1	0	
30200	C2	0	
30300	C3	0	
40000	D Group	0	
40100	D1	0	
40200	D2	0	
40300	D3	0	
40400	D4	0	
40500	D5	0	
40600	D6	0	
40700	D7	0	
50000	E Group	0	
50100	E1	0	
50200	E2	0	

# pu2g1_8: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
50300	E3	0	0.1%
50400	E4	0	
50500	E5	0	
50600	E6	0	
50700	E7	0	
50800	E8	0	
60000	F Group	0	
60100	F1	0	
60200	F2	0	
70000	G Group	0	
70100	G1	0	
70200	G2	0	
70300	G3	0	
70400	G4	0	
80000	H Group	0	
80100	H1	0	
80200	H2	0	
80300	H3	0	
80400	H4	0	
80500	H5	0	
80600	H6	0	
80700	H7	0	
80800	H8	0	
80900	H9	0	
90000	I Group	0	
90100	I1	0	
90200	I2	0	
90300	I3	0	
100000	J Group	0	
100101	J1a	0	
100102	J1b	0	
100103	J1b1	0	
100104	J1b2	0	
100105	J1c	0	
100106	J1d	0	
100107	J1e	0	
100108	J1f	0	
100109	J1g	0	
100200	J2	0	
100300	J3	0	
100400	J4	1	
100401	J4a	0	
100402	J4a1	0	
100403	J4b	0	
100404	J4b1	0	

# pu2g1_8: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
100500	J5	0	
100501	J5a	0	
100502	J5b	0	
110000	K Group	0	
110100	K1	0	
110101	K1a	0	
110201	K2a	0	
110202	K2b	0	
110203	K2c	0	
110301	K3a	0	
110302	K3b	0	
110303	K3c	0	
110401	K4a	0	
110402	K4b	0	
110403	K4c	0	
110501	K5a	0	
110502	K5b	0	
110503	K5c	0	
110601	K6a	0	
110602	K6b	0	
110603	K6c	0	
110700	K7	0	
110701	K7a	0	
110800	K8	0	
110801	K8a	0	
110900	K9	0	
110901	K9a	0	
111000	K10	0	
111100	K11	0	
111200	K12	0	
111300	K13	0	
120000	M Group	0	
120101	M1a	0	
120102	M1b	0	
120200	M2	0	
120300	M3	0	
120400	M4	0	
130000	N Group	0	
130100	N1 Group	0	
130101	N1a	0	
130102	N1b	0	
130103	N1c	0	
130104	N1d	0	
130105	N1e	0	
130200	N2	0	

# pu2g1_8: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
130201	N2a	0	
130202	N2b	0	
130300	N3	0	
130301	N3a	0	
130400	N4	0	
130500	N5	0	
130600	N6	0	
140000	P Group	0	
140100	P1	0	
140101	P1a	0	
140102	P1b	0	
140200	P2	0	
140300	P3	0	
140400	P4	0	
140500	P5	0	
140501	P5a	0	
140502	P5b	0	
140503	P5c	0	
140600	P6	0	
140601	P6a	0	
140700	P7	0	
140701	P7a	0	
140801	P8a	1	
140802	P8b	0	
140803	P8c	0	
140804	P8d	0	
140805	P8e	0	
140806	P8f	0	
140807	P8g	0	
150000	Q Group	0	
150100	Q1	0	
150101	Q1a	0	
150102	Q1b	0	
150103	Q1c	0	
150200	Q2	0	
150201	Q2a	0	
150202	Q2b	0	
150203	Q2c	0	
150204	Q2d	0	
150300	Q3	0	
150301	Q3a	0	
150400	Q4	0	
150500	Q5	0	
150600	Q6	1	
150700	Q7	0	

# pu2g1_8: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
150800	Q8	0	
150801	Q8a	0	
150900	Q9	0	
150901	Q9a	0	
160000	S Group	0	
160100	S1	0	
160200	S2	0	
160300	S3	0	
160400	S4	0	
160500	S5	0	
160600	S6	0	
160601	S6a	0	
160602	S6b	0	
160700	S7	0	
160701	S7a	0	
160702	S7b	0	
160800	S8	0	
160900	S9	0	
161000	S10	0	
161001	S10a	0	
161002	S10b	0	
161100	S11	0	
161101	S12a	0	
161300	S13	0	
161301	S13a	0	
161400	S14	0	
161500	S15	0	
161600	S16	0	
161700	S17	0	
161701	S17a	0	
161800	S18	0	
161801	S18a	0	
161900	S19	0	
162000	S20	0	
162100	S21	0	
999991	Too many, all	0	
999999	Skipped	1238	99.8%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pu2g1_9: The number of the question			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10000-999999] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10000	A Group	0	

# pu2g1_9: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10100	A1	0	
10200	A2	0	
10300	A3	0	
10400	A4	0	
10401	A4a	0	
10402	A4b	0	
10403	A4c	0	
20000	B Group	0	
20100	B1	0	
20200	B2	0	
20201	B2a	0	
20202	B2b	0	
20203	B2c	0	
20300	B3	0	
20400	B4	0	
20500	B5	0	
20501	B5a	0	
20600	B6	0	
30000	C Group	0	
30100	C1	0	
30200	C2	0	
30300	C3	0	
40000	D Group	0	
40100	D1	0	
40200	D2	0	
40300	D3	0	
40400	D4	0	
40500	D5	0	
40600	D6	0	
40700	D7	0	
50000	E Group	0	
50100	E1	0	
50200	E2	0	
50300	E3	0	
50400	E4	0	
50500	E5	0	
50600	E6	0	
50700	E7	0	
50800	E8	0	
60000	F Group	0	
60100	F1	0	
60200	F2	0	
70000	G Group	0	
70100	G1	0	
70200	G2	0	

# pu2g1_9: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
70300	G3	0	
70400	G4	0	
80000	H Group	0	
80100	H1	0	
80200	H2	0	
80300	H3	0	
80400	H4	0	
80500	H5	0	
80600	H6	0	
80700	H7	0	
80800	H8	0	
80900	H9	0	
90000	I Group	0	
90100	I1	0	
90200	I2	0	
90300	I3	0	
100000	J Group	0	
100101	J1a	0	
100102	J1b	0	
100103	J1b1	0	
100104	J1b2	0	
100105	J1c	0	
100106	J1d	0	
100107	J1e	0	
100108	J1f	0	
100109	J1g	0	
100200	J2	0	
100300	J3	0	
100400	J4	0	
100401	J4a	0	
100402	J4a1	0	
100403	J4b	0	
100404	J4b1	0	
100500	J5	1	0.1%
100501	J5a	0	
100502	J5b	0	
110000	K Group	0	
110100	K1	0	
110101	K1a	0	
110201	K2a	0	
110202	K2b	0	
110203	K2c	0	
110301	K3a	0	
110302	K3b	0	
110303	K3c	0	

# pu2g1_9: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
110401	K4a	0	
110402	K4b	0	
110403	K4c	0	
110501	K5a	0	
110502	K5b	0	
110503	K5c	0	
110601	K6a	0	
110602	K6b	0	
110603	K6c	0	
110700	K7	0	
110701	K7a	0	
110800	K8	0	
110801	K8a	0	
110900	K9	0	
110901	K9a	0	
111000	K10	0	
111100	K11	0	
111200	K12	0	
111300	K13	0	
120000	M Group	0	
120101	M1a	0	
120102	M1b	0	
120200	M2	0	
120300	M3	0	
120400	M4	0	
130000	N Group	0	
130100	N1 Group	0	
130101	N1a	0	
130102	N1b	0	
130103	N1c	0	
130104	N1d	0	
130105	N1e	0	
130200	N2	0	
130201	N2a	0	
130202	N2b	0	
130300	N3	0	
130301	N3a	0	
130400	N4	0	
130500	N5	0	
130600	N6	0	
140000	P Group	0	
140100	P1	0	
140101	P1a	0	
140102	P1b	0	
140200	P2	0	

# pu2g1_9: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
140300	P3	0	
140400	P4	0	
140500	P5	1	0.1%
140501	P5a	0	
140502	P5b	0	
140503	P5c	0	
140600	P6	0	
140601	P6a	0	
140700	P7	0	
140701	P7a	0	
140801	P8a	0	
140802	P8b	0	
140803	P8c	0	
140804	P8d	0	
140805	P8e	0	
140806	P8f	0	
140807	P8g	0	
150000	Q Group	0	
150100	Q1	0	
150101	Q1a	0	
150102	Q1b	0	
150103	Q1c	0	
150200	Q2	0	
150201	Q2a	0	
150202	Q2b	0	
150203	Q2c	0	
150204	Q2d	0	
150300	Q3	0	
150301	Q3a	0	
150400	Q4	0	
150500	Q5	0	
150600	Q6	0	
150700	Q7	1	0.1%
150800	Q8	0	
150801	Q8a	0	
150900	Q9	0	
150901	Q9a	0	
160000	S Group	0	
160100	S1	0	
160200	S2	0	
160300	S3	0	
160400	S4	0	
160500	S5	0	
160600	S6	0	
160601	S6a	0	

# pu2g1_9: The number of the question			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
160602	S6b	0	
160700	S7	0	
160701	S7a	0	
160702	S7b	0	
160800	S8	0	
160900	S9	0	
161000	S10	0	
161001	S10a	0	
161002	S10b	0	
161100	S11	0	
161101	S12a	0	
161300	S13	0	
161301	S13a	0	
161400	S14	0	
161500	S15	0	
161600	S16	0	
161700	S17	0	
161701	S17a	0	
161800	S18	0	
161801	S18a	0	
161900	S19	0	
162000	S20	0	
162100	S21	0	
999991	Too many, all	0	
999999	Skipped	1238	<div></div> 99.8%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pu3: How cooperative was the R?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very cooperative	701	<div></div> 56.5%
2	Fairly cooperative	443	<div></div> 35.7%
3	A little cooperative	73	<div></div> 5.9%
4	A little uncooperative	16	<div></div> 1.3%
5	Fairly uncooperative	6	<div></div> 0.5%
6	Very uncooperative	2	<div></div> 0.2%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pu4: How well do you think the R understood the questions?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Understood very well	301	<div></div> 24.3%

# pu4: How well do you think the R understood the questions?				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
2	Understood fairly well	506	<div><div></div></div>	40.8%
3	Understood a little	266	<div><div></div></div>	21.4%
4	Didn't understand a little	103	<div><div></div></div>	8.3%
5	Didn't understand a fair amount	31	<div><div></div></div>	2.5%
6	Didn't understand much at all	34	<div><div></div></div>	2.7%
Sysmiss		1051		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# pu5: How sensitive do you think the R thought the questions were?				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Very sensitive	42	<div><div></div></div>	3.4%
2	Fairly sensitive	74	<div><div></div></div>	6.0%
3	A little sensitive	265	<div><div></div></div>	21.4%
4	A little insensitive	338	<div><div></div></div>	27.2%
5	Fairly insensitive	243	<div><div></div></div>	19.6%
6	Very insensitive	279	<div><div></div></div>	22.5%
Sysmiss		1051		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# pu6: How interested do you think the R was in the contents of the survey?				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Very interested	118	<div><div></div></div>	9.5%
2	Fairly interested	237	<div><div></div></div>	19.1%
3	A little interested	512	<div><div></div></div>	41.3%
4	A little uninterested	277	<div><div></div></div>	22.3%
5	Fairly uninterested	56	<div><div></div></div>	4.5%
6	Very uninterested	41	<div><div></div></div>	3.3%
Sysmiss		1051		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# pu7: How trustworthy do you think the R's answers are?				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Most are untrustworthy	25	<div><div></div></div>	2.0%
2	Some are untrustworthy	72	<div><div></div></div>	5.8%
3	Most are trustworthy	623	<div><div></div></div>	50.2%
4	All are trustworthy	521	<div><div></div></div>	42.0%
Sysmiss		1051		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# pu8: What do you think is the R's level of knowledge about general political matters?				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		

# pu8: What do you think is the R's level of knowledge about general political matters?			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very low	87	<div><div></div></div> 7.0%
2	Low	314	<div><div></div></div> 25.3%
3	High	654	<div><div></div></div> 52.7%
4	Very high	186	<div><div></div></div> 15.0%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pu9_1: The R answered questions:			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	By him or herself	741	<div><div></div></div> 59.7%
2	With his or her spouse	242	<div><div></div></div> 19.5%
3	A child also participated	106	<div><div></div></div> 8.5%
4	With his or her entire family	104	<div><div></div></div> 8.4%
6	Colleagues	10	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
7	Relatives	8	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
8	Servant (housekeeper)	0	
9	Grandchildren	4	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
10	Customer	8	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
11	Brothers or sisters	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
12	Friends	12	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%
13	Neighbors	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
14	Administrator	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
15	Students	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
16	Employees	0	
17	Chiefs of village	0	
18	Customer in the convenient store	0	
99	Skipped	0	
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pu9_2: The R answered questions:			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	By him or herself	0	
2	With his or her spouse	6	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
3	A child also participated	27	<div><div></div></div> 2.2%
4	With his or her entire family	8	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
6	Colleagues	0	
7	Relatives	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
8	Servant (housekeeper)	0	
9	Grandchildren	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%

# pu9_2: The R answered questions:			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	Customer	0	
11	Brothers or sisters	1	0.1%
12	Friends	0	
13	Neighbors	1	0.1%
14	Administrator	0	
15	Students	0	
16	Employees	0	
17	Chiefs of village	0	
18	Customer in the convenient store	0	
99	Skipped	1195	96.3%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pu9_3: The R answered questions:			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	By him or herself	0	
2	With his or her spouse	4	0.3%
3	A child also participated	0	
4	With his or her entire family	3	0.2%
6	Colleagues	0	
7	Relatives	0	
8	Servant (housekeeper)	0	
9	Grandchildren	0	
10	Customer	0	
11	Brothers or sisters	0	
12	Friends	0	
13	Neighbors	0	
14	Administrator	0	
15	Students	0	
16	Employees	0	
17	Chiefs of village	0	
18	Customer in the convenient store	0	
99	Skipped	1234	99.4%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pu9_4: The R answered questions:			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	By him or herself	0	
2	With his or her spouse	0	
3	A child also participated	0	
4	With his or her entire family	0	

# pu9_4: The R answered questions:			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6	Colleagues	0	
7	Relatives	0	
8	Servant (housekeeper)	0	
9	Grandchildren	0	
10	Customer	0	
11	Brothers or sisters	0	
12	Friends	0	
13	Neighbors	0	
14	Administrator	0	
15	Students	0	
16	Employees	0	
17	Chiefs of village	0	
18	Customer in the convenient store	0	
99	Skipped	1241	100.0%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pu9_5: The R answered questions:			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	By him or herself	0	
2	With his or her spouse	0	
3	A child also participated	0	
4	With his or her entire family	0	
6	Colleagues	0	
7	Relatives	0	
8	Servant (housekeeper)	0	
9	Grandchildren	0	
10	Customer	0	
11	Brothers or sisters	0	
12	Friends	0	
13	Neighbors	0	
14	Administrator	0	
15	Students	0	
16	Employees	0	
17	Chiefs of village	0	
18	Customer in the convenient store	0	
99	Skipped	1241	100.0%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pu9a: Child's age is about:			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		

# pu9a: Child's age is about:			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		1	0.1%
2		1	0.1%
3		3	0.2%
5		3	0.2%
6		4	0.3%
7		2	0.2%
8		2	0.2%
9		2	0.2%
10		11	0.9%
11		1	0.1%
13		3	0.2%
14		1	0.1%
15		3	0.2%
16		2	0.2%
17		3	0.2%
18		1	0.1%
20		5	0.4%
22		4	0.3%
23		1	0.1%
24		1	0.1%
25		1	0.1%
28		1	0.1%
30		3	0.2%
31		2	0.2%
33		1	0.1%
35		6	0.5%
38		1	0.1%
40		7	0.6%
43		1	0.1%
45		1	0.1%
50		7	0.6%
55		1	0.1%
60		2	0.2%
62		1	0.1%
67		1	0.1%
74		1	0.1%
98	Don't know	42	3.4%
99	Skipped	1108	89.3%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pu10: Did a third person present at the interview do any of the following?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		

pu10: Did a third person present at the interview do any of the following?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Keep busy, but listen to the conversation between I and R	247	<div></div> 19.9%
2	Be present part of the time, but not express opinion or othe	68	<div></div> 5.5%
3	Be present all of the time, but not express opinion or other	59	<div></div> 4.8%
4	During interview, express opinion or otherwise interfere wit	89	<div></div> 7.2%
5	None of the above	37	<div></div> 3.0%
99	Skipped	741	<div></div> 59.7%
Sysmiss		1051	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pdistrict: District

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 201-6806] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
201	Yi Lan County Single District	0	
401	Hsinchu County Single District	46	<div></div> 3.7%
501	Miaoli County 1st District	0	
502	Miaoli County 2nd District	13	<div></div> 1.0%
701	Changhua County 1st District	0	
702	Changhua County 2nd District	31	<div></div> 2.5%
703	Changhua County 3rd District	0	
704	Changhua County 4th District	14	<div></div> 1.1%
801	Nantou County 1st District	0	
802	Nantou County 2nd District	0	
901	Yunlin County 1st District	39	<div></div> 3.1%
902	Yunlin County 2nd District	19	<div></div> 1.5%
1001	Chiayi County 1st District	0	
1002	Chiayi County 2nd District	17	<div></div> 1.4%
1301	Pingtung City 1st District	0	
1302	Pingtung City 2nd District	22	<div></div> 1.8%
1303	Pingtung City 3rd District	26	<div></div> 2.1%
1401	Taitung County Single District	26	<div></div> 2.1%
1501	Hualien County Single District	15	<div></div> 1.2%
1601	Penghu County Single District	0	
1701	Keelung City Single District	13	<div></div> 1.0%
1801	Hsinchu City Single District	0	
2001	Chiayi City Single District	17	<div></div> 1.4%
2801	Kinmen County Single District	0	
3001	Lienchiang County Single District	0	
6301	Taipei City 1st District	0	
6302	Taipei City 2nd District	0	
6303	Taipei City 3rd District	44	<div></div> 3.5%
6304	Taipei City 4th District	0	
6305	Taipei City 5th District	0	
6306	Taipei City 6th District	40	<div></div> 3.2%
6307	Taipei City 7th District	0	

# pdistrict: District			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6308	Taipei City 8th District	44	<div><div></div></div> 3.5%
6401	Kaohsiung City 1st District	57	<div><div></div></div> 4.6%
6402	Kaohsiung City 2nd District	17	<div><div></div></div> 1.4%
6403	Kaohsiung City 3rd District	19	<div><div></div></div> 1.5%
6404	Kaohsiung City 4th District	0	
6405	Kaohsiung City 5th District	13	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%
6406	Kaohsiung City 6th District	10	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
6407	Kaohsiung City 7th District	18	<div><div></div></div> 1.5%
6408	Kaohsiung City 8th District	24	<div><div></div></div> 1.9%
6409	Kaohsiung City 9th District	0	
6501	New Taipei City 1st District	19	<div><div></div></div> 1.5%
6502	New Taipei City 2nd District	43	<div><div></div></div> 3.5%
6503	New Taipei City 3rd District	0	
6504	New Taipei City 4th District	0	
6505	New Taipei City 5th District	0	
6506	New Taipei City 6th District	43	<div><div></div></div> 3.5%
6507	New Taipei City 7th District	24	<div><div></div></div> 1.9%
6508	New Taipei City 8th District	0	
6509	New Taipei City 9th District	0	
6510	New Taipei City 10th District	0	
6511	New Taipei City 11th District	12	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%
6512	New Taipei City 12th District	11	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
6601	Taichung City 1st District	32	<div><div></div></div> 2.6%
6602	Taichung City 2nd District	33	<div><div></div></div> 2.7%
6603	Taichung City 3rd District	0	
6604	Taichung City 4th District	40	<div><div></div></div> 3.2%
6605	Taichung City 5th District	68	<div><div></div></div> 5.5%
6606	Taichung City 6th District	49	<div><div></div></div> 3.9%
6607	Taichung City 7th District	0	
6608	Taichung City 8th District	0	
6701	Tainan City 1st District	20	<div><div></div></div> 1.6%
6702	Tainan City 2nd District	51	<div><div></div></div> 4.1%
6703	Tainan City 3rd District	33	<div><div></div></div> 2.7%
6704	Tainan City 4th District	29	<div><div></div></div> 2.3%
6705	Tainan City 5th District	52	<div><div></div></div> 4.2%
6801	Taoyuan City 1st District	36	<div><div></div></div> 2.9%
6802	Taoyuan City 2nd District	0	
6803	Taoyuan City 3rd District	22	<div><div></div></div> 1.8%
6804	Taoyuan City 4th District	0	
6805	Taoyuan City 5th District	24	<div><div></div></div> 1.9%
6806	Taoyuan City 6th District	16	<div><div></div></div> 1.3%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# psex: Sex			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		

# psex: Sex			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Male	635	<div><div></div></div> 51.2%
2	Female	606	<div><div></div></div> 48.8%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# page: Age			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	20-29	110	<div><div></div></div> 8.9%
2	30-39	232	<div><div></div></div> 18.7%
3	40-49	227	<div><div></div></div> 18.3%
4	50-59	267	<div><div></div></div> 21.5%
5	Above 60	405	<div><div></div></div> 32.6%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pedu: Education			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Below elementary school	219	<div><div></div></div> 17.6%
2	Junior high school	159	<div><div></div></div> 12.8%
3	Senior high school	309	<div><div></div></div> 24.9%
4	College	151	<div><div></div></div> 12.2%
5	Above university	402	<div><div></div></div> 32.4%
9	Nonresponse	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# parear: Area			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Taipei, New Taipei, Keelung and Yi Lan	293	<div><div></div></div> 23.6%
2	Taoyuan, Hsinchu and Miaoli	157	<div><div></div></div> 12.7%
3	Taichung, Changhua and Nantou	267	<div><div></div></div> 21.5%
4	Yunlin, Chiayi and Tainan	277	<div><div></div></div> 22.3%
5	Kaohsiung, Pingtung and Penghu	206	<div><div></div></div> 16.6%
6	Hualien, Taitung and Offshore Islands	41	<div><div></div></div> 3.3%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pcareer: Occupations5			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]	

# pcareer: Occupations5			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Hight-class WHITE COLLAR	356	<div></div> 28.7%
2	Low-class WHITE COLLAR	302	<div></div> 24.3%
3	FARMER	96	<div></div> 7.7%
4	WORKER	364	<div></div> 29.3%
5	Others	123	<div></div> 9.9%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pcareer8: Occupation8			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Civil servants	195	<div></div> 15.7%
2	Managers and Professionals (Priv.)	193	<div></div> 15.6%
3	CLERKS (Priv.)	213	<div></div> 17.2%
4	Labor (Priv.)	315	<div></div> 25.4%
5	FARMER	81	<div></div> 6.5%
6	Student or currently in job training	16	<div></div> 1.3%
7	Housekeeping	198	<div></div> 16.0%
8	Others	30	<div></div> 2.4%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pethnic: Ethnic			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Taiwanese	743	<div></div> 59.9%
2	Both	400	<div></div> 32.2%
3	Chinese	57	<div></div> 4.6%
9	Noresponse	41	<div></div> 3.3%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pparty: Party Preference			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-26] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly support KMT	59	<div></div> 4.8%
2	Somewhat support KMT	112	<div></div> 9.0%
3	Lean to KMT	67	<div></div> 5.4%
4	Somewhat lean to KMT	77	<div></div> 6.2%
5	Strongly support DPP	105	<div></div> 8.5%
6	Somewhat support DPP	150	<div></div> 12.1%
7	Lean to the DPP	58	<div></div> 4.7%

# pparty: Party Preference			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8	Somewhat lean to DPP	96	<div><div></div></div> 7.7%
9	Strongly support NP	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
10	Somewhat support NP	0	
11	Lean to the NP	0	
12	Somewhat lean to the NP	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
13	Strongly support PFP	5	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
14	Somewhat support PFP	8	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
15	Lean to the PFP	6	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
16	Somewhat lean to the PFP	7	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
17	Strongly support TSU	0	
18	Somewhat support TSU	0	
19	Lean to the TSU	0	
20	Somewhat lean to the TSU	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
21	Strongly support NPP	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
22	Somewhat support NPP	6	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
23	Lean to NPP	11	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
24	Somewhat lean to NPP	10	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
25	Neutral and noresponse	436	<div><div></div></div> 35.1%
26	Other parties	20	<div><div></div></div> 1.6%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# ppartyid: Party Identification			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	KMT	315	<div><div></div></div> 25.4%
2	DPP	409	<div><div></div></div> 33.0%
3	NP	5	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
4	PFP	26	<div><div></div></div> 2.1%
5	TSU	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
6	NPP	29	<div><div></div></div> 2.3%
9	Others, neutral and nonresponse	456	<div><div></div></div> 36.7%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# ptondu: Position on unification and independence			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Immediate unification	24	<div><div></div></div> 1.9%
2	Maintain the status quo,move toward unification	143	<div><div></div></div> 11.5%
3	Maintain the status quo, decide either unification or indepe	392	<div><div></div></div> 31.6%
4	Maintain the status quo forever	270	<div><div></div></div> 21.8%
5	Maintain the status quo, move toward independence	259	<div><div></div></div> 20.9%
6	Immediate independence	65	<div><div></div></div> 5.2%

# ptondu: Position on unification and independence			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
9	Nonresponse	88	<div></div> 7.1%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# ptondu3: 3 categories of TONDU			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Unification	167	<div></div> 13.5%
2	Maintain the status quo	662	<div></div> 53.3%
3	Independence	324	<div></div> 26.1%
9	Nonresponse	88	<div></div> 7.1%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pni2: Who is the current the premier of our country?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Correct	433	<div></div> 34.9%
2	Incorrect	234	<div></div> 18.9%
3	I know but can't remember the name	208	<div></div> 16.8%
95	Refuse to answer	1	<div></div> 0.1%
98	Don't know	365	<div></div> 29.4%
Sysmiss		1051	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

File : TEDS2016E_retC

id: Case ID

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1020202602610-23650404800402] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=423 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=3355558417450.26 /-] [StdDev=2752359206809.48 /-]

tau: Set

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-31] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=423 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Tau 01	125	29.6%
2	Tau 02	129	30.5%
3	Tau 03	82	19.4%
4	Tau 04	20	4.7%
5	Tau 05	30	7.1%
6	Tau 06	10	2.4%
7	Tau 07	5	1.2%
8	Tau 08	3	0.7%
9	Tau 09	6	1.4%
10	Tau 10	2	0.5%
11	Tau 11	3	0.7%
12	Tau 12	1	0.2%
13	Tau 13	0	
14	Tau 14	2	0.5%
15	Tau 15	0	
16	Tau 16	2	0.5%
17	Tau 17	0	
18	Tau 18	0	
19	Tau 19	0	
20	Tau 20	2	0.5%
21	Tau 21	0	
22	Tau 22	0	
23	Tau 23	1	0.2%
24	Tau 24	0	
25	Tau 25	0	
26	Tau 26	0	
27	Tau 27	0	
28	Tau 28	0	
29	Tau 29	0	
30	Tau 30	0	
31	Tau 31	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

city: City, County

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 2-68] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=423 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Yilan County	17	4.0%

File : TEDS2016E_retC

city: City, County

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Hsinchu County	13	3.1%
5	Miaoli County	10	2.4%
7	Changhua County	30	7.1%
9	Yunlin County	12	2.8%
10	Chiayi County	14	3.3%
13	Pingtung County	9	2.1%
14	Taitung County	4	0.9%
15	Hualien County	4	0.9%
17	Keelung City	11	2.6%
18	Hsinchu City	13	3.1%
20	Chiayi City	0	
63	Taipei City	43	10.2%
64	Kaohsiung City	59	13.9%
65	New Taipei City	72	17.0%
66	Taichung City	42	9.9%
67	Tainan City	38	9.0%
68	Taoyuan City	32	7.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

town: Township, City, District

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 202-6811] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=423 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
202	Luodong Township, Yilan County	3	0.7%
205	Jiaoxi Township, Yilan County	9	2.1%
209	Wujie Township, Yilan County	5	1.2%
401	Zhubei City, Hsinchu County	5	1.2%
402	Zhudong Township, Hsinchu County	0	
403	Xinpu Township, Hsinchu County	5	1.2%
406	Xinfeng Township, Hsinchu County	3	0.7%
501	Miaoli City, Miaoli County	3	0.7%
508	Dahu Township , Miaoli County	0	
516	Sanwan Township, Miaoli County	7	1.7%
701	Changhua City, Changhua County	0	
703	Hemei Township, Changhua County	2	0.5%
706	Fuxing Township, Changhua County	10	2.4%
709	Fenyuan Township, Changhua County	0	
710	Yuanlin Township, Changhua County	6	1.4%
714	Puyan Township, Changhua County	3	0.7%
716	Yongjing Township, Changhua County	3	0.7%
718	Ershui Township, Changhua County	0	
719	Beidou Township, Changhua County	3	0.7%
721	Tianwei Township, Changhua County	2	0.5%
722	Pitou Township, Changhua County	1	0.2%

File : TEDS2016E_retC

town: Township, City, District

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
905	Tuku Township, Yunlin County	4	<div></div> 0.9%
906	Beigang Township, Yunlin County	4	<div></div> 0.9%
909	Citong Township, Yunlin County	0	
917	YuanchangTownshi, Yunlin County	4	<div></div> 0.9%
920	Shuilin Township, Yunlin County	0	
1004	Dalin Township, Chiayi County	8	<div></div> 1.9%
1005	Minxiong Township, Chiayi County	0	
1013	Zhongpu Township, Chiayi County	6	<div></div> 1.4%
1301	Pingtung City, Pingtung County	0	
1316	Fangliao Township, Pingtung County	1	<div></div> 0.2%
1317	Xinyuan Township, Pingtung County	3	<div></div> 0.7%
1321	Jiandong Township, Pingtung County	5	<div></div> 1.2%
1401	Taitung City, Taitung County	0	
1402	Chenggong Township, Taitung County	3	<div></div> 0.7%
1404	Beinan Township, Taitung County	1	<div></div> 0.2%
1501	HualienCity, Hualien County	2	<div></div> 0.5%
1503	Yuli Township, Hualien County	2	<div></div> 0.5%
1509	Ruisui Township, Hualien County	0	
1704	Renai District, Keelung City	5	<div></div> 1.2%
1705	Zhongshan District, Keelung City	2	<div></div> 0.5%
1706	Anle District, Keelung City	4	<div></div> 0.9%
1801	East District, Hsinchu City	7	<div></div> 1.7%
1802	North District, Hsinchu City	1	<div></div> 0.2%
1803	Xiangshan District, Hsinchu City	5	<div></div> 1.2%
2002	West District, Chiayi City	0	
6301	Songshan District, Taipei City	2	<div></div> 0.5%
6303	Daan District, Taipei City	0	
6304	Zhongshan District, Taipei City	13	<div></div> 3.1%
6306	Datong District , Taipei City	12	<div></div> 2.8%
6308	Wenshan District, Taipei City	0	
6311	Shilin District, Taipei City	14	<div></div> 3.3%
6312	Beitou District, Taipei City	2	<div></div> 0.5%
6402	Gushan District, Kaohsiung City	1	<div></div> 0.2%
6403	Zuoying District, Kaohsiung City	12	<div></div> 2.8%
6404	Nanzi District, Kaohsiung City	3	<div></div> 0.7%
6405	Sanmin District, Kaohsiung City	19	<div></div> 4.5%
6406	XinxingDistrict, Kaohsiung City	2	<div></div> 0.5%
6408	Lingya District, Kaohsiung City	9	<div></div> 2.1%
6410	Qianzhen District, Kaohsiung City	4	<div></div> 0.9%
6412	Fengshan District, Kaohsiung City	9	<div></div> 2.1%
6416	Dashe District, Kaohsiung City	0	
6424	Luzhu District, Kaohsiung City	0	
6430	Qishan District, Kaohsiung City	0	

File : TEDS2016E_retC

town: Township, City, District

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6431	Meinong District, Kaohsiung City	0	
6501	Banqiao District, New Taipei City	15	3.5%
6502	Sanchong District, New Taipei City	17	4.0%
6503	Zhonghe District, New Taipei City	12	2.8%
6504	Yonghe District, New Taipei City	13	3.1%
6505	Xinzhuan District, New Taipei City	6	1.4%
6507	Shulin District, New Taipei City	9	2.1%
6512	Ruifang District, New Taipei City	0	
6515	Wugu District, New Taipei City	0	
6517	Linkou District, New Taipei City	0	
6520	Pinglin District, New Taipei City	0	
6602	East District, Taichung City	4	0.9%
6603	South District, Taichung City	6	1.4%
6604	West District, Taichung City	0	
6605	North District, Taichung City	0	
6606	Xitun District, Taichung City	4	0.9%
6607	Nantun District, Taichung City	5	1.2%
6608	Beitun District, Taichung City	8	1.9%
6609	Fengyuan District, Taichung City	6	1.4%
6610	Dongshi District, Taichung City	2	0.5%
6615	Houli District, Taichung City	3	0.7%
6616	Shengang District, Taichung City	2	0.5%
6618	Daya District, Taichung City	2	0.5%
6621	Waipu District, Taichung City	0	
6623	Wuri District, Taichung City	0	
6701	Xinying District, Tainan City	5	1.2%
6706	Dongshan District, Tainan City	0	
6707	Madou District, Tainan City	4	0.9%
6712	Jiali District, Tainan City	3	0.7%
6716	Jiangjun District, Tainan City	5	1.2%
6718	Xinhua District, Tainan City	2	0.5%
6719	Shanhua District, Tainan City	0	
6731	Yongkang District, Tainan City	0	
6732	East District, Tainan City	6	1.4%
6733	South District, Tainan City	0	
6734	North District, Tainan City	2	0.5%
6735	Annan District, Tainan City	3	0.7%
6736	Anping District, Tainan City	3	0.7%
6737	West Central District, Tainan City	5	1.2%
6802	Zhongli District, Taoyuan City	10	2.4%
6803	Daxi District, Taoyuan City	7	1.7%
6804	Yangmei District, Taoyuan City	10	2.4%
6808	Bade District, Taoyuan City	0	

File : TEDS2016E_retC

town: Township, City, District

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6811	Xinwu District, Taoyuan City	5	<div></div> 1.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

village: Village

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 202026-6811020] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=423 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
202026	Guohua Village, Luodong Township, Yilan County	3	<div></div> 0.7%
205007	Erlong Village, Jiaoxi Township, Yilan County	9	<div></div> 2.1%
209006	Sijie Village, Wujie Township, Yilan County	5	<div></div> 1.2%
401004	Zhongxing Village, Zhubei City, Hsinchu County	0	
401008	Zhuren Village, Zhubei City, Hsinchu County	0	
401021	Shixing Village, Zhubei City, Hsinchu County	5	<div></div> 1.2%
402016	Touchong Village, Zhudong Township, Hsinchu County	0	
402019	Erchong Village, Zhudong Township, Hsinchu County	0	
403003	Wenshan Village, Xipu Township, Hsinchu County	5	<div></div> 1.2%
406004	Puhe Village, Xinfeng Township, Hsinchu County	0	
406008	Chongxing Village, Xinfeng Township, Hsinchu County	0	
406009	Xinfeng Village, Xinfeng Township, Hsinchu County	3	<div></div> 0.7%
501017	Qinghua Village, Miaoli City, Miaoli County	2	<div></div> 0.5%
501027	Nanshi Village, Miaoli City, Miaoli County	1	<div></div> 0.2%
508003	Jinghu Village, Dahu Township , Miaoli County	0	
508012	Xinkai Village, Dahu Township , Miaoli County	0	
516006	Dahe Village, Sanwan Township, Miaoli County	7	<div></div> 1.7%
701024	Wuquan Village, Changhua City, Changhua County	0	
701070	Kuaiguan Village, Changhua City, Changhua County	0	
703030	Yuemei Village, Hemei Township, Changhua County	2	<div></div> 0.5%
706008	Wanfeng Village, Fuxing Township, Changhua County	7	<div></div> 1.7%
706015	Xianian Village, Fuxing Township, Changhua County	3	<div></div> 0.7%
709001	Jiushe Village, Fenyuan Township, Changhua County	0	
709008	Xianzhuang village, Fenyuan Township, Changhua County	0	
710012	Xinsheng Village, Yuanlin Township, Changhua County	6	<div></div> 1.4%
714001	Puyan Village, Puyan Township, Changhua County	3	<div></div> 0.7%
716004	Yongbei Village, Yongjing Township, Changhua County	3	<div></div> 0.7%
718010	Huimin Village, Ershui Township, Changhua County	0	
718014	Yuanquan Village, Ershui Township, Changhua County	0	
719009	Zhonghe Village, Beidou Township, Changhua County	3	<div></div> 0.7%
721009	Xinsheng Village, Tianwei Township, Changhua County	2	<div></div> 0.5%
722007	Hexing Village, Pitou Township, Changhua County	1	<div></div> 0.2%
905002	Shuntian Village, Tuku Township, Yunlin County	4	<div></div> 0.9%
906005	Zhonghe Village, Beigang Township, Yunlin County	0	
906015	Guangfu Village, Beigang Township, Yunlin County	0	
906025	Shujiao Village, Beigang Township, Yunlin County	4	<div></div> 0.9%
909007	Damei Village, Citong Township, Yunlin County	0	

File : TEDS2016E_retC

village: Village

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
909012	Mayuan Village, Citong Township, Yunlin County	0	
917013	Xialiao Village, YuanchangTownshi, Yunlin County	4	0.9%
920002	Shuinan Village, Shuilin Township, Yunlin County	0	
920011	Wanxing Village, Shuilin Township, Yunlin County	0	
1004005	Minghua Village, Dalin Township, Chiayi County	6	1.4%
1004016	Sanhe Village, Dalin Township, Chiayi County	2	0.5%
1005003	Xian Village, Minxiong Township, Chiayi County	0	
1005006	Donghu Village, Minxiong Township, Chiayi County	0	
1013008	Hemei Village, Zhongpu Township, Chiayi County	6	1.4%
1301055	Qingxi Village, Pingtung City, Pingtung County	0	
1301073	Chonglan Village, Pingtung City, Pingtung County	0	
1316004	Zhongliao Village, Fangliao Township, Pingtung County	1	0.2%
1316009	Renhe Village, Fangliao Township, Pingtung County	0	
1316010	Neiliao Village, Fangliao Township, Pingtung County	0	
1317007	Xinyuan Village, Xinyuan Township, Pingtung County	3	0.7%
1321002	Liugen Village, Jiadong Township, Pingtung County	5	1.2%
1401008	Minsheng Village, Taitung City, Taitung County	0	
1401045	Zhiben Village, Taitung City, Taitung County	0	
1402005	Zhongzhi Village, Chenggong Township, Taitung County	3	0.7%
1404009	Taian Village, Beinan Township, Taitung County	1	0.2%
1501014	Zhunong Village, HualienCity, Hualien County	2	0.5%
1503014	Sanmin Village, Yuli Township, Hualien County	2	0.5%
1509001	Ruisui Village, Ruisui Township, Hualien County	0	
1509007	Hegang Village, Ruisui Township, Hualien County	0	
1704006	Heming Village, Renai District, Keelung City	5	1.2%
1705020	Dehe Village, Zhongshan District, Keelung City	2	0.5%
1706008	Jiaren Village, Anle District, Keelung City	0	
1706014	Xinlun Village, Anle District, Keelung City	4	0.9%
1706016	Siwei Village, Anle District, Keelung City	0	
1801035	Ligong Village, East District, Hsinchu City	7	1.7%
1802030	Keya Village, North District, Hsinchu City	1	0.2%
1803010	Dongxiang Village, Xiangshan District, Hsinchu City	5	1.2%
2002005	Chongxing Village, West District, Chiayi City	0	
2002069	Baosheng Village, West District, Chiayi City	0	
6301020	Pengcheng Village, Songshan District, Taipei City	2	0.5%
6303004	Minzhao Village, Daan District, Taipei City	0	
6303007	Minhui Village, Daan District, Taipei City	0	
6303013	Gufeng Village, Daan District, Taipei City	0	
6303017	Fuzhu Village, Daan District, Taipei City	0	
6303031	Tonghua Village, Daan District, Taipei City	0	
6303049	Jianan Village, Daan District, Taipei City	0	
6304005	Kangle Village, Zhongshan District, Taipei City	0	
6304007	Jusheng Village, Zhongshan District, Taipei City	0	

File : TEDS2016E_retC

village: Village

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6304010	Hengan Village, Zhongshan District, Taipei City	5	1.2%
6304018	Xinxi Village, Zhongshan District, Taipei City	0	
6304021	Songjiang Village, Zhongshan District, Taipei City	0	
6304024	Xingzheng Village, Zhongshan District, Taipei City	0	
6304025	Xingren Village, Zhongshan District, Taipei City	8	1.9%
6304031	Zhongyuan Village, Zhongshan District, Taipei City	0	
6306007	Xingming Village, Datong District , Taipei City	8	1.9%
6306017	Guoshun Village, Datong District , Taipei City	4	0.9%
6308005	Jingren Village, Wenshan District, Taipei City	0	
6308015	Xingjia Village, Wenshan District, Taipei City	0	
6308021	Xingtai Village, Wenshan District, Taipei City	0	
6308027	Muzha Village, Wenshan District, Taipei City	0	
6308032	Zhangjiao Village, Wenshan District, Taipei City	0	
6308041	Zhangwen Village, Wenshan District, Taipei City	0	
6311011	Bailing Village, Shilin District, Taipei City	2	0.5%
6311030	Zhongcheng Village, Shilin District, Taipei City	7	1.7%
6311040	Tianshan Village, Shilin District, Taipei City	5	1.2%
6312038	Guandu Village, Beitou District, Taipei City	2	0.5%
6402004	Guangrong Village, Gushan District, Kaohsiung City	1	0.2%
6403001	Jinxue Village, Zuoying District, Kaohsiung City	5	1.2%
6403043	Caigong Village, Zuoying District, Kaohsiung City	7	1.7%
6404003	Wuchang Village, Nanzi District, Kaohsiung City	3	0.7%
6404005	Zhongyang Village, Nanzi District, Kaohsiung City	0	
6404022	Shengchang Village, Nanzi District, Kaohsiung City	0	
6405005	Dingxi Village, Sanmin District, Kaohsiung City	0	
6405017	Baode Village, Sanmin District, Kaohsiung City	2	0.5%
6405021	Baohua Village, Sanmin District, Kaohsiung City	5	1.2%
6405030	Baoyu Village, Sanmin District, Kaohsiung City	4	0.9%
6405053	Dezhi Village, Sanmin District, Kaohsiung City	0	
6405066	Licheng Village, Sanmin District, Kaohsiung City	8	1.9%
6406006	Dezheng Village, XinxingDistrict, Kaohsiung City	2	0.5%
6408015	Guangze Village, Lingya District, Kaohsiung City	5	1.2%
6408022	Putian Village, Lingya District, Kaohsiung City	0	
6408052	Fukang Village, Lingya District, Kaohsiung City	0	
6408060	Zhengyan Village, Lingya District, Kaohsiung City	4	0.9%
6410003	Zhenxing Village, Qianzhen District, Kaohsiung City	4	0.9%
6412018	Ruizhu Village, Fengshan District, Kaohsiung City	0	
6412027	Zhengyi Village, Fengshan District, Kaohsiung City	5	1.2%
6412063	Guofu Village, Fengshan District, Kaohsiung City	0	
6412071	Zhongmin Village, Fengshan District, Kaohsiung City	3	0.7%
6412077	Fuxiang Village, Fengshan District, Kaohsiung City	1	0.2%
6416006	Guanyin Village, Dashe District, Kaohsiung City	0	
6416008	Zhongli Village, Dashe District, Kaohsiung City	0	

File : TEDS2016E_retC

village: Village

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6424014	Wennan Village, Luzhu District, Kaohsiung City	0	
6424020	Shenan Village, Luzhu District, Kaohsiung City	0	
6430002	Dade Village, Qishan District, Kaohsiung City	0	
6430003	Meizhou Village, Qishan District, Kaohsiung City	0	
6431010	Xinglong Village, Meinong District, Kaohsiung City	0	
6431016	Jiyang Village, Meinong District, Kaohsiung City	0	
6501014	Guoguang Village, Banqiao District, New Taipei City	0	
6501020	Hansheng Village, Banqiao District, New Taipei City	0	
6501045	Lancui Village, Banqiao District, New Taipei City	0	
6501060	Guangren Village, Banqiao District, New Taipei City	4	0.9%
6501062	Yongan Village, Banqiao District, New Taipei City	0	
6501065	Shenqiu Village, Banqiao District, New Taipei City	0	
6501087	Huadong Village, Banqiao District, New Taipei City	8	1.9%
6501089	Fuzhou Village, Banqiao District, New Taipei City	3	0.7%
6501096	Juan Village, Banqiao District, New Taipei City	0	
6502014	Guangyang Village, Sanchong District, New Taipei City	7	1.7%
6502039	Qinghe Village, Sanchong District, New Taipei City	7	1.7%
6502066	Wanshou Village, Sanchong District, New Taipei City	3	0.7%
6503040	Jingnan Village, Zhonghe District, New Taipei City	3	0.7%
6503045	Fuxing Village, Zhonghe District, New Taipei City	4	0.9%
6503080	Funan Village, Zhonghe District, New Taipei City	5	1.2%
6504013	Baoan Village, Yonghe District, New Taipei City	5	1.2%
6504039	Dehe Village, Yonghe District, New Taipei City	4	0.9%
6504048	Hebin Village, Yonghe District, New Taipei City	4	0.9%
6505041	Guangrong Village, Xinzhuang District, New Taipei City	6	1.4%
6507019	Dongyang Village, Shulin District, New Taipei City	5	1.2%
6507021	Leshan Village, Shulin District, New Taipei City	4	0.9%
6512029	Haibin Village, Ruifang District, New Taipei City	0	
6512034	Xinfeng Village, Ruifang District, New Taipei City	0	
6515003	Jifu Village, Wugu District, New Taipei City	0	
6515005	Chengzhou Village, Wugu District, New Taipei City	0	
6515010	Deyin Village, Wugu District, New Taipei City	0	
6515014	Chengde Village, Wugu District, New Taipei City	0	
6517006	Hubei Village, Linkou District, New Taipei City	0	
6517016	Lilin Village, Linkou District, New Taipei City	0	
6520003	Dalin Village, Pinglin District, New Taipei City	0	
6520006	Shuide Village, Pinglin District, New Taipei City	0	
6602005	Gancheng Village, East District, Taichung City	4	0.9%
6603014	Xichuan Village, South District, Taichung City	2	0.5%
6603019	Nanhe Village, South District, Taichung City	4	0.9%
6604002	Limin Village, West District, Taichung City	0	
6604007	Gongmin Village, West District, Taichung City	0	
6604008	Lanxing Village, West District, Taichung City	0	

File : TEDS2016E_retC

village: Village

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6604009	Gongguan Village, West District, Taichung City	0	
6604015	Shengping Village, West District, Taichung City	0	
6604020	Gongyi Village, West District, Taichung City	0	
6605013	Jianxing Village, North District, Taichung City	0	
6605018	Dangou Village, North District, Taichung City	0	
6605025	Laixing Village, North District, Taichung City	0	
6605027	Liuhe Village, North District, Taichung City	0	
6605035	Meichuan Village, North District, Taichung City	0	
6605036	Laifu Village, North District, Taichung City	0	
6606016	Guangfu Village, Xitun Distric, Taichung City	0	
6606028	Shangde Village, Xitun Distric, Taichung City	4	0.9%
6606031	Hecheng Village, Xitun Distric, Taichung City	0	
6607002	Fengle Village, Nantun District, Taichung City	4	0.9%
6607012	Tianxin Village, Nantun District, Taichung City	1	0.2%
6607016	Wenxin Village, Nantun District, Taichung City	0	
6607025	Sanyi Village, Nantun District, Taichung City	0	
6608008	Shuijing Village, Beitun District, Taichung City	3	0.7%
6608018	Renmei Village, Beitun District, Taichung City	0	
6608021	Tongrong Village, Beitun District, Taichung City	2	0.5%
6608024	Chenping Village, Beitun District, Taichung City	3	0.7%
6608042	Zhongping Village, Beitun District, Taichung City	0	
6609001	Fengyuan Village, Fengyuan District, Taichung City	3	0.7%
6609028	Zhongyang Village, Fengyuan District, Taichung City	3	0.7%
6610002	Zhongning Village, Dongshi District, Taichung City	2	0.5%
6615017	Yuemei Village, Houli District, Taichung City	3	0.7%
6616009	Dashe Village, Shengang District, Taichung City	2	0.5%
6618013	Wenya Village, Daya District, Taichung City	2	0.5%
6621001	Yongfeng Village, Waipu District, Taichung City	0	
6621003	Sankan Village, Waipu District, Taichung City	0	
6621005	Shuimei Village, Waipu District, Taichung City	0	
6621006	Dadong Village, Waipu District, Taichung City	0	
6623002	Huri Village, Wuri District, Taichung City	0	
6623006	Jiude Village, Wuri District, Taichung City	0	
6623007	Rende Village, Wuri District, Taichung City	0	
6623009	Wuguang Village, Wuri District, Taichung City	0	
6701005	Yanping Village, Xinying District, Tainan City	2	0.5%
6701029	Xindong Village, Xinying District, Tainan City	3	0.7%
6706002	Dongzhong Village, Dongshan District, Tainan City	0	
6706006	Keli Village, Dongshan District, Tainan City	0	
6707004	Jinjiang Village, Madou District, Tainan City	4	0.9%
6712004	Jiannan Village, Jiali District, Tainan City	0	
6712005	Anxi Village, Jiali District, Tainan City	3	0.7%
6712019	Minan Village, Jiali District, Tainan City	0	

File : TEDS2016E_retC

village: Village

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6716017	Kunshen Village, Jiangjun District, Tainan City	5	1.2%
6718011	Beishi Village, Xinhua District, Tainan City	2	0.5%
6719006	Xiguan Village, Shanhua District, Tainan City	0	
6719012	Ximei Village, Shanhua District, Tainan City	0	
6731002	Wangliao Village, Yongkang District, Tainan City	0	
6731030	Erwang Village, Yongkang District, Tainan City	0	
6731032	Sanhe Village, Yongkang District, Tainan City	0	
6731037	Fuhua Village, Yongkang District, Tainan City	0	
6732007	Houjia Village, East District, Tainan City	3	0.7%
6732029	Zhongxiao Village, East District, Tainan City	3	0.7%
6733007	Xinxing Village, South District, Tainan City	0	
6733035	Wennan Village, South District, Tainan City	0	
6734005	Lixing Village, North District, Tainan City	2	0.5%
6735002	Anshun Village, Annan District, Tainan City	0	
6735006	Andong Village, Annan District, Tainan City	0	
6735015	Haidong Village, Annan District, Tainan City	0	
6735032	Qingcao Village, Annan District, Tainan City	0	
6735039	Xiding Village, Annan District, Tainan City	3	0.7%
6736001	Ximen Village, Anping District, Tainan City	3	0.7%
6737030	Zhongzheng Village, West Central District, Tainan City	5	1.2%
6802071	Huaxuen Village, Zhongli Distric, Taoyuan City	10	2.4%
6803027	Renhe Village, Daxi District, Taoyuan City	7	1.7%
6804023	Ruiyuan Village, Yangmei District, Taoyuan City	7	1.7%
6804026	Zhongshan Village, Yangmei District, Taoyuan City	3	0.7%
6808003	Ruifeng Village, Bade District, Taoyuan City	0	
6811020	Kanglang Village, Xinwu District, Taoyuan City	5	1.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

t01: First contact-result

Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-30] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=423 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Completion	273	<div></div> 64.5%
2	No one can be reached in the household	22	<div></div> 5.2%
3	R is temporarily not at home	36	<div></div> 8.5%
4	Cannot take the interview right away	17	<div></div> 4.0%
5	Leave, after interview started	0	
6	Refusal by the R	33	<div></div> 7.8%
7	Break off, after interview started	0	
8	Refusal by the family	7	<div></div> 1.7%
9	R works, studies, or travels elsewhere	25	<div></div> 5.9%
10	R works, studies, or travels overseas	2	<div></div> 0.5%
11	R is registered in the household, but does not lives here	1	<div></div> 0.2%
12	R unavailable at the address	0	

File : TEDS2016E_retC

t01: First contact-result

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
13	R moves out	1	0.2%
14	Unable to locate the address	0	
15	Empty housing unit	0	
16	Unable to gain the access to the housing unit	1	0.2%
17	Renovation of the housing unit	0	
18	Refusal for unknown reasons	3	0.7%
19	R is physically or mentally unable to be interviewed	1	0.2%
20	Language problems	0	
21	R missing	0	
22	Emigration	0	
23	Serve in the military	0	
24	Get married and move out	0	
25	In jail	1	0.2%
26	Become a monk or nun	0	
27	Death	0	
28	Unfriendly circumstances	0	
29	R refuse the interview on the phone	0	
30	R refuse to sign interview agreement	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

t02: First contact-time

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10503161905-10504291640] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=423 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=10503775926.18 /-] [StdDev=476835.722 /-]

t03: Contact1

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-89] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=423 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Doorman	17	4.0%
2	Door phone	25	5.9%
3	Ring door bell or knock the door	50	11.8%
4	Go into the house after ask the household	144	34.0%
6	Contact on the telephone	171	40.4%
7	Ask neighbors, relatives or friends	8	1.9%
8	Ask the head of a neighborhood	0	
9	Communicate on the internet	0	
10	Communicate by letter or email	0	
11	Shout out at the door	8	1.9%
12	Visit R in jail	0	
89	R refuse the interview on the phone	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

t04: Second contact-result

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=423 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

File : TEDS2016E_retC

t04: Second contact-result

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Completion	34	8.0%
2	No one can be reached in the household	10	2.4%
3	R is temporarily not at home	12	2.8%
4	Cannot take the interview right away	7	1.7%
5	Leave, after interview started	0	
6	Refusal by the R	5	1.2%
7	Break off, after interview started	1	0.2%
8	Refusal by the family	1	0.2%
9	R works, studies, or travels elsewhere	5	1.2%
10	R works, studies, or travels overseas	0	
11	R is registered in the household, but does not lives here	0	
12	R unavailable at the address	0	
13	R moves out	0	
14	Unable to locate the address	0	
15	Empty housing unit	0	
16	Unable to gain the access to the housing unit	0	
17	Renovation of the housing unit	0	
18	Refusal for unknown reasons	0	
19	R is physically or mentally unable to be interviewed	0	
20	Language problems	0	
21	R missing	0	
22	Emigration	0	
23	Serve in the military	0	
24	Get married and move out	0	
25	In jail	0	
26	Become a monk or nun	0	
27	Death	0	
28	Unfriendly circumstances	0	
29	R refuse the interview on the phone	0	
30	R refuse to sign interview agreement	0	
99	Skipped	348	82.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

t05: Second contact-time

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10503171857-99999999999] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=423 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10503171857		1	0.2%
10503171919		1	0.2%
10503172005		1	0.2%
10503172021		1	0.2%
10503172059		1	0.2%
10503172129		1	0.2%

File : TEDS2016E_retC

t05: Second contact-time

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10503181922		1	0.2%
10503182040		1	0.2%
10503191227		1	0.2%
10503191240		1	0.2%
10503191707		1	0.2%
10503191711		1	0.2%
10503192044		1	0.2%
10503201651		1	0.2%
10503230956		1	0.2%
10503230957		2	0.5%
10503230958		1	0.2%
10503231905		1	0.2%
10503231906		1	0.2%
10503232128		1	0.2%
10503261414		1	0.2%
10503282029		1	0.2%
10503282031		1	0.2%
10503282033		1	0.2%
10504122046		1	0.2%
10504122053		1	0.2%
10504122055		1	0.2%
10504131940		1	0.2%
10504132004		1	0.2%
10504141725		1	0.2%
10504142038		1	0.2%
10504142054		1	0.2%
10504142111		1	0.2%
10504142122		1	0.2%
10504142149		1	0.2%
10504151109		1	0.2%
10504151116		1	0.2%
10504151128		1	0.2%
10504151550		2	0.5%
10504151949		1	0.2%
10504152008		1	0.2%
10504152013		1	0.2%
10504152024		1	0.2%
10504152031		1	0.2%
10504152035		1	0.2%
10504161214		1	0.2%
10504161918		1	0.2%
10504162106		1	0.2%
10504162114		1	0.2%

File : TEDS2016E_retC

t05: Second contact-time

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10504191843		1	0.2%
10504200820		1	0.2%
10504201258		2	0.5%
10504201824		1	0.2%
10504202118		1	0.2%
10504202120		1	0.2%
10504211211		1	0.2%
10504211734		1	0.2%
10504212020		1	0.2%
10504212039		1	0.2%
10504212230		1	0.2%
10504221246		1	0.2%
10504221317		1	0.2%
10504221510		1	0.2%
10504221547		1	0.2%
10504222100		1	0.2%
10504231806		1	0.2%
10504231922		1	0.2%
10504231933		1	0.2%
10504232022		1	0.2%
10504242046		1	0.2%
10504242306		1	0.2%
10504261955		1	0.2%
99999999999	Skipped	348	82.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

t06: Contact2

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=423 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Doorman	4	0.9%
2	Door phone	4	0.9%
3	Ring door bell or knock the door	5	1.2%
4	Go into the house after ask the household	21	5.0%
6	Contact on the telephone	38	9.0%
7	Ask neighbors, relatives or friends	1	0.2%
8	Ask the head of a neighborhood	0	
9	Communicate on the internet	0	
10	Communicate by letter or email	0	
11	Shout out at the door	2	0.5%
12	Visit R in jail	0	
89	R refuse the interview on the phone	0	
99	Skipped	348	82.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

File : TEDS2016E_retC

t07: Third contact-result

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=423 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Completion	13	3.1%
2	No one can be reached in the household	7	1.7%
3	R is temporarily not at home	1	0.2%
4	Cannot take the interview right away	1	0.2%
5	Leave, after interview started	0	
6	Refusal by the R	5	1.2%
7	Break off, after interview started	0	
8	Refusal by the family	1	0.2%
9	R works, studies, or travels elsewhere	1	0.2%
10	R works, studies, or travels overseas	0	
11	R is registered in the household, but does not lives here	0	
12	R unavailable at the address	0	
13	R moves out	0	
14	Unable to locate the address	0	
15	Empty housing unit	0	
16	Unable to gain the access to the housing unit	0	
17	Renovation of the housing unit	0	
18	Refusal for unknown reasons	0	
19	R is physically or mentally unable to be interviewed	0	
20	Language problems	0	
21	R missing	0	
22	Emigration	0	
23	Serve in the military	0	
24	Get married and move out	0	
25	In jail	0	
26	Become a monk or nun	0	
27	Death	0	
28	Unfriendly circumstances	0	
29	R refuse the interview on the phone	0	
30	R refuse to sign interview agreement	0	
99	Skipped	394	93.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

t08: Third contact-time

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10503191230-99999999999] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=423 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10503191230		1	0.2%
10503192059		1	0.2%
10503201358		1	0.2%
10503202005		1	0.2%

File : TEDS2016E_retC

t08: Third contact-time

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10503212050		1	0.2%
10503231720		1	0.2%
10503231930		1	0.2%
10503240938		1	0.2%
10503241120		1	0.2%
10503241527		1	0.2%
10503270917		1	0.2%
10504152121		1	0.2%
10504152148		1	0.2%
10504152202		1	0.2%
10504161248		1	0.2%
10504161701		1	0.2%
10504162027		1	0.2%
10504171236		1	0.2%
10504171927		1	0.2%
10504181257		1	0.2%
10504181258		1	0.2%
10504211353		1	0.2%
10504212052		1	0.2%
10504212152		1	0.2%
10504221635		1	0.2%
10504230858		1	0.2%
10504230934		1	0.2%
10504231856		1	0.2%
10504241953		1	0.2%
99999999999	Skipped	394	93.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

t09: Contact3

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=423 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Doorman	0	
2	Door phone	2	0.5%
3	Ring door bell or knock the door	4	0.9%
4	Go into the house after ask the household	12	2.8%
6	Contact on the telephone	10	2.4%
7	Ask neighbors, relatives or friends	0	
8	Ask the head of a neighborhood	0	
9	Communicate on the internet	0	
10	Communicate by letter or email	0	
11	Shout out at the door	1	0.2%
12	Visit R in jail	0	
89	R refuse the interview on the phone	0	

File : TEDS2016E_retC








t09: Contact3

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
99	Skipped	394	 93.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

t10: Community of the housing unit










Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-12] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=423 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Rural area	38	 9.0%
2	Veteran community	2	 0.5%
3	Citizen building	1	 0.2%
4	Civil servant community	0	
5	Residence area	298	 70.4%
6	Mixed residence and business area	74	 17.5%
7	Industry area	2	 0.5%
9	Village in mountainous area	8	 1.9%
10	Nursing home	0	
11	Fishing village	0	
12	Private house	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

t11: Appearance of the housing unit

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -inf-16] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=423 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-inf	11 "Unable to locate the address"	0	
1	Apartment	66	 15.6%
2	Building with elevator	83	 19.6%
3	Townhouse	235	 55.6%
5	Metal-sheet house	3	 0.7%
6	Single-story house	23	 5.4%
7	Villa	1	 0.2%
8	Factory	1	 0.2%
9	Three-section compound	10	 2.4%
12	Housing unit being torn down	0	
13	Ruins	0	
14	Temple, church or other religions buildings	1	 0.2%
15	Jail	0	
16	Hospital	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

t12: I's ID

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 161101-1605027] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=423 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=835756.411060224 /-] [StdDev=719908.191076352 /-]

File : TEDS2016E_retC

t13: Gender of I

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=423 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Male	240	56.7%
2	Female	183	43.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

final_result: Last contact result

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-30] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=423 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Completion	320	75.7%
2	No one can be reached in the household	7	1.7%
3	R is temporarily not at home	1	0.2%
4	Cannot take the interview right away	1	0.2%
5	Leave, after interview started	0	
6	Refusal by the R	43	10.2%
7	Break off, after interview started	1	0.2%
8	Refusal by the family	9	2.1%
9	R works, studies, or travels elsewhere	31	7.3%
10	R works, studies, or travels overseas	2	0.5%
11	R is registered in the household, but does not lives here	1	0.2%
12	R unavailable at the address	0	
13	R moves out	1	0.2%
14	Unable to locate the address	0	
15	Empty housing unit	0	
16	Unable to gain the access to the housing unit	1	0.2%
17	Renovation of the housing unit	0	
18	Refusal for unknown reasons	3	0.7%
19	R is physically or mentally unable to be interviewed	1	0.2%
20	Language problems	0	
21	R missing	0	
22	Emigration	0	
23	Serve in the military	0	
24	Get married and move out	0	
25	In jail	1	0.2%
26	Become a monk or nun	0	
27	Death	0	
28	Unfriendly circumstances	0	
29	R refuse the interview on the phone	0	
30	R refuse to sign interview agreement	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

File : TEDS2016E_retQ

id: Case ID

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1020202540072.96-23650405187584] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=320 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=3489130096885.76 /-] [StdDev=2935889990778.88 /-]

tau: Set

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-31] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=320 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Tau 01	89	27.8%
2	Tau 02	93	29.1%
3	Tau 03	69	21.6%
4	Tau 04	13	4.1%
5	Tau 05	26	8.1%
6	Tau 06	8	2.5%
7	Tau 07	4	1.2%
8	Tau 08	3	0.9%
9	Tau 09	4	1.2%
10	Tau 10	2	0.6%
11	Tau 11	1	0.3%
12	Tau 12	1	0.3%
13	Tau 13	0	
14	Tau 14	2	0.6%
15	Tau 15	0	
16	Tau 16	2	0.6%
17	Tau 17	0	
18	Tau 18	0	
19	Tau 19	0	
20	Tau 20	2	0.6%
21	Tau 21	0	
22	Tau 22	0	
23	Tau 23	1	0.3%
24	Tau 24	0	
25	Tau 25	0	
26	Tau 26	0	
27	Tau 27	0	
28	Tau 28	0	
29	Tau 29	0	
30	Tau 30	0	
31	Tau 31	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

city: City, County

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 2-68] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=320 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Yilan County	11	3.4%

File : TEDS2016E_retQ

city: City, County

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Hsinchu County	10	3.1%
5	Miaoli County	10	3.1%
7	Changhua County	21	6.6%
9	Yunlin County	8	2.5%
10	Chiayi County	8	2.5%
13	Pingtung County	8	2.5%
14	Taitung County	4	1.2%
15	Hualien County	4	1.2%
17	Keelung City	11	3.4%
18	Hsinchu City	10	3.1%
20	Chiayi City	0	
63	Taipei City	33	10.3%
64	Kaohsiung City	40	12.5%
65	New Taipei City	55	17.2%
66	Taichung City	35	10.9%
67	Tainan City	32	10.0%
68	Taoyuan City	20	6.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

town: Township, City, District

Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 202-6811] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=320 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
202	Luodong Township, Yilan County	3	<div></div> 0.9%
205	Jiaoxi Township, Yilan County	5	<div></div> 1.6%
209	Wujie Township, Yilan County	3	<div></div> 0.9%
401	Zhubei City, Hsinchu County	3	<div></div> 0.9%
402	Zhudong Township, Hsinchu County	0	
403	Xinpu Township, Hsinchu County	4	<div></div> 1.2%
406	Xinfeng Township, Hsinchu County	3	<div></div> 0.9%
501	Miaoli City, Miaoli County	3	<div></div> 0.9%
508	Dahu Township , Miaoli County	0	
516	Sanwan Township, Miaoli County	7	<div></div> 2.2%
701	Changhua City, Changhua County	0	
703	Hemei Township, Changhua County	1	<div></div> 0.3%
706	Fuxing Township, Changhua County	6	<div></div> 1.9%
709	Fenyuan Township, Changhua County	0	
710	Yuanlin Township, Changhua County	4	<div></div> 1.2%
714	Puyan Township, Changhua County	3	<div></div> 0.9%
716	Yongjing Township, Changhua County	2	<div></div> 0.6%
718	Ershui Township, Changhua County	0	
719	Beidou Township, Changhua County	3	<div></div> 0.9%
721	Tianwei Township, Changhua County	1	<div></div> 0.3%
722	Pitou Township, Changhua County	1	<div></div> 0.3%

File : TEDS2016E_retQ

town: Township, City, District

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
905	Tuku Township, Yunlin County	2	<div></div> 0.6%
906	Beigang Township, Yunlin County	3	<div></div> 0.9%
909	Citong Township, Yunlin County	0	
917	YuanchangTownshi, Yunlin County	3	<div></div> 0.9%
920	Shuilin Township, Yunlin County	0	
1004	Dalin Township, Chiayi County	7	<div></div> 2.2%
1005	Minxiong Township, Chiayi County	0	
1013	Zhongpu Township, Chiayi County	1	<div></div> 0.3%
1301	Pingtung City, Pingtung County	0	
1316	Fangliao Township, Pingtung County	1	<div></div> 0.3%
1317	Xinyuan Township, Pingtung County	3	<div></div> 0.9%
1321	Jiandong Township, Pingtung County	4	<div></div> 1.2%
1401	Taitung City, Taitung County	0	
1402	Chenggong Township, Taitung County	3	<div></div> 0.9%
1404	Beinan Township, Taitung County	1	<div></div> 0.3%
1501	HualienCity, Hualien County	2	<div></div> 0.6%
1503	Yuli Township, Hualien County	2	<div></div> 0.6%
1509	Ruisui Township, Hualien County	0	
1704	Renai District, Keelung City	5	<div></div> 1.6%
1705	Zhongshan District, Keelung City	2	<div></div> 0.6%
1706	Anle District, Keelung City	4	<div></div> 1.2%
1801	East District, Hsinchu City	5	<div></div> 1.6%
1802	North District, Hsinchu City	1	<div></div> 0.3%
1803	Xiangshan District, Hsinchu City	4	<div></div> 1.2%
2002	West District, Chiayi City	0	
6301	Songshan District, Taipei City	2	<div></div> 0.6%
6303	Daan District, Taipei City	0	
6304	Zhongshan District, Taipei City	9	<div></div> 2.8%
6306	Datong District , Taipei City	9	<div></div> 2.8%
6308	Wenshan District, Taipei City	0	
6311	Shilin District, Taipei City	11	<div></div> 3.4%
6312	Beitou District, Taipei City	2	<div></div> 0.6%
6402	Gushan District, Kaohsiung City	0	
6403	Zuoying District, Kaohsiung City	7	<div></div> 2.2%
6404	Nanzi District, Kaohsiung City	1	<div></div> 0.3%
6405	Sanmin District, Kaohsiung City	13	<div></div> 4.1%
6406	XinxingDistrict, Kaohsiung City	1	<div></div> 0.3%
6408	Lingya District, Kaohsiung City	7	<div></div> 2.2%
6410	Qianzhen District, Kaohsiung City	3	<div></div> 0.9%
6412	Fengshan District, Kaohsiung City	8	<div></div> 2.5%
6416	Dashe District, Kaohsiung City	0	
6424	Luzhu District, Kaohsiung City	0	
6430	Qishan District, Kaohsiung City	0	

File : TEDS2016E_retQ

town: Township, City, District

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6431	Meinong District, Kaohsiung City	0	
6501	Banqiao District, New Taipei City	11	3.4%
6502	Sanchong District, New Taipei City	11	3.4%
6503	Zhonghe District, New Taipei City	11	3.4%
6504	Yonghe District, New Taipei City	11	3.4%
6505	Xinzhuan District, New Taipei City	3	0.9%
6507	Shulin District, New Taipei City	8	2.5%
6512	Ruifang District, New Taipei City	0	
6515	Wugu District, New Taipei City	0	
6517	Linkou District, New Taipei City	0	
6520	Pinglin District, New Taipei City	0	
6602	East District, Taichung City	3	0.9%
6603	South District, Taichung City	4	1.2%
6604	West District, Taichung City	0	
6605	North District, Taichung City	0	
6606	Xitun District, Taichung City	3	0.9%
6607	Nantun District, Taichung City	4	1.2%
6608	Beitun District, Taichung City	7	2.2%
6609	Fengyuan District, Taichung City	5	1.6%
6610	Dongshi District, Taichung City	2	0.6%
6615	Houli District, Taichung City	3	0.9%
6616	Shengang District, Taichung City	2	0.6%
6618	Daya District, Taichung City	2	0.6%
6621	Waipu District, Taichung City	0	
6623	Wuri District, Taichung City	0	
6701	Xinying District, Tainan City	4	1.2%
6706	Dongshan District, Tainan City	0	
6707	Madou District, Tainan City	3	0.9%
6712	Jiali District, Tainan City	3	0.9%
6716	Jiangjun District, Tainan City	4	1.2%
6718	Xinhua District, Tainan City	2	0.6%
6719	Shanhua District, Tainan City	0	
6731	Yongkang District, Tainan City	0	
6732	East District, Tainan City	6	1.9%
6733	South District, Tainan City	0	
6734	North District, Tainan City	2	0.6%
6735	Annan District, Tainan City	3	0.9%
6736	Anping District, Tainan City	2	0.6%
6737	West Central District, Tainan City	3	0.9%
6802	Zhongli District, Taoyuan City	6	1.9%
6803	Daxi District, Taoyuan City	4	1.2%
6804	Yangmei District, Taoyuan City	6	1.9%
6808	Bade District, Taoyuan City	0	

File : TEDS2016E_retQ

town: Township, City, District

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6811	Xinwu District, Taoyuan City	4	<div></div> 1.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

village: Village

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 202026-6811020] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=320 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
202026	Guohua Village, Luodong Township, Yilan County	3	<div></div> 0.9%
205007	Erlong Village, Jiaoxi Township, Yilan County	5	<div></div> 1.6%
209006	Sijie Village, Wujie Township, Yilan County	3	<div></div> 0.9%
401004	Zhongxing Village, Zhubei City, Hsinchu County	0	
401008	Zhuren Village, Zhubei City, Hsinchu County	0	
401021	Shixing Village, Zhubei City, Hsinchu County	3	<div></div> 0.9%
402016	Touchong Village, Zhudong Township, Hsinchu County	0	
402019	Erchong Village, Zhudong Township, Hsinchu County	0	
403003	Wenshan Village, Xipu Township, Hsinchu County	4	<div></div> 1.2%
406004	Puhe Village, Xinfeng Township, Hsinchu County	0	
406008	Chongxing Village, Xinfeng Township, Hsinchu County	0	
406009	Xinfeng Village, Xinfeng Township, Hsinchu County	3	<div></div> 0.9%
501017	Qinghua Village, Miaoli City, Miaoli County	2	<div></div> 0.6%
501027	Nanshi Village, Miaoli City, Miaoli County	1	<div></div> 0.3%
508003	Jinghu Village, Dahu Township , Miaoli County	0	
508012	Xinkai Village, Dahu Township , Miaoli County	0	
516006	Dahe Village, Sanwan Township, Miaoli County	7	<div></div> 2.2%
701024	Wuquan Village, Changhua City, Changhua County	0	
701070	Kuaiguan Village, Changhua City, Changhua County	0	
703030	Yuemei Village, Hemei Township, Changhua County	1	<div></div> 0.3%
706008	Wanfeng Village, Fuxing Township, Changhua County	5	<div></div> 1.6%
706015	Xianian Village, Fuxing Township, Changhua County	1	<div></div> 0.3%
709001	Jiushe Village, Fenyuan Township, Changhua County	0	
709008	Xianzhuang village, Fenyuan Township, Changhua County	0	
710012	Xinsheng Village, Yuanlin Township, Changhua County	4	<div></div> 1.2%
714001	Puyan Village, Puyan Township, Changhua County	3	<div></div> 0.9%
716004	Yongbei Village, Yongjing Township, Changhua County	2	<div></div> 0.6%
718010	Huimin Village, Ershui Township, Changhua County	0	
718014	Yuanquan Village, Ershui Township, Changhua County	0	
719009	Zhonghe Village, Beidou Township, Changhua County	3	<div></div> 0.9%
721009	Xinsheng Village, Tianwei Township, Changhua County	1	<div></div> 0.3%
722007	Hexing Village, Pitou Township, Changhua County	1	<div></div> 0.3%
905002	Shuntian Village, Tuku Township, Yunlin County	2	<div></div> 0.6%
906005	Zhonghe Village, Beigang Township, Yunlin County	0	
906015	Guangfu Village, Beigang Township, Yunlin County	0	
906025	Shujiao Village, Beigang Township, Yunlin County	3	<div></div> 0.9%
909007	Damei Village, Citong Township, Yunlin County	0	

File : TEDS2016E_retQ

village: Village

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
909012	Mayuan Village, Citong Township, Yunlin County	0	
917013	Xialiao Village, YuanchangTownshi, Yunlin County	3	0.9%
920002	Shuinan Village, Shuilin Township, Yunlin County	0	
920011	Wanxing Village, Shuilin Township, Yunlin County	0	
1004005	Minghua Village, Dalin Township, Chiayi County	5	1.6%
1004016	Sanhe Village, Dalin Township, Chiayi County	2	0.6%
1005003	Xian Village, Minxiong Township, Chiayi County	0	
1005006	Donghu Village, Minxiong Township, Chiayi County	0	
1013008	Hemei Village, Zhongpu Township, Chiayi County	1	0.3%
1301055	Qingxi Village, Pingtung City, Pingtung County	0	
1301073	Chonglan Village, Pingtung City, Pingtung County	0	
1316004	Zhongliao Village, Fangliao Township, Pingtung County	1	0.3%
1316009	Renhe Village, Fangliao Township, Pingtung County	0	
1316010	Neiliao Village, Fangliao Township, Pingtung County	0	
1317007	Xinyuan Village, Xinyuan Township, Pingtung County	3	0.9%
1321002	Liugen Village, Jiadong Township, Pingtung County	4	1.2%
1401008	Minsheng Village, Taitung City, Taitung County	0	
1401045	Zhiben Village, Taitung City, Taitung County	0	
1402005	Zhongzhi Village, Chenggong Township, Taitung County	3	0.9%
1404009	Taian Village, Beinan Township, Taitung County	1	0.3%
1501014	Zhunong Village, HualienCity, Hualien County	2	0.6%
1503014	Sanmin Village, Yuli Township, Hualien County	2	0.6%
1509001	Ruisui Village, Ruisui Township, Hualien County	0	
1509007	Hegang Village, Ruisui Township, Hualien County	0	
1704006	Heming Village, Renai District, Keelung City	5	1.6%
1705020	Dehe Village, Zhongshan District, Keelung City	2	0.6%
1706008	Jiaren Village, Anle District, Keelung City	0	
1706014	Xinlun Village, Anle District, Keelung City	4	1.2%
1706016	Siwei Village, Anle District, Keelung City	0	
1801035	Ligong Village, East District, Hsinchu City	5	1.6%
1802030	Keya Village, North District, Hsinchu City	1	0.3%
1803010	Dongxiang Village, Xiangshan District, Hsinchu City	4	1.2%
2002005	Chongxing Village, West District, Chiayi City	0	
2002069	Baosheng Village, West District, Chiayi City	0	
6301020	Pengcheng Village, Songshan District, Taipei City	2	0.6%
6303004	Minzhao Village, Daan District, Taipei City	0	
6303007	Minhui Village, Daan District, Taipei City	0	
6303013	Gufeng Village, Daan District, Taipei City	0	
6303017	Fuzhu Village, Daan District, Taipei City	0	
6303031	Tonghua Village, Daan District, Taipei City	0	
6303049	Jianan Village, Daan District, Taipei City	0	
6304005	Kangle Village, Zhongshan District, Taipei City	0	
6304007	Jusheng Village, Zhongshan District, Taipei City	0	

File : TEDS2016E_retQ

village: Village

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6304010	Hengan Village, Zhongshan District, Taipei City	3	0.9%
6304018	Xinxi Village, Zhongshan District, Taipei City	0	
6304021	Songjiang Village, Zhongshan District, Taipei City	0	
6304024	Xingzheng Village, Zhongshan District, Taipei City	0	
6304025	Xingren Village, Zhongshan District, Taipei City	6	1.9%
6304031	Zhongyuan Village, Zhongshan District, Taipei City	0	
6306007	Xingming Village, Datong District , Taipei City	7	2.2%
6306017	Guoshun Village, Datong District , Taipei City	2	0.6%
6308005	Jingren Village, Wenshan District, Taipei City	0	
6308015	Xingjia Village, Wenshan District, Taipei City	0	
6308021	Xingtai Village, Wenshan District, Taipei City	0	
6308027	Muzha Village, Wenshan District, Taipei City	0	
6308032	Zhangjiao Village, Wenshan District, Taipei City	0	
6308041	Zhangwen Village, Wenshan District, Taipei City	0	
6311011	Bailing Village, Shilin District, Taipei City	2	0.6%
6311030	Zhongcheng Village, Shilin District, Taipei City	5	1.6%
6311040	Tianshan Village, Shilin District, Taipei City	4	1.2%
6312038	Guandu Village, Beitou District, Taipei City	2	0.6%
6402004	Guangrong Village, Gushan District, Kaohsiung City	0	
6403001	Jinxue Village, Zuoying District, Kaohsiung City	2	0.6%
6403043	Caigong Village, Zuoying District, Kaohsiung City	5	1.6%
6404003	Wuchang Village, Nanzi District, Kaohsiung City	1	0.3%
6404005	Zhongyang Village, Nanzi District, Kaohsiung City	0	
6404022	Shengchang Village, Nanzi District, Kaohsiung City	0	
6405005	Dingxi Village, Sanmin District, Kaohsiung City	0	
6405017	Baode Village, Sanmin District, Kaohsiung City	1	0.3%
6405021	Baohua Village, Sanmin District, Kaohsiung City	4	1.2%
6405030	Baoyu Village, Sanmin District, Kaohsiung City	3	0.9%
6405053	Dezhi Village, Sanmin District, Kaohsiung City	0	
6405066	Licheng Village, Sanmin District, Kaohsiung City	5	1.6%
6406006	Dezheng Village, XinxingDistrict, Kaohsiung City	1	0.3%
6408015	Guangze Village, Lingya District, Kaohsiung City	3	0.9%
6408022	Putian Village, Lingya District, Kaohsiung City	0	
6408052	Fukang Village, Lingya District, Kaohsiung City	0	
6408060	Zhengyan Village, Lingya District, Kaohsiung City	4	1.2%
6410003	Zhenxing Village, Qianzhen District, Kaohsiung City	3	0.9%
6412018	Ruizhu Village, Fengshan District, Kaohsiung City	0	
6412027	Zhengyi Village, Fengshan District, Kaohsiung City	4	1.2%
6412063	Guofu Village, Fengshan District, Kaohsiung City	0	
6412071	Zhongmin Village, Fengshan District, Kaohsiung City	3	0.9%
6412077	Fuxiang Village, Fengshan District, Kaohsiung City	1	0.3%
6416006	Guanyin Village, Dashe District, Kaohsiung City	0	
6416008	Zhongli Village, Dashe District, Kaohsiung City	0	

File : TEDS2016E_retQ

village: Village

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6424014	Wennan Village, Luzhu District, Kaohsiung City	0	
6424020	Shenan Village, Luzhu District, Kaohsiung City	0	
6430002	Dade Village, Qishan District, Kaohsiung City	0	
6430003	Meizhou Village, Qishan District, Kaohsiung City	0	
6431010	Xinglong Village, Meinong District, Kaohsiung City	0	
6431016	Jiyang Village, Meinong District, Kaohsiung City	0	
6501014	Guoguang Village, Banqiao District, New Taipei City	0	
6501020	Hansheng Village, Banqiao District, New Taipei City	0	
6501045	Lancui Village, Banqiao District, New Taipei City	0	
6501060	Guangren Village, Banqiao District, New Taipei City	3	0.9%
6501062	Yongan Village, Banqiao District, New Taipei City	0	
6501065	Shenqiu Village, Banqiao District, New Taipei City	0	
6501087	Huadong Village, Banqiao District, New Taipei City	6	1.9%
6501089	Fuzhou Village, Banqiao District, New Taipei City	2	0.6%
6501096	Juan Village, Banqiao District, New Taipei City	0	
6502014	Guangyang Village, Sanchong District, New Taipei City	6	1.9%
6502039	Qinghe Village, Sanchong District, New Taipei City	2	0.6%
6502066	Wanshou Village, Sanchong District, New Taipei City	3	0.9%
6503040	Jingnan Village, Zhonghe District, New Taipei City	3	0.9%
6503045	Fuxing Village, Zhonghe District, New Taipei City	4	1.2%
6503080	Funan Village, Zhonghe District, New Taipei City	4	1.2%
6504013	Baoan Village, Yonghe District, New Taipei City	4	1.2%
6504039	Dehe Village, Yonghe District, New Taipei City	3	0.9%
6504048	Hebin Village, Yonghe District, New Taipei City	4	1.2%
6505041	Guangrong Village, Xinzhuang District, New Taipei City	3	0.9%
6507019	Dongyang Village, Shulin District, New Taipei City	4	1.2%
6507021	Leshan Village, Shulin District, New Taipei City	4	1.2%
6512029	Haibin Village, Ruifang District, New Taipei City	0	
6512034	Xinfeng Village, Ruifang District, New Taipei City	0	
6515003	Jifu Village, Wugu District, New Taipei City	0	
6515005	Chengzhou Village, Wugu District, New Taipei City	0	
6515010	Deyin Village, Wugu District, New Taipei City	0	
6515014	Chengde Village, Wugu District, New Taipei City	0	
6517006	Hubei Village, Linkou District, New Taipei City	0	
6517016	Lilin Village, Linkou District, New Taipei City	0	
6520003	Dalin Village, Pinglin District, New Taipei City	0	
6520006	Shuide Village, Pinglin District, New Taipei City	0	
6602005	Gancheng Village, East District, Taichung City	3	0.9%
6603014	Xichuan Village, South District, Taichung City	2	0.6%
6603019	Nanhe Village, South District, Taichung City	2	0.6%
6604002	Limin Village, West District, Taichung City	0	
6604007	Gongmin Village, West District, Taichung City	0	
6604008	Lanxing Village, West District, Taichung City	0	

File : TEDS2016E_retQ

village: Village

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6604009	Gongguan Village, West District, Taichung City	0	
6604015	Shengping Village, West District, Taichung City	0	
6604020	Gongyi Village, West District, Taichung City	0	
6605013	Jianxing Village, North District, Taichung City	0	
6605018	Dangou Village, North District, Taichung City	0	
6605025	Laixing Village, North District, Taichung City	0	
6605027	Liuhe Village, North District, Taichung City	0	
6605035	Meichuan Village, North District, Taichung City	0	
6605036	Laifu Village, North District, Taichung City	0	
6606016	Guangfu Village, Xitun Distric, Taichung City	0	
6606028	Shangde Village, Xitun Distric, Taichung City	3	<div></div> 0.9%
6606031	Hecheng Village, Xitun Distric, Taichung City	0	
6607002	Fengle Village, Nantun District, Taichung City	3	<div></div> 0.9%
6607012	Tianxin Village, Nantun District, Taichung City	1	<div></div> 0.3%
6607016	Wenxin Village, Nantun District, Taichung City	0	
6607025	Sanyi Village, Nantun District, Taichung City	0	
6608008	Shuijing Village, Beitun District, Taichung City	3	<div></div> 0.9%
6608018	Renmei Village, Beitun District, Taichung City	0	
6608021	Tongrong Village, Beitun District, Taichung City	1	<div></div> 0.3%
6608024	Chenping Village, Beitun District, Taichung City	3	<div></div> 0.9%
6608042	Zhongping Village, Beitun District, Taichung City	0	
6609001	Fengyuan Village, Fengyuan District, Taichung City	2	<div></div> 0.6%
6609028	Zhongyang Village, Fengyuan District, Taichung City	3	<div></div> 0.9%
6610002	Zhongning Village, Dongshi District, Taichung City	2	<div></div> 0.6%
6615017	Yuemei Village, Houli District, Taichung City	3	<div></div> 0.9%
6616009	Dashe Village, Shengang District, Taichung City	2	<div></div> 0.6%
6618013	Wenya Village, Daya District, Taichung City	2	<div></div> 0.6%
6621001	Yongfeng Village, Waipu District, Taichung City	0	
6621003	Sankan Village, Waipu District, Taichung City	0	
6621005	Shuimei Village, Waipu District, Taichung City	0	
6621006	Dadong Village, Waipu District, Taichung City	0	
6623002	Huri Village, Wuri District, Taichung City	0	
6623006	Jiude Village, Wuri District, Taichung City	0	
6623007	Rende Village, Wuri District, Taichung City	0	
6623009	Wuguang Village, Wuri District, Taichung City	0	
6701005	Yanping Village, Xinying District, Tainan City	2	<div></div> 0.6%
6701029	Xindong Village, Xinying District, Tainan City	2	<div></div> 0.6%
6706002	Dongzhong Village, Dongshan District, Tainan City	0	
6706006	Keli Village, Dongshan District, Tainan City	0	
6707004	Jinjiang Village, Madou District, Tainan City	3	<div></div> 0.9%
6712004	Jiannan Village, Jiali District, Tainan City	0	
6712005	Anxi Village, Jiali District, Tainan City	3	<div></div> 0.9%
6712019	Minan Village, Jiali District, Tainan City	0	

File : TEDS2016E_retQ

village: Village

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6716017	Kunshen Village, Jiangjun District, Tainan City	4	1.2%
6718011	Beishi Village, Xinhua District, Tainan City	2	0.6%
6719006	Xiguan Village, Shanhua District, Tainan City	0	
6719012	Ximei Village, Shanhua District, Tainan City	0	
6731002	Wangliao Village, Yongkang District, Tainan City	0	
6731030	Erwang Village, Yongkang District, Tainan City	0	
6731032	Sanhe Village, Yongkang District, Tainan City	0	
6731037	Fuhua Village, Yongkang District, Tainan City	0	
6732007	Houjia Village, East District, Tainan City	3	0.9%
6732029	Zhongxiao Village, East District, Tainan City	3	0.9%
6733007	Xinxing Village, South District, Tainan City	0	
6733035	Wennan Village, South District, Tainan City	0	
6734005	Lixing Village, North District, Tainan City	2	0.6%
6735002	Anshun Village, Annan District, Tainan City	0	
6735006	Andong Village, Annan District, Tainan City	0	
6735015	Haidong Village, Annan District, Tainan City	0	
6735032	Qingcao Village, Annan District, Tainan City	0	
6735039	Xiding Village, Annan District, Tainan City	3	0.9%
6736001	Ximen Village, Anping District, Tainan City	2	0.6%
6737030	Zhongzheng Village, West Central District, Tainan City	3	0.9%
6802071	Huaxuen Village, Zhongli Distric, Taoyuan City	6	1.9%
6803027	Renhe Village, Daxi District, Taoyuan City	4	1.2%
6804023	Ruiyuan Village, Yangmei District, Taoyuan City	3	0.9%
6804026	Zhongshan Village, Yangmei District, Taoyuan City	3	0.9%
6808003	Ruifeng Village, Bade District, Taoyuan City	0	
6811020	Kanglang Village, Xinwu District, Taoyuan City	4	1.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

a2: Which newspaper did you read most often?

Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=320 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	China Times	13	<div><div></div></div> 4.1%
2	United Daily News	34	<div><div></div></div> 10.6%
4	Commercial Times	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
5	The Commons Daily	0	
7	Economic Daily News	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
8	Youth Daily News	0	
9	Taiwan Times	0	
10	Liberty Times	71	<div><div></div></div> 22.2%
11	United Evening News	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
13	Merit Times	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
14	China Daily	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
16	Taiwan News	0	

File : TEDS2016E_retQ

a2: Which newspaper did you read most often?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
17	Apple Daily	57	17.8%
18	Want Daily	0	
21	Epoch Times	0	
25	U-paper	0	
35	Sharp Daily	0	
36	Mandarin Daily News	0	
89	Local newspaper	0	
92	Never	139	43.4%
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

b2: Do you usually talk about politics or elections with other people? Is it often, sometimes, seldom, or never?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-95] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=320 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Often	10	3.1%
2	Sometimes	108	33.8%
3	Seldom	139	43.4%
4	Never	63	19.7%
95	Refuse to answer	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

h6: Using the scale on this card, (where ONE means that voting won't make any difference to what happens and FIVE means that voting can make a big difference), where would you place yourself?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=320 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	No matter who people vote for, it won't make any difference t	41	12.8%
2		30	9.4%
3		59	18.4%
4		96	30.0%
5	People vote for can make a big difference to what happens	90	28.1%
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	4	1.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

j1: In this presidential election on January 16th many people went to vote, while others, for various reasons, did not go to vote. Did you vote?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-95] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=320 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	288	90.0%
2	No	32	10.0%
91	Can't remember	0	

File : TEDS2016E_retQ

j1: In this presidential election on January 16th many people went to vote, while others, for various reasons, did not go to vote. Did you vote?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
95	Refuse to answer	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

j1a: Which ticket did you vote for?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=320 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	CHU Lil-luan and WANG Ju-hsuan	81	25.3%
2	TSAI Ing-wen and CHEN Chien-jen	165	51.6%
3	James SOONG and HSU Hsin-ying	23	7.2%
91	Can't remember	4	1.2%
93	Didn't pick up the ballot	1	0.3%
94	Cast an invalid vote	3	0.9%
95	Refuse to answer	11	3.4%
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	32	10.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

j4: In this legislative election, which candidate did you vote for?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 20101-999998] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=320 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
20101	CHEN, Ou-po	5	1.6%
20102	SUN, Po-yu	0	
20103	KUO, Ru-jau	0	
20104	LEE, Chih-yung	4	1.2%
20105	LIN, Shian-shan	0	
20106	WU, Zi-wei	0	
20107	WU, Shao-wen	1	0.3%
20108	CHU, Ando	1	0.3%
40101	LIN, Wei-chou	3	0.9%
40102	HUANG, Xiu-long	0	
40103	CHENG, Yung-chin	1	0.3%
40104	FAN, Chen-kui	0	
40105	SU, Wen-ying	0	
40106	LI, Zong-hua	0	
40107	CHO, An-thung	0	
40108	CHIU, Ching-ya	2	0.6%
50101	TU, Wen-ching	0	
50102	KANG, Shi-ju	0	
50103	HUANG, Yu-yan	0	
50104	CHEN, Chao-ming	0	
50105	LIN, Yi-fang	0	

File : TEDS2016E_retQ

j4: In this legislative election, which candidate did you vote for?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
50201	TAI, Wen-hsiang	0	
50202	HSU, Chih-jung	5	<div></div> 1.6%
50203	CHOU, Shu-han	0	
50204	WU, Yi-chen	4	<div></div> 1.2%
50205	LIU, Wen-chung	0	
70101	CHEN, Wen-pin	3	<div></div> 0.9%
70102	WANG, Hui-mei	3	<div></div> 0.9%
70201	CHANG, Yao-yuan	0	
70202	LIN, Tsang-min	0	
70203	HUANG, Hsiou-fang	0	
70204	HSU, Yung-chin	0	
70205	HUANG, Yu-fen	0	
70206	LIU, Yung-chun	0	
70207	WEN, Kuo-ming	0	
70301	ANG, Yu-kang	0	
70302	CHENG, Ru-fen	4	<div></div> 1.2%
70303	CHEN, Chao-rong	2	<div></div> 0.6%
70304	CHANG, Yi-sheng	0	
70305	HUNG, Chun-yi	1	<div></div> 0.3%
70401	CHEN, Su-yueh	2	<div></div> 0.6%
70402	CHANG, Chin-kun	0	
80101	MA, Wen-chun	0	
80102	CHANG, Kuor-hsin	0	
80201	TSAI, Huang-liang	0	
80202	HSU, Shu-hua	0	
90101	SU, Chin-feng	5	<div></div> 1.6%
90102	CHANG, Jung-chi	0	
90103	LIN, Fu-yuan	0	
90201	WANG, Wei-ting	0	
90202	CHANG, Chia-wei	0	
90203	WU, Wei-chih	0	
90204	LIU, Chien-kuo	0	
100101	CHIU, Kun-lung	0	
100102	TSAI, Yi-yu	0	
100103	LIN, Chiang-chuan	0	
100201	LIN, Yu-ling	5	<div></div> 1.6%
100202	LAI, Ching-min	0	
100203	CHEN, Ming-wen	1	<div></div> 0.3%
130101	LIAO, Wan-ju	0	
130102	SU, Zhen-qing	0	
130201	CHUNG, Chia-pin	0	
130202	WANG, Chin-shih	0	
130301	HUANG, Chao-chang	0	

File : TEDS2016E_retQ

j4: In this legislative election, which candidate did you vote for?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
130302	CHANG, Chao-yang	0	
130303	CHUANG, Jui-hsuing	0	
130304	HSU, Chin-ju	0	
130305	DING, Yong-zhi	0	
140101	CHEN, Chien-ke	0	
140102	LIU, Chao-hao	3	0.9%
150101	HUANG, Shi-peng	0	
150102	XIAO, Mei-qin	1	0.3%
150103	YANG, Wu-kong	0	
150104	WANG, Ting-sheng	2	0.6%
160101	SHENG, I-che	0	
160102	YANG, Yao	0	
160103	HUANG, Han-tung	0	
160104	CHEN, Shuang-chuan	0	
170101	YANG, Shi-cheng	0	
170102	LIU, Wen-hsiung	0	
170103	HAU, Lung-bin	3	0.9%
170104	TSAI, Shi-ying	3	0.9%
180101	KE, Jian-ming	5	1.6%
180102	ZENG, Yao-cheng	0	
180103	LIN, Chia-yu	0	
180104	OU, Chung-ching	0	
180105	WEI, Bert	0	
180106	WU, Shu-min	0	
180107	HUANG , Yuan-fu	0	
180108	WANG, Rong-de	0	
180109	CHENG, Cheng-chien	4	1.2%
180110	CHIU, Hsieh-chih	0	
200101	WU, Yu-jen	0	
200102	WENG, Shou-liang	0	
200103	LEE, Chun-yi	0	
200104	HUANG, Hung-chen-taiwan-ah-chen-world-great-person-rich-pres	0	
280101	HONG, Zhi-heng	0	
280102	CHEN, Tsang-chiang	0	
280103	KAO, Dan-hwa	0	
280104	CHANG, Cheng-fa	0	
280105	YANG, Cheng-wu	0	
280106	Tony CHEN Ton Lee	0	
280107	CHEN, Te-hui	0	
280108	WU, Cherng-dean	0	
300101	CHEN, Hsueh-sheng	0	
300102	LIN, Chin-kuan	0	
300103	SU, Po-hao	0	

File : TEDS2016E_retQ

j4: In this legislative election, which candidate did you vote for?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
300104	CHANG, Chun-pao	0	
630101	TING, Shou-chung	8	2.5%
630102	WU, Szu-yao	3	0.9%
630103	HUANG, Ching-yuan	0	
630104	WANG, Ching-ya	0	
630105	WU, Zhong-zheng	0	
630201	WANG, Ming-Tzong AarMing	0	
630202	CHEN, Min-chien	0	
630203	WU, Chun-te	0	
630204	LIN, Xing-rong	0	
630205	PAN, Wynn-H.T.	1	0.3%
630206	YAO, Wen-chih	8	2.5%
630207	CHEN, Jian-bin	0	
630301	KAO, Shih-en	0	
630302	PAN, Billy	1	0.3%
630303	LIM, Sin-khai	0	
630304	CHAO, Yieng-jack	0	
630305	CIOU, Jheng-hao	0	
630306	CHEN, Alf Ke-yin	0	
630307	LEE, Yen-jong	2	0.6%
630308	LI, Cheng-yueh	0	
630309	HUANG, Li-hsiang	0	
630310	CHIANG, Wan-an	6	1.9%
630401	HO, Wei	0	
630402	CHEN, Shang-chih	0	
630403	HUANG, Shan-shan	0	
630404	LI, Yueh-feng	0	
630405	CHEN, Chao-Ming	0	
630406	LEE, Yen-hsiu	0	
630407	HSIAO, Ya-tan	0	
630408	LIN, Shao-chi	0	
630501	LIN, Yu-fang	0	
630502	LI, Jia-xing	0	
630503	HUANG, Fui-ching	0	
630504	HONG, Sneng-cheng	0	
630505	GONG, Wei-lun	0	
630506	LIM, Freddy	0	
630507	YOU, Rui-min	0	
630601	CHEN, Chia-hung	0	
630602	FAN, Yun	0	
630603	PANG, Wei-liang	0	
630604	ZHAO, Yan-qing	0	
630605	LIN, Zhen-yu	0	










File : TEDS2016E_retQ

j4: In this legislative election, which candidate did you vote for?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
630606	CHOU, Fang-ju	0	
630607	CHIANG, Nancy	0	
630608	JENG, Tsuen-chyi	0	
630609	TSENG, Hsien-ying	0	
630610	CHIANG, Nai-shn	0	
630611	KU, Wen-fa	0	
630612	WU, Hsu-chih	0	
630701	LIN, Wen-jye	0	
630702	LU, Jennifer	0	
630703	Peter Curry	0	
630704	SU, Chen-ying	0	
630705	FAN, Yang-lu	0	
630706	LIN, Susan	0	
630707	FAI, Hrong-tai	0	
630708	YANG, Shih-chiu	0	
630801	LEE, Ching-yuan	0	
630802	LAI, Shu-shen	0	
630803	MIAO, Poya	0	
630804	LAI, Shyh-bao	0	
630805	CHEN, Lucent	0	
630806	FANG, Jing-jun	0	
640101	CHIU, Yi-ying	0	
640102	CHUNG, Yi-chung	0	
640103	LIU, Zi-lin	0	
640104	CHUANG, Ting-hsin	0	
640201	CHIU, Chih-wei	0	
640202	HUANG, Yun-han	0	
640203	HUANG, Jin-ling	0	
640204	LI, Bo-rong	0	
640205	ZENG, Ying-feng	0	
640301	LIANG, Pei-chen	0	
640302	LYON, Shu-fu	0	
640303	CHANG, Hsien-yao	2	0.6%
640304	LIU, Shyh-fang	4	1.2%
640305	ZHUANG, Ming-xian	0	
640401	KUO, Lun-hao Lucas	0	
640402	LIN, Jun-yang	0	
640403	LIN, Tai-hua	0	
640501	TSAI, Chin-yen	2	0.6%
640502	KUAN, Bi-ling	5	1.6%
640503	YANG, Zong-ying	0	
640504	WANG, Hsin-chang	0	
640601	HUANG, Po-lin	3	0.9%











File : TEDS2016E_retQ

j4: In this legislative election, which candidate did you vote for?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
640602	LEE, Kun-tse	4	 1.2%
640603	YANG, Han-chi	0	
640701	CHAO, Tien-lin	3	 0.9%
640702	CHEN, Su-li	0	
640703	ZHUANG, Qi-wang	2	 0.6%
640704	CHEN, Hui-min	0	
640705	LIN, Jing-yuan	0	
640801	LIU, Yi-xiong	0	
640802	CHANG, Yu-hwa	0	
640803	CHEN, Han-ku	0	
640804	HUANG, Hsi-wen	1	 0.3%
640805	MA, Kai-ni	0	
640806	WANG, Ting-xuan	0	
640807	XU, Zhi-jie	4	 1.2%
640901	LIN, Tsung-yen	0	
640902	LAI, Jui-lung	0	
640903	LIN, Guo-zheng	0	
640904	TSAI, Ma-fu	0	
650101	WU, Yu-sheng	0	
650102	SU, Gideon Tung-ta	0	
650103	HUNG, Zei-cheng	0	
650104	LU, Sun-ling	0	
650105	CHEN, Li-ji	0	
650106	CHEN, Yun-fen	0	
650201	CHEN, Ming-yi	0	
650202	LIN, Shu-fen	0	
650301	CHEN, Chang-fa	0	
650302	SHIAU, Tom	0	
650303	LEE, Chien-lung	2	 0.6%
650304	GAO, Jyh-peng	5	 1.6%
650305	CHANG, Showen	1	 0.3%
650306	LIN, Ji-ying	0	
650307	YAO, Yin-hung	0	
650308	HUANG, Mao	0	
650309	SU, Ching-yin	0	
650401	GU, Ba-kai	0	
650402	WU, Ping-jui	0	
650403	WANG, Si-yi	0	
650404	CHEN, Mau-jia	0	
650405	LIU, Feng-chang	0	
650406	LIN, Li-rong	0	
650407	CHIU, Mark	0	
650501	SU, Chiao-hui	4	 1.2%

File : TEDS2016E_retQ

j4: In this legislative election, which candidate did you vote for?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
650502	KUO, Po-yu	0	
650503	HUANG, Chih-hsiung	5	 1.6%
650601	YAO, Yu-shism	0	
650602	LI, Jian-ming	0	
650603	YU, Hsin-yi	0	
650604	KANG, Hector	0	
650605	CHUANG, Feng-ming	0	
650606	LI, Gui-bao	0	
650607	HUANG, Jun-min	0	
650608	LIN, Kuo-chun	0	
650609	CHANG, Hung-lu	0	
650701	WANG, Chen-hwa	0	
650702	LO, Chih-cheng	5	 1.6%
650703	LEE, Wan-yu	1	 0.3%
650704	CHIANG, Huei-chen	3	 0.9%
650801	CHIANG, Yung-chang	3	 0.9%
650802	CHANG, Hing-Chung	3	 0.9%
650803	TUNG, Cheng-yi	0	
650804	WU, Jin-kui	0	
650805	LIN, Ken	0	
650806	SHAO, Ba-xiang	0	
650901	DONG, Brya	1	 0.3%
650902	CHANG, Ching-fang	2	 0.6%
650903	TSENG, Wen-sheng	0	
650904	LIN, Te-fu	5	 1.6%
650905	LEE, Hsin-chang	2	 0.6%
651001	LU, Chia-chen	0	
651002	WU, Chi-ming	0	
651003	HUANG, Lu-guang	0	
651101	LO, Ming-tsai	0	
651102	TSENG, Poyu	0	
651103	CHEN, Yung-fu	0	
651201	CHUNG, Guo-chih	0	
651202	LEE, Ching-hua	0	
651203	HUANG, Kuo-chang	0	
651204	CHEN, Yung-shun	0	
660101	HOUNG, Chin-tei	0	
660102	WANG, Shu-fen	0	
660103	CHEN, Chun-yuan	0	
660104	TSAI, Chi-chang	0	
660105	YEN, Chiou-yue	0	
660201	CHEN, Shih-kai	0	
660202	YEN, Kuan-heng	0	

File : TEDS2016E_retQ

j4: In this legislative election, which candidate did you vote for?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
660203	CHUNG, Wen-long	0	
660204	CHI, Kuo-tung	0	
660301	YANG, Chiung-ying	2	0.6%
660302	HUANG, Hsin-chi	1	0.3%
660303	HUNG, Tzu-yung	3	0.9%
660401	WU, Shu-hui	0	
660402	CHANG LIAO, Wan-chien	3	0.9%
660403	TSAI, Chin-lung	2	0.6%
660404	YEH, Chun-hsin	0	
660405	YEN, Hui-li	0	
660406	YOU, Shou-yuan	0	
660501	CHIEN, Meng-hsuan	0	
660502	MIAO, Feng-lung	0	
660503	LU, Shiow-yen	3	0.9%
660504	LIU, Kuo-lung	2	0.6%
660601	HUANG, Kuo-shu	4	1.2%
660602	SHEN, Chih-hwei	1	0.3%
660603	HO, Tzu-hua	0	
660701	HO, Hsin-chun	0	
660702	LAI, Yi-huang	0	
660703	SHIH, Tatsai	0	
660801	WANG, Cheng-chi	0	
660802	CHIANG, Chi-chen	4	1.2%
660803	HSIEH, Chih-chung	3	0.9%
670101	HUANG, Rui-kun	0	
670102	CHEN, Bo-zhi	0	
670103	LIN, De-wang	1	0.3%
670104	YE, Yi-jin	5	1.6%
670201	HUANG, Wei-zhe	4	1.2%
670202	HUANG, Yao-sheng	0	
670203	HUANG, Min-zhen	0	
670204	HUANG, Xian-qing	0	
670205	WANG, Guo-dong	0	
670301	XIE, Long-jie	1	0.3%
670302	DENG, Xiu-bao	0	
670303	CHEN, Ting-fei	6	1.9%
670304	WENG, Wan-ning	0	
670401	LIN, Jun-xian	3	0.9%
670402	FU, Jian-feng	0	
670403	CHEN, Shu-hui	3	0.9%
670404	CHEN, Huang-zhou	0	
670405	YANG, Zhi-da	0	
670406	TSAI, Yu-zhi	0	

File : TEDS2016E_retQ

j4: In this legislative election, which candidate did you vote for?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
670501	WANG, Ding-yu	0	
670502	YAN, Yang-qing	0	
670503	LIN, Yi-huang	0	
670504	LI, Ying-shi	0	
680101	CHENG, Yun-peng	0	
680102	CHEN, Ken-te	0	
680103	WANG, Pao-hsuan	0	
680201	CHEN, Pei-yu	0	
680202	WU, Zhen-tuo	0	
680203	LIAO, Cheng-ching	1	0.3%
680204	CHANG, Kang-yi	0	
680205	CHEN LAI, Su-mei	4	1.2%
680206	HUANG, Wei-chun	0	
680301	CHEN, Hung-jui	0	
680302	HSU, Ching-wen	0	
680303	LAI, Li-chu	0	
680304	YU, Neng-sheng	0	
680305	CHEN, Shei-saint	0	
680401	YANG, Li-huan	0	
680402	CHENG, Pao-ching	0	
680501	LU, Yu-ling	0	
680502	HSIAO, Chia-liang	0	
680503	CHANG, Cheng	0	
680504	CHANG, Chao-liang	0	
680505	HUANG, Chih-hao	0	
680506	HUANG, Guo-hua	0	
680601	JUN, Jen-yuan	0	
680602	ZHAO, Zheng-yu	1	0.3%
680603	LAN, Da-shan	0	
680604	SUN, Ta-chien	2	0.6%
680605	YANG, Jin-xuan	0	
680606	LU, Tung-chieh	0	
999988	Plain aborigines	1	0.3%
999989	Mountain aborigines	0	
999991	Forgot	26	8.1%
999992	Not eligible to vote	0	
999993	Didn't vote	29	9.1%
999994	Cast an invalid vote	10	3.1%
999995	Refuse to answer	7	2.2%
999996	Didn't pick up the ballot	12	3.8%
999998	Don't know	2	0.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

File : TEDS2016E_retQ

j4aa: In this legislative election, which candidate did you vote for?(Plain aborigines)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 690101-999999] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=320 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
690101	Tamo Ahsiung	0	
690102	Takiyo-Kacaw	0	
690103	LIN, Hao-yi	0	
690104	Sra-Kacaw	0	
690105	Mayaw-Biho	0	
690106	KE, Ren-yao	0	
690107	LIN, Amos	0	
690108	Rahic Amind	0	
690109	LIN, Jin-ing	0	
690110	LIN, Tsung-han	0	
690111	CHEN, Ying	0	
690112	Galahe-Wubai-Hayawan	0	
690113	Sufin-Siluko	1	0.3%
999991	Forgot	0	
999994	Cast an invalid vote	0	
999995	Refuse to answer	0	
999996	Didn't pick up the ballot	0	
999998	Don't know	0	
999999	Skipped	319	99.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

j4ab: In this legislative election, which candidate did you vote for?(Mountain aborigines)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 700101-999999] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=320 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
700101	LIN, Shi-wei	0	
700102	Yuming Suyan	0	
700103	TSENG, Hua-te	0	
700104	Walis-Perin	0	
700105	Yi Lan-Ming Ji Nu Aa	0	
700106	KUNG, Wen-chi	0	
700107	Uliw-Qaljupayare	0	
700108	QUAN, Cheng-wei	0	
700109	LIN, Xin-yi	0	
700110	KAO CHI, Su-mei	0	
999991	Forgot	0	
999994	Cast an invalid vote	0	
999995	Refuse to answer	0	
999996	Didn't pick up the ballot	0	
999998	Don't know	0	
999999	Skipped	320	100.0%

File : TEDS2016E_retQ

j4ab: In this legislative election, which candidate did you vote for?(Mountain aborigines)

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

j4a: Which party's candidate did you vote for?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=320 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Kuomintang(KMT)	8	2.5%
2	Democratic Progressive Party(DPP)	8	2.5%
3	New Party(NP)	0	
4	People First Party(PFP)	2	0.6%
6	Taiwan Solidarity Union(TSU)	0	
7	Non-Partisan Solidarity Union(NPSU)	0	
8	Independent	1	0.3%
9	Green Party-Social Democratic Party Alliance	0	
10	NHS Union Party	0	
15	People Boss Party	0	
16	Righteous Party	0	
18	Trees Party	0	
19	New Power Party(NPP)	0	
21	Minkuotang(MKT, Republic Party)	0	
22	Taiwan Independent Party	0	
23	Military, Civil, and Faculty Alliance Party(MCFAP)	0	
24	Faith and Hope League	0	
25	Unionist Party	0	
26	Free Taiwan Party	0	
27	Constitutional Conventions of Taiwan	0	
28	Peace Pigeon Union	0	
29	The Motorists Party of ROC	0	
30	Taiwan Labor Party	0	
31	Taiwan Win Party	0	
32	Pan-Pacific Environmental Protect Union Party	0	
33	Social Welfare Party	0	
34	Labour Party	0	
35	Taiwan First Nations Party	0	
36	China Production Party	0	
91	Forgot	9	2.8%
95	Refuse to answer	6	1.9%
98	Don't know	1	0.3%
99	Skipped	285	89.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

j4b: Here is a list of all the parties that contested this legislative election Which party did you cast your at-large vote for?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=320 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		

File : TEDS2016E_retQ

j4b: Here is a list of all the parties that contested this legislative election Which party did you cast your at-large vote for?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Kuomintang(KMT)	64	20.0%
2	Democratic Progressive Party(DPP)	115	35.9%
3	New Party(NP)	11	3.4%
4	People First Party(PFP)	18	5.6%
6	Taiwan Solidarity Union(TSU)	3	0.9%
7	Non-Partisan Solidarity Union(NPSU)	3	0.9%
9	Green Party-Social Democratic Party Alliance	12	3.8%
10	NHS Union Party	1	0.3%
18	Trees Party	3	0.9%
19	New Power Party(NPP)	27	8.4%
21	Minkuotang(MKT, Republic Party)	0	
22	Taiwan Independent Party	0	
23	Military, Civil, and Faculty Alliance Party(MCFAP)	1	0.3%
24	Faith and Hope League	4	1.2%
25	Unionist Party	0	
26	Free Taiwan Party	0	
27	Constitutional Conventions of Taiwan	0	
28	Peace Pigeon Union	0	
91	Forgot	13	4.1%
92	Not eligible to vote	0	
94	Cast an invalid vote	2	0.6%
95	Refuse to answer	5	1.6%
96	Didn't pick up the ballot	7	2.2%
98	Don't know	2	0.6%
99	Skipped	29	9.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

k6a: [Maintain Cross-Strait peace]How would you rate TSAI Ing-wen using 0 to 10 scale?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=320 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Completely incapable	7	2.2%
1		3	0.9%
2		10	3.1%
3		23	7.2%
4		27	8.4%
5		85	26.6%
6		35	10.9%
7		47	14.7%
8		38	11.9%
9		7	2.2%
10	Completely able	21	6.6%
95	Refuse to answer	1	0.3%

File : TEDS2016E_retQ

k6a: [Maintain Cross-Strait peace]How would you rate TSAI Ing-wen using 0 to 10 scale?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
96	It's hard to say	4	1.2%
97	No opinion	0	
98	Don't know	12	3.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

k6b: [Maintain Cross-Strait peace]How would you rate CHU Lil-luan?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=320 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Completely incapable	14	4.4%
1		4	1.2%
2		9	2.8%
3		19	5.9%
4		18	5.6%
5		75	23.4%
6		41	12.8%
7		45	14.1%
8		48	15.0%
9		12	3.8%
10	Completely able	16	5.0%
95	Refuse to answer	1	0.3%
96	It's hard to say	2	0.6%
97	No opinion	1	0.3%
98	Don't know	15	4.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.


k6c: [Maintain Cross-Strait peace]How would you rate James SOONG?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=320 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Completely incapable	7	2.2%
1		7	2.2%
2		8	2.5%
3		23	7.2%
4		22	6.9%
5		69	21.6%
6		39	12.2%
7		41	12.8%
8		55	17.2%
9		11	3.4%
10	Completely able	12	3.8%
95	Refuse to answer	1	0.3%
96	It's hard to say	4	1.2%
97	No opinion	3	0.9%

File : TEDS2016E_retQ















k6c: [Maintain Cross-Strait peace]How would you rate James SOONG?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Don't know	18	 5.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

n1: This card lists eleven positions from independence (0) to unification (10). Which position do you occupy?







Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=320 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Independence	42	 13.1%
1		3	 0.9%
2		18	 5.6%
3		20	 6.2%
4		18	 5.6%
5		156	 48.8%
6		15	 4.7%
7		11	 3.4%
8		7	 2.2%
9		4	 1.2%
10	Unification	10	 3.1%
95	Refuse to answer	5	 1.6%
96	It's hard to say	3	 0.9%
98	Don't know	8	 2.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

n3: After 2008, the cross-Strait economic interactions have intensified As a result of this, do you think Taiwan's economy has gotten better, worse or is about the same?




Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=320 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Better	66	 20.6%
2	Worse	97	 30.3%
3	About the same	140	 43.8%
95	Refuse to answer	0	
96	It's hard to say	7	 2.2%
97	No opinion	1	 0.3%
98	Don't know	9	 2.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

n3a: Thinking about your own economic situation As a result of intensification of cross-Strait interactions, do you think it has gotten better, worse, or stayed about the same?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=320 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Better	29	 9.1%
2	Worse	54	 16.9%
3	About the same	227	 70.9%

File : TEDS2016E_retQ

n3a: Thinking about your own economic situation As a result of intensification of cross-Strait interactions, do you think it has gotten better, worse, or stayed about the same?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
95	Refuse to answer	0	
96	It's hard to say	5	1.6%
97	No opinion	2	0.6%
98	Don't know	3	0.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

p1: Do you consider yourself as Taiwanese, Chinese or both?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=320 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Taiwanese	228	71.2%
2	Both	76	23.8%
3	Chinese	14	4.4%
95	Refuse to answer	2	0.6%
98	Don't know	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

p4: Regarding the issue of Cross-Strait negotiation, some people say that we should continue using the 1992 Consensus as the basis of Cross-Strait negotiation while other people say we should reject the 1992 Consensus Which do you agree with?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=320 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Continue using the 1992 Consensus	114	35.6%
2	Reject the 1992 Consensus	83	25.9%
3	There is no 1992 Consensus	28	8.8%
4	Both support	7	2.2%
5	Both don't support	4	1.2%
95	Refuse to answer	2	0.6%
96	It depends	5	1.6%
97	No opinion	13	4.1%
98	Don't know	64	20.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q1: Do you usually think of yourself as close to any particular party?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=320 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	192	60.0%
2	No	125	39.1%
95	Refuse to answer	2	0.6%
98	Don't know	1	0.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

File : TEDS2016E_retQ

q1a: Do you feel yourself a little closer to one of the political parties than the others?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=320 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	54	16.9%
2	No	69	21.6%
95	Refuse to answer	2	0.6%
98	Don't know	3	0.9%
99	Skipped	192	60.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q1b: Which party do you feel closest to?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=320 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Kuomintang(KMT)	87	27.2%
2	Democratic Progressive Party(DPP)	132	41.2%
3	New Party(NP)	1	0.3%
4	People First Party(PFP)	11	3.4%
6	Taiwan Solidarity Union(TSU)	1	0.3%
7	Non-Partisan Solidarity Union(NPSU)	0	
9	Green Party	1	0.3%
15	People Boss Party	1	0.3%
18	Trees Party	0	
19	New Power Party(NPP)	8	2.5%
20	Social Democratic Party(SDP)	1	0.3%
21	Minkuotang(MKT, Republic Party)	0	
23	Military, Civil, and Faculty Alliance Party(MCFAP)	0	
24	Faith and Hope League	1	0.3%
25	Green party and SDP	0	
26	KMT and PFP	0	
95	Refuse to answer	1	0.3%
98	Don't know	1	0.3%
99	Skipped	74	23.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q1c: Do you feel very close to this party, somewhat close, or not very close?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=320 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very close	55	17.2%
2	Somewhat close	117	36.6%
3	Not very close	71	22.2%
95	Refuse to answer	0	
96	It depends	0	

File : TEDS2016E_retQ

q1c: Do you feel very close to this party, somewhat close, or not very close?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	No opinion	0	
98	Don't know	1	0.3%
99	Skipped	76	23.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q4: On cross-Straits relations, which of the two major parties performed better?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=320 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	KMT is much better	32	10.0%
2	KMT is a little better	102	31.9%
3	DPP is a little better	62	19.4%
4	DPP is much better	19	5.9%
5	Both parties are pretty good	27	8.4%
6	Neither party is very good	52	16.2%
95	Refuse to answer	2	0.6%
96	It depends	7	2.2%
97	No opinion	3	0.9%
98	Don't know	14	4.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

s4: Educational level

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-95] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=320 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Illiterate	5	1.6%
2	Literate but no formal schooling	0	
3	Some primary school	9	2.8%
4	Primary school graduate	34	10.6%
5	Some junior high school	2	0.6%
6	Junior high school graduate	25	7.8%
7	Some high school or vocational school	5	1.6%
8	High school or vocational school graduate	75	23.4%
9	Some technical college	2	0.6%
10	Technical college graduate	39	12.2%
11	Some university	16	5.0%
12	University graduate	82	25.6%
13	Post-graduate education	26	8.1%
95	Refuse to answer	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

s11: Language usually spoken at home

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-95] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=320 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

File : TEDS2016E_retQ

s11: Language usually spoken at home

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Mandarin	122	38.1%
2	Taiwanese	90	28.1%
3	Hakka	16	5.0%
4	Aboriginal language	1	0.3%
5	Other Chinese dialect	0	
6	Both Mandarin and Taiwanese	79	24.7%
7	Both Mandarin and Hakka	6	1.9%
8	Both Taiwanese and Hakka	0	
9	Both Mandarin and other Chinese dialect	3	0.9%
10	Both Mandarin and Japanese	0	
11	Mandarin, Taiwanese and Japanese	0	
12	Taiwanese and Japanese	1	0.3%
13	Both Mandarin and Aboriginal language	0	
14	Mandarin, Taiwanese and Hakka	0	
15	English	1	0.3%
16	Burmese	1	0.3%
17	Mandarin, Taiwanese and English	0	
18	Both Mandarin and English	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

s23: R's gender

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=320 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Male	170	53.1%
2	Female	150	46.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

s24: Language used to conduct interview

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-95] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=320 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Mandarin	261	81.6%
2	Taiwanese	34	10.6%
3	Hakka	0	
4	Mandarin and Taiwanese	24	7.5%
5	Mandarin and Hakka	0	
6	Taiwanese and Hakka	1	0.3%
95	Refuse to answer	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q37: The interview ended at:

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10503162167.296-10504291273.9328] [Missing=*]
-------------	---

File : TEDS2016E_retQ

q37: The interview ended at:

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=320 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=10503761952.768 /-] [StdDev=17623652 /-]

district: District

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 201-6806] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=320 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
201	Yi Lan County Single District	11	3.4%
401	Hsinchu County Single District	10	3.1%
501	Miaoli County 1st District	0	
502	Miaoli County 2nd District	10	3.1%
701	Changhua County 1st District	7	2.2%
702	Changhua County 2nd District	0	
703	Changhua County 3rd District	7	2.2%
704	Changhua County 4th District	7	2.2%
801	Nantou County 1st District	0	
802	Nantou County 2nd District	0	
901	Yunlin County 1st District	8	2.5%
902	Yunlin County 2nd District	0	
1001	Chiayi County 1st District	0	
1002	Chiayi County 2nd District	8	2.5%
1301	Pingtung City 1st District	0	
1302	Pingtung City 2nd District	0	
1303	Pingtung City 3rd District	8	2.5%
1401	Taitung County Single District	4	1.2%
1501	Hualien County Single District	4	1.2%
1601	Penghu County Single District	0	
1701	Keelung City Single District	11	3.4%
1801	Hsinchu City Single District	10	3.1%
2001	Chiayi City Single District	0	
2801	Kinmen County Single District	0	
3001	Lienchiang County Single District	0	
6301	Taipei City 1st District	11	3.4%
6302	Taipei City 2nd District	11	3.4%
6303	Taipei City 3rd District	11	3.4%
6304	Taipei City 4th District	0	
6305	Taipei City 5th District	0	
6306	Taipei City 6th District	0	
6307	Taipei City 7th District	0	
6308	Taipei City 8th District	0	
6401	Kaohsiung City 1st District	0	
6402	Kaohsiung City 2nd District	0	
6403	Kaohsiung City 3rd District	8	2.5%
6404	Kaohsiung City 4th District	0	
6405	Kaohsiung City 5th District	8	2.5%

File : TEDS2016E_retQ

district: District

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6406	Kaohsiung City 6th District	8	2.5%
6407	Kaohsiung City 7th District	8	2.5%
6408	Kaohsiung City 8th District	8	2.5%
6409	Kaohsiung City 9th District	0	
6501	New Taipei City 1st District	0	
6502	New Taipei City 2nd District	0	
6503	New Taipei City 3rd District	11	3.4%
6504	New Taipei City 4th District	0	
6505	New Taipei City 5th District	11	3.4%
6506	New Taipei City 6th District	0	
6507	New Taipei City 7th District	11	3.4%
6508	New Taipei City 8th District	11	3.4%
6509	New Taipei City 9th District	11	3.4%
6510	New Taipei City 10th District	0	
6511	New Taipei City 11th District	0	
6512	New Taipei City 12th District	0	
6601	Taichung City 1st District	0	
6602	Taichung City 2nd District	0	
6603	Taichung City 3rd District	7	2.2%
6604	Taichung City 4th District	7	2.2%
6605	Taichung City 5th District	7	2.2%
6606	Taichung City 6th District	7	2.2%
6607	Taichung City 7th District	0	
6608	Taichung City 8th District	7	2.2%
6701	Tainan City 1st District	8	2.5%
6702	Tainan City 2nd District	8	2.5%
6703	Tainan City 3rd District	8	2.5%
6704	Tainan City 4th District	8	2.5%
6705	Tainan City 5th District	0	
6801	Taoyuan City 1st District	0	
6802	Taoyuan City 2nd District	10	3.1%
6803	Taoyuan City 3rd District	0	
6804	Taoyuan City 4th District	0	
6805	Taoyuan City 5th District	0	
6806	Taoyuan City 6th District	10	3.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.